Chemical Reviews

Volume 75, Number 6 December 1975

December 1975

Heterodiene Syntheses with α , β -Unsaturated Carbonyl Compounds

G. DESIMONI* and G. TACCONI

Istituto di Chimica Organica dell'Università di Pavia, 27100 Pavia, Italy

Received May 29, 1974

Contents

I.	Introduction	651
۱.	Scope and Limitations	651
Ⅲ.	Perturbation Theories of Cycloadditions	651
IV.	Dimerization of α,β -Unsaturated	
	Carbonyl Derivatives	652
	A. Historical Survey	652
	B. Theory of Dimerization	653
	C. Competition between Potential Dienes	653
	D. Alternative Reactions	654
٧.	Unsaturated Reagents with No	
	Adjacent Heteroatom	654
	A. Olefins	654
	B. Acetylenes	656
VI.	Unsaturated Ethers	656
	A. Vlnyl Ethers	656
	1. Acyclic Carbonyls	657
	2. Allcyclic Carbonyls	657
	Heterocyclic Carbonyls	657
	4. Kinetic Data	660
	B. Acetylenic Ethers	661
	C. Alternative Reactions	661
VII.	Unsaturated Amines	664
	A. Enamines	664
	1. Historical Survey	664
	2. Acyclic Carbonyls	665
	3. Alicyclic Carbonyls	667
	4. Heterocyclic Carbonyls	667
	B. Ynamines	671
	C. Alternative Reactions	674
VIII.	Reaction of C—C—C—O System with	-
	Cumulated Double Bonds	674
IX.	Reaction of C=C-C=O System with	
Hete	Double Borids Containing	675
X	$\alpha \beta_{\rm el}$ insaturated Carbonyls Containing	0,0
	Cumulated Double Bonds	675
XI.	α,β -Unsaturated Carbonyls Containing	
	Heteroatoms	679
	A. Oxygen Derivatives (<i>o-</i> Quinones)	679
	B. Phosphorus Derivatives	681
	C. Sulfur Derivatives	681
	D. Nitrogen Derivatives	682
	E. Derivatives with Cumulated Bonds	683
XII.	Natural Products via Heterodlene Syntheses	685
XIII.	Acid-Catalyzed Reactions	686
XIV.	Retro-Heterodiene Reactions	688
XV.	Addendum	689
XVI.	References	690

I. Introduction

The cycloaddition reactions of α , β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds show interesting features both from a synthetic and a theoretical point of view.

This type of 1,4-cycloaddition, because of its similarity to the Diels-Alder reaction, has been covered by several reviews¹⁻⁵ not specific to this topic. These reviews considered it only as a part of the more general diene synthesis, the only exception being the article by Colonge and Descotes in Hamer's book³ which covered the literature up to 1963. However, a decade of organic chemistry is time enough for a revolution, and a sign of this lies in the closing remark of the section concerning the mechanism of dimerization of α , β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds: "The selectivity of the cycloaddition ... is an experimental fact which remains to be explained satisfactorily."

The similarity of these 1,4-cycloadditions to the Diels-Alder reaction was emphasized by calling the reagent "heterodiene"¹ and the reaction "Diels-Alder syntheses with heteroatomic compounds".² We prefer to call them, for the sake of brevity, heterodiene syntheses which takes into account both fundamental characteristics.

The extensive development in the field in the sixties, however, showed that slight differences in the reagents or the experimental conditions give completely different adducts. Therefore, for historical reasons, a variety of reaction modes which are alternative to, or in competition with, the original 1,4-cycloaddition can be called *'heterodiene syntheses'*.

II. Scope and Limitations

This review, which covers the literature up to the middle of 1974, deals with the various reaction modes of an α , β -unsaturated carbonyl compound, in which one or more atoms can also be something other than *ca*rbon, with *a* double or a triple bond (Scheme I).

Only thermal cycloadditions will be covered. Photochemical reactions and polar cycloadditions are specifically excluded. The latter, which involve positively charged acyl ions, were covered by a recent excellent review.⁶

With a few exceptions, papers previously reviewed will not be reconsidered.

III. Perturbation Theories of Cycloadditions

Although application of perturbation theory to cycloadditions has been reviewed recently,⁷ we wish to recall the fun-

SCHEME I



damental theories since this approach allows a better understanding of the previously reported reactions.

Salem has derived a second-order perturbation expression^{8,9} which shows the energy gain in the bond formation between centers involved in cycloaddition (eq 1).

$$\Delta E = -\sum_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}} (q_{\mathbf{a}} + q_{\mathbf{b}}) \gamma_{\mathbf{a}\mathbf{b}} S_{\mathbf{a}\mathbf{b}} - \sum_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}} Q_{\mathbf{a}} Q_{\mathbf{b}} \frac{\Omega}{C} + 2\sum_{\mathbf{R}}^{\text{occ}} \sum_{\mathbf{S}}^{\text{unocc}} \sum_{\mathbf{s}}^{\text{unocc}} - \sum_{\mathbf{R}}^{\text{unocc}} \sum_{\mathbf{S}}^{\text{occ}} \frac{\left(\sum_{\mathbf{R}}^{\mathbf{C}} \mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{C}\mathbf{b}} \gamma_{\mathbf{a}\mathbf{b}}\right)^{2}}{E_{\mathbf{R}} - E_{\mathbf{S}}} \quad (1)$$

