## OI: 10.1039/b304178f

## Perchloric acid adsorbed on silica gel as a new, highly efficient, and versatile catalyst for acetylation of phenols, thiols, alcohols, and amines†

## Asit K. Chakraborti\* and Rajesh Gulhane

Department of Medicinal Chemistry, National Institute of Pharmaceutical Education and Research (NIPER), Sector 67, S. A. S. Nagar 160 062 Punjab, India. E-mail: akchakraborti@niper.ac.in; Fax: +91-172-214692; Tel: +91-172-214682

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 15th April 2003, Accepted 3rd June 2003 First published as an Advance Article on the web 25th June 2003

Perchloric acid adsorbed on silica gel efficiently catalyses acetylation of structurally diverse phenols, alcohols, thiols, and amines under solvent free conditions.

The prevalence of phenol, thiol, alcohol, and amine functionalities in drugs and pharmaceuticals makes the protection of these functional groups a prime importance while carrying out reactions sensitive to such functionalities in a multifunctional substrate having one or more of these groups. Protection is usually achieved through acylation with anhydrides<sup>1</sup> due to the ease of deprotection.<sup>1,2</sup> The poor nucleophilicity of hydroxylic compounds, particularly phenols, makes it essential to activate the anhydride. Recent procedures for acylation with anhydride include the use of Bu<sub>3</sub>P,<sup>3</sup> metal triflates such as Sc(OTf)<sub>3</sub>,<sup>4</sup> TMSOTf,<sup>5</sup> Sc(NTf<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>,<sup>6</sup> Cu(OTf)<sub>2</sub>,<sup>7</sup> In(OTf)<sub>3</sub>,<sup>8</sup> Bi(OTf)<sub>3</sub>,<sup>9</sup>,<sup>10</sup> clays,<sup>11</sup> zeolite,<sup>12</sup> Nafion-H,<sup>13</sup> and yttria-zirconia.<sup>14</sup> The limitations of the existing protocols realized in terms of longer reaction time, stringent conditions, use of halogenated solvents, use of hazardous materials (e.g. DMAP1 is highly toxic, Bu<sub>3</sub>P is flammable and air sensitive), use of costly and water sensitive catalysts (e.g. the triflates), special efforts required to prepare the catalyst (e.g. Bi(OTf)<sub>3</sub>, Nafion-H, yttria-zirconia), need to use excess acylating agent, potential side reactions with acidsensitive substrates and in most of the cases being applicable to alcohols only make the necessity to develop a better acylation method in high demand.

Although recently the triflates have emerged as the most effective catalysts, the strong Lewis acid character of the triflates makes their use for acid-sensitive substrates difficult necessitating the use of a large excess of acylating agent and carrying out the reaction at low temperature to avoid potential side reactions. The high cost and susceptibility to aqueous medium of the metal triflates become a major concern for their industrial applications.

It has been proposed that during the triflate catalyzed acylation, the liberated TfOH acts as the actual catalytic agent.<sup>10</sup> We reasoned that the large negative  $H_0$  value of -14.1 of TfOH15 might be the reason for the potential competitive side reactions with acid-sensitive substrates during the use of metal triflates. Therefore, the use of a protic acid weaker than TfOH should permit acylation without any potential side reaction. Since HClO<sub>4</sub> is the next strongest protic acid known, we planned to evaluate its catalytic activity during the reaction of 2-naphthol with Ac<sub>2</sub>O. Although the use of 0.1-1 mol% of aqueous HClO4 resulted in almost quantitative formation of 2-naphthyl acetate in 15 min, acylation of acid-sensitive substrates such as geraniol and linalool catalyzed by aqueous HClO<sub>4</sub> led to the formation of rearranged products. Anticipating that the aqueous conditions might be the reason for the detrimental effect, we planned to use HClO<sub>4</sub> supported on silica gel ( $HClO_4$ – $SiO_2$ ). <sup>16</sup> Moreover, the increasing pressure from environmentalists has led to a search for more friendly forms of catalysis. The leading contender for an environmentally acceptable alternative to the acylation processes is the use of supported

 $\dagger$  Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: general experimental details, spectral data, comparison of HClO4–SiO2 with other reported catalysts. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/b3/b304178f/

reagents. The activity and selectivity of a reagent dispersed on the surface of a support is improved as the effective surface area of the reagent can be increased up to one hundred times. The fact that supported reagents have good thermal and mechanical stabilities, can be easily handled as they are invariably low toxic, non-corrosive free flowing powders and are easily separated from the reaction mixture through filtration and reused make them suitable for industrial applications.

