Redox switchable NIR dye derived from ruthenium-dioxolene-porphyrin systems[†]

Atindra D. Shukla,^a Bishwajit Ganguly,^a Paresh C. Dave,^a Anunay Samanta^{*bc} and Amitava Das^{*a}

^a Central Salt and Marine Chemicals Research Institute, Bhavnagar, 364002 Gujarat, India. E-mail: salt@csir.res.in

^b School of Chemistry, University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad 500 046, India

^c Radiation Laboratory, University of Notre Dame, IN, 46556, USA

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 25th June 2002, Accepted 23rd September 2002 First published as an Advance Article on the web 14th October 2002

Newly synthesised $\operatorname{Ru}(bp)_2(sq)^+$ -derivatives, covalently linked to a porphyrin-core, show very high ε values in the NIR region; which exhibit fast on/off switching depending on the redox state of the coordinated dioxolene functionality.

Molecular systems, which absorb strongly in the NIR region, are of considerable interest to chemists and material scientists because of their potential in applications such as optical data processing, photodynamic therapy, etc.¹ Systems, whose intense NIR absorption can be switched on and off, are even more attractive candidates for applications in optoelectronics. Complexes derived from the $Ru(bp)_2(sq)^+$ -fragment² are important in this regard as these complexes display an $\operatorname{Ru}(d\pi) \to \operatorname{sq}(\pi^*)$ MLCT band in the NIR region ($\varepsilon_{890} = 9000 \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$);³ while its one-electron reduced (Ru(bp)2(cat)) or oxidized $(Ru(bp)_2(q)^{2+})$ product² do not have any significant absorption in this region.^{3,4} Additionally, (i) the reversible nature of the sq/ cat-redox couple in Ru(bp)₂(sq)⁺,² (ii) thermodynamic feasibility of the photoinduced electron transfer from the donor porphyrin fragment to the acceptor ruthenium-semiquinone unit and (iii) the possibility of fast charge recombination process, makes $Ru(bp)_2(sq)^+$ and porphyrin fragments ideal candidates for use in an efficient redox active photochromic dye. With this rationale we have synthesized a set of new bichromophoric complexes 1-4.5



Various analytical data and spectroscopic studies confirm that complexes **1–4** exist as paramagnetic semiquinone forms^{3,4,6} and show a featureless room temperature EPR spectrum consisting of a broadened signal centered at $g_{av} \approx$ 2.003 in CH₂Cl₂. However, spectra recorded at 77 K are anisotropic, as the molecular orbital containing the unpaired electron(s) of the semiquinone fragment(s) is partially localized

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: synthesis of complexes **1** and **3**, calculated results for model systems **X1** and **X2**, EPR spectra of **3**, cyclic voltammogram and DPV of **2**. See http://www.rsc.org/ suppdata/cc/b2/b206000k/

on the ruthenium centre.^{4,6} The spectral features of the monoand bi-radical species, **1–4**, are similar; except that the spectra for **1** and **2** are less broad than those for **3** and **4**. This is presumably due to weak interaction between the two unpaired electrons of the semiquinone fragments. This is not surprising as the two appended ruthenium–semiquinone fragments are separated by ~ 14.2 Å with an orthogonal orientation with respect to the porphyrin plane (analogous model system is represented as **X1**).⁷ To corroborate the presumption, we have employed unrestricted Hartree–Fock (UHF) PM3 calculations^{7a} to optimize the geometry of two possible isomeric model compounds **X1** and **X2**.^{7b} Calculated results suggest that the triplet form of the biradical **X1** (Fig. 1) is the most stable and more stable than **X2** by 18.7 kcal mol⁻¹.^{7b}



Fig. 1 UPM3 optimized structure of model system X1.

Electronic spectral data for ruthenium-dioxolene complexes along with the reduced and oxidized forms of 2 and 4 (Table 1) reveal that bichromophoric systems, 1-4 show expected spectral features of the individual mononuclear components along with an unusually strong absorbance at $\sim 940 \text{ nm}$ for the $\operatorname{Ru}(d\pi) \rightarrow \operatorname{sq}(\pi^*)$ transition ($\varepsilon = 59000-83500 \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ cm⁻¹). A red shift of λ_{max} (~ 50 nm for 1–4, compared to that for Ru(bp)₂(sq)+-species²) for this MLCT transition is observed. An even higher red shift of ~100 nm for this MLCT band is observed where the coordinated sq-functionality is part of an extended conjugated system.^{1d,4} Presumably this higher red shift is due to the more effective lowering of the energy of semiquinone SOMO arising from the extended conjugation.^{1d,4} This also indicates the absence of any or little extended conjugation in complexes 1-4 and agrees well with the proposed conformation.

