## **Contrasting coordination behaviour of 22-membered chalcogenaaza (Se, Te) macrocylces towards Pd(II) and Pt(II): Isolation and structural characterization of the first metallamacrocyle with a C–Pt–Se linkage†**

**Snigdha Panda,***a* **Harkesh B. Singh\****a* **and Ray J. Butcher***b*

*a Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay, Powai, Mumbai 400076, India. E-mail: chhbsia@chem.iitb.ac.in*

*b Department of Chemistry, Howard University, Washington D.C. 20059, USA*

*Received (in Cambridge, UK) 6th October 2003, Accepted 24th November 2003 First published as an Advance Article on the web 8th January 2004*

**Interaction of the 22-membered selenaaza macrocyle 3 with**  $Pt(II)$  leads to the formation of the novel cationic  $Pt(IV)$ **metallamacrocylic complex 5** *via* **the oxidative addition of a C–** Se bond to  $Pt(II)$ , whereas corresponding reactions of 3 and 4 with  $Pd(\Pi)$  afford cationic complexes 6 and 7 with differing **ligating properties.**

The coordination chemistry of chalcogen (Se/Te) containing macrocyles is a subject of growing interest.1 As a part of our research on the ligating properties of mixed donor macrocycles, we have recently reported novel Schiff base chalcogenaaza macrocycles 1 and 2 and characterized their  $Ni(\pi)$ ,  $Pd(\pi)$ ,  $Hg(\pi)$ complexes.<sup>2–5</sup> Attempted complexation reaction of 1 with  $Pd(\Pi)$  led to partial hydrolysis of the macrocycle5 whereas treatment of **2** with Pt( $\pi$ ) and Hg( $\pi$ ) afforded transmetallated products.<sup>2,3</sup> We are now interested in the ligating property of the reduced Schiff base chalcogenaaza macrocyles which would be more robust and flexible to give stable complexes. Herein we report complexation reactions of macrocyles  $3$  and  $4$  with Pd( $\pi$ ) and Pt( $\pi$ ).

Refluxing equimolar amounts of 3 and PtCl<sub>2</sub> in MeOH for 15 minutes yielded a clear solution of the complex **5** which was crystallized by slow diffusion of ether into the reaction mixture at room temperature as brick red colored crystals (Scheme 1). The complex formed was poorly soluble in most of the organic solvents and only partially soluble in MeOH. The elemental analysis data suggested the formation of a 1:1 (ligand : metal) complex. The molecular ion peak was not observed in ESI-MS, however, the peak at  $m/z$  863 corresponding to  $M^{\text{+}}$ -Cl<sup>-</sup>+2H<sub>2</sub>O was observed. The <sup>1</sup>H



**Scheme 1** *Reagents and conditions*: (i) PtCl<sub>2</sub>, MeOH (II) Pd(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>CN)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, NH<sub>4</sub>PF<sub>6</sub>, MeOH (iii) PdCl<sub>2</sub>, MeOH

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: synthesis and characterization of ligands **1** and **3**, structure refinement details for **7**. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/b3/b312358h/

and 13C NMR spectra of complex are too complicated for complete analysis. Due to the partial solubility of the complex in MeOH, no satisfactory 77Se NMR could be recorded even after over night data acquisition.‡

The X-ray crystal structure (Fig. 1) shows the formation of an unexpected complex **5** with a C–Pt–Se linkage.§ Complex **5** is presumably formed by oxidative addition of one of the C–Se bonds of the macrocycle  $3$  to Pt(II) forming a 23-membered cationic metallamacrocyle. The structure exhibits a typical octahedral geometry around Pt(IV) center.

The behaviour of the macrocycle to form the cationic  $Pt(iv)$ complex by oxidative addition of C–Se bond to  $Pt(II)$  center is in contrast to the reported stable  $Pt(II)$  and  $Pt(IV)$  complexes with homodonor and mixed donor cyclic selenoether ligands.<sup>6,7</sup> The facile oxidative addition in this case may be facilitated by the more polar nature of C–Se bond which results from the  $N\rightarrow$ Se intramolecular interaction present in parent macrocycle.8 Though the oxidative addition of halogens and the alkyl halides to the  $Pt(II)$ center are common,<sup>9</sup> there is only one example of oxidative addition of C–Se bond to  $Pt(II).<sup>10</sup>$  Another novel feature of the metallamacrocycle  $5$  is the stability of the cationic  $Pt(iv)$  species with a selenolate linkage in the macrocyclic ring. Puddephatt *et al*. have reported the synthesis of the first cationic  $Pt(IV)$  selenolate which was formed by oxidative addition of much polar  $Hg(II)$ –Se bond of an adamantanoid compound,11 compound **5** is the first example of a metallamacrocycle with a cationic  $Pt(v)$  selenolate which has been isolated by the oxidative addition of C–Se bond.

