## Cycloaddition of cyclohexa-2,4-dienones with electron deficient $2\pi$ partners: a novel and stereoselective route to functionalised bicyclo[2.2.2]octenones

Vishwakarma Singh,\*a Shantanu Pala and Shaikh M. Mobinb

<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay, Mumbai 400 076, India. E-mail: vks@ether.chem.iitb.ac.in

<sup>b</sup> National Single Crystal X-ray Diffraction Facility, Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay, Mumbai 400 076, India

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 6th June 2002, Accepted 2nd August 2002 First published as an Advance Article on the web 15th August 2002

A novel route to functionalised bicyclo[2.2.2]octenones *via*  $\pi^{4s} + \pi^{2s}$  cycloaddition of cyclohexa-2,4-dienones with electron deficient  $2\pi$  partners, and crystal structure of 1,7-dimethyl-5-hydroxy-5-chloromethyl-2-carboethoxy bicyclo[2.2.2]oct-7-ene-6-one, is reported.

Functionalised bicyclo[2.2.2] octenones of type 1 and congeners have proved to be versatile precursors in organic synthesis since these systems undergo a variety of reactions in both ground and excited states.<sup>1–3</sup> In general, such bicyclic systems are prepared in several steps via Diels-Alder reaction of a cyclic 1.3-diene and a ketene equivalent followed by manipulation of the resulting adduct.<sup>4</sup> Recently, some other methods involving double Michael addition, 5a, c Michael addition followed by reductive amination5b and homoallyl-homoallyl radical rearrangement,6 have also been developed. However, these methods have several limitations with regard to introduction of functional groups and substituents on the bicyclo[2.2.2]octane frame and often give a mixture of regioisomers. In view of the above, and our interest in the chemistry of cyclohexa-2,4-dienones,7 we examined cycloaddition between cyclohexa-2,4-dienones of type 3 and 4 (Fig. 1) with electron deficient dienophiles in order to develop a versatile and general route to highly functionalised and substituted bicyclo[2.2.2]octenones of type 2, and wish to report our exploratory results herein.

Thus, the chlorohydroxy dimer **5a**, readily prepared from 3,5-dimethylsalicyl alcohol following an analogous procedure,<sup>8</sup> was heated with ethyl acrylate in a sealed tube at ~140 °C for 8 h. Chromatography of the product mixture furnished a crystalline adduct in excellent yield (96%). The spectral features of adduct clearly suggested its gross structure. However, it was difficult to distinguish between the two regioisomers **6a** and **7a**, on the basis of spectral data alone. Hence, a single crystal X-ray structure of adduct was determined which clearly established the structure of adduct as **6a** (Fig. 2). Similar pyrolysis of the dimers **5b**,**c** in the presence of ethyl acrylate gave the adducts **6b**,**c** (Scheme 1) whose structures were deduced on the basis of their spectral data, COSY analysis and comparison with the spectral features of **6a**.†

ĊOOR



**2050** *CHEM. COMMUN.*, 2002, 2050–2051

DOI: 10.1039/b205475m

In order to test the generality and scope of this cycloaddition, reaction of the dimers 5a-c with methyl methacrylate was also examined. Thus, pyrolysis of 5a-c with methyl methacrylate furnished *endo* adducts **8a–c** in major amounts along with the minor amounts of *exo* isomers **9a–c** respectively, in excellent yields (Scheme 2). However, pyrolysis of **5a** in the presence of acrolein and acrylonitrile did not give any adduct. The structures of all adducts were clearly revealed from their spectral data and comparison with the spectral features of the adduct **6a–c**.

The efficiency and ease of aforementioned cycloadditions is remarkable especially since electron deficient systems such as cyclohexa-2,4-dienones and *o*-benzoquinone ketals generally react with electron rich  $\pi$  partners in inverse demand fashion.<sup>7,9,10</sup> Further, the regioselectivity observed in the above



Fig. 2 ORTEP diagram of compound 6a.