The first and the third terms are the closed-shell repulsion term (of relative importance) and the overlap term, respectively, and this sum, usually called $E_{\rm overlap}$, represents the interactions arising from frontier orbitals.¹⁰⁻¹² The second term, the coulombic interaction usually called $E_{\rm polar}$, includes the polar interactions arising from charge control.¹³

The electrostatic term is sometimes neglected, and the energy gain is considered to be a function of frontier orbitals only. The relative equation for a cycloaddition of the type



therefore becomes

$$\Delta E = \frac{\left[(c_{\rm Ho}{}^{\rm e}c_{\rm Lu}{}^{\rm a} + c_{\rm Ho}{}^{\rm f}c_{\rm Lu}{}^{\rm d})\gamma \right]^{2}}{E_{\rm Ho}{}^{\rm ef} - E_{\rm Lu}{}^{\rm ad}} + \frac{\left[(c_{\rm Ho}{}^{\rm a}c_{\rm Lu}{}^{\rm e} + c_{\rm Ho}{}^{\rm d}c_{\rm Lu}{}^{\rm f})\gamma \right]^{2}}{E_{\rm Ho}{}^{\rm ad} - E_{\rm Lu}{}^{\rm ef}} (2)$$

This equation can still predict the regiospecificity of a large number of Diels–Alder reactions¹⁴ and furthermore can distinguish between three types of cycloadditions from the relative positions of the frontier orbitals of the reagents¹⁵⁻¹⁷ (Figure 1).

For case b both terms of eq 2 need to be considered. For case a the dominant interaction occurs between the HOMO (highest occupied molecular orbital) of the diene and the LUMO (lowest unoccupied) of the dienophile, and therefore the first term of eq 2 can be neglected. Case c requires the opposite treatment since the interaction between the LUMO of the diene and the HOMO of the dienophile determine the reactivity.

How do we determine the energies associated with frontier orbitals and therefore determine the type of cycloaddition? The energy of the HOMO's corresponds, in accordance with



Figure 1. Relative positions of frontier orbitals in *direct* (a), *neutral* (b), and *inverse* (c) Diels-Alder reactions.

Koopmans theorem,¹⁸ to the negative of the ionization potentials (IP) which are usually determined by photoelectron spectroscopy. The LUMO's correspond to the negative of the electron affinity¹⁹ and are usually determined by quantummechanical calculations, from polarographic half-wave reduction potentials, and from electronic transitions associated with ionization potentials.¹⁶

If the frontier orbital energies of acrolein,²⁰ selected as a model for α , β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds, are compared with the frontier orbital energies of olefins in accordance with Houk's semiquantitative approach²¹ (Figure 2), we can easily deduce that the dominant interaction, particularly with nucleophilic olefins (vinyl ethers and enamines), always occurs between the HOMO of the olefin, which acts as a donor, and the LUMO of the α , β -unsaturated carbonyl derivative, which acts as an acceptor. Therefore these cycloadditions can be represented by case c illustrated in Figure 1, and eq 2 can be simplified by neglecting the second term.

Only one case does not fall in the above reported representation: the *dimerization reactions*.

IV. Dimerization of α, β -Unsaturated Carbonyl Derivatives

A. Historical Survey

Since Sherlin²² first reported the thermal dimerization of acrolein to give a dihydropyran derivative in 1938, several compounds have been found to dimerize³ if heated with or without solvent, usually under severe conditions.

 α , β -Unsaturated aldehydes,³ e.g., acrolein, α -alkylacroleins, and α -chloroacrolein, gave 2-formyl-3,4-dihydropyran derivatives **3**.

Alkyl and aryl vinyl ketones³ gave 2-acyl-3,4-dihydropyrans 4, but α -alkylidene- and arylidenecyclanones gave spirochroman derivatives 5, and similar behavior was shown by α -quinonemethides,³ leading to 6.



This work was developed mainly for synthetic purposes. Various mechanisms have been proposed to explain the high regioselectivity of this reaction, which can occur via exo or endo transition states and give 7 or 8 (Scheme II); in fact regioisomer 7 predominates as it is formed in 99% yield in the thermal dimerization of acrolein.

The first proposed mechanism involved diradical intermediates,^{23,24} but their existence was strongly contested²⁵ and a



Figure 2. Estimated π frontier orbital energies for acrolein and olefins (R = alkyls; C = conjugated; Z = CO, CN; X = NR₂, OR). Solid arrow indicates the dominant interaction.



Figure 3. Transition state of the acrolein dimerization.



concerted mechanism involving an asymmetrical transition state was proposed by Dewar²⁶ and Woodward.²⁷

B. Theory of Dimerization

Salem's first approach to the acrolein dimerization using perturbation theories employed calculations based on the Hückel MO method.⁸ The results were unsatisfactory since both regioisomers are predicted on this basis, but the difficulty seems to be due to the choice of the HMO method. A complete revision using SCF calculations⁹ gave the correct prediction if the whole of eq 1 was used. Table I reports the relative importance of polar and overlap energy terms in the exo and endo transition states leading to **7** and **8**.

The above results clearly show the experimental regioselectivity and furthermore support an endo approach of the reagents with the C–C bond closing faster than the C–O bond. Figure 3 represents the preferred transition states with the most favorable secondary orbital interactions.^{28,29}

A simple consideration arises: if the whole of eq 1 has to be considered, both terms of eq 2 must be taken into account. This result is trivial if one considers that dimerization reactions are typical (b) cases (Figure 1) where the HOMO's and the LUMO's of the reagents have the same energy value.

