Rapid hydrolytic cleavage of the mRNA model compound HPNP by glycine based macrocyclic lanthanide ribonuclease mimics[†]

Thorfinnur Gunnlaugsson,^{*a} R. Jeremy H. Davies,^b Mark Nieuwenhuyzen,^c Clarke S. Stevenson,^b Romain Viguier^a and Sinead Mulready^a

^a Department of Chemistry, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin 2, Ireland. E-mail: gunnlaut@tcd.ie; Fax: 00 353 1 671 2826; Tel: 00 353 1 608 3459

^b School of Biology and Biochemistry, Queen's University of Belfast, Belfast, Northern Ireland, UK BT9 7BL ^c School of Chemistry, Queen's University of Belfast, Belfast, Northern Ireland, UK BT9 5AG

School of Chemistry, Queen's Oniversity of Deijusi, Deijusi, Normern Treuna, OK Di

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 6th June 2002, Accepted 5th August 2002 First published as an Advance Article on the web 22nd August 2002

The lanthanide ion based macrocyclic complexes 1·Ln mimic the hydrophobic nature of ribonucleases, where the lanthanide ions induce the formation of a hydrophobic cavity for 1, giving rise to a large order of magnitude enhancement in the hydrolytic cleavage of HPNP.

Currently, there is a great interest in the development of robust catalytic systems that can effectively mimic important enzymatic reactions under physiological conditions.1 The development of such catalysts with the aim of achieving fast and siteselective hydrolytic cleavage of the phosphate ester bonds of RNA over DNA is of particular interest.^{1,2} This is of prime importance for the development of novel antisense drugs,³ ribonuclease and ribozyme mimics and gene technology. Ribonucleases often possess one or more metal ion centers at their active site,¹ which in combination with basic amino acids such as histidine and lysine accelerate their reactions dramatically. This has encouraged the development of synthetic ribonucleases where transition⁴ and lanthanide metal ion complexes have been employed.^{1,2,3,5} Such complexes accelerate the rate of hydrolysis via Lewis acid or nucleophilic activation, often through the synergic action of several metal centres.^{2,4,5} However, the use of cofactors such as amino acids, in conjunction with these metal centres has been less explored.6 We are interested in developing physiologically stable ribonuclease mimics based on the use of 1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane (cyclen) derived lanthanide complexes where the cofactors are integrated covalently into the cyclen framework as pendant arms.7 Herein we discuss the synthesis, physical characteristics, and cleavage evaluation towards the RNA model compound 2-hydroxypropyl p-nitrophenyl phosphate (HPNP) of 1-Ln, which incorporates rather simple pseudo 'GlyGly' cofactors, and show that they give rise to a remarkable enhancement in the rate of hydrolysis of HPNP.

Inspired by the work of Morrow and coworkers who used a La(III) complex to hydrolyse HPNP with $k = 5.8 \times 10^{-2} h^{-1}$,⁸ and from our recent investigations into the use of amide based cyclen lanthanide complexes as luminescent switches and sensors,⁹ we developed **1** and its lanthanide ion complexes



† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: full experimental details, Fig. S1–S6. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/b2/b205349g/

1.Ln, as ribonuclease mimics. We predicted that the tetraamidederived cyclen complex, with its concave structure and central lanthanide ion, would be an ideal synthetic platform for the integration of amino acid cofactors. The ligand, 1, was synthesized in a two step synthesis in 68% overall yield (See ESI[†]). \ddagger The ¹H NMR in CDCl₃ indicated C₄ symmetry with only five resonances being observed. The corresponding cationic lanthanide complexes 1.La, 1.Ce, 1.Pr, 1.Nd, 1.Eu, 1.Gd, 1.Tb and 1.Lu were formed by reacting an equimolar amount of 1 with the appropriate $Ln(SO_3CF_3)_3$ salt in refluxing dry CH₃CN or MeOH. We were able to grow crystals of 1·Eu $(1 \cdot EuK_2(CF_3SO_3)_5)$, the K⁺ is bonding to the glycine ester, ESI[†]) from methanol-CHCl₃ suitable for crystallographic investigation (See ESI for structure[†]).§ ¹⁰ This showed the Eu³⁺ ion placed in the centre of the cavity, coordinated by the four nitrogens of cyclen and the oxygens of the carboxyamides (average N...Eu and O...Eu bond lengths were 2.661(4) and 2.369(3) Å, respectively). A single triflate molecule occupies the ninth coordination site, giving an overall monocapped square antiprism (CSAP) geometry,¹¹ with enantiomeric conformation for the ring NC-CN as $\delta\delta\delta\delta$, and the pendant arms arranged in an anticlockwise, Λ fashion.¹¹ Significant to our design, the four GlyGly moieties (one of which has its amino terminus as a part of the macrocyclic ring) form the walls of a cavity. Importantly, ¹H NMR in CD₃OD indicated that the CSAP geometry was also the major isomer (>95%) in solution.11

