Long-Range Interactions of Cyclopropyl Groups with Carbonium Ion Centers

JOHN HAYWOOD-FARMER

Department of Chemistry. Bishop's University. Lennoxvitle. Quebec. Canada. JOB 120

Received April 4, 1973 {Revised Manuscript Received July 24, 1973)

Contents

/. Introduction

The last 10-15 years have seen tremendous advances in our understanding of small ring compounds. At the center of this activity have been numerous studies of the chemical, physical, and spectroscopic properties of the smallest carbocycle, cyclopropane, and its derivatives. It has been known for some time that cyclopropanes resemble olefins much more closely than they do their higher cycloalkane homologs. This fact is difficult to explain in terms of classical bonding ideas but has been rationalized, with the support of theoretical studies, by assuming that the C-C bonds of the cyclopropyl ring have a much higher p character than do normal C-C single bonds. A consequence of this rehybridization is the widely accepted idea that the C-C bonds of cyclopropane rings are bent into so-called "banana bonds" (the Coulson-Moffitt model, 1a), the maximum electron density of which are in the annular plane about 0.3 Å outward from the center of the line joining the two bonded atoms.¹ An alternative description (the Walsh model, 1b), shown to altormative description (the water model, \mathbf{b}), shown to the center of the ring. The bonding in cyclopropanes and the analogies between them and double bonds have been reviewed.¹⁻³ More recent theoretical work has also appeared.⁴

One of the classic properties of .double bonds is their interaction with adjacent carbonium ion centers observed in the ionization of allyl derivatives to form resonancestabilized allylic cations.⁵ It was later found that the stabilization afforded a carbonium ion by a double bond is not restricted to adjacent centers; thus long-range stabilizing interactions can occur with the formation of homoallylic cations.⁶ More recently it was found that cyclopropylcarbinyl derivatives ionize to form cyclopropylcarbinyl cations which are stabilized by incorporation of the "banana bonds" of the three-membered ring into the resonance hybrid.⁷ Considering the above-cited similarities between cyclopropyl compounds and olefins, it was a natural development to examine the possibility of longrange interaction between cyclopropyl groups and distant carbonium ion centers.

One can envisage such interactions occurring in two distinct phases along a reaction pathway. In the first instance, the three-membered ring can act as a neighboring group and participate in the formation of the carbonium ion. Such participation (anchimeric assistance) is usually characterized by an enhanced rate of ionization. Alternatively, a cyclopropyl group can interact with a carbonium ion which has already been formed; however, such interaction does not affect the rate of ionization but may, as in the case of cyclopropyl participation, lead to rearranged products. Neighboring group participation has been discussed in more detail elsewhere. 6b.8

Although there are numerous pertinent reviews which discuss topics of vital interest to the present article, this review is the first devoted entirely to this subject. It covers the literature to the end of 1972 and also includes many of the important papers which were published in 1973. It attempts to correlate the numerous reports that have appeared, to discuss the somewhat divergent results that have been obtained, and to stimulate further research into this fascinating area of physical organic chemistry. In order to avoid as much as possible overlap with other reviews,⁹ the present article will not stress carbonium ion structure but will instead examine a variety of systems for cyclopropyl interactions and examine the various factors which are responsible for the presence or absence of interactions. It will also briefly explore the cognate areas of interactions between carbonium ions and other small

^a Data on brosylates in buffered formic acid at 75.0°. ^b Data on tosylates in unbuffered formic acid at 50.3°. ^c Assuming an additive relationship for increasing methyl substitution. d Assuming a multiplicative relationship. ^e Extrapolated from data obtained at higher temperatures. I Relative rate obtained in buffered formic acid at 75.0°.

rings and interactions between cyclopropane rings and other electron-deficient centers.

//. Studies on Specific Systems

A. Conformationally Mobile Molecules

1. 2-Cyclopropylethyl and Related Systems

Despite the simplicity of the 2-cyclopropylethyl system, long-range interactions in this series have been the subject of surprisingly few investigations.¹⁰⁻¹⁷ The earlier work on the parent system showed that extensive rearrangement occurs during carbonium ion reactions (eq $1;1^{10,11}$ more recent kinetic studies¹²⁻¹⁴ demonstrated that the cyclopropyl group provides little more acceleration to ionization than that provided by simple alkyl groups (Tables I and II), 18 an observation that was unexpected in view of the spectacular kinetic accelerations by cyclopropyl groups in the solvolysis of some rigid polycyclic derivatives (vide infra) and the theoretical studies¹⁹ which predict a large delocalization energy for homocyclopropylcarbinyl cations.

In contrast to the formolysis behavior of simple alkyl brosylates,²¹⁻²⁵ methyl substitution in the three-membered ring of 2 enhances the ionization rate by a small but significant amount (Table I). Based on this fact and supported by the extensive formation of rearranged products, Dewar and Harris^{12,15} concluded that the cyclopro-

a Assuming an additive relationship for increasing methyl substitution. ^b Assuming a multiplicative relationship. ^c Extrapolated. d E. N. McElrath, R. M. Fritz, C. Brown, C. Y. LeGall, and R. B. Duke, J. Org. Chem., 25, 2195 (1960). e R. S. Bly and R. T. Swindell, *ibid.,* 30, 10 (1965). ¹ A. H. Fainberg and S. Winstein, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 78, 2763 (1956). *¤* Reference 17. ^{*h*} Reference 13.

pyl group of 2-OBs, and of its methyl substituted analogs, does indeed participate in the ionization step. Since primary carbonium ions are relatively unstable, any ionization which is not assisted intramolecularly should occur with SN2 solvent assistance and lead to unrearranged products. From an analysis of their rate data according to the method of Schleyer and Van Dine,²⁶ Dewar and Harris concluded that the transition state of the ionization reaction is best represented as the π -complex 3 rather than 4. The data given in Table I, however, show no

clear-cut distinction between an "additive" and a "multiplicative" effect of increased methyl substitution, so it would appear that this conclusion was premature. Dewar and Harris tried unconvincingly to rationalize this inconsistency in terms of concomitant SN2 processes with similar rates for the relevant substituted esters.

On the other hand, Rhodes and Takino¹³ concluded that cyclopropyl participation is absent in the ionization of 2-OBs since this ester and its open-chain analog, 3 methyl-1 -butyl brosylate, demonstrate parallel kinetic behavior upon changing solvent (acetic acid, 95% ethanol, and formic acid), indicating similar transition states for the ionization of both molecules. They proposed that this transition state involves a high degree of solvent assistance and little intramolecular assistance, citing the formation of large amounts $($ > 75%) of unrearranged, kinetically controlled product in the formolysis of 2-OBs to support their argument.

There are numerous solvolytic pathways open to 2-cyclopropylethyl derivatives (e.g., cyclopropyl participation through various transition states, migration, and participation by solvent) which may compete effectively with each other and thus render a simple kinetic analysis impossible. Solvent assistance has been greatly reduced, if not totally eliminated, by the introduction of two methyl groups at C-2 to give the neopentyl system 5 which ionizes at a much enhanced rate with distinct participation by the cyclopropyl group (Table II).^{14,17} Methyl substitution on the cyclopropane ring of 5 results in rate increases which clearly follow a multiplicative relationship requiring a symmetrical transition state involving bridging to both remote carbon atoms of the three-membered ring. Three such states, 6, 7, and 8, have been consid-

ered.¹⁷ Solvolysis of the methyl derivatives of 5 (eq 2) gives varying amounts of elimination and substitution products, both rearranged and unrearranged. No definitive experimental test has yet been applied to distinguish between 6, 7, and 8, but 8 seems less likely than the other two both on steric grounds¹⁷ and because it is difficult to explain the formation of cyclopropyl migration products (eq 2) through such a transition state and the

most likely cation resulting from it.¹⁷ The higher migratory aptitude of the cyclopropyl group relative to a methyl group in 5 has analogy in numerous other studies of anionotropic cyclopropyl migration.^{16,27-30}

2. Bicyclo[3.1.0]hex-3-yl and Related Systems

Historically, the bicyclo[3.1.0]hex-3-yl system was the first in which remote cyclopropyl participation in carbonium ion formation was studied, and it remains to date the most thoroughly investigated one. It has been included in several review articles.^{6a,9b,32}

Upon acetolysis, formolysis, or hydrolysis, cis-bicyclo-[3.1.0]hex-3-yl tosylate (9-OTs) ionizes faster than either its trans epimer (10-OTs) or suitable model compounds such as cyclopentyl, cyclopenten-4-yl, or cyclohexyl tosylates (Table III).³²⁻³⁴ The ionization of **9**-OTs is characterized by a less negative activation volume than that of

Tosylate	$-$ Relative rate \hbar $-$ Cis	Trans	Cis/ trans	Notes
OTs	15	$\mathbf 1$	15	c, d
Me OTs	78	0.87	90	c, d
Me Mę OTs	105	0.88	120	ċ
$-{\sf Pr}$ OTs Me	96	0.30	325	c
$-{\sf Pr}$ OT _S Ńе	142	0.15	920	¢
OTs	575			¢
OTs	106			ċ
OTs	595			¢
Ph Ph OTs	3.21	0.50	6.4	e
OT _S	16.9			f
OTs	2.1			g
-OTs	0.46	h		

 a Buffered acetic acid at 25 $^{\circ}$. b Calculated from observed titrimetric rate constants. ϵ Calculated from data in ref 39. d When the rate constants are adjusted to account for internal return, a somewhat higher value of cis/trans is obtained; see ref 32. Such an adjustment is necessary only with the cis tosylates. e Calculated from data given in ref 45. ℓ Calculated from data given in ref 33. ℓ Calculated from a comparison with cyclopentyl tosylate at 50° given in ref 33. h A. H. Fainberg and S. Winstein, J. Amer. Chem. Soc, 78, 2780 (1956).

10-OTs,³⁵ is accompanied by a special salt effect,³⁶ and gives rise to recovered starting material with a deuterium label initially on C-6 equilibrated equally to positions 2, 4, and 6.³² The acetolysis products from 9-OTs consist of virtually pure 9-OAc contaminated by about 1% 10-OAc with no other acetates or olefin products detected (eq 3).

A deuterium label initially on C-1 or on C-6 is completely scrambled over positions 1, 3, and 5 or 2, 4, and 6, re-

spectively, in the acetate product. In contrast, the trans tosylate 10-OTs shows no special salt effect and no deuterium scrambling in either recovered starting material or acetate product. The product mixture from acetolysis of 10-OTs is very complex with 9-OAc the major component but with at least five other acetates and three olefins also formed $(eq 4).$ ³²

These observations can be interpreted only in terms of the cis isomer (9) ionizing with participation by the threemembered ring to form the relatively stable³⁷ symmetrical, nonclassical trishomocyclopropenyl cation 11 (ref 6a, 9a,b, 32, 34, 38). The transition state for ionization presumably resembles 12 rather than 13. The trans tosylate 10-OTs reacts without cyclopropyl participation to give classical ion intermediates and/or by SN2 attack by solvent; ${}^{9b,32-34}$ leakage to 11 apparently does not occur.

The available data on the solvolysis of alkyl substituted bicyclo[3.1.0]hex-3-yl derivatives^{9b,39-44} generally corroborate the conclusions reached for the ionization of the more simple parents. The kinetic data (Table III) show not only that the cis isomers are more reactive than the trans, but also that alkyl substitution in the cyclopropane ring has a much greater accelerating effect on the ionization of the cis epimers, indicative of a stabilizing cyclopropane interaction in the transition state of the ionization step for only the cis derivatives. An analysis of these data over the state of the method²⁶ is complicated by the effects of the substituents on conformational free enargy.³⁹ The products from solvolysis of the cis epimers are predominantly those resulting from attack by solvent in varying proportions at the three available cis sites of In varying proportions at the three available of sites of $\frac{1}{100}$ intermediate (s) (e.g., eq 540 and 641). Other products are formed in minor amounts; acetic acid gives more are formed in minor amounts, acetto acid gives more widely accepted interpretation of these results is ionization of the cis isomers with cyclopropyl participation to form substituted trishomocyclopropenyl cations which then react stereospecifically with solvent to give the products shown; some leakage of these cations to other, possibly classical, cations is evident from the acetolysis products cited above. This scheme is not without difficulty, however; the failure of solvent to attack ions 14 at culty, however; the failure of solvent to attack ions 14 at $\frac{1}{2}$ (this is the overwhelming position) of attack is the simple methyl-substitute methyl-substituted cases $\frac{39}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ the dependence of the product ratio from 15 on starting me depende
.........41.43 material^{41,43} have yet to be explained.

The reaction of some c/s-bicyclo[3.1.0]hex-3-yl tosylates with azide ion has provided an alternative source of cations 14 and $15.^{42,43}$

An exception to this general behavior is the trichloroacetate of 18-OH which ionizes, apparently without cyclopropyl participation, to give products quite unlike its 1 methyl isomer.³⁹

Delocalization of charge in the ion derived from 19-OTg is presumably more extensive than in a simple trishomocyclopropenyl cation since the rate retardation due to a single double bond in this ring system is absent (Table III). The intermediate is presumably the bishomotropylium ion 20.39

The trans epimers, like their unsubstituted parent, react quite differently from the cis isomers.^{9b,39-44} Upon acetolysis' complex mixtures of products including rearranged acetates and olefins are formed by ionization without cyclopropyl participation to give classical ion intermediates which rearrange, eliminate, or react with solvent more rapidly than leakage to nonclassical trishomocyclopropenyl cations can occur. Treatment of the trans tosylates with azide ion gives complete inversion^{42,43} probably by SN2 displacement although classical cations have also been proposed for this reaction.⁴³ The trans epimer of 17-OTs does not react with azide⁴³ presumably for steric reasons.

Corey and Uda⁴⁵ felt that phenyl substitution at C-1 and C-5 should stabilize a trishomocyclopropenyl cation relative to either the starting tosylate 21-0Ts or, more pertinently, the classical 1,5-diphenylbicyclo[3.1.0]hex-3-yl cation by analogy to the resonance stabilization afforded by the phenyl groups in diphenylcyclopropenyl cation.⁴⁶ On the basis of this expectation, one would predict an enhanced ionization rate for 21-0Ts; however, as is seen from the data in Table III, Corey and Uda observed that the ionization of 21-0Ts proceeds at a slower rate than does its unsubstituted parent and leads to a complex product mixture (eq 7) quite unlike that obtained from the alkyl-substituted cis esters. Corey and Uda concluded that a trishomocyclopropenyl cation is not

formed in this ionization, and, by inference, in other similar reactions, and proposed instead a rapidly equilibrating set of "almost nonclassical" classical ions (22) in which the positive center interacts weakly with the cyclopropane ring.

Corey and Uda's proposal has come under heavy criticism. Winstein, et al.,³² felt that the phenyl group is a treacherous probe for detecting positive charge development at a carbon atom because of the complex blend of steric, inductive, and conjugative effects associated with it. Indeed theoretical considerations of the trishomocyclopropenyl cation^{39,47} predict that the saturated nature of the atoms of 11 preclude resonance interactions with substituents, and, since the phenyl group is known to be inductively electron withdrawing,⁴⁸ one might expect a net deceleration in the ionization rate of 21-0Ts by as much as a factor of 100⁴⁹ although a smaller value may be more appropriate.⁴⁵ On the basis of extended Hückel calculations, ion 11 is predicted^{37,39} to be more stable than either the ions 22 or a classical bicyclo[3.1.0]hex-3-yl cation.

Lin³⁹ found that the ionization rate of 10-OTs is much less retarded by phenyl substitution than is 9-OTs but that a moderate cis/trans ratio still exists (Table III). Because the inductive effect of a remote phenyl group should be about the same for a cis or trans ester, this result is in accord with cyclopropyl participation in the ionization step. In his study of 21-0Ts, Lin observed a product mixture (eq 8) similar to that observed by Corey and

Uda. Pertinently, he observed minor amounts of hydrocarbons not reported by the previous workers, one of which, 23, rearranges efficiently to 24 under the reaction conditions.^{39,45} He also observed minor amounts of **21**-OAc but could not find the tertiary acetate 25-OAc re-

ported, but possibly incorrectly identified,³⁹ by Corey and Uda.

In contrast to the behavior of 21-0Ts, the trans epimer 26-OTs acetolyzes to give a much higher proportion of acetate product primarily of inverted stereochemistry, presumably by SN2 displacement, and quite different proportions of the hydrocarbon products.

It is thus evident that 21-0Ts and 26-OTs do not solvolyze through common intermediates. Because the stereochemistry of 21 is correct for cyclopropyl participation, because of the small amounts of isolated products with retained ring structure, and from the kinetic behavior relative to 26-OTs, Lin felt that cyclopropyl participation is a feature of 21-0Ts ionization. Whether the ionization proceeds through a symmetrical transition state (27) or an unsymmetrical one (28) to give ions 29 or 30, respectively, is not yet clear. No support for Corey and Uda's proposal was found.

In a related study, Broser and Rahn⁵⁰ treated 31-OH with acids and generated relatively long-lived cations whose ir, visible, and nmr spectra are in full accord with trishomocyclopropenyl structures and from which the starting alcohol could be regenerated. The generation of these cations was very solvent dependent, failing in relatively nonpolar solvents but quite successful in polar media. According to these workers the stabilizing effect of the aryl substituents on the trishomocyclopropenyl cations allows their formation from either 31-OH or its epimer 32-OH and is in sharp contrast to the solvolytic work discussed above (particularly on 21-0Ts) and to Corey and Uda's⁴⁵ failure to detect stable cations when they

 $Ar = C_6H_5$, $p-MeC_6H_4$, $p-C_6H_5C_6H_4$, β -C₁₀H₇, p-MeOC₆H₄, p-Me₂NC₆H₄

treated 31-OH with acids in a variety of polar and nonpolar solvents.

Corey and Dawson⁵¹ deaminated the cis- and trans-bicyclo $[3.1.0]$ hex-3-yl amines, $9-NH_2$ and $10-NH_2$, and obtained from both epimers mixtures of derivatives of 9, 10, 33, and 34 in which a deuterium label originally on C-3 was not scrambled to C-1 or C-5. These products are very similar to the solvolytic products from 10-OTs and are best interpreted as dissociation of both $9-N_2$ ⁺ and 10-N_2 ⁺ to give classical carbonium ions.^{32,51} Rearrangement and solvolytic capture of these classical ions are much more efficient than leakage to the nonclassical 11. The absence of cyclopropyl participation in this case is not unexpected in view of the information available on deaminations.⁵²

The epimeric bicyclo[3.1.0]hex-3-yl carboxylic acids (9-COOH and 10-COOH) have been found⁵³ to undergo electrolytic oxidative decarboxylation to give predominantly the rearranged alcohols 33-OH and 34-OH along with minor amounts of 9-OH and 10-OH and the two corresponding ketones. It is evident from these data and from the fact that a deuterium atom at C-6 is unscrambled in the reaction that an ion like 11 is not an intermediate in this reaction and that cyclopropyl participation is therefore absent; however, electrode reactions are not well understood.