The results incorporated in Table 1 demonstrate the generality and scope of HClO<sub>4</sub>-SiO<sub>2</sub> during the acetylation of structurally diverse phenols, thiols, amines and alcohols under solvent free conditions. The reaction could be carried out with one equiv. of Ac<sub>2</sub>O at room temperature in 5–30 min. Sterically hindered and electron deficient phenols and amines (entries 3, 4, 8, 9, 18, and 19) are efficiently acetylated under solvent free conditions. Excellent chemoselectivity was observed in that secondary and tertiary alcohols do not experience any competitive dehydration (entries 22, 24-34) and no rearrangement took place for allylic and propargylic substrates (entries 30–34). Optically active substrates were efficiently acetylated without any detrimental effect on the optical purity (entries 25–27) demonstrating the mildness of the acetylation process. Sterically hindered alcohols could be effectively acetylated (entries 24, 28 and 34). The catalyst was recovered and reused five consecutive times giving excellent yields.<sup>17</sup>

The superiority of HClO<sub>4</sub>–SiO<sub>2</sub> as acetylation catalyst over the metal triflates could be established while comparing the results obtained with a few representative acid-sensitive substrates. Thus, the Sc(OTf)<sub>3</sub><sup>4</sup> and TMSOTf<sup>5</sup> catalyzed acetylation of 1-methylcyclohexanol used 5 equiv. of Ac<sub>2</sub>O at -20 and -10 °C respectively to afford comparable results obtained with 1 equiv. of Ac<sub>2</sub>O at room temperature under the catalytic influence of HClO<sub>4</sub>–SiO<sub>2</sub> (entry 24). The Bi(OTf)<sub>3</sub>, Sc(OTf)<sub>3</sub> and TMSOTf catalyzed acetylation of 1-ethynylcyclohexanol afforded 94, 88, and 62% yields in 4, 4, and 2 h, respectively, with 10 equiv. of Ac<sub>2</sub>O<sup>9</sup> whereas the corresponding acetylated product could be obtained in 90% yields in 15 min with 1 equiv. of Ac<sub>2</sub>O in the presence of HClO<sub>4</sub>-SiO<sub>2</sub> (entry 34). The TMSOTf<sup>5</sup> catalyzed acetylation of linalool results in the formation of the rearranged product geranyl acetate and the  $Sc(OTf)_3^4$  catalyzed reaction carried out at -20°C affords a 68% yield of linalyl acetate and 8% geranyl acetate even with the use of Ac<sub>2</sub>O as solvent. An 80% yield of linally acetate is obtained in the presence of Bi(OTf)<sub>3</sub> with 10 equiv. of Ac<sub>2</sub>O.<sup>9</sup> Contrary to these findings, the HClO<sub>4</sub>–SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyzed acetylation affords an 80% yield of linalyl acetate using 1 equiv. of Ac<sub>2</sub>O. While the acetylation of 1-adamantanol with 10 equiv. of  $Ac_2O$  afforded 98, 99, and 94% yields in 6, 8.5, and 3.5 h, respectively, during the Bi(OTf)<sub>3</sub>, Sc(OTf)<sub>3</sub> and TMSOTf catalyzed acetylation,9 a 90% yield was obtained with 1 equiv. of Ac<sub>2</sub>O in 15 min in the presence of HClO<sub>4</sub>–SiO<sub>2</sub> (entry 28). To compare the catalytic activity of HClO<sub>4</sub>-SiO<sub>2</sub> with the conceptually related H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-SiO<sub>2</sub>, linalool was treated with stoichiometric quantities of Ac<sub>2</sub>O in MeCN for 1 h at room temperature. An 80% yield of the acetylated product was obtained under the catalytic influence of HClO<sub>4</sub>–SiO<sub>2</sub> whereas no significant amount of acetylation took place in the presence of  $H_2SO_4$ – $SiO_2$ .

Table 1 HClO<sub>4</sub>–SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyzed acetylation of phenols, thiols, amines and alcohols<sup>ab</sup>

