## Synthesis of huge macrocycles using two calix[4]arenes as templates<sup>†</sup>

Yudong Cao,<sup>a</sup> Leyong Wang,<sup>a</sup> Michael Bolte,<sup>b</sup> Myroslav O. Vysotsky<sup>a</sup> and Volker Böhmer<sup>\*a</sup>

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 14th April 2005, Accepted 16th May 2005 First published as an Advance Article on the web 1st June 2005 DOI: 10.1039/b505223h

Macrocycles with up to 100 atoms have been synthesised using two calix[4]arenes as templates: first, (3,5-dialkenyloxy)phenyl groups are attached to the wide rim of a calix[4]arene *via* urea links, then the alkenyl groups are connected *via* a metathesis reaction using a tetratosylurea calix[4]arene for their correct prearrangement and finally the urea functions are cleaved to detach the newly formed macrocycles.

The prearrangement of different reactive molecules or different functional groups within a molecule often is an important and decisive factor for the formation of a desired reaction product.<sup>1</sup> Such preorganisation may be achieved by a suitable template (*e.g.* a single molecule) to which the reacting units are covalently attached or (more or less strongly) bound *via* reversible links.

"Classical" biochemical examples for such matrix or template syntheses are the replication and transcription of DNA as well as the translation of RNA into a specific peptide sequence.<sup>2</sup>

Purely synthetic examples comprise the formation of large macrocycles, where the reacting units are bound to the template *via* metal–ligand coordination,<sup>3</sup> ion–dipole interactions<sup>4</sup> or through easily cleavable functional groups.<sup>5</sup> Templates are especially welcome in the synthesis of topologically interesting molecules,<sup>6</sup> huge "hosts"<sup>7</sup> or even nanotubes.<sup>8</sup> The formation of self-assembled molecular capsules may depend also on the presence of a suitable guest as template.<sup>9</sup>

We recently showed<sup>10</sup> that the heterodimerisation of tetraarylurea calix[4]arenes **4** with tetratosylurea calix[4]arene<sup>11</sup> **5**  $(Y = C_5H_{11})$  can be used to preorganise alkenyl residues attached to the four urea functions in such a way, that the metathesis reaction between their double bonds<sup>12</sup> (followed by hydrogenation) leads to the formation of the respective multimacrocyclic calix[4]arene derivatives **6** as the only identifiable products (yields of 60–95% after purification). If eight alkenyl groups are attached, two per urea function, molecules result, in which a second



Scheme 1 Synthesis of huge macrocycles: (i) DPPA, TEA, toluene, 70 °C, 2 h; (ii) toluene, 90 °C, 2 h; (iii) dichloromethane-benzene (1:1), r.t., 2 days; (iv) a) Grubbs' Catalyst (40%), r.t., 2–6 days; b) H<sub>2</sub>, PtO<sub>2</sub>, THF, r.t., 12 h; (v) chromatographic purification; (vi) acetic acid, reflux, 24 h.

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: experimental details for the synthesis of macrocycles 7 and selected examples for 6 and 10; X-ray crystal structure of 6 (m = 10, Y = CH<sub>3</sub>) in CIF format. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/b5/b505223h/index.sht \*vboehmer@mail.uni-mainz.de

macrocycle is attached *via* four (urea) links to the wide rim of the calix[4]arene (Scheme 1). This second macrocycle keeps the calix[4]arene in the cone-conformation even in the case of tetramethyl ethers, which usually prefer the partial cone conformation. This follows unambiguously from VT-NMR spectra and is demonstrated by the single crystal X-ray structure shown in Fig. 1.‡ The calix[4]arene skeleton of **6** assumes the pinched cone conformation with two opposite aromatic units nearly parallel to each other (dihedral angles  $2.4^{\circ}/5.8^{\circ}$ ) and the other two oriented outwards (dihedral angles  $109.1^{\circ}/103.7^{\circ}$ ).<sup>13</sup>

Multimacrocyclic compounds **6** have been used as building blocks for hitherto unknown multicatenanes.<sup>6d</sup> However, it should be possible also to cleave the urea functions to detach the newly formed macrocycle from the original calix[4]arene **3**. The total reaction sequence depicted in Scheme 1 then describes the synthesis of single, but huge macrocycles, the sizes of which are determined by the attachment of four molecules of **2** to the calix[4]arene **3** and by the length of the alkenyl chains in **2**.

After various unsuccessful attempts to hydrolyse the urea groups under alkaline (sodium hydroxide in CH<sub>3</sub>OH, reflux, 24 h) or acidic (hydrochloric or sulfuric acid in EtOH, reflux, 24 h) conditions, we found that this cleavage is possible by refluxing compounds **6** in acetic acid (Scheme 1).§ The macrocyclic tetraacetamides **7** were thus obtained in yields between 50 and 75% after simple chromatographic purification. The calixarene used as template could also be isolated in the form of its tetraacetamide **8** (which of course can be easily prepared directly from **3**).

