## Intramolecular exchange interaction in twofold spin-labelled platinum complexes

## Christophe Stroh,<sup>a</sup> Marcel Mayor,\*<sup>a</sup> Carsten von Hänisch<sup>a</sup> and Philippe Turek<sup>b</sup>

aForschungszentrum Karlsruhe GmbH, Institut fu¨r Nanotechnologie, Postfach 3640, 76021 Karlsruhe, Germany. E-mail: marcel.mayor@int.fzk.de; Fax: 149 7247 82-5685; Tel: 149 7247 82-6392

 $b$  Institut Charles Sadron (CNRS, UPR 22), Université Louis Pasteur, 6 rue Boussingault, BP 40016,

F-67083 Strasbourg Cedex, France

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 10th May 2004, Accepted 13th July 2004 First published as an Advance Article on the web 6th August 2004

The synthesis and the crystal structures of two platinum bisethynyl-phenyl-nitronyl-nitroxide complexes are reported and solution EPR investigations evidenced the intramolecular exchange interaction through a diamagnetic transition metal based spacer.

Platinum complexes are very attractive molecules for their electronic<sup>1</sup> and photophysical<sup>2</sup> properties. However, few spinlabelled platinum complexes have been reported in the literature<sup>3</sup> and mostly pyridine-nitronyl-nitroxide coordinating ligands have been used.<sup>4</sup> Even if the presence of an intramolecular magnetic interaction in such diradicals has been shown by solution EPR spectroscopy,<sup>5</sup> precise information about the exchange interaction through a diamagnetic platinum metal is lacking. To gain better insight into the mechanisms governing the intramolecular communication in such systems with diamagnetic transition metal ions, we synthesized and investigated two diradicals based on organometallic trans-platinum(II) complexes of ethynyl-phenyl-nitronylnitroxides (Scheme 1). In this communication, we present the synthesis of the two new terminal spin-labelled molecular rods 1 and 2 and their characterization by several techniques.{ X-ray crystal structures of the diradicals are described and the EPR spectra of the fluid and frozen diluted solutions are discussed.

The diradicals 1<sup> $\pm$ </sup> and 2<sup>§</sup> have been prepared by reacting two equivalents of the corresponding meta- or para-ethynyl-phenylnitronyl-nitroxide radicals with trans-bis-(triphenylphosphine) dichloro-platinum in the presence of CuI in a mixture of THF- $i$ Pr<sub>2</sub>NH 10 : 1. The pure products could be obtained by chromatography on SiO<sub>2</sub> in  $72\%$  and  $97\%$  yield for 1 and 2 respectively and single crystals were grown from a THF–MeCN solution for 1 and  $CH_2Cl_2$ -hexane for 2.¶ The starting radicals were obtained by an adapted synthesis.<sup>6</sup>

The MALDI-TOF spectra of both complexes show the fragmentations corresponding to the successive loss of four oxygen atoms, a pattern typical of nitronyl-nitroxide diradicals.7 The characteristic  $v_{\text{NO}}$  vibration band (1360 cm<sup>-1</sup> for 1 and 1362 cm<sup>-1</sup> for 2) together with the  $v_{\text{C}=\text{C}}$  (2107 cm<sup>-1</sup> for 1,  $2114 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  for 2) can be seen on the IR spectra. The UV-visible spectra show the absorption band corresponding to the  $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ 



 $(605 \text{ nm}, \varepsilon = 1250 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ for } 1, 645 \text{ nm}, \varepsilon = 1180 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for 2) of the blue nitronyl-nitroxide with an extinction coefficient  $\varepsilon$ in agreement with a diradical.

X-ray crystal structures have been obtained for both radicals. Figures 1 and 2 show the ORTEP views of the two corresponding molecules, the first one containing two THF solvate molecules in the unit cell. The nitronyl-nitroxides have bond lengths characteristic of such radicals having an unpaired electron equally shared between two equivalent NO groups (NO = 1.28–1.30 Å, CN = 1.34–1.35 Å). $8$  The dihedral angle formed by the mean planes of the radicals and the adjacent phenyl is also typical of nitronylnitroxides (29.4 $\degree$  for 1, 27.5 $\degree$  for 2). Interestingly, the aromatic rings are twisted from the Pt–P bond by ca.  $35.8^{\circ}$  for 1 and  $30.4^{\circ}$  for 2. The Pt–C (2.00–2.01 Å) and C=C (1.20–1.17 Å) bond lengths are in the expected range for such complexes.

