## Synthesis of 4-substituted homoallylic alcohols *via* a one-pot tandem Lewis-acid catalyzed crotylboration-[3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement

## P. Veeraraghavan Ramachandran,\* Debarshi Pratihar and Debanjan Biswas

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 20th December 2004, Accepted 3rd February 2005 First published as an Advance Article on the web 18th February 2005

DOI: 10.1039/b418996e

Crotylboration of aldehydes with *E*- or *Z*-crotylboronates in the presence of catalytic amounts of indium triflate provides the corresponding 4-substituted homoallylic alcohols.

Crotylboration using various allylboronates leads to the formation of 2-substituted homoallylic alcohols, which are important units for the synthesis of various biologically active complex natural products. This reaction has been well studied for the ready access of this class of compounds. Herein we report a controlled *in situ* conversion of aldehydes to 4-substituted homoallylic alcohols *via* Lewis acid-catalyzed crotylboration.

Recently, we reported the room and high temperature allylboration of aldehydes with functionalized allylboronates for the preparation of  $\alpha$ -methylene- $\gamma$ -butyrolactones 1 [eqn. (1)].<sup>3</sup> To accelerate the reactions at lower temperatures, we were examining the effect of Lewis acid catalysis.<sup>4</sup> During this process, we observed the formation of  $\alpha$ -alkylidine- $\gamma$ -butyrolactones 2 as the major products [eqn. (1)].<sup>5</sup>

This unexpected reaction prompted us to examine the Lewis acid-catalyzed crotylboration of aldehydes with crotylboronates. We examined In(OTf)<sub>3</sub>, Sc(OTf)<sub>3</sub>, Yb(OTf)<sub>3</sub>, Zn(OTf)<sub>2</sub>, ZnCl<sub>2</sub>, SnCl<sub>4</sub>, and MgCl<sub>2</sub> as possible Lewis acids and found that In(OTf)<sub>3</sub> gave the best results. Our initial investigation revealed that crotylboration of benzaldehyde at -78 °C with both (*Z*)- and (*E*)-crotylboronate (3a and 3b) in the presence of 10% In(OTf)<sub>3</sub> provided the expected 2-substituted homoallylic alcohols 4a and 4b respectively. However, when the same reaction was carried out at room temperature, the corresponding 4-substituted homoallylic alcohols, 5a and 5b respectively, were obtained with very high regioselectivity. Conversion of 2-substituted homoallylic alcohols to the corresponding 4-substituted derivatives *via* a [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement was, recently, independently reported by Nokami<sup>7</sup> and Loh<sup>8</sup> and their co-workers.

When the reaction was carried out at room temperature with 0.8 equiv. of the aldehyde, we obtained a 4:1 ratio of **4a** and **5a**, with **4a** as the major product. Thus, we have demonstrated that, at will, we can obtain 2-substituted or 4-substituted homoallylic alcohols *via* crotylboration either by changing the reaction temperature or by changing the equivalents of the aldehyde at room temperature (Scheme 1).

We accounted for the formation of the rearranged borate intermediate **II** *via* a similar sigmatropic rearrangement of the borate intermediate **I** derived from initial crotylboration. A slight excess of aldehyde is necessary for this conversion as elucidated in Scheme 2. Indeed, crotylborations are typically carried out with 1.2 equiv. of aldehyde.

After careful investigation of the effect of temperature and equivalents of aldehyde on Lewis acid-catalyzed crotylboration, we extended our study to a variety of aldehydes. Crotylboration of hexanaldehyde with (E)-crotylboronate 3b was complete within 45 min, with the corresponding  $\alpha$ -adduct 6b being formed predominantly. The stereochemistry of the reagent was exclusively transferred to the product. [(E)-reagent to (E)-adduct] (Table 1, entry 6). A similar result was obtained with (Z)-crotylboronate (Table 1, entry 2). Isobutyraldehyde provided the rearranged products 7a and 7b, albeit in poor yields, with (Z) and