Similar conclusions were reached from electrophilic additions to bicyclo[3.1.0]hex-2-enes. Acid-catalyzed addition⁵⁴ of methanol, acetic acid, or hydrogen chloride to the parent olefin results in the exclusive formation of derivatives of 33, 34, and 35. Similar results were obtained by Banthorpe and Davies^{44,55} in the treatment of 1-isopropyl-4-methylbicyclo[3.1.0]hex-3-ene with aqueous or ethereal acids. These results are not surprising in view of the reactions discussed above and related solvolytic data⁵⁶ that indicate clearly that the bicyclo[3.1.0]hex-2-yl cation, 36, of the cyclopropylcarbinyl. type is much more stable than the trishomocyclopropenyl cation, 11, of the homocyclopropylcarbinyl type and will form in preference to it despite the aromatic stabilization afforded the symmetrical ion 11 (ref 6a, 9b, 32-34, 37, 38). It is equally clear that leakage between the classical and nonclassical ions is relatively inefficient.

Priddy and Reusch⁵⁷ and Curphey and McCartney⁵⁸ both studied the reversible protonation of derivatives of 37 with a view to forming 38; however, even at -60° in "superacid" medium no evidence for this nonclassical ion was found in the nmr spectrum. Protonated bicyclo- [3.1.0]hexan-3-one similarly gives no evidence³⁹ for delo-

calization of the positive charge on the carbonyl group into the three-membered ring to form 39.

Treatment of 1,4-cyclohexadiene with a mixture of proton and Lewis acids or reaction of 35-Br with silver salts produces a cation of formula $\mathsf{C_6H_9}^+$ whose nmr spectrum shows only two peaks in the ratio of 1:2.⁵⁹ It was originally thought^{59a} that the intermediate was 11, but further considerations^{39,59b} discredit this view. The structure of $\mathsf{C_6H_9}^+$ remains obscure.

In a later study Olah and Lukas⁶⁰ attempted to generate 11 by abstraction of hydride from bicyclo[3.1.0]hexane by treatment with a "superacid." They were unsuccessful in their attempt, observing instead formation of the tertiary 1-methylcyclopentyl cation by protonation and cleavage of the three-membered ring. An analogous process was observed by Lin³⁹ upon dissolution of 9-OH, 9-OTs, or their methyl-substituted derivatives in "superacid" and also by Forsen and Norin;⁶¹ dissolution of the four isomeric thujyl tosylates in 96% sulfuric acid led in each case to ion 40.

Sauers⁶² obtained an ion upon dissolution of lactone 41 in sulfuric acid to which he assigned the nonclassical structure 42. Deno^{9c} and Lin,³⁹ on the other hand, felt that the nmr spectrum of this ion is more consistent with the delocalized structure 43.

It is thus evident that, although there is irrefutable evidence for trishomocyclopropenyl cations in solvolysis of some bicyclo[3.1.0]hexyl derivatives, these ions have low-energy pathways open to them which preclude their direct observation. Indeed, in some cases^{53,60} such intermediates appear to be bypassed completely.

Cyclopropyl participation in bicyclo[4.1.0]hept-3-yl derivatives^{62a} has been studied much less frequently despite the widespread occurrence of the carane skeleton. From the dehydration products of 44 and similar compounds, Kropp⁶³ concluded that only in the isomer 44, in which the cyclopropyl group and OH substituents are cis to each other, does cyclopropyl participation occur. The formation of 45 in this reaction (eq 10) was the first example of the rearrangement of a carane skeleton into another bicyclic one and was postulated to occur via ionization with participation by the trans acetoxyl substituent to give conformers 46 and 47 which then collapse with cyclopropyl involvement to give 48. Direct participation by the three-membered ring was ruled out because in the absence of the acetoxyl group no product corresponding to 45 was formed. Cyclopropyl participation in 49 and 50 was similarly absent, but unfortunately the interesting hydroxy acetate 51 was not studied. The absence of 52 and 53 in the dehydration products of 44 is pertinent since both olefins are readily formed from isomeric compounds, e.g., 50. Apparently ion 48 does not leak to a cyclopropylcarbinyl cation; equally notable is the delocalization of the C-1-C-7 bond of the three-membered ring rather than the C-1-C-6 bond. In this regard the behavior of the bicyclo[4.1.0]heptyl and bicyclo[3.1.0]hexyl ring systems are in complete contrast despite the potential homoaromatic properties of ion 54.

Similar results were obtained when 55 and 56 were treated with acids.⁶³ Only in the trans epoxide 55 (corresponding to 46 and 47) was participation leading ulti-

TABLE IV. Relative Solvolysis Rates for a Series of Steroid Derivatives["]

Compd	Rel rate	Compd	Rel rate	
59	115	61	126	
60	414	62		

° Data taken from ref 64. Solvolyses were run in aqueous dioxane at 56.1°.

mately to 57 observed (eq 11); the cis epoxide 56 gave only traces of 57, the major product being 58.

Barton, Bernasconi, and Klein⁶⁴ observed a rate acceleration for the steroids 59 and 60 relative to suitable models $(61$ and $62)$ $(Table IV)$ from which it is clear that cyclopropane ring participation in the ionization step in such a system is possible. The efficiency of such participation is most readily seen from a comparison with 61 in

TABLE V. Relative Acetolysis Rates of Various Seven-Membered Ring Brosylates"

 a Data taken from ref 66 at 60 $^{\circ}$.

which double bond participation is well documented and of considerable historical interest.^{6, 9}

Both epimers of bicyclo^{[5.1.0]oct-3-yl brosylate acetol-} yze to give mixtures of several products (eq 12 and 13).⁶⁵ From the nature of these products it is clear that the cyclopropyl group in both isomers interacts with the positive charge; it is not clear if this interaction is in the rate-controlling ionization or in subsequent steps, however, since kinetic data are lacking. Equally obscure is the structure of the intermediates since the products can be explained by various mixtures of classical and nonclassical ion intermediates or by concerted reactions.

The bicyclo^{[5.1.0}]oct-4-yl brosylates ionize at about the same rate as cyclohepten-5-yl brosylate and considerably slower than cycloheptyl brosylate (Table V), clearly indicating that in this ring system assistance by both the double bond and the cyclopropyl group is absent. The reaction products further reveal that interaction is absent even in later steps since only a minor amount of the product is of rearranged structure (eq 14, 15, and 16). ^{65–67}

Lambert, e*t al.*,⁶⁹ have investigated competition between a cyclopropyl group and a double bond in the acetolysis of 63. This ester is more reactive than cycloheptyl tosylate (factor of 2270), indicating participation in the ionization step. By an analysis of the solvolysis products and those from the closely related esters 64 and 65, Lambert's group concluded that 80% of the ionization occurred with participation by the double bond, 20% with participation by the three-membered ring.

Ionization of 66-OTs provides an opportunity to observe participation by either one or two cyclopropyl groups. This all cis tosylate ionizes more slowly than does cyclooctyl tosylate (factor of 6072) but much more rapidly than its trans epimer 67-OTs (factor of 52).^{19a} Although the kinetic data do not demand cyclopropyl participation in the ionization of 66-OTs, the reaction products can be interpreted only with an interaction by one of the threemembered rings (eq 17). A deuterium label in both cyclopropane rings gives a product distribution consistent only with interaction by only one of the two equivalent cy-

clopropyl groups, presumably with the formation of the trishomocyclopropenyl cation 68 as the intermediate. Capture of this ion by solvent competes with a hydride shift to give a cyclopropylcarbinyl cation and with elimination. Complete delocalization to 69 is not expected because this ion should be antihomoaromatic.^{9a,b}

Extension of 66 by an additional cyclopropyl group giving 70-OTs provides a potential precursor of the heptahomotropylium ion 72 which is anticipated to be homoaromatic.^{9a.b} Acetolysis of both 70-OTs and 71-OTs gives large amounts of elimination and SN2 products with no equilibration of a deuterium label on the three cyclopropane rings (eq 18 and 19).⁷³ It is thus clear that, even

though 70-OTs ionizes about 15 times as fast as 71-0Ts, cyclopropyl participation is not a factor in these reactions. It has been postulated⁷³ that these results are due to the very favorable geometry for elimination in these molecules and their less favorable geometry for cyclopropyl participation (compared to other systems). Investigation of cyclopropyl participation in the ionization of other stereoisomers of 70 and 71 has yet to be reported although the required compounds are known.⁷⁴

During the synthesis of derivatives of 70 and 71, two additional examples of long-range cyclopropyl participation were uncovered.⁷⁵ lonization of 73-OpNB and 74-OpNB gave mixtures of products (eq 20 and 21) containing the expected homoallylic alcohols 75-OH and 76-0H from the usual cyclopropylcarbinyl-homoallyl rearrangement in addition to the unexpected dienols 77-OH and 78-OH from opening of one additional cyclopropyl group. Such dienols can be formed by (a) opening of 75-OH and 76-OH, (b) rearrangement of an initially formed homoallylic ion into a second one, or (c) direct intervention of the remote three-membered ring in the ionization of the starting esters. Whalen, et al.,⁷⁵ concluded that pathways a and b compete with each other but that c also needs to be considered. The failure of 79-OpNB to give similar products⁷⁵ and the relative inertness of 80-OH under the reaction conditions⁷⁵ may be cited in support of pathway

c. Interaction either in the ionization step to give 81 or with homoallylic ion 82 is possible.

3. Miscellaneous Conformationally Mobile Systems

The rate enhancement exhibited in the acetolysis of 83-OTs (factor of 3 compared to 84-OTs) and the formation of 85-OAc as the only product (84-OTs forms only 1-adamantyl acetate) demonstrate the cyclopropyl participation in this ionization.⁷⁶ Unfortunately no kinetic comparison with a saturated system has yet been reported.

Because a primary carbonium ion is much less stable than simple secondary or tertiary carbonium ions, it might be anticipated that neighboring group participation would be maximized in primary systems. Large rate enhancements are not observed in the ionization of 2-cyclopropylethyl derivatives (vide supra), however, nor in 86- \cos^{77} which acetolyzes⁷⁸ at about the same rate as the saturated 87-ONs and 88-ONs^{79,80} to give unrearranged 86-OAc as the sole product, perhaps by SN2 displacement by solvent. Participation by the benzene ring of 89- ONs also appears to be absent,⁸⁰ but double bond participation in ionization of 90-ONs is clearly present; thus 90-ONs ionizes ca. 87 times faster than 86-ONs-89- ONs,^{79.80} gives only 2-norbornyl derivatives as products. and is further accelerated by methyl substitution on the double bond providing compelling evidence for olefin anchimeric acceleration.²⁶

Cyclopropane participation was similarly sought in the ionization of 91-OBs.⁸¹ Solvolysis of this ester proceeds only slightly faster than ionization of its epimer 92-OBs and at about the same rate as other primary brosylates, but the increase in the rate ratio (91/92) as the solvent is changed from acetic acid to the much less nucleophilic 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol indicates weak cyclopropyl participation in the ionization of 91-0Bs. Additional evidence for cyclopropyl interaction is found in the extensively rearranged acetolysis and 2,2,2-trifluoroethanolysis products; only unrearranged products are formed upon hydrolysis in aqueous dioxane.⁸¹ A more precise study of the reaction intermediates awaits product identification.^{81a} In closely related studies, benzene ring participation was noted in acetolysis of 93-OBs but not in its anti epimer nor, surprisingly, in 94-OBs;⁸² double bond participation was observed in the acetolysis of 95-OBs⁸³ but only very weakly for derivatives of 96 or its anti epimer.⁸⁴ Solvolytic studies on these olefinic derivatives have been reviewed.^{6a} Obviously the presence or absence of participation is very critically dependent on geometrical factors.

Participation by the cyclopropyl groups in ionization of the four sterol derivatives shown below is apparently of relatively minor importance as demonstrated by their solvolysis rates (Table Vl), but involvement in subsequent steps is noted from the extensively rearranged products that are formed (eq $22-25$). ⁸⁵ The origin of the alcohol products from some isomers is unclear.

The two systems 97 and 98 are considered to be fixed in the conformation shown.⁸⁶ 97-OAc ionizes 240 times faster than 98-OAc but only twice as fast as 99-OAc and 175 times slower than 100-OAc. The complex nature of the conformational, steric, and substituent effects in these systems, the fact that acyl-oxygen cleavage com-

" Data at 80° taken from ref 85.

petes with carbonium ion formation, and the possibility of conjugation between the cyclopropane ring and the cation center through the benzene rings cloud the meaning of these data. It was felt that ion **101** is an intermediate in the solvolysis of both 97 -OAc and 98 -OAc. 86,87a

Similar problems exist for the spiro derivative **102,** the acetate of which ionizes, presumably to **103,** ca. 1000 times faster than its dihydro, dimethyl, or methylene analogs.⁸⁷

B. Conformationally Rigid Systems

1. The Tricyclo[3.2.1.0^{2,4}]octan-6-yl, Tricyclo[3.2.1.0^{2 ,7}]octan-4-yl, Nortricyclyl-3carbinyl, and Related Systems

The four isomers of the tricyclo $[3.2.1.0^{2.4}]$ octan-6-yl system, **104-107,** were among the first rigid systems to be examined in a search for long-range cyclopropyl-carbonium ion interactions. 88,89 Cyclopropyl participation in the ionization of the two exo esters 104-OBs, and 106-

Compound	Exo	Acetolysis rate constants (X108) ^a Endo	kero/kendo Notes	
	8,820	25.2	350	b, c
B sO	4,500	0.57 ϵ	7,900	d
BsO	747	0.051	14,600	e
BSO	47	4.3	11	f
B sO				
BsO	162	$1\,.1$	150	f
BsO	83.6	3.76	22	g
B sO	1,360 1,690	1.21 1.2	1,130 1,410	b, h \boldsymbol{i}
BsO	680	1.77	385	b, h
	27,900	474	60	b, j
BsO				

a Value extrapolated from data obtained at higher temperature. b Acetic acid buffered with sodium or potassium acetate. c S. Winstein, B. K. Morse, E. Grunwald, H. W. Jones, J. Corse, D. Trifan, and H. Marshall, <mark>J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 74,</mark> 1127 (1952). ² S. Winstein and
M. Shatavsky, *ibid.,* **78,** 592 (1956). ⁶ H. C. Brown and G. L. Tritle, *ibid.*, **90,** 2689 (1968), ℓ Calculated from data given in ref 90, ℓ L. de Vries and S. Winstein, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 82, 5363 (1960); P. Carter and S.
Winstein, *ibid.*, 94, 2171 (1972). A Reference 88. A Reference 89. A Reference 103.

OBs has been demonstrated kineticaily. Although the cyclopropane ring reduces the ionization rate of these two derivatives (compared to exo-norbornyl brosyiate), the effect is even greater for the two endo esters, 105-OBs and 107-OBs, in which direct cyclopropyl participation is sterically precluded. This effect gives rise to an enhanced exo/endo rate ratio for 104-107 (Table VII) in contrast to the decrease usually observed for alkyl substitution at C-6.90 Introduction of a double bond or benzene ring also decreases the ionization rate but greatly enhances the exo/endo rate ratio, presumably by participation in the ionization of only the exo isomer.⁹¹ From the enhanced exo/endo ratio for the pairs 104-OBs, 105-OBs and 106-

a Different product ratios were obtained under different solvolysis conditions. b Temperature unspecified. c Reference 88. d Reference**s** 92 and 93. e At 100°. f Reference 94. g At 110°. h References 97 and 98. \cdot At 50° about 30 $\%$ 111-OAc is formed with a reduction in the proportion of 109-OAc; references 97 and 98.

OBs, 107-OBs, one concludes that cyclopropyl participation is indeed a factor in the ionization of the exo esters.

The products of acetolysis of 107-OBs have not yet been published, but the three esters 104-OBs-106-OBs gave virtually identical mixtures of three acetate products, 104-OAc, 108-OAc, and 109-OAc (Table VIII). 88 In a later study of 104-OBs acetolysis, Berson, et al., 92,93 reported the formation of a fourth acetate, 110-OAc, not found by Wiberg and Wenzinger; neither group observed 111-OAc. The closely related nortricyclyl-3-carbinyl brosyiate (108-OBs) has been reported by several groups^{88,92-95} to give the same products. Tricyclo[3.2.1.0² ' 7]octan-4-yl brosyiate (110-OBs) gives only 109-OAc and 110-OAc and under milder conditions, 111- OAc.^{92,93,96-98} The product composition is very dependent on the leaving group, solvent, and temperature; examples can be found in Table VIII and in the original reports.^{88,92-98}

Optically active samples of 104-OBs and 108-OBs solvolyze to 104-OAc and 108-OAc with almost complete retention of optical purity, to 110-OAc with about 30% retention, and to 109-OAc with complete racemization.^{92,93} In contrast, the solvolysis of optically active 110-OBs is accompanied by internal return and gives racemic acetate products.

It is apparent from the data summarized above that the systems **104-108** probably give rise to common interme-

diates which are different from those arising from derivatives of 110. The former systems must give intermediates which are stereochemically pure sources of 104-OAc and 108-OAc but from which 109-OAc and 110-OAc are formed with complete and partial racemization, respectively. Scheme I, proposed by Berson, et al.,⁹³ is in full accord with both the stereospecificity of product formation and the participation afforded by the cyclopropyl rings in 104-OBs and 106-OBs. Regarding this participation, Berson, et al.,⁹³ felt that symmetrical, cross-ring delocalization to give 112 does not occur although this process would be analogous to that found widely in tricy- $\text{clo}[3.2.1.0^{2.4}]$ octan-8-yl derivatives (vide infra). It is also interesting that participation is proposed for 108 but not for 110 in which no long-range interaction is envisaged even following the rate-controlling step.⁹³ 108-OBs apparently does not ionize directly to 114 despite the favorable bisected geometry of this cyclopropylcarbinyl cation because overlap of the cyclopropyl ring orbitals with the adjacent developing p orbital in the transition state is particularly unfavorable.93,95

The two epoxides 115 and 116 are sources of carbonium ions similar to those discussed above. The two epoxides undergo reactions which demonstrate the participation of the cyclopropyl groups with carbonium ion centers. Treatment of 115 with acid catalysts in aprotic medium gives smooth rearrangement to the aldehyde 118 presumably through the carbonium ions 117 (eq 26).⁹⁹ A similar rearrangement is observed upon lithium aluminum hydride reduction, unrearranged 104-OH and rearranged 119-OH being formed in approximately equal amounts (eq 27).¹⁰⁰ No rearrangement is observed in the lithiumammonia reduction of 115 or of 116 .¹⁰⁰⁻¹⁰²

The boron trifluoride catalyzed rearrangement of 116 to 118 is much less facile and competes with intramolecular capture giving 120 (eq 28), although similar intermediates are involved.⁹⁹ Lithium aluminum hydride reduction and treatment with aqueous acid transform 116^{101,102} into precisely the same types of products observed in the acetolysis of 106-OBs. 88 Thus the lithium aluminum hy-

dride reduction gives 155-OH and 121 (eq 29); aqueous hydrobromic acid gives 122,123, and 124 (eq 30).