TABLE I. Interaction Energies (kcal/mol) for the Dimerization of Acrolein

Energy	[7 endo]	[8 endo]	[7 exo]	[8 exo]
E overlap	1.33	+0.19	$-0.66 \\ -1.03 \\ -1.69$	0.36
E polar	2.34	0.58		0.32
E total	3.67	0.39		0.68

However, if a Diels-Alder reaction is easier if the energy difference between the frontier orbitals of the two reactants is lower,³⁰ case b is particularly unfavorable because the presence of a preferred HOMO/LUMO interaction in cases a and c causes a lowering of the energy difference.

This situation must render the dimerization a difficult reaction involving a high energy of activation, and therefore it can only occur if other alternatives are impossible. This conclusion is supported by experimental observations: (a) dimerizations occur under severe conditions;³ (b) if a mixture of potential dienes is heated, mixed adducts are obtained and dimers are usually absent,³¹⁻³³ or are formed in low yield;³⁴ (c) a methyl group is sufficient to perturb a pure (b) system since the reaction between acrolein and α -methylacrolein gives only 9.³²



The only exception is the reaction of acrolein and furan under pressure.³⁵ No mixed adduct is obtained and only the dimer of acrolein is isolated in low yield. This behavior seems unusual if one considers that the IP of furan $(8.99 \text{ eV})^{36}$ is close to that of methyl vinyl ether $(8.93 \text{ eV})^{.37}$ However, as pointed out by Houk,³⁸ the stability of both reactants and products must be taken into account and the resonance energy of furan, which would be lost in the reaction, forbids the cycloaddition. If this is absent (e.g., 2,3-dihydrofuran), the cycloaddition occurs easily³⁹ and **10** is obtained.



C. Competition between Potential Dienes

An interesting question arises when a diene and a heterodiene are allowed to react. We particularly wish to highlight the reaction between a butadiene derivative and an α , β -un-



Figure 4. Frontier orbital interactions between acrolein and butadiene. Solid arrow indicates the dominant interaction.

saturated carbonyl compound. Clearly two reaction modes are possible and a cyclohexene **11** or a dihydropyran derivative **12** can be obtained.



Inspection of the frontier orbital interaction between the model compounds reveals that the dominant interaction occurs between the HOMO of butadiene, which acts as a donor, and the LUMO of acrolein, which behaves as an acceptor (Figure 4).

Application of the "hard and soft" concept allows us to predict that the first bond would link the softest centers, 14,40,41 i.e., atom 1'(4') with 1. At this stage we can choose between ring closures $4'(1') \rightarrow 2$ or $4 \rightarrow 2'(3')$. Obviously the greater coefficients favor the first alternative, and therefore the cyclohexene **11** is predicted.

Numerous examples support the above reported prediction: acrolein and butadiene gave 90% of **11** and a maximum 0.5% yield of **12.**³³ Simple derivatives⁴²⁻⁴⁹ or more sophisticated molecules⁵⁰⁻⁵² gave only cyclohexene derivatives, and this behavior is irrespective of the cisoid conformation of both diene⁵³ and carbonyl derivative.⁵⁴



Only one exception is known: when *o*-quinone methides 13 react with butadiene, 2-vinylchromans 14 are the only reaction products.^{55,56} However, we must remember to take into account the stability of products.³⁸ For an aromatic system the simple frontier orbital approach is inadequate since the observed pathway causes a gain in resonance energy which lowers the subjacent occupied levels of the reagent, and these variations cannot be ignored.

D. Alternative Reactions

Because of the high energy of activation involved in the dimerization reaction, it is probable that alternative reactions become the main reaction pathway.

In addition to the polymerizations^{3,57,58} already mentioned, we recall that open-chain derivatives **15** and **16** are obtained from furan and acrolein⁵⁹ if the reaction is performed at room pressure (see ref 35 for the reaction under pressure) and furan aromaticity is retained.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & + & \hline 0 & - & \hline 0 & CH_2CH_2CH0 & + & 0HC-CH_2CH_2CH_2CH0 \\ 15 & & 16 \end{bmatrix}$$

It is not surprising that methyl crotonate (**17**) dimerizes by an alternative route⁶⁰ and, in the presence of Cu_2O and cyclohexyl isocyanide, a mixture of 20% (*Z*)- and 80% (*E*)-dimethyl 2-methylpent-3-ene-1,3-dicarboxylate (**18** and **19**) is obtained.



Much more unusual is the analogous behavior of *trans*-pent-3-en-2-one, whose only dimer is (E)-4-methyl-5-acetyl-hept-5-en-2-one (**20**).



Nevertheless, this behavior is not unexpected since crotonaldehyde is not converted into **21** by heating under pressure but gives instead 6-methylcyclohexadienecarboxaldehyde and *o*-tolualdehyde.⁶¹



V. Unsaturated Reagents with No Adjacent Heteroatom

A simple qualitative frontier orbital treatment of the reaction between acrolein and C=C-C and C=C-C compounds is represented in Figure 5 using typical values of the MO's of these particular classes of compounds.

In general these reactions are expected to be difficult; exceptions perhaps are styrene and alkylethenes with large inductive effects. Nevertheless, with the exception of olefins with electron-attracting groups (ZC==C and ZC==CZ), the reactivity is HOMO_{olefin} controlled.