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{OH} \\ \text{Ph} \\ \text{Ph} \\ \text{R}_{1} \\ \text{R}_{2} \\ \text{4a} \\ \text{R}_{1} = \text{Me} \\ \text{R}_{2} = \text{Me} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{R}_{2} \\ \text{1.2 eq. PhCHO} \\ \text{10\% In(OTf)_{3}} \\ \text{-}78^{\circ}\text{C} \\ \text{3a} \\ \text{R}_{1} = \text{Me}, \\ \text{R}_{2} = \text{He} \\ \text{3b} \\ \text{R}_{1} = \text{H, R}_{2} = \text{Me} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{1.2 eq. PhCHO} \\ \text{10\% In(OTf)_{3}} \\ \text{10\% In(OTf)_{3}} \\ \text{5a} \\ \text{R}_{3} = \text{Me}, \\ \text{R}_{2} = \text{He} \\ \text{5b} \\ \text{R}_{1} = \text{H, R}_{2} = \text{Me} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{5a} \\ \text{R}_{3} = \text{Me}, \\ \text{R}_{2} = \text{He} \\ \text{5b} \\ \text{R}_{1} = \text{H, R}_{2} = \text{Me} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{10\% In(OTf)_{3}} \\ \text{OH} \\ \text{Ph} \\ \text{R}_{1} \\ \text{R}_{2} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{4a:5a} = \text{81:19} \\ \text{4b:5b} = 76:24 \\ \end{array}$$

Scheme 1

Scheme 2

**Table 1** Preparation of 4-substituted homoallylic alcohols

| Entry  | Reagent  | R                           | Time (min) | Product  | Yield (%) | $E:Z^9$          |
|--------|----------|-----------------------------|------------|----------|-----------|------------------|
| 1      | 3a       | Ph                          | 15         | 5a       | 87        | <1:>99           |
| 3      | 3a<br>3a | <i>n</i> -Pent <i>i</i> -Pr | 25<br>150  | 6a<br>7a | 65<br>30  | <1:>99<br><1:>99 |
| 4<br>5 | 3a<br>3b | Cy<br>Ph                    | 210<br>15  | 8a<br>5b | 65<br>90  | <1:>99<br>>99:<1 |
| 6<br>7 | 3b<br>3b | <i>n</i> -Pent <i>i</i> -Pr | 45<br>120  | 6b<br>7b | 70<br>30  | >99:<1<br>>99:<1 |
| 8      | 3b       | Су                          | 180        | 8b       | 96        | >99:<1           |

(E)-crotylboronate respectively (Table 1, entries 3 and 7). We are currently examining the low yields. Crotylboration of cyclohexane carboxaldehyde with (E)-crotylboronate 3b provided the  $\alpha$ -adduct **8b** in 96% yield and >99% *E*-selectivity. (Table 1, entry 8). The corresponding Z-product 8a was obtained in 65% yield with high selectivity.

In conclusion, we have described the first example of an in situ In(OTf)<sub>3</sub>-catalyzed crotylboration of aldehydes for the preparation of 4-substituted homoallylic alcohols via a tandem crotylboration-[3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement in the presence of a slight excess of aldehydes. The chiral variant of this reaction is under investigation.

A typical procedure for the crotylboration-rearrangement of aldehydes with 3a or 3b is as follows. Preparation of (Z)-crotylboronate (3a): KO'Bu (1 mmol) was taken up in 2 mL anhydrous THF and cooled to −78 °C. cis-2-Butene (1.5 mmol) was condensed in a -78 °C bath and transferred to the reaction mixture. n-BuLi (2.5 M in hexane, 1 mmol) was then added dropwise so that the temperature was maintained below -50 °C. After completion of the addition, the cooling bath was removed and the reaction mixture was stirred at -50 °C for 30 min. The solution was then recooled to -78 °C. Triisopropylborate (1 mmol) was then added dropwise to the (Z)-crotylpotassium solution and stirred for 30 min at -78 °C. The solution was rapidly poured into separating funnel containing 10 mL of 1N HCl saturated with NaCl. A solution of pinacol (1.1 mmol) in 5 mL THF was added directly to the separating funnel. The two phases were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with ether (3  $\times$  10 mL). The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous MgSO4 and was concentrated under vacuum to obtain the (Z)-crotylboronate (3a) in >99% isomeric purity.

(E)-Crotylboronate (3b) was obtained in >99% isomeric purity from *trans*-2-butene by using an identical procedure as above.

Allylboration at −78 °C: 3a or 3b (1 mmol) was dissolved in toluene. In(OTf)<sub>3</sub> (0.12 mmol) was added to the above solution and the solution was cooled to -78 °C. Aldehyde (1.2 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at -78 °C until the reaction was complete (monitored by 11B NMR: crotylboronate peak at  $\delta$  33 ppm disappeared and a peak at  $\delta$  22 ppm was observed). The solution was then washed with sat. NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (2 mL) and extracted with ether (3  $\times$  5 mL). The combined organic layer was then washed with brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO4, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was then purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:ethyl acetate: 95:5) to obtain 4a or 4b.

