Synthesis of bi- and tricyclic arylboronates *via* Cp*RuCl-catalyzed cycloaddition of α, ω -diynes with ethynylboronate[†]

Yoshihiko Yamamoto,* Kozo Hattori, Jun-ichi Ishii, Hisao Nishiyama and Kenji Itoh

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 17th May 2005, Accepted 13th July 2005 First published as an Advance Article on the web 3rd August 2005 DOI: 10.1039/b506977g

In the presence of 5–10 mol% Cp*RuCl(cod), 1,6- and 1,7diynes were allowed to react with an ethynylboronate at ambient temperature to give rise to bi- and tricyclic arylboronates in 64–93% isolated yields.

Arylboronic acids and esters have been extremely versatile reagents in organic transformations such as Suzuki-Miyaura coupling,¹ rhodium-catalyzed asymmetric 1,4-additions to activated olefins,² or Petasis-Mannich condensation.³ Arylboronic acid derivatives have been conventionally prepared by the reactions of arylmagnesium or -lithium reagents with trialkyl borates, although polar unsaturated functional groups such as an ester or a ketone are incompatible to this method.⁴ To address this issue, transitionmetal-catalyzed couplings of arylhalides, -triflates, or -diazoniums with tetraalkoxydiboranes or dialkoxyboranes have been developed by several research groups.⁵ More significantly, the transition-metal-catalyzed direct borylation of aromatic C-H bonds has emerged as an environmentally benign process.⁶ In addition, the progress of benzannulation or cycloaddition techniques realized the synthesis of highly substituted arylboronic acid derivatives, which are otherwise difficult to prepare.^{7,8} In this context, we recently developed the ruthenium-catalyzed cyclotrimerization of alkynylboronates, propargyl alcohol, and a terminal alkynes giving rise to arylboronates, which were subjected to onepot Suzuki-Miyaura coupling to afford highly substituted biaryls as single regioisomers (Scheme 1).9 Independently, Aubert and co-workers accomplished the cycloaddition of the Co₂(CO)₆complexed alkynylborates with α, ω -divnes bearing various tether lengths, and as a result, bicyclic arylboronates were obtained in



Department of Applied Chemistry, Graduate School of Engineering, Nagoya University, Chikusa, Nagoya, 464-8603, Japan. E-mail: yamamoto@apchem.nagoya-u.ac.jp; Fax: 81 52 789 3209; Tel: 81 52 789 3337

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: experimental procedures and compound characterization data. See http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1039/b506977g 45–61% yields.¹⁰ The same authors also attempted the cobaltcatalyzed cycloaddition of alkynylboronates and α,ω -diynes, but failed to obtain the bicyclic arylboronates. Herein we wish to report the *direct* cycloaddition of an ethynylboronate with 1,6- and 1,7-diynes by means of the ruthenium catalysis, leading to the formation of bicyclic arylboronates in good yields under mild reaction conditions.

To realize an efficient catalytic protocol, we required an ethynylboronate because internal alkynes proved to be inefficient monoalkyne substrates for the ruthenium-catalyzed cycloaddition.¹¹ Thus, we used 2-ethynyl-5,5-dimethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborinane 2 reported by Vaultier and co-workers.¹² As shown in Scheme 2, ethynylboronate 2 was allowed to react with dimethyl dipropargylmalonate 3a in the presence of precatalyst Cp*RuCl(cod) 1 $(Cp^* = \eta^5 - C_5 Me_5, cod = 1,5$ -cyclooctadiene) at ambient temperature. To suppress divne dimerization, a solution of 3a in 1,2-dichloroethane (DCE) was added at room temperature via syringe pump over 1 h to a DCE solution of 5 mol% 1 and 2 equiv of 2. As a result, the desired cycloadduct 4a was isolated in 77% yield after purification with silica gel column chromatography. A similar yield was obtained with increased amounts of 2 (4 equiv). On the other hand, the yield was improved up to 86%, when the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h after the syringe-pump addition of 3a. The obtained product was characterized as bicyclic arylboronate 4a by ¹H and ¹³C NMR, IR, mass, and elemental analyses. The structural assignment was also confirmed by X-ray crystallography (Scheme 2).‡

The generality of this protocol was demonstrated by the reactions of various diyne substrates **3a–h** with **2** (Table 1). The present method well tolerated reactive functional groups including an ester, a ketone, and a nitrile. As a consequence, arylboronates **4a–c** were obtained in 80–86% yields (runs 1–3). The quaternary







^{*a*} A solution of **3** in DCE was added to a DCE solution of 5 mol% (10 mol% for runs 4, 6, and 7) Cp*RuCl(cod) **1** and 2 equiv of ethynylboronate **2** by syringe pump over 1 h, and the solution was stirred for 1 h at room temperature.

center of the diyne tether is not essential for the cycloaddition. Although increased catalyst loading (10 mol%) was required, the parent 1,6-heptadiyne **3d** underwent cycloaddition with **2** to furnish the corresponding product **4d** in 64% yield (run 4). Similarly, *N*,*N*-dipropargyltosylamide **3e** and propargyl ether **3f** gave borylated heterocycles **4e** and **4f** in 93% and 70% yields, respectively (runs 5 and 6). In addition to these 1,6-diynes, 1,7diynes **3g** and **3h** were able to react with **2** to give the corresponding cycloadducts **4g** and **4h** in 77% and 87% yields, respectively. In particular, **4h** is a versatile building block for the synthesis of functionalized anthraquinone derivatives (*vide infra*).