In general we can predict lower reactivity for acetylenes than for the corresponding alkenes, since lowering of the HOMO can be tested by decreasing the IP by about 0.4–0.9 eV. 62

A. Olefins

Few examples are known of reactions with this class of reagents, and we do not know if this is due to the above predicted difficulties or not.

Facile reaction occurs only if the α , β -unsaturated carbonyl derivative is an α -quinone methide **13**, generated by the thermal decomposition of a Mannich base (route A) or from the analogous alcohol (route B) (Scheme III). Table II summarizes the adducts with olefins.^{55,56}

The reaction between vinylethynyldimethylcarbinol (22) and acrolein⁶³ is much more complicated. Careful analysis of the products reveals that there is competition between 1,4-mixed cycloadducts 23 and 24, and dimers of both acrolein (25) and carbinol (26) (Scheme IV).

Cinnamaldehyde is also reported to react with various C=-C compounds in a 1,4-cycloaddition reaction.^{64,65} Second-order rate constants at 170° are reported in Table III.

The reported results are very similar to the predictions.



Figure 5. Estimated π frontier orbital energies and coefficients for acrolein and C=C_C compounds. ^a Alkenes IP's: L. S. Levitt, B. T. Levitt, and C. Parkanyl, *Tetrahedron*, 28, 3369 (1972). For other IP's and electron affinities, see ref 62 and hereto reported literature.

TABLE II. Preparation of Adducts from <i>o</i> -Quir	nonemethides and Olefins
--	--------------------------

		Olefii	<u>ו</u>	Reactio	on condit	ions	Vield	Mn	
Origin	α-Quinonemethide	R ₁	R ₂	Solvent	<i>T</i> , °C	Time ^b	%	(bp/mmHg), °C	Ref
A	α-Benzoquinonemethide	CH=CH ₂	н	Toluene	185	15 h	8-10	(118-120/18)	55
в	α-Benzoquinonemethide	CH=CH ₂	н	Toluene	170	15 h	30	(118–120/18)	55
А	α-Benzoquinonemethide	CH,	CH3	Toluene	200	7 h	5	(125/16)	55
В	α-Benzoquinonemethide	CH,	CH ₃	Toluene	200	7 h	35	(125/16)	55
Α	α -Naphthoquinone- β -methide	CH=CH,	Н	Toluene	а	а	10	(145/0.2)	55
А	β-Naphthoquinone-α-methide	CH=CH ₂	н	Toluene	180	15 h	65	20	56
В	β-Naphthoquinone-α-methide	CH=CH,	н	Toluene	180	а	50	20	56
А	β -Naphthoquinone- α -methide	C,H, ⁻	н		180	2 h	55	86	56
А	β -Naphthoquinone- α -methide	CH,	CH,	Toluene	а	а	45	80	56
А	β -Naphthoquinone- α -methide	C₅H _s	C₅Hᢆ₅		180	2 h	87	106	56

^{*a*}Not reported in the original paper. bh = hours.

TABLE III. Kinetic Data of the Reaction between Cinnamaldehyde and Olefins

Expt	Olefin	$k_2 \times 10^3$, g/(mol sec)	Ref
1	α-Methylstyrene	0.7	64
2	p-Methylstyrene	2.6	64
3	Styrene	2.0	64
4	Allyl bromide	1.3 ± 0.2	65
5	1-Dodecene	0.2 ± 0.04	65
6	IsobutyI fumarate	0.8	64
7	Methyl maleate	0	64
8	n-Propyl maleate	0	64
9	Isopropyl maleate	2.5 ± 0.2	64,65
10	n-Butyl maleate	1.5	64
11	Isobutyl maleate	0.6	64
12	sec-Butyl maleate	3.0	64

SCHEME III



SCHEME IV



Double bonds with electron-donating groups (expt 1-4) show higher reactivity, whereas simple olefins and esters (expt 5-8) exhibit a low reactivity which, in some cases, is almost zero.

A few other esters (expt 9, 10, 12) have an unexplicably high degree of reactivity. It is hard to believe that styrene, whose IP is about 11 eV,⁶² reacts more slowly than some maleates. If one considers that methyl and *n*-propyl homologs show nonappreciable reactivity, a side-reaction which could increase the overall reaction rate cannot be excluded, particularly if one remembers that adducts were not isolated.

Furthermore we must point out that this reaction model assumes only electronic substituent effects, and steric effects may be partly responsible for the low reactivity of some overcrowded molecules such as α -methylstyrene where the in-



Figure 6. Estimated π frontier orbital energies and coefficients for acrolein and unsaturated ethers.

ductive effect of the methyl group should increase the effect of the phenyl group.

The reactivity of indene should be similar to that of styrene, and it was found to react with acrolein, crotonaldehyde, metacrolein, and α -quinone methide to give **27.**⁶⁶



The yield varied from 13 to 86%. Obviously, maximum yield and milder conditions were found with quinone methide. Regiospecificity is fully in accordance with a simple frontier approach.

B. Acetylenes

The only examples of reactants of this class are benzyne and its tetrahalo derivatives.