Entry	Substrate	Time/min	Yield (%)	Entry	Substrate	Time/min	Yield (%)
1	2-Naphthol	5	93	18	2-Nitroaniline	15	83
2	4-Methoxyphenol	15	94	19	2,4-Dinitroaniline	30	91
3	2,6-Di- <i>tert</i> -butyl-4-methylphe	nol 15	$100^{c}$	20	Benzyl alcohol	60	96
4	2,4,6-Trimethylphenol	15	94	21	2-Phenethyl alcohol	30	90
5	4-Bromophenol	30	92	22	1-Phenethyl alcohol	60	87 <sup>f</sup>
6	4-Hydroxyacetophenone	15	99	23	Benzoin	15	82
7	4-Hydroxymethylbenzoate	15	98	24	1-Methylcyclohexanol	30	92f
8	4-Cyanophenol	15	92	25	α-D-Glucose	30	89g
9	4-Nitrophenol	30	91	26	(—)-Menthol	5	90
10	Hydroquinone	5	$83^{d}$	27	(—)-Borneol	45	92
11	Catechol	15	$97^d$	28	1-Adamantanol	15	90
12	Resorcinol	10	$91^{d}$	29	Geraniol	60	90
13	Benzene 1,2,3-triol	10	$89^e$	30	Linalool	60	80 <sup>f</sup>
14	Benzenethiol	5	99	31	Propargyl alcohol	30	100
15	4-Methylbenzenethiol	15	92	32	2-Methyl-3-butyne-2-ol	30	100
16	4-Methoxybenzenethiol	15	95	33	3-Methylpent-1yne-3-ol	30	100
17	α-Toluenethiol	30	90	34	1-Ethynylcyclohexanol	15	90 <sup>f</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> The substrate was treated with Ac<sub>2</sub>O (1 equiv. per OH/SH/NH<sub>2</sub> group except for entry 3) in the presence of HClO<sub>4</sub>–SiO<sub>2</sub> (1 mol%) under neat conditions (except entries 22, 24 ,30, 34) at room temperature. <sup>b</sup> Isolated yield of the corresponding acetylated product. <sup>c</sup> 1.5 equiv. of Ac<sub>2</sub>O was used at room temperature. <sup>d</sup> Isolated yield of the di-acetate. <sup>e</sup> Isolated yield of the tri-acetate. <sup>f</sup> The reaction was carried out in MeCN at room temperature. <sup>g</sup> Isolated yield of the penta-acetate.

Table 2  $HClO_4$ - $SiO_2$  catalyzed direct acetylation of alcohols with HOAc

Entry	Substrate	Method	$A^a$	Method Ba	
		Time/h	Yield <sup>b</sup> (%)	Time/h	Yield <sup>b</sup> (%)
1	Benzyl alcohol	6	94	0.25	96
2	2-Phenethyl alcohol	2	90	0.5	93
3	1-Phenethyl alcohol	6	90	0.5	90
4	1-Phenyl-1-propanol	6	$75^c$	0.5	91
5	1-Ethynyl cyclohexanol	6	90	_	_
6	(—)-Menthol	6	$97^d$	0.5	$95^d$
7	(—)-Borneol	_	_	0.5	$90^d$
8	1-Adamantanol	_	_	0.5	$80^e$
9	Propargyl alcohol	6	85	_	_
10	2-Methyl-3-butyne-2-ol	6	87	_	_

 $^a$  The substrate was treated with HOAc (5 equiv.) in the presence of HClO $_4$ –SiO $_2$  (1 mol%) at room temperature (Method A) or at 65 °C (Method B).  $^b$  Isolated yield of the corresponding acetylated product.  $^c$  A 2 mL of HOAc was used for 1 mmol of the substrate.  $^d$  The reaction was carried out at 80 °C using 2 mL of HOAc per mmol of the substrate.  $^e$  The reaction was carried out at 110 °C using 5 mL of HOAc per mmol of the substrate.

We next extended the use of  $HClO_4$ – $SiO_2$  for direct acetylation of alcohols with HOAc (Table 2). The superiority of  $HClO_4$ – $SiO_2$  is further established in the fact that direct acetylation of benzyl alcohol, 2-phenethyl alcohol, and 1-phenethyl alcohol with HOAc (5 equiv.) could be achieved in 6 h at room temperature (90–94% yields) or at 65 °C in 0.25–0.5 h (90–96% yields) under the catalytic influence of  $HClO_4$ – $SiO_2$  (1 mol%). The corresponding reactions catalyzed by  $Cu(OTf)_3$  or  $Sc(OTf)_3$ 18 afforded comparable results under heating at 65 °C for 8–14 h using HOAc as solvent.

In conclusion, HClO<sub>4</sub>–SiO<sub>2</sub> is a new and highly efficient catalyst for acetylation of phenols, thiols, alcohols and amines. The low cost, ease of handling and, with increasing environmental concern, <sup>19</sup> the solvent free conditions employed in the present method, which make it "environmentally friendly", fulfil the "triple bottom line philosophy"<sup>20</sup> and make it useful for industrial applications.