The structure of macrocyclic acetamides 7 was unambiguously confirmed through <sup>1</sup>H-NMR and ESI-MS. Typically a singlet for NH at 9.78 ppm, a doublet for ArH at 6.77 ppm, a triplet for OCH<sub>2</sub> at 3.87 ppm and a singlet for C(O)CH<sub>3</sub> at 1.99 ppm are found in the expected ratio of 1:2:4:3. The peak M + Na found in all cases as the base peak confirms the size of the macrocycle.

It should be pointed out that in the examples described above calix[4]arenes are used as a template in a *twofold* way. The strategy



Fig. 1 Single crystal X-ray structure of the tetraloop compound  $6 (m = 10, Y = CH_3)$ ; one of two crystallographically independent molecules is shown from two perspectives.

may be generalised as follows. In a first step (or sequence of reaction steps) a bifunctional molecule 2 (in red, Scheme 1) is *covalently* attached *via* a third function (here the *urea group* as link) to the wide rim of a calix[4]arene 3 which acts as a molecular skeleton (in black). A second calix[4]arene, the tetratosylurea 5 (in blue), forms a heterodimer with 4 *via reversible* hydrogen bonds. Thus, the functional groups of 2 are arranged in an appropriate way, which ensures their correct intramolecular connection within 4. In polar, hydrogen bond breaking solvents the dimeric assembly easily dissociates. Subsequent cleavage of the urea functions leads to giant macrocycles 7 with up to now 52, 60, 76 and 100 atoms which otherwise would be difficult to prepare (if at all). Larger rings should be available analogously.



This general strategy can be modified in various ways. Tetraurea calix[4]arenes 9 have been successfully converted into the tetraloop compounds 10, from which huge macrocycles 11 containing oligoethylene oxide units were available in analogy with Scheme 1. This suggests that further structural elements can be used to construct macrocyclic molecules in the described way.

In addition, calix[4]arenes may be substituted in *p*-position by various urea residues.<sup>13</sup> If then calix[4]arenes consisting of such different phenolic units A, B, *etc.*:



are used, macrocycles with well defined sequences of (different) structural elements attached to these phenolic units will result.¶

Finally, suitable bifunctional fragments might be attached to the urea residues in alternative ways (*e.g. via* easily cleavable ester links), and reactions different from metathesis may also be used for the ring closure (step iv), as long as these reactions are possible under conditions in which the tetraurea dimers exist.

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Bo 523/14-4, SFB 625) and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie.

## Yudong Cao,<br/>" Leyong Wang," Michael Bolte,<br/> $^b$ Myroslav O. Vysotsky" and Volker Böhmer<br/>\*"

<sup>a</sup>Abteilung Lehramt Chemie, Fachbereich Chemie, Pharmazie und Geowissenschaften, Johannes Gutenberg – Universität, D-55099 Mainz, Germany. E-mail: vboehmer@mail.uni-mainz.de

<sup>b</sup>Fachbereich Chemie und pharmazeutische Wissenschaften, Institut für Organische Chemie, J.-W. Goethe Universität, D-60439 Frankfurt/ Main, Germany

## Notes and references

‡ Single crystals of **6** (m = 10, Y = CH<sub>3</sub>) could be obtained by slow crystallisation from THF-methanol (2:1). Crystal data for **6**: C<sub>100</sub>H<sub>128</sub>N<sub>8</sub>O<sub>16</sub>·8 CH<sub>4</sub>O·1.5 H<sub>2</sub>O, M = 1981.46, triclinic, space group  $P\overline{1}$ , a = 18.8106(15) Å, b = 23.1537(18) Å, c = 28.968(2) Å,  $\alpha = 98.409(6)^\circ$ ,  $\beta = 104.443(6)^\circ$ ,  $\gamma = 102.706(6)^\circ$ , V = 11645.5(15) Å<sup>3</sup> T = 173 K, Z = 4,  $D_c = 1.130$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $\lambda$  (Mo K $\alpha$ ) = 0.71073 Å, 93167 reflections measured, 38675 unique ( $R_{int} = 0.198$ ) which were used in all calculations. The structure was solved by direct methods (SHELXL-97<sup>15</sup>) and refined by fullmatrix least-squares methods on  $F^2$  with 2548 parameters.  $R_1 = 0.1560$  [ $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ] and  $wR_2 = 0.3756$ , GOF = 1.252; max/min residual density 1.379/-0.590 e Å<sup>-3</sup>. CCDC reference number 265476. See http://www.rsc.org/supdata/cc/b5/b505223h/index.sht for crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format.

§ General procedure for the synthesis of macrocycles 7: the compound 6 (m = 8, 10, 14, 20) (0.025 mmol) was refluxed in acetic acid (20 mL) for 24 hours. After cooling, acetic acid was removed *in vacuo*. The residue was separated and purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluent CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-MeOH = 20:1) giving 7 as the first eluted compound and 8. Macrocycle 11 was prepared in a similar way.