Their reactivity⁶⁷⁻⁷⁰ is very unusual even though a certain similarity between the adducts could deceive a superficial investigator since flav-3-ene derivatives **28** are the reaction products.

$$\begin{array}{c} & \downarrow \\ & \downarrow$$

However, a careful investigation by Heaney, using ¹⁴C labeled cinnamaldehyde,⁷⁰ demonstrated that the key step (Scheme V) is a 1,2-cycloaddition leading to the benzoxete derivative **29** which undergoes electrocyclic rīng openīng to **30** with subsequent valence isomerization to a flav-3-ene derivative **31**. If the reaction is performed at 200° **31** rearranges to the thermodynamically stable 4*H*-flavene derivative **32**.

The mechanism of formation of the benzoxete derivative **29** may involve an intermediate or may be concerted. A [$_{\pi}2_s$ + $_{\pi}2_s$] concerted mechanism is forbidden but, by utilizing nonbonding electrons from oxygen, this cycloaddition can be regarded as a [$_{\pi}2_s + _{\pi}2_s + _{n}2_s$] reaction which is allowed. Alternatively the two new orbitals of benzyne could also be involved.

VI. Unsaturated Ethers

Unsaturated ethers are known to be better nucleophiles



and have higher IP's than the parent C—C—C compounds because of the C—C—O system. Therefore, the interaction between the HOMO of the olefin and the LUMO of the unsaturated carbonyl compound is dominant, and a rate increasing effect can be predicted for the alkoxy group.

Figure 6 compares the orbital energies of the vinyl ethers, deduced from IP's,³⁷ with acrolein as a model. A rough estimate of electron affinities is made from substituent effects.

As the alkoxy group increases in size the IP decreases in the series Me > Et > *i*-Bu > *i*-Pr > c-Hex > *t*-Bu;³⁷ this agrees with the well-known correlation between IP and the inductive effect of substituents. α -Alkyl substitution decreases the IP of the vinyl ether³⁷ because of its inductive effect.

The behavior of dihydropyran is unusual, but the high value of its IP seems to be due to the forced s-cis conformation between the double bond and alkoxy residue; this has been demonstrated⁷¹ to be the most favorable conformation for overlap between the π orbitals and oxygen lone pairs. Similarly *cis*- and *trans*-propenyl ethers are expected to behave differently since the conformation of the alkoxy group cannot be the same. Qualitatively we have considered the LUMO and the HOMO of alkoxyacetylenes to be lower by about 0.5 eV than the corresponding alkoxyalkene.⁶²

A. Vinyl Ethers

Because of the large amount of material concerning the reaction of α , β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds and vinyl ethers, we have considered separate sections depending on the nature of the carbonyl partner.

1. Acyclic Carbonyls

Several papers⁷²⁻⁸⁶ deal with this topic because the dihydropyrans prepared by this powerful synthetic route (Table IV) are useful intermediates in the preparation of heterocyclic rings or open-chain carbonyl compounds. Unfortunately some papers do not consider the various configurations of the adducts, investigation of which could be a useful tool in order to infer the mechanism.

Some kinetic investigations were made^{64,65,72} without taking the stereochemistry into account; these results will be considered later. The conformation of the adducts was inferred in the reaction of crotonaldehyde and ethyl vinyl ether⁸⁷ (Scheme VI).

SCHEME VI



The yields are not reported, but **33** should predominate over **34** owing to the more favorable secondary orbital interactions in the transition state (see later). **37** is the product of a side reaction of the adducts, and the severe experimental conditions (3 hr at 225°) make it difficult to determine whether **35** and **36** are the primary adducts. These open-chain unsaturated derivatives, which are common in the enamines field, are rather unusual when dealing with vinyl ethers.

The reaction of chloroketene dimethyl acetal **38** with several unsaturated carbonyl compounds (a good synthetic route to α -pyrones) was investigated,⁸⁸ and the results are reported in the Scheme VII. When isolated, isomer **40** predominates.

SCHEME VII



2. Alicyclic Carbonyls

Alicyclic α , β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds react with vinyl ethers to form dihydropyran derivatives.^{3,74}



A number of papers^{74,88-94} dealing with α -methylene carbonyl derivatives belonging to two classes of compounds, α -methylenecyclanones (41) and α -quinone methides (13), are relevant.



These compounds can be prepared (A) as a stable compound; (B) from the thermal decomposition of a Mannich base; (C) from the thermal decomposition of Mannich bases obtained ''in situ'' from alcohols and a secondary amine; (D) from the thermal decomposition of alcohols; (E) from the photochemical cheletropic decomposition of benzofurans; (F) from the photochemical cheletropic decomposition of sultones. The condensed dihydropyran adducts of these 1,4-cycloadditions are reported in Table V.

Mühlstädt and Müller⁹¹ reported that **42** gives, together with dihydropyran derivatives, two open-chain vinyl ethers **43** and **44** which sometimes become the only reaction products.



The formation of these open-chain compounds could have considerable importance from a theoretical point of view (evidence of zwitterionic intermediate which undergoes proton loss and gain!). However, the authors have proven that an equilibrium is reached at $190-195^{\circ}$, dihydropyrans \rightleftharpoons 43 \rightleftharpoons 44, and therefore these are not the *primary* addition products. This was further supported by careful inspection of the reaction mixture; open-chain adducts began to appear only after the yield of the dihydropyrans reached 50%.

Similar products **36** and **37**, obtained by Spanish researchers, 87 could have the same origin.

