SOME PHYSICAL MECHANISMS IN NARCOSIS¹

L. J. MULLINS

Biophysical Laboratory, Purdue University, Lafayette, Indiana

Received January 8, 1954

CONTENTS

Introduction	289
Narcosis and depolarization	290
Thermodynamic principles	291
Gases as narcotics	292
Some physical properties of liquids	295
The chemical stability of narcotics	298
Solids as narcotics	299
The effects of pressure on narcosis	301
The behavior of narcotics in non-aqueous solvents	302
Narcosis at constant volume fraction	305
Liquids as narcotics	307
Membrane cohesive energy density	313
Permeability of the membrane to narcotics	313
The mechanism for cut-off	314
The effects of molecular shape	315
Narcosis at constant thermodynamic activity	315
Models of narcotic action	316
Non-narcotic effects of foreign molecules	318
Some remarks on general anesthesia	320
Conclusions	321
References	322
	Introduction

I. INTRODUCTION

In 1920, Miller (42) offered an explanation of how the addition of 2 per cent sodium chloride to aqueous solutions of phenol increased the toxicity of the phenol for bacteria. His explanation was that the sodium chloride raised the chemical potential of the phenol in solution and hence, effectively, the escaping tendency of phenol toward bacteria. Unfortunately there was no generalization of this suggestion to include phenomena other than toxicity, and it remained for Ferguson (16) to show that the use of thermodynamic indices (chemical potential; activity) was helpful in predicting the aqueous concentrations of various substances that were necessary for toxicity and for narcosis. As will be seen later, the suggestion of Ferguson did not contribute any new information to the understanding of narcosis, but it did free the discussion of such phenomena from the artificiality of the Meyer-Overton hypothesis by showing that the partition coefficient, the vapor pressure of narcotics in solution, and various solubility relationships of narcotics are all derivable in principle from the thermodynamic activity. There is no argument that the concept of partition coefficients is im-

¹ This study has been aided by a grant from the Research Laboratories of Eli Lilly and Company.

portant in a discussion of mechanisms for narcosis; the difficulty has been that none of the substances selected as models of the phase to which narcotics are supposed to be partitioned were entirely satisfactory. The suggestion that, since at equilibrium the activity must be the same in all phases, one should measure activities in an accessible phase (water solution) has been most successful in interpreting data of many sorts. Ferguson (17) has emphasized that if the Meyer-Overton hypothesis is correct, the use of thermodynamic indices to express concentrations merely reinforces the hypothesis; on the other hand, the use of such indices does not require any particular mechanism for narcosis.

This review will be concerned mainly with the examination of data on narcosis for a variety of organisms and with the interpretation of the data in physicochemical terms. No attempt has been made to collect all the papers in this field, because several excellent reviews have appeared recently. Among these may be cited those of Butler (7) on general anesthesia, of Toman (55) on peripheral nerve, of Harris (25) on anesthetics, and of McElroy (40) on the biochemical aspects of narcosis. In addition, a recent symposium, "Mécanisme de la Narcose" (1951), may be consulted for summaries of the views of the various participants.

II. NARCOSIS AND DEPOLARIZATION

Before proceeding further, it will be useful to define some of the terms to be used during this discussion. One may define narcosis generally as any *reversible* decrease in physiological function induced by physical or chemical agents. Such a definition admits of many subdivisions, such as narcosis of cell division or narcosis of the central nervous system (general anesthesia). In contrast, toxicity might be defined as any irreversible decrease in physiological function. Most substances that are narcotics can be shown to be capable of producing both narcosis and toxicity, depending upon the concentration used in the case of chemical agents and upon some measure of intensity in the case of physical agents.

From studies of nerve and muscle, it is apparent that narcosis can be produced with or without depolarization (3, 12, 30), so that an understanding of the process of depolarization is of some relevance to narcosis. From an analysis of the rate of depolarization in frog nerve as a function of the concentration of the depolarizing agent Mullins and Gaffey (45) suggested that such agents act by altering the interfacial tension between the fiber and its environment. Such an alteration might facilitate the removal of material from the membrane, and depolarization would occur when this rate exceeded the synthetic capacity of the fiber to replace the lost material. If such an analysis is correct, one can cause depolarization in three ways: first, by interfering in some way with the oxidative metabolism of the synthetic center producing new membrane; second, by removing membrane faster than it can be replaced; and third, by abolishing the potassium-ion gradient across the membrane and hence the potential. Oxygen lack and cyanide are agents that work on the first mechanism, while ether (>0.5 M) and alcohols, as well as a variety of other non-reactive chemical agents, cause depolarization by the second mechanism. The following discussion will deal mainly with agents which do not produce depolarization at concentrations which are narcotic, since there are very few agents which depolarize before they produce narcosis. The term "membrane" is used here to connote a phase in the cell other than aqueous. There is no cytogeographic specification in the term.

III. THERMODYNAMIC PRINCIPLES

Reference has been made to concentration without specifying just what sort of concentration this should be. The thermodynamic measure of concentration is one where an equal number of molecules are "effective" at any given time. Thus 1 mM but and 14.3 mM ethanol have the same "effective" concentration. The cause for this difference in aqueous concentrations is merely that the solvent water has interacted with so many more of the ethanol molecules that they are no longer "effective." One judges the thermodynamic activity, as this "effective" concentration is termed, by the ratio of p_{nar}/p^0 , where p_{nar} is the partial pressure of the narcotic in a solution that just causes narcosis and p^{o} is the vapor pressure of the pure liquid. If one employs as a solvent a substance relatively similar to the narcotic, e.g., hexane as a solvent for pentane, the interaction of the narcotic molecules with the solvent will be at a minimum and Raoult's law will hold in that $p_{nar}/p^0 \cong A_{nar} \cong X_{nar}$, that is, the activity of the narcotic will equal its mole fraction, where X is the number of moles of narcotic divided by the total number of moles of narcotic plus solvent. If the phase in a cell in which the narcotic acts is such that Raoult's law is followed, then one may expect that narcotic thresholds expressed as A_{nar} will be more constant than thresholds expressed as aqueous concentrations. In the case of water, this sub-



FIG. 1. A plot of activity vs. mole fraction for the solute in a binary liquid mixture. The curves are for (A) a substance that associates with the solvent, (R) a substance that follows Raoult's law, (P) a polar solute, and (I) an incompletely miscible solute.

stance is so different in physical constitution from all the common narcotics that marked deviations from Raoult's law are the rule. Figure 1 is a plot of a number of examples of the behavior of solutions of various substances in water. In discussing binary solutions it is customary to use A_2, X_2 to refer to the solute and a subscript 1 to refer to the solvent. The letter R on the diagram refers to a solute that obeys Raoult's law in solution; the letter A is used to designate a solute that associates with water molecules and hence has less than ideal activity at a given mole fraction. An example of such behavior might be aqueous solutions of glycerol. The letter P designates the behavior of a polar substance such as 1-propanol, as here hydrogen bonding competes with the tendency of the paraffin chain to be forced out of solution. As a result, 1-propanol shows moderate positive deviations from Raoult's law. The letter I represents a substance such as ethyl ether, which shows only limited miscibility with the solvent. These deviations from Raoult's law are expressed as an activity coefficient $\gamma = A/X$; the more positive the deviation the greater the value of the coefficient γ . For dilute solutions (low mole fractions) the limiting slope of the curve is taken to obtain the activity coefficient at infinite dilution (γ_{∞}) (see reference 4). If physiological data at high mole fractions are being considered, the appropriate value of the activity coefficient at any given mole fraction can be read off the curve and used in calculations. Since studies in narcosis usually are concerned with mole fractions less than 0.1, γ_{∞} is usually the more useful value. If vapor pressurecomposition data are not available for a given substance, and if the substance is relatively insoluble, γ_{∞} can in many cases be approximated in the following way: In a saturated aqueous solution a given liquid must have the same activity in the solution as in the pure liquid, e.g., A = 1.0 in both cases. Since very insoluble substances do not in general interact with water molecules, curve I of figure 1 is almost a straight line right up to saturation. The activity coefficient can therefore be computed by taking the reciprocal of the mole fraction of solute in a saturated solution. Ethyl ether is soluble in water to the extent of about 1.0 mole/liter or 0.0185 X; since at this value A must equal 1.0, $\gamma =$ A/X = 1/0.0185 = 54. A value determined by Butler (5, 6) from vapor pressure data is 69. For chloroform, X in a saturated solution is 0.0015 and 1/X is 663, vs. 817 as found by Butler. In both these cases, the deviations found are referable to some interaction between water and solute molecules.

IV. GASES AS NARCOTICS

There are only a rather limited number of substances that have vapor pressures greater than 1 atm. at room temperature; hence the pharmacological properties of most gases are well established. If the chemically reactive gases such as nitrogen dioxide, chlorine, etc. are excluded from consideration, the inert gases may be divided into (1) pure paraffins and their substitution products and (2) inorganic gases. A sufficiently large number of substances in both these categories has been investigated to allow one to state that there is no inherent difference in the narcosis produced by a gas representing one or the other class. Since the suggestion of Ferguson (16) has focussed attention on the thermodynamic properties of narcotics, it is worthwhile examining some of the relationships that ought to hold for these substances.

Although the solubility of gases in liquids varies over a considerable range, it is in principle predictable from the vapor pressure of the gas. Recalling Raoult's law, the solubility of a gas should be proportional to its partial pressure.

$$p_2 = p^0 \mathbf{X}_2$$

If we choose to take methane as an example, its vapor pressure (or more strictly its fugacity) at 20°C. is 289 atm. (extrapolated), so that its solubility at a pressure of 1 atm. should be $\frac{1}{289} = 0.00346$ mole fraction. This value is within a few per cent accurate for the value of the solubility of methane in non-polar solvents whose internal attractive forces are low, such as hexane (0.0031). In general, no great error will be made if the solubilities of gases are computed in this manner for non-polar solvents. It is only in water that one may expect anomalous solubility values. It should be mentioned that when pressures of gases greater than a few atmospheres are considered, some correction for the deviation of the gases from ideal gas laws must be employed. The function fugacity (36) is a correction for this non-ideality and is defined such that f/p approaches 1 as papproaches zero. Such fugacity corrections as necessary can be obtained from tables and applied to the calculations being made.

