COMMUNICATION

ChemComm
www.rsc.org/chemcomm

Formation and spectroscopic characterization of the dioxygen adduct of a heme–Cu complex possessing a cross-linked tyrosine–histidine mimic: modeling the active site of cytochrome *c* oxidase†

Jin-Gang Liu, Yoshinori Naruta,* Fumito Tani, Takefumi Chishiro and Yoshimitsu Tachi
Institute for Materials Chemistry and Engineering, Kyushu University, Higashi-ku, Fukuoka 812-8581, Japan. E-mail: naruta@ms.ifoc.kyushu-u.ac.jp; Fax: +81-(0)92-642-2715; Tel: +81-(0)92-642-2731

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 19th September 2003, Accepted 21st October 2003
First published as an Advance Article on the web 24th November 2003

A binucleating porphyrin with covalently appended copper chelates having a cross-linked imidazole–phenol group as the novel active site model of cytochrome *c* oxidase has been prepared, and the dioxygen adduct of its iron(II)–copper(I) complex was spectroscopically characterized.

Cytochrome *c* oxidase (C*c*O), the terminal enzyme of the respiratory chain, catalyzes the 4e/4H$^+$ reduction of dioxygen to water without generating toxic reactive intermediates, conserving the released energy for the synthesis of ATP.[1] The active site of O_2 reduction is comprised of heme a_3/Cu_B binuclear moiety in which one of the copper-bound histidines is covalently cross-linked to a tyrosine residue between the C6 of Tyr244 and the ε-nitrogen of His 240 (in the bovine enzyme sequence).[2] This unprecedented Tyr-His cross-link is proposed either to function as an electron and a proton donor to the dioxygen bound to heme a_3 or to fix Cu_B in a certain configuration and distance from heme a_3 during the catalytic O_2 reduction.[3] A number of heme-based dinuclear Fe–Cu complexes have been reported as model compounds in the hope of unraveling the mechanism of O_2 reduction in the active site of C*c*O.[4] However, the reported heme-based models are devoid of the Tyr-His cross-linkage. There are a few recent reports[5] about the syntheses and physicochemical investigations of cross-linked phenol–imidazoles. Very recently, Karlin and co-workers[6] reported copper complexes with imidazole–phenol cross-links as an initial synthetic model for the Cu_B site in C*c*O. Herein we report the first example of constructing a heme-containing model with covalently appended copper chelates having a cross-linked imidazole–phenol group as a novel C*c*O model compound.

The synthetic routes to the desired compounds are shown in Scheme 1.‡ The aldehyde **3** is prepared firstly from the coupling of methoxymethyl (MOM)-protected 2-hydroxyphenylboronic acid (**1**) with 4(5)-(*tert*-butyldiphenylsilanyloxymethyl)-1*H*-imidazole (**2**),[7] followed by removing the silyl protecting group and then oxidized by activated MnO_2. It is noteworthy that none of the desired product is obtained when 1*H*-imidazole-4-carbaldehyde is employed for the coupling. The MOM-protected phenylboronic acid (**1**) can be obtained in 65% overall yield by sequential reactions involving metalation (*n*-BuLi/ether/−70 °C) of MOM-protected 2-bromo-4-methylphenol, and treatment with $B(OMe)_3$, followed by acidic work-up. Treatment of **3** with 2-aminomethylimidazole in methanol generates the corresponding Schiff base intermediate, which is consequently reduced by $NaBH_4$ *in situ* to give **4** in a yield of 65%. The tripodal ligand **5** is isolated by reacting the amine **4** with methyl 6-chloromethylnicotinate in the presence of K_2CO_3 in CH_3CN, and then hydrolyzing in a KOH solution. The prepared tripodal ligand is an important building block in assembling C*c*O active site models. The condensation reaction between **5** and the porphyrin **6** (2-[10,15,20-tris-(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)-porphyrin-5-yl]-phenylamine) is performed in the presence of Et_3N/2-chloromethylpyridinium iodide in CH_2Cl_2 to give the covalent conjugate L^{OMOM} (**7**) in 63% yield. Finally, the MOM group is removed with bromotrimethylsilane in CH_2Cl_2 at − 30 °C to regenerate the phenolic hydroxyl group and the hydroxyl free ligand L^{OH} (**8**)‡ is obtained in a moderate yield (60%).