Results of cyclic and square wave voltammetric studies (CV and SWV) for complexes 1-4 (Table 1) also suggest a weak ground-state electronic interaction between the two terminal Ru(bp)₂(sq)⁺-fragments.² All complexes undergo redox processes at potentials consistent with individual mononuclear components. However, redox waves for the cat/sq and sq/qcouples² for **3** and **4** are much broader ($[E_{pc} - E_{pa}] \ge 120-135$ mV, Table 1) due to the overlapping waves of the two weakly interacting Ru(bp)₂(sq)+-fragments. Spectroelectrochemical studies with complexes 1-4 show that either oxidation or reduction of the semiquinone-fragment causes a complete bleaching of the MLCT band in the NIR region (Fig. 2). Spectral changes are found to be completely reversible and quantitative recovery of the MLCT band at the NIR region occurs upon reversal of the redox processes. This agrees well with the reversible nature of the dioxolene-based redox processes, observed in CV studies for complexes 1-4. Thus, these complexes fulfil the basic criteria for use as redox switchable photochromic dye.

10.1039/b20600k

ЫÖ

Table 1 UV-VIS data and redox potential values for complexes 1-4

		$E_{1/2}/V (\Delta E/mV)^b$			
	$\lambda_{ m max}{}^{a}/ m nm~(arepsilon imes10^3~ m dm^3~ m mol^{-1}~ m cm^{-1})$	sq/cat ²	sq/q^2	Ru(11/111)	
1	292 (44), 419 (329), 515 (16.6), 549 (9.8), 588 (5.4), 927 (59)	-0.68 (75)	0.227 (80)	1.07 (140)	
2	291 (51.1), 419 (306), 546 (18.1), 933 (65)	-0.66(80)	0.14 (70)	1.05 (100)	
[2] _{red}	420 (364), 546 (45.2)			_	
[2] _{ox}	415 (308), 547 (35.1)	_	_	_	
3	286 (107), 418 (298), 514 (17), 548 (9.1), 910 (79.2)	-0.66(120)	0.14 (125)	1.02 (140)	
4	286 (142), 420 (302), 545 (18.2), 945 (83.5)	-0.66(120)	0.30 (135)	1.06 (126)	
[4] _{red}	424 (333), 555 (61.3)			_	
[4] _{ox}	408 (289), 558 (43)	_	_	_	

⁴ Electronic spectra were recorded in CH ₂ Cl ₂ , Spectra of the electro-reduced and oxidised species were recorded in situ in presence of 0.1 M [ButN] ₄ PF ₆ in
CH ₃ CN. ^b CV studies were performed in CH ₃ CN using 0.1 M [ButN] ₄ PF ₆ ; potential values are reported (scan rate of 100 mV s ⁻¹) vs. ferrocene/ferrocenium
couple. Pt-as working, Pt-wire as auxiliary and Ag–AgCl as reference electrodes were used for the studies.



Fig. 2 Change in electronic spectra when complex **4** is subjected to bulk electrolysis at (A) –0.4 V (*vs.* SCE) and (B) 0.7 V (*vs.* SCE).

Relative quantum yields, measured with thoroughly N₂purged solutions for the steady state luminescence of the porphyrin core in complexes 1/3 and 2/4 are 0.23 and 0.19, respectively, when compared to L_1/L_3 and L_2/L_4 . A relatively lower fluorescence efficiency and shorter lifetime of 1–4 compared to those of L_1-L_4 are due to photoinduced transfer of an electron from the photoexcited porphyrin to the semiquinone moiety. This is evident from the time-resolved absorption behavior of the systems. Photoexcitation at 532 nm leads to the formation of Ru(bp)₂(cat)-species² effecting bleaching of the NIR absorption (Fig. 3).