7 (m = 8): yield: 50%; m.p. > 300 °C, phase transition 136–140 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (dmso- $d_6$ ):  $\delta = 9.79$  (s, 4H, NH), 6.77 (br d, 8H, ArH), 6.14 (br t, 4H, ArH), 3.87 (t, <sup>3</sup>J = 6.5 Hz, 16H, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 1.99 (s, 12H, C[O]CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.66 (m, 16H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.38–1.24 (m, 32H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>); ESI-MS m/z: calcd for C<sub>64</sub>H<sub>92</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub>Na (M + Na) 1132.4, found 1132.7.

7 (*m* = 10): yield: 66%. m.p. > 300 °C, phase transition 130–135 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (dmso-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  = 9.78 (s, 4H, NH), 6.77 (d, <sup>4</sup>J = 2.0 Hz, 8H, ArH), 6.14 (br t, 4H, ArH), 3.87 (t, <sup>3</sup>J = 6.5 Hz, 16H, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 1.99 (s, 12H, C[O]CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.67–1.63 (m, 16H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.36–1.23 (m, 48H,

OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>); ESI-MS m/z: calcd for C<sub>72</sub>H<sub>108</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub>Na (M + Na) 1244.7, found 1243.6.

**11** (n = 1): yield: 65%. m.p. > 300 °C, phase transition 65–70 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (dmso- $d_6$ ):  $\delta = 9.80$  (s, 4H, –NH), 6.79 (br d, 8H, ArH), 6.20 (br t, 4H, ArH), 3.99 (br t, 16H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.63 (br t, 16H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OL), 3.43 (br t, 16H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 1.99 (s, 12H, C[O]CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.53 (m, 16H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>); ESI-MS m/z: calcd for C<sub>64</sub>H<sub>92</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>20</sub>Na (M + Na) 1260.4, found 1259.6.

 $\P$  In a very recent publication (3,5-dialkenyloxy)benzyl ether residues were attached to a bis(terpyridine) ligand, which forms a cyclic hexameric complex with six Ru(III) cations. A metathesis reaction leads to a connection of six units similar to 1 or 2, but the yield of the resulting macrocycle is not reported; see ref. 14. While the preorganisation of six "monomeric units" is on principle advantageous, if wrong connections can be avoided, an extension to the regular incorporation of different monomeric units A, B, C is clearly not possible in this case.

- 1 Templated Organic Synthesis, ed. F. Diederich and P. J. Stang, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2000.
- 2 D. Voet, J. G. Voet and C. W. Pratt, *Fundamentals of Biochemistry*, Wiley, New York, 2002.
- 3 (a) A. Chuchuryukin, H. P. Dijkstra, B. M. J. M. Suijkerbuijk, R. J. M. Klein Gebbink, G. P. M. van Klink, A. M. Mills, A. L. Spek and G. van Koten, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2003, **42**, 228; (b) S. Anderson, H. L. Anderson and J. K. M. Sanders, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1*, 1995, 2255–2267.
- 4 C. J. Pedersen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1967, 89, 7017.
- 5 (a) S. Höger and A.-D. Meckenstock, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 1999, **5**, 1686–1691; (b) B. C. Gibb, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2003, **9**, 5180.
- 6 (a) Molecular Catenanes, Rotaxanes and Knots, ed. J.-P. Sauvage and C. Dietrich-Buchecker, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 1999; (b) O. Lukin and F. Vögtle, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 1456–1477; (c) S. J. Cantrill, K. S. Chichak, A. J. Peters and J. F. Stoddart, Acc. Chem. Res., 2005, 38, 1–9; (d) L. Wang, M. O. Vysotsky, A. Bogdan, M. Bolte and V. Böhmer, Science, 2004, 304, 1312–1314.
- 7 S. C. Zimmerman, M. S. Wendland, N. A. Rakow, I. Zharov and K. S. Suslick, *Nature*, 2002, **418**, 399–403.
- 8 Y. Kim, F. M. Mayer and S. C. Zimmerman, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2003, 42, 1121–1126.
- 9 F. Hof, L. C. Craig, C. Nuckolls and J. Rebek, Jr., Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2002, 41, 1488–1508.
- 10 M. O. Vysotsky, A. Bogdan, L. Wang and V. Böhmer, *Chem. Commun.*, 2004, 1268–1269.
- 11 R. K. Castellano, B. H. Kim and J. Rebek, Jr., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1997, 119, 12671–12672.
- 12 T. M. Trnka and R. H. Grubbs, Acc. Chem. Res., 2001, 34, 18-29.
- 13 See for example: A. Pop, M. O. Vysotsky, M. Saadioui and V. Böhmer, *Chem. Commun.*, 2003, 1124–1125.
- 14 P. Wang, S. F. Moorefield and J. Newkome, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 1579–1683.
- 15 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXL-97, Program for refinement of crystal structures, University of Göttingen, Germany, 1997.