The room temperature EPR spectra of ca.  $10^{-4}$  M CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> degassed solution of 1 and 2 exhibit a nine line pattern centred at  $g \approx 2.0066$  ( $a_N \approx 3.7$  G) for 1 and centred at  $g \approx 2.0094$  ( $a_N \approx$ 3.7 G) for 2 (Figs. 3 and 4). Such features are characteristic of a bisnitronyl-nitroxide where the unpaired spins of the terminal radical fragments are in exchange  $(|J| \gg a_N$  in energy units) for each complex. The apparent g-shift observed for 2 may result from spinorbit coupling through the molecular orbital overlap of the  $\pi$ -radical with d-orbitals of the Pt ion. These features are consistent with an electronic communication within the platinum based spacer. Even if communication over large distances has been reported,<sup>9</sup> the rigid structure of the molecules supports an exchange interaction through the diamagnetic transition metal atom. The hyperfine coupling to the  $^{195}$ Pt nucleus or to  $^{31}$ P is not observed.





Fig. 3 EPR spectrum of 1 in fluid solution. Inset:  $\Delta M_S = \pm 2$  of the powder at 4 K.



Fig. 4 EPR spectrum of 2 in fluid solution.

Frozen  $CH_2Cl_2$  diluted solution at 4 K showed a "half-field"  $\Delta M<sub>S</sub> = \pm 2$  resonance, associated with the existence of a triplet state, for compound 1. Interestingly, such a pattern could not be observed for compound 2, presumably due to a slightly larger interspin distance in this compound. The temperature dependence of the integrated intensity of the  $\Delta M_S = \pm 1$  line shows a pure Curie law within experimental error.

As a consequence of the shape of the spectra in fluid solution featuring the limit of strong exchange interaction, *i.e.*  $|J| \gg a_N$ , a lower limit of the exchange interaction would correspond to ca.  $0.1$  cm<sup>-1</sup> for a few hundreds of hyperfine splitting. The absence of significant departure of the  $I.T = f(T)$  curve from a constant behaviour at low temperature may be qualitatively used for the estimation of the higher limit of |J|. Assuming a singlet–triplet equilibrium for this two-spin system, a simulation shows no deviation of the I.T = f(T) curve within 10% variation around the high temperature limit down to 4 K when  $|J| \le 1$  cm<sup>-1</sup>.

In conclusion, despite the weak spin density located on the triple bond carbon atoms of ethynyl-phenyl-nitronyl-nitroxide radicals, an electronic communication takes place in these two new complexes. In view of the molecular structure of both compounds, a reasonable pathway for this exchange interaction involves the d orbitals of the square planar  $d^8$  platinum metal.

The synthesis of *cis*-platinum complexes is currently under investigation and further studies to gain better insight into the intramolecular exchange interaction will be performed.

We thank the Networkproject MOLMEM, German Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF-FZK 13 N 8360) for financial support and the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation for a postdoctoral fellowship to C. S.

## Notes and references

 $\dagger$  Synthesis of 1 and 2: trans-PtCl<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (80 mg scale), ethynyl-(4,4,5,5tetramethyl-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazol-1-yloxyl)-phenyl (ca. 2 equiv.) and CuI (ca. 10 mol%) in THF (10 ml) and  $iPr_2NH(1 \text{ ml})$  are stirred at rt under

Ar during 1 to 2 h. The solvent is evaporated or the reaction mixture is filtered through a short column packed with  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  (elution: THF) before evaporation. The pure metallic-blue products are obtained by chromatography on  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>–MeCN).

‡ SiO<sub>2</sub> (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>–MeCN 9 : 1). Yield: 72%. MALDI-TOF (1,8,9-<br>anthracenetriol): 1232.7 [M]<sup>+</sup>, 1216.7 [M - O]<sup>+</sup>, 1201.7 [M - 2O + H]<sup>+</sup>, 1185.7 [M - 3O + H]<sup>+</sup>, 1169.7 [M - 4O + H]<sup>+</sup>. IR (KBr pellets): 3438, 3056, 2989, 2107 ( $v_{C=C}$ ), 1590, 1572, 1481, 1435, 1417, 1387, 1360 (v<sub>NO</sub>), 1305, 1218, 1166, 1140, 1098, 794, 746, 708, 691, 540, 521, 456. UVvis  $(CH_2Cl_2)$   $\lambda$ , nm ( $\varepsilon$ , M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>): 605 (1250), 350 (53840), 268 (69510), 226 (95560).  $R_f$  (SiO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>–MeCN 9 : 1): 0.42. EPR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, rt): 9 lines,  $a_N = 3.7$  G,  $g = 2.0066$ . Anal. calcd for C<sub>66</sub>H<sub>62</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>4</sub>P<sub>2</sub>Pt ( $M_r =$ 1232.25): C, 64.33; H, 5.07; N, 4.55. Found: C, 64.28; H, 4.82; N, 4.23%. Mp: 207-209 °C (dec).

