Large size anion binding with iron(II) complexes of a 5,5'-disubstituted-2,2'-bipyridine ligand⁺

Biao Wu, Xiao-Juan Yang, Christoph Janiak* and Paul Gerhard Lassahn

Institut für Anorganische und Analytische Chemie, Universität Freiburg, Albertstr. 21, 79104 Freiburg, Germany. E-mail: janiak@uni-freiburg.de; Fax: 49 761 2036147; Tel: 49 761 2036127

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 26th November 2002, Accepted 24th February 2003 First published as an Advance Article on the web 7th March 2003

Three 5,5'-substituted 2,2'-bipyridyl ligands around a metal atom form two clefts which can encapsulate sulfate, perchlorate, or nitrate anions.

Anions play numerous fundamental roles in biochemical systems,¹ for example, the majority of enzymes bind anions as substrates or cofactors, and anions act as nucleophiles, bases, redox agents and phase transfer catalysts in many important processes. In a Salmonella typhimurium sulfate transport protein, X-ray crystal studies demonstrated the selective binding of a sulfate anion by H-bond donor/acceptor arrays.² Despite efforts to duplicate such arrays, it remains a challenge for chemists to design and synthesize artificial, abiotic host molecules with the capability of binding anion guests,³ because several factors should be considered, such as anion size and topology, charge density, H-bond donor/acceptor properties and Lewis-base character.

Recently, Ru(II) or Fe(II) complexes of 5,5'-disubstituted-2,2'-bipyridine have been utilized as receptors for chloride ion binding through H bonds.⁴ However, receptors that trap larger ions, such as SO_4^{2-} , CIO_4^{-} , or NO_3^{-} are rarely structurally characterized.5 We have studied the metal complexes of multitopic bipyridine-type ligands,6 including 5,5'-bis(ethoxycarbonylamino)-2,2'-bipyridine, L which possesses both H-bond donors and acceptors. Some interactions were found between the N-H functionalities of the heteroleptic complex [Ru(bi $py_{2}(L)^{2+}$ and the F atoms of its PF_{6}^{-} anions.⁷ Herein, we investigate the homoleptic complex $[Fe(L)_3]^{2+}$, 1 which forms multi-hydrogen-bond donor/acceptor clefts capable of encapsulating larger anions, such as sulfate, perchlorate, or nitrate.

Mixing a solution of three equivalents of the ligand L[‡] in hot ethanol with one equivalent of ferrous sulfate led to a red solution instantaneously, indicating the formation of the Fe(II)bipyridine complex 1 whose composition $(m/z \ 1046/2)$ was confirmed by electrospray mass spectrometry.[†] The ¹H NMR spectroscopy in DMSO- d_6 solution indicates an equilibrium of

decolorization and the sole presence of the ligand chemical shifts. In methanol **1** is stable upon addition of SO_4^{2-} . However no significant chemical shift changes are observed for the protons on the carbamate arms in 1a-c with the increase of SO_4^{2-} , CIO_4^{-} or NO_3^{-} concentration, respectively. The X-ray structure of complex $[Fe(L)_3]SO_4$, 1a, is shown in Fig. 1.§ Three ligands form two clefts through coordination to an iron center. The sulfate anion is encapsulated within one of the clefts through three H bonds between its oxygen atoms and the three NH groups (see caption to Fig. 1). The fourth oxygen atom points outward of the cleft along the quasi C_3 -axis and forms H bonds with CH₃OH and H₂O molecules of crystallization (O16...O(CH₃OH) 2.538 and O16...O(H₂O) 2.857 Å, not shown). The other cleft is empty. The host arms of the filled cleft bend or are pulled inwards for the interaction with the sulfate ion (N···N separations N4···N6 5.815, N6···N10 5.719, N10...N4 5.748 Å), while the arms on the empty side are diverged from each other (N2...N8 6.616, N8...N12 6.158, N12…N2 6.338 Å). Alternatively, the three sides of the H3 sulfate triangle around $H(N6)\cdots H(N4)$ are 4.86. H(N6)…H(N10) 4.71, H(N10)…H(N4) 4.96 Å, while those of the empty side are H(N2)····H(N12) 6.08, H(N8)····H(N12) 7.10, and H(N2)····H(N8) 7.55 Å, respectively. This closing or opening of the cleft is supported by slightly shorter Fe-N bonds towards the filled versus the empty cleft in the same ligand. The carbamate with their NH groups in 1a still lie in the plane of the pyridine rings to bind the large sulfate ion in the cleft. This is different from the Ru(5,5'-diamide-2,2'-bipy)₃ receptors which trap the smaller chloride anion. There, the H atoms on NH point towards the Fe···Cl C_3 -axis.⁴ The perchlorate complex [Fe(L)₃](ClO₄)₂, 1b was synthe-

