A cyclic tetra-nuclear dinitrosyl iron complex $[Fe(NO)_2$ (imidazolate)]₄: synthesis, structure and stability†

Ximeng Wang,^a Eric B. Sundberg,^a Lijuan Li,*^a Katherine A. Kantardjieff,^b Steven R. Herron,^b Mark Lim^c and Peter C. Ford c </sup>

Received (in Cambridge, MA, USA) 5th August 2004, Accepted 4th November 2004 First published as an Advance Article on the web 14th December 2004 DOI: 10.1039/b412086h

A novel cyclic tetra-nuclear dinitrosyl iron complex $[Fe(NO)₂(Im-H)]₄$ was isolated and characterized by X-ray crystallography, and in donor solvents this fragments into $17 e^{-}$ monomeric units that give EPR spectra analogous to the $g = 2.03$ species seen in mammalian biology.

Dinitrosyl iron complexes are thought to play key roles in a number of diverse physiological processes.¹ For example, evidence suggests that they reserve and transport nitric oxide (NO) in vivo, and they have also been identified as products after the biosynthetic evolution of NO *in vitro* and intermediates of the iron-catalyzed degradation and formation of S-nitrosothiols.2 EPR studies indicate that the immune system utilizes NO to combat intracellular pathogens and the process produces the dinitrosyl iron complex $Cys_2Fe(NO)_2$.³ It has also been proposed that a dinitrosyl iron complex stabilizes NO in cells and provides both NO-transfer in tissues and its release to the active free state.⁴ Moreover, Muller et al.,⁵ and other groups^{1,6,7} have reported that nitric oxide stores as non-heme iron-nitrosyl complexes. Such dinitrosyl iron complexes have been investigated extensively by EPR techniques in biological systems and exhibit a characteristic isotropic g-factor of " $g = 2.03$ ".^{8–10} However, the difficulty of extracting and isolating these compounds has prevented their full characterization, so there is considerable interest in developing molecular models of biologically prevalent dinitrosyl iron complexes. These models help elucidate the dinitrosyl iron electronic structure, while serving as spectroscopic references and potential NO delivery systems.¹¹⁻¹⁴

Our research focuses on the synthesis of iron dinitrosyl compounds containing histidine-based ligands and we have reported a tetrahedral structure of $Fe(NO)_2(1$ -methylimidazole)₂.¹⁵ Here we report the synthesis and X-ray crystal structure of a remarkable cyclic tetra-nuclear iron complex $[Fe(NO)_{2}(Im-H)]_{4}$ (1), with bridging imidazolate anions linking $17 e^{-}$ iron dinitrosyl units.

The synthesis was carried out in a glove box under a nitrogen atmosphere. One equivalent of $Fe(NO)₂(CO)₂$ reacted with two equivalents of imidazole, $C_3N_2H_4$, in methylene chloride at room temperature. After stirring for twenty four hours, a dark reddish brown precipitate was obtained and collected by filtration with a 50% yield. Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were isolated by the recrystallization of 1 from acetone at -38 °C under a nitrogen atmosphere.

The crystal structure of 1, determined by X-ray crystallography,¹⁶ is shown in Fig. 1. It is monoclinic and crystallized in a C2/c space group, with four molecules per unit cell. Each iron center is coordinated to four nitrogen atoms, two from the nitrosyl ligands and two from the imidazolate ligands Im-H, with pseudotetrahedral center geometry. The four iron centers are linked together through four deprotonated imidazole bridging ligands forming a neutral 16-membered rhombic macrocycle with

Fig. 1 Molecular drawing and X-ray crystal structure of $[Fe(NO)₂(Im-$ H)]4, showing the atomic numbering scheme. Anisotropic thermal displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level.

[{] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: crystal data table, FT-IR spectra, a scheme showing the species responsible for the EPR spectrum, and detailed conditions for the TGA experiment. See http:// www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/b4/b412086h/ *lli@csulb.edu

alternating imidazolates and irons. The molecule has dimensions of 8.18 \times 8.70 Å (Fe1…Fe1 \times Fe2…Fe2). Additional electron density inside the ring has been assigned to one molecule of acetone.

There are substantial differences between the C–N bond lengths of the imidazolate ligands of 1 and the uncoordinated imidazole. The crystal structure of imidazole shows two different C–N bond lengths of 1.349(4) and 1.326(6) \AA ¹⁷ In the ligated imidazolates of 1, however, the two C–N bond lengths are approximately equal with a mean value of 1.338(6) \AA , between the two values of the free imidazole. This can be explained in terms of π -delocalization owing to deprotonation of the bridging ligand. To balance the negative charge on the imidazolate ligand, each iron center should carry one positive charge, since the whole molecule is neutral. This is reflected by the relatively short Fe–N (Im-H) bond distances (with an average value of 2.005(5) \AA and a range from 1.997(5) to 2.009(5) Å) in comparison with the values reported for $Fe(NO)₂$ - $(1-Melm)$ (average value of 2.046(3) Å).¹⁵

The N–O bond lengths range from $1.162(7)$ to $1.172(7)$ Å with an average value (1.166 Å) shorter than the N–O distance of 1.189(3) Å of Fe(NO)₂(1-MeIm)₂. This is expected because the positive iron atom in the cyclic molecule would donate comparatively less electron density to the π^* orbitals of the nitrosyl ligands than the neutral iron of $Fe(NO)₂(1-Melm)₂$. The Fe–N (NO) bonds range from 1.688(5) to 1.698(5) Å with an average value of 1.694 Å and are longer than those in $Fe(NO)₂$ -(1-MeIm)₂ due to the less extensive π back-bonding.

