## Crystal engineering of nonporous organic solids for methane sorption<sup>†</sup>

Praveen K. Thallapally,<sup>a</sup> Trevor B. Wirsig,<sup>a</sup> Leonard J. Barbour<sup>\*b</sup> and Jerry L. Atwood<sup>\*a</sup>

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 26th May 2005, Accepted 1st July 2005 First published as an Advance Article on the web 29th July 2005 DOI: 10.1039/b507406a

The low density polymorph of the well-known host *p-tert*butylcalix[4]arene absorbs more methane than *p-tert*-pentylcalix[4]arene at room temperature and 1 atm pressure, but the order of absorption is reversed at 38 atm with *p-tert*pentylcalix[4]arene absorbing more.

Finding an inexpensive way to store hydrogen will be crucial for establishing hydrogen as a fuel for the next generation. However, the problems associated with the facile storage of hydrogen have not been solved, nor are they likely to be solved in the near term.<sup>1</sup> There is a well-recognized need for alternate fuels to bridge the gap between the current oil-based economy and the future hydrogen economy. Methane, a major component of natural gas, is a prime candidate to function as such an energy bridge.2 Although extensive effort has been directed towards synthesizing metalorganic frameworks with large pore volumes in an attempt to enhance the sorption capacities for gases such as methane,<sup>3</sup> relatively little attention has been focused on organic solids.<sup>4</sup> Historically, organic compounds have been ignored in the context of gas sorption because such compounds generally adhere to closepacking principles which do not lead to conventionally porous structures. However exceptions to this phenomenon are known to exist.5

In this context, calix[4]arenes have been found to exhibit interesting and unexpected behaviour. For example, calix[4]arene has the ability to trap highly volatile guests such as CF<sub>3</sub>Br, CF<sub>4</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> well beyond their normal boiling points.<sup>6</sup> Similarly, *p-tert*-butylcalix[4]arene, 1 (Scheme 1) is an extremely versatile inclusion compound with several guest-induced structural motifs.<sup>7</sup> Our previous studies on a low density polymorph of 1, obtained under sublimation at 280 °C, revealed absorption of N2, O2, CO and CO<sub>2</sub> under ambient temperature and pressure. This same polymorph can be used in the purification of hydrogen from gas mixtures.<sup>5</sup> Further, immersion of crystals of this polymorph in liquid vinyl bromide for 15 minutes results in a single-crystal to single-crystal phase transition in which vinyl bromide diffuses through the crystal to achieve the thermodynamically most stable form.<sup>8</sup> Very recently, we have shown that 14% of the capsules in 1 are occupied by two molecules of methane at 0.54 atm.9 In a continuation of this work, we have sought to use principles of



crystal engineering<sup>10</sup> to extend our sorption experiments on 1 to the related host *p-tert*-pentylcalix[4]arene, 2 (Scheme 1).

In order to record the absorption isotherms, we constructed a device which has been outlined elsewhere.<sup>9</sup> A sample of 1 (1.4 g) was placed in a sample chamber and evacuated for 1 h at room temperature to remove any trace amount of gas. Methane was introduced into the sample chamber ( $V_s = 7.35 \text{ cm}^3$ ) at an initial pressure of 38 atm. Fig. 1 presents the absorption isotherm, which shows the pressure in sample 1 decreasing with time. After approximately 30 min, equilibrium was reached at *ca.* 35 atm. The calculated uptake value of methane is 1.7 wt%. Similar experiments were conducted on a freshly sublimed sample of 2 (1.23 g). At room temperature equilibrium was reached at *ca.* 32 atm (after 30 min). Surprisingly, the nonporous network of 2 has a significantly higher storage capacity for methane (2.2 wt%) than does 1 at an initial pressure of 38 atm. Even more surprisingly, when the sorption experiments were repeated at relatively low



Fig. 1 High pressure sorption of methane on (a) 1 and (b) 2 at 298 K.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Missouri-Columbia, Columbia, MO, 65211, USA. E-mail: atwoodj@missouri.edu; Fax: +1 573 882 2754; Tel: +1 573 882 2730

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Stellenbosch, 7602,

Stellenbosch, South Africa. E-mail: ljb@sun.ac.za; Fax: +27218083849; Tel: +27218083335

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: ORTEP diagram of **2** and overlay of **2** and **2** toluene. See http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/ b507406a

pressure (*ca.* 1 atm), the amount of methane uptake by **2** at room temperature is very small when compared to **1** (Fig. 2).

As has been described before, the crystal structure of **1** shows calixarene molecules arranged in an up-down bilayer packing motif.<sup>7</sup> Fig. 3 shows that, across the bilayer, the calixarene molecules are offset from one another and exist in what may be described as skewed capsules, each with a free volume of *ca.* 235 Å<sup>3</sup>. The sublimed crystals of **2** have now been subjected to a single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis.<sup>‡</sup> The molecules in **2** are also arranged to form bilayers as in **1**, but the packing is quite different. Fig. 4 shows a packing diagram of **2** in which all calixarene molecules are stacked in columns along [001] and each column consists of molecules facing the same direction. Each column is surrounded by four nearest-neighbor columns running in the opposite direction. Within the column the packing of one calixarene molecule on top of the next affords *ca.* 88 Å<sup>3</sup> of free



Fig. 2 Low pressure sorption of methane on (a) 1 and (b) 2 at 298 K.



**Fig. 3** X-Seed<sup>11</sup> representation of (a) a slightly offset face-to-face calixarene dimer of **1** with the void shown as a yellow surface and (b) the bilayer packing mode of the molecules. The yellow surface was generated using Connolly's Molecular Surface<sup>12</sup> software (probe radius = 1.5 Å).



