## Solubility of room-temperature ionic liquid in supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> with and without organic compounds

Weize Wu, Jianmin Zhang, Buxing Han,\* Jiawei Chen, Zhimin Liu, Tao Jiang, Jun He and Wenjing Li

Center for Molecular Science, Institute of Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100080, China. E-mail: Hanbx@infoc3.icas.ac.cn; Fax: 861062559397

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 27th February 2003, Accepted 23rd April 2003 First published as an Advance Article on the web 14th May 2003

Ionic liquid can dramatically dissolve in supercritical (sc)  $CO_2$  with polar organic compounds (ethanol, acetone) especially as the concentration of the compounds in sc $CO_2$  exceeds 10 mol%, while the effect of a nonpolar organic compound (*n*-hexane) in sc $CO_2$  on the solubility is very limited even when its concentration is as high as 30 mol%.

Minimizing energy consumption and pollution has become a major concern for the chemical industry. Utilization of green solvents to reduce the evaporation of organic solvents and/or improving the efficiency of the processes is an effective route. Room-temperature ionic liquids (ILs) and supercritical  $CO_2$  (sc $CO_2$ ) are among the most promising green solvents.

ILs have many unique properties.<sup>1</sup> For example, they have extremely low vapour pressure, and excellent solvent power for organic and inorganic compounds. Therefore, they can be used as environmentally benign solvents for a number of chemical processes, such as separations and reactions.<sup>2</sup> In recent years, supercritical (sc) science and technology have received much attention.<sup>3</sup> Supercritical fluids (SCFs) can be used in many processing applications, such as extraction and fractionation, chemical reactions, and material processing.<sup>4</sup> scCO<sub>2</sub> is the most attractive since it is nontoxic, nonflammable, inexpensive, readily available in large quantities, and has moderate critical temperature and pressure (31.1 °C and 7.38 MPa).

Both scCO<sub>2</sub> and ILs are environmentally benign solvents, and each of them has its own unique properties. Utilization of the binary mixtures is a new and interesting topic, which can combine the advantages of the two classes of solvents and may have additional features. Recently, Brennecke and co-workers performed elegant studies on the phase behavior of some scCO<sub>2</sub>/IL systems.<sup>5</sup> They discovered that the unique feature of the phase behavior was that scCO<sub>2</sub> was soluble in the ILs, while the solubility of the ILs in scCO<sub>2</sub> was extremely low. It is well known that scCO<sub>2</sub> has a strong ability to dissolve organic solutes.5 Therefore, some low-volatility organic compounds could be extracted from ILs completely using scCO<sub>2</sub> without cross-contamination. Based on this principle, researchers have successfully extracted organic compounds from ILs,5,6 and separation of reactants and products from ILs has also been performed after reactions in ILs,7 which make the reaction processes much cleaner.

It can be expected that many processes will be carried out in mixtures of the two clean solvents, which will not only eliminate pollution and cross-contamination, but also will improve the efficiency of many processes, such as improving the selectivity of reactions.<sup>8</sup> In scientific research and industrial applications, the scCO<sub>2</sub> phase contains other components (*e.g.* reactants, products, extracts), which may act as cosolvents to enhance the ability of scCO<sub>2</sub> to dissolve ILs significantly, and the amount of ILs in the CO<sub>2</sub>-rich phase may be not negligible under some conditions. In this case the solubility of ILs in scCO<sub>2</sub> + organic compound mixtures is crucial for utilizing the binary solvents effectively and selecting conditions to avoid cross-contamination.

The study of phase behavior is an important and long established field because it is key to many chemical processes. In this work, we conducted the first study on the effect of organic compounds in  $scCO_2$  on the solubility of an IL in a  $scCO_2$  phase, and the solubility of 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium hexafluorophosphate ([BMIM][PF<sub>6</sub>])† in  $scCO_2$ , and in  $scCO_2$  + ethanol,  $scCO_2$  + acetone, and  $scCO_2$  + *n*-hexane mixtures has been determined quantitatively.

The solubility was determined using a flow apparatus constructed in this work, which is illustrated schematically in Fig. 1‡. The data at different conditions are listed in Table 1, and the dependence of the solubility on temperature, pressure, and the concentration of the organic compounds in the scCO<sub>2</sub> phase is illustrated in Fig. 2.  $X_2$  and  $X_3$  in the table and figure are the



**Fig. 1** Schematic diagram of the apparatus. 1: Organic solvent reservoir; 2:  $CO_2$  reservoir; 3: Computer-controlled metering syringe pumps; 4: Constant temperature water bath; 5: Pre-heater; 6: Pressure gauge; 7: Optical cell; 8: Valve I; 9: Stainless steel filter; 10: magnetic stirrer; 11: Valve II; 12: Trap.

