Ortho-substituted iodobenzenes as novel organocatalysts for bromination of alkenes[†]

D. Christopher Braddock,*^{*a*} Gemma Cansell^{*a*} and Stephen A. Hermitage^{*b*}

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 21st March 2006, Accepted 20th April 2006 First published as an Advance Article on the web 3rd May 2006 DOI: 10.1039/b604130b

Suitably *ortho*-substituted iodobenzenes act as organocatalysts for the transfer of electrophilic bromine from *N*-bromosuccinimide to alkenes *via* the intermediacy of bromoiodinanes.

Recently we demonstrated that bromoiodinanes 1 and 2 are readily prepared in a single step by reaction of N-bromosuccinimide (NBS) with carbinols **3** and **4** respectively.¹ Subsequently, bromoiodinane 1 proved to be an efficient electrophilic bromine source for transfer to arenes or alkenes. A significant observation of these bromination reactions is that the only by-product observed is carbinol 3. Since carbinol 3 is converted directly into 1 by the action of NBS, and given that carbinol 3 is the sole byproduct of the subsequent bromination event, the bromination reaction should be able to be rendered catalytic in carbinol 3. In this communication we demonstrate that the reaction can indeed be rendered catalytic in carbinol 3 with bromoiodinane 1 acting as the actual catalytic brominating agent. We also show that superior catalysts are available by increasing the nucleophilicity of the ortho-substituent. This is a rare example of a system which has a catalytic cycle with iodine in the I(I) and I(III) oxidation states.^{2,3} To the best of our knowledge it also constitutes the first organocatalytic transfer of electrophilic bromine to alkenes.



We chose to examine the bromolactonisation of 4-pentenoic acid **5** into bromolactone 6^4 for the purpose of developing a catalytic bromination of alkenes (Table 1). In the control experiments without any catalyst (entry 1) the extent of bromolactonisation after 0.5 h is insignificant. After 15 h, 20% bromolactonisation of **5** into **6** has occurred. Thus the background bromination rate using NBS only is slow. The use of ditrifluoromethylcarbinol **4** as catalyst (25 mol%) resulted in a modest increase in conversion of **5** into **6** to 32%. At the same catalyst loading carbinol **3** catalysed the reaction to quantitative conversion in the same time period. The cyclisation is extremely clean and, apart from resonances for the catalyst and succinimide, only the desired product **6** could be detected by ¹H and ¹³C NMR. The difference between the catalytic activities of

Fax: +44(0)2075945805; Tel: +44(0)2075945772

3 and 4 may be attributed to the more nucleophilic oxygen atom of 3. A catalytic cycle invoking the intermediacy of the bromoiodinane 1 is shown below (Scheme 1).



Scheme 1 Catalytic cycle involving bromoiodinane 1.

On the basis of the above results, it is to be expected that other *ortho*-substituted iodobenzenes with pendant nucleophilic groups should function as catalysts by formation of bromoiodinane intermediates.



Accordingly, a series of iodobenzoic acids and derivatives were screened (Table 1, entries 4–12). 2-Iodobenzoic acid 7a‡ proved to be superior as a catalyst (10 mol%) giving quantitative conversion to **6** in 6 h at room temperature. Amino derivative 7b,⁵ which should boost the nucleophilicity of the carboxylic acid group by resonance proved still more superior. 3-Iodobenzoic acid (7c), where the carboxylic acid group is now *meta* to the iodine gave no increase in conversion relative to the control experiment, consistent with the need to form a bromoiodinane with an O–I–Br

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Imperial College London, London, UK SW7 2AZ. E-mail: c.braddock@imperial.ac.uk;

^bGlaxoSmithKline Ltd, Medicines Research Centre, Gunnels Wood Road, Stevenage, UK SGI 2NY

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Preparation and full characterising details of catalysts 7g and 7i, and substrates 10–14. See DOI: 10.1039/b604130b

