Group 5 transition metal imido complexes with dianionic guanidinate ligands displaying extended interactions

Natesan Thirupathi, Glenn P. A. Yap and Darrin S. Richeson*

Department of Chemistry, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, K1N 6N5. Canada. E-mail: darrin@science.uottawa.ca

Received (in Bloomington, IN, USA) 27th August 1999, Accepted 15th October 1999

N,N',N''-tri(isopropyl)guanidinate complexes of M(v) (M = Nb, Ta) bearing imido ligands represent unique examples of monoanionic and dianionic guanidinate complexes of these metals; single crystal X-ray analyses for two of the latter species, {[μ -(NPrⁱ)_3C]_2TaMe(NPrⁱ)}(Mg_2Cl_2)·4C_6D_6 and {(μ - η ²: η ² (PrⁱN)_3C)Ta(NPrⁱ)Cl}₂, exhibit unusual bonding modes involving all of the nitrogen centers of the ligand.

Guanidinate anions have received surprisingly limited attention as supporting ligands for metal complexes in comparison with isoelectronic amidinate species.¹ This omission is particularly conspicuous with electrophilic main group and early transition metals where amidinate complexes have displayed an exceptionally rich and varied organometallic and inorganic chemistry.^{2,3} Guanidinates should certainly possess the same versatility and flexibility in coordination properties that have been exhibited by amidinates. Moreover, the presence of a third nitrogen center, which can bear either one or two organic substituents provides an additional coordination site within the ligand and the added capability of yielding dianionic species. This aspect offers bonding motifs that are not possible for the related amidinate systems.

Employing *N*,*N'*,*N''*-trialkylguanidines as ligands requires the development of fundamental ideas regarding the introduction of guanidinate anions and dianions into a metal coordination sphere, the definition of features that favor different binding modes of the ligand, and investigation of the general reactivity characteristics of these complexes. Reported preparative routes to trialkylguanidiante complexes include the elimination of HX (X = Cl, Br) from halo metal complexes,⁴ elimination of H₂ from metal hydrides,⁵ elimination of alkane from a metal alkyl,⁶ and elimination of amine from a metal amido complex.^{7,8} Examples of a dianionic guanidinate complexes include [(OC)₃Fe{ μ - η ²(RN)₂CNR}Fe(CO)₃] (R = Prⁱ or Cy),⁹ (cod)Pt[C(NPh)₃]¹⁰ and the main group species, Li₂[C(NPh)₃],¹¹ Li₂[C(NBuⁱ)₃],¹² and Sb[(PrⁱN)₂CNHPrⁱ] [(PrⁱN)₃C].⁸ We wish to expand upon the procedures for introducing guanidinate ligands and to find general methods for the generation of dianionic guanidinate ligands.

The room temp. reaction of MCl_5 (M = Ta, Nb) with N,N',N''-tri(isopropyl)guanidine lead to direct formation of $MCl(NPr^i)[(NPr^i)_2C(NHPr^i)]_2$ (M = Ta **1**; M = Nb **2**) after separation of guanidinium hydrochloride from the reaction mixture [eqn. (1)].† Reproducible yields in the range 30–40%



can be obtained from this procedure using spectroscopically (¹H, ¹³C NMR) and analytically pure tri(isopropyl)guanidine. On the basis of these observations it seems clear that the imido function must arise from cleavage of the parent guanidine, the mechanism of this transformation is currently under investiga-



Fig. 1 Molecular structure of **3** showing a labeled asymmetric unit and the aggregation described in the text. Within the asymmetric unit, hydrogen atoms and benzene molecules of crystallization have been omitted for clarity. All atoms atoms have been refined anisotropically.

tion.^{13,14} In contrast, reaction of $M(NMe_2)_5$ with guanidine yields only $[(Pr^iN)_3C]M(NMe_2)_3$ a species which possessed a single dianionic guanidinate ligand.⁸

With only one equivalent of MeMgX (X = Cl, Br), complex 1 only undergoes halogen exchange. However, employing two equivalents or more readily generated alkyl complex 3.¹⁵ Owing to the likelihood that our synthetic procedure had generated a dianionic guanidinate ligand we performed an X-ray analysis of 3 with the results shown in Fig. 1.‡ Complex 3 exhibits a Ta center in a pseudo-octahedral environment with two dianionic chelating bidentate guanidinate ligands, one Me group and an imido function. The two guanidinate ligands span axial/equatorial sites and the bonding features within the {[(NPri)₃ C]₂TaMe(NPri)^{2–} fragment are reminiscent of the reported bis(amidinate)Nb(O)Cl and the bis(guanidinate) complexes 1 and 2.^{†16} Charge balance for this fragment and completion of the asymmetric unit is provided by an (Mg₂Cl₂)²⁺ cation which is coordinated to the guanidinate ligands.

