## **Oxygenation of thiolates to** *S***-bonded sulfinate in an iron(III) complex related to nitrile hydratase**

## **Erwan Galardon,***a* **Michel Giorgi***b* **and Isabelle Artaud\****a*

*a Laboratoire de Chimie et Biochimie Toxicologiques et Pharmacologiques, UMR8601 CNRS, Université René Descartes, 45 rue des St-Pères, 75270 Paris Cedex 06, France.*

*E-mail: isabelle.artaud@biomedicale.univ-paris5.fr; Fax: 33-1-42868387; Tel: 33-1-42862189*

*b Laboratoire de Bioinorganique Structurale, UMR6517 CNRS, Faculté des Sciences et Techniques de St Jérome, case 432, avenue Escadrille Normandie-Niemen 13397 Marseille Cedex 20, France ˆ*

*Received (in Cambridge, UK) 8th October 2003, Accepted 21st November 2003 First published as an Advance Article on the web 16th December 2003*

Air oxidation of the iron(III) complex derived from (2-mercap**toisobutyryl)-4,5-dichloro-***o***-phenylenediamine in the presence of Et4NCN afforded the corresponding disulfinato species. With two carboxamido nitrogens** *trans* **to two S-bonded sulfinates, this complex mimics the disulfinate inactive form of Fe-NHase.**

Nitrile hydratases (NHases) are bacterial enzymes that are essential for the industrial production of acrylamide.1 They catalyze the hydration of nitriles to amides and contain either a low-spin nonheme iron( $III$ ) or non-corrinoid cobalt $(III)$  center. The X-ray structures revealed that the endogenous ligands to the iron<sup>2</sup> or to the cobalt3 are two peptide amide nitrogens and three sulfurs arising from a highly conserved peptide sequence among NHases, CXYCSC. Two of the thiolates *trans* to two carboxamido nitrogens in the basal plane are post-translationally oxidized to sulfinate and sulfenate, while the third non-oxidized thiolate occupies an axial position *trans* to a water molecule or to a hydroxide anion. In contrast to Co-NHases, Fe-NHases have a high affinity for NO which is further released upon exposure to light.4 The role of NO and the origin of the post-translational modification of the protein remain unknown, even though the sulfenate seems likely to play a key role in the nitrile hydration process.5 Biomimic approach aims at reproducing Fe- and Co-NHases active site as well as their chemical reactivities. Whereas several Co-mimics containing sulfenate(s) and/or sulfinate(s) have been prepared,<sup>6*a*</sup> only a few Fe-mimics are available.6*a*–*c* Most of them contain non-oxidized thiolates and amine or imine nitrogens and very few introduce carboxamido nitrogens. In this latter case the thiolates can be oxidized to sulfinates affording five-coordinate species with *O*bonded sulfinate<sup>7*a*,*b* and six-coordinate species with *S*-bonded</sup> sufinates.7*a*,*c* In no case are two oxidized thiolates *trans* to two carboxamido nitrogens as in Fe-NHase. Recently, we prepared a five-coordinate  $(Et_4N)_2[Fe(N_2S_2)Cl]$  complex with a square pyramidal structure, derived from (2-mercaptoisobutyryl)-*o*-phenylenediamine  $N_2S_2H_4$ , which contains in the mean plane two thiolates *trans*to two deprotonated amides.8 This complex has a high affinity for NO and readily binds one NO molecule per iron leading to an air stable nitrosyl complex  $(Et_4N)[Fe(N_2S_2)(NO)]$  with a square pyramidal structure. $8$  We report here the synthesis and structural characterization of the six-coordinate  $(Et<sub>4</sub>N)<sub>3</sub>[Fe(N<sub>2</sub>Cl 2{SO_2}_2$ (CN)<sub>2</sub>] disulfinate complex derived from an analogous ligand (2-mercaptoisobutyryl)-4,5-dichloro-*o*-phenylenediamine.

The disubstituted ligand  $N_2S_2Cl_2H_4$  was prepared in three steps, as described for (2-mercaptoisobutyryl)-*o*-phenylenediamine.8 Two chloro substituents were introduced on the previous ligand to facilitate the crystallization of the final disulfinate complex. The ligand readily binds iron(III) in DMF at  $-15$  °C in the presence of base as previously described for  $N_2S_2H_4$ .<sup>8</sup>

Complex 1 was characterized by elemental analysis.<sup>9</sup> It exhibits similar spectroscopic characteristics to the structurally characterized  $(Et_4N)_2[Fe(N_2S_2)Cl]$  complex : i) UV-vis absorptions at 365 and 504 nm in CH<sub>3</sub>CN, ii) a carbonyl stretching frequency  $v_{\text{CO}}$  at  $1590 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  as expected for coordinated carboxamido nitrogens, iii) a broad EPR spectrum in frozen CH3CN at 20 K with *g* values at