Stepwise metalation of the porphyrins, **7** and **8**, begins with addition of excess $FeBr_2$ in THF at reflux, followed by extraction with an aqueous Na_2EDTA solution, yielding the corresponding mononuclear Fe^{II} porphyrins, $L^{OMOM}Fe^{II}$ and $L^{OH}Fe^{II}$, respectively. Addition of copper salt $[Cu(CH_3CN)_4]^+$ $CF_3SO_3^-$ gives the desired Fe^{II}/Cu^{I} complexes with similar UV–vis spectra to those of their mononuclear Fe^{II} complexes, $[L^{OMOM}Fe^{II}Cu^{I}]^+$ (**9**), ESI–MS *m*/*z* = 1330.5 (M^+); $[L^{OH}Fe^{II}Cu^{I}]^+$ (**10**), ESI–MS *m*/*z* = 1286.5 (M^+).

Both **9** and **10** react with O_2 at − 30 °C in CH_3CN to give the dioxygen adducts $[L^{OMOM}Fe^{III}–O_2–Cu^{II}]^+$ (**11**) and $[L^{OH}Fe^{III}–O_2–Cu^{II}]^+$ (**12**), respectively. The formation of the corresponding peroxo species was evidenced by the following observations: (1) upon exposure of the reduced form **9** or **10** to O_2, its UV–vis spectra show distinctive changes with clear isosbestic points. The Soret band shifts from 429 nm to 421 nm, and the Q-band at 533 nm disappears (Fig. 1), which indicates the formation of a dioxygen adduct as described for those of our previously isolated peroxo-bridged Fe–O_2–Cu species.[8] (2) ESI mass spectra of the dioxygen adducts show a distribution of peaks centered at 1362.5 (M^+) for **11**, and 1318.5 (M^+) for **12**. The observed isotope distribution of peaks agrees very well with the simulated pattern based on the ratio of $Fe^{II}–Cu^{I}$: O_2 = 1 : 1. The expected increase in mass of 4 is observed when **11** (*m*/*z*, M^+, 1366.5) or **12** (*m*/*z*, M^+, 1322.5) forms from $^{18}O_2$. (3) The resonance Raman spectra of **11** shows an isotope dependent peak at 801 cm^{-1} which shifts to 755 cm^{-1} with ^{18}O-labeled dioxygen, and **12** displays a similar isotope sensitive band at 799 ($^{16}O_2$) and 752 cm^{-1} ($^{18}O_2$), respectively (Fig. 2). The observed isotopic shifts are in good agreement with the value



Scheme 1 *Reagents and conditions:* (i) cat. $[Cu(OH)TMEDA]_2Cl_2$, CH_2Cl_2, O_2, rt, 75%; (ii) *n*-BuN^+F^-, THF, rt, 93%; (iii) MnO_2, $CHCl_3$, reflux, 85%; (iv) *a*, 2-aminomethylimidazole, Et_3N, MeOH, *b*, $NaBH_4$, 65%; (v) methyl 6-chloromethylnicotinate, K_2CO_3, CH_3CN, rt, 61%; (vi) KOH, THF, rt, 86%; (vii) 2-chloromethylpyridinium iodide, **5**, Et_3N, CH_2Cl_2, rt, 63%; (viii) Me_3SiBr, CH_2Cl_2, − 30 °C, 60%.

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: experiment procedures for preparing metal porphyrins and oxygenation reaction. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/b3/b311538k/

DOI: 10.1039/b311538k

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2004

calculated from the harmonic oscillator approximation of the O–O stretching vibration [$\Delta_{calcd}(^{16}O_2/^{18}O_2)$ = 46 cm^{-1}]. These observed ν(O–O) values are similar to those of previous reported dioxygen adducts in the peroxy state.[4,8] (4) Both **11** and **12** are EPR silent in a frozen solution (CH_3CN, 77K), which indicates the presence of the strong antiferromagnetic coupling between the two metals.