Allylboration at room temperature: 3a or 3b (1 mmol) was dissolved in toluene. In(OTf)<sub>3</sub> (0.12 mmol) was added to the above solution at room temperature followed by the addition of aldehyde (1.2 mmol) and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature until the reaction was complete (monitored by <sup>11</sup>B NMR: crotylboronate peak at  $\delta$  33 ppm disappeared and a peak at  $\delta$  22 ppm was observed). The solution was then washed with sat. NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (2 mL) and extracted with ether (3  $\times$  5 mL). The combined organic layer was then washed with brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was then purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:ethyl acetate: 95:5) to obtain 5a-8a or 5b-8b.

## P. Veeraraghavan Ramachandran,\* Debarshi Pratihar and Debanjan Biswas

Herbert C. Brown Center for Borane Research, Department of Chemistry, Purdue University, 560 Oval Drive, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907, USA. E-mail: chandran@purdue.edu

## **Notes and references**

- 1 (a) A. B. Smith, C. M. Adams, S. A. L. Barbosa and A. P. Degnan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 350; (b) D. T. Hung, J. B. Nerenberg and S. L. Schreiber, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1996, 118, 11054; (c) J. D. White, P. R. Blakemore, N. J. Green, E. B. Hauser, M. A. Holoboski, L. E. Keown, C. S. N. Kolz and B. W. Phillips, J. Org. Chem., 2002, 67, 7750; (d) R. S. Coleman, J. Li and A. Navarro, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2001, 40, 1736.
- 2 (a) Y. Yamamoto, Acc. Chem. Res., 1987, 20, 243; (b) Y. Yamamoto and N. Asao, Chem. Rev., 1993, 93, 2207; (c) R. W. Hoffmann, Pure Appl. Chem., 1988, 60, 123; (d) R. W. Hoffmann, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1987, 26, 489; (e) W. R. Roush, ACS Symp. Ser., 1989, 386, 242; (f) W. R. Roush, in Houben-Weyl Methods of Organic Chemistry, ed. G. Helmchen, R. W. Hoffmann, J. Mulzer and E. Schaumann, Georg Thieme Verlag, Stuttgart, 1995, vol. E21, p. 1410; (g) W. R. Roush, in Comprehensive Organic Synthesis, ed. B. M. Trost and I. Fleming, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1992, vol. 2, pp. 1-53; (h) H. C. Brown and P. V. Ramachandran, J. Organomet. Chem., 1995, 500, 1.
- 3 P. V. Ramachandran, D. Pratihar, D. Biswas and A. Srivastava, Org. Lett., 2004, 6, 481.
- 4 T. Ishiyama, T. Ahiko and N. Miyaura, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124,
- 5 P. V. Ramachandran, D. Pratihar and D. Biswas, unpublished results.
- 6 Crotyldialkylboranes are extremely reactive and do not need catalysts. We also observed that they do not undergo the reaction discussed herein.
- 7 (a) J. Nokami, K. Yoshizane, H. Matsuura and S. Sumida, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1998, 120, 6609; (b) S. I. Sumida, M. Ohga, J. Mitani and J. Nokami, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2000, 122, 1310; (c) J. Nokami, L. Anthony and S. I. Sumida, Chem. Eur. J., 2000, 6, 2909; (d) J. Nokami, K. Nomiyama, S. Matsuda, N. Imai and H. Kataoka, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2003, 42, 1273-1275.
- 8 (a) T. P. Loh, K. T. Tan, J. Y. Yang and C. L. Xiang, Tetrahedron Lett., 2001, **42**, 8701; (b) T. P. Loh and J. R. Zhou, Tetrahedron Lett., 2000, **41**, 5261; (c) C. L. K. Lee, C. H. A. Lee, K. T. Tan and T. P. Loh, Org. Lett., 2004, 6, 1281; (d) T. P. Loh, K. T. Tan and Q. Y. Hu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2001, 40, 2921; (e) K. T. Tan, S. S. Ching, H. S. Cheng and T. P. Loh, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 2958.
- 9 Only one isomer was detected in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of the crude sample