All HPNP cleavage studies were carried out at 37 °C and at pH 7.4 in 50 mM HEPES buffer (in 96:4 H_2O –MeOH) with an equimolar amount of catalyst (0.173 mM). The HPNP hydrolysis was monitored by the appearance of a new absorption band at 400 nm, corresponding to the formation of the p-nitrophenolate anion (Scheme 1). The cleavage of HPNP by 1.Ln was in all cases much faster than anticipated, given the fact that glycine lacks the extra cooperative sites found in basic amino acids such as lysine and histidine. Moreover, since the glycine esters extend the size of the cavity, it might have been expected to inhibit the approach of the complex. For 1.La the rate of hydrolysis was found to display pseudo first order kinetics with k = 0.41 h⁻¹ and $\tau_{1/2}$ of 1.7 h, Table 1. This is a remarkable 3400-fold rate enhancement (k_{obs}) compared to the uncatalyzed reaction. Moreover, this is seven times faster than the kinetics observed for Morrow's La3+ complex. Table 1 summarizes these results for all the 1.Ln complexes. It is particularly important to note that 1.Eu shows a significant rate enhancement with k of 0.15 h⁻¹, and $k_{obs} = 1250$. In fact, all the complexes showed remarkable cleavage ability and a clear trend emerges from Table 1: the larger ions are more potent than the smaller. This can in part be explained by higher coordination



Scheme 1 The mechanism for the hydrolytic cleavage of HPNP.

Table 1 Results of the hydrolysis of HPNP using Ln·1

Complex ^a	k/h ^{-1bcg}	$ au_{rac{1}{2}}/h$	$k_{\rm obs}{}^d$
1·La ^e	0.41	1.69	3417
1·Ce	0.37	1.87	3083
1·Pr	0.30	2.31	2500
1·Nd	0.20	3.46	1667
1·Eu ^f	0.15	4.62	1250
1·Ga	0.12	5.77	1000
1·Tb ^f	0.0935	7.41	779
1·Lu	0.072	9.63	600
	Complex ^a 1·La ^e 1·Ce 1·Pr 1·Nd 1·Eu ^f 1·Ga 1·Tb ^f 1·Lu	Complex ^a k/h^{-1bcg} $1 \cdot La^e$ 0.41 $1 \cdot Ce$ 0.37 $1 \cdot Pr$ 0.30 $1 \cdot Nd$ 0.20 $1 \cdot Eu^f$ 0.15 $1 \cdot Ga$ 0.12 $1 \cdot Tb^f$ 0.0935 $1 \cdot Lu$ 0.072	Complex ^a k/h^{-1bcg} $\tau_{y'_2}/h$ 1·La ^e 0.41 1.69 1·Ce 0.37 1.87 1·Pr 0.30 2.31 1·Nd 0.20 3.46 1·Eu ^f 0.15 4.62 1·Ga 0.12 5.77 1·Tb ^f 0.0935 7.41 1·Lu 0.072 9.63

