## A ''Nitrate Route'' for the low temperature ''Fast SCR'' reaction over a  $V_2O_5$ -WO<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> commercial catalyst

Cristian Ciardelli,<sup>a</sup> Isabella Nova,<sup>a</sup> Enrico Tronconi,\*<sup>a</sup> Daniel Chatterjee<sup>b</sup> and Brigitte Bandl-Konrad<sup>b</sup>

aDip. di Chim., Mat. e Ing. Chimica ''G. Natta'', Politecnico di Milano, P.zza L. Da Vinci 32, I-20133

Milano, Italy. E-mail: enrico.tronconi@polimi.it; Fax: 139 02 2399 3318; Tel: 139 02 2399 3264

bDaimlerChrysler AG Abteilung RBP/C, HPC: 096-E220, D-70546 Stuttgart, Germany.

E-mail: daniel.chatterjee@daimlerchrysler.com; Fax: 149 711 17 53915; Tel: 149 711 17 53915

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 29th July 2004, Accepted 6th September 2004 First published as an Advance Article on the web 8th October 2004

A novel mechanism is proposed for the Fast SCR reaction of  $NH<sub>3</sub>$ , NO and NO<sub>2</sub> at low temperature involving the formation of ammonium nitrate as intermediate and its subsequent reaction with NO as the rate determining step.

A key feature in the development of SCR catalysts for automotive applications is the enhancement of the DeNOx activity at low temperature. The standard SCR process is based on the following reaction between NH<sub>3</sub> and NO:

$$
4 NH_3 + 4 NO + O_2 \rightarrow 4 N_2 + 6 H_2O \tag{1}
$$

In the early '80s Kato and co-workers<sup>1</sup> found that the reaction involving an equimolar  $NO$  and  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  feed, with stoichiometry

$$
2 NH_3 + NO_2 + NO \rightarrow 2 N_2 + 3 H_2O \tag{2}
$$

is considerably faster than reaction (1). The importance of the so called Fast SCR reaction (2) has recently much increased, since the possibility to install an oxidation precatalyst upstream of the SCR catalyst, thus incrementing the  $NO_2/NO_x$  feed ratio, provides a chance to boost the low temperature deNOx activity of SCR devices for automotive exhaust after-treatment.

In the last few years Koebel, Madia and co-workers have extensively investigated the Fast SCR reaction over  $V_2O_5/WO_3/$  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  catalysts, both in powder and in monolith form.<sup>2-6</sup> Concerning the catalytic mechanism, they reported reactivity and spectroscopic experiments showing that  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  enhances the reoxidation of vanadia, regarded as the rate determining step of the SCR reaction at low temperatures.<sup>5</sup> Accordingly, they proposed that the Fast SCR should be considered as a standard SCR reaction in which NO<sub>2</sub> plays the role of a more efficient oxidizer for the vanadium sites than oxygen. However, no direct kinetic evidence was offered to prove that the enhanced rate of V reoxidation can explain the order-of-magnitude enhancement of the DeNOx rate observed in the presence of equimolar mixtures of NO/NO2. Besides, this picture does not account for the greater complexity of the chemistry resulting from addition of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  to the SCR reacting system. In fact, on studying the reactivity of the  $NH<sub>3</sub>/$ NO/NO<sub>2</sub> system over V-based catalysts at 150 °C, Koebel et al.<sup>6</sup> reported the occurrence of two main reactions not observed with  $NH<sub>3</sub>/NO$ , namely the formation of  $NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>$  from  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  and NO<sub>2</sub>

$$
4 NH_3 + 4 NO_2 \rightarrow 2 NH_4 NO_3 + 2 N_2 + 2 H_2 O \qquad (3)
$$

and the redox decomposition of  $NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>$  by NO,

$$
2 \text{ NH}_4\text{NO}_3 \Leftrightarrow 2 \text{ NH}_3 + 2 \text{ HNO}_3 \tag{4.3}
$$

$$
NO + 2 HNO3 \rightarrow 3 NO2 + H2O
$$
 (4.b)

[Reaction  $(4)$  is the sum of reactions  $(4.a)$  and  $(4.b)$ ]

$$
2 \text{ NH}_4\text{NO}_3 + \text{NO} \rightarrow 3 \text{ NO}_2 + 2 \text{ NH}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \tag{4}
$$