If narcosis can be demonstrated to take place at constant thermodynamic activity of the narcotizing molecules, this means that since

$$A_{nar} = f_{nar}/f^0 \cong p_{nar}/p^0$$

where A_{nar} is the thermodynamic activity for narcosis, f_{nar} is the fugacity for narcosis, f^0 is the fugacity of the narcotic in some standard state, and the values of p are the respective partial pressures for narcosis and for the pure narcotic, a gas or any other substance ought to be narcotizing at some constant fraction of its own vapor pressure. The standard state for narcosis can be considered, most conveniently, as the pure liquid narcotic. We could have a standard state for gases (e.g., f = 1 atm.), but then it would not be possible to compare values of the activity calculated in this way with the values for liquids, which are, as a class, the most important and varied of narcotics.

In table 1 are tabulated a variety of values for the thermodynamic activities necessary to cause narcosis, mostly in mice, but other values are also given. If the paraffins are considered first, the values of A_{nar} can in no sense be considered constant, but they rise in a regular way as one ascends the paraffin series. Pentane and higher paraffin homologs are liquids at room temperature, but these substances have been included here to show the continuity in the series. From propane on, the value of A_{nar} could be reasonably approximated by merely dividing the molal volume V_m of the substance by 1000. In the ethylenic series, the values of A_{nar} are only about half those required in the paraffin series and the values of V_m are smaller. Acetylene is little different from ethylene, in spite of a definitely smaller V_m , but the degree of anesthesia obtainable from acetylene is greater, hence the figures may not be comparable. The cycloparaffins resemble

SUBSTANCE	₱° AT 37°C.	Pnar	Anar	$V_m^{(a)}$	OBSERVER					
	atm.	atm.		ml./mole						
CH4	310	3.7	0.012	39	Meyer and Hemmi (43)					
$C_2H_6\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots$	50	1.3	$0.025^{(b)}$	55	Ferguson (17)					
C_3H_8	13	0.9	0.07	75	Seevers and Waters (50)					
C ₄ H ₁₀	3	0.2	0.08	97	Seevers and Waters (50)					
C_5H_{12}			0.11	116	Fühner (18)					
C ₆ H ₁₄			0.13	132	Fühner (18)					
C ₇ H ₁₆			0.15	147	Fühner (18)					
C_8H_{18}			0.20	164	Fühner (18)					
C_2H_4	57	0.8	0.014	50	Mever and Hemmi (43)					
C ₃ H ₆	(14) ^(e)	$0.4^{(d)}$	0.029	69	Seevers and Waters (50)					
$1-C_4H_8$	(5) ^(e)	$0.2^{(d)}$	0.04	(90) ^(e)	Seevers and Waters (50)					
C_2H_2	41	0.65	0.016	42	Meyer and Hemmi (43)					
Cyclopropane Methylcyclo-	12	0.35 ^(d)	0.029	62	Seevers and Waters (50)					
propane	$(2.7)^{(e)}$	$0.15^{(d)}$	0.056	(80) ^(e)	Seevers and Waters (50)					
CH ₃ Cl	8	0.065	0.01	56	Meyer and Hemmi (43)					
C_2H_5Cl	3	0.07	0.02	73	Seevers and Waters (50)					
CHCl ₃	0.42	$0.015^{(d)}$	0.03	81	Goodman and Gilman (22)					
(CH ₃) ₂ O	8.1	0.12	0.02	60	Meyer and Hemmi (43)					
$(C_2H_5)_2O$	1.1	0.038 ^(d)	0.03	105	Goodman and Gilman (22)					
Не	(2300) ^(e)	54 ^(e)	0.02	32	Marshall (39)					
A	860 ⁽¹⁾	41 ^(e)	0.048 ^(g)		Marshall (39)					
Xe	83	0.7	0.01	43	Lawrence et al. (33)					
N ₂	$(1300)^{(c)}$	10 ^(e)	0.008	35	Marshall (39)					
N ₂	1030(1)	54 ^(e)	0.05(g)	35	Marshall (39)					
N ₂ O	44	1	0.02	36	Meyer and Hemmi (43)					
SF_6	(25) ^(e)	2	0.08	76	Carpenter (10)					

TABLE 1Narcosis by cases

(a) Molal volume at the boiling point in the case of liquids above their critical temperature; otherwise at 20° C.

^(b) Grain weevil.

(e) Extrapolated.

^(d) Mean values for man and laboratory animals.

(e) Fugacity.

(f) p° at 20°C.

(g) Frog.

the ethylene series more than they do the paraffins, both with respect to the values of A_{nar} and with respect to V_m ; the halogen substitution products similarly follow the rule that V_m seems to influence A_{nar} . Ether and chloroform have been included in this table for purposes of comparison. Chloroform fits into the proper position in the table (e.g., its A_{nar} is about what one would expect from

its V_m), but ether has an A_{nar} far lower than one would predict on the basis of its V_m . For the inorganic gases, the values of A_{nar} are all very low, in keeping with the very small V_m values of these substances. The results with the rare gases are especially interesting because of the certainty that there can be no chemical interaction between these molecules and the organism. The case of sulfur hexafluoride is an interesting one, because this inert and non-toxic substance has only about half the p^0 of nitrous oxide and might therefore be expected to be a good anesthetic, with more potency than nitrous oxide. Its large V_m , however, requires a much higher value of A_{nar} and provides an independent confirmation of the suggestion made here regarding the importance of the molal volume in determining the threshold for narcosis. The value of A_{nar} for helium cannot be considered as very accurate, because of the very considerable uncertainty of p^0 , involving as this does an extrapolation over far too great a temperature range.

The importance of these data is in stressing the advantage of their analysis by thermodynamic methods. The partial pressures required for narcosis by various gases vary from 0.065 to 54 atm. or by a factor of about 800, while the value of A_{nar} varies only from 0.01 to 0.08 or by a factor of 8. To summarize the results with gases, the introduction of the idea of thermodynamic activity gives, as a first approximation, a constancy of the data from substance to substance. If due allowance is made for V_m , it is possible to regularize further the experimental data.

V. SOME PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF LIQUIDS

The alcohols represent as a class the substances most used for the study of narcosis. The choice, while perhaps convenient from an experimental point of view, could not have been more unfortunate from a theoretical viewpoint because of the extremely complex behavior of the alcohols in solution. Some idea of the behavior of organic liquids generally may be had by considering their solubilities in a highly polar solvent, water. In figure 2 (left) the solubilities of a variety of organic substances in water are plotted against the number of carbon atoms in the molecule. The solubility is for the pure liquid narcotic in water, so that in the case of gases, the solubility is computed at the vapor pressure of the liquid at 20°C. Certain features of interest are that, as a first approximation, there is no difference in the water solubility of the ketones, acids, alcohols, and ethers. The solubilities of triple-, double-, and single-bonded hydrocarbons fall in regular order, and the addition of chlorine to a hydrocarbon further depresses its solubility. On the other hand, one might expect from a comparison of solubilities that the alcohols and acids, which are so polar, ought to be more soluble. Solubilities have not been compared at constant fugacity; thus ether makes up for its low polarity by having a high vapor pressure. On the right in figure 2 is a plot of solubility at unit vapor pressure for the same substances. The various compounds fall in the order of their polarity: thus, acids are the most soluble, then alcohols, ketones, and ethers. The 1,1-dichloroparaffins are more soluble than the monochloroparaffins, and the hydrocarbons are the least water-soluble.

L. J. MULLINS



FIG. 2. The water solubility of various organic compounds is plotted against chain length. Values are for normal paraffin compounds. For curve 9 the values are for the 1,1dichloro compound. Values for solubilities have been obtained from various sources, especially the *Handbook of Chemistry and Physics* (1949). Values for 1-pentyne were determined in this laboratory. On the left the solubility is given for the pure liquid in moles per liter. The values on the right are the solubility, in moles per liter, divided by the vapor pressure of the pure liquid.

Another significant fact illustrated by the right-hand curve of figure 4 is that while the solubility of the paraffins in water at unit fugacity is independent of molecular volume (or number of carbon atoms), the alcohols show an appreciable decrease in solubility. This decrease is referable to the greater and greater difficulty of fitting the paraffinic fraction of molecules of larger and larger volume into the structure of a highly associated solvent. For the acids, the situation is more complex, involving as it does both dimerization and ionization, while the ketones, with a strong polar group and an "iso" structure of the paraffin chains, do not experience the same difficulties as do straight-chain alcohols. With the paraffins, the solubility is the limiting value which the alcohols presumably will approach as the number of carbon atoms increases. This effect will be considered further in connection with models of narcotic action.

The change of activity of ethanol with mole fraction in a hydrocarbon such as heptane illustrates the behavior of polar:non-polar mixtures. Data are available on the activity coefficients for dilute solutions of the alcohols in benzene (6) and are shown in table 2. This behavior means that if one takes paraffins as a model of the phase to which narcotics are supposed to be partitioned, the alcohols as a class will require on the average about ten times as much mole fraction in the aqueous phase for a given mole fraction in the non-aqueous phase when compared with non-associated liquids. A further complication is the possible

		TABLE 2					
Thermodynamic activity	coefficients for	solutions of	alcohols	in water	and in	ı benzene	at 25°C.
		(Butler (5))				

SUBSTANCE		-	PARTITION COEFFICIENTS		
	γ _{Щ2} Ο	7C6H6	$\gamma_{\rm HzO}/\gamma_{\rm C6Hs}$	$\gamma_{\rm C6Hs}/\gamma_{\rm HrO}$	
Methanol	1.51	21.4	0.07	14.3	
Ethanol	3.69	16.4	0.23	4.4	
1-Propanol	14.4	15.1	0.96	1.0	
1-Butanol	52.9	14.2	3.73	0.3	

polymerization of the alcohols in non-polar solvents. A careful study of the volume changes when alcohols are mixed with non-polar solvents (53) shows that even at very low mole fractions the alcohols are associated to trimers; this change makes it possible for the alcohol molecules to pack more economically in some non-polar solvents. Further, these studies have shown that the step from 1-butanol to 1-pentanol can lead to the formation of a six-membered ring, with a further economy of packing of the alcohol molecules. Such an arrangement is shown below.

It is, therefore, not justifiable to consider aliphatic alcohols in paraffin as linear molecules, because trimerization and ring formation can alter the molecular structure to a very considerable extent. In benzene, the volume changes can best be accounted for by tetramer formation, and one can expect rather complex structural aggregates in a variety of other non-aqueous solvents.

The volume changes associated with various isomeric alcohols do not, by any means, follow the changes in volume for the normal alcohols. Thus the series methanol, ethanol, 2-propanol, 2-methyl-2-propanol corresponds to a series of methyl substitutions in methanol. The first substitution, methylmethanol (ethanol), corresponds to a large volume increase because of the unsymmetrical methyl group added. Further addition of methyl groups increases the molar volume very much less. 2-Methyl-2-propanol is thus a much more spherical molecule than 1-butanol and may be expected to fit more easily into a solvent of relatively spherical molecules or, conversely, to give a larger volume change when mixed with non-spherical molecules.