4.58 and 1.96 typical of iron(III) with an  $S = 3/2$  ground state. This complex is air stable. The axial chloro ligand can be readily exchanged with cyanide in acetonitrile with 1 equiv. of  $Et<sub>4</sub>NCN$ . The cyano complex  $2 \left(Et_4N\right)_2[Fe(N_2S_2Cl_2)CN]$  was first purified by LH20 chromatography eluted with methanol, then isolated as a red powder upon precipitation under argon from an acetonitrile solution by addition of diethyl ether. This complex is very hygroscopic and air sensitive, and has to be handled under argon. Its elemental analysis,10 as well as its IR spectrum displaying in addition to the  $v_{\text{CO}}$  vibration at 1591 cm<sup>-1</sup> a stretching frequency at  $2102 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , are in agreement with a monocyano species. Its UVvis spectrum in  $CH<sub>3</sub>CN$  exhibits two absorptions at 360 and 480 nm. Its EPR spectrum in CH<sub>3</sub>CN glass shows signals at  $g = 4.38$ , 2.15 and 1.97 suggesting that at 20 K **2** is converted into two species characterized by two different spin states  $(S = 3/2$  and  $S = 1/2)$ . In agreement with this hypothesis, the spin concentration determined by double integration ratio of the signals at  $g = 4.38$  ( $S = 3/2$ ) and of those at 2.15 and 1.97  $(S = 1/2)$  was equal to one. The sixcoordinate species is assumed to be the dicyano complex **3**  $(Et_4N)_3[Fe(N_2S_2Cl_2)(CN)_2]$  and the  $S = 3/2$  species the fivecoordinate complex with a solvent molecule in the fifth position instead of the initial cyanide. All attempts to characterize the sixcoordinate complex by low temperature UV-vis experiments have failed so far. Such a six-coordinate complex should exhibit a high wavelength absorption in the range 600 to 700 nm assigned to a thiolate-to-Fe( $\text{III}$ ) charge transfer band.<sup>7*a*</sup> Decreasing the temperature of a solution of 2 in acetonitrile or in a mixture of  $CH_2Cl_2$ / CH<sub>3</sub>CN down to  $-45$  °C does not result in any change in the electronic spectrum, even in the presence of a large excess of cyanide. However, when **2** in acetonitrile was exposed to dioxygen overnight in the presence of 1 equiv. of  $Et<sub>4</sub>NCN$ , the red solution turned green. The electronic spectrum of this solution exhibits a band at 704 nm, suggesting the formation of a six-coordinate species. Precipitation of the product afforded a green solid which shows in its IR spectrum a  $v_{CN}$  vibration at 2104 cm<sup>-1</sup> and two new strong bands at 1166 and 1050 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The two latter bands indicate oxidation of the thiolato sulfurs into sulfinates and the formation of an *S*-bonded sulfinate. The elemental analysis is in agreement with the formulation  $(Et_4N)_3[Fe(N_2Cl_2{SO_2}_2)(CN)_2]$  for complex 4.<sup>11</sup> Its EPR spectrum in frozen CH3CN displays two broad bands at *g*  $= 2.14$  and 1.96 indicating that the iron(III) is in a low-spin state. Crystals suitable for X-ray analysis† were obtained by vapor diffusion of diethyl ether in an acetonitrile solution of **4**. The crystal structure of the anion of **4** shown in Fig. 1 reveals an octahedral environment for the iron(III) with two *S*-bonded sulfinates *trans* to two deprotonated amide nitrogens as in NHase and two axially bound cyanides. Oxygenation of the thiolates does not result in a significant change of the nitrogen-to-iron bond lengths but a 0.05 Å increase of the Fe–S distances is noted, with average values of 2.201 and 2.252 Å in  $[Fe(N_2S_2)(Cl)]^{2-8}$  and 4 respectively. Interestingly, the Fe– $S(O)_2$  distances compare well with that reported for NHase (2.25 Å).2

