Sterically induced transmutations in cobalt amine chemistry†

Rodney J. Geue,**ab* **C. Jin Qin,***a* **Stephen F. Ralph,***a* **Alan M. Sargeson,****ab* **Brian W. Skelton,***c* **Allan H. White***c* **and Anthony C. Willis***a*

a Research School of Chemistry, The Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 0200, Australia

b Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, The Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 0200, Australia c Department of Chemistry, University of Western Australia, Nedlands, WA 6907, Australia

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 7th September 1999, Accepted 6th October 1999

Steric forces within a hexaaza bicyclic cobalt cage system cause chromophore expansions which bring about extraordinary transformations in the CoN6 chemistry, leading to $Co^{III/II}N₆$ **redox** potentials close to +0.8 V (*vs***.** SHE) and Co^{II} **hexaamine complexes that are air and substitution stable.**

Steric factors pervade chemistry and their capacity to affect isomerism, structure and reactivity in transition metal coordination chemistry is well known.1 They have also dramatically influenced metal complex stabilities and electron transfer rates, especially for macrocyclic² and polycyclic cage³ ligand systems. When the steric forces cooperate to change the intrinsic geometry or rigidity of a metal complex chromophore, its spectroscopic, redox and photophysical characteristics change in response to the new ligand field and potential energy (PE) surfaces. Recently, the incorporation of relatively high stability and stereorigidity factors with modified chromophore PE surfaces in sterically crowded, expanded cavity cage complexes has led to unusual ground and excited state properties for $MN_6(M = Co^{III}, Rh^{III}, Pt^{IV}, Cr^{III})$ amine type chromophores.4

In the pursuit of kinetically stable metal amine systems that radically transform MN₆ chemistry, a Co^{II} cage complex $[Co(Me₈tricosatrieneN₆)]³⁺$ **1** (Me₈tricosatrieneN₆ = 1,5,5,9,13,13,20,20-octamethyl-3,7,11,15,18,22–hexaazabicyclo[7.7.7]tricosa-3,14,18-triene) was synthesized by an approach based on the $[Co(tame)_2]^{3+}$ {tame = ethylidynetris(methanamine)} template.5*a,c* A vigorously agitated mixture of $[Co(tame)_2]Cl_3$ (2 g) and NaClO₄ (9.4 g) in acetonitrile (50 ml) was treated with paraformaldehyde (5 equiv.), 2-methylpropanal (50 equiv.) and triethylamine (8 ml), quenched with HCl after stirring for 30 min at 20 °C, and chromatographed by cation exchange methods to give a bright crimson major product (15–20% yield), which was identified as the *C*³ symmetric Co^{III} triimine ion 1 by its ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra,[†] and a single crystal X-ray analysis of its tetrachlorozincate salt. \dagger [†] The cation structure has virtual C_3 symmetry in the crystal with equivalent imines as well as equivalent chiral nitrogen centres. Although the $CoN₆$ geometry in the structure is close to octahedral, the Co–N distances are remarkably long compared to those found in complexes with near optimal $Co^{III}N₆$ (amine, imine) dimensions.^{3 c},⁵ In particular, the average

† Electronic supplementary data (ESI) available: Cyclic voltammetry, NMR and crystallographic data. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/1999/2351/

Co–N (endoimine) distance of 2.011 Å is 0.11 Å longer than the value of 1.90 Å for analogous bonds in relatively unstrained systems.⁵ In spite of this exceptional expansion of the $Co^{III}N₆$ module, triimine **1** is stable to metal ion substitution and imine hydrolysis in aqueous solution from 6 M HCl to pH *ca*. 8 at 20 °C. This result contrasts with the relatively high lability found for most Co^{III} amines having mean Co–N distances ≥ 2.00 Å,^{3*c*} but is consistent with that observed for another expanded cavity cage complex^{4a} with a mean§ $Co^{III}-N$ (amine) distance of $2.023(\pm 0.01)$ Å.