A recent development in organic chemistry is a series of paraffins where fluorine is completely substituted for hydrogen in the molecule. These perfluorocarbons are normal liquids, but while any given compound has about the same boiling point and heat of vaporization per mole as its corresponding hydrocarbon, the molal volumes are almost twice as great. While the fluorocarbons will be completely miscible with most pure hydrocarbons at 25°C, they will be incompletely miscible with an only very slightly polar substance such as benzene. Even in solutions of the hydrocarbons, however, fluorocarbons will show very high activity coefficients.

Such substances as phenol and aniline may be expected to show abnormal behavior because, in addition to being associated by virtue of their polar groups, the benzene ring permits a much more efficient packing of the six carbon atoms than does an aliphatic structure. We may thus anticipate a smaller molal volume and a more compact liquid structure, one that will resist penetration by nonassociating molecules.

The carboxylic acids are highly associated liquids up to C_0 , but this association is developed to such a degree that two molecules unite to form a dimer. Acetic acid, for example, is dimerized in the vapor phase. The result of this strong association between two molecules in the pure liquid is to make the liquid approach the paraffins more and more as the chain length is increased. Carboxylic acids will not be dimerized in polar liquids such as water, but only in non-associated liquids (48).

VI. THE CHEMICAL STABILITY OF NARCOTICS

It is obviously relevant to studies of narcosis to know whether the substance added as a narcotic is a stable molecule and one that is not transformed into another substance which then acts as a narcotic. Such a situation appears to have arisen in the case of chloral hydrate. Butler (7) has shown that the pharmacological behavior of this substance can be accounted for by a certain amount of transformation into trichloroethanol. Since chloral is very soluble, the apparent thermodynamic activities for its action, as noted by Gavaudan (21) are very low. When such activities are adjusted to the value for the relatively insoluble trichloroethanol, the activity for inhibition of mitosis, which Gavaudan found to be 0.00025 for *Triticum*, is in reality 0.02 (see reference 58).

Monohalogen substitution of methane results in compounds which are unstable in aqueous solution in the order Cl < Br < I, but even methyl chloride is rather unstable; the results of the hydrolysis would be a certain amount of methanol and halogen acid, which should not be highly toxic considering the small amount of material present for narcosis. The hydrolysis can also result in the methylation of sulfhydryl groups, with a consequent change in some essential structural elements of the cell. Lengthening the aliphatic chain stabilizes greatly the monohalogen substitution products of the paraffins, so that even ethyl chloride can be considered reasonably stable. Polyhalogen substitution of methane and other paraffins leads to much more stable molecules, with a maximum of stability being attained in the perhalogen structure such as carbon tetrachloride.

Carbon disulfide is an unusual substance, in that chronic poisoning with this material leads to permanent changes in the central nervous system, alterations which commonly result in mental deterioration. Linderstrøm-Lang (38) cites the use of this substance in aqueous solution for a quantitative reaction with amino groups in proteins with the formation of a dithiocarbamate.

The carboxylic acids are metabolizable and are concerned in metabolic cycles. The lower members of this series cannot be introduced into the organism at high enough activities to produce narcosis without serious osmotic and pH disturbance. The higher acids are polar and have the possibility of interacting with proteins, of being metabolized, and of producing depolarization by virtue of their high surface activity (26).

The alcohols are capable of being metabolized, but this reaction does not occur at a rapid enough rate to interfere seriously with short-time experiments on isolated tissues. When the lower alcohols are delivered to the intact organism as vapors, it is not improbable that a non-equilibrium condition can be obtained. Such a situation is brought about by the very low vapor pressures of the alcohols in relation to their molecular volume and the relatively high aqueous mole fractions that must be obtained in the blood in order to bring about narcosis. Since metabolism is continually acting on the alcohol concentration in the blood, it is apparent that one can supply vapors with an A_{nar} much higher than the values actually obtained in the tissues. The lower members of the aliphatic series of alcohols, methanol and ethanol, are depolarizing agents; they are capable of interacting with proteins to dehydrate and denature them in relatively high concentrations. The rate of oxidation of methanol by animals is greatly inferior to that of ethanol; and while the products of methanol oxidation (formaldehyde and formic acid) are toxic, it is questionable whether adequate concentrations of these substances are ever produced in the organism. The special toxicity of methanol to the retina might well be ascribed to its strong depolarizing action at concentrations well below the level for narcosis.

The paraffin hydrocarbons are not metabolized by organisms, other than a few specialized bacteria. The molecules are inert and do not react chemically with cell components. The introduction of a double or triple bond into a paraffin results in a greater water solubility because of the greater polarizability of this bond by the water molecules and a decrease in V_m . Such bonds are potentially oxidizable, but the molecules (at least for acetylene) appear to be inert. The unsaturated aliphatic hydrocarbons have a smaller molal volume, and a smaller partial molal volume in paraffinic solvents. Aromatic hydrocarbons are even more compact structures and, as expected, volumes are smaller for any given number of carbon atoms. This effect is also shown for cycloparaffins, each member having a considerably smaller volume than the corresponding straight-chain compound. A table of volume relationships for a number of such substances is given (table 3).

VII. SOLIDS AS NARCOTICS

The thermodynamic properties of solutions of solids are in general similar to those of liquids. Because the standard state is defined as that of the pure liquid,

SUBSTANCE	Vm	ΔV_m	SUBSTANCE	V_m	ΔV_m
	ml./mole			ml./mole	
n-Hexane	132		1-Hexanol	125	
		24			24
Cyclohexane	108		Cyclohexanol	101	
		19			13
Benzene	89		Phenol	88	

 TABLE 3

 Molal volumes of six-carbon-atom compounds at 20°C.

it is necessary to melt a solid in order to have comparable standard states.² The activity of a saturated solution of a given solid in water is of course 1.0, which is the ratio f_{solin}/f_{solid} ; this value then must be multiplied by f_{solid}/f_{liquid} , where $f_{liquid} = f^0$. The fugacity change on melting a solid is related to its heat of fusion and is given by

$$\log 1/A_{s} = \log f^{0}/f_{s} = \frac{\Delta H^{F}}{4.57} \frac{T_{m} - T}{T_{m}T}$$

where A_s is the activity of the solid, T_m is the temperature of the melting point of the solid, T is the absolute temperature of the solution, and ΔH^F is the heat of fusion. Thus, the higher the heat of fusion and the higher the melting point of a solid, the more correction is necessary in data for thermodynamic activity. There are few data for the thermodynamic activity of solid non-ionic substances in solution, but there is no reason why the approximate values for activities cannot be obtained by assuming Henry's law to hold and obtaining activities from solubility data. A certain amount of caution, however, should be used in attempting to determine the activities of highly soluble substances using this relationship.

From solubility data Gavaudan has calculated the activities relative to the solid of a number of compounds used by Levan and Ostergren (35) as inhibitors of mitosis. The agreement in values is not very good, and it is possible to show that some improvement can be made by correcting these calculations to an activity with the liquid as a standard state. The second column of table 4 gives the values of activity calculated by Gavaudan, and the fifth column the corrected value of activity for the inhibition of mitosis. Unfortunately there are not enough values for the heats of fusion of compounds available to allow computation of corrected values for the last two members of the series. For those compounds so corrected, the ratio A_{nar}/V_m is approximately constant.

² That a substance is a solid when its V_m is 70-85 ml./mole is proof that the molecule 1s highly polar and can be expected to show anomalies in its physiological action. Even in the case of a large molecule such as morphine, the statement is frequently made that this substance is an exception to the hypothesis of lipid solubility (25). Such an interpretation is the result of using the wrong "oil" in partition coefficient measurements. The *Merck Index* gives the solubility of morphine in water as 0.2 g./liter and in amyl alcohol as 8.8 g./liter. The solubility of morphine in ether is about that in water.

SUBSTANCE	A_1^*	MELTING POINT	∆ H ^P	Ai	Vm
		°C.	kcal./mole		ml./mole
α-Naphthylamine	1.0	50	3.2	0.57	130
Naphthalene	0.24	80	4.4	0.075	108
α-Methylnaphthalene	0.10	-22		0.10	142
α -Chloronaphthalene	0.08	Liquid		0.08	135
α -Bromonaphthalene	0.10	6		0.10	138
α -Iodonaphthalene	0.12	Liquid		0.12	149
β-Methylnaphthalene	0.50	35			142
β -Chloronaphthalene	0.50	55			148

 TABLE 4

 Thermodynamic activities isoinhibitory for mitosis in Allium

* From Levan and Ostergren (35), as calculated by Gavaudan (21).

The case of α -naphthylamine may be recognized as that of a polar substance, and hence not comparable to the rest of the compounds in the series.

A further point of interest is the data assembled by Gavaudan as to compounds which act at very low values of thermodynamic activity. Such substances, acting on *Triticum* as inhibitors of mitosis, are: phenol, 0.005; chloral hydrate, 0.00025; diphenylamine, 0.02; hexanitrodiphenylamine, 0.0004; and colchicine, 0.001. These values of activity are to be compared with values of 0.10-0.30 for normal paraffins and chloroparaffins. Such low values of activity suggest some sort of chemical reaction between the narcotic molecules and the cell, but the possibility cannot be ruled out that, at least in the case of phenol and the phenylamines, a reaction similar to depolarization has taken place. Staveley, Jeffes, and Moy (52) have assembled data showing the increase in the solubility of water in benzene brought about by the addition of a third substance. The figures, expressed as increase in mole fraction of water in benzene per unit mole fraction of substance added, are as follows: phenol, 200; aniline, 40; methanol, 80; ethanol, 125; nitrobenzene, 9; chloroform, 0 (all values are $\times 10^{-3}$). If the cell membrane could be similarly affected by such agents, we would expect a depolarization to result. In this connection Davies (13) has shown that dinitrophenol in a concentration of $10^{-4} M$ strongly depolarizes the cerebral cortex. The activity at this concentration is about 0.003 and hence very much lower than the usual levels for physiological effects. In spite of the well-known use of dinitrophenol as an agent for uncoupling phosphorylations, further investigation is necessary to establish whether this substance is acting in a specific or a non-specific fashion.

