A remarkably stable copper(i) ethylene complex: synthesis, spectroscopy and structure

Bernd F. Straub, Frank Eisenträger and Peter Hofmann*

Organisch-Chemisches Institut der Universität Heidelberg, Im Neuenheimer Feld 270, D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany. E-mail: ph@phindigo.oci.uni-heidelberg.de

Received (in Basel, Switzerland) 29th September 1999, Accepted 9th November 1999

The novel copper(i) ethylene complex [But 2P(NSiMe3)2- $\kappa^2 N$]Cu(η^2 -C₂H₄) and its norbornene analogue have been **synthesized and characterized and their solid state structures determined.**

Copper(i) olefin complexes play an important role in biochemistry and modern organic chemistry. Copper-catalyzed addition of carbanions to α , β -unsaturated carbonyls and coppercatalyzed cyclopropanation of alkenes by α -carbonyl diazoalkanes involve copper olefin complexes as catalytically active species or resting states.1–4 Ethylene, the smallest plant hormone, binds tightly to the copper receptor site ETR 1,5,6 participating in a variety of stress responses and developmental processes.7 Thompson *et al.* synthesized and characterized the first stable copper(I) ethylene complex, [HB(3,5-Me₂- $1,2-HC_3N_2$ ₃- $\kappa^3\overline{N}$ Cu(η^2 -C₂H₄), in 1983.⁸ Nevertheless, the stability of the ethylene/receptor complex is much higher than that of any reported artificial copper ethylene complex.5,6,9

Owing to the notorious lability of ethylene coordination in known copper(i) complexes, only one extrapolated 13C NMR chemical shift relative to free ethylene ($\Delta \delta = 31.1$)¹⁰ and no ¹*J*_{CH} coupling constant for copper(1) coordinated ethylene could be determined. Obviously, such data are highly relevant for an understanding of the copper(i)–ethylene bond.

Here, we report a remarkably stable copper(i) ethylene complex **1**, which is easily accessible by utilizing a tailor-made sterically demanding and electron-rich iminophosphanamide, first described by Scherer and Schieder more than 30 years ago,11 as a co-ligand, generated by *in situ* deprotonation (Scheme 1).12

The stability of **1** in the solid state and in solution is unprecedented. Colorless crystals of 1 can be dried at 10^{-3} mbar at ambient temperature without decomposition. Complex **1** melts at 108 \degree C to a colorless liquid which only slowly decomposes at that temperature. Protonated **1** could be observed in the gas phase $(CI + MS, 2-methylpropane)$. No free ethylene is detected by ¹H NMR spectroscopy upon dissolving 1 in C_6D_6 , $CDCl₃$ or toluene-d₈ in an argon atmosphere. The presence of additional ethylene leads to separate broad signals of free and of coordinated C_2H_4 , indicating associative olefin exchange.

The C–C distance of ethylene in **1** is 1.362(6) Å, which does not differ significantly from the corresponding distance in free ethylene [1.3369(16) Å].¹³ The sp²-character of the C₂H₄ carbons is in accordance with a $^{1}J_{\text{CH}}$ coupling constant of 158 Hz, which is even larger than in free ethylene (156 Hz).14

The ¹H NMR resonance of the ethylene protons at δ 3.48 $(C_6D_6, 298$ K; for free ethylene δ 5.24 under the same

conditions) is shifted by 0.5 ppm to higher field than the most upfield copper(1) ethylene signal (δ 4.0) reported so far.¹⁵ The ¹³C NMR signal is detected at δ 73.0 with an upfield shift of 50 ppm compared to free ethylene. Lower temperatures and aromatic solvents lead to an upfield shift of the ethylene protons $(\delta$ 3.35, toluene-d₈, 233 K; δ 3.63, CDCl₃, 223 K).

The norbornene complex $[But_2P(NSiMe_3)_2 - \kappa^2N]Cu(\eta^2 - \kappa^2N)$ C_7H_{10}) 2 could be obtained in a similar way.† Its solid state geometry, structurally analogous to **1**, is shown in Fig. 2.