## **Notes and references**

- 1 T. W. Greene and P. G. M. Wuts, *Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis*, Wiley, New York, 3rd edn., 1999; J. R. Hanson, *Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis*, Blackwell Science Inc., Malden, MA, 1st edn., 1999.
- 2 A. K. Chakraborti, M. K. Nayak and L. Sharma, J. Org. Chem., 2002, 67, 1776; A. K. Chakraborti, M. K. Nayak and L. Sharma, J. Org. Chem., 2002, 67, 2541; A. K. Chakraborti, L. Sharma and U. Sharma,

- Tetrahedron, 2001, 57, 9343; A. K. Chakraborti, M. K. Nayak and L. Sharma, J. Org. Chem., 1999, 64, 8027.
- 3 E. Vedejs, N. S. Bennett, L. M. Conn, S. T. Diver, M. Gingras, S. Lin, P. A. Oliver and M. J. Peterson, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1993, **58**, 7286; E. Vedejs and S. T. Diver, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1993, **115**, 3358.
- 4 K. Ishihara, M. Kubota, H. Kurihara and H. Yamamoto, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1995, **117**, 4413; K. Ishihara, M. Kubota, H. Kurihara and H. Yamamoto, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1996, **61**, 4560.
- 5 P. A. Procopiou, S. P. D. Baugh, S. S. Flack and G. G. A. Inglis, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1998, **63**, 2342; P. A. Procopiou, S. P. D. Baugh, S. S. Flack and G. G. A. Inglis, *Chem. Commun*, 1996, 2625.
- 6 K. Ishihara, M. Kubota and H. Yamamoto, Synlett, 1996, 265.
- 7 P. Saravanan and V. K. Singh, Tetrahedron Lett., 1999, 40, 2611.
- 8 K. K. Chauhan, C. G. Frost, I. Love and D. Waite, *Synlett*, 1999, 1743.
- A. Orita, C. Tanahashi, A. Kakuda and J. Otera, J. Org. Chem., 2001, 66, 8926.
- 10 M. D. Carrigan, D. Freiberg, R. C. Smith, H. M. Zerth and R. S. Mohan, Synthesis, 2001, 2091.
- 11 A.-X. Li, T.-S. Li and T.-H. Ding, *Chem. Commun*, 1997, 1389.
- 12 R. Ballini, G. Bosica, S. Carloni, L. Ciaralli, R. Maggi and G. Sartori, Tetrahedron Lett., 1998, 39, 6049.
- 13 R. Kumareswaran, K. Pachamuthu and Y. D. Vankar, Synlett, 2000, 1652
- 14 P. Kumar, R. K. Pandey, M. S. Bodas and M. K. Dongare, Synlett, 2001, 206
- 15 G. A. Olah and G. K. S. Prakash, Superacids, Wiley, New York, 1985.
- 16 **Preparation of HClO<sub>4</sub>–SiO<sub>2</sub>:** HClO<sub>4</sub> (1.25 g, 12.5 mmol, as a 70% aqueous solution) was added to the suspension of silica gel (23.75 g, 230–400 mesh) in Et<sub>2</sub>O. The mixture was concentrated and the residue heated at 100 °C for 72 h under vacuum to afford HClO<sub>4</sub>–SiO<sub>2</sub> (0.5 mmol g<sup>-1</sup>) as a free flowing powder.
- 17 **Typical procedure of acetylation:** 2-naphthol (360 mg, 2.5 mmol) was treated with Ac<sub>2</sub>O (0.24 ml, 2.5 mmol) in the presence of HClO<sub>4</sub>–SiO<sub>2</sub> (50 mg, 0.025 mmol of HClO<sub>4</sub>) for 5 min at room temperature under magnetic stirring. The mixture was diluted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (25 ml) and the catalyst allowed to settle down. The supernatant ethereal solution was decanted off, the catalyst washed with Et<sub>2</sub>O (2 ml) and the combined ethereal solution concentrated under vacuum to afford the product (432 mg, 93%), identical (mp, IR, <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR, and EIMS) to an authentic sample of 2-naphthyl acetate. The recovered catalyst was activated by heating at 80 °C under vacuum for 2 h and reused for acetylation of a fresh lot of 2-naphthol (2.5 mmol) affording 90% yield of 2-naphthyl acetate after 10 min. The recovered catalyst, after activation, was reused for four more consecutive acetylation reactions of 2-naphthol (2.5 mmol) affording 90, 85, 80, and 75% yields, respectively, in 15, 15, 15, and 30 min.
- 18 A. G. M. Barrett and D. C. Braddock, *Chem. Commun.*, 1997, 351.
- 19 R. L. Garrett, in *Designing Safer Chemicals*, ed. R. L. Garrett and S. C. De Vito, American Chemical Society Symposium Series 640, Washington DC, 1996, ch. 1.
- 20 J. Elkington, http://www.sustainability.co.uk/sustainability.htm.