Table 1 Catalytic bromolactonisation of 5 into 6^a

Entry	Catalyst	Loading (mol%)	Time/h	Conversion ^c (%)
1		_	0.5 (15)	2 (20)
2	4	25	15	32
3	3	25	15	100
4	7a	10	6	100
5	7b	10	3	100
6	7c	10	24	25
7	7d	10	19	53
8	7e	10	2	72
9^b	7f	10	0.5	100
10	7g	10	0.33	100
11	7h	10	<1.5	100
12	7i	10	< 0.5	100
^{<i>a</i>} All re NBS. ^{<i>c</i>}	eactions pe Conversio	rformed with 1.0 e n determined by ¹ H	q NBS in C I NMR.	DCl_3 at rt. ^b 1.2 e

three-centre four-electron bond. Control experiments with just iodobenzene or benzoic acid as potential catalysts (not shown in Table 1) gave no increase in conversion. Further evidence for the intermediacy of a bromoiodinane comes from the use of 3-methyl-2-iodobenzoic acid **7d** as catalyst, where the sp^3 -hybridised carbon atom of the methyl group at the 3-position would engender a steric clash with the bromine atom in the putative bromoiodinane. This

Table 2Catalytic bromolactonisation of substrates 8–14 with catalyst $7i^a$

iodobenzene acts as a catalyst but the conversion relative to 2-iodobenzoic acid is much reduced.

Incorporating a more nucleophilic oxygen atom as part of an amide group ortho to the iodo group gives even more superior catalysts. The inductive effect of added alkyl groups on moving along the 1° (7e), ${}^{6} 2^{\circ}$ (7f) and 3° (7g) amide series is also clearly evident. Dicarboxylic acid $7h^8$ —a catalyst with a pendant nucleophilic group at both ortho positions of the iodobenzenewas found to be a superior catalyst compared to 2-iodobenzoic acid 7a. Finally, amidine 7i¶ proved to be an excellent catalyst too, as expected on the basis of nucleophilicity. The above experiments demonstrate that (i) the pendant functional group is required to be positioned ortho to the iodine atom on the benzene ring, (ii) for a given ortho-positioned functional group increasing its nucleophilicity results in superior catalysts and (iii) substitution of an sp³hybridised group at the other ortho position retards the catalytic ability. All these effects are consistent with the intermediacy of a bromoiodinane which is the electrophilic bromine source. Further, catalysts of higher activity are available by introduction of two pendant nucleophilic groups at both ortho positions of the iodobenzene cf. 7h vs. 7a.

A series of other bromolactonisation substrates 8-14 were screened using highly active catalyst 7i (Table 2). All the substrates cyclised smoothly to give bromolactone products 15-22.** The

Entry	Substrate	Time/h	Product(s)	Conversion ^b (%)	Isolated yield ^c (%)
1	ОН 0 8	1	Br 0 0 15	100 (<5)	89
2	но 9	0.5		100 (0)	91
3	ОН 0 10	0.5	Br O O 17	100 (14)	93
4	ОН 0 11	0.5	Br 0 0 18	100 (100)	98
5	ОН 0 12	1.5	$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} & \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ Br \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} $	100 (13) ^d	83 ^e
6	OH 0 13	1	Pr 0 0	100 (12) ^f	81 ^g
7	OH 0 14	0.25	Pr 22	100 (0)	90

^{*a*} All reactions performed with 10 mol% **7i** and 1.0 eq NBS in CDCl₃ at rt. ^{*b*} Conversion determined by ¹H NMR. The percentage conversion in the control experiment without catalyst is given in parentheses. ^{*c*} Isolated yield after chromatography. ^{*d*} The ratio of **19** : **20** was 1 : 1 in the catalysed reaction and 8 : 2 in the uncatalysed reaction. ^{*e*} Combined yield: 43% of **19**; 40% of **20**. ^{*f*} A minor isomer (<10%) was observed in both the catalysed and uncatalysed reaction. ^{*g*} A 6-ring lactone was isolated in 6% yield. It was identified by the characteristic IR stretch at 1719 cm⁻¹.