3. Heterocyclic Carbonyls

a. Synthesis of Condensed Dihydropyran Heterocycles

The reaction of vinyl ethers with α,β -unsaturated carbonyl systems belonging to a heterocyclic ring seems to be a powerful and versatile approach to the synthesis of dihydropyran condensed with isoxazole,⁹⁵⁻⁹⁷ indole,^{95,98,99} pyrazole,^{96,97,100-103} thiophene,¹⁰⁴ and chromene.¹⁰⁵

The ease with which these systems undergo 1,4-cycloaddition is related to the aromaticity gained in the adducts. These substrates can be compared, to a certain extent, with the previously discussed quinonemethides.

Tables VI and VII collect the data of β -unsubstituted and β substituted vinyl ethers, respectively, with yields being in general nearly quantitative.

b. Stereochemistry and Its Implication in Mechanism

First we must point out that reactions with β -unsubstituted vinyl ethers always give a mixture of two diastereoisomers with the cis isomer generally predominating.¹⁰¹ The only exception is the reaction of 3-benzal-4-thiolen-2-one with ethyl vinyl ether,¹⁰⁴ but the low yield obtained (30%) opens the question whether only one isomer is formed and, if so, which one.

 β -Methyl-substituted ethers retain their configuration in the adducts (Scheme VIII), and this suggests a concerted mechanism. This strict rule (which corresponds to the cis principle in Diels-Alder reactions) is violated only when the vinyl ether is dihydropyran. The original cis configuration is lost and some

SCHEME VIII



TABLE IV. Adducts from Acyclic α,β -Unsaturated Carbonyl Compounds and Vinyl Ethers

Ethers	R ₂ R ₁ R ₁ O	+	R ₄ Turn ^R s R ₆ OR	>	$R_2 + R_3 + R_4 + R_5 + R_6 $

Carbonyl compounds					Vinyl ether	Reaction c	onditions	Vield	Mn		
R ₁	R ₂	R ₃	R ₄	R _s	R ₆	R	<i>T</i> , °C	Time	%	(bp/mmHg), °C	Ref
Н	н	Н	Η	Н	Н	CH ₃	а	а	а	а	83
н	Н	Н	н	Н	Н	$CH_{2}CH(CH_{3})_{2}$	160-180	b	88.5	а	83
н	н	Н	н	Н	Н	Cyclohexyl	150	6 h	12	(70-92/2.5)	73
н	Н	Н	н	н	Н	2,3-Epoxypropyl	180	3 h	77	73	81
н	н	Н	н	н	Н	CH ₂ CH ₂ O-2,3-epoxypropyl	180	3 h	70	102.5	86
н	Н	Н	н	н	Н	CH ₂ CH ₂ OCH ₂ CH ₂ CN	180	3 h	78	125	86
н	Н	н	н	н	Н	C ₆ H ₅	150	6 h	99	(62–62.5/19)	73
н	Н	н	н	н	Н	o-CH ₃ C ₆ H ₄	150	6 h	78	(94–97/3)	73
н	Н	Н	н	н	Н	$m - CH_3C_6H_4$	150	6 h	77	(148–150/11)	73
н	Н	н	н	н	н	p-CH ₃ C ₆ H ₄	150	6 h	87	(112–113/4)	73
н	н	н	н	н	Н	2,4-Dimethyl-C ₆ H ₃	150	6 h	52	(170/32)	73
н	Н	н	н	н	Н	2-CH(CH ₃) ₂ -5-CH ₃ -C ₆ H ₃	150	6 h	76	(144.5/6)	73
н	н	н	н	н١	H	o-FC ₆ H₄	190	8 h	92	(136/10)	77
н	н	н	н	н	н	o-CIC,H,	190	8 h	85	(138/9)	77
н	н	н	н	н	н	p-CIC ₆ H ₄	190	8 h	71	(112/2)	77
н	н	н	н	н	Н	o-BrC,H,	190	8 h	74	(155/7)	77
н	н	н	н	н	Н	p-BrC ₆ H ₄	190	8 h	71	(160/13)	77
н	н	н	Н	н	Н	2,4-(CI) ₂ -C ₆ H ₃	190	8 h	67	(111/0.5)	77
н	н	н	Н	н	Н	$2,4-(Br)_2-C_6H_3$	190	8 h	14	62	77
н	н	н	Н	н	Н	CH ₂ (2-pyridyl)	130	10 h	58	(118/3)	85
Н	н	н	Н	н	Н	CH ₂ (3-pyridyl)	160	6 h	82	(112/3)	85
н	н	н	Н	н	Н	CH ₂ (4-pyridyl)	130	4 h	43	(108-110/6)	85
н	Н	Н	н	н	OCH,	CH,	а	а	а	а	80
н	н	Н	Н	н	OCH,CH,	CH ₂ CH,	125	14 h	70	(87/25)	82
н	Н	н	Н	н	OCH(CH,),	CH(CH,),	130	14 h	37	(77-77.5/11)	82
н	Ή	Н	н	н	CH ₂ CI	2,3-Epoxypropyl	180	3 h	30	72-73	81
н	н	н	Н	н	$CH_{2}OCH_{2}CH=CH_{2}$	2,3-Epoxypropyl	180	3 h	62	104-105	81
н	н	н	н	н	COOC,H,	CH ₂ CH ₃	180	6 h	49	(107–108/14)	75, 84
н	н	Н	Н		–CH,CH,CH,–	CH, CH,	160	18 h	76	(85-87/18)	74
н	н	Н	н	_	-CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ -	CH ₂ CH ₃	160	18 h	62	(98–101/15)	74
н	н	Н	н		CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ -	CH ₂ CH ₃	210	3 h	54.5	(103–104/20)	76
н	н	н	н	-C	H ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ -	CH ₂ CH ₃	160	18 h	78	(120–122/22)	74
н	н	Н	1-Adamantyl	н	H	CH ₂ CH ₃	180	10 h	50	(105–110/0.4)	79
н	н	Н	CH₂(1-adamantyl)	н	Н	CH ₂ CH ₃	180	9 h	73	(144–147/2)	79
н	СН,	Н	Н		-CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ -	CH ₂ CH ₃	210	8.5 h	26	(114–116/22)	76
н	CH2CH3	Н	н	-	-CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ -	CH ₂ CH ₃	210	5 h	26.5	(111–113/13)	76
н	Н	CH,	н	Н	Н	2,3-Epoxypropyl	180	3 h	85	69–70	81
н	н	CH,	н	н	Н	CH ₂ CH ₂ O-2,3-epoxypropyl	180	3 h	57	108-109	86
н	н	CH,	Н	н	Н	CH ₂ CH ₂ OCH ₂ CH ₂ CN	180	3 h	58	129	86
н	Н	CH3	Н	н	Н	C₅H₅	180	10 h	75	(128/7)	78
н	н	CH3	Н	н	Н	ℴ-CH₃C₅H₄	180	10 h	70	(135/7)	78
н	н	CH3	Н	н	Н	m-CH ₃ C ₆ H ₄	180	10 h	65	(133/8)	78
Н	н	CH3	Н	н	Н	p-CH₃C₅H₄	180	10 h	72	(143.5/7)	78
н	н	CH3	Н	н	Н	2,4-(Me) ₂ C ₆ H ₃	180	10 h	68	(150/10)	78
н	Н	CH3	Н	н	Н	ℴ-FC ₆ H₄	180	10 h	87	(136/10)	78