Table 1 Solubility of [BMIM][PF\_6] (X\_3) in scCO\_2 with and without organic compounds (X\_2)

T/°C	P/MPa	Cosolvent	X <sub>2</sub>	$X_3  imes 10^6$
40.0	12.0	_	0.000	0.18
40.0	12.0	Ethanol	0.085	6.26
40.0	12.0	Ethanol	0.113	15.0
40.0	12.0	Ethanol	0.165	50.7
40.0	12.0	Ethanol	0.254	219.0
40.0	12.0	Ethanol	0.305	370.0
40.0	15.0	_	0.000	0.32
40.0	15.0	Ethanol	0.083	9.53
40.0	15.0	Ethanol	0.173	66.2
40.0	15.0	Ethanol	0.244	236.0
40.0	15.0	Ethanol	0.269	313.0
40.0	15.0	Ethanol	0.298	453.0
55.0	15.0	_	0.000	0.14
55.0	15.0	Ethanol	0.115	14.4
55.0	15.0	Ethanol	0.173	89.1
55.0	15.0	Ethanol	0.244	245.0
55.0	15.0	Ethanol	0.291	387.0
40.0	15.0	Acetone	0.093	4.50
40.0	15.0	Acetone	0.154	148.0
40.0	15.0	Acetone	0.236	586.0
40.0	15.0	<i>n</i> -Hexane	0.061	0.44
40.0	15.0	<i>n</i> -Hexane	0.100	0.55
40.0	15.0	n-Hexane	0.170	0.57
40.0	15.0	<i>n</i> -Hexane	0.288	1.38

mole fractions of the organic compounds and the IL in  $\rm CO_2$ -rich phase, respectively.

Solubility of the IL in pure  $CO_2$  is extremely low. For example, at 40.0 °C and 15.00 MPa, X<sub>3</sub> determined in this work is  $3.2 \times 10^{-7}$ . Brennecke and coworkers reported<sup>5</sup> that the mole fraction at 40.0 °C and 13.79 MPa was less than  $5 \times 10^{-7}$ . The results indicate that the data determined by the two laboratories are in agreement although the apparatuses used were different.

The effect of ethanol on the solubility of the IL is not considerable as  $X_2$  is less than about 0.1, as can be seen from the data in Fig. 2. However, the solubility increases significantly as  $X_2$  exceeds 0.1. At 40.0 °C and 15.0 MPa, the solubility of the IL increases about 3 orders with an increase of  $X_2$  from 0.1 to 0.30. At the same temperature, the solubility increases slightly as pressure changes from 12.0 to 15.0 MPa, as is shown in Fig. 2.

The effect of acetone on the solubility is similar to that of ethanol, *i.e.*, the solubility varies very slowly with  $X_2$  at  $X_2 < 0.1$ , and increases dramatically with an increase in  $X_2$  as  $X_2$  exceeds about 0.1. However, acetone has a more significant influence on the solubility than ethanol. At 40.0 °C and 15.00 MPa,  $X_3$  can be  $5.86 \times 10^{-4}$  at  $X_2 = 0.236$ .

*n*-Hexane is a non-polar substance. Fig. 2 indicates that its effect on the solubility of the IL in  $scCO_2$  is very limited.

Enhancement of the solubility by addition of ethanol and acetone results mainly from strong interaction of the two compounds with the IL due to their strong polarity. Ethanol is a typical polar compounds (dipole moment  $\mu = 1.70$  D) that can form hydrogen bonds with electron donors or acceptors. It may hydrogen bond with N or F in the IL. The polarity of acetone is stronger ( $\mu = 2.89$  D). However, it cannot hydrogen bond with the IL. The data in this work hint that polarity of the organic compounds is a dominant factor in influencing the solubility.

In summary, we conducted the first study on the solubility of an IL in  $scCO_2$  + organic compound mixtures. It is demonstrated that the solubility of the [BMIM][PF<sub>6</sub>] in  $scCO_2$  + ethanol,  $scCO_2$  + acetone is considerable and increases dramatically as the concentration of the organic compounds in  $scCO_2$  exceeds 10 mol%, although the solubility is extremely low as the concentration of the organic compounds is lower. The effect of *n*-hexane in  $scCO_2$  on the solubility is very limited even when its concentration for fundamental research and applications related to  $scCO_2$ –IL binary systems. Specifically, the results remind researchers and engineers that the amount of an IL in  $scCO_2$ -rich phase may be significant and should be considered in the designs of experiments or industrial processes if the system contains enough polar organic compounds.



Fig. 2 Solubility (X<sub>3</sub>) of  $[BMIM][PF_6]$  in  $scCO_2$  + organic compound mixtures.

This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (20133030) and National Key Basic Research Project of China (G2000048010).

## Notes and references

 $\dagger$  [BMIM][PF<sub>6</sub>] was synthesized and characterized following the procedures reported by Huddleston *et al.*<sup>9</sup> The ionic liquid was dried under vacuum at 40 °C until the weight remained constant. Water concentration in the IL was 0.1 wt%, which was known from Karl Fischer analysis.