 $\S 8SO_2$  (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>–MeCN 97 : 3). Yield: 97%. MALDI-TOF (1,8,9-<br>anthracenetriol): 1217.2 [M – O + H]<sup>+</sup>, 1201.2 [M – 2O + H]<sup>+</sup>,  $1186.2 \text{ [M} - 3\text{O} + 2\text{H}]^{+}$ ,  $1170.2 \text{ [M} - 4\text{O} + 2\text{H}]^{+}$ . IR (KBr pellets): 3443, 3055, 2982, 2937, 2114 ( $v_{\text{C=C}}$ ), 1598, 1480, 1435, 1418, 1385, 1362 ( $v_{\text{NO}}$ ), 1300, 1219, 1184, 1166, 1132, 1098, 837, 743, 707, 689, 512. UV-vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>)  $\lambda$ , nm ( $\varepsilon$ , M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>): 645 (1180), 372 (71350), 308 (31760), 225 (77310).  $R_f$  (SiO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>–MeCN 94 : 6): 0.25. EPR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, rt): 9 lines,  $a_N = 3.7 \text{ G}, g = 2.0094$ . Anal. calcd for  $C_{66}H_{62}N_4O_4P_2Pt$  ( $M_r = 1232.25$ ): C, 64.33; H, 5.07; N, 4.55. Found: C, 64.61; H, 4.81; N, 4.24%. Mp: 212–  $215$  °C (dec).

 $\P$  Crystal data and structure refinement for 1 and 2: Data were collected at 200 K on a STOE diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo-K $\alpha$ radiation ( $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å). The structures were solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares analysis. 1,  $M = 1376.43$ , triclinic, space group  $\overline{P1}$ , with  $a = 9.752(2)$ ,  $b = 12.068(2)$ ,  $c = 14.920(3)$  Å,  $\alpha =$ 76.54(3),  $\beta = 89.08(3)$ ,  $\gamma = 69.46(3)$ °,  $V = 1595.0(6)$   $\AA^3$ ,  $Z = 1$ ,  $D_c =$ 1.433 Mg m<sup>-3</sup>,  $\mu = 2.307$  mm<sup>-1</sup>. 369 parameters were refined using 5796 unique observed reflections  $[I > 1\sigma(I)]$  to give R (all data) = 0.0476 and  $wR2$  (all data) = 0.1217. 2,  $M = 1232.23$ , monoclinic, space group C2/c, with  $a = 34.963(7)$ ,  $b = 9.4928(19)$ ,  $c = 19.323(4)$   $\mathring{A}$ ,  $\beta = 119.33(3)^{\circ}$ ,  $V =$ 5591.3(19)  $\mathring{A}^3$ ,  $Z = 4$ ,  $D_c = 1.464$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>,  $\mu = 2.620$  mm<sup>-1</sup>. 349 parameters were refined using 3530 unique observed reflections  $[I > 1\sigma(I)]$ to give R (all data) =  $0.0452$  and wR2 (all data) = 0.1018. CCDC 238541 and 238542. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/b4/b407067d/ for crystallographic data in .cif or other electronic format.

- 1 (a) M. Mayor, C. von Hänisch, H. B. Weber, J. Reichert and D. Beckmann, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2002, 41, 1183; (b) T. L. Schull, J. G. Kushmerick, C. H. Patterson, C. George, M. H. Moore, S. K. Pollack and R. Shashidhar, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 3202.
- 2 (a) M. Hissler, A. Harriman, A. Khatyr and R. Ziessel, Chem. Eur. J., 1999, 5, 3366; (b) M. Hissler, J. E. McGarrah, W. B. Connick, D. K. Geiger, S. D. Cummings and R. Eisenberg, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2000, 208, 115; (c) P. Siemsen, U. Gubler, C. Bosshard, P. Günter and F. Diederich, Chem. Eur. J., 2001, 7, 1333.
- 3 F. Hintermaier, S. Helding, L. B. Volodarsky, K. Sünkel, K. Polborn and W. Beck, Z. Naturforsch., Teil B, 1998, 53, 101.
- 4 (a) F. Hintermaier and W. Beck, Polyhedron, 1998, 17, 483; (b) J. R. Gardinier, R. Clérac and F. P. Gabbai, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 2001, 3453; (c) M. Fettouhi, B. El Ali, M. Morsy, S. Golhen, L. Ouahab, B. Le Guennic, J.-Y. Saillard, N. Daro, J.-P. Sutter and E. Amouyal, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2003, 42, 1316; (d) F. Iwahori, H. Miyasaka, T. Ishii, K.-I. Sugiura and M. Yamashita, Synth. Met., 2003, 135–136, 355; (e) M. Ueda, T. Mochida, M. Itou, N. Asanagi and H. Mori, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 2003, 348, 123.
- 5 (a) K. E. Schwarzhans and A. Stuefer, Z. Naturforsch., Teil B, 1981, 36, 195; (b) K. E. Schwarzhans and A. Stuefer, Monatsh. Chem., 1983, 114, 137.
- 6 D .G. B. Boocock and E. F. Ullman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1968, 90, 5945.
- 7 E. F. Ullman, J. H. Osiecki, D. G. B. Boocock and R. Darcy, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1972, 94, 7049.
- W. Wong and S. F. Watkins, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1973, 888.
- 9 R. E. Martin, M. Pannier, F. Diederich, V. Gramlich, M. Hubrich and
- H. W. Spiess, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 1998, 37, 2834.