ΙΙΙΙΙΙΙΙΙΙΙΙΙΙΙΙΙ

[2,3-trans] adducts 47 have been isolated in at least two cases⁹⁷ (Scheme IX). This deviation strongly supports a twostep mechanism most likely via the zwitterionic intermediate 45.

SCHEME IX



Why does only dihydropyran give rise to a zwitterion? Rationalization probably lies in the unusually low ${\rm IP^{37}}$ and in the favorable n/ π interactions with high π charge of the β carbon.⁷¹ The former causes a lowering of the HOMO/LUMO separation with large frontier control¹⁰ and enhanced electron transfer. The latter increases the asymmetry of the double bond, and both contribute to the development of nonsynchroneity in the reaction.38

The low value of the ratio of the isomer with loss of configuration to the isomer with retention of configuration (Table VII) indicates that the transition state of the concerted mechanism can only in part lose its character to give a discrete intermediate.

The nucleophilic attack is also responsible for the [3,4] configuration of the adducts. When the heterocyclic ring has a methyl or a phenyl group in position 3 (Table VII), [3,4trans] isomers predominate. This is the result of steric interactions in the transition states 48 and 49.



49 is strongly disfavored both by "gauche" interactions and the steric CH₃/Y interaction; therefore a [3,4-trans] isomer usually is predominant.96

When the CH₃/Y interaction is weak, i.e., when Y is hydrogen as in 50, cis ether gives mainly [3,4-cis] adducts 51, whereas [3,4-trans] adducts 52 still predominate from trans ether¹⁰² (Table VII) (Scheme X).

Since steric interactions cannot explain the stereochemical preferences a preferred endo t.s. was rationalized in terms of HOMO/LUMO versus LUMO/HOMO interactions. Schematic representation for the reagents is shown in Figure 7.

Obviously 53 (interaction between LUMO of the carbonyl compound and HOMO of the vinyl ether treated in a limit situation as an allyl anion) dominates and the endo transition state is preferred.

An E configuration of the reacting species is suggested for pyrazolones independent of their configuration in the ground state.¹⁰⁶ When both Z and E isomers (55 and 56) are available, the latter gives the adducts and the former is recovered unchanged (Scheme XI). 102

Ë IJ

I Т From the reaction of oxindolideneacetophenones 57 and



Figure 7. Schematic representation of HOMO/LUMO (53) and LUMO/HOMO (54) interactions between α , β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds and vinyl ethers.

SCHEME X



SCHEME XI



vinyl ether,⁹⁹ in addition to the dihydropyran adduct **58** and **59**, **60** is formed, and it was demonstrated to be a primary reaction product (Scheme XII).

SCHEME XII



The formation of the dihydrofuran adduct 60 occurs via a

two-step mechanism probably involving a dipolar intermediate **61.**



This behavior can be rationalized as for the previously mentioned dihydropyran. The electron-attracting acyl group causes lowering of the HOMO and the LUMO of the unsaturated carbonyl (which results in *a* diminished separation of the frontier orbitals of the reagents) and increases the distortion of its symmetry. As previously seen, these two effects favor the development of asynchroneity in the cycloaddition.

4. Kinetic Data

Kīnetic measurements on the reaction already mentioned between aryl-substituted cīnnamaldehydes and octyl vinyl ether⁷² at 150° followed the Hammett relationship log $k/k_0 = \sigma\rho$, with a ρ value of ± 0.71 . In spite of the small value of the slope, a rather random correlation at higher temperature and the rates of the reaction not being affected by the polarity of solvents,¹⁰⁷ the authors suggest a mechanism involving the dipolar intermediate **62**.