The  $Pd(\Pi)$  complexes 6 and 7 were prepared by refluxing 3 with  $Pd(C_6H_5CN)_2Cl_2$  followed by addition of  $NH_4PF_6$  and 4 with  $PdCl_2$ respectively. The elemental analysis data and ESI-MS suggested the formation of 1:1 complexes. The 77Se NMR spectrum for complex **6** exhibited a single signal at 869 ppm, which is considerably downfield shifted compared to the free ligand value (329 ppm), and indicates metal coordination to selenium in solution state which is in contrast to the solid state structure (*vide infra*).



Fig. 1 An ORTEP diagram of Pt complex 5. Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (°): Pt–C(16B) 2.009(5), Pt–Se(2) 2.4165(7), Pt–N(2B) 2.0384(4), Pt–N(2A) 2.050(4), Pt–N(1A) 2.180(3), Pt–Cl(1) 2.4292(13), C(16B)–Pt–N(2B) 81.52(19), C(16B)–Pt–Se(2) 88.54(12), N(2A)–Pt– Se(2) 93.88(11), N(2A)–Pt–N(1A) 82.35(14), N(2B)–Pt–N(1A) 97.27(15).

Whereas the  $^{125}$ Te NMR spectrum in DMSO- $d_6$  of complex 7 showed a peak at 710 ppm, which disappeared after half an hour due to decomposition of the complex. The molecular structures of Pd $(\Pi)$  complexes 6 and 7 show contrasting coordination behavior of Pd( $\text{II}$ ) towards ligands. The structure of complex **6** (Fig. 2) shows coordination of  $Pd(II)$  to only hard N donor atoms. This is in contrast to isolation of  $Pd(II)$  complexes of mixed donor Se/Te macrocycles containing  $O$  or  $P$  where  $Pd(n)$  coordinates to soft donor centers Se/Te.7 This anomalous behaviour may be due to the formation of stable 5-membered chelate rings rather than the formation of 6-membered chelate rings which would result on coordination to Se. Interestingly, the molecular structure (Fig. 3) of complex **7** shows coordination to two nitrogen and two tellurium atoms which is similar to the parent Schiff base macrocycle  $Pd(n)$ complex.<sup>5</sup> The coordination of Te in  $7$  may be due to the greater  $\sigma$ donor property of the Te over Se leading to soft–soft interaction and stabilization of the 6-membered chelate ring.

There are several examples of metallamacrocyles containing Pt $(n)$  formed by metal–ligand interactions;<sup>12</sup> complex **5** is the first example of a metallamacrocyle formed by oxidative addition of a C–Se bond to  $Pt(II)$  center. Further investigation on coordination behavior of these mixed donor chlcogenaaza macrocycles is in progress.

We are grateful to the Department of Science and Technology (DST), New Delhi for funding this work.



**Fig. 2** An ORTEP diagram of Pd complex **6**. Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (°): Pd–N(1B) 2.063(2), Pd–N(1A), 2.066(3), N(1B)–Pd– N(1B)#1 180.000(1), N(1B)–Pd–N(1A)#1 83.73(10).



**Fig. 3** An ORTEP diagram of Pd complex **7**. Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (°): Te(1)–Pd 2.5611(11), Te(2B)–Pd 2.422(3), Pd–N(1A) 2.112(5), Pd–N(2A) 2.117(6), Te(1)–Pd–Te(2A) 89.94(3), N(1A)–Pd– Te(1) 93.98(14), N(1A)–Pd–N(2A) 84.0(2), N(2A)–Pd–Te(2A) 94.02(17).

## **Notes and references**

‡ *Analytical data*: **5** Yield, 0.074 g, 52%, mp 252 °C (d); Elemental analysis calcd (%) for  $C_{32}H_{40}N_4O_2Se_2PtCl_2$ : C 41.05, H 4.30, N 5.99; found: C 41.93, H 3.46, N 6.41. **6** Yield 0.087 g, 56%, mp. 247–249 °C (d), Elemental analysis calcd (%) for  $C_{32}H_{36}N_4Se_2PdP_2F_{12}$ : C 37.28, H 3.52, N 5.43; found: C 36.88, H 3.68, N 5.02. **7** Yield: 0.2 gm (78%); mp 196(d); Analysis calcd (%) for  $C_{32}H_{36}N_4Te_2PdCl_2$ : C 41.46, H 3.88, N 6.04; found C 40.88, H 4.22, N 5.77.