Fig. 4 (a) Small voids (yellow surfaces, probe radius = 1.5Å) of 34 and 54 Å<sup>3</sup> are formed between adjacent calixarenes in columns of **2** stacked along [001]. Neighboring calixarenes are removed for clarity. (b) Calixarene columns in **2** viewed along [001].

volume. Moreover, observation of Fig. 4a and 4b reveals that there are two chambers of void space, one  $(34 \text{ Å}^3)$  which is the cavity of the *p-tert*-pentylcalix[4]arene and the other (54 Å<sup>3</sup>) which results from the packing of one calixarene on the other.

Close scrutiny of apohost crystal structures of **1** and **2** reveals that neither structure possesses pores. However, in both cases gas molecules flow into the seemingly nonporous crystals. As noted earlier,<sup>9</sup> it is plausible to assume that the upper rim *tert*-butyl groups of the calixarenes play a critical role in gas uptake for structure **1**. In the solid-state, the aromatic and hydroxyl groups at the lower rim of the calixarene have well-defined thermal ellipsoids and appear to be rigid, while the *tert*-butyl groups of the upper rim may rotate about the  $C(ar)-C(sp^3)$  bond, as evidenced by crystallographic disorder. We have previously postulated that a cooperative rotation of the *tert*-butyl groups may produce a 'turnstile' effect which would allow the gas molecules to diffuse through the crystals.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first structural report of apohost 2. However, the crystal structure of 2·toluene has been reported, and it is isostructural to apohost  $2.^{+13}$  As noted above, the packing of calixarene molecules is quite different for 1 and 2.

The postulated mechanism for the diffusion of gas molecules through crystals of 1 is likely to be different from that for 2. This expectation is based on the crystal structures, and since the 'turnstiles' noted for 1 do not exist in 2, it is therefore reasonable to expect a different mechanism of gas diffusion to be operative in 2. This expected difference in the mechanisms of gas diffusion appears to be supported by the sorption data presented in Fig. 1 and 2. It is clear that at 1 atm methane pressure, 1 absorbs more methane than does 2. However, at 38 atm methane pressure, 2 absorbs more than does 1. This is consistent with the major portion of the space available for housing methane in 2 to be either inaccessible at 1 atm methane pressure, and/or there are two pathways for methane sorption in 2. Experiments in progress at this time are aimed at clarifying the mechanism of methane sorption in these nonporous organic solids.

Although much is known about the organic solid-state, it is abundantly clear that there is yet much more to be learned.

We are grateful to the National Science Foundation (USA) and National Research Foundation (SA) for support of this work.

## Notes and references

‡ Crystal data for **2**: C<sub>48</sub>H<sub>64</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, M = 704.99, colorless prism, 0.30 × 0.20 × 0.15 mm<sup>3</sup>, tetragonal, space group *P4/n* (No. 85), a = b = 12.717(3), c = 13.457(6) Å, V = 2176.2(11) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 2,  $D_c = 1.076$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $F_{000} = 768$ , Bruker SMART Apex CCD diffractometer, MoKα radiation,

 $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å, T = 173(2)K,  $2\theta_{\text{max}} = 54.3^{\circ}$ , 14207 reflections collected, 2398 unique ( $R_{\text{int}} = 0.0860$ ). Final GooF = 1.072, R1 = 0.0823, wR2 = 0.2016, R indices based on 1488 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ (refinement on  $F^2$ ), 150 parameters, 2 restraints. Lp and absorption corrections applied,  $\mu = 0.066$  mm<sup>-1</sup>. CCDC 273602. See http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1039/b507406a for crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format.

- 1 R. Coonitz and B. Hanson, Science, 2004, 305, 957.
- 2 V. C. Menon and S. Komarneni, J. Porous Mater., 1998, 5, 43.
- 3 M. Eddaoudi, J. Kim, N. Rosi, D. Vodak, J. Wachter, M. O'Keeffe and O. M. Yaghi, *Science*, 2002, **295**, 469; S. Kitagawa, R. Kitaura and S. Noro, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2004, **43**, 2334.
- 4 J. L. Atwood, L. J. Barbour and A. Jerga, Chem. Commun., 2002, 2952.
- 5 J. L. Atwood, L. J. Barbour and A. Jerga, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 2948.
- 6 J. L. Atwood, L. J. Barbour and A. Jerga, Science, 2002, 296, 2367.
- 7 J. L. Atwood, L. J. Barbour, G. O. Lloyd and P. K. Thallapally, *Chem. Commun.*, 2004, 922; E. B. Brouwer, G. D. Enright, K. A. Udachin, S. Lang, K. J. Ooms, P. A. Halchuk and J. A. Ripmeester, *Chem. Commun.*, 2003, 1416.
- 8 J. L. Atwood, L. J. Barbour, A. Jerga and B. L. Schottel, *Science*, 2002, 298, 1000.
- 9 J. L. Atwood, L. J. Barbour, P. K. Thallapally and T. B. Wirsig, *Chem. Commun.*, 2005, 51.
- 10 G. R. Desiraju, Angew Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1995, 34, 2311.
- 11 L. J. Barbour, J. Supramol. Chem., 2001, 1, 189; J. L. Atwood and L. J. Barbour, Cryst. Growth Des., 2003, 3, 3.
- 12 M. L. Connolly, Science, 1983, 221, 709.
- 13 S. A. Talipov, A. M. Yuldashev, Kh. L. Gapparov, B. T. Ibragimov and T. F. Aripov, *Zh. Org. Khim.*, 1997, **33**, 1249.