VIII. THE EFFECTS OF PRESSURE ON NARCOSIS

In a most interesting study of the effects of hydrostatic pressure on the luminescence intensity of P. phosphoreum, Johnson and Eyring (27) showed that the depression caused by a number of narcotics could be reversed by applied hydrostatic pressures. According to their interpretation, the narcotics caused a reversible denaturation of enzymes responsible for luminescence, with a volume increase. Other substances were shown to be non-pressure-sensitive and were considered to act through a mechanism not involving a volume change. It is possible to account for the observed phenomena in another way, namely, to consider the change in mole fraction to be expected in an aqueous and in a non-aqueous phase, both containing a narcotic such as ethanol, when pressure is applied to the system. The equation representing the change to be expected is

$$\left[\frac{\partial(\ln X_{nar}/X_{\mathbf{H}_{2}\mathbf{O}})}{\partial P}\right]_{T} = \frac{\bar{V}_{nar} - \bar{V}_{\mathbf{H}_{2}\mathbf{O}}}{RT}$$

where X_{nar} and $X_{H_{50}}$ are the mole fractions of narcotic in the non-aqueous and aqueous phases, V is the partial molal volume $(\partial V_m/\partial/N_1)_{N_2}$, and N is the number of moles of a component. Thus if we take values for the partial molal volume of ethanol in water and in a non-aqueous solvent (heptane), it is possible to compute the expected change in mole fraction in heptane as pressure is applied. The actual values for ethanol in water and heptane are 51 ml./mole (29) and 66 ml./mole (53), and this gives a change in mole fraction about 50 per cent of what would be required to conform with the results of Johnson and Eyring. It is entirely possible, however, that the \overline{V} of ethanol in the membrane is actually much larger than the value observed in heptane; hence one cannot rule out the explanation that narcosis is relieved by pressure simply because pressure tends to force a narcotic out of the membrane. On the other hand, substances that show no difference in \overline{V} in aqueous and non-aqueous solvents cannot be expected to be influenced by pressure.

IX. THE BEHAVIOR OF NARCOTICS IN NON-AQUEOUS SOLVENTS

Since studies on gases have indicated that A_{nar} somehow appears to be related to the V_m of the narcotic, it becomes necessary to consider whether or not the effects observed can be explained by some non-ideality in the interaction between successively larger narcotic molecules and the cell. For reasons that will appear later, the discussion will be concerned with a liquid, since this is the standard state selected for narcosis. The results are, however, equally applicable to gases.

The problem of calculating the activity coefficients of the components of a binary liquid mixture has never been satisfactorily solved. Some idea of the difficulties involved can be obtained from a discussion by Robinson and Gilliland (49). The most successful treatments have been semiempirical at best. In order to use a theoretical treatment, some idea of the complexities of the liquid state must be considered.

To simplify the discussion, it will be convenient to consider two types of liquids (normal and associated) and two types of solutions (ideal and non-ideal). The physics of solutions recognizes several other classes of liquids and solutions, but it appears that mechanisms involved in narcosis can be developed from a consideration of only the above types. Normal liquids may be considered to be the hydrocarbons and most of their substitution products not otherwise classified below. Associated liquids are the alcohols, both aliphatic and aromatic, the carboxylic acids, the amines, water, and some nitroparaffins. Ideal solutions can be formed by mixtures of two normal liquids provided there is no great disparity



FIG. 3. On the left is a plot of activity vs. mole fraction for ethanol in heptane at 30° C. (54). On the right the activity coefficient of ethanol is plotted on a logarithmic scale against the mole fraction (squared) of heptane. Solid circles are data for ethanol; open circles are for heptane.

in molecular volumes, and between two associated liquids of the same type e.g., two alcohols, or two amines etc., again providing there is approximate equality of molal volumes.

Non-ideal solutions can be of a variety of sorts and are indeed to be regarded as the rule rather than the exception. The data in figure 3 show the behavior of two liquids, one normal (heptane) and one associated (alcohol), when they are mixed in varying proportions. A model of what is happening may be had by considering that ethanol, a highly associated liquid, is an isomer of dimethyl ether, a gas, since both have the same formula, C_2H_6O . The difference in vapor pressure between these two substances is referable to the fact that while the ether is a relatively normal liquid, the whole of the ethanol liquid structure is bound together by hydrogen bonds. As one dilutes ethanol in water these bonds are broken, but new hydrogen bonds with water form. When ethanol is diluted with heptane, hydrogen bonds cannot be formed, and in dilute solutions one has highly volatile unassociated ethanol molecules. At high mole fractions of ethanol, hydrogen bonding is acting to bring the whole of that liquid into as tight a structure as possible, and the heptane molecules are, to a greater and greater extent, forced out of the liquid and into the vapor. This, of course, leads to a high activity coefficient for heptane at high mole fractions of ethanol. It can be shown (see 23) that the data for certain types of deviations from Raoult's law can be represented by the function

$$\ln \gamma_2 = w X_1^2 / RT$$

where w is a constant. This is shown on the right-hand diagram of figure 3.

The problem at hand is one of calculating the activity coefficients that narcotics may be expected to show as one ascends an homologous series. One approach to this problem is as follows (23): While in dilute solutions Raoult's law is valid for the solvent, the term for the solute (narcotic) should be written as in equation 1, where w is the interchange energy or the energy necessary to exchange a molecule of solvent for one of solute.

$$p_{nar}/p^0 = X_{nar} e^{w/kT} \tag{1}$$

Such an equation comes about because, while the solvent molecules in dilute solutions are entirely surrounded by one another, the solute molecules are entirely surrounded by solvent. Since the activity coefficient is defined by equation 2, equation 1 can be rearranged to yield equation 3. Recalling the data on

$$\frac{p_{nar}/p^0}{X_{nar}} = \gamma_{nar} \tag{2}$$

$$\ln \gamma_{nar} = w/kT \tag{3}$$

gases, we could arbitrarily require w to be a function of V_m and obtain the relationship that log A_{nar} is related to V_m .

To avoid purely empirical approaches to the problem of the calculation of activity coefficients, one may use the method of Hildebrand (24), who has been able to relate w to the difference between the $\Delta H^{v}/V_{m}$ of the solvent and the solute and the V_{m} of the solute. The quantity $(\Delta H^{v}/V_{m})^{1/2}$ is called the solubility parameter of the liquid and is designated by δ . Another, perhaps more descriptive, name for δ^{2} is the cohesive energy density of the liquid. For dilute solutions,

$$w = V_m (\delta_1 - \delta_2)^2$$

and this term is the heat of mixing. If the molecules of solvent and solute are considerably different in volume, the mixture cannot show an ideal entropy of mixing, and a further correction of the activity coefficient will be necessary. This correction is much smaller than the heat of mixing term and, for simplicity, ideality deviations in entropy have been neglected. It is to be emphasized that Hildebrand intended the use of solubility parameters to apply to mixtures of relatively non-polar molecules, and extrapolation to polar hydrogen-bonding molecules has been performed because there does not appear to be a more satisfactory way of obtaining activity coefficients.

In order to estimate activity coefficients for narcotic molecules in the membrane, the Hildebrand expression is substituted into equation 3 and moles are employed rather than molecules. The expression then becomes

$$\ln \gamma_{nar} = V_m (\delta_{nar} - \delta_{mem})^2 / RT \tag{4}$$

where δ_{mem} = the membrane solubility parameter, or cohesive energy density. In future discussions the terms "narcotic" and "membrane" will be used to designate solute and solvent.

In general, the heats of vaporization of the alcohols are available only at the boiling point, so that there is the choice between obtaining these values for the less volatile alcohols at high temperatures and attempting the extrapolation tc room temperature, or of extrapolating from values of δ for the lower alcohols.

SUBSTANCE	δ	V.m.	SUBSTANCE	δ	Vm
		ml./mole			ml./mole
Pentane	7.0	116	Methanol	14.6	48
Hexane	7.3	132	Ethanol	13.5	66
Heptane	7.5	147	1-Propanol	12.5	82
Octane	7.6	164	1-Butanol	11.0	98
Ether	7.4	105	1-Pentanol	(10.5)	114
Chloroform	9.3	81	1-Hexanol	(10.0)	131
Cyclohexane	8.2	109	1-Heptanol	(9.5)	
Ethyl chloride	8.5	73	1-Octanol	(9.0)	162

TABLE 5 Solubility parameters (6) of narcotics* at 25°C.

* The author is indebted to Professor J. H. Hildebrand for pointing out that methanol and phosphorus have the same δ and yet phosphorus is not very soluble in methanol. The factors responsible are the differences in the nature of the intramolecular forces of these two substances.

Solubility parameters can be calculated from activity coefficient vs. mole fraction data. For the data of Butler on the activity coefficients of the alcohols in benzene, we calculate a δ for methanol of 15.5. For the higher alcohols the δ values are about 1.0 unit higher than those given above. The partition coefficients (11) of methanol and ethanol partitioned to 1-butanol and 1-octanol can be obtained by calculation, using the above values of δ .

The values of V_m for the alcohols, as given in table 5, are those for the partial molal volume of the alcohols in heptane. These values were used in the computations to be presented later in this paper. Actually, the volumes of the alcohols in the pure liquid appear to give a better fit with some of the data.

The latter course has been followed in the belief that the values so obtained are more reliable. Table 5 gives values for δ obtained in this manner. The first four alcohols and the substances on the left-hand side of the table have values calculated directly. It is now possible to calculate the activity coefficients of molecules in the membrane by assigning an arbitrary, but constant, value for the solubility parameter of the membrane and taking the values of δ and V_m for the various alcohols. The results of such calculations are shown in figure 4. Depending upon the choice of δ_{mem} , the curve for activity coefficient vs. chain length rises more or less steeply as one ascends an homologous series. With the paraffins, the change in δ with chain length is much smaller than with the alcohols, but the low δ of the paraffins will result in high activity coefficients where $\delta_{mem} = 10-13$. In general, as the CH₂ chain length is increased, the alcohols and the paraffins can be expected to approach a common value for δ .

X. NARCOSIS AT CONSTANT VOLUME FRACTION

From a knowledge of the thermodynamic activity necessary for narcosis, as measured in the aqueous phase, and from the computed activity coefficient of the narcotic in the membrane, the mole fraction of the narcotic in the membrane (X_{nar}) can be calculated. The question then arises as to just what property of the narcotic molecule is responsible for narcosis. On theoretical grounds it does not seem reasonable that a certain mole fraction of any inert substance in the mem-



FIG. 4. On the left the activity coefficients for the homologous series of normal alcohols calculated from equation 4 are plotted vs. the number of carbon atoms in the chain. The numbers on the various curves designate various values of the solubility parameter of the solvent (membrane).