§ *Crystal data for* 5:  $C_{32}H_{39}C_{12}N_4O_2PtSe_2$ ,  $M = 935.58$ ; triclinic, space group  $\overline{P1}$ ,  $a = 9.9635(19)$ ,  $b = 10.210(2)$ ,  $c = 17.427(3)$  Å,  $\alpha = 94.196(3)$ ,  $\beta = 105.466(3), \gamma = 106.808(4)$ °  $V = 1613.7(7)$  Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 2, 16279 reflections collected, 7802 independent reflections ( $\hat{R}_{int} = 0.049$ ),  $\hat{R}(R_w) =$ 0.0352(0.0801). For 6:  $C_{32}H_{36}F_{12}N_4P_2PdSe_2$ ,  $M = 1030.91$ ; triclinic, space group  $P\overline{1}$ ,  $a = 9.9501(14)$ ,  $b = 10.5010(15)$ ,  $c = 10.6403(16)$  Å,  $\alpha =$ 103.622(2),  $\beta = 117.296$  (2),  $\gamma = 103.042(3)^{\circ}$  *V* = 885.6(2) Å<sup>3</sup>, *Z* = 1, 5787 reflections collected, 4045 independent reflections ( $R_{int} = 0.0277$ ),  $R(R_w) = 0.0330$  (0.0760). For **7**: C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>50</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>7</sub>PdTe<sub>2</sub>, *M* = 1035.26; triclinic, space group  $P\overline{1}$ ,  $a = 12.072(6)$ ,  $b = 13.264(6)$ ,  $c = 14.527(7)$  Å,  $\alpha = 104.235(8), \beta = 107.668(9), \gamma = 110.244(8)° \text{ V} = 1912.6(16) \text{ Å}^3, Z$  $= 2$ , 13450 reflections collected, 8797 independent reflections ( $R_{\text{int}}$  = 0.0674), *R*(*R*w) = 0.0782(0.1844). CCDC 221526–221528. See http:// www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/b3/b312358h/ for crystallographic data in .cif or other electronic format.

- 1 E. G. Hope and W. Levason, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1993, **122**, 109; A. K. Singh and S. Sharma, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2000, **209**, 49; W. Levason, S. D. Orchard and G. Reid, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2002, **225**, 159 and references therein.
- 2 S. C. Menon, H. B. Singh, R. P. Patel and S. K. Kulshreshtha, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton. Trans.*, 1996, 1203.
- 3 S. C. Menon, A. Panda, H. B. Singh and R. J. Butcher, *Chem. Commun.*, 2000, 143.
- 4 A. Panda, S. C. Menon, H. B. Singh and R. J. Butcher, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2001, **623**, 87.
- 5 A. Panda, S. C. Menon, H. B. Singh, C. P. Morley, R. Bachman, T. M. Cocker and R. J. Butcher, unpublished work.
- 6 W. Levason, J. J. Quirk, G. Reid and C. S. Frampton, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1994, **33**, 6120; N. R. Champness, P. F. Kelly, W. Levason, G. Reid, A. M. Z. Slawin and D. J. Williams, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1995, **34**, 651; N. R. Champness, W. Levason, J. J. Quirk and G. Reid, *Polyhedron*, 1995, **14**, 2753; R. J. Batchelor, F. W. B. Einstein, I. D. Gay, J. Gu, B. M. Pinto and X. Zhou, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1996, **35**, 3667.
- 7 C. Bornet, R. Amardeil, P. Meunier and J. C. Daran, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1999, 1039; J. L. Li, J. B. Meng, Y. M. Wang, J. T. Wang and T. Matsuura, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1*, 2001, 1140; M. J. Hesford, W. Levason, M. L. Matthews, S. D. Orchard and G. Reid, *Dalton Trans.*, 2003, 2434; M. J. Hesford, W. Levason, M. L. Matthews and G. Reid, *Dalton Trans.*, 2003, 2852.
- 8 S. Panda, H. B. Singh and R. J. Butcher, unpublished work.
- 9 G. K. Anderson, *Platinum-Carbon* σ-bonded Complexes, in Compre*hensive Organometallic Chemistry II*. Ed. E. W. Abel, F. G. A. Stone and G. Wilkinson, Pergamon, Oxford, 1995, **Vol. 9**.
- 10 M. Bates, P. K. Khanna, C. P. Morley and M. D. Vaira, *Chem. Commun.*, 1997, 913.
- 11 M. C. Janzen, M. C. Jennings and R. J. Puddephatt, *Can. J. Chem.*, 2002, **80**, 41.
- 12 R. Schneider, M. W. Hosseini, J.-M. Planeix, A. De Cian and J. Fischer, *Chem. Commun.*, 1998, 1625; I. O. Fritsky, H. Kozlowski, E. V. Prisyazhnaya, A. Karaczyn, V. A. Kalibabchuk and T. Glowiak, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1998, 1535; A. Deak, I. Haiduc, L. Parkanyi, M. Venter and A. Kalman, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 1999, 1593; K. R. Koch, S. A. Bourne, A. Coetzee and J. Miller, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1999, 3157; V. J. Catalano, B. L. Bennett, R. L. Yson and B. C. Noll, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2000, **122**, 10056; C. J. Kuehl, S. D. Huang and P. J. Stang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2001, **123**, 9634 ; C. G. Arena, D. Drommi, F. Faraone, C. Graiff and A. Tiripicchio, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2001, 247; L. Barloy, G. Malaise, S. Ramdeehul, C. Newton, J. A. Osborn and N. Kyritsakas, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2003, **42**, 2902.