Unlike the rather complex situation found in the ionization of 104-OBs, 115, and similar systems discussed above, the ionization of derivatives of the deltacyclyl system, 125-OBs and 126-OBs, is relatively straightforward and provides compelling evidence for the involvement of the C-3-C-4 rather than the C-2-C-3 bond with the carbonium ion center. A variety of derivatives (brosylates, chlorides, amines) of both stereoisomers gave 125-OAc as the sole product of acetolysis or of deamination in acetic acid.^{103,104} Solvolysis of the exo isomer (**125-**OBs) is characterized by a rate enhancement (Table VII), complete scrambling of a deuterium label initially on $C-8$ (or $C-9$) between $C-8$ and $C-4$ (or $C-9$ and $C-5$), 104 a relatively low secondary deuterium isotope effect for an exo deuterium atom on C-9, ¹⁰⁵ and complete retention of optical activity in the product formed from acetolysis of an active sample of 125-OBs.¹⁰⁴ These results, and the nmr spectrum of the cation formed by dissolving either 125-OH or 126-OH in FSO₃H-SO₂ below -10° , ^{103, 104} are in full accord with the direct formation of the symmetrical intermediate 127 (analogous to 113) and rule out formation of alternative structures such as 128 or 129 or ions formed by rapid Wagner-Meerwein shifts. The rearrange-

ments found in the ionization of 104-OBs do not occur with 125-OBs because of the steric restrictions imposed by the additional methylene group.

The endo brosylate 126-OBs displays similar behavior with some important exceptions, A deuterium label initially on C-8 is not completely scrambled with C-4 (ca. 80% s crambling), 104 optical activity is partially lost in the acetolysis of active 126-OBs (ca. 40% retention), ¹⁰⁴ and the secondary isotope effect of an exo deuterium atom on $C-9$ is larger than for 125-OBs.¹⁰⁵ These data have been $interorted$ ¹⁰³⁻¹⁰⁵ in terms of a classical ionization of 126-OBs to form an ion which can react with acetic acid, undergo rearrangement by alkyl shifts to an ion of inverted configuration, or leak to 127.

A preliminary report of the solvolysis of 130-OBs-133- OBs has very recently appeared.¹⁰⁶ Three of these molecules apparently ionize in a fashion similar to that found for other bicyclo[2.2.2]octyl derivatives,¹⁰⁷ *i.e.,* with C-C participation (eq 31) to give either cyclopropyl migration in the case of 130-OBs or cyclopropylcarbinyl cations in the cases of 131-OBs and 132-OBs. Only in the isomer 133-OBs is direct long-range cyclopropyl participation proposed. Unfortunately the structures of the transition

state and intermediate(s) in the solvolysis of 133-OBs have not yet been reported.

Another bridged system also closely related to 104, 125, and 133 is $134-\text{OTs}^{108}$ whose enhanced rate of acetolysis (Table IX) and clean rearrangement (eq 32) clearly demonstrate cyclopropyl interaction. Dauben and Schallhorn envisage classical ion intermediates in this solvolysis, but nonclassical ions could also be employed; there appears to be no good evidence to distinguish between these two possibilities. Dauben and Schallhorn

TABLE IX. Relative Acetolysis Rates for Some Polycyclic Tosylates at 25°

^a Reference 108. ^b The ionization of this ester is considered to be assisted; see ref 107. ^c Calculated from data on the corresponding brosylate given in ref 103.

proposed participation by the C-2-C-3 bond of 134-OTs (eq 32a) in a fashion analogous to that found for the ionization of 104-OBs discussed above. Participation by the C-3-C-8 bond, typical of ionizations of other bicyclo- [2.2.2]octyl derivatives,¹⁰⁷ does not appear to occur in this solvolysis. The authors do not present any evidence for their proposed mechanism, however, and do not consider a possible attractive alternative, participation by the C-3-C-4 bond amply demonstrated in the ionization of 125-OBs. The observed product could indeed by formed very efficiently by this route (eq 32b).

Cyclopropyl interaction was not found in the solvolysis of 135-OpNB which ionized 3.1 times more slowly than 136-OpNB and gave unrearranged 135-OH as the major product (96%).¹⁰⁹ The allylic ion 137 was identified by nmr.¹⁰⁹ The dienyl ester 138-OpNB exhibited a large rate deceleration (factor of 235) presumably due to the antihomoaromatic nature^{9b} of 139.^{109,110} Unfortunately ionization of derivatives of 140 has not yet been reported.

2. The Tricyclo $[3.2.1.0^{2.4}]$ oct-8-yl and Related Systems

This group of compounds, which all incorporate a rigid, bridged bicyclo[3.1.0]hex-3-yl moiety, provides the most dramatic examples of long-range cyclopropyl-carbonium ion interactions. It is evident from the data in Table X that, of the four stereoisomers of the title ring system, only the endo-anti one, 141, exhibits a tremendously large rate enhancement due to direct cyclopropyl participation in the ionization step;¹¹¹⁻¹¹⁵ indeed the enhancement provided by the cyclopropyl group in 141-OpNB is even greater than that due to the anti double bonds in anti-7-norbornenyl and 7-norbornadienyl derivatives or the

anti benzene ring in anti-9-benzonorbornenyl esters. In these simpler molecules the large ionization rate enhancements observed have been used as an important part of the impressive body of evidence^{6a,9b,114} which supports a nonclassical structure for the intermediate in each case. Although the trishomocyclopropenyl cation **142,** derived from 141 -OpNB, is predicted 37 to be relatively stable, thus imparting a large rate enhancement to 141-OpNB ionization, it can be argued rather convincingly that relief of steric strain¹¹⁶ upon ionization, with rearrangement to classical ions 143, accounts for the observed rate enhancement. It should be noted that a similar rearrangement in the ionization of anti-7-norbornenyl derivatives leads to a system with greater strain energy than the starting norbornene derivative.

Solvolysis of 141-OpNB gives a simple mixture of only three products (eq 33)¹¹¹⁻¹¹⁵ formed from nucleophilic attack exclusively from the anti direction at C-8 and from the endo direction at C-2. It is quite clear, and of considerable mechanistic importance, that neither 144-OH nor 145-OH or their p-nitrobenzoates are formed (<0.02%) in the product mixture; however, in a closely related reaction a derivative of 144 is formed.¹¹⁷ but this case is complicated by competition between carbene and carbonium ion processes and by the fact that a diazonium ion precursor, which is a poor model for solvolytic work,⁵² was postulated. Carbene insertion into the solvent (methanol) or reaction of a "hot" carbonium ion could have produced the 144-OMe observed.

Ketone 146, which, because it has an sp²-hybridized carbon atom at C-8, is considered to be a good steric model for classical ion 147, is attacked by lithium aluminum hydride from both syn and anti directions to give a mixture of 141-OH and 144-OH in the ratio of 1:2; $111-114$ other nucleophiles attack 146 much more predominantly from the anti direction, however.^{118,119} Ketone 148, a suitable model for 143, is attacked by several complex metal hydrides predominantly from the less hindered exo direction to give the thermodynamically less stable alcohol **149-**OH,^{113,114}

 a Relative rates at 100 $^{\circ}$ unless otherwise stated. These values are only approximate since different solvents were used in some cases and extrapolations over large temperature ranges were employed. The relative rates were arrived at after extrapolation by assuming that the rate ratio for any pair of compounds is independent of the leaving group. ^h Solvolysis in buffered acetic acid. ' Solvolysis in 70% aqueous dioxane unless otherwise stated. ''Solvolysis in 80% aqueous acetone; rates compared to that of anti-7-norbornenyl chloride at 25°. ' OMAc = methoxyacetate, CH3OCH2COO-. / Calculated as three times the rate of the corresponding tosylate. "Calcu-lated from data given in S. Winstein, M. Shatavsky, C. Norton, and R. B. Woodward, J. Amer. Chem. Soc, 77, 4183 (1955). * Relative rates of brosylate, tosylate, p-nitrobenzoate, chloride, and methoxyacetate are assumed to be the same.' Reference 111.' Refer-

It would thus appear that the stereospecificity of the nucleophilic attack on the intermediate(s) derived from 141-OpNB at only the anti and hindered endo sites is incompatible with a set of equilibrating classical cations, 143 and 147, but is quite consistent with the stereoelectronic requirements of the trishomocyclopropenyl cation

ence 112. ^k Reference 113. ¹Calculated from data given in S. Winstein and C. Ordronneau, J. Amer. Chem. Soc, **82,** 2084 (1960). ^m Calculated from data given in ref 128. ™ Calculated from data given in ref 115 at 25°. ° Calculated from data given in ref 124. ^p Reference 126. i Calculated from data given in ref 127. ' Calculated from data given in ref 118; at 170° this ester hydrolyzes ca. 10 times faster than anti-
7-norbornenyl p-nitrobenzoate. º From a comparison at ca. 90° with
141-OpNB in 70% aqueous acetone. º Calculated from data given in ref 125. " Calculated from data given in ref 130. » Calculated from data given in ref 139. "' At 125° in 65% aqueous acetone, ref 121. * Calculated from data given in ref 137. » Calculated from data given in ref 148. ² Compared to 141-OpNB in 60% aqueous dioxane at 25°, ref 135. aa Calculated from data given in ref 143.

142 which precludes attack from the exo and syn sites. Nonclassical ions such as 11 (vide supra) and those derived from anti-7-norbornenyl, 7-norbornadienyl, and anti-9-benzonorbornenyl derivatives, whose structures are more firmly established.^{6a,9b,87a,114} are similarly characterized by simple product mixtures and the formation of

TABLE Xl. Relative Hydrolysis Rates for Some Polycyclic p-Nitrobenzoates at 25°

^a Data fro**m** ref 123 in 70:30 dioxane-water. ^b Data from ref 119 in 90:10 acetone-water.

thermodynamically unstable products resulting from stereoelectronic control by the delocalized electrons. Unfortunately, attempts to observe directly by nmr the cation (s) formed from 141 have been unfruitful because the potential precursors (141-OH, 146 and its dimethyl ketal) are unstable in the "superacid" media employed.¹²⁰

Although it seems unlikely, if ionization of 141-OpNB is accelerated by relief of strain in going to 143, 150-OpNB should ionize without acceleration since the analogously rearranged cation, 151a, is identical with 151 and ring

strain cannot therefore be relieved. If, on the other hand, the formation of 142 accelerates the ionization of 141- OpNB, ionization of 150-OpNB should be similarly assisted with the formation of the trishomocyclopropenyl cation 152.

Solvolysis of 150-OpNB occurs with a rate enhancement almost as large as that observed for 141-OpNB and gives 150-OH as the only product.¹²¹ There is statistical scrambling of the deuterium atoms of 150-OpNB-9-d and 150-OpNB-anf/-4-d between only three positions: C-9, C-2, and C-3 and C-anti-4, C-1 and C-8, respectively, in the recovered solvolysis product. It is clear from these labeling experiments that only one cyclopropyl ring, specifically the anti one, is involved in positive charge deloealization in this reaction. If both rings were involved, complete scrambling of the label over all positions would be expected.¹²² On the basis of the kinetic and product analysis studies of 141-OpNB and 150-OpNB, we are almost compelled to assign structures 142 and 152 to the respective intermediate cations.

So powerful is the participation by the cyclopropyl group in the ionization of endo-anti-tricy- $\text{clo}[3.2.1.0^{2.4}]\text{oct-8-yl}$ derivatives that it even overwhelms the carbonium ion stabilization afforded by a p -anisyl group. Thus 153-OpNB demonstrates a large anchimeric acceleration (10^3) , ¹¹⁹ whereas the accelerating effect of the double bond in anti-7-norbornenyl derivatives is completely overcome by the p -anisyl group of 154-O p NB (Table XI).¹²³ It thus appears that a p-anisyl group (and probably other aryl groups) has an upper limit to the sta-

bilizing effect it can provide a carbonium ion at the benzylic position.¹¹⁹ The solvolysis products from 153-OpNB are completely rearranged (eq 34) whereas those from 154-OpNB are partially rearranged (eq 35). These kinetic and stereochemical results are in full accord with the idea that double bond participation in 154-OpNB is absent but that cyclopropyl participation in 153-OpNB still remains. By analogy with the olefinic derivatives,¹²³ it is expected that cyclopropyl participation in 153-OpNB might be overcome by the $p-N$, N-dimethylamino group.

In contrast to the derivatives of 141, the derivatives of the other stereoisomers of the tricyclo $[3.2.1.0^{2.4}]$ oct-8-yl system, 144, 155, and 156, ionize without extensive cyclopropyl participation (Table X) and give rise to numerous rearranged products. The exo-anti isomer 155-OBs is particularly revealing since its inertness to ionization rules out face participation by the cyclopropane ring. 124

The factors responsible for the rate enhancement observed in the solvolysis of the two syn isomers 144-OBs and 156-OBs (Table X) have recently been clarified by studies on the related unsaturated esters 157-OpNB,¹¹⁸ 158-OpNB, 125 and 159-OBs 125 which solvolyze with en-

hanced rates and complete retention of stereochemistry, presumably via the bishomocyclopropenyl cations 160. 161, and 162 in accord with other anti-7-norbornenyl and anti-9-benzonorbornenyl derivatives.^{6a,9b} By analogy with syn-7-norbornenyl¹²⁶ and syn-9-benzonorbornenyl¹²⁷ derivatives which ionize with C-C participation to give an allylic ion and ultimately bicyclo[3.2.0]hept-2-en-3-yl products (e.g., eq 36), it was suggested¹¹³ that formation of cyclopropylcarbinyl cations 163 and 164 might accelerate the ionization of 144-OBs and 156-OBs, respectively; 164 was expected to be formed more readily than 163 because of the anticipated better overlap between the developing cation orbital and the exo cyclopropyl ring. It was also suggested¹¹³ that ionization of 156-OBs might be accelerated by steric factors.

From the data in Table X it is clear that the cyclopropyl groups of 157-OpNB, 158-OpNB, and 159-OBs cause a rate enhancement of about the same magnitude as in 144-OBs and 156-OBs despite the very low probability of a C-C migration analogous to that shown in eq 36. It would thus appear that the acceleration by syn cyclopropyl groups operates independently of any other acceleration, i.e., by an anti double bond, and is not electronic in origin, a conclusion corroborated by the observation that the effect of substituents in the benzene ring of anti-9 benzonorbornenyl brosylate 114,128 is unaltered by the cyclopropane ring of 159-OBs.¹²⁵ Battiste, et a/.,¹²⁵ have proposed steric acceleration, i.e., relief of strain between the ester group and the cyclopropane ring methylene upon ionization, to account for the accelerating effect of an exo-syn cyclopropyl group. Their alternative explanation, a distortion of the molecule such that the bridge is bent toward the double bond or benzene ring in 158 and 159, respectively, ¹²⁹ also of steric origin, is considered to be unlikely because of the constancy of the effect even in 156 in which double bond participation cannot occur.

Surprisingly, the accelerating effect of an exo-syn cyclopropyl group is no larger in the diphenyl derivative 165-OTs which ionizes at about the same rate as 156- OBs and the syn-7-norbornenyl ester.¹³⁰ The anti derivative, 166-OTs, is postulated to ionize with phenyl participation in addition to a concomitant or subsequent disrotatory rupture of the three-membered ring so that the rate $\frac{3}{8}$ enhancement noted for 156 relative to 155 (ca. 10⁴) is no longer present $(k(165)/k(166) = ca. 1.5)$. Such a pathway is not available to 165-OTs.

An additional feature of note concerning 160 is the possibility of a "bridge flip" to 167; apparently such a process does not occur¹¹⁸ despite the expected³⁷ greater stability of 167. In this regard 160 behaves like the ion derived from 7-norbornadienvl derivatives^{6a,9b,131} and 152.¹²¹ Presumably reaction with solvent is a more efficient process than bridge flipping. Study of derivatives of the epimeric system (168) is clearly indicated to test more fully the theoretical predictions.³⁷

Although it is clear that 144-OBs does not lead in high yield to 142 upon acetolysis,¹¹³ substituted endo-syn-tri $cyclo[3.2.1.0^{2.4}]oct-8-yI$ derivatives give the products expected for a substituted 142 intermediate. Thus solvolysis of derivatives of 169^{132} and treatment of 170-OH with acids¹¹⁹ lead to 171 and 172-OH, respectively. Similar acid-catalyzed rearrangements have been noted for the anti alcohols 141 -OH 111 -114 and 153 -OH. 119 These results are most likely due to the increased stability of a tertiary classical ion 173 toward capture by solvent and the increased probability that a longer lived cation of this type will leak to the more stable nonclassical cation 174.^{132a}

Carbonium ions from several tricyclo[3.2.1.0^{2,4}]octane derivatives have been studied¹³³ by mass spectrometry, but the large differences found between the stereoiso-

 \texttt{a} Reference 114 from which these data were taken did not specify solvent.

mers of this ring system in solvolytic reactions are not observed under electron bombardment.

Tanida's studies¹¹⁴ on the solvolysis of 149-OTs and 145-OTs have provided additional examples of cyclopropyl participation and corroborative evidence for ion 142. Hydrolysis of 149-OTs results in the formation of 141-OH and 149-OH as the only products in the same ratio (1:999) as that found in the hydrolysis of 141-OpNB (eq 33). Optical activity in 149-OTs is lost 3.2 times faster than the rate of product formation, thereby confirming ion-pair return. The possibility that the racemization involved a 1,3-hydride shift to give 143c was ruled out by studies on a specifically deuterated sample of 149-OTs. Although derivatives of 149 are considerably less reactive than those of 141, cyclopropyl participation in the ionization of 149-OTs was demonstrated by its enhanced reactivity compared to suitable model compounds (Table XII). From the product composition it would appear that 141- OpNB and 149-OTs ionize to the same intermediate, presumably 142, which is quite different from the one derived from 175-OTs which gives products of elimination, inversion, and hydride migration totally absent in the solvolyses of 141 and 149 derivatives (eq 37).