^a Measured using an Agilent 8453 spectrophotometer fitted to circulating temperature controlled water bath, and water driven mechanical stirring, in 50 mM HEPES buffer, at pH 7.4 and at 37 °C. ^b Average over three measurement and three half-lifetimes. c k values were determined by fitting the data to first order rate kinetics using Biochemical Analysis Software for Agilent ChemStation. Errors are within ±10%. d These enhancement factors are obtained from the ratio of the catalyst vs. the uncatalysed reaction using $k_{\text{ucat}} = 0.00012 \text{ h}^{-1}$ (R. Breslow and D-L Huang, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* USA, 1991, 88, 4080). ^e The 1·La complex did not cleave the DNA model compound bis(p-nitrophenyl) phosphate (BNPP) over 24 h. f We were unable to determine the hydration number q accurately. g 20% EDTA affected the rate of hydrolysis slightly but we believe that this is due to binding of one or more of the EDTA carboxylic acids to the metal ion complex rather than metal extraction. 1.Eu and 1.Tb were stable to competitive Cu(II) (sulfate) exchange in water over a week at pH 6.5 (measured by UV/VIS). We are currently investigating the stability of the other complexes.

number requirements for the larger ions that are fulfilled by the additional water molecules,^{7,11} which are important for both inner and outer sphere catalytic activation modes.^{1,2} In contrast, a Cu(II) complex of 1 was found to be inactive, *i.e.* no measurable cleavage of HPNP was observed over 24 h. Potentiometric pH titration for 1·La revealed that ca. 1.5-2 base equivalents were needed to deprotonate the water molecules of **1·La**. We estimated these pK_{as} to be *ca*. 8.2 and 8.5, respectively, but we were unable to determine them accurately (see ESI[†]) even when the titrations were repeated at different concentrations. In contrast, a single pK_a of 7.38 was measured very accurately for 1.Eu. For 1.La, we predict that one of the two water molecules can be rapidly displaced upon binding to the phosphate ester, revealing extra binding sites over that of 1-Eu. The second water molecule (or bound hydroxide) is then able to carry out a nucleophilic reaction on the phosphodiester.^{2,4} Preliminary ³¹P NMR binding studies in buffered H₂O 1·Eu diethylphosphate using and 1.La. and $[(CH_3CH_2O)_2PO_2^{-}]$ (DEP), which lacks the 2-hydroxy group, showed that 1.Eu binds more strongly to DEP than 1.La which needed 30 equivalents of DEP vs. ca. one for 1-Eu to obtain saturation for the ³¹P signal. We predict that similar binding preferences can be expected for HPNP.

It is remarkable that 1.Ln shows such a high rate enhancement despite the fact that the Lewis acid centre is more shielded from the solvent environment due to the steric effect enforced by the glycine esters. It is our prediction that these rate enhancements are due to hydrophobic effects caused by the arrangement of the amino esters around the metal ion centre, giving rise to the formation of a hydrophobic pocket around the ion. This possibly favours the formation of stronger interactions between the ion and the phosphodiester. Similar observations have previously been seen for example in Collman's 'picket fence' porphyrins,12 and in mimicking the active site of carbonic anhydrase by Boxwell and Walton.¹³ We are currently investigating these features in more detail. Secondly, our assumption that HPNP binds more weakly to 1.La than 1.Eu will contribute to the release of the product from the cavity of the lanthanide complexes.

Although the complexes are highly potent at pH 7.4, the pHrate profile for **1·La** (Fig. S2 in ESI†) shows that the activity (at 37 °C) is strongly influenced by pH, with an optimum rate at *ca*. pH 8.5 of k = 0.807 h⁻¹. This correlates well with the pH titration of **1·La** discussed earlier. This is a remarkable 6725-fold enhancement, and almost twice that seen at pH 7.4. This also implies that the most active form of the catalyst has at least one hydroxy ion bound to the lanthanide ion centre. It is also possible that at higher pH (pH > 8.5), this hydroxy group binds too strongly to the phosphate and this in turn could inhibit further coordination of HPNP. Preliminary investigation has also shown that most of the complexes efficiently cleaved a 24 mer-mRNA sequence from the GAG-HIV gene at pH 7.4 and 37 °C after 4 h of incubation (See ESI[†]). Complexes such as 1·La and $1{\cdot}Eu$ induce cleavage at every base pair, whereas upon incubation with 1, no cleavage is observed, indicating the vital role of the Lewis acid centre in the hydrolysis (this cleavage was not quantified, ESI[†]). We are currently developing analogue compounds by incorporating other cofactors into the cyclen structure and incorporating these complexes into oligonucleotides as potential antisense agents.