We next examined the regioselectivity of the reaction with unsymmetrical diyne **5** possessing a terminal methyl group on one of the two alkyne moieties (Scheme 3). In our previous study, it was found that similar unsymmetrical diynes reacted with monoalkynes to afford *meta* isomers with excellent regioselectivity as high as *meta* : *ortho* = 95 : 5.¹¹ On the other hand, the reaction of **5** with **2** was carried out in the presence of 10 mol% **1** at room



temperature to afford a regioisomer mixture of cycloadduct **6** in 73% combined yield with a moderate selectivity of *meta* : *ortho* = 71 : 29.

The plausible regioselection mechanism is outlined in Fig. 1. The catalytic reaction starts with the oxidative cyclization of a diyne on the Cp*RuCl fragment, leading to ruthenabicycle intermediate 7. On the basis of density functional theory calculations, we and others have independently proposed the novel alkyne cyclotrimerization mechanism, in which the intermediacy of unprecedented ruthenatricycle complex 9 was proposed for the conversion of ruthenabicycle-alkyne complex 8 to the final product.^{11,13} The coordinated alkyne is considered to react predominantly at the less substituted Ru-C bond as a consequence of the steric influence of the substituent R¹. In addition, the steric repulsion between the chloro ligand and the substituent R² on the coordinated monoalkyne might destabilize ruthenabicycle-alkyne complex **8b**.¹¹ Therefore, the preferential pathway *via* alternative complex 8a leads to the predominant formation of a meta-substituted product. In the case for ethynylboronate 2, however, the attractive interaction between the non-bonding electron pair on the chlorine ligand and the vacant orbital on the boron center might render intermediate 8c leading to the ortho product somewhat favorable, resulting in the diminished regioselectivity.

To demonstrate the synthetic utility of the present method, we also examined some transformations of the obtained arylboronates. The Suzuki–Miyaura coupling of **4a** and *p*-iodoacetophenone (1.5 equiv) was carried out in the presence of 2.5 mol% $Pd_2(dba)_3$ (dba = dibenzylideneacetone), 11 mol% PCy₃, and 1.5 equiv of K₃PO₄ in DMF at 100 °C to give biaryl **10** in 80% yield (Scheme 4). Electron-deficient monoalkynes such as acetylenedicarboxylates or propiolates are very reactive substrates for



Fig. 1 Proposed regioselection mechanism.





conditions: *a*: 5 mol % Pd(OAc)₂, 11 mol % PPh₃, BQ (1 equiv), 1 atm CO, MeOH, rt, 1.5 h. *b*: H₂O₂, aq. NaOH, THF, rt, 15 min.

Scheme 5

the Cp*RuCl-catalyzed cyclotrimerization.¹¹ Consequently, the cycloaddition of α,ω -divnes with those alkynes has never been accomplished under ruthenium-catalyzed conditions. On the other hand, the cycloadduct of 3a and methyl propiolate was indirectly obtained via the cycloaddition of 3a and 2 followed by the catalytic methoxycarbonylation of resultant 4a (Scheme 5). Although the catalytic alkoxycarbonylation of arylboronates has remained almost unexplored,¹⁴ we recently devised the new protocol to synthesize phthalides by the catalytic carbonylation of boraphthalides.¹⁵ Gratifingly, our protocol proved to be effective for **4a**. The treatment of 4a with 5 mol% Pd(OAc)₂, 11 mol% PPh₃, and 1 equiv of p-benzoquinone (BQ) in dry MeOH under CO atmosphere at room temperature. The starting material was completely consumed within 1.5 h to afford the desired benzoate 11 in 77% yield. Electron-rich alkoxyacetylenes are also incompatible substrates for our ruthenium catalysis, although their cycloadducts are valuable phenol derivatives. In contrast, the oxidation of 4a with H2O2 under basic conditions gave bicyclic phenol 12 in 93% yield. These methods were further applied to anthraquinone boronate 4h to deliver naturally occurring anthraquinone derivatives 13 and 14.16,17

In conclusion, we realized for the first time the catalytic [2 + 2 + 2] cycloaddition of α, ω -diynes with an alkynylboronate by means of the ruthenium catalysis. Consequently, the novel protocol allowed us to prepare functionalized bi- or tricyclic arylboronates *via* Cp*RuCl-catalyzed reaction of 2-ethynyl-5,5-dimethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborinane with various 1,6- and 1,7-diynes. The present protocol tolerates reactive functional groups including an ester, a ketone, a nitrile, and a sulfonamide. The obtained arylboronate

products were further transformed into valuable benzoate and phenol derivatives *via* palldium-catalyzed methoxycarbonylation or oxidation with H_2O_2 .