In contrast, the exo tosylate 145-OTs solvolyzed more slowly than 149-OTs, but this rate difference is relatively meaningless as a quantitative probe for cyclopropyl participation in 149-OTs since 145-OTs ionization is probably also assisted by C–C participation¹⁰⁷ (eq 38).¹¹⁴

The effectiveness of even longer range cyclopropyl participation has been investigated¹³⁴ in a study of 176-OBs which ionizes with a great amount of acceleration (Table XIII) but, unlike 141-OpNB, less rapidly than the corresponding olefin 177-OBs. This anomaly (compare 141-OpNB and anti-7-norbornenyl p-nitrobenzoate, Table X) has been rationalized in terms of a more favorable geometry for participation in 141 and 177 than in 7-norbornenyl and 176, respectively. There may be other contributing factors, however, including reduced strain in the exo-tricyclo[3.2.1.02,4]octane skeleton (176) compared to the endo stereoisomer $(141)^{134}$ and a possible groundstate deformation of 176 compared to 177 due to H-H repulsion resulting in the carbonium ion center and cyclopropyl group being farther apart. It is tempting to use the large rate enhancement observed for 176-OBs ionization as proof of formation of the nonclassical ion 178; however, the product mixture from this ester is very complex and completely rearranged unlike the products obtained

from other nonclassical ions. If 178 is formed, it must rearrange to other cations more rapidly than it reacts with solvent; the existence of this ion does not yet rest on firm ground.

Derivatives of 179 provide an opportunity to examine the effects of a slightly different geometry on cyclopropyl participation. As can be seen from the data in Table X, the endo-anti cyclopropyl group of 179-OpNB provides¹³⁵ a rate enhancement similar in magnitude to that observed in 141-OpNB but not significantly different from that afforded by the double bond in derivatives of $180.^{136,139}$ The greater acceleration observed in the ionization of 141-OpNB (factor of ca. 10) was not expected because the bridge angle in norbornanes is greater than in bicyclo[2.1.1]hexanes but could possibly result from a tilting of the substituent-bearing bridge toward the cyclopropyl ring in a fashion analogous to that found in 179- OpBB.^{135,140} Unfortunately, structural studies on a derivative of 141 have not yet been reported; in 155-OBs¹⁴¹ and, more pertinently, in anti-7-norbornenyl p -bromomay more that the bridge is tilted away from the cyclopropane ring and double bond, respectively.

The bridged tricyclo[3.1.1.0^{2,4}]hept-6-yl derivative, 181-OTs, ionizes with much less acceleration than does 179-OpNB.¹⁴³ The additional bridge in this molecule is expected to alter sufficiently the geometry, rigidity, and strain energy so that a decreased anchimeric assistance is not surprising; in particular, the three-membered ring is "pulled back" so that the carbonium ion center is farther from its plane. The importance of this effect will be discussed elsewhere in this article.

By analogy to derivatives of 141, which ionize with tre-

^a Calculated from data given in S. Winstein, M. Shatavsky, C. Norton, and R. B. Woodward, J. <mark>Amer. Chem. Soc., 77,</mark> 4183 (1955): S.
Winstein and M. Shatavsky, *ibid*., **78,** 592 (1956). ⁶ S. Winstein and R. L. Hansen, *ibid.*, 82, 6206 (1960). C.S. Winstein and R. L. Hansen,
Tetrahedron Lett., No. 25, 4 (1960). ² Reference 134.

mendous assistance to give derivatives of 142, one expects 179-OpNB and 181-OTs to ionize to 182 and 183, respectively. Both the rate enhancements and observed products of these reactions are in full accord with this expectation; hydrolysis of 179-OpNB (eq 39) gives only 184-OH and the internal return product 184-OpNB.¹³⁵ These products are only of the endo stereochemistry despite the propensity for the corresponding ketone to undergo attack from the exo direction.¹³⁵ A similar argument was used to support ion 142 (vide supra). No unrearranged product was reported in this reaction; however, acetolysis of 181-OTs does lead to only partial rearrangement (eq 4O).¹⁴³ These products are in full accord with ion 183.

The general occurrence of ions like 182 and 183 has been cast in doubt by Hart and Kuzuya's¹⁴⁴ recent results. Carbonium ion reactions of the polymethylated 185-OH lead to recovered unrearranged derivatives of 185 in which isotopic label distribution indicates an interaction by the cyclopropyl group to give an ionic intermediate of higher symmetry than 186 for which the structure 187 has been proposed. This type of ion has been predicted¹⁴⁵ to be relatively stable. The nmr spectrum of the

intermediate is consistent with 187 but not with 186.¹⁴⁴ In view of this report, a reexamination of 181-OTs ionization is in order.

Ion 182 has also been proposed¹⁴⁶ as the intermediate formed in the acetolysis of 184-OTs. This ester ionizes with a modest acceleration by the cyclopropyl group (Table XIV) and gives 184-OAc almost exclusively (97%) (eq 41). Although a deuterium label originally on C-4 is distributed equally between C-2 and C-4 in the isolated product, these two positions account for only half the original label, and the two methylene groups are scrambled equally. It thus appears that carbons 2, 4, 5 and 7 are scrambled in the cation derived from 184-OTs. Such scrambling might well occur through an ion analogous to 187, through a "bridge flip" of 182, or through classical cations. The different products obtained from "182" by Masamune, et al.,¹³⁵ and by Lustgarten¹⁴⁶ and the intriguing possibilities raised by Lustgarten's labeling studies indicate an obvious need for more work on this ion and its precursors.

The exo isomer of 184-OTs ionizes with C-C participation to give the nortricyclyl cation and ultimately nortricyclyl derivatives (eq 42).

Double bond and benzene ring participation have been examined in derivatives of 188¹⁴⁷ and 189¹⁴⁸ and, in accord with the idea that increased internal bond angle at a carbonium ion site will reduce anchimeric assistance,¹⁴⁹ 188-OTs and 189-OTs ionize less rapidly than the corresponding norbornenyl derivatives (both by factors of ca. 10³ at 25 $^{\circ}$) but still faster than the saturated 190-OTs¹⁵⁰ (by factors of ca. 10^5 and 10, respectively). Remote cyclopropyl participation in this system, i.e., in 191, has not

yet been reported, but the recent synthesis¹⁵¹ of a useful derivative, 191-0-f-Bu, will undoubtedly be followed by solyolysis studies.

TABLE XIV. Relative Acetolysis Rates of Some Polycyclic Tosylates at 25°°

^a Data taken from ref 146.

3. 4-Nortricyclyl Derivatives

The symmetrical 4-nortricyclyl system (192) and its 1,7,7-trimethyl analog (193) have the ionization center rigidly fixed at about 2.1 \AA directly above the face of the cyclopropane ring. 152 Both 192-OTf 153 and 193-OTf solvolyze to give only products of retained structure much more slowly than the related bridgehead derivatives 194- OTf and 195-OTf whose ionization is also severely retarded compared to other tertiary derivatives (Table XV).¹⁵⁴ In fact, 192 and 193 are among the least reactive systems known in carbonium ion formation.¹⁵⁵⁻¹⁵⁸ Expansion of the ring system by one carbon atom to 196 increases the ionization rate, but compared to 197 the rate is still retarded.

Obviously the cyclopropane rings in ions 198-200 provide no stabilization to the positive charge; it might even by argued that the ions are electronically destabilized by the three-membered rings. However, the observed retardation in ionization rate of derivatives of 192, 193, and 196 can be completely accounted for by the increased strain energy of these systems relative to their bicyclic analogs, and therefore no inductive deceleration is reauired. ¹⁵⁵-157

In another system, 201, the ionization rate would not appear to be retarded by the remote cyclopropane ring. Derivatives of this system ionize more rapidly than those of 197 (factor of 10¹¹) and of 202 (factor of 10²).¹⁵⁹ The significance of these results is clouded by the presence of the three adjacent cyclopropane rings, which are expected to enhance the ionization rate, 7 and by the greater flexibility of **201** compared to its smaller analog **202.** comparison with **203** would be more meaningful.

4. Spiro[cyclopropane-1,7'-norbornan]-2'-yl **Derivatives**

Derivatives of the three endo systems **205, 207,** and **209** are possible candidates for cyclopropyl participation, which, if present, would be expected to be manifested in a decreased exo/endo rate ratio for the appropriate pairs of compounds. The data in Table XVI clearly illustrate the ineffectiveness of the cyclopropane ring as a neighboring group in these molecules. The ionization rate of each endo isomer is decreased from that of the most appropriate endo model, whereas the ionization rates of the corresponding exo isomers are slightly increased resulting in an enhanced exo/endo ratio.¹⁶⁰' 161 Derivatives of **204, 205, 208,** and **209** all rearrange on solvolysis to give mixtures of exo and endo spiro[cyclopropane-1,3'-norbornan]-2'-yl derivatives **210** and **211; 206** and **207** give only derivatives of 206.^{160,161} In both aspects (ionization

TABLE XV. Relative Solvolysis Rates of Some Bridgehead Derivatives^a

 a Data at 25 $^{\circ}$ from ref 157 unless otherwise noted. b Based on reactivity of the bromide in 80% aqueous ethanol. **CReactivity of the tri**flates at 100° in 50% aqueous ethanol as given in ref 156. 4 Reactivity of the triflates in 50% aqueous ethanol.

rates and product composition), all six systems are very much like their respective unsubstituted norbomyl parents. A scheme (eq 43) which accounts for these results is shown below employing classical cations only for convenience.

The small rate enhancement observed for derivatives of **204, 206,** and **208** is probably due to the stabilization afforded the cyclopropylcarbinyl cation **212** (or alternatively the corresponding nonclassical ion). Solvolysis of derivatives of 210 and 211, which are predicted¹⁶⁰ to exhibit greater cyclopropyl participation than **205,** show the controlling influence of the cyclopropyl group by virtue of a large rate enhancement and a swamping of the usual exo/endo ratio, thus demonstrating the effectiveness of the stabilization afforded **212.**¹⁶⁰' 161 The corresponding olefins, e.g., **213,** behave similarly.¹⁶²

The ineffectiveness of the cyclopropyl groups in **205** and **207** as neighboring groups is in complete contrast to the behavior of the corresponding olefinic derivatives **213-216.**¹⁶³ Both the kinetic data (Table XVI) and product composition demonstrate beyond doubt that the 7 isopropylidene groups in 214-OTs and 216-OTs participate in the ionization of these esters. The exo isomers solvolyze in the same manner as other exo-norbornyl and exo-norbornenyl derivatives.

TABLE XVI. Solvolysis Rate Constants for Some 2-Norbornyl Tosylates"

Tosylate	Exo	Solvolysis rate constants $(\times 10^8)$ $k_{exo}/$ Notes Endo k_{endo}		
OTS	2,330	8.28	280 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}$	ь
OTs	7,880	4.40	1,800	c
CMe ₂ OTs	10,200	2260	4.5	d, e
ОTs	1,500	0.19	7,900	f
OTs	4,050	0.175	23,000	c
CMe_2 OTs	3,200	370	8.6	d, e
OTs	671,000	120	5,400	g, h
OTs	1,130,000	99.5	11,350	g, h

^a Acetolysis at 25° unless otherwise stated. ^b P. v. R. Schleyer, M. M. Donaldson, and W. E. Watts, J. Amer. Chem. Soc, 87, 375 (1965). *C* Reference 160, *d* Based **o**n the reported rate ratio at 30°.
e Reference 163, *I* S. Winstein and M. Shatavsky, J. Amer. Chem. **Soc., 78,** 592 (1956). $\scriptstyle\ell$ Solvolysis in 80% acetone at 100°. $\scriptstyle\ell$ Refer**e**nce 161.

In contrast, the diazo ketone 217 reacts¹⁶⁴ with acids to give a mixture of products including 218 which results from interaction of the cyclopropyl group with the cation center. It has been proposed¹⁶⁴ that 217 and 205 differ because the ketone group destabilizes an adjacent positive charge so that stabilization in the transition state is required and simple ionization to 219 cannot occur.

5. Miscellaneous Rigid Systems

Chloride 220 solvolyzes at about the same rate as the dimethyl analog 221 and about half as fast as 1-adamantyl chloride.¹⁶⁵ All three halides solvolyze without rearrangement. It is thus clear that the cyclopropyl ring in 220-CI does not interact with the remote positive charge, but this is not surprising considering the distance between these centers (measured from Dreiding stereomodels to be at least 3 Å).

222-OBs acetolyzes to give 222-OAc and olefin 223 at about the same rate as for the unassisted ionization of its two cis stereoisomers (Table V).⁶⁶ The absence of cyclopropyl interaction in 222-OBs ionization is at first glance puzzling since the molecule is rigid and therefore does not have to become oriented in a specific conformation for interaction as do its cis isomers, and because the electron-donating bent bond of the cyclopropane ring is pointed directly at the ionization center which is about 2.4 A from it—almost the same geometrical features found in 141-OpNB, the ionization of which is tremendously accelerated.¹¹¹⁻¹¹⁵ In 141, however, the developing p orbital is pointed directly into the sphere of influence of the cyclopropane ring (224), whereas in 222 it points orthogonally to this "preferred" direction into a region of space devoid of appreciable cyclopropane ring electron density (225). The nearest approach of the cyclopropane ring in 225 to the developing p orbital is at the carbon atom, a nodal position in the orbital, whereas in 224 the cyclopropane ring orbital approaches a developing lobe of the p orbital. The possibility that one cyclopropane ring might overlap with both lobes of the p orbital in 225 can be ruled out on grounds of symmetry.⁶⁶

The exceptionally high reactivity of the bridgehead substituted system 226 has recently been noted and ascribed to cyclopropyl participation.¹⁶⁶ It should be noted that this system also incorporates a cis-bicyclo-[3.1.0]hex-3-yl system locked in a favorable chair conformation. The anchimeric assistance by the cyclopropyl group of 226 might well prove to be even greater than that observed in 141 since the ionization rate of the cor-

responding saturated ester 227-OTs is only ca. 10 $^{\rm 3-10^{\rm 4}}$ greater than that of 7-norbornyl tosylate at 100° .¹⁶⁷

///. Interaction of Cyclopropane Rings with Other Electron-Deficient Centers

A. Carbene Centers

Theoretical studies¹⁶⁸ have predicted that the carbene 228 should be most stable in a distorted geometry in which the carbene'center is tilted toward the cyclopropyl group so as to increase its interaction with the edge of the cyclopropane ring. This stabilization is afforded to only the singlet state of the carbene and is analogous to, but of less importance than, the situation in the corresponding carbonium ions 160 and 167.37

The epimeric tosylhydrazones 229 and 230 decompose to give quite different mixtures of products.¹¹⁷ The exo isomer gives a low yield of three isomeric bicyclo[3.3.0]octadienes as the only identified volatile products presumably by the mechanism shown in eq 44. The

endo isomer gives a much higher yield of a mixture of 141-OMe, 144-OMe, 149-OMe, 231, and 232; the ratio of ether to hydrocarbon products is dependent on reaction conditions. The ether products are believed to arise through the relatively stable trishomocyclopropenyl cation 142 (eq 45). The absence of bicyclo[3.3.0]octadienes in the hydrocarbon product demonstrates the interaction between the carbene center and the edge of the endo cyclopropyl group (eq 46) rather than by the adjacent C-C bonds as is found in the decomposition of 229. Although it is clear that a long-range cyclopropyl-carbene interaction occurs, the nature of the intermediate formulated as 233 is not certain.

In contrast, bicyclo[3.1.0]hex-3-yl tosylhydrazone gives no indication¹⁶⁹ of cyclopropyl interaction with the incipient carbene center. The only product formed is bicyclo- [3.1.0]hex-2-ene; presumably carbene insertion into the C-H bond of the adjacent carbon atom to give this olefin

is a much more energetically favorable process and one that is not available to 233.

B. Radical Centers

Free radical chlorination of bicyclo[3.1.0]hexane gives a mixture of the four chlorobicyclo[3.1.0]hexanes arising from abstraction of hydrogen atoms from C-2 and less predominantly from C-3 (eq 47).¹⁷⁰ Abstraction from C-1 or C-6 was not detected. Chloroformylation gives a more complex mixture; the major products are rearranged, bicyclo[3.1.0]hexyl derivatives comprising only a minor amount {ca. 30%) of the product (eq 48). The predominance of abstraction from C-2 is thought to be a result of greater cyclopropyl stabilization of the adjacent radical paralleling the stability order found in the respective carbonium ions. The only indication that some stabilizing de-

localized character might be present for **234** is the 2:1 ratio of 9-CI:10-CI obtained in the chlorination experiment despite the expected steric hindrance to abstraction of H-3 $_{\mathrm{trans}}$ from the predominant boat conform $e^{-32(39,57,171-174)}$ of the hydrocarbon. In contrast the 9-COCI:10-COCI ratio obtained in the chloroformylation is about 1:5. In a similar fashion free radical addition of methanethiol to bicyclo[3.1.0]hex-2-ene¹⁷⁵ gives products (eq 49) which can be explained solely on the basis of

classical radical intermediates; in fact, a methylthio derivative of **235** was ruled out as the sole product-determining intermediate. These results provide no strong support for a trishomocyclopropenyl radical, **236,** as a particularly stable intermediate but do not conclusively prove its absence

A more distinct example of long-range interaction between a cyclopropyl group and a free radical center has recently been described.¹¹⁵ Abstraction of hydrogen from $exo\text{-}tricyclo[3.2.1.0^{2,4}]octane occurs only at C-1 and C-6$ (eq 50) and, except for the C-1 abstraction which has not yet been explained, is very similar to the behavior of other norbornanes.¹⁷⁶ In this reaction no evidence of cyclopropyl interaction with radical intermediates was detected.

The endo isomer provides at least 93% C-8 abstraction, however, to give **141-CI** and **149-CI** as the only identified products (eq 51). Two minor components, 5 and 2%, were not characterized. The high regiospecificity of abstraction at C-8 and stereospecificity of radical recombination at both C-4 and C-8 can conveniently be explained in terms of edge interaction by the cyclopropane ring, but approach at either site might also be affected by steric factors. Whether the fully delocalized intermediate **237** or a rapidly equilibrating mixture of essentially classical radicals with a weak transannular interaction by the three-membered ring **(238** and **239)** is present is not yet clear, but, based on the sensitivity of product composition to rerf-butyl hypochlorite concentration, **237** can be ruled out as the sole product-determining intermediate. It should be noted that the 7-norbornenyl radical is generally thought to be nonclassical.¹⁷⁷

In contrast, the two olefins, exo- and endo-tricy $clo[3.2.1.0^{2,4}]oct-6-ene, and also deltacyclene give only$ the products of simple cis and trans addition to the double bonds.¹⁷⁸ No hydrogen abstraction was detected despite the above-noted ability of the endo cyclopropyl group to interact with radical centers at C-8. It is equally evident that the cyclopropyl groups in the presumed intermediates, **240, 241** and **242,** do not interact with the radical centers. This behavior is in complete contrast to that found in the corresponding carbonium ions and reviewed elsewhere in this article.

