# Pseudo-polyrotaxanes based on a protonated version of the 1,2-bis(4,4'-bipyridinium)ethane-24-crown-8 ether motif 
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Protonated 1,2-bis(4,4'-bipyridinium)ethane axles and di-benzo-24-crown-8 ether wheels thread to form [2]pseudorotaxanes which associate in the solid state to form pseudopolyrotaxanes by hydrogen bonding or $\pi$-stacking.

The systematic preparation of solid-state materials which contain mechanical linkages combines the ideas of molecular machinery and crystal engineering and has the potential to produce compounds with tuneable and controllable properties. ${ }^{1-6}$ We have recently begun to investigate the application of our 1,2-bis(bipyridinium)ethane-24-crown-8 pseudorotaxane motif to this concept. In particular, we have shown that 1,2-bis(4,4-bipyridinium)ethane axles, $\mathbf{1}^{2+}$, can be linked by coordination chemistry. 7,8 Herein we report the use of the diprotonated form of this axle, $\mathbf{2}^{4+}$, to form an analogous [2]pseudorotaxane [2/DB24C8] ${ }^{4+}$ which can be extended into polymeric systems via hydrogen bonding and $\pi$-stacking interactions (Scheme 1).
Treatment of $[\mathbf{1}][\mathrm{OTf}]_{2}$ with $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}$ in MeCN led to protonation of the terminal N -atoms and formation of $[2][\mathrm{OTf}]_{4}$ in $82 \%$ yield. Mixing [(2)][OTf $]_{4}$ with 2 equiv. of DB24C8 in MeCN at room temperature resulted in a yellow solution indicative of [2]pseudorotaxane formation. Slow evaporation of this solution deposited an orange-red solid and two sets of different X-ray quality crystals ( $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ ) were isolated. $\dagger$
X-Ray diffraction studies showed 3 to have formulation $\left[(2 / \mathrm{DB} 24 \mathrm{C} 8)\left(\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2} / \mathrm{DB} 24 \mathrm{C} 8\right)\right][\mathrm{OTf}]_{4} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The structure is a one-dimensional polymer in which the two distinct components $[2 / \mathrm{DB} 24 \mathrm{C} 8]^{4+}$, (A) and $\left[\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2} / \mathrm{DB} 24 \mathrm{C} 8\right]$, (B) are hydrogen bonded in a linear $(A-B)_{n}$ pattern. In the (A) unit of this material, the protonated axle, $\mathbf{2}^{4+}$, is threaded through an Sshaped DB24C8 ring to form a [2]pseudorotaxane in exactly the same manner as found for ( $1 / \mathrm{DB} 24 \mathrm{C} 8)^{2+} .{ }^{8}$ In the (B) portion, two water molecules are hydrogen-bonded inside an identical Sshaped DB24C8 molecule. The connection between the two
components is H -bonding between the $\mathrm{NH}^{+}$group of the axle in (A) and the water oxygen atoms in (B). A section of the 1D polyrotaxane showing one $\left[\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2} / \mathrm{DB} 24 \mathrm{C} 8\right]$ (B) unit bridging two [2/DB24C8] ${ }^{4+}$ (A) units is shown in Fig. 1.
X-Ray diffraction studies showed 4 to have formulation $\left[(\mathbf{2} / \mathrm{DB} 24 \mathrm{C} 8)(\mathrm{DB} 24 \mathrm{C} 8)_{2}\right][\mathrm{OTf}]_{4} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Fig. 2). As in 3, the central component is a $[2]$ pseudorotaxane, $[2 / \mathrm{DB} 24 \mathrm{C} 8]^{4+}$, (A) but in this material both terminal $\mathrm{NH}^{+}$groups of the axle are capped by H -bonding into the centre of a DB24C8 molecule (B) which has adopted a rare C-shaped conformation. ${ }^{9-11}$ These [2]rotaxane-like (BAB) units are then arranged in a network by


Fig. 1 A ball-and-stick representation of the X-ray crystal structure of 3 showing the basic numbering scheme. One $\left[\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2} / \mathrm{DB} 24 \mathrm{C} 8\right]$ (B) unit is shown bridging two $[2 / \mathrm{DB} 24 \mathrm{C} 8]^{4+}$ (A) units.
$\pi$-stacking between the electron-rich aromatic groups of the capping DB24C8 units and the electron-poor aromatic rings of the bipyridinium axle.

Figs. 3 and 4 show how these [2]pseudorotaxane components of $\mathbf{3}$ and 4 are arranged in the solid state to form polyrotaxanes and how these 1D polymers are packed. Both materials are organized such that the interpenetrating components of the [2]pseudorotaxane [2/DB24C8] ${ }^{4+}$, which have been extended


Fig. 2 A ball-and-stick representation of the X-ray crystal structure of 4 showing the basic numbering scheme. One [2/DB24C8] ${ }^{2+}$ (A) unit is shown capped by two DB24C8 (B) units.


Fig. 3 A space-filling model of $\mathbf{3}$ showing the hydrogen-bonded pyridinium axles (blue) with alternating penetrated (red) and capping (green) molecules of DB24C8; triflate anions (yellow) are shown in layers between the strands of polyrotaxane.


Fig. 4 A space-filling model of 4 showing the hydrogen-bonded pyridinium axles (blue) with alternating penetrated (red) and capping (green) molecules of DB24C8; triflate anions (yellow) are shown in layers between the strands of polyrotaxane.
through non-covalent bonding ( H -bonding for 3 and $\pi$-stacking for 4), are aligned in alternating rows with the anions.
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## Notes and references

$\dagger$ Crystal data, 3: $\mathrm{C}_{74} \mathrm{H}_{98} \mathrm{~F}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{34} \mathrm{~S}_{4}, M=1943.8$, monoclinic, space group $P 2_{1} / c, a=17.322(3), b=20.385(3), c=12.300(2) \AA, \beta=$ $92.332(3)^{\circ}, U=4341.9(12) \AA^{3}, T=293(2) \mathrm{K}, Z=2, \mu=0.223 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$, 4649 independent reflections $\left(R_{\mathrm{int}}=0.0590\right) . R 1=0.1163, w R 1=0.1815$,, (2497 reflections, $I>2 \sigma I), R 2=0.2865, w R 2=0.3337$, (all data), Goodness-of-fit $(F 2)=1.050$. 4: $\mathrm{C}_{98} \mathrm{H}_{126} \mathrm{~F}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{40} \mathrm{~S}_{4}, M=2356.3$, triclinic, space group $P \overline{1}, a=11.653(4), b=14.953(5), c=17.266(6) \AA$, $\alpha=98.355(7), \beta=93.107(6), \gamma=110.818(7)^{\circ}, U=2764.2(17) \mathrm{A}^{3}, T=$ 293(2) K, $Z=1, \mu=0.193 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}, 7890$ independent reflections ( $R_{\mathrm{int}}=$ 0.0229 ). $R 1=0.0873, w R 1=0.1350$, (4361 reflections, $I>2 \sigma I), R 2=$ $0.2482, w R 2=0.2867$, (all data), Goodness-of-fit $(F 2)=1.019$. Data were collected on a Bruker APEX CCD instrument and solutions performed using the SHELXTL 5.03 Program Library, Siemens Analytical Instrument Division, Madison, WI, USA, 1997. CCDC 181512 and 181513. See http:/ /www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/b2/b201664h/ for crystallographic files in .cif or other electronic format. It was estimated the isolated solid comprised $\mathbf{3}$ and 4 in a $9: 1$ ratio.
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