The formal similarities between $[Co(Me₈tricosatrieneN₆)]^{3+}$ 1 and its homologue^{4a} $[Co(Me₅tricosatrieneN₆)]³⁺$ 2 are obvious, especially the identical bonding frameworks linking the nitrogen atoms of the chromophore. However, the apparent likeness between the two species is largely limited to their C_3 symmetry and solution stability (at $pH \le 8$), and they exhibit important fundamental differences in their chromophore electron chemistry. The electronic spectrum of the octamethyl complex $\mathbf{1}$ (Cl₃ salt in H₂O) shows the first spin-allowed ligand field absorptions at λ_{max} (ε_{max}) 518 (150) and 367 (150), compared with 468 (107) and 343 nm (135 dm³ mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹) for the corresponding bands of the pentamethyl homologue **2**, 4*a* which by spectral comparison with analogous Co^{III} (amine, imine) systems⁵ is thought to have a fairly optimal $Co^{HIN}6$ geometry. These results imply that the Co–N distances in **1** are much longer than those of **2**. The striking differences in the chromophore sizes and ligand field spectra of the two homologues are attributed to steric factors arising from the three additional methyl groups of $[Co(Me₈tricosatriene₆)]³⁺$, which block the ligand conformation that is needed to form an optimal cavity size for Co^{III}. Reduction potentials (*vs*. SHE) of $E + 0.40$ and -0.16 V for the Co^{III/II} couples of 1 and 2 were determined using cyclic voltammetry in aqueous 0.1 M NaCl. It is likely that the expanded cavity of the conformation adopted by **1** to accommodate its extra methyl groups, is a good fit for Co^{II} and is probably also preferred by 2 in the Co^{II} state. This would imply that the 560 mV positive shift in the Co^{III/II} potential for the larger cavity species **1** arises mainly from a destabilization of its Co^{III} state rather than a stabilization of Co^{II}. Furthermore, the difference in the $Co^{H1}N₆$ sizes of 1 and 2 means that a substantial part of the destabilization energy is associated with the expansion of the chromophore.

More profound $CoN₆$ redox and spectral behaviour results when $[\text{Co}\text{^{III}}(\text{Me}_8 \text{tricos} \text{atriene} \text{N}_6)]^{3+}$ is reduced to the more sterically crowded saturated amine form [Co^{II}(Me₈tricosa $n \in \mathbb{N}_6$]²⁺ **3**. These phenomena involve fundamental changes in the nature of $CoN₆$ (amine) chemistry and are not entirely understood at present. The Co^{II} complex 3 was first formed by stirring the trichloride salt of **1** with NaBH4 (6 equiv.) in basic aqueous solution (pH 10) for 20 min at 20 \degree C and quenching with saturated $NaHCO₃$ solution (1 h), and then isolated as a *pink* dinitrate salt (95% yield), following cation-exchange chromatography using aqueous $LiNO₃$ as eluent and evaporation of the eluted complex solution. A *violet* tetrachlorozincate salt of **3** was also obtained by anion-exchange chromatography $(Cl⁻$ form) of the aqueous nitrate solution, addition of excess $ZnCl₂$ solution (pH 5) and concentration at 20 °C. All operations

Fig. 1 The (*a*) Λ (S_6) and (*b*) Λ (S_4R_2) cations of **3** in its (NO₃)₂H₂O and ZnCl₄ salts. Selected bond lengths (\AA) and angles (\degree) for (*a*) (*D*₃ averaged§): Co–N 2.223 (\pm 0.020); N(12)–Co–N(16) 86.3(\pm 0.4), Co–N–C (cap) 114.1(\pm 0.4). For (*b*) (*C*₂ independent): Co–N(12) 2.135(4), Co–N(16) 2.355(4), Co–N(22) 2.206(4); N(12)–Co–N(16) 82.2(2), N(22)–Co–N(22*) 88.8(2), Co–N(22)–C(21) 116.2(3), Co–N(12)–C(11) 117.0(3), Co–N(16)– C(15) 116.7(3).

were conducted in air and the $[Co^H(Me₈$ tricosane N_6]²⁺ ion was identified by elemental microanalysis (C, H, N, Cl, Co), 1H NMR† and VIS-near IR electronic spectra, and the single crystal X-ray analyses of its $(NO₃)₂$ and $ZnCl₄$ salts.†‡ The synthesis and isolation of an *air and* relatively substitution stable Co^{II} hexaamine species is an unusual development that involves new $CoN₆$ redox chemistry.