We have previously described the oxidation of thiolates to sulfinates in a related  $Co(m)$  complex.<sup>12</sup> Different oxidation products were characterized when *t*BuNC12*a* or cyanide12*b* were used as axial ligands, and dioxirane<sup>12*a*</sup> or dioxygen<sup>12*b*</sup> as oxidants. Obtention of a clean oxidation product from **1** requires several conditions: i) introduction of  $CN^-$  as axial ligand to promote oxygenation  $(1 \text{ is stable under } O_2)$ , ii) presence of a second equivalent of cyanide in the reaction mixture, since **2** decomposes under a dioxygen atmosphere, iii) use of dioxygen as oxidant. Oxidations carried out with either dioxirane or  $H_2O_2$  result in the destruction of the complex, probably caused by further oxidation of the ligand. While it is clear that in the cobalt series thiolate oxygenation occurs through a six-coordinate  $Co(m)$  complex,<sup>12</sup> we have no evidence for the involvement of such an iron(III) species in the oxygenation of **1** and **2**. The six-coordinate dicyano complex **3** was only observed by EPR at a very low temperature. Consequently it might exist in a very small amount at room temperature and be involved in the oxidation process yielding the *S*-bonded species as previously discussed by Mascharak *et al.*7*a* However, an alternative hypothesis could be the isomerization of an unstable *O*-bonded sulfinate to a more stable *S*-bonded species. The relative orientation of the thiolates to the amides at the metal center may thus have a critical role on the oxidation mechanism, as shown herein.

To our knowledge, this work is the first fully characterized example of thiolate to *S*-bonded sulfinate oxidation mediated by dioxygen in a NHase model complex. The previously reported



**Fig. 1** Thermal ellipsoid plot (50% probability level) of the anion of **4**. H are omitted for clarity. Selected bond distances (in Å) Fe1–N1, 1.960(2); Fe1– N2, 1.958(2); Fe1–S1, 2.2550(8); Fe1–S2, 2.2493(8); S1–O1, 1.467(2); S1– O2, 1.474(2); S2–O3, 1.473(2); S2–O4, 1.471(2); Fe1–C15, 1.965(3); Fe1– C16, 1.963(3); C15–N3, 1.148(4); C16–N4, 1.153(4). Selected bond angles (in deg) N1–Fe1–C16, 87.68(11); N1–Fe1–C15, 96.90(12); C15–Fe1–S1, 86.22(9); C15–Fe1–S2, 87.60(9); N2–Fe1–S1, 167.44(7); N1–Fe1–S1, 83.77(8); N1–Fe1–S2, 166.11(8); N2–Fe1–S2, 82.85(7); C16–Fe1–C15, 173.33(12); S2–Fe1–S1, 109.70(3).

dioxygen oxidation of thiolates afforded stable *O*-bonded species.7*a*,*c* Finally, this complex can be considered as the first mimic of an inactive form of iron-NHase containing in the mean-plane two sulfinates instead of one sulfinate and one sulfenate, as recently described by Tsujimura *et al.*13

## **Notes and references**

 $\dagger$  *Crystal data* for **4**:  $C_{42}H_{77}N_8O_6S_2Cl_2Fe$ ,  $M_w = 981.009$ , monoclinic, green crystal  $(0.6 \times 0.3 \times 0.1 \text{ mm}^3)$ ,  $a = 20.0780(7)$ ,  $b = 11.0660(2)$ ,  $c =$ 22.5990(8) Å,  $\beta$  = 97.566(2)°,  $V = 4977.4(3)$  Å<sup>3</sup>, space group  $P2<sub>1</sub>/c$ ,  $Z =$ 4,  $\rho = 1.309$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $\mu$ (MoK $\alpha$ ) = 5.46 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 10374 reflections measured at 193 K in the 1–26.36°  $\theta$  range, 9886 unique ( $R_{int} = 0.076$ ), 550 parameters refined on  $F^2$  using 9886 reflections to final indices  $R$  [ $F^2$  >  $4\sigma F^2$ ] = 0.053,  $wR = 0.102$  [ $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0176P)^2 + 9.0758P]$ ] where  $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ . The final residual Fourier positive and negative peaks were equal to  $0.67$  and  $-0.63$ , respectively. Crystal data were collected on a Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer at 193 K. Solution and refinement were performed using the SHELX-97 programs. CCDC 221796. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/b3/b312318a/ for crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format.