This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2002



cycloaddition seems to be unusual since the carbon bearing polar electron withdrawing group in the dienophile is bonded to C<sub>2</sub> of cyclohexa-2,4-dienones. It may be mentioned that similar regioselectivity is also observed during cycloaddition of cyclohexa-2,4-dienones even with electron rich dienophiles such as vinyl ethers wherein the carbon having polar electron donating group forms bond with C<sub>2</sub> carbon of cyclohexa-2,4-dienone.<sup>9-11</sup>

At this juncture, it was intriguing to examine whether the spiroepoxycyclohexa-2,4-dienones of type **4** can be intercepted with ethyl acrylate to give the corresponding adducts especially since spiroepoxycyclohexadienones have a fleeting existence and readily dimerise. Thus, a solution of 3,5-dimethylsalicyl alcohol **10a** in aqueous acetonitrile containing ethyl acrylate was oxidized with NaIO<sub>4</sub>. It was indeed surprising to obtain the adduct **11a** in reasonably good yield (35%), in a regio- and stereoselective fashion. While, similar treatment of salicyl alcohol in the presence of ethyl acrylate gave the adduct **11b** in poor yield (6%), oxidation of *o*-vanilyl alcohol **10c** having an electron donating group, gave the adduct **11c** in good yield (46%) (Scheme 3). The structures of **11a–c** were deduced from their spectral data and comparison with spectral features of other adducts.



Scheme 3

In summary, we have described a novel, versatile and stereoselective route to functionalised bicyclo[2.2.2]octenones which are not easily available otherwise. The method is flexible, readily adaptable and permits introduction of various substituents on the bicyclo[2.2.2]octane framework.

We are thankful to RSIC for the mass spectra. Continued financial support from CSIR New Delhi is gratefully acknowledged. Thanks are due to DST for creating a National Single Crystal X-ray Diffraction facility.

## Notes and references

† All the compounds gave satisfactory spectral and analytical data. Selected data for **6a**:  $v_{\text{max}/\text{cm}^{-1}}$ : 1719, 3387.  $\delta_{\text{H}}$  (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 5.45(brs, 1H), 4.10 (m, 2H, OCH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.70(part of AB system,  $J_{\text{AB}}$ 12,

1H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>-Cl), 3.45(part of an AB system,  $J_{AB}$ 12, 1H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>-Cl), 3.07 (br m, 1H), 2.77–2.73 (m, 2H), 2.50 (m, 1H), 1.98 (d, J 1.5, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.69(dd,  $J_1$  13,  $J_2$  6,  $J_3$  2.8, 1H), 1.25 (t partly overlapped with s, J 7.12, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.23 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>).  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 207.89, 173.19, 144.91, 123.55, 73.19, 60.73, 50.33, 49.91, 45.19, 44.20, 26.23, 20.78, 15.32,14.17 (14 Carbons). Analysis: Found C, 59.07; H, 6.76% Calc. C, 58.63; H, 6.6% for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>19</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Cl.

Crystal data:  $C_{14}H_{19}Cl_1O_4$ , M = 286.74, orthorhombic, *Pbca*, Z = 8,  $\lambda$  = 0.70930 Å, a = 10.3270(12), b = 14.2240(14), c = 20.1930(15) Å, U = 2966.2(5) Å<sup>3</sup>, T = 293(2) K, Dc = 1.284 Mg m<sup>-3</sup>,  $\mu = 0.265$  mm<sup>-1</sup>, F(000) = 1216, size = 0.40 × 0.40 × 0.35 mm, Reflections collected/unique = 2046/2046 [*R*(int) = 0.0000], Final *R* indices [*I* > 2sigma(*I*)] :  $R_1 = 0.0474$ ,  $wR_2 = 0.1195$ , *R* indices (all data) :  $R_1 = 0.0619$ ,  $wR_2 = 0.1219$ . CCDC 188197. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/b2/b205475m/ for crystallographic files in .cif or other electronic format.

Selected data for **8b**:  $v_{max}/cm^{-1}$  1719, 3445.  $\delta_{H}$  (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 6.44 (superimposed dd with structure, *J* 7.2, 1H), 6.21 (superimposed dd with structure, *J* 7.2, 1H), 3.65 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.61(part of an AB system, *J*<sub>AB</sub> 12, 1H, -CH<sub>2</sub>Cl), 3.50 (part of an AB system, *J*<sub>AB</sub> 12 Hz, 1H, -CH<sub>2</sub>Cl), 3.41 (dd, *J*<sub>1</sub> 6, *J*<sub>2</sub> 1.2, 1H), 3.13 (m, 1H), 2.74 (s, 1H), 2.28 (d of AB system, *J*<sub>AB</sub> 12.8, *J*<sub>2</sub>3.3, 1H), 2.06 (d of an AB system, *J*<sub>AB</sub> 12.8, *J*<sub>2</sub> 2.4, 1H), 1.26 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>).  $\delta_{C}$  (75 MHz): 208.39, 176.21, 135.82, 129.20, 73.63, 55.83, 52.49, 51.08, 47.81, 39.50, 30.34, 25.32 (12 Carbons). Analysis: Found C, 55.89; H, 5.67% Calc. C, 55.70; H, 5.80% for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>15</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Cl.