In conclusion, the various lanthanide complexes **1**·Ln show significant rate enhancement in the cleavage of HPNP. To the best of our knowledge, **1**·La displays one of the largest rate accelerations observed for HPNP by trivalent, non-redoxactive lanthanide complexes. We thank Enterprise Ireland, Dublin Corporation (postgraduate scolarship to S. M.), and TCD for financial support, Dr Hazel M. Moncrieff for helpful discussion and Dr John E. O'Brien for assisting with NMR.

Notes and references

 \ddagger 1: Found for $C_{28}H_{49}N_8O_{12}$ (MH+): 689.3470. Calc.: 689.3469. Found for $KC_{28}H_{49}N_8O_{12}$: C 46.21; H 6.65; N 15.40; Calc.: C 46.64, H 6.88; N 14.9.

§ Data were collected on a Bruker SMART diffractometer with graphite monochromated Mo-Ka radiation using omega/phi scans. The structure was solved using direct methods and refined with the SHELXTL program package. The two triflate anions are disordered: firstly the anion associated with the K centres has been modelled over two sites with the major component being 76(1)% occupancy. The second anion is bound to the Eu centre and is disordered about a four-fold symmetry element and has been modelled as 25% occupancy for each position. Crystal data for $C_{33}H_{48}N_8F_{15}S_5K_2Eu: M = 1664.25$, tetragonal, space group P4/ncc, a = b= 17.2721(8), c = 21.0475(14) Å, U = 6279.0(6) Å³, $Z = 4, \mu = 1.425$ mm⁻¹, F(000) = 3336, $D_c = 1.761$ g cm⁻³, $R_{int} = 0.0408$, transmission range (max., min.) = 1.000, 0.804, crystal size = $0.33 \times 0.28 \times 0.21$ mm. A total of 51944 reflections were measured for the angle range $3 < 2\theta < 58$ and 3844 independent reflections were used in the refinement. The final parameters were wR2 = 0.1366 and $R1 = 0.0479 [I > 2\sigma I]$.CCDC 177867. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/b2/b205349g/ for crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format.

- A. J. Kirby, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1996, 35, 707; D. E. Wilcox, Chem. Rev., 1996, 96, 2435; J. Chin, Acc. Chem. Res., 1991, 24, 145.
- M. Komiyama and J. Sumaoka, *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.*, 1998, 2, 751;
 D. M. Perreault and E. V. Anslyn, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1997, 36, 432.
- 3 B. N. Trawick, A. T. Daniher and J. K. Bashkin, *Chem. Rev.*, 1998, 98, 939.
- 4 P. Molenveld, J. F. J. Engbersen and D. M. Reinhoudt, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2000, **29**, 75; P. Gómez-Tagle and A. K. Yatsimirsky, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2001, **40**, 3786.
- 5 N. H. Williams, B. Takasaki, M. Wall and J. Chin, Acc. Chem. Res., 1999, **32**, 485.
- 6 A. Roigh and H.-J. Schneider, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2001, 205.
- 7 S. Aime, A. Barge, M. Botta, J. A. K. Howard, R. Kataky, M. P. Lowe, J. M. Moloney, D. Parker and A. S. de Sousa, *Chem. Commun.*, 1999, 1047.
- 8 S. Amin, J. R. Morrow, C. H. Lake and M. R. Churchill, *Angew. Chem.*, *Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1994, 33, 773.
- 9 T. Gunnlaugsson, D. A. Mac Dónaill and D. Parker, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, **123**, 12866; T. Gunnlaugsson, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2001, **42**, 8901; T. Gunnlaugsson, D. A. Mac Dónaill and D. Parker, *Chem. Commun.*, 2000, 93.
- 10 SAINT-NT, Brüker AXS Madison, Wisconsin, 1998; G. M. Sheldrick, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, 1998.
- 11 P. Cravan, J. J. Ellison, T. J. McMurry and R. B. Lauffer, *Chem. Rev.*, 1999, **99**, 2293.
- 12 J. P. Collman, R. R. Gagne, C. A. Reed, T. R. Halbert, G. Lang and W. T. Robinson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1975, 97, 1427–1439.
- 13 C. J. Boxwell and P. H. Walton, Chem. Commun., 1999, 1647–1648.