The FT-IR spectra of the product 1, $Fe(NO)₂(CO)(Im)$ (2), and the starting material, $Fe(CO)_{2}(NO)_{2} (3)$ were recorded. For 1, the nitrosyl stretching frequencies v_{NO} occur at 1796 and 1726 cm⁻¹. The v_{NO} values for 2 (1731 and 1687 cm⁻¹) appear at lower frequencies than those of 3 (1807 and 1758 cm^{-1}), consistent with replacing a CO with the more electron-donating imidazole ligand. Since in complex 1, both carbonyls have been replaced by a donor ligand on each metal, one would expect the nitrosyl stretching frequencies to shift to even lower wavenumbers. This was not observed, and we conclude that the unexpected v_{NO} shift to higher frequencies to the oxidation of the $Fe(NO)_2$ units to balance deprotonation of the bridging ligands. It has been established by Liaw et al ¹⁸ that the NO stretching frequencies are related to the oxidation levels of DNIC and the observed v_{NO} in 1 makes it ${[Fe(NO)_2]}^9$, according to the Enemark–Feltham notation.¹⁹

Taken separately, the Fe(NO)₂L₂ units of 1 are 17 e^{$-$} complexes and should be paramagnetic. Since the four iron centers are closely connected, strong coupling between the four paramagnetic centers would be expected. However, a solid-state EPR spectrum recorded using an X-band Bruker EMX EPR spectrometer at room temperature showed a very broad peak at $g = 2.023$ with a peakto-peak width of 250 G, indicating that the metal centers are weakly coupled to each other. In contrast, when the EPR spectrum was recorded at room temperature in THF solution, a signal at $g = 2.031$ with ΔH pp = 13.2 G was observed instead of the broad peak. The new signal observed in THF solution becomes a wellresolved 9-line spectrum at 170 K as shown in Fig. 2a. The computer simulation of this spectrum,²⁰ shown in Fig. 2b, indicates that the hyperfine structure is a result of the coupling of two equivalent ¹⁴N ($I = 1$) nuclei from the nitrosyls and one ¹⁴N from the imidazolate, with hyperfine coupling constants of 2.54 and 4.50 G, respectively. The characteristic g value close to 2.03 and the

Fig. 2 (a) First derivative EPR spectrum of $[Fe(NO)_2(Im-H)]_4$ in THF at 170 K. (b) Computer simulation of the spectrum with $a_{\text{N1}}(2) = 2.54$ G and $a_{N2}(1) = 4.50$ G.

small hyperfine coupling constants $(2-3 G)$ indicate that the unpaired electrons are localized on the Fe center, which is typical of dinitrosyl iron compounds found in biological systems. ^{8-10,21,22} However, if this signal was produced from the cyclic tetramer 1 with unpaired electrons localized on the iron center, one would expect to see couplings to two equivalent nitrogens from the bridging imidazolate ligands. The fact that coupling to only one imidazolate nitrogen was observed suggests that the tetrameric molecule may have fragmented and solvated to give the seventeen electron species $Fe(NO)_{2}(Im-H)(THF)$ or its protonated analog $[Fe(NO)₂(Im-H)(THF)]⁺$, which has similar g and a values.21,23

The presence of the solvated species, $[Fe(NO)_2(Im-H)(THF)]$, was further investigated using FT-IR spectroscopy. Complex 1 was dissolved in the non-coordinating solvent $CH₂Cl₂$ and the coordinating solvents CH₃CN, THF, and DMF. In CH₂Cl₂, the two nitrosyl peaks appear at 1801 and 1735 cm^{-1} . In the coordinating solvents, additional nitrosyl peaks showed up in the range of 1630–1641 cm^{-1} . These additional peaks were attributed to the solvated species. The relative v_{NO} absorbances from the nitrosyls of the two species were used to estimate the percentage of solvated species in each solvent, which were 5%, 43%, and 55% in THF, CH₃CN, and DMF, respectively. Although the solvated species is only a minor component in THF, a strong EPR signal was still observed because of the high selectivity and sensitivity of the EPR technique.

The TGA curve²⁴ of the complex 1 under a N_2 atmosphere in the dark showed that there were four steps of weight loss. Between 100 and 140 °C, there is about 14.3% weight loss, which is attributed to the loss of one imidazole and one NO (calcd. 13.4%). The second weight loss of 10.2% at temperatures ranging from 180 to 220 \degree C is ascribed to the loss of one imidazole. Further decomposition was observed when heated at higher temperatures.