C. Other Centers

Cyclopropane rings have long been known^{2,3,179} for their ability to extend¹⁸⁰⁻¹⁹⁴ and perhaps even to transmit¹⁹⁵⁻¹⁹⁸ conjugation from the studies of their interactions with adjacent carbonyl groups,¹⁸⁰⁻¹⁸⁷ double bonds, ¹⁸⁸⁻¹⁹⁰ and aromatic rings¹⁹¹⁻¹⁹⁴ in both the ground (ref 179, 181, 183-188, 190, 191, 195-197) and excited (ref 180, 182, 189, 192-194, 198) states. Some of these studies have been summarized¹⁹⁹ and have recently been supported by theoretical considerations.²⁰⁰ Some groups have concluded that optimum interaction is not dependent on geometry, ¹⁸⁸, ¹⁹² other groups that geometry is an important optimizing factor, $180-186, 189, 191$ and still others that the geometrical relationship between the interacting groups becomes more important as the interacting substituent on the three-membered ring becomes more electron demanding.¹⁹³,200b

Interactions between cyclopropyl groups and more distant unsaturated centers have been less widely studied but have proven to be very sensitive to geometrical changes. The uv spectrum of the exo ketone **243** is almost identical with that of 7-norbornanone.^{201a} The spectrum of the endo ketone 146 is different, however;^{201a} a hypsochromic shift of ca. 15 nm for the $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ transition and a bathochromic shift for the $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ (or n \rightarrow σ^{*202}) transition is noted for this molecule relative to 7norbornanone. In both respects **146** displays the same behavior as 7-norbornenone for which the spectral parameters are fully accounted by theoretical calculations involving a σ -type interaction between the π bonds of the carbonyl and olefinic groups, $37,203,204$ despite the fact that most β, γ -unsaturated ketones display shifts in the opposite direction for the n $\rightarrow \pi^*$ transition.²⁰⁵ A similar interaction has been invoked to explain the uv spectrum of **146** and has found recent theoretical support.²⁰⁴ The bent cyclopropane ring bonds of **243** are directed away from the carbonyl group precluding any direct interaction.

A similar pattern has been observed^{201b} for the three ketones **244-246.** The spectrum of **244** is very similar to those of **243** and 7-norbornanone, but those of **245** and **246** more closely resemble the spectra of **146** and 7-norbornenone indicating the interaction between the carbonyl group and the double bond and cyclopropane ring, respectively. Uv spectroscopy has also led to the conclusion that a cyclopropyl-carbonyl interaction occurs in the two isomeric cis- and frans-bicyclo[6.1.0]nonan-3 ones²⁰⁶ but not in the bicyclo[6.1.0]nonan-4-ones²⁰⁶ nor in bicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-3-one 33 in which the preferred shallow-boat conformation of the bicyclo[3.1.0]hexane ring 32,39,57,171-174 presumably precludes any interaction.

Optically active samples of **247** and **248** give CD spectra which are similar to those of the corresponding olefins, **249** and **250,** and much more intense than those of 2-norbornanone and **251.**²⁰⁷ This behavior is characteristic of β , γ -unsaturated ketones with interacting chromophores²⁰⁸ and demonstrates the stereospecificity of the interaction (compare **248** and **251).** Unfortunately the spectrum of 252 has not yet been reported, ²⁰⁷ but that of **2532 0 ⁷** demonstrates no interaction.

The interaction of cyclopropyl groups with distant double bonds has also been demonstrated recently²⁰⁹ in the photoelectron spectra of **254, 255,** and related molecules. As expected only **254** shows an interaction between the two groups. This interaction is predominantly of the through-space type rather than through the σ bonds. Despite the conclusions of the photoelectron spectroscopic investigations, the uv spectra of **254, 255,** and norbornene give no indication of any special character for 254.²¹⁰ On the other hand, 254, 255, and related compounds readily isomerize (e.g., eq 52) upon photoly-

sis,^{121,210,211} demonstrating that this process requires no special orientation of the cyclopropyl group.

Hydrocarbons **254** and **255** are also of some interest because of their potential for forming bidentate complexes with metal atoms by involvement of both the double bond and the cyclopropyl group.²¹² Reaction of 254 with either $[(C_2H_4)_2PtCl_2]_2$ or $K[(C_2H_4)_2PtCl_3]$ gives the stable complex 257,^{213a} reaction with IrCI(CO)(Ph₃P)₂ gives 258,^{213a} reaction with $Rh_2(CO)_4Cl_2$ gives 256,^{213b} and reaction with (Ph3P)3RhCI gives a mixture of **256,** 259, and 260;²¹⁴ presumably cyclopropane-metal complexes or insertion compounds are intermediates in the formation of 256, 258, 259, and 260.^{213,214}

The endo olefin **255** fails to react with any of the above reagents. In accord with the idea that the metal atom will complex preferentially with the edge of the cyclopropane ring, the exo.exo dicyclopropyl compound **261** also reacts with IrCI(CO)(Ph₃P)₂ (to give 262)^{213a} and with either $[(C_2H_4)_2PtCl_2]_2$ or $K[(C_2H_4)_2PtCl_3]$ (to give 263),^{213a} whereas the exo.endo epimer **264** is unreactive. The failure of the cyclopropyl group in **262** to open has been explained on the basis that this olefin cannot complex to the metal as a bidentate ligand for steric reasons.^{213a} It

 a In acetic acid buffered with sodium acetate. b Rate comparison made on tosylates unless otherwise stated. c S. Winstein, M. Shatavsky, C. Norton, and R. B. Woodward, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 77, 4183 (1955). 4 H. L. Goering and M. F. Sloan, *ibid*., 83, 1992 (1961).
«Reference 150. / Reference 218. «Comparison made on brosylates. » Reference 219. «Ref * Reference 221. ' Reference 222.

should be noted, however, that $Mo(CO)_{6}$, $W(CO)_{6}$, $Cu₂Cl₂$, RhCI(CO)(Ph₃P)₂, (PhCN)₂PdCl₂, IrHCl₂(Ph₃P)₃, and $Rh_2(nor-C_7H_8)_2Cl_2$ fail to react with 254, 255, or $261.^{213a}$ AgNO₃ will form complexes with 254 and 255, but it was felt that no interaction between the threemembered ring and the Ag atom occurs; 261 forms no AgNO3 complex.²¹⁶

A final example of long-range interactions with cyclopropane rings comes from the recently reported examples of hydrogen bonding between O-H groups and cyclopropane rings.^{173,216} The three-membered ring is less

able to act as an acceptor site for hydrogen bonds than is a double bond or an aromatic ring and is quite stereospecific in this type of interaction. The preferred interaction is with the bent bond edge of the cyclopropyl group in a symmetrical manner, in complete analogy to the stabilization of remote carbonium ion centers by three-membered rings.

IV. Interaction by Cyclobutane Rings

By analogy to its smaller homolog, the cyclobutane ring is also predicted²¹⁷ to have bent C-C bonds with an abnormally high p character and is therefore expected to act as a neighboring group in a similar fashion. A relatively small number of studies have been reported.

Acetolysis of 265-OTs proceeds with a large anchimeric acceleration²¹⁸ (Table XVII) which is made even more efficient by unsaturation in the four-membered ring (266- $OTs²¹⁸$ and 267-OBs²¹⁹). In contrast, the syn epimers 268 -OTs²¹⁶ and 269 -OBs²¹⁹ ionize without significant acceleration.

The product mixtures from 265-OTs and 267-OBs are much more complex than that from derivatives of 141, indicating a flatter energy surface for the derived cations. The observed rate enhancements suggest formation of

the nonclassical ions 270, 271, and 272 by cyclobutane edge participation, but there is no other evidence for these ions. The stereochemistry of 273-OH derived from 265-OTs (eq 53) is crucial to the argument but has not yet been determined. If 270 and 272 are formed, it is clear that they readily rearrange, presumably to 274, 275, and 276. Ion 272 is particularly interesting since, if it is present, its enhanced rate of formation indicates stabilization of a trishomocyclopropenyl cation by phenyl substitution sought, but not observed, by previous workers.⁴⁵ The direct formation of classical cations 276, 277, and 278 is an alternative interpretation of the observed anchimeric acceleration particularly attractive for 266-OTs and 267-OTs. The elucidation of the intermediates in these reactions remains to be finalized but is made more

some of the products.

The pertinent homocubyl and bishomocubyl derivatives shown in Table XVII also ionize with large rate enhancements but apparently do so without long-range cyclobutane edge participation.²²⁰⁻²²² Labeling studies and product analysis demonstrate that the ionizations occur with 1,2-migration of a bond of an adjacent, rather than a remote, cyclobutane ring to give in some cases ions with multiple degeneracy as illustrated in eq 56 for homocubyl tosylate. These studies have recently been reviewed.¹²²

279-OTs

Remote cyclobutane ring participation in the ionization of these homocubane derivatives is not observed because participation by a bond of the adjacent cyclobutane ring is a more efficient process; participation in cyclobutylcarbinyl derivatives has been noted.²²³ The efficiency of the remote cyclobutane interaction present in 265 is presumably decreased in 279 because the additional bridging alters the geometry so that the cation center is far removed from the plane of the remote fourmembered ring, and overlap between the bent-bond edge

of this ring and the carbonium ion orbital is reduced. Surprisingly 280-OTs, in which this factor should be at least partially overcome, gives no indication of C-2-C-3 bond participation but rather of participation by the C-1-C-2 bond. 224 In this regard the acetolysis of 281-OTs, which is very similar to 150, should prove informative; 281-OTs has not yet been reported although the corresponding ketone is known.²²⁵

Remote cyclobutane ring participation is apparently absent in 282-OTs-285-OTs which are characterized by low exo/endo rate ratios and relatively small ρ values upon ionization in acetic and formic acids.²²⁶ Weak C-5-C-6 participation is proposed for these ionizations.

V. Geometrical Factors Which Influence Interactions

The concepts of homoconjugation and homoaromaticity developed by Winstein and others^{6a,9b} have now gained wide acceptance. These ideas predict stabilization of ions or molecules by through-space delocalization of electrons, thereby bypassing the formal bonding framework and negating the normal insulating effect of saturated atoms. Of immediate interest to this review are the homocyclopropylcarbinyl, homocyclopropenyl, and, to a lesser extent, homotropylium cations which are formed from many of the systems discussed in this article and which are predicted to be relatively stable if the correct geometry is adopted. The remainder of this section will be devoted to a discussion of the geometrical features which determine the extent of cyclopropyl interaction with remote carbonium ion and other electron-deficient centers.

A. Orientation of the Cyclopropyl Group

The cyclopropane ring stabilizes a carbonium ion presumably by overlap of its bent C-C bonds, which are high in p character, with the vacant p orbital of the cation. Of the infinite number of directions from which an electrophile may approach a cyclopropane ring, edge (286), face

(287), and corner (288) approaches may be readily identi-

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\bigcirc \\
\bigcirc \\
286\n\end{array}
$$

fied. From the extensive studies on the addition of electrophiles, particularly protons, to three-membered rings, it appears that edge approach is the preferred mode.²²⁷ The studies herein reviewed have much in common with the studies on electrophilic attack and generally support their conclusions.

The molecules discussed in this article which are sterically arranged for interaction between only the face of the cyclopropane ring and the developing positive charge, e.g., 155-OBs, 192-OTf, 193-OTf, and 196-OTf, give no evidence to indicate that such an interaction (287) occurs despite the Walsh picture (1b) which predicts appreciable electron density in the center of the ring.

On the other hand, molecules such as 9-OTs, 141- OpNB, 176-OBs, 179-OpNB, 184-OTs, and 226 are geometrically ideal for a symmetric σ overlap between the bent-bond edge of the cyclopropane ring and the developing carbonium ion orbital, e.g., 289. The large rate enhancements observed for the ionization of at least some of these molecules leave no doubt concerning the effectiveness of this stabilizing interaction, but the values of the observed enhancements and those of the respective olefinic and benzo analogs emphasize the sensitivity of this edge interaction to other factors.

It is clear, however, that interaction with the corner of a three-membered ring is also effective. Molecules such as 104-OBs and 125-OTs display this type of interaction giving homocyclopropylcarbinyl cations, e.g., 127 via 290; they do not interact with the edge of the three-membered ring to form ions like 112, presumably because the distortion required to form this trishomocyclopropenyl cation offsets the anticipated aromatic stabilization.

The relatively stable bisected conformation of cyclopropylcarbinyl cations $(291)^{7,228}$ in which the cation orbital interacts simultaneously with both flanking cyclopropane ring bonds has no well-established analog in homocyclopropylcarbinyl cations {e.g., 292) although the pos-

sibility has been explored.^{13,14,17} No rigid system with the correct geometry for formation of only 292 has yet been reported, and in conformationally mobile molecules the necessary conformational constraints require too much energy. Calculations on related systems^{19b} predict that such a π -type interaction will decrease much more rapidly as the centers are moved farther apart than will the σ -type interaction observed for 9 and its bridged derivatives. At distances >ca. 2 Å, the σ -type interaction is predicted to be more important than the π -type.

The possibility that the edge of a cyclopropane ring might interact with a distant p orbital lying parallel to it has been explored.⁶⁶ No such interaction (**293**) was noted; however, this was anticipated because the maximum density of the cyclopropane ring bond lies adjacent to a node in the p orbital, and because a stabilizing interaction at one end of the p orbital should be balanced by a destabilizing interaction at the other end **(294).**

B. Interatomic Distances and Angles

It is obvious that the ability of a neighboring group to interact through space with a carbonium ion will be greatly affected by the potential overlap of the appropriate orbitals. This overlap in turn is determined by the interatomic distances and valency angles within the molecule. In conformationally mobile systems these factors are very difficult to assess, but they are more readily analyzed in rigid molecules.

The most dramatic examples of long-range cyclopropylcarbonium ion interactions all (except **176)** involve the c/s-bicyclo[3.1.0]hex-3-yl skeleton locked in a chair conformation which approaches the most stable geometry calculated^{37,39} for the nonclassical bicyclo[3.1.0]hexyl cation **11.** Unfortunately very few of these systems have been the subject of accurate structural determinations so that the critical distances and angles (AB, θ , and ϕ in **295)** are, in the main, unknown. Quantitative estimation of these geometric parameters from the structures of related molecules or from molecular models is hazardous because of the proclivity of some of these skeleta to be asymmetric, *i.e.*, $\alpha \neq \beta$ in 296. This distortion is particularly well known for substituted norbornanes (ref 141, 142, 148, 229, 230) and has been recently demonstrated for the tricyclo^{[3.1.1.02.4}]heptan-6-yl system $(179)^{135}$ as well.

The overlap between the cyclopropane ring bond and the developing p orbital will be enhanced by factors which reduce the angles θ and ϕ . Other factors which distort the p orbital from the ABC plane, such as the asymmetric bridging in **149** and **184,** will decrease the overlap. The overlap will also depend on the AB distance, the optimum value of which is calculated $(37,39)$ to be ca. 1.8 A. Qualitative interpretation of the relative efficacy of cyclopropyl groups and double bonds in ionization rate acceleration in some molecules **(141**-OpNB and anti-7 accoloration in some molecules (T4T-Optic and any).
norbornenyl *n*-nitrobenzoate) has been made¹¹³ in terms. of the relative magnitudes of the angles corresponding to θ and ϕ . In 176-OBs, however, an increased AB distance resulting from distortions caused by steric hindrance may resuming from distortions caused by sterio minutative may
be an additional complicating factor.¹³⁴ It is interesting be an additional complicating ractor. This interesting
that in the very labile **226** AB is relatively short²³¹ (ca. 2.2 A compared to ca. 2.4 A in **141** as measured from Dreiding models), whereas the participating cyclopropyl Dielunig mouels), whereas the participating cyclopropyr
ring bond is very long (1.64 Å).²³¹ Additional structural and theoretical studies are obviously required before a quantitative discussion is possible. It may, of course, be impossible to discuss cyclopropyl participation in quantitative terms since one can presently only speculate on the required accurate geometries for transition states, and indeed for many intermediates.

A very recent report²³² proposes that the relative symmetries of a carbonium ion p orbital and the orbitals of a distant neighboring group (specifically a double bond) may be affected by the symmetry of the intervening σ bonds. An important aspect of this proposal is that in molecules with an odd number of bonds separating the neighboring group and the carbonium ion center, overlap of the appropriate p orbitals is predicted to be destabilizing on grounds of symmetry. The ineffectiveness of the double bond in cyclohepten-5-yl derivatives as a neighboring group (Table V) is thus anticipated. This prediction is, as yet, difficult to assess in cyclopropyl cases because of the unavailability of rigid model compounds. Our group, and undoubtedly others, are currently studying suitable systems.

C. Conformational Mobility

One of the particularly striking features of the kinetic data reviewed in this article is the complete absence of large rate enhancements in the ionization of conformationally mobile molecules, even in cases in which there is compelling evidence for cyclopropyl participation. Especially poignant is the c/s-bicyclo[3.1.0]hex-3-yl system (9) which undoubtedly ionizes with cyclopropyl participation to **11** but at only a moderately enhanced rate. Introduction of bridging groups of the correct configuration can result in dramatic increases in anchimeric assistance. Such bridging, as in **141, 150, 179,** and **184,** is accompanied by three clearly definable but as yet inseparable features, each of which is expected to enhance the participating effect of the three-membered ring: (a) an increase in the rigidity and ring strain of the molecule, (b) a decrease in the internal angle and flexibility at the cation center, and (c) locking of the bicyclo[3.1.0]hexane ring in a chair conformation. Feature c is important since it is generally agreed (ref 32, 39, 57, 171-174) that the most stable conformation of a bicyclo[3.1.0]hexane ring is a shallow boat. Not only are c/s-bicyclo[3.1.0]hex-3-yl derivatives less strained than their bridged analogs, but in order to exhibit cyclopropyl participation they must adopt an unstable chair conformation which reduces the possible stabilizing effect of the assisted ionization. Substituent effects in bicyclo[3.1.0]hex-3-yl derivatives have been discussed³⁹ in terms of changes in the boat-chair equilibrium constant.

A similar conformational argument has been used⁷⁸ to explain the absence of an interaction in the ionization of 86-ONs. In order to become oriented in a conformation **(297)** suitable for edge interaction by the cyclo-

propyl group, this molecule must overcome three destabilizing factors: (i) formation of a bicyclo[3.1.0]hexane chair, (ii) gauche interactions involving the axial alkyl group, and (iii) additional nonbonded interactions associated with the correct orientation of the side chain. Presumably the stabilizing effect of charge delocalization is insufficient to overcome these destabilizing effects despite the fact that 86-ONs is a primary derivative. In the corresponding olefin, 90-0Ns, factors ii and iii are greatly reduced and moderate double bond participation is observed.^{79,80} In 91-OBs factors i and ii are removed and weak cyclopropyl participation is noted.⁸¹

Conformational arguments can also be used to explain the ionization rates observed for other conformationally mobile molecules discussed elsewhere in this review.