According to Koebel et al., reactions (3) and (4) occurred in

addition to the Fast SCR, reaction (2). However, we notice that the combination of reactions (3) and (4) already fully accounts for the selective reduction of  $NO + NO<sub>2</sub>$  by  $NH<sub>3</sub>$ : reaction (3) selectively reduces half of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  directly to  $N<sub>2</sub>$ , the remaining half being converted to nitrate species; such nitrate species can react with NO to form more  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  according to the redox reaction (4); the extra formed  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  can then react further with more  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  according to (3), thus completing the selective reduction of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  to  $N<sub>2</sub>$ . Notice in fact that the sum of reactions (3) and (4) formally results in the stoichiometry of reaction (2). Based on this picture, given an equimolar mixture of NH<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub>, with NO/NO<sub>2</sub> = 1/1, we expect the following situations depending on the relative rates of reactions  $(3)$  and  $(4)$ : a) if  $(3)$  is faster than  $(4)$ , we shall observe the formation/build-up of ammonium nitrate, with only a limited occurrence of the "Fast SCR", *i.e.* only a partial reduction of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$ to N<sub>2</sub>: this is the situation actually observed by Koebel *et al.*<sup>6</sup> at low temperatures (150 °C); b) if (4) is faster than (3), no formation of  $NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>$  will be detected, ammonium nitrate acting as a reactive intermediate, and the occurrence of the ''Fast SCR'' reaction (2) will be apparently observed, resulting in reality from the simultaneous occurrence of (3) and (4): this is in line with the data reported by Koebel *et al.* at higher temperatures.<sup>6</sup>

We present herein transient low-T reaction experiments performed with NH<sub>3</sub>/NO/NO<sub>2</sub> mixtures over a powdered commercial V/W/TiO<sub>2</sub> SCR catalysts (160 mg) in a quartz microreactor while analysing the outlet gas concentration profiles by a mass spectrometer, taking into account the response factors and the overlapping fragmentation patterns of all the relevant species.<sup>7</sup> The experiments herein reported were designed to address the role of reactions (3) and (4) in the catalytic mechanism of the Fast SCR reaction. 1% water was added to the feed stream, whereas no oxygen was included in the feed to simplify the mechanistic interpretation: in fact preliminary experiments proved that the  $O_2$  effect on the results herein reported is negligible.

Fig. 1 shows a composite transient response run at  $170^{\circ}$ C: in a stream of He and  $1\%$  H<sub>2</sub>O 1000 ppm of NO<sub>2</sub> were first admitted to the reactor (phase A). Upon step addition of 1000 ppm NH<sub>3</sub> (phase



Fig. 1 Ammonium nitrate formation and subsequent decomposition by NO.  $T = 170$  °C; GHSV = 90000 h<sup>-1</sup>; H<sub>2</sub>O = 1% in He.

DOI: 10.1039/b411613e

.<br>Ř

10.1039/b411613e

B),  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  were seen to react with formation of  $N<sub>2</sub>$ . At the end of phase B about 490 ppm of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  and 520 ppm of  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  were converted while 250 ppm of nitrogen were produced, in line with the stoichiometry of  $NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>$  formation, reaction (3). The buildup of  $NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>$  upon the catalyst was confirmed by IR analysis of a catalyst sample discharged immediately after phase B.

After the build-up of  $NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>$  on the catalyst obtained by cofeeding  $NO_2$  and  $NH_3$  at 170 °C for about 1 hour (phase B in Fig. 1), the NO<sub>2</sub> feed was shut down at  $t = 5300$  s: correspondingly the ammonia level increased to 1000 ppm and nitrogen was no longer detected (phase C): in these conditions no reaction took place. At  $t = 9800$  s 1000 ppm of NO were admitted to the reactor: conversion of both NO and NH3 was readily observed along with the corresponding formation of  $N_2$  (phase D), both phenomena however progressively decaying with time. This is explained observing that according to reaction (4) NO can react with the previously deposited ammonium nitrate producing  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $NH<sub>3</sub>$ . We propose however that  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  further react in turn according to (3) to give  $N_2$  and ammonium nitrate. Considering the excess of  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  present during phase (D), and assuming reaction (3) to be faster than (4), the following scheme applies:

$$
2 \text{ NH}_4\text{NO}_3 + \text{NO} \rightarrow 3 \text{ NO}_2 + 2 \text{ NH}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \tag{4}
$$

$$
3 NH_3 + 3 NO_2 \rightarrow 3/2 NH_4 NO_3 + 3/2 N_2 + 3/2 H_2 O
$$
 (3)

[Reaction (5) is the sum of reactions (3) and (4)]

$$
1/2 \text{ NH}_4\text{NO}_3 + \text{NO} + \text{NH}_3 \rightarrow 3/2 \text{ N}_2 + 5/2 \text{ H}_2\text{O} \qquad (5)
$$

Indeed the evolution of  $NH_3$ , NO and  $N_2$  in phase D of Fig. 1 agrees exactly with the stoichiometry of (5): NO and ammonia signals are nearly overlapped giving evidence of an equimolar consumption, and eventually rise from about 800 ppm to 1000 ppm, i.e. their feed concentration, upon complete depletion of the deposited ammonium nitrate.  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration is practically negligible throughout phase D. The  $N_2$  signal exhibits a maximum specular to  $NH_3$ , and then decreases as  $NH_4NO_3$  is progressively converted. Notice that the nitrogen peak is of about 300 ppm, being associated with a consumption of  $200$  ppm of  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  and NO, in accord with reaction (5). We emphasize that the overall experiment of Fig. 1 resulted in the conversion of  $NH_3 + NO_2 + NO$  to N<sub>2</sub>, and this clearly occurred via formation/decomposition of ammonium nitrate.

