A π -stacked 1,2,3-dithiazolyl radical. Preparation and solid state characterization of (Cl₂C₃NS)(ClC₂NS₂)

Leanne Beer,^{*a*} A. Wallace Cordes,^{*b*} Robert C. Haddon,^{*c*} Mikhail E. Itkis,^{*c*} Richard T. Oakley,^{**a*} Robert W. Reed^{*a*} and Craig M. Robertson^{*a*}

^a Department of Chemistry, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2L 3G1. E-mail: oakley@sciborg.uwaterloo.ca

^b Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, Arkansas 72701, USA

^c Departments of Chemistry and Environmental Engineering, University of California, Riverside, California 92521, USA

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 21st May 2002, Accepted 8th July 2002 First published as an Advance Article on the web 25th July 2002

Crystals of (Cl₂C₃NS)(ClC₂NS₂), an isothiazolyl-substituted 1,2,3-dithiazolyl radical, consist of evenly spaced, slipped π -stacks; magnetic and conductivity measurements indicate the material is a Mott insulator with $\sigma_{\rm RT} = 2 \times 10^{-7}$ S cm⁻¹.

In our pursuit of conductive molecular materials based on neutral heterocyclic radicals we have recently focused on derivatives of the 1,2,3-dithiazolyl framework **1**. While such radicals have been known for many years,¹ their isolation and solid state characterization have proven difficult. Heavy spin density at the 5-position (resonance form **1b**) favours dimerization at carbon² and while association at the 5-position can be inhibited by the use of sterically bulky substituents, as in **2**,³ or by adding 4,5-fused rings, as in **3**,⁴ such modifications have to date only altered the mode of dimerization rather than preventing it. We now report the preparation of the 5-isothiazolyl derivative **4**, the first example of an unassociated 1,2,3-dithiazolyl.



The most common synthetic route to monocyclic 1,2,3-dithiazoles involves the Appel cyclization⁵ of an aliphatic nitrile RCH₂CN with S₂Cl₂. While exploring the behaviour of α, ω dinitriles under similar conditions we have discovered that glutaronitrile NC(CH₂)₃CN (3.0 g, 31.7 mmol) reacts with S_2Cl_2 (25 mL) and Bu_4^nNCl (0.5 g) in 30 mL CH₂Cl₂ to afford the chloride salt of the isothiazolyl-dithiazolylium cation 4+ (Scheme 1) as a green microcrystalline precipitate (8.10 g, 24.8 mmol, 78%). Canary yellow crystals, mp 147-49 °C, of the corresponding tetrachlorogallate salt [4][GaCl₄] can be prepared by treatment of [4][Cl] with gallium trichloride in SO₂(l). Subsequent reduction of [4][GaCl₄] (1.00 g, 1.9 mmol) with Cp*₂Fe (0.62 g, 1.9 mmol) in 15 mL degassed CH₃CN then affords 4 (0.50 g, 1.7 mmol, 86%) as a green precipitate. Lustrous green/black needles of 4, dec. >90 °C, were grown from degassed chloroform.[†]

Green/brown solutions of **4** in CH₂Cl₂ exhibit a strong and persistent EPR signal characteristic of a 1,2,3-dithiazolyl radical.⁶ In addition to the expected triplet ($a_N = 0.498$ mT) arising from hyperfine coupling to the dithiazole nitrogen, the



spectrum (Fig. 1) also displays appreciable ($a_{\rm N} = 0.135$ mT) coupling to the isothiazolyl nitrogen, as well as smaller coupling to two of the three chlorines present in the molecule. This is indicative of substantial spin delocalization away from the dithiazolyl ring. Spin delocalization is also reflected in the electrochemical behaviour of **4**. Cyclic voltammetry on solutions of [**4**][GaCl₄] in MeCN (Pt electrodes, Buⁿ₄NPF₆ supporting electrolyte) reveals a reversible reduction wave (**4**^{+/0}) with $E_{V_2} = 0.565$ V (*vs.* SCE) and an irreversible reduction (**4**^{0/-1}) with $E_{\rm pc} = -0.389$ V (*vs.* SCE). While the appearance of these waves is typical of a 1,2,3-dithiazolyl, the separation $E_{\rm pc}(\mathbf{4}^{4/0}) - E_{\rm pc}(\mathbf{4}^{0/-1}) = 0.935$ V is substantially less than, for example, that seen for **2** (1.5 V), and even smaller than that observed for the tricyclic system **3** (0.99 V).