Unlike **1**, complex **2** allows determination of the rotational barrier of the olefin ligand as $\Delta G^{\ddagger} = 41.5 \pm 2.0 \text{ kJ} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ (VT ¹³C{¹H}NMR) through coalescence of the signals of both the diastereotopic $C(CH_3)_3$ and $C(CH_3)_3$ carbons.

The high basicity of the anionic iminophosphanamide σ - and π -donor ligand and the small bite angle N–Cu–N of 77.80(9) \degree in the four-membered neutral chelate ring system seem to be responsible for the unprecedented stability and unusual spectral properties of **1**. The high HOMO and the low LUMO energy of a d^{10} -ML₂ fragment with a small bite angle¹⁶ lead to increased π -back bonding towards the olefin and a strong olefin-to-metal dative bond, thus causing an increased ethylene ligand dissociation energy compared to other neutral and, even more so, cationic copper(i) units. Formation of stable dinuclear olefinfree copper(i) complexes with nearly linear N–Cu–N fragments and concomitant weak d¹⁰-d¹⁰ interaction as known from other systems⁸ is hampered by the sterically demanding iminophosphanimide ligand system with its SiMe_3 groups bent towards the olefin side (P–N–Si ≈ 150°).

Complex 1 catalyzes cyclopropanation of styrene with α carbonyl diazoalkanes at room temperature. The extremely high

Fig. 1 ORTEP diagram of the solid state structure of **1**.‡ Hydrogen atoms of the methyl groups are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): P–N(1) 1.6013(16), Si(1)–N(1) 1.7055(17), Cu–N(1) 2.0140(16), Cu–C(1) 1.987(3), C(1)–C(1A) 1.362(6); P–N(1)–Si(1) 151.35(11), N(1)–P–N(1A) 104.33(12), P–N(1)–Cu 88.93(8), N(1)–Cu– N(1A) 77.80(9).

Fig. 2 ORTEP diagram of the solid state structure of **2**.‡ Hydrogen atoms of the methyl groups are omitted for clarity. Average values of the three independent molecules with assumed C_s -symmetry in the asymmetric unit (standard deviation) are quoted. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): P–N(1) 1.599(5), Si(1)–N(1) 1.704(9), Cu–N(1) 2.031(7), Cu–C(2) 2.026(23), C(21)–C(22) 1.377(20); P–N(1)–Si(1) 149.4(1.5), N(1)–P–N(2) 104.7(3), P–N(1)–Cu 89.0(4), N(1)–Cu–N(2) 77.1(1).

solubility of 1 even in alkanes and its NMR active nuclei $(^{1}H,$ 13C, 29Si and especially 31P) now offer an ideal chance to investigate mechanistic aspects of organometallic copper(i) chemistry, especially of copper(i)-catalyzed cyclopropanation.

Support of this work by a PhD stipend of the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie for B. F. S. is gratefully acknowledged.