In a dual experiment (not shown), performed at  $140\degree C$ , NO alone was admitted to the reactor after  $NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>$  build-up. As a consequence, production of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  was observed and no  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  was detected. Without excess ammonia in fact the expected reaction scheme,  $(4) + (3)$ , yields:

$$
NH4NO3 + NO \rightarrow NO2 + N2 + 2 H2O
$$
 (6)

Both the schemes above mentioned suggest that at  $T \leq 170$  <sup>o</sup>C reactions (3) and (4) occur sequentially, with reaction (3) significantly faster than  $(4)$ .

While the data in Fig. 1 agree with the assumption of nitrate intermediates for the Fast SCR reaction (2), one could still speculate that the behavior observed in phase D of Fig. 1 is explained by the reaction of NO with deposited  $NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>$ , reaction (4), followed by an ''independent'' Fast SCR, reaction (2). For this to apply, however, the Fast SCR, reaction (2), should be much faster than reaction  $(4)$ , otherwise a net production of  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  and NO<sub>2</sub> should be detected.

In order to compare the rates of reactions (2), (3) and (4), more transient runs were performed in the simultaneous presence of  $NH<sub>3</sub>$ , NO and NO<sub>2</sub>. Fig. 2 presents a typical experiment where 1000 ppm of NH<sub>3</sub> were fed to the reactor at 170 °C (phase A), then 500 ppm of NO and 500 ppm of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  were added to the feed stream (phase B). At the end of phase B 450 ppm of  $NH_3$ , 110 ppm of NO, 340 ppm of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  were consumed producing 330 ppm of  $N<sub>2</sub>$ . These levels are in agreement with the simultaneous occurrence of



Fig. 2 Rate comparison between Fast SCR (2) and reaction of  $NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>$ with NO (4):  $T = 170$  °C; GHSV = 90000 h<sup>-1</sup>; H<sub>2</sub>O = 1% in He.

the Fast SCR reaction  $(2)$ , which converted 220 ppm of NH<sub>3</sub>, 110 ppm of NO and 110 ppm of  $NO_2$  generating 220 ppm of  $N_2$ , and the reaction of  $NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>$  formation (3), which consumed the remaining 230 ppm of ammonia and 230 ppm of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  producing 110 ppm of nitrogen. This means that during phase B ammonium nitrate was being accumulated onto the catalyst; at the same time the Fast SCR was progressing to an extent directly reflected by the NO conversion level.

During the second part of the run, phase C in Fig. 2, the  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ feed was shut down thus stopping nitrate formation. Nevertheless NH<sub>3</sub> and NO consumption as well as nitrogen production were still noticed, in analogy with what observed in Fig. 1, phase D: this indicates the occurrence of reaction (4) between the stored nitrate and NO. The most important result shown in Fig. 2 is that the NO conversion level remained practically unaltered<sup>†</sup> in going from phase B to phase C: this proves that the Fast SCR (during phase B) and the reaction between NO and  $NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>$  (during phase C) progressed at the same rate, which rules out the hypothesis that reaction (2) is consecutive to the nitrate decomposition, reaction (4). On the contrary, this result is strongly suggestive of a scheme where the Fast SCR, reaction (2), results from the sum of reactions (3) and (4), reaction (4) acting as the rate determining step at the investigated low temperatures. According to this picture, thus, ammonium nitrate is not a terminal species, but behaves as an intermediate of the Fast SCR reaction.

Sachtler and co-workers<sup>8,9</sup> recently proposed a nitrite intermediate for the Fast SCR reaction but ruled out a route via nitrate because of the well known stability of  $NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>$ . However this conclusion does not consider the possibility of a reaction between  $NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>$  and NO, which in this work was demonstrated to be active over a V/W/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst already at low temperature.

## Notes and references

{ The small increase in the NO concentration level could be explained by the influence of  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  concentration on the equilibrium (4.a): during phase C the increment of the ammonia concentration shifts the equilibrium to the left causing a decrease of the available  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$ , inhibiting reaction (4.b).

- 1 A. Kato, S. Matsuda, T. Kamo, F. Nakajima, H. Kuroda and T. Narita, J. Phys. Chem., 1981, 85, 4099.
- 2 M. Koebel, M. Elsener and G. Madia, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2001, **40**, 52.<br>3 G. Madia M. Koebel M. Elsener and A. Wokaun, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 3 G. Madia, M. Koebel, M. Elsener and A. Wokaun, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.,
- 2002, 41, 3512. 4 G. Madia, M. Koebel, M. Elsener and A. Wokaun, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2002, 41, 4008.
- 5 M. Koebel, G. Madia, F. Raimondi and A. Wokaun, J. Catal., 2002, 209, 159.
- 6 M. Koebel, G. Madia and M. Elsener, Catal. Today, 2002, 73, 239.
- 7 C. Ciardelli, I. Nova, E. Tronconi, B. Konrad, D. Chatterjee, K. Ecke and M. Weibel, Chem. Eng. Sci., 2004, DOI: 10.1016/j.ces.2004.07.016.
- 8 Q. Sun, Z. Gao, B. Wen and W. M. H. Sachtler, Catal. Lett., 2002, 78, 1.
- 9 Y. H. Yeom, B. Wem, W. M. H. Sachtler and E. Weitz, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2004, 108, 5386.