1 with free L in the presence of SO_4^{2-} , and 1 shows an increased dissociation with increasing SO_4^{2-} conc. from

 $(Me_4N)_2SO_4$. A 10-fold excess of $(Me_4N)_2SO_4$ in a ca. 1 \times

 10^{-3} M solution of **1** led to complete dissociation evidenced by

sized by reaction of **L** with $Fe(ClO_4)_2$.[†] Compound **1b** is stable in DMSO-d₆ or CD₃OD (by ¹H NMR) and is not sensitive to the addition of ClO_4^{-} . The structure of **1b** (Fig. 2) is centrosym-

Fig. 1 Structure of 1a. Fe-N1, 1.997(5); Fe-N3, 1.975(5); Fe-N5, 1.968(5); Fe-N7, 1.973(5); Fe-N9, 1.969(5); Fe-N11, 1.963(5); N6(-H)...O13, 2.788(8); N10(-H)···O14, 2.812(8); N4(-H)···O15, 2.734(8) Å.

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: synthesis and characterization of 1a-c. All new compounds were characterised by CHN, ¹H NMR, and ESI-MS. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/b2/b211616b/

CHEM. COMMUN., 2003, 902-903







Fig. 2 Structure of **1b**. Fe–N1, 1.960(5); Fe–N3, 1.966(5); Fe–N5, 1.948(5); N4(–H)···O7, 2.936(9); N2···O10, 3.924, N6···O10, 3.520 Å.

metric to the Fe atom.§ The $[Fe(L)_3]^{2+}$ host encapsulates two perchlorate anions, each in one cleft, through a H-bond between one oxygen of ClO_4^- and one NH group. Another oxygen atom, O10, is placed between the other two arms with non-H-bonding contacts (see caption to Fig. 2). The remaining two oxygen atoms point outward of the cleft. The other two NH groups are directed away from the perchlorate and form intermolecular hydrogen bonds (not shown). The three sides of the H3 (N3) triangle are H(N4)…H(N6) 5.76 (6.158), H(N4)…H(N2) 5.15 (6.078) and H(N2)…H(N6) 6.28 (6.791) Å, respectively.

Furthermore, the nitrate analogue, $[Fe(L)_3](NO_3)_2$, **1c** was synthesized by reaction of ligand **L** with $Fe(NO_3)_3$ in the presence of iron powder.[†] Compound **1c** is stable in DMSO- d_6 or CD₃OD (by ¹H NMR), too. Similar to complex **1b**, the $[Fe(L)_3]^{2+}$ host encapsulates two nitrate anions in a centrosymmetric fashion (Fig. 3),§ each through a hydrogen bonding interaction between one oxygen of the nitrate and one NH group. The two other oxygen atoms of the nitrate ions form only very weak interactions with the adjacent NH groups (see caption to Fig. 3). The three sides of the H3 (N3) triangle are $H(N4)\cdots H(N6)$ 5.02 (5.995), $H(N4)\cdots H(N2)$ 5.70 (6.099), $H(N2)\cdots H(N6)$ 6.29 (6.796) Å, respectively.

In **1a–c** the hydrogen atoms on the NH groups are more or less oriented in the same direction ("homodromic") around the quasi C_3 -axis (passing through Fe and the central atom of the anion). The distance between Fe and Cl in **1b** is 5.907 Å, the distance between Fe and NO_3 in **1c** is 5.576 Å; both are longer than the Fe–S distance of 5.539 Å in **1a**, indicating that the sulfate anion is sited deeper in the receptor cleft than either the perchlorate or the nitrate ions. Except for **1a** there is no distortion of the Fe(**L**)₃ core. Among the three complexes the sulfate ion shows the strongest interactions with the receptor by



Fig. 3 Structure of **1c**. Fe–N1, 1.970(7); Fe–N3, 1.961(6); Fe–N5, 1.952(6); N4(–H)···O7, 2.857(10); N2(–H)···O9, 3.215; N6(–H)···O8, 3.583 Å.

forming three H bonds and shorter N–H···O bond distances. This may be due to the stronger electrostatic interaction between Fe and the dianionic sulfate compared with the monoanionic perchlorate or nitrate. A correlation with radii of the anions is not clear as different sets of radii are available in the literature with reversed order: $SO_4^{2-} 2.18(19)^8$ or 2.58(4),⁹ ClO₄⁻ 2.25(19)⁸ or 2.40(5), NO₃⁻ 2.00(19)⁸ or 1.79(6)⁹ Å.

Support by DFG grant Ja 466/10-1,2 and FCI is appreciated.