Notes and references

† *Synthesis* of **1**: 13 ml 1.6 M BunLi in hexane (21 mmol) were added to 5.78 $g(18.0 \text{ mmol}) \text{Bu}^t_2 P[N(SiMe_3)]NH(SiMe_3)^{11}$ in 20 ml of hexane under an argon atmosphere, and the solution was refluxed for 1 min , $12 \text{ then added to }$ a suspension of 4.97 g (24 mmol) CuBrSMe₂ in 20 ml of hexane at -78 °C and stirred while warming to ambient temperature. Ethylene (99.7%) was bubbled into the black suspension for 2 min. The suspension was filtered through Celite under an ethylene atmosphere. From the slightly yellow solution crude 1 was crystallized at -78 °C. Recrystallization yielded 3.21 g (7.8 mmol, 43.4%) of **1**; crystallization from the collected organic phases yielded another batch of 2.80 g $(6.6 \text{ mmol}, 36.7\%)$ (purity $>97\%$ 1). Colorless crystals, mp 108 °C (slow gas evolution and decomp. of the colorless liquid); IR (KBr/cm⁻¹): v 1516 (C=C); ¹H NMR (298 K, C₆D₆, 300.13 MHz): δ 3.48 (s + sat, ¹J_{CH} 158 Hz, CH₂; 4H), 1.21 [d + sat, ³J_{PH} 14 Hz, ¹J_{CH} 126.5 Hz, C(CH₃)₃; 18H], 0.21 [s + sat, ¹J_{CH} 117 Hz, Si(CH₃)₃; 18H]; ¹³C NMR (gated decoupling) (298 K, C₆D₆, 75.47 MHz): δ 73.0 (t, ^{1}J _{CH} 158 Hz, ²*J*_{CH} 4 Hz, CH₂), 37.2 [ddez, ¹*J*_{CP} 64 Hz, ²*J*_{CH} 4 Hz, *C*(CH₃)₃], 28.2 [q sept d, ¹J_{CH} 126.5 Hz, ³J_{CH} 5.1 Hz, ²J_{CP} 2.6 Hz, C(*C*H₃)₃], 5.6 $[q'oct' + sat, 1J_{CSi} 57 Hz, 3J_{CH} \approx 2 Hz \approx 3J_{PC}$, Si(CH₃)₃]; 29Si{1H} NMR (DEPT) (298 K, C₆D₆, 59.63 MHz): δ –14.2 (d + sat, ²*J*_{PSi} 14.8 Hz, ¹*J*_{CSi} 57 Hz); ³¹P{¹H} NMR (298 K, C₆D₆, 121.50 MHz): δ 61.2 (s + sat, ¹J_{CP} 64 Hz, ²J_{PSi} 14.8 Hz); CI-MS (%): m/z 764.3 (L₂Cu₂⁺) (77), 687.3 (L₂Cu – $CH_3 + H^+$) (57), 629.3 (L₂Cu⁺ – CH₃ – C₄H₉) (75.1), 411.2 (M + H⁺) $(2.1), 395.2 (M⁺ - CH₃) (16), 383.2 (LCu + H⁺) (35), 321.2 (LH + H⁺)$ (100); elemental analysis for $C_{16}H_{40}N_2CuPSi_2$; calc: C, 46.74; H, 9.80; N, 6.81; P, 7.53; found: C, 46.38; H 10.04; N, 6.89; P, 7.24%.

Spectroscopy of **2**: ¹H NMR (298 K, C₆D₆, 300.13 MHz): δ 4.16 (s + sat, ¹*J_{CH}* 164 Hz, =CH; 2H), 2.96 (br. s, CH, 2H), 1.38 (dt, ²*J_{HH}* 9.5 Hz, ³*J_{HH}* 1.8 Hz, syn-CHH; 1H), 1.24 [d, ³J_{PH} 14 Hz, C(CH₃)₃; 18H], 1.22 (dd, J_{HH} 2 and 13 Hz, exo-CHH; 2H), 0.74 (dd, J_{HH} 2.3 and 7.7 Hz, endo-CHH; 2H), 0.68 (dt, ²*J*_{HH} 9.5 Hz, ³*J*_{HH} 1.4 Hz, *anti*-CH*H*; 1H), 0.36 [s, Si(CH₃)₃; 18H];

 $13C{1H}$ NMR (298 K, C_6D_6 , 75.47 MHz): δ 93.1 (s, =CH), 43.7 (s, CH), 42.9 (s, CH2), 37.7 [d, 1*J*CP 64 Hz, *C*(CH3)3], 28.2 [d, 2*J*CP 2.4 Hz, C(*C*H3)3], 25.5 (s, CH₂CH₂), 5.8 [d, ³J_{PC} 2 Hz, Si(CH₃]₃); ²⁹Si{¹H} NMR (DEPT) (298 K, C₆D₆, 59.63 MHz): δ -14.8 (d, ²J_{PSi} 15 Hz); ³¹P{¹H} NMR (298 K, C₆D₆, 121.50 MHz): δ 60.1 (s + sat, ¹J_{CP} 65 Hz, ²J_{PSi} 15 Hz); VT K^2 ₁³C_{¹H} NMR (toluene-d₈, 75.47 MHz): *C*(CH₃)₃, $T_c = 197.5 \pm 4.0$ K, k_c $= 42 \text{ Hz}; C(CH_3)_3, T_c = 200.5 \pm 4.0 \text{ K}, k_c = 68 \text{ Hz};$ temperature calibration by external MeOH. Addition of norbornene has no effect on the coalescence temperatures, ruling out associative mechanisms for the observed degenerate rearrangement.