In conclusion, a novel cyclic tetra-nuclear dinitrosyl iron complex, $[Fe(NO)₂(Im-H)]₄$, has been synthesized. Each Fe center can be described as a 17-electron complex based on X-ray, IR and EPR spectroscopic results. This complex showed NO release when heated under N_2 .

We wish to thank the NIH MBRS SCORE Program (Grant #1) S06 GM 63119-01) for financial support. Partial support from the Research Corporation and the Petroleum Research Fund administrated by ACS are acknowledged. We thank Professor H. Po for his comments. KK and SH thank the California State University Program for Education and Research in Biotechnology for support of the Center for Molecular Structure. EBS thanks the Beckman Foundation and HHMI for scholarships. Studies by ML were supported by the US Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences.

Ximeng Wang,^a Eric B. Sundberg,^a Lijuan Li,*^a Katherine A. Kantardjieff, b Steven R. Herron, b Mark Lim^c and Peter C. Ford c </sup>

^aDepartment of Chemistry and Biochemistry, California State University, Long Beach, CA 90840, USA. E-mail: lli@csulb.edu b Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry and the W. M. Keck Foundation Center for Molecular Structure, California State University, Fullerton, CA 92831, USA

^c Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA

Notes and references

- 1 P. G. Wang, M. Xian, X. Tang, X. Wu, Z. Wen, T. Cai and A. J. Janczuk, Chem. Rev., 2002, 102, 1091 and references therein.
- 2 (a) S. B. King, Free Radical Biol. Med., 2004, 37, 735; (b) S. B. King, Free Radical Biol. Med., 2004, 37, 737; (c) A. F. Vanin and V. Y. Varich, Stud. Biophys., 1981, 86, 177.
- 3 J. R. Lancaster, Jr. and J. B. Hibbs, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 1990, 87, 1223.
- 4 A. F. Vanin, FEBS Lett., 1991, 289, 1.
- 5 B. Muller, A. L. Kleschyov and J. C. Stoclet, Brit. J. Pharmacol., 1996, 119, 1281.
- 6 A. R. Butler, F. W. Flitney and D. L. H. Williams, Trends Pharmacol. Sci., 1995, **16**, 18.
- 7 T. Noguchi, J. Honda, T. Nagamune, H. Sasabe, Y. Inoue and I. Endo, FEBS Lett., 1995, 358, 9.
- 8 J. C. Drapier, C. Pellat and Y. Henry, J. Biol. Chem., 1991, 266, 10162.
- 9 C. C. McDonald, W. D. Phillips and H. F. Mower, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1965, 87, 3319.
- 10 J. C. Woolum, E. Tiezzi and B. Commoner, Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 1968, 160, 311.
- 11 (a) P. C. Ford and I. M. Lorkovic, Chem. Rev., 2002, 102, 993; (b) J. L. Bourassa and P. C. Ford, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2002, 200, 887.
- 12 A. R. Butler and I. L. Megson, Chem. Rev., 2002, 102, 115.
- 13 A. K. Patra, M. J. Rose, M. M. Olmstead and P. K. Mascharak, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 4780.
- 14 A. K. Patra, J. M. Rowland, D. S. Marlin, E. Bill, M. M. Olmstead and P. K. Mascharak, Inorg. Chem., 2003, 42, 6812.
- 15 N. Reginato, C. T. C. McCrory, D. Pervitsky and L. Li, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1999, 121, 10217.
- 16 Crystal data (MoK α chemical formula $C_{12}H_{12}Fe_4N_{16}O_8$, C_3H_6O ; formula weight 789.85; $a = 21.30(3)$, $b = 6.709(9)$, $c = 22.73(3)$ (Å); $\alpha = 90$, $\beta = 103.93(4)$, $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$; volume 3151(7); space group C2/c; Z = 4; absorption coefficient 1.871 mm⁻¹; independent reflections [$I > 2\sigma(I)$] 3085; R_{int} 0.086; GOF 1.196; final R indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ $R_1 = 0.0597$, $wR_2 = 0.1475$; R indices (all data) $R_1 = 0.0706$, $wR_2 = 0.1535$.^{*}
- 17 M.-C. Sagrario, Acta Crystallogr., 1966, 20, 783.
- 18 W. F. Liaw, C. Y. Chiang, G. H. Lee, S. M. Peng, C. H. Lai and M. Y. Darensbourg, Inorg. Chem., 2000, 39, 480.
- 19 (a) J. H. Enemark and R. D. Feltham, Coord. Chem. Rev., 1974, 13, 339; (b) G. B. Richter-Addo and P. Legzdins, Metal Nitrosyls, Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 1992, p. 81.
- 20 The EPR spectra simulations were performed by using WINEPR SimFonia, version 1.25, Bruker Analytische, Messtechnik GmbH.
- 21 L. Li, J. R. Morton and K. F. Preston, Magn. Reson. Chem., 1995, 33, S14.
- 22 L. Li, Comments Inorg. Chem., 2002, 23, 335.
- 23 T. R. Bryar and D. R. Eaton, Can. J. Chem., 1992, 70, 1917–1926 and references therein.
- 24 Conditions for TGA can be found in the supplementary data†.

[{] CCDC 249597. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/b4/b412086h/ for crystallographic data in .cif or other electronic format.