On the right the activity threshold for narcosis to be expected in an homologous series of normal alcohols is plotted vs. chain length. The curves are calculated on the basis that the mole fraction for narcosis is 0.01 for the lowest member of an homologous series where $\gamma = 1.0$. For $\delta = 12$ this was at 1-propanol; for $\delta = 11$, at 1-butanol; etc.

brane will cause narcosis, but rather that a certain volume fraction of any inert substance will cause narcosis. In the physical chemistry of solutions, Raoult's law is a definition of ideality,³ valid only when the molecular volumes of the two components of a solution are equal. Otherwise, the volume fraction $\phi_1 = X_1 V_1 / V_1 /$ $X_1V_1 + X_2V_2$, where X is the mole fraction and V the molal volume, is preferable. If the molecular volume of a membrane molecule and the mole fraction of the membrane are considered to be very much greater than those of the narcotic in the membrane, e.g., a dilute solution, then $X_{nar}V_m$ will be proportional to the volume fraction of the narcotic in the membrane. This principle can then be stated as: equal degrees of narcosis with equal volume fractions of narcotic in the membrane, or $X_{nar}V_m = k$. Proof of the validity of this volume fraction rule can only come from the demonstration that for experimental data $X_{nar}V_m$ is, empirically, a better constant than is X_{nar} alone. The problem is complicated by the fact that X_{nar} depends upon computed values of the activity coefficient. Values of A_{nar} can be predicted, for higher members of an homologous series, by computing X_{nar} when $\gamma_{nar} = 1$ and multiplying this value by the γ_{nar} calculated for higher members of the series. A plot of such computed values of A_{nar} is shown in figure 4 (right). It appears, then, that one cannot expect to observe narcosis at equal values of A_{nar} nor of $A_{nar}V_m$, but rather that the A_{nar} will be higher the larger the V_m of the narcotizing molecule and the greater the difference in solubility parameter between narcotic and membrane. If A'_{nar} is the activity

³ For a discussion of the theoretical basis for Raoult's law, see E. A. Guggenheim (Trans. Faraday Soc. **32**, 151 (1936)).

for narcosis by a higher member of an homologous series, and A_{nar} is the observed activity for narcosis by a lower member of an homologous series, these two activities will be related by equation 5.

$$A'_{nar} = A_{nar} \frac{V_m e^{-V_m (\delta_{nar} - \delta_m e_m)^2 / R T}}{V'_m e^{-V'_m (\delta'_{nar} - \delta_m e_m)^2 / R T}}$$
(5)

If δ_{nar} is constant throughout an homologous series, as is approximately the case for the normal paraffins, then equation 5 becomes an expression containing only a volume term for the narcotic.

$$A'_{nar} = A_{nar} \frac{V_m e^{-KV_m}}{V'_m e^{-KV_m}},$$
(6)

XI. LIQUIDS AS NARCOTICS

Pharmacological experience with the perfluorocarbons presents some of the most convincing evidence that the molecular volume and solubility parameter are of great importance in predicting the action of narcotics. We have, in some unpublished experiments, exposed mice to oxygen saturated with the vapor of pentane, $C_{5}H_{12}$ ($V_{m} = 116, \delta = 7.0$), and also with perfluoropentane, $C_{5}F_{12}$ ($V_{m} = 183, \delta = 5.5$). The vapor pressures are, respectively, 450 mm. and 500 mm. at 20°C. With pentane, narcosis ensued in about 15 sec., while with the perfluoro analog no physiological effects were evident after an exposure of 1 hr. A similar inertness of perfluoroethyl ether (9) has been noted (see also reference 34).

One of the most interesting questions raised in connection with the theory of narcosis has been why the values of A_{nar} for synaptic and non-synaptic transmission in ganglia were different from alcohol to alcohol. In figure 5 are plotted the data of Posternak and Larrabee (see 4). It is apparent that for synaptic transmission the product $A_{nar}V_m$ is more constant than A_{nar} alone. This constancy requires that the values of γ_{nar} in the membrane be constant up to C₈ at least. Ether and chloroform also fit on the curve very well. For non-synaptic transmission, the required values of A_{nar} rise with increase in V_m and ether and chloroform do not fit on the curve. Here the activity coefficients are increasing with increase in chain length. These data can be reconciled if one assigns $\delta_{mem} = 11.5$ to the non-synaptic membrane and $\delta_{mem} = 10$ to the synaptic membrane. Larrabee and Posternak (31) have noted that what they term "selective action," that is, the narcosis of synaptic transmission without the narcosis of non-synaptic transmission, appears to be related to the molecular weight of the narcotic molecule, and that large molecules are more selective. Stated according to our analysis, small molecules used in studies of narcosis tend to be more polar (higher δ) than large molecules, and molecules with high δ values are more easily accommodated in a membrane of higher solubility parameter. Similarly, chloroform and ether have δ values of 9.3 and 7.5, and will show high activity coefficients in membranes of high cohesive energy density. (Calculated values of $A_{nar}V_m$ for fibers: CHCl₃ = 10, ether = 30.)

If some data on peripheral nerve narcosis are now considered, it will be of



FIG. 5. The top chart is a plot of the activity threshold for the narcosis of synaptic (solid circles) and non-synaptic fibers (open circles) of sympathetic ganglia by the alcohols (4).

In the lower chart the same data are presented as the activity threshold for narcosis times the molal volume (ml./mole) of the narcotic for synaptic and non-synaptic fibers. The dotted lines are the calculated values of $A_{nar}V_m$ for membrane solubility parameters of 10 (synaptic) and 11.5 (non-synaptic).

interest to see whether one can use the same parameter as in the non-synaptic fibers already considered. In the lower half of figure 6 are plotted data of Laget, Posternak, and Mangold (30) on the narcosis of frog sciatic nerve by alcohols. The calculated values of $A_{nar}V_m$ fit well on a curve for $\delta_{mem} = 11.5$, with the exception of methanol where the calculated value is somewhat too high. One



FIG. 6. The upper graph is the threshold for the reversible narcosis of tadpoles by the alcohols (56): open circles, A_{nar} ; solid circles, $A_{nar}V_m$. The dotted line indicates the calculated values of $A_{nar}V_m$ with a membrane solubility parameter of 11.

The lower graph represents the activity of various alcohols necessary to block conduction in frog sciatic nerve (30). Open circles, A_{nar} ; solid circles, $A_{nar}V_m$.

can expect that as one deals with narcotics with greater and greater differences in δ from the membrane, the accuracy of the calculation will become less and less. In addition to this, as the authors have shown, methanol, and to a much smaller extent, ethanol, are depolarizing agents at concentrations below those necessary for narcosis; hence it is difficult to predict what effect this subsidiary action would have in determining the narcotic threshold.

In the central nervous system one may expect to find a membrane somewhat different from that of either the synapse or the nerve fiber. The upper plot in figure 6 is based on the data of Vernon (56) on the reversible suppression of spontaneous movements in tadpoles. From a consideration of the values of A_{nar} one might conclude that, allowing for experimental error, the data indicate



FIG. 7. On the left, a plot of the thermodynamic activity for reversible suppression of reflex activity in *Gobio* (19). On the right, values of $A_{nar}V_m$ for the same data are plotted. The dotted lines represent calculated values of $A_{nar}V_m$ for a membrane solubility parameter of 11.

a constancy of narcosis at a mean value of A_{nar} of 0.02. If, however, the product $A_{nar}V_m$ is considered, the constancy of the data for ethanol through 1-hexanol is improved. If $\delta_{mem} = 11$ is assigned to the structure being acted on by the narcotic, the curve is a reasonable fit for the data, again excepting methanol because of its strong depolarizing power. Calculated values of $A_{nar}V_m$ for acetone, ether, and chloroform are indicated on the diagram by dotted lines; these too show good agreement except for the chloroform point.

A further test of the validity of using solubility parameters in narcosis is to see whether a single membrane parameter can predict values of A_{nar} when two homologous series of narcotics of very different internal pressures are used. Such a study is that of Gary-Bobo and Lindenberg (19), as shown in figure 7. The test organism was the fish *Gobio*, and the index of the effectiveness of the narcotic was the suppression of reflex activity. If a δ_{mem} value of 11 is selected, the two series of data are in reasonable agreement. It cannot be expected that the agreement in these cases can be as good as with a single series of compounds, especially for δ values far from those of the membrane.

By far the most extensive investigation into the thresholds at which various different types of narcotics act has been that of Ferguson (17). Working with the grain weevil, and supplying the various narcotics as vapors, Ferguson obtained the results shown in figure 8 (left). The most conspicuous difference between these data and others that have been considered here is the reversed position of the alcohols and the paraffins. It is impossible to assign a membrane solubility parameter somewhere between those of the alcohols and the paraffins, as was done with the data of Gary-Bobo and Lindenberg, and still predict the shapes of the two curves. The difficulty in reconciling these extensive data underlines the inadequacy of the theoretical treatment for obtaining activity coefficients of

polar mixtures. Van Arkel (1) has analyzed the problem and has shown that the $\Delta H^{v}/V_{m}$ of polar substances yields not the internal pressure of the liquid, but this value plus a contribution referable to the orienting forces of the dipoles. Thus, even when there is equality of the values of $\Delta H^{\nu}/V_m$, it is possible that the heat of mixing is greater than zero, for two substances with different dipole moments. When one component of a mixture is polar, and the other non-polar, an ideal solution is impossible, as there will always remain the orientation factor of the polar component to make the heat of mixing positive. It is to be hoped that in the near future physicochemical investigations can be made to provide adequate methods for handling the data in narcosis. Lacking this treatment, we can only conclude that the *apparent* δ for the structure being acted on by the narcotic is very low, about 7.5. Such a value suffices to bring the alcohols into rough agreement with the rest of the aliphatic substances when $A_{nar}V_m$ is plotted against V_m . An attempt has been made to achieve separation between the dispersion (δ) and the orientation forces in the membrane in the following way: The data of Butler (6) giving the activity coefficients of the alcohols in dilute solution in benzene were used to evaluate the polar:non-polar interaction term. When the A_{nar} values of the alcohols were divided by the activity coefficients so obtained, and then multiplied by V_m , the results fitted very well on the curve for all other narcotic agents (see the right-hand plot of figure 8). If these activity



FIG. 8. On the left is a chart of the activities of various compounds required for the narcosis of the grain weevil (17). Values for the nitroparaffins have been omitted from the plot. On the right, the data are plotted as $A_{nar}V_m$. Values of A_{nar} for the alcohols have been divided by the activity coefficients of the alcohols in benzene solution. The dotted line indicates a membrane solubility parameter of 11 (for the paraffins, sloping dotted line; for the chloroparaffins, horizontal dotted line).