The formed peroxo species are stable at − 30 °C in CH_3CN, and on warming of the solution to room temperature (after removal of excess O_2 *in vacuo*), the dioxygen adducts $[L^{OMOM}Fe^{III}–O_2–Cu^{II}]^+$ (**11**) and $[L^{OH}Fe^{III}–O_2–Cu^{II}]^+$ (**12**) exhibit interesting differences. For **11**, the major decomposed product is the μ-oxo complex formulated as $[L^{OMOM}Fe^{III}–O–Cu^{II}]^+$ [*m*/*z*, 1346.6 (M^+)] with UV–vis features [λ_{max} = 440 nm (Soret)] similar to the reported μ-oxo analogues.[8,9] By contrast, no μ-oxo final species is observed for **12**. The final decomposed product demonstrates features like that of the hydroxo ferric porphyrin derivatives.[10] The EPR spectrum (MeCN, 77 K) of the product shows signals at g = 5.56 and 1.99 corresponding to a high spin iron(III) porphyrin, and signals at $g_{\|}$ = 2.23 and $g_{\perp}$ = 2.06, which are assigned to a S = 1/2 Cu(II) ion in a tetragonal field.[11] We tentatively formulate the product as $[L^{OH}Fe^{III}–OH, Cu^{II}]^{2+}$. The decomposition mechanism and further product characterization are in progress.

In summary, a novel heme-based binucleating ligand incorporated with *N*-(2′-hydroxyphenyl)imidazole moiety as a C*c*O's Cu_B site mimic has been designed and successfully prepared. The oxygenation reaction with its iron(II)–copper(I) complex has been preliminarily investigated by various spectroscopic methods.

This work was financially supported by the Grant-in-Aid for COE Research (#08CE2005) and for Scientific Research on Priority Areas (#09235225 and 11228207) from MEXT and for Scientific Research (A) (#14204073) from JSPS. P&P project, Green Chemistry, of Kyushu University partly supported this research. J.-G. Liu gratefully acknowledges JSPS for providing postdoctoral fellowship.



Fig. 1 UV–visible spectral changes of **10**, $[L^{OH}Fe^{II}Cu^{I}]^+$, to **12** upon exposure to dioxygen in CH_3CN at −30 °C.



Fig. 2 Resonance Raman spectra of **12** formed from $^{16}O_2$ (A) and $^{18}O_2$ (B). The difference spectra A minus B is shown as trace C (3% toluene in CH_3CN, −30 °C, 413 nm excitation).

Notes and references

‡ Synthetic details will be reported elsewhere. All new compounds were fully characterized by spectroscopic methods. Stated yields refer to isolated compounds and the purity was guaranteed by chromatography. Data for L^{OH} (**8**), ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 8.84 (d, J = 8.0, 1 H), 8.75 (d, J = 4.8, 2 H), 8.67 (d, J = 4.4, 2 H), 8.63 (d, J = 3.6, 4 H), 8.03 (d, J = 6.0, 1 H), 7.85 (d, J = 7.2, 1 H), 7.83 (s, 1 H), 7.76 (s, 1 H), 7.54 (t, 1 H), 7.24 ~ 7.26 (m, 6 H), 7.20 (s, 2 H), 7.14 (s, 1 H), 6.82 (d, J = 8.0, 1 H), 6.77 (d, J = 6.0, 1 H), 6.70 (d, J = 8.0, 1 H), 6.59 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H,), 6.28 (s, 1 H), 6.03 (s, 1 H), 3.29 (s, 2 H), 3.25 (s, 2 H), 3.16 (s, 2 H), 2.86 (s, 3 H), 2.60 (s, 3 H), 2.58 (s, 6 H), 2.13 (s, 3 H), 1.85 (s, 3 H), 1.82 (s, 6 H), 1.79 (s, 3 H), 1.75 (s, 6 H), − 2.54 (s, 2 H). IR (KBr) 3411, 3318, 3026, 2916, 2855, 1697, 1683, 1674, 1652, 1599, 1578, 1558, 1520, 1472, 1457, 1446, 1399, 1377, 1344, 1284, 1257, 1217, 1188, 1131, 1070, 968, 804 cm^{-1}. HR-MS (FAB, NBA) Found: 1170.5869. Calcd for $C_{76}H_{72}N_{11}O_2$: $[M + H]^+$, 1170.5870.