Both guanidinate ligands form planar cycles with the Ta(v) center and exhibit similar bonding parameters. Within the guanidinate CN₃ cores the central C is planar as are the N atoms [N(2), N(5)] that coordinate exclusively to Ta. The C=N bond appears to be localized between these three coordinate N atoms with the longest C–N bonds observed for the four coordinate N centers [N(1), N(4)] which interact with one of the Mg²⁺ cations. The isopropyl imido linkage is similar to that observed in **1** and **2** and in **4** reported below. The *trans* influence of the imido ligand is manifest in the elongation of Ta–N(4) bond relative to Ta–N(1) [2.486(7) *cf.* 2.365(7) Å].

The two Mg centers within the asymmetric unit possess different coordination environments with Mg(1) being coordinated to the two *cis*-oriented N atoms [N(1), N(4)], and to Cl(1) and Cl(2) to give a pseudo-tetrahedral coordination sphere while Mg(2) is coordinated only to N(6) and Cl(1) within the asymmetric unit. Bridging interactions of Mg(2) to N(3) and



Fig. 2 Molecular structure of 4. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.

Cl(2) of an adjacent unit complete the coordination sphere of this center and result in a supramolecular aggregation of these subunits. This assemblage of complexes propagated through the bridging interactions of Mg(2) generates a macrocyclic ring of six subunits with a diameter of 12.1 Å which is colinear with a crystallographic $\bar{6}$ axis as shown in Fig. 1. The void space left in the center of this cyclic arrangement is occupied in this case with four benzene molecules of crystallization.

The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of **3** provide a probe of the solution behavior of this species. Based on the similarity of these spectra to those of complexes **1** and **2**, it appears that the intermolecular interactions exhibited by the observed static structure of **3** are not maintained in solution.

Reaction of metal halides with a lithium guanidinate, $Li\{(Pr^iN)_2CN(H)Pr^i\}$, offers an alternative metathetical route for introduction of guanidinate anions. From the reaction between *in situ* generated monolithium guanidinate and TaCl₅, complex 4 was isolated.[†] The complexity of the NMR spectra for this reproducibly obtained product prompted us to investigate 4 by X-ray crystallography. The results are summarized in Fig. 2.[‡]

Complex 4 is a dinuclear species possessing two bridging dianionic tri(isopropyl)guanidinate ligands each exhibiting a previously unknown chelating bridging coordination mode in which two of the nitrogen atoms [N(1), N(2)] bond to one Ta center and the third [N(3)] bridges the two metal centers. The coordination geometry of each Ta center can be described as distorted octahedral with the overall geometry of 4 being an edge-sharing bioctahedral polyhedron. An inversion center relates the two halves of the molecule. The individual Ta centers are in geometries reminiscent of the other bis(guanidinate) complexes reported herein. For example, within the asymmetric unit the metrical parameters of the guanidinate ligand are similar to those observed in 3, *i.e.* the ligand spans axial equatorial sites with a bite angle [N(2)-Ta-N(3)] of $60.83(16)^{\circ}$. The central C atom of the guanidinate CN_3 core is planar. Furthermore N(1) and N(2) exhibit very similar geometric parameters and are nearly planar [$\Sigma N(1) = \Sigma N(2) = 357^{\circ}$]. In contrast, the bridging N atom [N(3)] is distorted tetrahedral with the six defining angles ranging from 81.7(3) to $125.5(3)^{\circ}$ (av. = 107°). The C=N double bond of the dianionic ligand appears to be delocalized between C(10)-N(1) and C(10)-N(2) as reflected by bond distances. As in 1-3, the Ta coordination sphere is completed by an isopropyl imido function and a chloride ligand [Cl(1)] remaining from the starting material.

The mechanism of formation for **4** is still under scrutiny. Attempts to employ the dianionic form of tri(isopropyl)guanidinate, in what would appear to be a more direct pathway to **4**, also yield **4** but in a lower yield.

In the case of **4**, both ¹H and ¹³C NMR data confirm that the observed structure remains intact upon dissolution in organic solvents. For example each of the isopropyl CH₃ signals appears as a distinct doublet. While the isopropyl(imido) function appears to exhibit equivalent methyl groups in the ¹H NMR, these CH₃ groups are distinguishable in the ¹³C NMR spectra.

This work was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC).

Notes and references

 \dagger Complexes **1–4** have been fully characterized by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy and by elemental analysis. In addition, single crystal X-ray diffraction studies have been performed on **1** and **2** and will be reported in the full paper.