Selected data for **11c**: mp. 67–69 °C.  $v_{max}/cm^{-1}$ : 1741.  $\delta_{H}$  (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 6.52(superimposed dd, *J* 7.8, 1H), 6.27(d, *J* 7.8, 1H), 4.14(m, 2H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.58(s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.24 (dd,  $J_1$  9.9,  $J_2$  5.7, 1H), 3.15(part of an AB system,  $J_{AB}$  6.3, 1H, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 2.81( part of an AB system,  $J_{AB}$  6.3, 1H, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 2.81( part of an AB system,  $J_{AB}$  6.3, 1H, OCH<sub>2</sub>-), 2.56 (m, 1H), 2.44 (superimposed dd, *J* 12.5, 1H), 1.90(d, *J* 12.5, 1H), 1.27 (t, *J* 7, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>).  $\delta_{C}$  (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 201.23, 171.80, 132.01, 129.64, 85.80, 60.83, 57.11, 53.97, 52.87, 42.70, 37.83, 28.82, 14.31. Mass (*m*/z): 152 (*M*<sup>+</sup> – 100, it undergoes retro Diels–Alder reaction).

- (a) M. Toyota, M. Yokota and M. Ihara, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, 123, 1856–1861; (b) A. Srikrishna and S. J. Gharpure, J. Org. Chem., 2001, 66, 4379–4385; (c) Y.-K. Chen, R. K. Peddinti and C. C. Liao, Chem. Commun., 2001, 1340–1341; (d) P. J. Biju, K. Kalliappan, M. S. Laxmisha and G. S. R. Subba Rao, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 2000, 3714–3718; (e) P. Forgion, P. D. Wilson, G. P. A. Yap and A. G. Fallis, Synthesis, 2000, 921–924.
- 2 (a) Y. Hirai, T. Suga and H. Nagaoka, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1997, **38**, 4997–5000; (b) S. F. Martin, J. M. Assercq, R. E. Austin, A. P. Dantanarayana, J. R. Fishpaugh, C. Gluchoski, D. E. Guinn, M. Hartmann, T. Tanaka, R. Wagner and J. B. White, *Tetrahedron*, 1995, **51**, 3455–3482.
- 3 (a) D. Armesto and H. E. Zimmerman, *Chem. Rev.*, 1996, **96**, 3065–3112; (b) M. Demuth, in *Organic Photochemistry*, ed. A. Padwa, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1991, vol.11, pp. 37–97.
- 4 (a) M. G. Banwell, P. Darmos, M. D. McLeod and D. C. R. Hockless, Synlett, 1998, 897–899; (b) S. Ranganathan, D. Ranganathan and A. K. Mehrotra, Synthesis, 1977, 289–296.
- 5 (a) H. Hagiwara, Y. Yamada, H. Sakai, T. Suzuki and M. Ando, *Tetrahedron*, 1998, **54**, 10999–11010; (b) S. V. Ley, D. M. Mynett and W. J. Koot, *Synlett*, 1995, 1017–1020; (c) L. B. White and W. Reusch, *Tetrahedron*, 1978, **24**, 2439–2443.
- 6 M. Toyota, M. Yokota and M. Ihara, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1999, 40, 1551–1554.
- 7 (a) V. Singh, Acc. Chem. Res, 1999, **32**, 324–334; (b) V. Singh, P. Vedantham and P. K. Sahu, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2002, **43**, 519–522.
- 8 (a) E. Adler and K. Holmberg, *Acta Chem. Scand.*, 1974, 28B, 465–472;
  (b) V. Singh and A. V. Bedekar, *Synth. Commun.*, 1989, 19, 107–117.
- 9 V. Bonnarme, C. Bachmann, A. Cousson, M. Mondon and J. P. Gesson, *Tetrahedron*, 1999, **55**, 433–448.
- 10 S.-Y. Gao, S. Ko, Y.-L. Lin, R. K. Peddinti and C.-C. Liao, *Tetrahedron*, 2001, 57, 297–308.
- 11 For oxidation of 3(2hydroxymethylpropionic acid) and cycloaddition of the resulting species: I. Drutu, J. T. Najardson and J. L. Wood, Org. Lett., 2002, 4, 493–496.