The EPR and CV data above suggest improved solid state charge transfer characteristics for 4, *i.e.*, a low on-site coulomb repulsion parameter U for 4.⁷ Density functional calculations (B3LYP/6-31G** with Cs geometry optimisation) on the triad of oxidation states available to 4 (cation, radical and anion) provide estimates (\triangle SCF) of its ionisation potential (IP) and electron affinity (EA) which echo the electrochemical results. Thus, the calculated disproportionation enthalpy $\triangle H_{disp} = IP$



Fig. 1 EPR spectrum (293 K, CH₂Cl₂, SW = 3 mT, g = 2.00875) of radical 4 (above) and simulation (below). Hyperfine coupling constants are $a_{\rm N} = 0.498$ and 0.135, $a_{\rm Cl} = 0.066/0.054$ (^{35/37}Cl), 0.052/0.042 (^{35/37}Cl) mT, lw = 0.058 mT, L/G = 0.6.

10.1039/b204855h

ЫÖ

- EA = 7.08 - 2.11 = 4.97 eV is much lower than that of the prototypal 1,2,3-dithiazolyl **1** (6.67 eV), and even smaller than that found for the tricyclic compound **3** (5.32 eV).^{8,9}

The crystal structures of both 4 and [4][GaCl₄] have been determined.[‡] Crystals of [4][GaCl₄] contain discrete 4⁺ cations (planar to within 0.24 Å) and GaCl₄⁻ anions, with no short intercation contacts. Crystals of 4 consist of planar (to within 0.03 Å) undimerized radicals aligned in a slipped π -stack arrangement running parallel to the *x* axis. Fig. 2 illustrates the packing in the *yz* plane, and Fig. 3 shows the slipped π -stacks. The mean interplanar separation is 3.488 Å.



Fig. 2 Unit cell diagram of 4, viewed perpendicular to the yz plane.



Fig. 3 Slipped π -stacks of 4, running parallel to the *x* axis.

Comparison of the intramolecular features§ of the cation and radical reveal the expected lengthening of the S–S, S–N, S–C and N–C linkages occasioned by addition of an electron to the antibonding LUMO of the cation (SOMO of the radical).¹⁰ There are no S…S intermolecular interactions between the radicals that are inside the normal van der Waals contact of 3.6 Å.¹¹ The closest S…S interactions outside this range are the head-to-head contact d_1 (3.843(3) Å), the head-to-tail contact d_2 (3.626(2) Å), and the π -stacking contact d_3 (3.707(2) Å).

Variable temperature magnetic susceptibility measurements on **4** indicate a Curie–Weiss temperature dependence for χ above 100 K (Fig. 4), with derived values of $\chi_0 = -144.5 \times 10^{-6}$ emu mol⁻¹, C = 0.345 and $\Theta = -45$ K.¹² The Curie spin count is near 0.92 at 300 K, as expected for a $S = \frac{1}{2}$ paramagnet, but drops rapidly below 100 K, indicative of strong intermolecular antiferromagnetic interactions. There is, however, no indication down to 5 K of a phase transition to a dimerized (and diamagnetic) state.

A single crystal conductivity measurement on **4** reveals a room temperature conductivity $\sigma_{\text{RT}} = 2 \times 10^{-7}$ S cm⁻¹. Presumably, intermolecular overlap along the π -stacks is insufficient to offset the magnitude of U, and the material thus remains a Mott insulator. The conductivity of **4** is nonetheless much better than that seen for π -stacked dithiadiazolyls.

We thank the NSERCC, DOE (grant number DE-FG02-97ER45668) and the State of Arkansas for financial support.



Fig. 4 Magnetic susceptibility $\chi vs T$ for 4 (above) and number of Curie spins vs T (below).