‡ Data were collected on a Bruker SMART CCD instrument and the structure solutions were performed using SHELXTL V5.10,17 respectively. Intensities were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects, an empirical absorption correction was applied using SADABS18 based on the Laue symmetry of the reciprocal space.

Crystal data: **1**: $C_{16}H_{40}CuN_2PSi_2$, $M = 411.19$, monoclinic, space group $C2/c$, $a = 11.6400(2)$, $b = 15.9236(3)$, $c = 12.6352(2)$ Å, $\beta = 95.949(1)^\circ$, *V* = 2329.33(7) Å³, *Z* = 4, half a molecule per asymmetric unit, μ = 1.11 mm⁻¹, 8508 reflections measured at -73 °C, 2002 independent reflections $(R_{int} = 0.0239)$, 1679 reflections observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$, final agreement factors for 115 parameters: *R*1 = 0.027, *wR*2 = 0.064 (observed reflections) and $R_1 = 0.037$, $wR_2 = 0.068$ (all data).

2: $C_{21}H_{46}CuN_2PSi_2$, $M = 477.29$, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/n$, $a =$ 10.2429(2), $b = 42.4725(1)$, $c = 18.6134(4)$ \AA , $\beta = 98.442(1)$ °, $V =$ 8009.9(3) Å³, *Z* = 12, three independent molecules per asymmetric unit, μ $= 0.98$ mm⁻¹, 59684 reflections measured at -73 °C, 13850 independent reflections ($R_{int} = 0.1098$), 7346 reflections observed [$I > 2\sigma(I)$], final agreement for 790 parameters: *R*1 = 0.078, *wR*2 = 0.159 (observed reflections), and *R*1 = 0.167, *wR*2 = 0.195 (all data).

CCDC 182/1478. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/1999/2507/ for crystallographic files in .cif format.

- 1 G. Hallnemo, T. Olsson and C. Ullenius, *J. Organomet. Chem.,* 1985, **282**, 133.
- 2 S. Mori and E. Nakamura, *Chem. Eur. J.,* 1999, **5**, 1534 and references therein.
- 3 M. Mar Díaz-Requejo, M. C. Nicasio and P. J. Pérez, *Organometallics*, 1998, **17**, 3051.
- 4 M. Mar Díaz-Requejo, T. Belderrain, M. C. Nicasio, F. Prieto and P. J. Pérez, *Organometallics*, 1999, **18**, 2601.
- 5 G. E. Schaller and A. B. Bleecker, *Science,* 1995, **270**, 1809.
- 6 F. I. Rodríguez, J. J. Esch, A. E. Hall, B. M. Binder, G. E. Schaller and A. B. Bleecker, *Science*, 1999, **283**, 996.
- 7 J. R. Ecker, *Science*, 1995, **268**, 667 and references therein.
- 8 J. S. Thompson, R. L. Harlow and J. F. Whitney, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1983, **105**, 3522.
- 9 M. Munakata, S. Kitagawa, S. Kosome and A. Asahara, *Inorg. Chem.,* 1986, **25**, 2622.
- 10 A. Borg, T. Lindblom and R. Vestin, *Acta. Chem. Scand., Ser. A,* 1975, **29**, 475.
- 11 O. J. Scherer and G. Schieder, *Chem. Ber.,* 1968, **101**, 4184.
- 12 W. Wolfsberger and W. Hager, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.,* 1977, **433**, 247.
- 13 L. S. Bartell, E. A. Roth, C. D. Hollowell, K. Kuchitsu and J. E. Young, Jr., *J. Chem. Phys.,* 1965, **42**, 2683.
- 14 H. Friebolin, *Basic One- and Two-dimensional NMR spectroscopy*, VCH, Cambridge, 1992.
- 15 W. Kläui, B. Lenders, B. Hessner and K. Evertz, *Organometallics,* 1988, **7**, 1357.
- 16 P. Hofmann, H. Heiß and G. Müller, *Z. Naturforsch., Teil B,* 1987, **42**, 395.
- 17 G. M. Sheldrick, Bruker Analytical X-Ray-Division, Madison, WI, 1997.
- 18 G. M. Sheldrick, 1996, unpublished work, based on the method described in: R. H. Blessing, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A*, 1995, **51**, 33.

Communication 9/07928I