The ¹H NMR spectrum⁺ of $[Co^H(Me₈tricosaneN₆)]²⁺$ in D₂O solution at 25°C is consistent with the presence of two main species that do not interconvert on the 300 MHz 1H NMR timescale. The CH_2 and CH_3 proton resonances display paramagnetic broadening and upfield δ shifts within the range -10 to -50 ppm, and are clearly in accord with two dominant species averaging D_3 (6 signals) and C_2 (15 discrete signals) symmetry in D_2O . These two forms of **3** most likely correspond to diastereoisomers of the type $\Lambda(S_6)$ and $\Lambda(S_4R_2)$, and their enantiomers $\Delta(R_6)$ and $\Delta(R_4S_2)$, where *R* or *S* defines the configuration of each of the six chiral nitrogen centres and Λ or Δ the configuration about the metal ion. The Co^{II} system appears to be stable to net ligand dissociation in the presence of anions such as Cl^- , ClO_4^- , NO_3^- , $SO_4^2^-$, $CH_3CO_2^-$ and $CO₃²⁻$ in a variety of solvents. However, the lability of individual Co^{II}–N bonds should facilitate interconversion between the diastereoisomers within minutes. Diagrams of the two distinct CoII cations found in single crystals of the *pink* $(NO₃)₂$ and *violet* ZnCl₄ salts of **3** are shown in Fig. 1(*a*) and (*b*), viewed down their bridgehead axes. The crystal structures clearly reveal the virtual D_3 symmetry of the $\Lambda(S_6)$ cation (*a*) and the C_2 symmetry of the $\Lambda(S_4R_2)$ cation (*b*), consistent with their being the major components in solution. Mean $Co^H–N$ distances are very similar for the $\Lambda(S_6)(2.223 \text{ Å})$ and $\Lambda(S_4R_2)(2.232 \text{ Å})$ structures, but the latter has two short $(2.135(4)$ Å) and two very long $(2.355(4)$ Å) bonds. Notably, the mean distances are 0.05–0.07 Å longer than those of 2.16–2.18 Å observed for other $Co^HN₆(amine)$ structures⁶ having nearly optimal $Co^HN₆$ sizes. It follows from the data that these two conformations of the free ligand would prefer a larger ion than Co^{II}. Overall, the implication is that strong steric influences in the $[Co(Me_8tricosaneN₆]ⁿ⁺$ system stabilize larger cavity conformations, which markedly expand both $Co^HN₆$ and $Co^{HIN}6$ centres relative to those of the formally analogous $[Co(fac-Me₅tricosaneN₆)]ⁿ⁺ system^{4a} which has a C_3 tris-$ (chair) conformation in the CoIII complex (**4**) and probably also in the Co^{II} state.^{4a} The structures also show sizeable Co^{II}N₆ twist distortions away from *O*^h geometry about the molecular bicyclic axes, with the average wist angles (ϕ) being $46.0(\pm 0.8)$ ° for the $\Lambda(S_6)$ isomer (assuming D_3 symmetry), and 46.2 and 31.5° for the two independent angles of the *C*² symmetric $\Lambda(S_4R_2)$ form. However the distortions are decidedly
less than that of $[\text{Co}^{II}(\text{NH}_3)_2\text{sar})]^{4+}$ (sar = $[Co^H(NH₃)₂ sar)]⁴⁺$

3,6,10,13,16,19-hexaazabicyclo[6.6.6]icosane, apically substituted) in its $(NO₃)₄$ salt structure^{6*c*} [ϕ 29.0(\pm 0.9)[°]], which accommodates an optimal Co^{II}–N mean distance of $2.170(\pm 0.021)$ Å.

The expanded Co^{II}N₆ cores of the [Co^{II}(Me₈tricosaneN₆)]²⁺ components exert substantial influences on the positions of the main ligand field bands, in the visible and near-IR absorption spectrum of **3** in aqueous solution at 25 °C. These transitions, of origin ${}^4T_{1g}(F) \rightarrow {}^4T_{2g}$ and ${}^4T_{1g}(F) \rightarrow {}^4T_{1g}(P)$ at λ_{max} (*ε*) 1062 (4.8) and $\overline{498}$ nm $(11.\overline{1} \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1})$, are red shifted by 150 and 35 nm (> 1500 cm⁻¹) from those of analogous cobalt(II) amine complexes^{4a,6a} with relatively optimal chromophore dimensions. Although the binary composition of **3** may cause broadening, asymmetry and lower resolution, particularly for the lower intensity bands, its overall spectral profile remains similar to that of the smaller cavity analogues.4*a*,6*a*