‡ *Crystal data*: for C_{40.50}H_{68.50}Cl₂Mg₂N₇Ta **3**: M = 953.99, rhombohedral, space group $R\overline{3}$, a = 37.664(2), b = 37.664(2), c = 18.532(1) Å, U = 22768(2) Å³, T = 203(2) K, Z = 18, μ (Mo-K α) = 2.335 mm⁻¹, reflections measured 60471, 5423 unique (R_{int} = 0.1911) which were used in all calculations. Final *R* indices [$I > 2\sigma(I)$] R1 = 0.0478 wR2 = 0.1138.

For $C_{26}H_{56}Cl_2N_8Ta_2$ 4: M = 913.59, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/n$, a = 11.420(1), b = 10.220(1), c = 14.876(2) Å, $\beta = 100.098(2)^{\circ}$, U = 1709.5(3) Å³, T = 293(2) K, Z = 2, μ (Mo-K α) = 6.581 mm⁻¹, reflections measured 13443, 4108 unique ($R_{int} = 0.0695$) which were used in all calculations. Final *R* indices [$I > 2\sigma(I)$] R1 = 0.0326 wR2 = 0.0647.

The structures were solved using direct methods and refined by fullmatrix least squares on F^2 . CCDC 182/1454.

- For recent reviews of amidinate complexes see: J. Barker and M. Kilner, Coord. Chem. Rev., 1994, 133, 219; F. T. Edelmann, Coord. Chem. Rev., 1994, 137, 403.
- 2 C. E. Radzewich, M. P. Coles and R. F. Jordan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1998, 120, 9384; R. Gomez, R. Duchateau, A. N. Chernega, J. H. Teuben, F. T. Edelmann and M. L. H. Green, J. Organomet. Chem., 1995, 491, 153; J. C. Flores, J. C. W. Chien and M. D. Rausch, Organometallics, 1995, 14, 1827; J. R. Hagadorn and J. Arnold, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1997, 3087; D. Herskovics-Korine and M. Eisen, J. Organomet. Chem., 1995, 503, 307; A. Littke, N. Sleiman, C. Bensimon, D. S. Richeson, G. P. A. Yap and S. Brown, Organometallics, 1998, 17, 446; S. Foley, G. P. A. Yap and D. S. Richeson, Organometallics in press.
- S. Foley, G. P. A. Yap and D. S. Richeson, *Organometallics* in press.
 J. Barker, N. C. Blacker, P. R. Phillips, N. W. Alcock, W. Errington and M. G. H Wallbridge, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1996, 431; A. Ochi, H. K. Bowen and W. E. Rhine, *Mater. Res. Symp. Proc.*, 1988, 121, 663.
- 4 J. R. da S. Maia, P. A. Gazard, M. Kilner, A. S. Batsanova and J. A. K Howard, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1997, 4625; P. J. Bailey, L. A. Mitchell and S. Parsons, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1996, 2839.
- 5 S. D. Robinson and A. Sahajpal, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1997, 3349.
- 6 R. Snaith, K. Wade and B. K. Wyatt, J. Chem. Soc. A., 1970, 380.
- 7 P. J. Bailey, R. O. Gould, C. N. Harmer, S. Pace, A. Steiner and D. S. Wright, *Chem. Commun.*, 1997, 1161.
- 8 M. K. T. Tin, G. P. A. Yap and D. S. Richeson, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1999, **38**, 998; M. K. T. Tin, N. Thirupathi, G. P. A. Yap and D. S. Richeson, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1999, 2947.
- 9 N. J. Bremer, A. B. Cutcliffe, M. F. Farona and W. G. Kofron, *J. Chem. Soc.* (*A*), 1971, 3264; N. J. Bremer, A. B. Cutcliffe and M. F. Farona, *Chem. Commun.*, 1970, 932.
- 10 M. B. Dinger and W. Henderson, Chem. Commun., 1996, 211.
- 11 P. J. Bailey, A. J. Blake, M. Kryszczuk, S. Parsons and D. Reed, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1995, 1647.
- 12 T. Chivers, M. Parvez and G. Schatte, J. Organomet. Chem., 1998, 550, 213.
- 13 The effects of varying the reaction conditions on the yield of **1** and **2** will be reported in the full paper. Our best yields have been obtained using a ratio of tri(isopropyl)guanidine:MCl₅ of 4:1. Carbodiimide has not been isolated from the reaction mixture.
- 14 S. W. Krska, R. L. Zuckerman and R. G. Bergman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1998, 120, 11 828.
- 15 A. N. Chernega, M. L. H. Green and A. G. Suárez, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1993, 3031.
- 16 P. J. Stewart, A. J. Blake and P. Mountford, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1997, 36, 1982.

Communication 9/06976C