We acknowledge NSERCC for a post-graduate scholarship to LB.

Notes and references

† Satisfactory chemical analyses were obtained for **4** and **[4]**[GaCl₄]. The IUPAC name for **4** is 4-chloro-5-(3,4-dichloroisothiazol-5-yl)-1,2,3-dithia-zol-3-yl.

‡ *Crystal data*: X-ray data were collected at 293 K on a Rigaku Mercury CCD diffractometer with graphite monochromated MoKα radiation ($\lambda = 0.7107$ Å) using ω scans. The structures were solved and refined by full matrix least-squares analysis which minimized $\Sigma w(\Delta F)^2$. Compound [4][GaCl₄]: C₅N₂S₃Cl₇Ga, M = 502.12, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/n$, with a = 7.8222(8), b = 14.0812(14), c = 14.4301(15) Å, $\beta = 98.882(5)^\circ$, V = 1570.4(3) Å³, Z = 4, $D_c = 2.124$ g cm⁻³, $\mu = 3.321$ mm⁻¹. 164 parameters were refined using 2907 (all) unique reflections to give R = 0.051 and $R_w = 0.170$. Compound 4: C₅N₂S₃Cl₃, M = 290.60, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/c$, with a = 3.8833(6), b = 17.557(3), c = 13.668(2) Å, $\beta = 93.428(9)^\circ$, V = 930.2(3) Å³, Z = 4, $D_c = 2.075$ g cm⁻³, $\mu = 1.603$ mm⁻¹. 118 parameters were refined using 1737 (all) unique reflections to give R = 0.068 and $R_w = 0.102$. CCDC 186279–186280. See http:// www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/b2/b204855h/ for crystallographic files in .cif or other electronic format.

 $\$ Summary of intramolecular distances in the C_2NS_2 rings. Compound [4][GaCl_4]: S–S, 2.0283(16); S–N, 1.593(4); N–C, 1.303(7); S–C, 1.689(5) Å. Compound 4: S–S, 2.0862(18); S–N, 1.641(4); N–C, 1.298(6); S–C, 1.748(4) Å.

- T. Torroba, J. Prakt. Chem., 1999, 341, 99; K. Kim, Sulfur Rep., 1998, 21, 147; A. J. Banister and J. M. Rawson, Adv. Heterocycl. Chem., 1995, 62, 137; J. M. Rawson and G. D. McManus, Coord. Chem. Rev., 1999, 189, 135.
- 2 T. M. Barclay, L. Beer, A. W. Cordes, R. C. Haddon, M. E. Itkis, R. T. Oakley, K. E. Preuss and R. W. Reed, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1999, **121**, 6657.
- 3 T. M. Barclay, L. Beer, A. W. Cordes, R. T. Oakley, K. E. Preuss, N. J. Taylor and R. W. Reed, *Chem. Commun.*, 1999, 531.
- 4 T. M. Barclay, A. W. Cordes, R. C. Haddon, M. E. Itkis, R. T. Oakley, R. W. Reed and H. Zhang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1999, **121**, 969.
- 5 R. Appel, H. Janssen, M. Siray and F. Knoch, *Chem. Ber.*, 1985, **118**, 1632.
- 6 K. F. Preston and L. H. Sutcliffe, Magn. Reson. Chem., 1990, 28, 89.
- 7 R. T. Boeré and T. L. Roemmele, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2000, 210, 369
- 8 A. W. Cordes, J. R. Mingie, R. T. Oakley, R. W. Reed and H. Zhang, *Can. J. Chem.*, 2001, **79**, 1352.
- 9 P. Kaszynski, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2001, 105, 7626.
- 10 T. M. Barclay, A. W. Cordes, N. A. George, R. C. Haddon, M. E. Itkis, M. S. Mashuta, R. T. Oakley, G. W. Patenaude, R. W. Reed, J. F. Richardson and H. Zhang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1998, 120, 352.
- 11 A. Bondi, J. Phys. Chem., 1964, 68, 441.
- 12 R. L. Carlin, Magnetochemistry, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.