This research was partially supported by the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture, Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (A), 17685008. We gratefully acknowledge financial support from the Tokuyama Science Foundation and Japan Combinatorial Chemistry Focus Group Award in Synthetic Organic Chemistry, Japan.

Notes and references

‡ *Crystallographic data*: Intensity data were collected at 173 K on a Bruker SMART APEX diffractometer with Mo Kα radiation (0.71073 Å) and graphite monochrometer. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by the full-matrix least-squares on F^2 (SHELXTL). **4a** [C₁₈H₂₃BO₆, Mw = 346.17]; space group $P\overline{I}$, triclinic; unit-cell dimensions a = 6.0252(4) Å, b = 12.4955(9) Å, c = 13.2849(9) Å, $\alpha = 62.7990(10)^\circ$, $\beta = 84.221(2)^\circ$, $\gamma = 84.2950(10)^\circ$, V = 883.45(11) Å³; Z = 2, $D_{calc} = 1.301$ g cm⁻³; Total 6887 reflections were measured and 4645 were independent [*R*(int) = 0.0307]. Final $R_1 = 0.0726$, $wR_2 = 0.2234$ [$I > 2\sigma(I)$], and GOF = 0.991 (for all data, $R_1 = 0.0790$, $wR_2 = 0.2337$). CCDC 271948. See http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/b506977g for crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format.

- For reviews, see: N. Miyaura and A. Suzuki, *Chem. Rev.*, 1995, 95, 2457;
 A. Suzuki, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1999, 576, 147;
 S. Kotha, K. Lahiri and D. Kashinath, *Tetrahedron*, 2002, 58, 9633;
 F. Bellina, A. Carpita and R. Rossi, *Synthesis*, 2004, 2419.
- 2 For reviews, see: T. Hayashi, *Synlett*, 2001, 879; T. Hayashi and K. Yamazaki, *Chem. Rev.*, 2003, **103**, 2829.
- N. A. Petasis, A. Goodman and I. A. Zavialov, *Tetrahedron*, 1997, 53, 16463;
 N. A. Petasis and I. A. Zavialov, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1998, 120, 11798;
 N. A. Petasis and Z. D. Patel, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2000, 41, 9607;
 N. A. Petasis and S. Boral, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2001, 42, 539.
- 4 N. Miyaura and H. C. Brown, in Organic Synthesis via Boranes, Vol. 3, Suzuki Coupling, Aldrich Chemical, Milwaukee, 2003, p. 5.
- 5 T. Ishiyama, M. Murata and N. Miyaura, J. Org. Chem., 1995, 60, 7508; T. Ishiyama, Y. Itoh, T. Kitano and N. Miyaura, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1997, 38, 3447; T. Ishiyama, K. Ishida and N. Miyaura, *Tetrahedron*, 2001, 57, 9813; M. Murata, S. Watanabe and Y. Masuda, J. Org. Chem., 1997, 62, 6458; M. Murata, T. Oyama, S. Watanabe and Y. Masuda, J. Org. Chem., 2000, 65, 164; D. M. Willis and R. M. Strongin, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2000, 41, 8683.
- 6 For a review, see: T. Ishiyama and N. Miyaura, J. Organomet. Chem., 2003, 680, 3.
- 7 A. Maderna, H. Pritzkow and W. Siebert, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1996, 35, 1501; C. Ester, A. Maderna, H. Pritzkow and W. Siebert, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2000, 1177; Y. Gu, H. Pritzkow and W. Siebert, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2001, 373; A. Goswami, C.-J. Maier, H. Pritzkow and W. Siebert, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2004, 2635.
- 8 M. W. Davies, C. N. Johnson and J. P. A. Harrity, *Chem. Commun.*, 1999, 2107; M. W. Davies, C. N. Johnson and J. P. A. Harrity, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2001, **66**, 3525; J. E. Moore, M. York and J. P. A. Harrity, *Synlett*, 2005, 860.
- 9 Y. Yamamoto, J. Ishii, H. Nishiyama and K. Itoh, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 3712.
- 10 V. Gandon, D. Leca, T. Aechtner, K. P. C. Vollhardt, M. Malacria and C. Aubert, Org. Lett., 2004, 6, 3405.
- 11 Y. Yamamoto, T. Arakawa, R. Ogawa and K. Itoh, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 12143.
- 12 C. Blanchard, E. Framery and M. Vaultier, Synthesis, 1996, 45.
- 13 K. Kirchner, M. J. Calhorda, R. Schmid and L. F. Veiros, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 11721.
- 14 N. Miyaura and A. Suzuki, *Chem. Lett.*, 1981, 879; T. Ohe, K. Ohe, S. Uemura and N. Sugita, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1988, **344**, C5; C. S. Cho, T. Ohe and S. Uemura, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1995, **496**, 221.
- 15 Y. Yamamoto, J. Ishii, H. Nishiyama and K. Itoh, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 9625.
- 16 M.-J. Liou, C.-M. Teng and T.-S. Wu, J. Chin. Chem. Soc., 2002, 49, 1025.
- 17 A. R. Burnett and R. H. Thomson, Phytochemistry, 1968, 7, 1421.