D. Steric Strain

The tremendous rate acceleration observed for the ionization of 141-OpNB, 179-OpNB, and related esters might be partially accounted for by relief of ring strain;²³³ unfortunately a quantitative treatment is not yet possible because of the paucity of strain energy data. From kinetic and product data, Tanida¹¹⁴ has calculated a value of ca. 12 kcal/mol²³⁴ for the free energy difference between 141 and 149 which could be used in support of a scheme by which 141-OpNB ionized with cyclopropyl participation to give the classical ion 143 of the much less strained ring structure. It is quite clear from the stereochemistry of the products derived from 141-OpNB (and 149-OTs) and from the solvolytic behavior of 150-OpNB, however, that this mechanism does not pertain and that relief of strain upon classical ionization to 143 does not provide the large driving force observed in this solvolysis. Even ionization of 141-OpNB to 142 may reduce the strain of the system somewhat, since it is expected^{37,39} that the C-2-C-4 bond will be longer in 142 than in 141, but this factor may be at least partially offset by distortions which reduce the C-2-C-8 distance.²³⁵ However, Masamune, et a/L^{135} have suggested that the C-2-C-8 distance in 141 may be shorter than that measured from molecular models.

It is thus quite evident that factors other than relief of strain are important in rate acceleration. The most vivid example is that of 226 which ionizes at an exceptionally rapid, although as yet unmeasured, rate, perhaps even greater than the ionization rate of 141, despite the fact that its strain energy is slightly less than that of $9^{166,233}$ whose ionization is relatively unaccelerated. These results suggest that strain relief may be a relatively unimportant accelerating factor.

E. Internal Angle at the Carbonium Ion Center

About two decades ago Brown and his coworkers²³⁶ proposed the concept of / strain which they defined as the change in internal strain which results from a change in hybridization of a ring atom involved in a chemical reaction. This concept explains, at least in part, the extreme sluggishness to ionization of molecules with relatively fixed, compressed internal bond angles at the ionization center and conversely the high reactivity of the corresponding ketones in, for example, cyanohydrin formation or borohydride reduction. Internal strain also affects carbonyl stretching frequency.²³⁷ The two phenomena, solvolytic reactivity and carbonyl stretching frequency, have been correlated.²³⁸

Because carbonium ions in compressed, rigid systems are destabilized by strain (the basis of the / strain concept), any factors which will stabilize the ion and the transition state of the ionization reaction, such as participation by a neighboring group, will exhibit their maximum effect in this group of compounds. This expectation is borne out dramatically in the 7-norbornyl and related systems. The bridge angle (C-1-C-7-C-4 in norbornane) in all norbornanes, norbornenes, norbornadienes, benzonorbornenes, and exo-tricyclo $[3.2.1.0^{2.4}]$ octanes that have

been studied^{141,142,148,229} is in the range of 92-98° with no apparent trend within this range despite the expectation of some groups^{237a,239} that introduction of unsaturation into norbornanes should increase the bridge angle. Infrared data²³⁸ suggest that the bridge angle in the corresponding ketones is decreased in the unsaturated molecules. Structural studies on endo-tricyclo $[3.2.1.0^{2,4}]$ octanes have not yet been reported, but there is nothing to suggest that their bridge angles do not also fall within the above range. The accelerating effect of anti double bonds, benzene rings, and endo cyclopropyl groups on the ionization of 7-norbornyl derivatives is thus not a result of decreased *I* strain but due to some other factor, presumably anchimeric assistance by the appropriate bonding electrons of each group. A possible additional accelerating factor in 141-OpNB is the expected increase in the bridge angle as ionization to 142 is accomplished.

It is now well documented that rate enhancements due to neighboring olefinic^{139,147} and benzo^{148,149} groups are a function of bridge angle and much greater when the internal angle at the cation center is more compressed. However, insufficient data are yet available to discern a similar trend regarding cyclopropyl participation; indeed the acceleration noted in 179-OpNB (Table X) is somewhat less than expected based on the bridge angle in bicyclo[2.1.l]hexanes of 82-89°.135,148,240

/ strain is thus an important factor in determining the amount of anchimeric assistance afforded by a neighboring cyclopropyl group. Its absence is partially responsible for the relatively small accelerations noted for conformationally mobile molecules.

VI. Summary

We have attempted to comprehensively review the numerous studies that have been reported in which longrange interactions between a carbonium ion center and a cyclopropyl group seem feasible. We have less thoroughly explored interactions involving other electron-deficient species and also those involving cyclobutane rings. It is clear that interactions by cyclopropyl groups are of two distinct types. In some molecules, e.g., those incorporating a c/s-bicyclo[3.1.0]hex-3-yl skeleton, the interaction is with the edge of the three-membered ring to form, in many cases, a nonclassical trishomocyclopropenyl cation. Participation by the cyclopropyl group in the formation of such intermediates may result in tremendous rate accelerations. In other molecules interaction with the corner of the cyclopropane ring to form homocyclopropylcarbinyl cations is observed. Enormous ionization rate enhancements are not generally observed for these molecules, but the evidence for cyclopropyl participation is compelling. No evidence has been found for an interaction with the face of the cyclopropane ring.

The magnitude, and indeed even the presence, of cyclopropyl participation is very dependent on geometrical factors, but it is obvious that no simple relationship exists. A qualitative discussion of some geometrical features has been presented. A more quantitative treatment will be possible when the results of new structural and theoretical studies become known. Additional studies designed to isolate the various pertinent geometrical parameters will undoubtedly be reported in the future.

VII. Addendum

Since the original version of this manuscript was submitted, a number of pertinent reports have appeared. Friedrich, Saleh, and Winstein²⁴¹ have further studied the solvolysis of derivatives of 10 and have postulated a mechanism which accounts for the numerous products

formed (eq 4). Cooper, et $al.$, 242 and Norin and Smedman²⁴³ have reported the acid-catalyzed hydration of 298 and **299.** Both olefins give similar mixtures of diene and alcohol products; it was proposed that a common cyclopropylcarbinyl cation was involved. No evidence for a trishomocyclopropenyl cation was found. The hydration of these two olefins thus parallels the earlier work 44,54,55 on electrophilic additions to bicyclo[3.1.0]hexenes.

A more detailed report of the solvolysis of 63-OTs has appeared.²⁴⁴ The authors concluded that participation by the double bond is more important than by the cyclopropyl group by a factor of 4 and that each form of participation occurs from a distinct conformational isomer of 63- OTs to give noninterconverting sets of ions. These conformers are in rapid equilibrium.

Wilt and Malloy's earlier work 130 has been extended 245 to include a study of olefin 300 and the two esters 301- OTs and 302-OTs. Addition of bromine to 300 occurred with cyclopropane rupture to give 303. The hydrolysis of 301-OTs gave only one product, 304-OH. Similarly 302- OTs hydrolyzed to give only one compound, 305; how-

ever, this hydrocarbon did not arise from dehydration of 304-OH but rather from an ion precursor. Both 301-OTs and 302-OTs ionize at slower rates than the corresponding exo and endo norbornyl esters (by factors of ca. 5 and 585, respectively). This is typical of tricyclo[3.2.1.0² ' 4]oct-6-yl derivatives (see Table VII). The relatively large exo/endo rate ratio (ca. 4180) and the nature of the reaction products are indicative of a cyclopropane ring interaction. Wilt and Malloy propose mechanisms similar to those found in the ionization of other tri- $\frac{1}{2}$ cyclo^{[3.2.1.02,4}] $\frac{1}{2}$ oct-6-yl derivatives (vide supra) with the dramatically simpler products a result of the stabilization of charge associated with the benzhydryl position.

A study of the effect of electronegative C-5 substituents on the solvolysis of derivatives of 125 and 126 has been reported.²⁴⁶ As anticipated, the substituents (keto, ketal, thioketal) reduce the solvolysis rates both of the endo and, more dramatically, of the exo isomers. The rate reductions correlate well with σ^* values. Substitution also influences the solvolysis product. Acetolysis of both exo- and endo-306-OBs gave only exo-306-OAc but exp-

and endo-307-OBs gave mixtures of exo-307-OAc and endo-307-OAc in the ratio of ca. 88:12. The thioketals exo- and endo-308-OBs gave exo-308-OAc in addition to 309 in differing amounts. It was postulated that 309 was formed after the rate-controlling step by attack by sulfur at C-4. Both the kinetic and product data are in accord with the mechanistic scheme originally proposed¹⁰⁴ for the solvolysis of 125-OBs and 126-OBs.

Gassman and Creary²⁴⁷ have confirmed the earlier report¹³⁵ that derivatives of **179** ionize with great acceleration to give only rearranged products of structure 184 (eq 39) presumably via 182. As might be expected the epimer 310 ionized apparently in a cyclobutyl-cyclopropylcarbinyl rearrangement to give the compounds shown (eq 57).

Hart and Kuzuya²⁴⁸ have reported further interesting results concerning ions related to **182,** 183, 186, and

other or alternative ions

187. Unlike **185-OH** which dissolves in a mixture of fluorosulfonic acid and fluorosulfonyl chloride to give **187,**¹⁴⁴ the permethylated **311**-OH forms **312.** It is thus clear that these and similar ions are very sensitive to substitution effects.

The thermal rearrangement of 187 has been reported²⁴⁹ as well as the crystal structure of a derivative of the ketone corresponding to $181.^{250}$ The midpoint of the C-1-C-2 bond is unusually close to C-4 (2.145 Å) and the C-3-C-6 distance exceptionally long (1.60 Å) . Both dimensions facilitate the previously observed^{135,143,144,247,248} cyclopropane and cyclobutane participation modes.

A reexamination²⁵¹ of the solvolyses of derivatives of 179 and **184** has shown that both systems ionize to the same cation(s) whose ¹H and ¹³C spectra are in accord with either a rapidly bridge-flipping **182,** or, preferably, a more delocalized structure similar to **187.** Deuterium labeling studies support this assignment. The ion rearranges to the 3-nortricyclyl cation above -80° . In accord with earlier studies,^{144,145} Masamune, et al., concluded that little charge resides on the pentagonal apical carbon atom. An additional report of the ionization of 310-OTs to the cis-syn-cis-tricyclo $[4.1.0.0^{2,4}]$ hept-5-yl cation has also appeared.²⁵²

Hydrolysis of iii (ref 132a) has been reported²⁵³ to give rearranged 1,7-disubstituted tetracyclo[4.3.0.0^{3,5}.0^{2,9}]nonanes as the major products. This rearrangement is fully expected (cf. 141-OpNB), but it is somewhat surprising in view of the earlier reports^{111-113,124} of successful ketone synthesis via ketal hydrolysis in similar ring systems. Lamaty, e*t* al.,¹³²a apparently did not analyze their products. Remote cyclopropyl participation appears to occur in the acid cleavage of a bridged derivative of 191-OfBu to a trishomocyclopropenyl cation.²⁵⁴

The in vacuo pyrolyses of the sodium salts of **229** and **2302 5 ⁵** give rather different products from those observed in the methoxide ion decompositions 117 of the two tosylhydrazones. The Japanese workers also conclude, however, that carbene delocalization occurs only in the endo isomer **(233).** In a related system no evidence was found for cyclopropane stabilization in the carbene generated from the tosylhydrazone of 7,7-dimethoxy-exo-tricyclo- [3.2.1.0^{2,4}]octan-6-one.²⁵⁶

Theoretical studies on the geometry²⁵⁷ and stability²⁵⁸ of the 7-norbornyl and related cations have predicted abnormal destabilization of the saturated ion, providing an explanation of the unusually slow ionization rates for 7 norbornyl derivatives. Another important theoretical study claims evidence for nonplanar secondary carbonium ions.²⁵⁹ This work may provide an alternative explanation of the observed predominant solvent attack from the more hindered side in ions derived from 141, **179,** and related systems.

The thermal isomerization of **254** and **2552 6 ⁰** provides chemical evidence for the previously noted²⁰⁹ interaction between the double bond and exo cyclopropane ring of **254.**

The epimeric cyclobutylcarbinyl derivatives, the tricyclo[4.2.1.0^{1,6}]nonane-7-methanol tosylates,²⁶¹ provide additional examples of long-range cyclopropane interactions. Both isomers ionize very specifically, one to give a cyclopropylcarbinyl cation, the other, surprisingly more rapidly (ref 6a, 9b, 32-34, 37, 38), to give a bridged trishomocyclopropenyl cation.

Epoxide participation was found to be absent in the ionization of the isomeric 6-oxabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-3-yl tosylates.²⁶² Further evidence that the most stable conformation of the bicyclo[3.1.0]hexane ring is a shallow boat has been obtained from a lanthanide shift study of some thujanols and sabinols.²⁶³

Theoretical studies concerning the approach of protons to strained hydrocarbons,²⁶⁴ the torsional barriers of vinylcyclopropanes,²⁶⁵ and the relative effectiveness of the cyclopropane ring as a neighboring group and a transmitter of electrical effects²⁶⁶ have been presented. The σ^* value for cyclopropyl has been measured to be $+0.011.^{267}$ The energetics of neighboring group participation have been reviewed.²⁶⁸

Acknowledgment. The generous financial support of the National Research Council of Canada is gratefully acknowledged. The author thanks Dr. P. K. Freeman and Dr. P. G. Gassman for their helpful comments.

VIII. References and Notes

- (1) Calculated from data given in W. A. Bernett, J. Chem. Educ, 44, 17 (1967).
M. Yu. Lukina, Russ. Chem. Rev., 31, 419 (1962).
M. Charton in "The Chemistry of Alkenes," Vo
-
-
- (2) M. Yu, Lukina, Russ. Chem. Rev., 31, 419 (1962).

(3) M. Charton in "The Chemistry of Alkenes," Vol. 2, J. Zabicky,

Ed., Wiley-Interscience, New York, N. Y., 1970, Chapter 10.

(4) R. D. Brown and V. G. Krishna, J. C (1969); R. Bonaccorsi, E. Scrocco, and J. Tomasi, J. Chem. Phys., 52, 5270 (1970).
- (5) (a) R. H. De Wolfe and W. G. Young in "The Chemistry of Alkenes," Vol. 1, S. Patai, Ed., Wiley-Interscience, New York, N. Y. 1964, pp 681-710; (b) N. C. Deno in "Carbonium Ions," Vol. 2, G. A. Olah and P. v. R. Schleye 56-67.
- (6) (a) P. R. Story and B. C. Clark in "Carbonium Ions," Vol. 3, G. A. Olah and P. v. R. Schleyer, Ed., Wiley-lnterscience, New York, N. Y., 1972, Chapter 23; (b) H. G. Richey in ref 3, pp 77-
- 101.

(7) H. G. Richey in ref 6a, Chapter 25; K. B. Wiberg, B. A. Hess,

and A. J. Ashe, *ibid.*, Chapter 26; M. Hanack and H.-J. Schneid-

er, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.,* 6, 666 (1967); M. Hanack and

H.-J. Schneider,
-
- New York, N. Y., 1968, pp 84-147; A. Streitwieser, "Solvolytic
Displacement Reactions." McGraw-Hill, New York, N. Y., 1962.
(9) (a) G. D. Sargent, Quart. Rev., Chem. Soc., 20, 301 (1966); (b)
S. Winstein, *ibid.*, 23, 141 ditions to Unsaturated Systems," C. Eaborn, Ed., Elsevier, Am-sterdam, 1966; D. Bethell and V. Gold, "Carbonium Ions, An Introduction," Academic Press, New York, N. Y., 1967; P. D. Bart-
lett, "Nonclassical Ions," W. A. Benjamin, New York, N. Y.,
1965; "Carbonium Ions," Vol. 1–4, G. A. Olah and P. v. R.
Schleyer, Ed., Wiley-Interscience, New Y
- (10) G. E. Cartier and S. C. Bunce, J. Amer. Chem. Soc, 85, 932 (1963).
(11) R. R. Sauers and R. W. Ubersax, *J. Org. Chem*., 31, 495 (1966).
- (12) M. J. S. Dewar and J. M. Harris, J. Amer. Chem. Soc, 90, 4468
- (1968). (13) Y. E. Rhodes and T. Takino, J. Amer. Chem. Soc, 90, 4469 (1968).
- (14) Y. E. Rhodes and T. Takino, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 92, 5270 (1970).
- (15) M. J. S. Dewar and J. M. Harris, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 92, 6557 (1970).
- (16) M. Hanack and H. M. Ensslin, Tetrahedron Lett.. 4445 (1965); Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem., 713,49 (1968).
- (17) M. A. Becker and Y. E. Rhodes, Abstracts, 164th National Meeting of the American Chemical Society, New York, N. Y., Aug 1972, No. ORGN-124; M. A. Becker, Ph.D. Thesis, New York
1972, No. ORGN-124; M. A. Becker, Ph.D.
-
- (18) It should be noted that a cyclopropyl group is expected to inductively retard cation formation; see ref 14 and 15.

(19) S. Winstein, P. Bruck, P. Radlick, and R. Baker, J. Amer.