- 1 (*a*) M. Kobayashi, T. Nagasawa and H. Yamada, *Trends Biotechnol.*, 1992, **10**, 402; (*b*) H. Yamada and M. Kobayashi, *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.*, 1996, **60**, 1391.
- 2 S. Nagashima, M. Nakasako, N. Dohmae, M. Tsujimura, K. Takio, M. Odaka, M. Yohda, Kamiya and I. Endo, *Nat. Struct. Biol.*, 1998, **5**, 347.
- 3 A. Miyanaga, S. Fushinobu, K. Ito and T. Wakagi, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.*, 2001, **288**, 1169.
- 4 (*a*) T. Noguchi, M. Hoshino, M. Tsujimura, M. Odaka, Y. Inoue and I. Endo, *Biochemistry*, 1996, **35**, 16777; (*b*) D. Bonnet, I. Artaud, C. Moali, D. Petre and D. Mansuy, *FEBS Lett.*, 1997, **409**, 216.
- 5 (*a*) L. Heinrich, A. Mary-Vela, L. Yun, J. Vaissermann and J. C. Chottard, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2000, **9**, 2203; (*b*) D. Bonnet, J. M. Stevens, R. Alves de Sousa, M. A. Sari, D. Mansuy and I. Artaud, *J. Biochem.*, 2001, **130**, 227.
- 6 (*a*) P. K. Mascharak, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2002, **225**, 201 and refs. therein (*b*) C. A. Grapperhaus, M. Li, A. K. Patra, S. Poturovic, P. M. Kozlowski, M. Z. Zgierski and M. S. Mashuta, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2003, **42**, 4382; (*c*) C. M. Lee, C. H. Hsieh, A. Dutta, G. H. Lee and W. F. Liaw, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **125**, 11492.
- 7 (*a*) J. C. Noveron, M. M. Olmstead and P. K. Mascharak, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2001, **123**, 3247; (*b*) L. Heinrich, Y. Li, J. Vaissermann, G. Chottard and J. C. Chottard, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1999, **38**, 3526; (*c*) L. A. Tyler, J. C. Noveron, M. M. Olmstead and P. P. Mascharak, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1999, **38**, 616.
- 8 S. Chatel, A. S. Chauvin, J. P. Tuchagues, P. Leduc, E. Bill, J. C. Chottard, D. Mansuy and I. Artaud, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2002, **336**, 19.
- 9 Selected data for  $(Et_4N)_2[Fe(N_2S_2Cl_2)Cl]$ : Anal. Calc. For  $C_{30}H_{54}Cl_{3}FeN_{4}O_{2}S_{2}.2.5H_{2}O$ : C 46.54, H 7.68, N 7.24, found C 46.67, H 7.62, N 6.97%. UV-vis (CH<sub>3</sub>CN):  $\lambda$ /nm ( $\varepsilon$ /M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>) 365 (3514), 504 (3516). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ /ppm 18.5 (6H), 12 (2H), -33.2 (6H). EPR (CH<sub>3</sub>CN, 20 K, 9.45 GHz): 4.58, 1.96. Selected IR bands (KBr pellet, cm<sup>-1</sup>):  $v_{\text{CO}}$  1590.
- 10 Selected data for  $(Et_4N)_2[Fe(N_2S_2Cl_2)(CN)]$ : Anal. Calc. For C31H54Cl2FeN5O2S2·2H2O·2CH3OH: C 48.35, H 8.11, N 8.54, found C 48.36, H 8.10, N 8.48%. UV-vis (CH<sub>3</sub>CN):  $\lambda$ /nm ( $\varepsilon$ /M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>): 360 (4972), 480 (3304). EPR (CH3CN, 20 K, 9.45 GHz): 4.38, 2.5, 1.97. Selected IR bands (KBr pellet, cm<sup>-1</sup>):  $v_{CN}$ : 2102,  $v_{CO}$ : 1591.
- 11 Selected data for  $(Et_4N)_3[Fe(N_2Cl_2{SO_2}]_2)(CN)_2]$ : Anal. Calc. For  $C_{40}H_{74}Cl_2FeN_7O_6S_2.2H_2O$ : C 49.23, H 8.06, N 10.05, found C 49.03, H 8.06, N 10.05%. UV-vis (CH<sub>3</sub>CN):  $\lambda$ /nm ( $\varepsilon$ /M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>): 404 (1263), 754 (1098). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $δ$ ppm -4.1 (12H), -2.2 (2H). EPR (CH3CN, 20 K, 9.45 GHz): 2.14, 1.96. Selected IR bands (KBr pellet, cm<sup>-1</sup>):  $v_{CN}$ : 2104,  $v_{CO}$ : 1574,  $v_{SO2}$ : 1166 and 1050.
- 12 (*a*) M. Rat, R. Alves de Sousa, J. Vaisermann, P. Leduc, D. Mansuy and I. Artaud, *J. Inorg. Biochem.*, 2001, **84**, 207; (*b*) M. Rat, R. Alves de Sousa, A. Tomas, Y. Frapart, J. P. Tuchagues and I. Artaud, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2003, 759.
- 13 M. Tsujimura, M. Odaka, H. Nakayama, N. Dohmae, H. Koshino, T. Asami, M. Hoshino, K. Takio, S. Yoshida, M. Maeda and I. Endo, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **125**, 11532.