Cyclic voltammetry of the $[Co^H(Me₈tricosaneN₆)]²⁺ system$ in aqueous 0.1 M KCl reveals unusual CoIII/II redox behaviour. A complete interpretation requires more data for the isolated CoIII species,7 but the general features account for the extraordinary air stability of the Co^{II} system, which is not oxidised by O_2 or H_2O_2 . The voltammogram \dagger is distinctly asymmetric, with the oxidation and main reduction wave peaks occurring at *ca*. +0.84 and +0.29 V(*vs*. SHE at 100 mV s⁻¹), and was reproduced using multiple and reverse scans and several different salts of **3**. The system is electrochemically irreversible but chemically reversible, and the main wave features are consistent with configurations of $[Co(Me₈tricosaneN₆)]^{3+/2+}$ that reduce at *ca*. 0.3 V and oxidise at *ca*. 0.8 V (*vs.* SHE). The system has not been completely unravelled yet but there are clearly at least two diastereoisomers of the Co^{II} complex involved, and recently a blue and an orange form of the Co^{III} complex have been characterized after coulometric oxidation $(800 \text{ mV} \text{ vs. }$ SCE) of the Co^{II} form.⁷ The Co^{III} forms appear to be conformationally interconvertible, both with D_3 symmetry and clearly very different ligand fields (λ_{max} for ${}^{1}A_{1g} \rightarrow {}^{1}T_{1g}$ *ca*. 600 and 480 nm.

The results in total show some astonishing changes in Co^{II/III} amine spectroscopy and redox chemistry that are tied to dimensional changes in the $Co^{H/H}N₆$ chromophores, and clearly demonstrate the potential of sterically crowded expanded cavity cage systems in the development of new $ML₆$ chemistry.

Notes and references

‡ CCDC 182/1433. See http://www.rsc.org.suppdata/cc/1999/2351/ for crystallographic files in .cif format.

- § The number in parentheses defines the range of observed values.
- $\P \phi$ is defined by the torsion angles $N \cdots C_{\text{quat}} \cdots C_{\text{quat}} \cdots N'$ for $\Lambda(S_6)$ and $N \cdots C_{\text{quat}} \cdots C_0 \cdots N'$ for $\Lambda(S_4R_2)$, with N,N' in the same bridge.
- 1 D. A. Buckingham and A. M. Sargeson, *Top. Stereochem.*, 1971, **6**, 219; C. A. Tolman, *Chem. Rev.*, 1977, **77***,* 313; A. M. Sargeson, *Pure Appl. Chem*., 1978, **50**, 905.
- 2 L. F. Lindoy, *The Chemistry of Macrocyclic Ligand Complexes,* Cambridge UP, Cambridge, 1989.
- 3 (*a*) R. J. Geue, M. G. McCarthy and A. M. Sargeson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1984, **106**, 8282; (*b*) R. J. Geue, A. J. Hendry and A. M. Sargeson, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1989, 1646; (*c*) P. Hendry and A. Ludi, *Adv. Inorg. Chem.*, 1990, **35**, 117 and references therein.
- 4 (*a*) R. J. Geue, A. Höhn, S. F. Ralph, A. M. Sargeson and A. C. Willis, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1994, 1513; (*b*) R. J. Geue, M. B. McDonnell, A. W. H. Mau, A. M. Sargeson and A. C. Willis, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.,* 1994, 667; (*c*) K. N. Brown, R. J. Geue, T. W. Hambley, A. M. Sargeson and A. C. Willis, *Chem. Commun.*, 1996, 567; (*d*) K. N. Brown, PhD Thesis, Australian National University, 1994.
- 5 J. M. Harrowfield, A. M. Sargeson, J. Springborg, M. R. Snow and D. Taylor, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1983, **22**, 186.
- 6 (*a*) I. I. Creaser, R. J. Geue, J. M. Harrowfield, A. J. Herlt, A. M. Sargeson, M. R. Snow and J. Springborg, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1982, **104**, 6016; (*b*) S. Kummer and D. Babel, *Z. Naturforsch., Teil B*, 1984, **39**, 1118; (*c*) P. Comba, A. M. Sargeson, L. M. Engelhardt, J. M. Harrowfield, A. H. White, E. Horn and M. R. Snow, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1985, **24**, 2325.
- 7 C. J. Qin, PhD Thesis, Australian National University, 1997.

Communication 9/07237C