products are all consistent with the intermediacy of bromonium ions followed by intramolecular attack of the nucleophilic carboxylate. Two factors are at play in determining the ring-size of the bromolactone product. The nucleophile prefers to attack the bromonium ion at its most substituted carbon, consistent with the stabilisation of partial positive charge there. Secondly, the exo ringclosing process is preferred to the endo mode. With the exception of substrate 12, both these factors are reinforcing and a single bromolactone product is observed. For substrate 12, a "mismatched" substrate, both the 5 and 6-ring lactones are observed. It is interesting to note that the product distribution changes markedly in the catalysed versus uncatalysed reaction for this substrate. Finally, the isomeric E and Z unsaturated acids 13 and 14 give two different diastereoisomers, consistent with the stereospecific ring-opening of a bromonium ion. For all the bromolactonisation products, the ring sizes were readily identified by inspection of the lactone stretching frequency in the IR spectra. For example 5-ring lactone 20 displays a stretching frequency of 1780 cm^{-1} , whereas 6-ring lactone **19** shows a characteristic stretch at 1724 cm^{-1} .

In conclusion we have developed the first organocatalytic method for the transfer of electrophilic bromine to alkenes. We invoke a catalytic cycle involving I(I) and I(III) oxidation states. These results should provide a platform for the development of a highly efficient asymmetric bromination reaction of prochiral alkenes.

We thank the EPSRC and GlaxoSmithKline Ltd. for an Industrial CASE award to G.C.

Notes and references

‡ 7a, 7c and 7d are all commercially available iodobenzoic acids.

§ 7g was prepared by *N*,*N*-alkylation of benzamide 7e with bromobutane. Full details are given in the ESI. \dagger

¶ Amidine 7i was prepared by the method of Daoust and Lessard for amidine preparation from amides [ref. 9] starting from 2-iodo-N-phenylbenzamide [ref. 10]. Full details are given in the ESI.†

|| Acids 8–14 are all known compounds. 5-Hexenoic acid 8 and 2-cyclopentene-1-acetic acid 9 are commercially available. γ , δ -Unsaturated acids 11, 12 and 13 are prepared by Johnson–Claisen rearrangement [ref. 11] of the appropriately substituted prop-2-en-1-ol with triethylorthoformate, followed by hydrolysis of the resulting ethyl ester. Acid 10 is prepared by the action of a Wittig reagent on ethyl 4-oso-phenylbutyrate, followed by ester hydrolysis. Z-Unsaturated acid 14, was prepared by the Wittig reaction of the phosponium salt of ethyl 4-bromobutyrate with butyraldehyde followed by ester hydrolysis. Full details are given in the ESI.†

** Data for bromolactones **15–22**: 6-Bromomethyltetrahydropyran-2-one (**15**): $R_{\rm f}$ 0.30 (2 : 8, EtOAc : petroleum ether); FT IR (NaCl) $\nu_{\rm max}$ 1738 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.52–4.42 (m, 1H, OCH), 3.55–3.41 (m, 2H, CH₂Br), 2.65–2.37 (m, 2H, CH₂), 2.16–1.61 (m, 4H, CH₂CH₂); ¹³C NMR (68 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 170.4, 78.6, 33.8, 29.4, 26.3, 18.1; MS (CI⁺) 212, 210 (M + NH₄⁺); HRMS calcd for (M + NH₄) C₆H₁₃⁷⁹BrNO₂ 210.0130, found 210.0120.

6-Bromohexahydrocyclopenta[*b*]furan-2-one (**16**): *R*_f 0.30 (1 : 9, EtOAc : petroleum ether); FT IR (NaCl) *v*_{max} 1778 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.04 (d, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 1H, OC*H*), 4.41 (d, *J* = 4.5 Hz, 1H, CHBr), 3.20–3.03 (m, 1H, CH), 2.85 (dd, *J* = 18.5, 10.2 Hz, 1H, C(O)CHH), 2.47–2.00 (m, 4H, CH₂), 1.62–1.49 (m, 1H, CHH); ¹³C NMR (68 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 176.5, 90.5, 52.9, 36.0, 35.9, 33.1, 31.4; MS (CI⁺) 224, 222 (M + NH₄⁺); HRMS calcd for (M + NH₄) C₇H₁₃⁷⁹BrNO₂ 222.0130, found 222.0122.