L. J. MULLINS



FIG. 9. The product $A_{nar}V_m$ for the rejection threshold of blowflies for the alcohols is plotted against the number of carbon atoms in the alcohol (15). The line drawn represents a membrane solubility parameter of 11.5 for the affected structure. The alcohols were de-livered as vapors and affected olfaction.

coefficients had been the correct values, the resulting curve should have been a line parallel to the V_m axis. What has been accomplished by this correction is to make the points equivalent to the paraffins. If a δ value of 11 is now selected for the membrane and the paraffins are considered to have a constant $\delta = 7$, the resulting curve is a rough approximation of the observed data. For the cluster of points at the low end of the curve, inspection reveals that the δ value of these compounds (mostly chloroparaffins) is about 9.5. The line for this particular calculated value of $A_{nar}V_m$ has been drawn in.

The situation for the grain weevil is not the common one in so far as data presently available on narcosis are concerned. For frogs and mammalian nerve, the situation with respect to the alcohols and the paraffins is just the reverse, in that the paraffins require much higher values of A_{nar} than do the alcohols. Hence the affected structure in the grain weevil, while polar, appears to have a different sort of polarity from those previously treated.⁴

⁴ Ferguson (17) cites the data of Weese (57) on the narcosis of mice by alcohol vapors in support of the contention that A_{nar} for the alcohols both in the grain weevil and in mice is about 0.2 for ethanol. Since the activity coefficient for ethanol in water is about 3, this means that the mole fraction of ethanol in water (or in blood) = 0.2/3 = 0.07 X or 3.8 M, an incredible figure! Miles (41) gives 0.08 M as a figure for deep anesthesia in man. This is 0.0016

The alcohols have been shown by Dethier and Yost (15) to be rejected by the blowfly (*Phormia*) at certain threshold concentrations. Whether or not this process is a receptor narcosis or a receptor stimulation remains to be demonstrated, but the data obtained are plotted in figure 9 and an analysis of the activity coefficients to be expected shows that the curve can be fitted by a membrane solubility parameter of 11.5. The fit for methanol is not good, and indeed the general shape of the curve obtained suggests that the vapor of the first three alcohols may not be in equilibrium with the organism.

XII. MEMBRANE COHESIVE ENERGY DENSITY

The fact that the apparent membrane solubility parameter, or cohesive energy density, is equal to that of 1-butanol, for example, requires some sort of interpretation. This does not mean that the membrane is necessarily similar to butanol, but it does indicate that the membrane is not at all similar to olive oil. nor does it seem likely that the membrane is similar to olevel alcohol or other long-chain alcohols. While solubility parameters for these substances are not available, a value of about 8.0 does not appear to be too unlikely. To achieve δ values as high as 11 with an alcohol, the hydrogen-bonding part of the molecule must be increased to the same extent as the paraffinic chain. One way of doing this is to consider the membrane molecule as being composed of a paraffinic part and of a water-soluble part. In view of the popularity of lipoproteins as units of the plasma membrane, the possibility exists that the strong cohesive forces holding the membrane together come from hydrogen bonding in the aqueous portion of the molecule. Another way of achieving high cohesive energy density is to construct the membrane molecule of methylene groups and hydroxyl groups in the ratio of perhaps 4:1 (as in butanol).

If molecules from two different membranes are compared, and it is assumed that the molecules are chemically similar and that both contain an equal number of hydrogen-bonding groups, the molecule with the larger molal volume will have the lower δ . Thus it is possible to interpret the smaller δ values of the central nervous system as meaning larger membrane molecules, larger spaces between the molecules, and a more permeable and more labile structure. This interpretation is not at variance with what is known about differences between the central nervous system and nerves.

XIII. PERMEABILITY OF THE MEMBRANE TO NARCOTICS

The analysis presented in the last three sections is not meant to imply that as one ascends an homologous series there is a decrease in the ability of higher and higher members of the series to penetrate the cell membrane. This point appears to be of sufficient importance for us to contrast the permeability of the membrane for a particular molecule and the *acceptance* by the membrane of the same molecule. This acceptance implies an ability of the molecule to stay fixed

X or $A_{nar} = 0.0048$. The high figures of Weese must certainly be due to a non-equilibrium condition. Indeed, Loewy and von der Heide (37) have shown that absorption of methanol vapor by rats is a slow process, taking many hours before an equilibrium is attained.

in the membrane. Other things being equal, whether a molecule penetrates into the cell interior will depend upon the probability that a large enough free space in the membrane will occur to permit the passage, while the membrane acceptance for a molecule depends upon its having a cohesive energy density and volume appropriate to the space in the membrane that is to be occupied. Membrane acceptance

$$lpha = 1/\gamma_{nar} = X_{nar}/A_{nar}$$

might thus be defined as the reciprocal of the activity coefficient of the narcotic in the membrane. Since narcotic action is judged by measuring A_{nar} in an aqueous phase, equal degrees of narcosis can be expected when $A_{nar}V_m\alpha = k$, a constant. The membrane acceptance is, of course, related to the ideal partition coefficient of the narcotic, P, which may be defined as the ratio of the activity coefficient at infinite dilution for the narcotic in water divided by that in the membrane:

$$P = \gamma_{\rm H_2O}/\gamma_{nar} = X_{nar}/X_{\rm H_2O}$$

Since

$$A_{nar}V_m\alpha = X_{H_2O}V_mP$$

the relationship between the membrane acceptance and the partition coefficient is $\alpha = P/\gamma_{\rm H_2O}$.

XIV. THE MECHANISM FOR CUT-OFF

Because there have been so many explanations proposed for the various phenomena in narcosis, it is worthwhile to examine some of these suggestions and to compare them with the treatment already employed. Meyer (44) has suggested that a certain molal concentration of narcotic molecules in the lipid phase of cells is necessary for narcosis, but no definitive explanation as to what this concentration was supposed to affect was offered. Gavaudan, Dodé, and Poussel (20) considered that the required concentration of Meyer exercised a certain "constraint" on the rest of the molecules of the membrane. This "constraint" was supposed to make the structure more rigid, and hence narcotized. The explanation of "cut-off," or the cessation of physiological activity above a certain chain length in an homologous series of compounds, was that because of the decrease in the solubility of the larger molecules in the lipid phase to which they were partitioned, a point was reached where these molecules were no longer soluble. Ferguson (17) has pointed out that, contrary to the requirements of the Gavaudan theory, large aliphatic molecules do not become more and more insoluble in lipids of the olive oil type, but rather the reverse. He has proposed instead, as an explanation for cut-off, the ingenious idea that as molecules become larger and larger in size, they become more and more ideal in their interaction with the membrane, until finally there is no distinguishing the membrane from the narcotic molecules. There do appear, however, to be some difficulties with this formulation. If the mixing of large paraffin molecules with the membrane were ideal, one would expect that instead of membrane molecules being displaced in favor of narcotic molecules, the volume of the whole system would simply increase and the membrane would be thicker. Thus the discussion again reduces to a consideration of the forces that hold a liquid together, or its cohesive energy density. The displacement of polar molecules from a liquid by non-polar molecules, or *vice versa*, is not likely at low mole fractions for two different reasons, as considered previously. The phenomenon of cut-off, it is suggested, occurs as a result of large difference in cohesive energy density or solubility parameter between membrane and narcotic, and as a result of increases in V_m . The perfluorocarbons with δ values of about 5.5 will all be without influence on a membrane of $\delta = 10.5$, both because $\Delta \delta^2 = 25$ and because $V_m = 200$ or $\gamma_{nar} = 4000$ (25°C.).

XV. THE EFFECTS OF MOLECULAR SHAPE

Consideration has not so far been given to the effects of molecular shape on narcosis. Ferguson (17) has commented that the more spherical molecules seem to be better narcotics than do the more linear. He cites several examples for the grain weevil, particularly the substitution series of methanol, ethanol, 2-propanol, and 2-methyl-2-propanol, with activities of 0.2, 0.18, 0.15, and 0.08. Also available from his data are chloromethane, dichloromethane, trichloromethane, and tetrachloromethane, where the first three substances have $A_{nar} = 0.008$ and the fourth 0.03. Although figures for the solubility parameters of all these substances are not available, the much lower boiling point of 2methyl-2-propanol suggests that the decrease in internal pressure, rather than the shape of the molecule, may be the determining factor in this series. In the chloromethane series, tetrachloromethane has a very different δ from the others and is, in addition, non-polar.

The case of ethyl ether is one which will require some special treatment. Its low solubility parameter of 7.4 would suggest that somewhat higher than normal values for A_{nar} would be required in most cases, whereas actually the reverse is true. In Ferguson's data, the ethers (except for thiophene and furan) show consistently lower values of A_{nar} at any given V_m than does any other series of compounds. An explanation of this phenomenon may be found in the observation that ether and benzene show practically ideal behavior when mixed, in spite of a difference in δ of almost 2.0. Hildebrand has suggested that this may be due to the slight volume contraction observed in the mixing of these compounds. Substances that can cause a contraction in volume of the membrane might well be considered as being able to cause narcosis at lower values of $A_{nar}V_m$ because part of the volume occlusion necessary for narcosis has been achieved by a rearrangement of membrane molecules. It will not be possible to deal with such effects as volume changes in detail until a more satisfactory physical treatment of the subject has been made.

XVI. NARCOSIS AT CONSTANT THERMODYNAMIC ACTIVITY

From an analysis of a variety of data, Brink and Posternak (4) suggested that the empirical rule of "equal degrees of narcosis at equal thermodynamic activi-

ties" could be applied with certain reservations. Brink quite correctly interpreted this rule as an indication that narcotic molecules fit into the cellular structures upon which they act in the same way as they fit into their own pure liquids and further suggested that the rule was limited in its applicability. The author has examined some of the reasons for its limitations. The Brink rule implies a constant activity coefficient of the narcotic in the cell if one interprets the rule as meaning that a constant mole fraction of narcotic is partitioned to the cell at constant activity. A good fit with experimental data is obtained for propanol-octanol, when δ_{mem} is relatively low. This is so because increases in the activity coefficient of the narcotic (hence decreases in mole fraction at constant activity) are approximately compensated for by increases in molal volume. For very small and for very large molecules, the equal activity rule will not be valid for different reasons. Small molecules can be expected to show activity coefficients in the membrane close to unity (unless δ values deviate greatly from δ_{mem}), but the small value of V_m means that X_{nar} and hence A_{nar} will have to be high. Large molecules can be expected to show very much higher activity coefficients in the membrane. In this case, the larger values of V_m do not compensate for the increases in γ_{nar} , and A_{nar} will increase.