<sup>‡</sup> The apparatus (Fig. 1) consisted mainly of a CO<sub>2</sub> cylinder, two computer-controlled metering syringe pumps, a constant-temperature water bath, a pressure gauge, a pre-heater, an optical cell of 55 ml, and a trap. The CO<sub>2</sub> and organic solvent were mixed in the pipe and the pre-heater. The mixed fluid entering the bottom of the optical cell was dispersed into to very small bubbles after passing the stainless steel filter (pore size 20  $\mu$ m) in the L and the IL-rich phase was stirred by a magnetic stirrer. Experiments showed that this was very effective in facilitating the equilibrium.

In an typical experiment, a suitable amount of IL was loaded into the optical cell. The cell was placed in the constant-temperature water bath. The CO2 and cosolvent were compressed into the optical cell by opening needle valve I slowly. The ratio of CO2 and the organic solvent and the flow rate were controlled by the flow rates of the two pumps. The needle valve II was opened to release the CO2-rich phase slowly as the desired pressure was reached, and at least 150 g mixture of CO2-rich phase was released without collecting the extracted sample. The flow rate of CO2 was in the range of 50-100 ml min-1 (stp). The IL was precipitated in the trap after depressurization. The flow rate was slow enough for reaching equilibrium, which was verified by the fact that the solubility determined was independent of the flow rate. The reliability of the data was also confirmed by the fact that under fixed conditions, several samples were collected, and the solubility determined was independent of time. The solubilities of [BMIM][PF<sub>6</sub>] were determined by both gravimetric analysis and UV-vis spectroscopy, and the results obtained from the two methods agreed within  $\pm 6\%$ .

- (a) P. Wasserscheid and W. Keim, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2000, 39, 3772; (b) J. Dupont, R. F. de Souza and P. A. Z. Suarez, Chem. Rev., 2002, 102, 3667; (c) T. Welton, Chem. Rev., 1999, 99, 2071; (d) R. Schldon, Chem. Commun., 2001, 2399; (e) H. Olivier-Bourbigou and L. Magna, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem., 2002, 182–183, 419.
- (a) J. F. Brennecke and E. J. Maginn, AIChE J., 2001, 47, 2387; (b) L. C. Branco, J. G. Crespo and C. A. M. Afonso, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2002, 41, 2771; (c) E. D. Bates, R. D. Mayton, I. Ntai and J. H. Davis, Jr., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 926; (d) A. C. Cole, J. L. Jensen, I. Ntai, K. L. T. tran, K. J. Weaver, D. C. Forbes and J. H. Davis, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 5962; (e) H. S. Kim, Y. J. Kim, H. Lee, K. Y. Park, C. Lee and C. S. Chin, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2002, 41, 4300; (f) C. M. Gordon and A. McCluskey, Chem. Commun., 1999, 1431; (g) M. J. Earle, P. B. McCormac and K. R. Seddon, Chem. Commun., 1998, 20, 2245.
- 3 (a) C. A. Eckert, B. L. Knutson and P. G. Debenedetti, *Nature*, 1996, 383, 313; (b) K. T. Sarbu, T. Styranec and E. J. Beckman, *Nature*, 2000, 405, 165; (c) J. M. DeSimone, *Science*, 2002, 297, 799.
- 4 (a) K. Zosel, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1978, 17, 702; (b) L. Montanari, J. W. King, G. R. List and K. A. Rennick, J. Food Sci., 1996, 61, 1230; (c) H. Oka, S. Yamago, J. Yoshida and O. Kajimoto, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2002, 41, 623; (d) C. A. G. Carter, R. T. Baker, S. P. Nolan and W. Tumas, Chem. Commun., 2000, 347; (e) A. I. Cooper and A. B. Holmes, Adv. Mater., 1999, 11, 1270; (f) J. L. Zhang, B. X. Han, J. C. Liu, X. G. Zhang, Z. M. Liu and J. He, Chem. Commun., 2001, 24, 2724; (g) C. N. Field, P. A. Hamley, J. M. Webster, D. H. Gregory, J. J. Tittman and M. Poliakoff, J. Am Chem. Soc., 2000, 122, 2480.
- 5 (a) L. A. Blanchard, D. Hancu, E. J. Bechman and J. F. Brennecke, *Nature*, 1999, **399**, 28; (b) A. M. Scurto, S. N. V. K. Aki and J. F. Brennecke, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, **124**, 10276.
- 6 L. A. Blanchard and J. F. Brennecke, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res, 2001, 40, 287.
- 7 (a) F. C. Liu, M. B. Abrams, R. T. Backer and W. Tumas, *Chem. Commun.*, 2001, 433; (b) R. A. Brown, P. Pollet, E. McKoon, C. A. Eckert, C. L. Liotta and P. G. Jessop, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2001, **123**, 1254; (c) M. F. Sellin, P. B. Webb and D. J. Cole-Hamilton, *Chem. Commun.*, 2001, 781.
- 8 Z. S. Hou, B. X. Han, L. Gao, T. Jiang, Z. M. Liu, Y. H. Chang, X. G. Zhang and J. He, *New J. Chem.*, 2002, 26, 1246.
- 9 J. G. Huddleston, H. D. Willauer, R. P. Swatloski, A. E. Visser and R. D. Rogers, *Chem. Commun.*, 1998, 1765.