5-Bromomethyl-5-phenyldihydrofuran-2-one (17): $R_{\rm f}$ 0.13 (4 : 6, petroleum ether : CH₂Cl₂); FT IR (NaCl) $\nu_{\rm max}$ 1783 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR

(270 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.40–7.35 (m, 5H, Ar*H*), 3.72 (d, *J* = 11.3 Hz, 1H, H*H*CBr), 3.67 (d, *J* = 11.3, 1H, *H*HCBr), 2.85–2.73 (m, 2H, C*H*₂), 2.60–2.44 (m, 2H, C*H*₂); ¹³C NMR (68 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 175.6, 140.8, 128.9, 128.8, 125.0, 86.5, 41.2, 32.5, 29.2; MS (Cl⁺) 274, 272 (M + NH₄⁺); HRMS calcd for (M + NH₄) C₁₁H₁₅⁷⁹BrNO₂ 272.0286, found 272.0274; Anal. calcd for C₁₁H₁₁BrO₂ C, 51.79; H, 4.35; found: C, 51.86; H, 4.39.

5-(Bromomethyl)-5-methyl-γ-butyrolactone (**18**): $R_{\rm f}$ 0.26 (3 : 7, petroleum ether : CH₂Cl₂); FT IR (NaCl) $\nu_{\rm max}$ 1776 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.52 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H, CH*H*Br), 3.44 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H, C*H*HBr), 2.61–2.68 (m, 2H, CH₂), 2.41–2.29 (m, 1H, CH*H*), 2.13–1.97 (m, 1H, CH*H*₂), 1.54 (s, 3H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (68 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 175.9, 84.2, 39.6, 31.6, 29.3, 25.5; MS (Cl⁺) 212, 210 (M + NH₄⁺); HRMS calcd for (M + NH₄) C₆H₁₃⁷⁹BrNO₂ 210.0130, found 210.0125.

5-Bromo-6,6-dimethyltetrahydropyran-2-one (**19**): mp 45–47 °C; $R_{\rm f}$ 0.30 (3 : 7, petroleum ether : CH₂Cl₂); FT IR (NaCl) $\nu_{\rm max}$ 1724 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.19 (dd, J = 8.0, 4.0 Hz, 1H, *CHB*r), 2.80 (ddd, J = 18.4, 7.9, 6.3 Hz, 1H, *CH*H), 2.60 (ddd, J = 18.5, 7.5, 6.3 Hz, 1H, *CH*H); 2.52–2.24 (m, 2H, *CH*2), 1.53 (s, 6H, C(*CH*₃)₂); ¹³C NMR (68 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.2, 83.3, 52.5, 28.2, 27.8, 27.4, 26.1; MS (CI⁺) 226, 224 (M + NH₄⁺); HRMS calcd for (M + NH₄) C₇H₁₅⁷⁹BrNO₂ 224.0286, found 224.0279.

5-(1-Bromo-1-methylethyl)-γ-butyrolactone (**20**): mp 43–45 °C; $R_{\rm f}$ 0.40 (3 : 7, petroleum ether : CH₂Cl₂); FT IR (NaCl) $\nu_{\rm max}$ 1780 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.30 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H, OCH), 2.72–2.48 (m, 2H, CH₂), 2.43–2.16 (m, 2H, CH₂); 1.76 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.74 (s, 3H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (68 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 176.5, 85.9, 65.6, 30.5, 29.5, 28.7, 24.6; MS (Cl⁺) 226, 224 (M + NH₄⁺); HRMS calcd for (M + NH₄) C₇H₁₅⁷⁹BrNO₂ 224.0286, found 224.0280; Anal. calcd for C₇H₁₁BrO₂: C, 40.60; H, 5.35; found: C, 40.74 H, 5.46.