Notes and references

[‡] The ligand **L** was prepared according to the literature procedures.^{6,10} § Crystal data: **1a**·3.2H₂O·0.5CH₃OH, monoclinic, space group *P*2₁/*c*, *a* = 14.0449(10), *b* = 22.8722(16), *c* = 18.8110(13) Å, *β* = 100.833(1)°, *V* = 5935.1(7) Å³, *Z* = 4, *D_c* = 1.356 g cm⁻³, final *R*, *wR* values 0.0825, 0.2158 for 4829 independent reflections with *I* > 2σ(*I*). **1b**·2H₂O, monoclinic, *C2/c*, *a* = 18.459(5), *b* = 25.212(6), *c* = 14.755(4) Å, *β* = 117.340(4)°, *V* = 6100(3) Å³, *Z* = 4, *D_c* = 1.396 g cm⁻³, final *R*, *wR* values 0.0871, 0.2221 for 3116 independent reflections with *I* > 2σ(*I*). **1c**·2CH₃CH₂OH, monoclinic, *C2/c*, *a* = 18.49(6), *b* = 24.91(8), *c* = 15.14(5) Å, *β* = 117.69(5)°, *V* = 6178(33) Å³, *Z* = 4, *D_c* = 1.358 g cm⁻³, final *R*, *wR* values 0.0748, 0.1784 for 2190 independent reflections with *I* > 2σ(*I*).

Data collection by the ω -scan method, Mo–K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å), graphite monochromator, at 223 K (**1a** and **1b**) or 293 K (**1c**) on a Brucker SMART CCD diffractometer. Structure solution by direction methods (SHELXS-97); and refined by full-matrix least-squares on F^2 (SHELXL-97); all non-hydrogen positions were found and refined with anisotropic temperature factors except for atoms C24' and C48 which are part of a disordered ethyl group in **1a** and were refined isotropically. Graphics were obtained with ORTEP3 for Windows. CCDC reference numbers 198626, 198627 and 198628. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/ b2/b211616b/ for crystallographic data in .cif or other electronic format.

- S. Mangani and M. Ferraroni, Natural Anion Receptor: Anion Recognition by Protein, , in Supramolecular Chemistry of Anions, A. Bianchi, K. Bowman-James and E. Garcia-Espana (eds), Wiley: New York, 1997, 63.
- 2 J. W. Pflugrath and F. A. Quiocho, *Nature*, 1985, **314**, 257; J. J. He and F. A. Quiocho, *Science*, 1991, **251**, 1479.
- 3 P. D. Beer, *Chem. Commun.*, 1996, 689; J. L. Atwood, K. T. Holman and J. W. Steed, *Chem. Commun.*, 1996, 1401; P. D. Beer, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 1998, **31**, 71; P. D. Beer and P. A. Gale, *Angew. Chem.*, *Int. Ed.*, 2001, **40**, 486; C. B. Smith, A. K. W. Stephen, K. S. Wallwork, S. F. Lincoln, M. R. Taylor and K. P. Wainwright, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2002, **41**, 1093.
- 4 L. H. Uppadine, M. G. B. Drew and P. D. Beer, *Chem. Commun.*, 2001, 291; S. G. Telfer, G. Bernardinelli and A. F. Williams, *Chem. Commun.*, 2001, 1498; P. D. Beer, C. A. P. Dickson, N. Fletcher, A. J. Goulden, A. Grieve, J. Hodocova and T. Wear, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1993, 828; P. D. Beer, S. W. Dent, N. C. Fletcher and T. J. Wear, *Polyhedron*, 1996, **15**, 2983; P. D. Beer, N. C. Fletcher and T. J. Wear, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1996, **251**, 335.
- 5 M. Staffilani, K. S. B. Hancock, J. W. Steed, K. T. Holman, J. L. Atwood, R. K. Juneja and R. S. Burkhalter, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1997, 119, 6324; R.-D. Schnebeck, E. Freisinger and B. Lippert, Chem. Commun., 1999, 675; D. J. White, N. Laing, H. Miller, S. Parsons, S. Coles and P. A. Tasker, Chem. Commun., 1999, 2077; P. G. Plieger, S. Parsons, A. Parkin and P. A. Tasker, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 2002, 3928.
- 6 H.-P. Wu, C. Janiak, G. Rheinwald and H. Lang, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1999, 183; C. Janiak, S. Deblon, H.-P. Wu, M. J. Kolm, P. Klüfers, H. Piotrowski and P. Mayer, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 1999, 1507; C. Janiak, L. Uehlin, H.-P. Wu, P. Klüfers, H. Piotrowski and T. G. Scharmann, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1999, 3121.
- 7 X.-J. Yang, C. Janiak, J. Heinze, F. Drepper, P. Mayer, H. Piotrowski and P. Klüfers, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2001, **318**, 103.
- 8 H. K. Roobottom, H. D. B. Jenkins, J. Passmore and L. Glaser, J. Chem. Ed., 1999, 76, 1570.
- 9 H. D. B. Jenkins and K. P. Thakur, J. Chem. Educ., 1979, 9, 576.
- 10 C. Janiak, S. Deblon and H. P. Wu, Synth. Commun., 1999, 29, 3341.