Chem. Soc., 86, 1867 (1964); (b) M.
- (20) The product ratios are quite dependent on reaction conditions.
- (21) The insensitivity of solvolysis rate to alkyl substitution is a feature of formolysis and, to a lesser extent, acetolysis which is not necessarily shared by other solvents, for example, trifluoroacetic
acid.²²
- (22) I. L. Reich, A. Diaz, and S. Winstein, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 91, 5635 (1969); A. Diaz, I. L. Reich, and S. Winstein, *ibid*.. **9**1,
5637 (1969).
- (23) S. Winstein and H. Marshall, J. Amer. Chem. Soc, 74, 1120 (1952).
- H. M. R. Hoffmann, J. Chem. Soc, 6762 (1965).
- (25) K. L. Servis and J. D. Roberts, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 86, 3773 (1964).
- P. v. R. Schleyer and G. W. Van Dine, *J. Amer. Chem. S*oc., **88,**
2321 (1966).
- (27) T. Shono, K. Fujita, S. Kumai, T. Watanabe, and I. Nishiguchi, Tetrahedron Lett.. 3249 (1972). M. Hanack and H. M. Ensslin, Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem., **697,**
- 100 (1966). (29)
- W. D. Burrows and R. H.'Eastman, J. Amer. Chem. Soc, **79,** 3756 (1957). (30) S. C. Bunce and J. B. Cloke, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 76, 2244
- (1954) (31) The relative proportions of the products vary as R is changed. Some of the acetates are not formed from some isomers.
- (32) S. Winstein, E. C. Friedrich, R. Baker, and Y. Lin, *Tetrahedron,*
Suppl., <mark>8</mark> (II), 621 (1966).
- (33) S. Winstein, J. Sonnenberg, and L. de Vries, *J. Amer. Chem.*
Soc. 81, 6523 (1959); S. Winstein and J. Sonnenberg,*ibid.*, <mark>8</mark>3, 3235 (1961).
- (34) S. Winstein and J. Sonnenberg, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 83, 3244 (1961).
- W. J. Ie Noble, B. L. Yates, and A. W. Scaplehorn, *J. Amer.*
Chem. Soc. **89**, 3751 (1967).
- (36) For a discussion of special salt effects, see S. Winstein and G. C. Robinson, J. Amer. Chem. Soc, 80, 169 (1958), and other
- papers in this series.
R. J. Piccolini and S. Winstein, *Tetrahedron Suppl.*, 2, 423
(1963); R. Hoffmann, *Tetrahedron Lett..* 3819 (1965); see also,
however, T. F. W. McKillop and B. C. Webster, *Tetrahedron*. **26.** (37) 1879 (1970).
-
- S. Winstein, J. *Amer. Chem. S*oc., **8**1, 6524 (1959).
Y. Lin. Ph.D. Thesis, University of California—Los Angeles,
1967; *Diss. Abstr.*, 28, 3651-B (1968).
T. Norin, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 37 (1964). (39)
- (41) H. Kuczyński, M. Walkowicz, and C. Walkowicz, Rocz. Chem..
- 42, 1277 (1968).
E. H. Massey, H. E. Smith, and A. W. Gordon, *J. Org. Chem.*. 31,684 (1966).
- (43) H. Kuczyński and C. Walkowicz, *R*ocz. *Chem., 4*3, 229 (1969);
H. Kuczyński, C. Walkowicz, and M. Walkowicz, *Bull. Acad. Pol.*
Scí., Ser. Sci. Chim., 1**9,** 537 (1971).
- For a recent review of thujane derivatives including some carbo- (44) nium ion work, see D. Whittaker and D. V. Banthorpe, C*hem.*
Rev., 72,305 (1972).
-
- E. J. Corey and H. Uda, J. *Amer. Chem. S*oc., **85,** 1788 (1963).
R. Breslow, J. Lockhart, and H. W. Chang, J. *Amer. Chem. S*oc..
83, 2375 (1961).
M. J. S. Dewar and A. P. Marchand, *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.*,
- 16, 321 (1965).
- For recent summaries, see C. D. Ritchie and W. F. Sager, *Progr.*
Phys. Org. Chem., 2, 323 (1964); C. Laurence and B. Wojtkow-
iak, A*nn. Chim. (Pari*s), 5, 163 (1970).
- (49) S. Winstein, B. K. Morse, E. Grunwald, K. C. Schreiber, and J.
Corse, J. *Amer. Chem. Soc.,* 74, 1113 (1952).
W. Broser and D. Rahn, *Chem. Ber., 100,* 3472 (1967).
E. J. Corey and R. L. Dawson, J. *Amer. Chem. S*oc., **85,**
- (50)
- (51) (1963).
- See, for example, L. Friedman in ref 5b, Chapter 16; C. J. Collins
and B. M. Benjamin, J. O*rg. Chem..* 37, 4358 (1972).
P. G. Gassman and F*.* V. Zalar, J. *Amer. Chem. S*oc., **88,** 2252
- (1966).
P. K. Freeman, M. F. Grostic, and F. A. Raymond, *J. Org*. (54)
- C*hem..* 3**0,** 771 (1965); P. K. Freeman, F. A. Raymond, and M.
F. Grostic,*ibid..* 32, 24 (1967).
D. V. Banthorpe and H. F. S. Davies, *J. Chem. S*oc. *B.* 1339.
- (1968).
- See, for example, E. C. Friedrich and M. A. Saleh, *Tetrahedron*
L*ett.*, 1373 (1971); J. J. Tufariello and D. W. Rowe, J. *Chem.*
Soc., *Chem. Commun.,* 1066 (1972); E. C. Friedrich and M. A.
Saleh, J. *Amer. Chem. S*oc., cited in these articles.
- D. B. Priddy and W. Reusch, *Tetrahedron Lett.*. 5291 (1969).
T. J. Curphey and R. L. McCartney, *Tetrahedron Lett*.. 5295
- (58) (1969).
- (a) G. A. Olah and W. S. Tolgyesi, Abstracts of Papers, 18th In-ternational Congress of Pure and Applied Chemistry, Montreal, Canada, Aug 1961, p 57; (b) G. A. Olah and W. S. Tolgyesi, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 83, 5031 (1961).
- G. A. Olah and J. Lukas, *J. Amer. Chem. S*oc.. **90,** 933 (1968).
S. Forsen and T. Norin, *Tetrahedr*on Lett.. 4183 (1966).
-
-
- R. R. Sauers, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1015 (1962).
Solvolysis of the parent bicyclo[4.1.0] hept-3-yl tosylates, i-OTs
and ii-OTs, has also been reported (M. Hanack and P.-C.
Krause, *Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem..* 760, 17 (1972) $(62a)$

with the behavior of 9-OTs and rule out an interaction by the three-membered ring.

- (62b) M. Hanack and W. Keberle, Chem. Ber., 96, 2937 (1963).
-
- (63) P. J. Kropp, *J. Amer. Chem. S*oc., **88,** 4926 (1966).
(64) D. H. R. Barton, R. Bernasconi, and J. Klein, *J. Chem. S*oc., 511 (1960).
- (65) A. C. Cope, S. Moon, and C. H. Park, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 84, 4850 (1962).
- (66) P. G. Gassman, J. Seter, and F. J. Williams, J. Amer. Chem. Soc, 93, 1673 (1971).
- (67) A. C. Cope, C. H. Park, and P. Scheiner, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 84,4862 (1962).
- (68) Data in parentheses are those from a second run.
- (69) J. B. Lambert, J. W. Hamersma, A. P. Jovanovich, F. R. Koeng, S. A. Sweet, and P. J. Kucinski, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 92, 6372 (1970).
- (70) Calculated from data given in ref 66, 69, and 71.
(71) H. C. Brown and G. Ham, *J. Amer. Chem. S*oc., 7**8,** 2735. (1956).
- (72) Calculated from data given in ref 19a and 71.
- (73) R. W. Thies, M. Sakai, D. Whalen, and S. Winstein, J. Amer. Chem. Soc, 94, 2270 (1972).
- (74) R. W. Thies, M. Gasic, D. Whalen, J. B. Grutzner, M. Sakai, B. Johnson, and S. Winstein, J. Amer. Chem. Soc, 94, 2262 (1972).
- (75) D. Whalen, M. Gasic, B. Johnson, H. Jones, and S. Winstein, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 89, 6384 (1967). (76) M. A, Eakin, J. Martin, and W. Parker, Chem. Commun.. 955
- (1967).
- $-$ ONs = p -nitrobenzenesulfonate (nosylate).
- (77) -ONs = p-nitrobenzenesulfonate (nosylate).
(78) G. D. Sargent, R. L. Taylor, and W. H. Demisch, *Tetrahedr*o*n* (79) Lett., 2275 (1968). P. D. Bartlett, S. Bank, R. J. Crawford, and G. H. Schmid, J.
- (80) Amer. Chem. Soc. 87, 1288 (1965). P. D. Bartlett and G. D. Sargent, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 87, 1297
- (1965).
- (81) R. Muneyuki, T. Yano, and H. Tanida, J, Amer. Chem. Soc, 91, 2408 (1969).
- (81a) Significant participation by a cyclopropane ring is noted when unsaturation is introduced into the side chain of **9**1 and **92** (G. D.
Sargent and M. A. Herkenham, J. *Amer. Chem.* Soc., **9**4, 2892
(1972)). At 100° i-ODNB hydrolyzes 622 times faster than ii-
ODNB and 24 times faster than ODNB. Also indicative of the cyclopropyl participation is the formation of iv-OH and its dinitrobenzoate as the only products of hydrolysis of i-ODNB. The delocalized cation v was proposed as the intermediate. It is interesting that the greater accelerating
effect of an endo cyclopropyl group in tricyclo[3.2.1.0^{2.4}]oct-8-yl derivatives (vide infra) compared to a double bond is also found in i and iii,

- (82) R. Muneyuki and H. Tanida, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 90, 656 (1968)
-
- (83) R. S. Bly, R. K. Bly, A. O. Bedenbaugh, and O. R. Vail, J. Amer.
Chem. Soc., 89, 880 (1967).
(84) R. K. Bly and R. S. Bly, J. Org. Chem., 31, 1577 (1966); J. A.
Berson, J. J. Gajewski, and D. S. Donald, J. Amer. Chem.
- ibid.. 91, 5580 (1969). (85) J. Fajkos, J. Joska, and F. Sorm, Collect. Czech. Chem. Com-mun.. 33, 3324 (1968).
- (86) R. F. Childs and S. Winstein, J. Amer. Chem. Soc, 89, 6348 (1967); R. F. Childs, M. A. Brown, F. A. L. Anet, and S. Winstein, ibid.. 94,2175 (1972).
- (87) (a) M. Brookhart, G. C. Levy, and S. Winstein, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 89, 1735 (1967); (b) R. Leute and S. Winstein, Tetrahe-dron Lett.. 2475 (1967).
- (88) K. B. Wiberg and G. R. Wenzinger, J. Org. Chem., 30, 2278 (1965).
-
-
- (89) A. K. Colter and R. C. Muss**o**, J. *Org. Chem..* 30, 2462 (1965).
(90) For a review see G. D. Sargent in ref 6a, Chapter 24.
(91) For a review **o**f n**o**rborn-5-en-2-yl and benz**o**norb**o**rnen-2-yl solvolyses see ref 6a, pp 1016-1019 and 1065-1071, respectively.
- (92) J. A. Berson, R. G. Bergman, G. M. Clarke, and D. Wege, J. Amer. Chem. Soc, 90, 3236 (1968). (93) J. A. Berson, R. G. Bergman, G. M. Clarke, and D. Wege, J.
- Amer. Chem. Soc, 91, 5601 (1969). (94) R. R. Sauers and J. A. Beisler, Tetrahedron Lett., 2181 (1964); R. R. Sauers, J. A. Beisler, and H. Feilich, J. Org. Chem.. 32,
- 569 (1967).
- (95) J. A. Berson, D. Wege, G. M. Clarke, and R. G. Bergman, J. Amer. Chem. Soc, 90, 3240 (1968).
-
- (96) G. N. Fickes, J. Org. Chem., 34, 1513 (1969).

(97) J. A. Berson, G. M. Clarke, D. Wege, and R. G. Bergman, J.

Amer. Chem. Soc., 90, 3238 (1968).

(98) J. A. Berson, D. Wege, G. M. Clarke, and R. G. Bergman, J.

Amer
-
- (100) D. W. Rome and B. L. Johnson, Tetrahedron, 27, 2271 (1971).
- (101) B. C. Henshaw, D. W. Rome, and B. L. Johnson, Tetrahedron Lett., 6049 (1968).
- (102) B. C. Henshaw, D. W. Rome, and B. L. Johnson, Tetrahedron, -27, 2255 (1971).
- (103) P. K. Freeman and D. M. Balls, *Tetrahedron Lett*., 437 (1967).
(104) P. K. Freem**a**n, D. M. Balls, and J. N. Blazevich, *J. Amer. Chem.*
- Soc, 92, 2051 (1970).
- (105) P. K. Freeman and J. N. Blazevich, Chem. Commun., 1357 (1969).
- (106) P. E. Schueler and Y. E. Rhodes, Abstracts, 164th National Meeting of the American Chemical Society, New York, N. Y., Aug 1972, No. ORGN-129.
- (107) See, for example, H. L. Goering and G. N. Fickes, J. Amer. Chem. Soc, 90, 2848, 2856, 2862 (1968); L. A. Spurlock and R. J. Schultz, ibid., 92, 6302 (1970); and references cited in these articles.
- (108) W. G. Dauben and C. H. Schallhorn, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 93, 2254 (1971).
(109) A. F. Diaz, D. L. Harris, M. S**a**kai, and S. Winstein, *Tetrahedron*)
- Lett.. 303 (1971).
- (110) A. F. Diaz, M. Sakai, and S. Winstein, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 92, 7477 (1970).
(111) H. Tanida, T. Tsuji, and T. Irie, *J. Amer. Chem. S*oc., **89,** 1953)
- (1967).
- (112) M. A. Battiste, C. L. Deyrup, R. E. Pincock, and J. Haywood-Farmer, J. Amer. Chem. Soc, 89, 1954 (1967). (113) J. S. Haywood-Farmer and R. E. Pincock, J. Amer. Chem. Soc.
- 91,3020(1969).
-
- (114) H. Tanida, Accounts Chem. Res., 1, 239 (1968).
(115) P. K. Freeman, R. S. Raghavan, and G. L. Fenwick, J. Amer.
Chem. Soc., 94, 5101 (1972).
(116) The tricyclo[3.3.0.0^{4.6}]octyl ring system has been estimated¹¹⁴
- be ca. 12 kcal/mol less strained than the tricyclo $[3.2.1.0^{2.4}]$ octyl us system.
(117) P. K
- K. Freeman, R. S. Raghavan, and D. G. Kuper, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 93, 5288 (1971).
- (118) A. P. Jovanovich and J. B. Lambert, J. Chem. Soc B. 1129 (1971).
- (119) P. G. Gassman and A. F. Fentiman, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 92, 2551 (1970).
- (120) M. Brookhart, private communication.
(121) R. M. Coates and J. L. Kirkpatrick,
- R. M. Coates and J. L. Kirkpatrick, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 90, 4162 (1968); 92, 4883 (1970).
- (122) For reviews of degenerate carbonium ions, see (a) R. E. Leone
and P. v. R. Schleyer, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 9, 860
(1970); (b) R. E. Leone, J. C. Barborak, and P. v. R. Schleyer in
"Carbonium Ions," Vol. 4,
- (123) P. G. Gassman, J. Zeller, and J. T. Lumb, Che*m. Commun.*, 69
(1968); P. G. Gassman and A. F. Fentiman, *J. Amer. Chem.* Soc, 91, 1545 (1969); 92, 2549 (1970). (124) J. Haywood-Farmer, R. E. Pincock, and J. I. Wells, Tetrahedron,
-
- 22, 2007 (1966).
(125) M. A. Battiste, P. F. Ranken, and R. Edelman, *J. Amer. Chem.*
Soc., **93,** 6276 (1971).
(126) S. Winstein and E. T. Stafford, *J. Amer. Chem. S*oc., 7**9,** 505
- (1957). (127) H. Tanida, Y. Hata, S. Ikegami, and H. Ishitobi, J. Amer. Chem.
- Soc., **89**, 2928 (1967).
(128) H. Tanida, T. Tsuji, and H. Ishitobi, *J. Amer. Chem. S*oc., **86,**
- 4904 (1964).
- (129) R. Hoffmann, J. Chem. Phys., 40, 2480 (1964); J. Amer. Chem. Soc, 86, 1259 (1964); H. Konishi, H. Kato, and T. Yonezawa, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jap.. 43, 1676 (1970); and references cited in these articles. (130) J. W. Wilt and T. P. Malloy, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 92, 4747
- (1970).
- (131) R. K. Lustgarten, M. Brookhart, and S. Winstein, J. Amer. Chem.
- Soc, 94, 2347 (1972), and references cited therein. (132) M. S. Baird and C. B. Reese, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.. 523 (1972).
- (132a) An additional example of long-range cyclopropane ring participation in endo-tricyclo[3.2.1.0^{2.4}] octanes has recently been reported; G. Lamaty, A. Malaval, J.-P. Rogue, and P. Geneste, Bull, Soc. Chim. Fr., 4563 and 7,7-dimethoxynorbornene (k_{rel} = 2.3). Ketals ii, iii, and iv

also hydrolyze at enhanced rates (k_{rel} = 18, 143, and 320, re-
spectively). It was proposed that each of the ketals studied in this work first protonates and then undergoes a rate-determining dissociation to a carbonium ion which eventually hydrolyzes to the ketone. In accord with the solvolysis studies discussed above, the endo cyclopropyl group of i is more effective at en-hancing the ionization rate than is a double bond. The significance of this observation is obscured by the possibility that 7,7- dimethoxynorbornene protonates preferentially on the syn meth-oxyl group to form the hydrogen-bonded structure v. The double bond cannot participate effectively in the dissociation of this in-
termediate. Preferential protonation of i on the syn side is less
likely because the cyclopropyl group is a less effective electron
donor in hydrogen bond Figure in the minimizer of such hydrogen bonding. In agreement with their
endo cyclopropyl group in i, iii, and iv is weak. The formation of
unrearranged 146 from i. is indicative of oxonium rather than trishomocyclopropenyl character for the intermediate. Additional rishomocycloproperlyi character for the intermediate. Additional
studies on 7,7-dimethoxynorbornadiene and on vi should be in-

In a related study, G. Lamaty, A. Malaval, J.-P. Rogue, and P.
Geneste (*Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.*, 4567 (1972)) measured the rates
of addition of nucleophiles BH₄⁻ and CN⁻ to 7-norbornanone,
7-norbornenone, and 146. In cyclopropyl group generally retards the reaction indicating a sta-
bilization of the initial state (sp²) relative to the transition state (s_p^3) . It is difficult to make a quantitive comparison because of complicating steric factors, but the effect of the cyclopropyl group is observed to be larger than that of the double bond. Ad-
dition of $SO²$ to the ketones is unlike that of the other nucleo p and the section of p is the section of the discrete to ac-
 p^2 -like transition state to account for this difference.

- (133)
- (134)
- (135) C. E. Brion, J. Haywood-Farmer, R. E. Pincock, and W. B. Stewart, Org. Mass Spectrom., 4, 587 (1970).
M. A. Battiste, J. Haywood-Farmer, H. Malkus, P. Seidl, and S.
Winstein, J. Amer. Chem. Soc.. 92, 2144 (1970).
S. Masamu
- (136) The 7-norbornyl system is probably a better model for measuring anchimeric assistance than is the bicyclo[2.1.l]hex-5-yl system because ionization of the latter system is probably also as-sisted.¹³⁷ - 138
- (137) Ct. K. B. Wiberg and R. Fenoglio, Tetrahedron Lett.. 1273 (1963); K. B. Wiberg, R. A. Fenoglio, V. Z. Williams, and R. W. Ubersax, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 92, 568 (1970).
- (138) It should be noted that the ionization of 7-norbornyl derivatives
may also be assisted; cf. P. G. Gassman and J. M. Hornback, J.
Amer. Chem. Soc., 89, 2487 (1967); F. B. Miles, *ibid.*, 89, 2488
(1967); 90, 1265 (1968); P
- (139)
- (140) (141) A. C. Macdonald and J. Trotter, Acta Crystallogr.. 18, 243 (1965)
A C
- (142) A. Macdonald and J. Trotter, Acta Crystallogr.. 19, 456 (1965).
- (143) R. M. Coates and K. Yano, Tetrahedron Lett.. 2289 (1972).
- (144) H. Hart and M. Kuzuya, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 94, 8958 (1972).