XVII. MODELS OF NARCOTIC ACTION

Another way of looking at the problem of the interaction of narcotics with the membrane is shown in figure 10. On the right is a lattice of membrane mole-



FIG. 10. The diagrams illustrate lattices of membrane molecules (open circles) into which narcotic molecules (solid circles) are fitted. A plot of activity vs. mole fraction for the narcotic molecules is given in each case for small, medium, and large molecules.



FIG. 11. Left: A lattice of membrane molecules occupied by a paraffin (hatched circle) and by an alcohol (solid circle). The dotted lines around the membrane molecules indicate the maximum space available to non-polar molecules.

Right: A plot of the activity required for narcosis as a function of the molal volume of (P) polar and (NP) non-polar molecules.

cules; some of the free space has been filled in with small narcotic molecules. If the molecules are small enough, they will fit into the lattice easily and occlude a certain amount of free space. If they are too small, the occlusion will not be as effective. The curve "S" (lower right) is intended to demonstrate that molecules of the sort shown in the diagram will follow Raoult's law, while medium-sized and large molecules will both show very great deviations from this law (curves M and L). The fact that the membrane can accommodate molecules larger than the average size of the free space is referable to the fact that the lattice is in thermal motion and random deformations occasionally result in the creation of free space much larger than the average. On the left is a diagram with larger membrane molecules and hence larger free spaces. Small molecules will show ideal behavior but will have to be packed in aggregates to occlude the free space; medium-sized molecules will show only slight deviations from Raoult's law; only with very large molecules will the deviations be extreme.

To account for the differences between polar and non-polar narcotics, a modification of this diagram is shown in figure 11. The non-polar molecules are able to fit into a very much smaller free space than are the polar molecules. Such an arrangement permits the alcohols to be physiologically effective at much larger values of V_m than are the paraffins. In addition, the paraffins do not occlude free space as efficiently as do the alcohols, so that it is quite possible that the product $X_{nar}V_m$ may have to be larger than for polar molecules. The matter of molecular shape thus appears to be less important than the matter of polarity of the molecule, because polarity confers upon the molecule the possibility of entering into a larger effective free space in the membrane and thus occluding more efficiently.

The question arises as to what disturbance at the cellular level is occasioned by the occlusion of free space in the membrane. Brink has shown that narcotics can depress respiration in frog sciatic nerve, but only at levels of A_{nar} far above those required for changes in threshold. A similar observation on sympathetic ganglia is that of Larrabee, Ramos, and Bulbring (32). At the same time, it can be demonstrated that narcotized nerves are just as susceptible to depolarization

by potassium chloride as are normal fibers. Such an observation would suggest that there is not enough volume occlusion to prevent the entry of potassium ion. There remains the possibility that narcosis is due to the inability of sodium ion to pass the membrane once a certain amount of volume has been occluded. This suggestion receives support from the observation of Davson (14) that in the erythrocyte narcotics can be shown to depress permeability to sodium ion but not to potassium ion.⁵ From a more general viewpoint, the mechanism mentioned above has the possibility of depressing cell function by preventing the passage in either direction of any particular molecule or ion, depending only upon the degree of volume occlusion occasioned by the narcotic. From a theoretical point of view, one would like to know what substance is first impeded in its transit across the membrane, but unfortunately there is no easy experimental approach to this problem. In the case of peripheral nerve, conduction can continue for long periods of time without oxygen, without glucose, without phosphorylation (azide block), without potassium ion, and sometimes without calcium ion, but function does require sodium ion or some other cation of equivalent properties. The entry of sodium ion into fibers represents as early an event in the time course of excitation as it has been possible to verify experimentally (28). When it becomes possible to determine what other ions move, in response to a stimulus, to produce the membrane changes which permit movement of sodium ion, it will be plausible to assign narcosis to a mechanism earlier in time than inward movement of sodium ion.

In the case of the alcohols, the mole fraction required in the membrane for narcosis (X_{nar}) appears to be about 0.01 for both the synaptic and non-synaptic membranes, when V_m for the narcotic is 100 ml./mole. Hence $X_{nar}V_m$ is 1 ml./ mole, or approximately the magnitude of the "free" volume in hydrogen-bonded liquids (24). If the V_m of the membrane is taken arbitrarily as 300 ml./mole, then the volume fraction for narcosis $\phi_{nar} = 0.003$. While X_{nar} is independent of any assumption of membrane V_m , the value of ϕ_{nar} does not require any statement of the V_m of the narcotic.

In the narcosis of non-excitable tissues values of A_{nar} are usually much higher than for the nervous system. For the inhibition of mitosis in plant tissue chloroform requires an $A_{nar} = 0.1$ (21), as compared with 0.03 for general anestheisa. There is no reason to believe that sodium ion is involved in mitosis, and such a result can be interpreted as interference with the transfer of other substances across the membrane.

XVIII. NON-NARCOTIC EFFECTS OF FOREIGN MOLECULES

So far consideration has been given to the occlusion of free space in the membrane occasioned by the introduction of chemical substances; the interesting question arises as to what the physiological effect might be if a given substance,

⁵ Some confusion in the results of the treatment of erythrocytes with alcohols and urethans has arisen owing to a failure to distinguish between depolarization and narcosis (see 46, 47). It is not surprising that 1-butanol at an activity of 0.4 causes an increase in cation permeability.



FIG. 12. Left: A membrane lattice into which has been introduced several foreign molecules which have, with respect to the membrane, (1) anticomplementary shape (convulsant), (2) the same shape (inert), (3) complementary shape (narcotic). These molecules have V_m and δ comparable to those of the membrane. The diagram is intended to show an increase in the interstitial space created by the anticomplementary shape.

Right: Molecule of anticomplementary shape introduced into a lattice of molecules that are smaller in V_m than the foreign molecule. The diagram is intended to show that there is little or no change in the interstitial space.

instead of occluding space, actually increased the amount of free space. Such an effect could come about in a variety of ways, but only one of these will be discussed. If one considers the diagram (see figure 12) for the membrane previously shown and gives the membrane dynamic characteristics such that its molecules are occasionally lost to the outside and replaced from within, it is possible for a very large foreign molecule (of dimensions comparable to those of the membrane molecules) to replace a membrane molecule. This is the suggestion of Ferguson (17) mentioned earlier. Providing the shapes of the membrane molecule and the new molecule are sufficiently different, more free space is created than existed previously. Thus one might expect that the action of large molecules, with the proper characteristics to stay fixed in the membrane (solubility parameters comparable to those of the membrane), in creating a membrane "leak" would be excitants rather than narcotics. There are a number of such molecules, e.g., cocaine, strychnine, and camphor, that are termed convulsants and might be considered to cause their effects by the mechanism mentioned above. Butler (8) has raised the question as to why γ -hexachlorocyclohexane is a convulsant and yet does not differ greatly in its solubility relationships from its δ -stereoisomer, which is a depressant. The critical difference between these compounds, it is suggested, is their very different molecular shapes.⁶

⁸ That V_m is also of great importance is suggested by the data of Slater and Leary (51), who found that 2,2-diethyl-1,3-propanediol is a narcotic, while its higher homolog, 2,2-diethyl-1,4-butanediol, is a convulsant. This latter substance, minus one hydroxyl group,

A further observation with the convulsants is that they can be antagonized by anesthetics. These small molecules can readily fill in the extra volume created by the convulsant. On the other hand, if a molecule has the same V_m and δ characteristics as the membrane and in addition the same shape, we can expect physiological inertness in this substance, while if the shape of the molecule is complementary to the membrane, narcosis could result. In the case of peripheral nerve, central convulsants either have no effect or act as blocking agents. It may be suggested that this action is due to the fact either that only part of the molecule can be accommodated in the membrane because a free volume large enough to accommodate such a molecule is unlikely to occur by chance, or that the membrane molecules, being smaller, can more easily fill in around the large molecule.⁷

XIX. SOME REMARKS ON GENERAL ANESTHESIA

This subject has been reviewed most thoroughly and critically by Butler (8), especially with respect to the theory of metabolic inhibition as a cause of anesthesia. A few remarks will be made here concerning the reasons why certain chemical substances are practical anesthetics (in the sense of their acceptance in clinical practice) while others are not. If one considers first the gases, these are ethylene, nitrous oxide, and cyclopropane. Both ethylene and nitrous oxide suffer from the disadvantage that the vapor pressures of the pure liquid substances are too high; hence at atmospheric pressure the anesthesia that they produce is too weak. If one can assume unit activity coefficients in the membrane for these small molecules, the product $A_{nar}V_m$ for both substances is 0.7. Cyclopropane, on the other hand, is an appreciably larger molecule, and the liquid has a much lower vapor pressure. This makes possible a greater narcotic potency. At the same time, although a δ value for this substance is not available, it can be estimated as about 7.5 at room temperature, by extrapolation from values for other cycloparaffins. Such a value will give the molecule a reasonable selectivity of action if it is assumed that non-central nervous system structures have high δ values as compared with the central nervous system. One of the problems with the gases is that it is difficult to extrapolate δ values from the boiling point of the pure liquid with any accuracy. In general, however, the δ values of gases will be low, and it is suggested that this is the reason why gases are satisfactory general anesthetics. The question why cyclopropane, rather than

is an isomeric octyl alcohol, which would certainly be narcotic. The suggestion is strong that the extra hydroxyl radical permits an attachment of the molecule in the membrane, and that the distance from hydroxyl 1 to hydroxyl 4 is critical. The shape of both molecules is rectangular in the plane of the hydroxyl group, rather than spherical or cylindrical.

⁷ Small molecules can, at least in theory, be convulsants in peripheral structures and narcotic in structures of the central nervous system because of the differences in structure of these membranes. The evidence from studies of the threshold of single nerve fibers (*Sepia*) after the application of narcotics (2) suggests that at low mole fractions the narcotic decreases before finally increasing the threshold. The mechanism for such a change may well be the creation of membrane "leaks" by a few molecules. This effect is not ordinarily observed in nerve, presumably because the decrease in threshold of some fibers is compensated for by the increase in threshold of others.

propane or propene, is a practical anesthetic may be answered by the fact that the much larger V_m of propane (hence lower δ) requires too high a partial pressure of this substance, and that propene, with about the same V_m and vapor pressure as cyclopropane, has a chemical instability as a result of its double bond and is, in addition, rather difficult to purify. The liquid anesthetics, chloroform, ether, and ethyl chloride, have solubility parameters of 9.3, 7.4, and 8.5 and volumes of 81, 105, and 73 ml./mole. We might anticipate that the difficulty with chloroform would be that its high solubility parameter would permit too much of this narcotic to be partitioned to non-central nervous system structures at levels of A_{nar} necessary for anesthesia. In general, all halogen substitution products of methane and ethane have δ values of from 8.5 to 9.5 and values of V_m of 70-80 ml./mole. Perhaps the difficulties experienced clinically with such substances can be referred to the lack of what might be termed selective action on the central nervous system. Ether, on the other hand, has both a large V_m and a low δ (= 7.4), so that if we assume membrane solubility parameters of 12 for tissues other than the central nervous system and 11 for the central nervous system, then the activity coefficients for chloroform for central nervous tissues = 1.8, and for peripheral tissues = 3.0. This is a selectivity ratio of 1.7. For ether, the central nervous tissue $\gamma = 6.4$ and the peripheral tissue $\gamma = 50$, or a selectivity ratio of 7.8.⁸ The search for an "ideal" general anesthetic has been intensive, and it is by no means certain that considerable improvement cannot be made. The attractiveness of halogenated paraffins has been their lack of combustibility, but the high solubility parameters of these substances make them unlikely candidates for further development. Since the low solubility parameters of the fluorocarbons lead to physiological inactivity, perhaps some fluorochloroparaffin might have both the proper δ and V_m and still be stable and non-inflammable.