(1*R**,2*S**)-5-(1-Bromobutyl)-γ-butyrolactone (**21**): *R*_f 0.34 (4 : 6, petroleum ether : CH₂Cl₂); FT IR (NaCl) v_{max} 1781 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.50 (q, *J* = 7.0, 1H, *H*CO), 4.04 (ddd, *J* = 10.0, 6.9, 3.4 Hz, 1H, *H*CBr), 2.67–2.35 (m, 3H, *CH*₂), 2.21–2.05 (m, 1H, CH*H*), 1.98–1.85 (m, 1H, CH*H*), 1.81–1.54 (m, 2H, *CH*₂), 1.52–1.33 (m, 1H, CH*H*), 0.92 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 3H, *CH*₃); ¹³C NMR (68 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 176.4, 81.4, 57.6, 36.7, 28.6, 26.0, 20.4, 13.4; MS (CI⁺) 240, 238 (M + NH₄⁺); HRMS calcd for (M + NH₄) $C_8H_{17}^{79}$ BrNO₂ 238.0443, found 238.0442.

(1*S**,2*S**)-5-(1-Bromobutyl)-γ-butyrolactone (**22**): $R_{\rm f}$ 0.32 (4 : 6, petroleum ether : Et₂O); FT IR (NaCl) $v_{\rm max}$ 1782 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.61 (ddd, *J* = 7.0, 6.0, 3.0 Hz, 1H, OC*H*), 4.03 (ddd, *J* = 8.0, 5.0, 3.0 Hz, 1H, HCBr), 2.66 (ddd, 17.5, 11.0, 6.0 Hz, 1H, CHH), 2.53 (dd, *J* = 10, 7.5 Hz, 1H, CHH), 2.47–2.31 (m, 1H, CHH), 2.22–2.09 (m, 1H, CHH), 1.94–1.75 (m, 2H, CH₂), 1.70–1.54 (m, 1H, CHH), 1.94–1.75 (m, 2H, CH₂), 1.70–1.54 (m, 1H, CHH), 1.91–1.32 (m, 1H, CHH), 0.91 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H, CH₃): ¹³C NMR (68 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 176.6, 81.0, 57.8, 36.6, 28.4, 25.5, 20.9, 13.4; MS (Cl⁺) 240, 238 (M + NH₄⁺); HRMS calcd for (M + NH₄) C₈H₁₇⁷⁹BrNO₂ 238.0443, found 238.0433; Anal. calcd for C₈H₁₃BrO₂: C, 43.36; H, 5.93; found: C, 43.53; H, 5.93.

- 1 D. C. Braddock, G. Cansell, S. A. Hermitage and A. J. P. White, *Chem. Commun.*, 2006, 1442–1444.
- 2 T. Dohi, A. Maruyama, M. Yoshimura, K. Morimoto, H. Tohma and Y. Kita, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 6193–6196.
- 3 M. Ochiai, Y. Takeuchi, T. Katayama, T. Sueda and K. Miyamoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, **127**, 12244–12245.
- 4 D. C. Braddock, G. Cansell and S. A. Hermitage, Synlett, 2004, 461-464.
- 5 J. Protiva, V. Krecek, B. Maca, J. Urban, M. Budesinsky and M. Prochazka, *Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun.*, 1989, 54, 1012–1018.
- 6 A. Gescher, M. F. G. Stevens and C. P. Turnbull, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1977, 103–106.
- 7 C. S. Cho, X. Wu, L. H. Jiang, S. C. Shim, H.-J. Choi and T. J. Kim, J. Heterocycl. Chem., 1998, 35, 265.
- 8 K. P. Shelly, S. Venimadhavan, K. Nagarajan and R. Stewart, *Can. J. Chem.*, 1989, 67, 1274–1282.
- 9 B. Daoust and J. Lessard, Can. J. Chem., 1995, 73, 362-374.
- 10 R. J. Perry and S. R. Turner, J. Org. Chem., 1991, 56, 6573-6579.
- 11 W. S. Johnson, L. Werthemann, W. R. Bartlett, T. J. Brocksom, T.-T. Li, D. J. Faulkner and M. R. Petersen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1970, 92, 741–743.