The rate constant for the photoinduced intramolecular forward electron transfer process in these complexes is estimated to be (1.5–3.2) \times 10⁹ s⁻¹. The recovery of the bleaching due to back electron transfer process takes place in the picosecond time scale (63 ps for 1, inset Fig. 3). Thus, complexes 1-4 constitute a unique system which becomes transparent between 800 and 1300 nm on change in redox state of the coordinated semiquinone fragment; initiated either electrochemically or photochemically. There are only a few examples available on inorganic metal complexes, 1a, c, e, 8.9 which have an intense NIR absorption—some with superior ε values.^{1a,e} However, bleaching and recovery of the NIR band through reversible change in the redox state of the coordinated sq-fragment demonstrate the possibility of using complexes 1-4 as photo or redox-active NIR switches. Further, the fast response time, which is crucial for designing an effective sensor, has provided 1-4 a distinct edge over the others and constitute a novel approach for a real NIR active molecular switch.

In conclusion, **1–4** show an unusually strong absorbance in the NIR region of the spectrum, which disappears when these complexes undergo dioxolene-based one-electron reduction or oxidation process. The NIR absorption band is restored with original intensity upon reversal of the respective redox processes. For photoinduced redox processes, the recovery takes place in the picosecond time scale. Thus, a combination of photoactive porphyrin unit and redox active Ru–semiquinone fragment(s) lead to novel molecular function in complexes **1–4** and make them ideally suited for probable use in redox switchable NIR dyes and sensors for optoelectronic devices.



Fig. 3 Time-resolved absorption spectra of **1** in CH₃CN: immediately after excitation (×), after a delay of 60 ps and ($^{\circ}$) after 100 ps (\blacktriangle). The solution was excited with the second harmonic (532 nm) of an Nd-YAG laser. The FWHM of the excitation pulse was \approx 30 ps. The insert shows the recovery of the bleaching at 925 nm. The data was fit to a function, $y = y_0 - a_1 \exp(-x/\tau_1)$. The parameters obtained from this fitting are $y_0 = -0.0027$, $a_1 = 0.085$ and $\tau_1 = 63.5$ ps.

This work is supported by Department of Science and Technology, India and Office of Basic Energy Sciences of the U.S. Department of Energy. This is Contribution No. NDRL-4348 from the Notre Dame Radiation Laboratory.

Notes and references

- (a) A. Tsuda and A. Osuka, *Science*, 2001, **293**, 79; (b) J. Fabian, H. Nakazumi and M. Matsuoka, *Chem. Rev.*, 1992, **92**, 1197; (c) M. D. Ward and J. A. McCleverty, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 2002, 275 and references therein (d) A. D. Shukla, H. C. Bajaj and A. Das, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2001, **40**, 1446; (e) I. M. Blake, L. H. Rees, T. D. W. Claridge and H. L. Anderson, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2000, **39**, 1818.
- 2 cat, sq and q stand for catecholate, semiquinone and quinone forms, respectively of 1,2-dihydroxybenzene or its derivatives.
- 3 M. Haga, E. S. Dodsworth and A. B. P. Lever, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1986, 25, 447.
- 4 A. B. P Lever, H. Masui, R. A. Metcalfe, D. J. Stufkens, E. S. Dodsworth and P. R. Auburn, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1993, **125**, 317.
- 5 Complexes 1–4 are synthesized by reacting L_1-L_4 (5-(3,4-dihydroxy)-10,15,20-triphenyl-21*H*,23*H*-porphyrin (L_1) or 5,10-bis(3,4-dihydroxy)-10,20-bisphenyl-21*H*,23*H*-porphyrin (L_3) or the corresponding Znderivatives, L_2 or L_4), with appropriate mole equivalents of Ru(bp)₂Cl₂ and KOH in ethanol. Analytical data for 1–4 agree well with the proposed formulations.
- 6 D. Ghosh, A. D. Shukla, R. Banerjee and A. Das, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 2002, 1220.
- 7 (a) C. H. Reynolds, J. Org. Chem., 1988, 53, 6061; (b) J. J. P. Stewart, J. Comput. Chem., 1989, 10, 209.
- 8 (a) F. Bigoli, P. Deplano, M. L. Mercuri, M. A. Pellinghelli, G. Pintus, E. F. Trogu, G. Zonnedda, H. H. Wang and J. M. Williams, *Inorg. Chim. Acta.*, 1998, **273**, 175 and references therein (b) K. Yoshida, N. Oga, M. Kadota, Y. Ogasahara and Y. Kubo, *Chem. Commun.*, 1992, 1114.
- (a) S.-M. Lee, M. Marcaccio, J. A. McCleverty and M. D. Ward, *Chem. Mater.*, 1998, **10**, 3271; (b) K. Takahashi, A. Gunji, K. Yanagi and M. Miki, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1996, **61**, 4784.