XX. CONCLUSIONS

Narcosis by chemically inert molecules appears to take place when a constant fraction of the total volume of some non-aqueous phase in the cell is occupied by narcotic molecules. If the narcotic behaves ideally in this non-aqueous phase, the thermodynamic activity of the narcotic multiplied by its molal volume is a constant, about 1 ml./mole. Higher values of thermodynamic activity for narcosis as one ascends an homologous series are, it is suggested, referable to an increase in the activity coefficient of the narcotic in the non-aqueous phase, corresponding to an increased difficulty of inserting larger and larger molecules into this phase.

A consideration of the data from a variety of studies of narcosis enables one to infer something about the structure of the non-aqueous phase to which narcotics are partitioned. This phase resembles a highly polar liquid. If the mole-

⁸ Since the brain can hardly be considered homogeneous in its interaction with narcotics, it is possible to make an anesthetic too selective in its action by lowering the δ of the narcotic to the point where only the most sensitive cells are affected. Thus the excitatory effects of the higher hydrocarbons may correspond to a narcosis of only parts of the cerebral cortex. The "standard sequence of anesthetic depression" (25) cannot proceed beyond the first stages because the membrane acceptance of other regions of the brain is too low. cules comprising this phase are considered to be reasonably large, then the liquid must be held together by forces of hydrogen bonding. In the case of the nervous system it is possible to show that while in peripheral nerve the phase has a highly polar structure, in the central nervous system it has a considerably less polar structure, and hence one that can accommodate less polar molecules more easily.

The possibility of creating lattice defects in the non-aqueous phase by inserting molecules equivalent in size and polarity to the non-aqueous phase but different in shape makes possible an explanation of the physiological action of convulsants. The two effects, narcosis and convulsant action, operate independently of each other in that convulsants will overcome the effects of narcotics and *vice versa*.

The experimental evidence presently available is inadequate for the support of any definitive mechanism for the action of narcotics in causing narcosis. In the case of excitable structures, however, it becomes less and less likely that a proposal of enzymatic inhibition can be supported by experimental data if by enzyme one means a protein structure made up solely of amino acids. Such an enzyme will have a structure totally unlike the phase to which narcotics can be shown to be partitioned. If the enzyme is modified by its being encased in a lipoidal envelope, it is conceivable that access of substrate to enzyme could be impeded by a narcotic. In this case the problem of whether narcosis is "physical" or "chemical" becomes one mainly of semantics.

The author is indebted to Dr. J. H. Hildebrand for several suggestions regarding the presentation of solubility theory and to Dr. F. Brink, Jr., for many suggestions relative to the presentation.

XXI. REFERENCES

- (1) ARKEL, A. E. VAN: Trans. Faraday Soc. 42B, 81 (1946); Rec. trav. chim. 55, 407 (1936.)
- (2) ARVANITAKI, A., AND CHALAZONITIS, N.: Symposium on "Mécanisme de la Narcose," CNRS, Paris, 1951.
- (3) BISHOP, G. H.: J. Cellular Comp. Physiol. 1, 177 (1932).
- (4) BRINK, F., AND POSTERNAK, J. M.: J. Cellular Comp. Physiol. 32, 211 (1948).
 BRINK, F.: Nerve Impulse, Josiah Macy, Jr., Foundation, New York (1951).
- (5) BUTLER, J. A. V.: Trans. Faraday Soc. 33, 229 (1937).
- (6) BUTLER, J. A. V., AND HARROWER, P.: Trans. Faraday Soc. 33, 171 (1937).
- (7) BUTLER, T. C.: J. Pharmacol. Exptl. Therap. 92, 49 (1948).
- (8) BUTLER, T. C.: Pharmacol. Revs. 2, 121 (1950).
- (9) CARR, C. J., LU, G., BELL, F. K., AND KRANTZ, J. C.: Federation Proc. 12, 308 (1953).
- (10) CARPENTER, F. G.: Am. J. Physiol. 172, 471 (1953).
- (11) COLLANDER, R.: Acta Chem. Scand. 5, 744 (1951); 4, 1085 (1950).
- (12) CRESCITELLI, F.: J. Cellular Comp. Physiol. 32, 187 (1948).
- (13) DAVIES, P. W.: Abstracts of Communications of the XIX International Physiological Congress, Montreal, 1953.
- (14) DAVSON, H.: J. Cellular Comp. Physiol. 15, 317 (1940).
- (15) DETHIER, V. G., AND YOST, M. T.: J. Gen. Physiol. 35, 823 (1952).
- (16) FERGUSON, J.: Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) B127, 387 (1939).
- (17) FERGUSON, J.: Symposium on "Mécanisme de la Narcose," CNRS, Paris, 1951.
- (18) FÜHNER, H.: Biochem. Z. 115, 235 (1921).

- (19) GARY-BOBO, C., AND LINDENBERG, B. A.: Compt. rend. 234, 2111 (1952).
- (20) GAVAUDAN, P., DODÉ, M., AND POUSSEL, H.: Mém. services chim. état (Paris), p. 384 (1944).
- (21) GAVAUDAN, P.: Pharmacodynamie de l'inhibition de la caryocinèse. Librairie le François, Paris (1947).
- (22) GOODMAN, L., AND GILMAN, A.: The Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics. The Macmillan Company, New York (1941).
- (23) GUGGENHEIM, E. A.: Mixtures. Oxford University Press, London (1952).
- (24) HILDEBRAND, J. H., AND SCOTT, R.: The Solubility of Non-Electrolytes. Reinhold Publishing Corporation, New York (1950).
- (25) HARRIS, T. A. B.: The Mode of Action of Anesthetics. The Williams & Wilkins Company, Baltimore (1951).
- (26) HÖBER, R.: Compt. rend. lab. Carlsberg 22, 205 (1937).
- (27) JOHNSON, F. H., AND EYRING, H.: Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 48, 376 (1948).
- (28) KEYNES, R. D.: J. Physiol. 114, 119 (1951).
- (29) KLOTZ, I.: Chemical Thermodynamics. Prentice-Hall, Inc., New York (1950).
- (30) LAGET, P., POSTERNAK, J. M., AND MANGOLD, R.: Symposium on "Mécanisme de la Narcose," CNRS, Paris, 1951.
- (31) LARRABEE, M. G., AND POSTERNAK, J. M.: J. Neurophysiol. 15, 91 (1952).
- (32) LARRABEE, M. G., RAMOS, J. G., AND BULBRING, E.: J. Cellular Comp. Physiol. 40, 461 (1952).
- (33) LAWRENCE, J. H., LOOMIS, W. F., TOBIAS, C. A., AND TURPIN, F. H.: J. Physiol. 105, 197 (1946).
- (34) LESTER, D., AND GREENBERG, L. A.: Arch. Ind. Hyg. Occupational Med. 2, 348 (1950).
- (35) LEVAN, A., AND ÖSTERGREN, G.: Hereditas 29, 381 (1943).
- (36) LEWIS, G. N., AND RANDALL, M.: Thermodynamics and the Free Energy of Chemical Substances. McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York (1923).
- (37) LOEWY, A., AND HEIDE, R. VON DER: Biochem. Z. 86, 125 (1918).
- (38) LINDERSTRØM-LANG, K. U.: Lane Medical Lectures, Vol. 6. Stanford University, California (1952).
- (39) MARSHALL, J. M.: Am. J. Physiol. 166, 699 (1951).
- (40) McElroy, W. D.: Quart. Rev. Biol. 22, 25 (1947).
- (41) MILES, W. R.: Alcohol and Man, edited by H. Emerson. The Macmillan Company, New York (1932).
- (42) MILLER, W. L.: J. Phys. Chem. 24, 562 (1920).
- (43) MEYER, K. H., AND HEMMI, H.: Biochem. Z. 277, 39 (1935).
- (44) MEYER, K. H.: Symposium on "Mécanisme de la Narcose," CNRS, Paris, 1951.
- (45) MULLINS, L. J., AND GAFFEY, C. T.: Proc. Soc. Exptl. Biol. Med. 85, 144 (1954).
- (46) PONDER, E.: Haemolysis and Related Phenomena. Grune and Stratton, New York (1948).
- (47) PARPART, A. K., AND GREEN, J. W.: J. Cellular Comp. Physiol. 38, 347 (1951).
- (48) RALSTON, A. W.: Fatty Acids and their Derivatives. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York (1948).
- (49) ROBINSON, C. S., AND GILLILAND, E. R.: Elements of Fractional Distillation. McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York (1950).
- (50) SEEVERS, M. H., AND WATERS, R. M.: Physiol. Revs. 18, 447 (1938).
- (51) SLATER, I. H., AND LEARY, D. E.: Abstracts of Communications of the XIX International Physiological Congress, Montreal, 1953, p. 767.
- (52) STAVELEY, L. A. K., JEFFES, J. H. E., AND MOY, J. A.: Trans.Faraday.Soc. 39, 5 (1943).
- (53) STAVELEY, L. A. K., AND SPICE, B.: J. Chem. Soc. 1952, 406.
- (54) SMYTH, C. P., AND ENGEL, E. W.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 51, 2646 (1929).
- (55) TOMAN, J. E. P.: Pharmacol. Revs. 4, 168 (1952).
- (56) VERNON, H. M.: J. Physiol. 47, 15 (1913).
- (57) WEESE, H.: Arch. exptl. Path. Pharmakol. 135, 118 (1928).
- (58) WILLIAMS, R. T.: Ann. Rev. Biochem. 20, 441 (1951).