1 S. Ferguson-Miller and G. T. Babcock, *Chem. Rev.*, 1996, **96**, 2889; G. T. Babcock, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 1999, **96**, 12971.
2 S. Yoshikawa, K. Shinzawa-Itoh, R. Nakashima, R. Yaono, E. Yamashita, N. Inoue, M. Yao, M. J. Fei, C. P. Libeu, T. Mizushima, H. Yamaguchi, T. Tomizaki and T. Tsukihara, *Science*, 1998, **280**, 1723; C. Ostermeier, A. Harrenga, U. Ermler and H. Michel, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 1997, **94**, 10547.
3 D. A. Proshlyakov, M. A. Pressler, C. DeMaso, J. F. Leykam, D. L. DeWitt and G. T. Babcock, *Science*, 2000, **290**, 1588; E. Pinakoulaki, U. Pfitzner, B. Ludwig and C. Varotsis, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2002, **277**, 13563.
4 J. P. Collman, R. Boulatov and C. J. Sunderland, in *The Porphyrin Handbook*, eds. K. M. Kadish, K. M. Smith and R. Guilard, Academic Press, San Diego, 2003, **Vol. 11**, pp. 1–49 and references cited therein.
5 J. P. Collman, Z. Wang, M. Zhong and L. Zeng, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1*, 2000, 1217; K. M. McCauley, J. M. Vrtis, J. Dupont and W. A. van der Donk, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2000, **122**, 2403; J. A. Cappuccio, I. Ayala, G. I. Elliott, I. Szundi, J. Lewis, J. P. Konopelski, B. A. Barry and O. Einarsdottir, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, **124**, 1750; M. Aki, T. Ogura, Y. Naruta, T. H. Le, T. Sato and T. Kitagawa, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2002, **106**, 3436.
6 K. Kamaraj, E. Kim, B. Galliker, L. N. Zakharov, A. L. Rheingold, A. D. Zuberbuhler and K. D. Karlin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **125**, 6028.
7 J. P. Collman and M. Zhong, *Org. Lett.*, 2000, **2**, 1233. The coupling reaction affords two isomers, ***N*-1** and ***N*-3** aryl products, which can be readily separated by silica-gel column chromatography in 75% (***N*-1**) and 7% (***N*-3**) yields, respectively.
8 T. Chishiro, Y. Shimazaki, F. Tani, Y. Tachi, Y. Naruta, S. Karasawa, S. Hayami and Y. Maeda, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2003, **42**, 2788; Y. Naruta, T. Sasaki, F. Tani, Y. Tachi, N. Kawato and N. Nakamura, *J. Inorg. Biochem.*, 2001, **83**, 239.
9 E. Kim, M. E. Helton, I. M. Wasser, K. D. Karlin, S. Lu, H.-W. Huang, P. Moenne-Loccoz, C. D. Incarvito, A. L. Rheingold, M. Honecker, S. Kaderli and A. D. Zuberbuhler, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 2003, **100**, 3623; M.-A. Kopf and K. D. Karlin, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1999, **38**, 4922.
10 Data for the decomposed product $[L^{OH}Fe^{III}–OH, Cu^{II}]^{2+}$: UV–vis ($CH_3CN$) λ_{max} = 418, 560 nm. ESI–MS m/z = 651.9 (M^{2+}).
11 F. Tani, Y. Matsumoto, Y. Tachi, T. Sasaki and Y. Naruta, *Chem. Commun.*, 1998, 1731; B. Andrioletti, D. Richard and B. Boitrel, *New J. Chem.*, 1999, **23**, 1143. As comparison, the EPR spectrum of the dinuclear complex $[L^{OH}Fe^{III}–Cu^{II}]OTf_3$ shows signals at g = 5.45 and 2.01, $g_{\|}$ = 2.24 and $g_{\perp}$ = 2.09, respectively.