Interactions of Cyclopropyl Groups with Carbonium Ion Centers Chemical Reviews, 1974, Vol. 74, No. 3 349

- (145) W.-D. Stohrer and R. Hoffmann, J. Amer. Chem. Soc, 94, 1661 (1972).
- (146) R. K. Lustgarten, J. Amer. Chem. Soc, 94, 7602 (1972).
- (147) B. A. Hess, J. Amer. Chem. Soc, 91 , 5657 (1969); 93, 1000 (1971).
- (148) Y. Hata and H. Tanida, J. *Amer. Chem. S*oc., **9**1, 1170 (1969).
(149) H. Tanida and T. Irie, *J. Org. Chem.,* **36,** 2777 (1971).
- (150) C. S. Foote and R. B. Woodward, Tetrahedron, 20, 687 (1964).
- (151) G. W. Klumpp, G. Ellen, J. Japenga, and G. M. de Hoog, Tetra-
hedron Lett., 1741 (1972).
- (152) J. F. Chiang, C. F. Wilcox, and S. H. Bauer, Tetrahedron, 25, 369 (1969).
- (153) OTf = trifluoromethanesulfonate (triflate).
- (154) For reviews of bridgehead reactivity, see R. C. Fort and P. v. R. Schleyer, Advan. Alicyclic Chem., 1, 283 (1966); R. C. Fort in ref 122b, Chapter 32.
-
- (155) S. A. Sherrod, R. G. Bergman, G. J. Gleicher, and D. G. Morris,

J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 92, 3469 (1970).

(156) R. C. Bingham, W. F. Silwinski, and P. v. R. Schleyer, J. Amer.

Chem. Soc., 92, 3471 (1970).

(157) S. A.
-
- (158) Labeling experiments have shown that exclusive C–O rather than
S–O bond cleavage occurs in the solvolysis of 172-OTf.¹⁵⁷
(159) A. de Meijere, O. Schallner, and C. Weitemeyer, *Angew. Chem.*,
Int. Ed. Engl., 11, 5
-
- (160) C. F. Wilcox and R. G. Jesaitis, Tetrahedron Lett., 2567 (1967). (161) D. Lenoir, P. v. R. Schleyer, and J. Ipaktschi, Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem.. **750,** 28 (1971). (162) C. F. Wilcox and R. G. Jesaitis, Chem. Commun., 1046 (1967).
-
-
- (163) C. H. DePuy, I. A. Ogawa, and J. C. McDaniel, *J. Amer. Chem.*
- Soc., 83, 1668 (1961).
(164) P. Yates and J. D. Fenwick, *J. Amer. Chem. S*oc., **9**3, 4618
- (1971). (165) P. v. R. Schleyer and V. Buss, J. Amer. Chem. Soc, 91 , 5880 (1969).
- (166) W. B. Scott and R. E. Pincock, J. Amer. Chem. Soc, 95, 2040 (1973). The author is indebted to Dr. Pincock for a copy of this
- article prior to its publication.
(167) J. S. Wishnok, P. v. R. Schleyer, E. Funke, G. D. Pandit, R. O.
Williams, and A. Nickon, J. Org. Chem., 38, 539 (1973).
(168) R. Gleiter and R. Hoffmann, *J. Amer. Chem. S*oc., **90**,
- (1968).
- (169) P. K. Freeman and D. G. Kuper, J. Org. Chem., 30, 1047 (1965).
- (170) P. K. Freeman, F. A. Raymond, J. C. Sutton, and W. R. Kindley,
U. Org. Chem., 33, 1448 (1968).
(171) M. S. Bergqvist and T. Norin, Ark. Kemi. 22, 137 (1964); K. Tori,
Chem. Pharm. Bull., 12, 1439 (1964); A. Dieffenb 31, 690 (1966).
- (172) A. F. Cameron, G. Ferguson, and J. M. Robertson, *J. Chem. S*oc.
B. 692 (1969); M. F. Grostic, D. J. Duchamp, and C. G. Chidest-
er, *J. Org. Chem.,* 36, 2929 (1971).
(173) M. Öki, H. Iwamura, T. Murayama, and I. Oka
-
- Jap., 42, 1986 (1969). (174) See, however, V. Hach, R. F. Raimondo, D. M. Cartlidge, and E. C. McDonald, Tetrahedron Lett.. 3175 (1970).
- (175) P. K. Freeman, M. F. Grostic, and F. A. Raymond, *J. Org.*
Che*m*...3**6**,905 (1971).
- (176) E. C. Kooyman and G. C. Vegter, *Tetrahedron*, **4,** 382 (1958); V.
R. Koch and G. J. Gleicher, *J. Amer. Chem. S*oc., **9**3, 1657
(1971); P. D. Bartlett, G. N. Fickes, F. C. Haupt, and R. Helge-
son, Accounts Chem. Re
- (177) See, for example, J. Warkentin and E. Sanford, J. Amer. Chem.
Soc., 90, 1667 (1968); H. O. Ohorodnyk and D. P. Santry, ibid.,
91, 4711 (1969); Chem. Commun... 510 (1969); P. Bakuzis, J. K.
Kochi, and P. J. Krusic, J (1969).
- (178) P. K. Freeman and R. S. Raghavan, J. Org. Chem., 37, 3670 (1972).
- (179) J.-P. Pete, Bull. Soc ChIm. Fr.. 357 (1967).
- (180) W. G. Dauben and G. H. Berezin, J. Amer. Chem. Soc, 89, 3449 (1967).
-
- (181) S. A. Monti, J. Org. C*hem.*, **35,** 380 (1970).
(182) Z. Yoshida and H. Ogoshi, Tetrahedron, **26, 46**91 (1970).
(183) L. S. Bartell, J. P. Guillory, and A. T. Parks, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **69,**
- 3043 (1965).
- (184) L. S. Bartell and J. P. Guillory, *J. Chem. Phys.,* 4**3,** 647 (1965).
(185) J. E. Katon, W. R. Feairheller, and J. T. Miller, *J. Chem. Phys..*
- **49,** 823 (1968).
- (186) W. G. Dauben and R. E. Wolf, J. Org. Chem.. 35, 374 (1970).
- (187) J.-L. Pierre. R. Barlet, and P. Arnaud, Spectrochim. Acta. Part A. 23, 2297 (1967).
- (188) C. H. Heathcock and S. R. Poulter, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 90, 3766 (1968).
- (189) M. J. Jorgenson and T, Leung. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 90, 3769 (1968).
- (190) M. M. Baizer, J. L. Chruma, and P. A. Berger, *J. Org. Chem.*, **35,** 3569 (1970).
- (191) G. L. Closs and H. B. Klinger, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 87, 3265 (1965).
- L. Goodman and R. H. Eastman, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 86, 908 (1964).
- (193) R. C. Hahn, P. H. Howard, S.-M. Kong, G. A. Lorenzo, and N, L. Miller, J. Amer. Chem. Soc . 91 , 3558 (1969). (194) R. S. Becker, L. Edwards, R. Bost, M. Elam, and G. Griffin, J. Amer. Chem. Soc, 94, 6584 (1972).
-
- (195) E. N. Trachtenberg and G. Odian, J. Amer. Chem. Soc, 80, 4018 (1958).
- (196) J. M. Stewart and G. K. Pagenkopf, *J. Org. Chem.,* **34,** 7 (1969).
(197) R. G. Pews and N. D. Ojha, *J. Amer. Chem. S*oc., **9**1, 5769.
- (1969). (198) G. Montaudo and C. G. Overberger, J. Org. Chem., 38, 804 (1973).

(1973).

(199) G. J. Karabatsos and D. J. Fenoglio. Top. Stereochem.. 5. 167
-
- (199) G. J. Karabatsos and D. J. Fenoglio, Top. Stereochem., 5, 167

(200) (a) L. D. Kispert, C. Engelman, C. Dyas, and C. U. Pittman, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 93, 6948 (1971); M. Pelissier, A. Serafini, J.

Devanneaux, J.-F.
- 4759 (1967); J. Haywood-Farmer, Ph.D. Thesis, University of
British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, 1967; *Diss.*
Abstr.. 30, 561-B (1969); (b) J. Haywood-Farmer and M.A. Battiste, unpublished results.
- (202) S. Feinleib and F. A. Bovey, Chem. Commun., 978 (1968).
- (203) E. I. Snyder and B. Franzus, J. Amer. Chem. Soc, 86, 1166 (1964) .
-
- (204) I.J. Miller, A*ust. J. Chem.*, **24,** 2013 (1971).
(205) *Cf.* L. N. Fergus**o**n and J. C. Nnadi, J. *Chem. Edu*c., **42,** 529
(1965); H. Labhart and G. Wagnière, *Helv. Chim. Acta,* 42, 2219 (1959).
- (206) K. B. Wiberg and A. deMeijere, Tetrahedron Lett., 59 (1969).
-
- (207) D. A. Lightner and W. A. Beavers, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 93,
2677 (1971).
(208) Cf. K. Mislow in "Optical Rotatory Dispersion and Circular Di-
chrosism in Organic Chemistry," G. Snatzke, Ed., Heyden and
Son, London, 19
-
- Chim. Acta, 54, 1072 (1971). (210) P. K. Freeman, D. G. Kuper, and V. N. M. Rao, Tetrahedron Lett., 3301 (1965).
- (211) H. Prinzbach and W. Eberbach, Chem. Ber.. **101,** 4083 (1968); H. Prinzbach, W. Eberbach, M. Klaus, and G. v. Veh, *ibid.*, 101,
4066 (1968); H. Prinzbach, M. Klaus, and W. Mayer, *Angew.*
Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 8, 883 (1969).
- (212) Complexes between cyclopropane rings and metal atoms are now
well known. See, for example, N. A. Bailey, R. D. Gillard, M.
Keeton, R. Mason, and D. R. Russell, *Chem. Commun..* 396
(1966); W. J. Irwin and F. J. McQu Churchill and R. Mason, *Advan. Organometal. Chem.*. 5, 93
(1967); and references cited in these articles. Cyclopropane– metal complexes are presumably formed in the catalytic hydrogenolysis of cyclopropanes. See, for example, J. Newham,
Chem. Rev. 63, 123 (1963); E. J. Klabunovskii, *Russ. Chem.* Rev., 35, 546 (1966).
- (213) (a) H. C. Volger, H. Hogeveen, and M. M. P. Gaasbeek, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 91 , 2137 (1969); (b) H. C. Volger, H. Hogeveen, and M. M. P. Gaasbeek, ibid.. 91 , 218 (1969).
- (214) T. J. Katz and S. A. Cerefice, J. *Amer. Chem. Soc.*, **9**1, 2405, 6519 (1969);**9**3, 1049 (1971).
- (215) B. C. Menon and R. E. Pincock, *Can. J. Chem.*, 47, 3327 (1969).
(216) J. Joris, P. v. R. Schleyer, and R. Gleiter, J. *Amer. Chem. Soc..*
190, 327 (1968); Z. Yoshida, N. Ishibe, and H. Kusumoto, *ibid*..
- 91, 2279 (1969).
- (217) For a recent survey, see R. Hoffmann and R. B. Davidson, J.
- A*mer. Chem.* Soc., **93,** 5699 (1971).
(218) M. Sakai, A. Diaz, and S. Winstein, J. *Amer. Chem. S*oc., **92.**
- 4452 (1970).
- (219) M. A. Battiste and J. W. Nebzydoski, *J. Amer. Chem. S*oc., **92,** 4450 (1970).
- (220) P. v. R. Schleyer, J . J, Harper, G. L. Dunn, V. J , DiPasquo, and J . R. E. Hoover, J. Amer. Chem. Soc, 89, 689 (1967). (221) W. G. Dauben and D. L. Whalen, J. Amer. Chem. Soc, 93, 7244
- (1971)
- (222) W. L. Dilling, C. E. Reineke, and R. A. Plepys, J. Org. Chem.. 34, 2605 (1969); W. L. Dilling, R. A. Plepys, and R. D. Kroening, J.
*Amer. Chem. S*oc., **94,** 8133 (1972).
- (223) K. B. Wiberg and B. A. Hess, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 88, 4433 (1966); J. J. Gajewski, R. L. Lyle, and R. P. Gajewski, *Tetrahe-*
dron Lett.. 1189(1970); W. G. Dauben and J. L. Chitwood, J.
Amer. Chem. Soc.. **9**2, 1624 (1970).
- (224) W. G. Dauben and D. L. Whalen, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 88, 4739 (1966).
-
- (225) J. S. Ward and R. Pettit, *J. Amer. Chem. S*oc., **93**, 262 (1971).
(226) R. Baker and T. J. Mason, J. *Chem. S*oc., *Perkin Trans. 2*. 18
(1972); J. Che*m. S*oc. B. 1144 (1971); and earlier papers in this series.
- (227) C. J. Collins, *Chem. Rev.*, **69**, 543 (1969); C. C. Lee, *Progr. Phys. Org. Chem. 7*, 129 (1970); G. E. Schenck and F. A. L. Anet, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2779 (1971); L. Radom, J. A. Pople, V. Buss, and P. v. R. Sc
- (228) Y. E. Rhodes and V. G. DiFate, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 94, 7582 (1972).
- (229) See, for example, A. Yokozeki and K. Kuchitsu, Bull. Chem. Soc Jap., 44, 2356 (1971); G. Dallinga and L. H. Toneman, *Recl.*
Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas, 87, 795, 805 (1968); R. Destro, C. M.
Gramaccioli, and M. Simonetta, C*hem. Commun.*, 568 (1968); R. Destro, G. Filippini, C. M. Gramaccioli, and M. Simonetta, Tetra-
hedron Lett., 5955 (1968); 3223 (1969); 1. R. Bellobono, R. Destro, C. M. Gramaccioli, and M. Simonetta, J. Chem. Soc. B. 710
(1969); H. Koyama and K. Okada
- (230) J. R. Edman and H. E. Simmons, J. Org. Chem.. 33, 3808 (1968).
-
-
- (231) C. S. Gibbons and J. Trotter, Can. J. Chem., 51, 87 (1973).
(232) T. D. Goldman, *Tetrahedron*, **28**, 3175 (1972).
(233) See L. N. Ferguson, J. Chem. Educ., 47, 46 (1970); P. v. R.
Schleyer, J. E. Williams, and K. R.
- (234) Using the usual additive technique, 233 a somewhat lower value
- (4-9 kcal/mol) is obtained for this strain energy difference. (235) Hoffmann³⁷ has calculated the most stable conformation of **167** to be one in which the bridge is tilted toward the cyclopropyl group.
(236) For reviews see H. C. Brown, *J. Chem. S*oc., 1248 (1956); Ya, L.
-
- Gol'dfarb and L. I. Belen'kii, *Russ. Chem. Rev.*, **29**, 214 (1960).
(237 (a) R. Zbinden and H. K. Hall, *J. Amer. Chem. S*oc., **82,** 1215)
(1960); (b) D. Cook, Ca*n. J. Chem.,* 3**9,** 31 (1961); J. O. Halford, J. Chem. Phys.. 24,830 (1956).
- (238) P. v. R. Schleyer and R. D. Nicholas, J. *Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 83, 182 (1961); C. S. Foote, *ibid.*, 86, 1853 (1964); P. v. R. Schleyer, *ibid.*, 86, 1856 (1964).
- (239) P. D. Bartlett and W. P. Giddings, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 82, 1240 (1960).
- (240) J. F. Chiang, J. Amer. Chem. Soc, 93, 5044 (1971); Y. Tsukuda, T. Sato, M. Shiro, and H. Koyama, J. Chem. Soc. B. 1166 (1969); G. Dallinga and L. H. Toneman, Reel. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas. 86, 171 (1967).
- (241) E. C. Friedrich, M. A. Saleh, and S. Winstein, J. Org. Chem.. 38, 860 (1973).
- (242) M. A. Cooper, C. M. Holden, P. Loftus, and D. Whittaker, J. Chem. Soc, Perkin Trans. 2. 665 (1973).
- (243) T. Norin and L-A Smedman, Acta Chem. Scand.. 25, 2010 (1971).
- (244) J. B. Lambert, A. P. Jovanovich, J. W. Hamersma, F. R. Koeng, and S. S. Oliver, *J. Amer. Chem. S*oc., **95,** 1570 (1973).
(245) J. W. Wilt and T. P. Malloy, *J. Org. Chem.*, **38,** 277 (1973).
(246) P. K. Freeman and B. K. Stevenson, *J. Amer. Chem. S*oc., **95,**
-
- 2890 (1973).
- (247) P. G. Gassman and X, Creary, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 95, 2729 (1973).
- (248) H. Hart and M. Kuzuya, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 95, 4096 (1973).
- (249) H. Hart and M. Kuzuya, *Tetrahedron Lett*.. 4123 (1973).
(250) C. G. Biefeld, H. A. Eick, and H. Hart, *Tetrahedron Lett..* 4507
- (1973) .
- (251) S. Masamune, M. Sakai, A. V. Kemp-Jones, H. Ona, A. Venot, and T. Nakashima, Angew. Chem.. Int. Ed. Engl.. 12, 769 (1973). (252) P. G. Gassman and X. Creary, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 95, 6852
- (1973). (253) R. Bicker, H. Kessler, and A. Steigel, Tetrahedron Lett.. 2371
- (1973).
- (254) G. Ellen and G. W, Klumpp, Tetrahedron Lett., 4171 (1972).
- (255) S.-I. Murahashi, K. Okumura, T. Kubota, and I. Moritani, Tetrahedron Lett.. 4197 (1973).
- (256) P. G. Gassman and X. Creary, Tetrahedron Lett.. 4407 (1972). (257) M. J. S. Dewar and W. W. Schoeller, Tetrahedron. 27, 4401
- (1971). (258) R. Hoffmann, P. D. Mollere, and E. Heilbronner, J. Amer. Chem. SOC, 95,4860 (1973).
-
- (259) R. D. Bach, J. H. Siefert, M. T. Tribble, R. A. Greengard, and N.
— A. LeBel, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*. **95,** 8182 (1973).
(260) R. B. Kinnel and P. K. Freeman, *Tetrahedron Lett..* 4803 (1973).
- (261) P. G. Gassman and E. A. Armour, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 95, 6129 (1973).
-
- (262) J. M. Hornback, J. Org. Chem.. 3**8,** 4122 (1973).
(263) T. Norin, S. Strömberg, and M. Weber, *Acta Chem. Scand..* 27, 1579 (1973).
- (264) J.-M. Lehn and G. Wipff, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.. 747 (1973).
- (265) G. R. de Maré, S. Lapaille, L.D. Kispert, and C. U. Pittman, *J. Mol. Struct..* 17, 417 (1973).
Mol. Struct.. 17, 417 (1973).
(266) C. F. Wilcox, L. M. Loew, and R. Hoffmann, *J. Amer. Chem. S*oc..
- 95, 8192 (1973).
- (267) Y. E. Rhodes and L. Vargas, J. Or*g. Chem.*. 3**8,** 4077 (1973).
(268) M. I. Page, *Chem. Soc. Rev..* **2,** 295 (1973).
-