A recent investigation was performed in the heterocyclic field using various 1,3-diphenyl-4-(ρ -substituted)arylidene-5-pyrazolones **63** and isopropyl vinyl ether.¹⁰³ From the overall reaction rate both k_{cls} and k_{trans} (second-order rate constants for cis and trans adducts **64** and **65**) have been determined (Scheme XIII).





The rate increases from $X = N(CH_3)_2$ to NO_2 by a factor of about 500, and correlation was obtained only with σ^+ constants. The dependence from enhanced substituent constants cannot be explained by a traditional intermediate like **62** but can probably be rationalized if one accepts Sustmann's approximation¹⁷ of eq 2; i.e., coefficients do not vary for a homogeneous series of reagents, and therefore ΔE is a func-

$$\Delta E = A \gamma^2 \left[\frac{1}{E_{\text{Ho}}^{\text{ef}} - E_{\text{Lu}}^{\text{ad}}} + \frac{1}{E_{\text{Ho}}^{\text{ad}} - E_{\text{Lu}}^{\text{ef}}} \right] \quad (3)$$

tion of the frontier orbitals separation only. For a direct Diels-Alder reaction the first term can be neglected, and for inverse reaction only the first term needs to be considered.¹⁰⁸ Therefore, the logarithm of the rate constants plotted against the TABLE V. Adducts from Alicyclic α,β -Unsaturated Carbonyl Compounds and Vinyl Ethers



	α,β -Unsaturated cyclanones				Vinyl e	ther	Re: con	action dition ^f		Мп	
Origin	-X-	R	R ₁	R ₂	R ₃	R ₄	<i>т</i> , °С	Time	Yield, %	(bp/mmHg), °C	Ref
A	-(CH ₂) ₂ -	н	Н	н	н	CH,CH3	a	а	19	(89–91/14)	91
в	-(CH2) -	н	н	н	н	CH, CH,	220	1.5 h	19	(115/14)	94
А	-(CH_)	н	н	н	н	CH,CH(CH,),	235	30–60'	17	(43-45/0.25)	90
B^b	-(CH2)-	н	н	н	н	CH,CH,	175	7 h	33	(111–113/17)	89
B^b	-(CH ₂) ₄ -	н	н	н	н	CH,CH,	265	1.5 h	61	(101-102/10)	94
А	-(CH_)	C,H,	CI ^c	н	OCH,	CH,	150	72 h	32	(80-85/0.01)	88
А	-(CH ₂) ₄ -	C, Ĥ,	н	н	н	CH, CH,	210	24 h	84	(133-137/0.5)	74
А	-CH(CH,)(CH,),-	С,́Н,́	н	н	н	CH,CH,	210	24 h	87	(129-130/0.5)	74
А	-(CH ₂),-	н́́	н	н	н	CH, CH,	а	а	36	(52–55/0.25)	91
в	-(CH ₂) ₂ -	Н	н	н	н	CH,CH,	270	2 h	60	(85/15)	94
А	-(CH,),-	н	н	н	н	CH ₂ CH(CH ₃) ₂	235	30–60'	55	(68–69/0.12)	90
А	-(CH ₂),-	Н	н	н	н	CH, (CH,), CH,	235	30-60'	50	(73–75/0.15)	90
А	-(CH ₂),-	н	н	н	н	C,H,	235	30–60'	12	49–50	90
А	-(CH2) -	н	н	н	н	CH,CH(CH,),	235	30–60'	63	(72-74/0.12)	90
А	-(CH_)	н	н	н	н	CH,CH(CH,),	а	а	45	(85-88/0.2)	91
А	-(CH ₂),-	н	н	н	н	CH,CH(CH,),	а	а	65	(92–93/0.15)	91
А	-(CH_))	н	н	н	Н	CH,CH(CH,),	235	30–60'	57	Dec	90
А	2-Methylene-1-indanone	н	н	н	н	CH,CH(CH,),	а	а	30	а	91
А	2-Methylene-1-tetralone	н	н	н	н	CH,CH(CH,),	235	30–60'	41	Dec	90
А	2-Methylenenorpinone	н	н	н	н	CH,CH(CH,),	а	а	41	(95–96/0.4)	91
в	-(CH),-	н	н	н	н	CH, CH,	200	4 h	65	(127 - 133/27)	74
B ^b	-(CH),-	н	н	-(CH_)	н́	200	2 h	70	98	92
B^d	-(CH),-	н	н	-0	CH_)	н	200	2 h	37	98	92
С	-(CH),-	н	н	-0	CH_)	н	200	2 h	76	98	92
D	–(CH),–	н	н	-0	CH_)	н	200	2 h	35	98	92
D	-(CH)	н	н	-0	CH_)	CH_CH_e	190	10 h	71	(160-162/20)	92
E	-(CH),-	н	н	́н`	OCH.	CH,	rt		>90	a	93
F	-(CH) -	н	Н	н	OCH,	сн	rt		>90	а	93

^{*a*}Not reported in the original paper. ^{*b*}Dimethylamino derivative. ^{*c*}Cis isomer only. ^{*d*}Diethylamino derivative. ^{*e*}Mixture of cis and trans isomers, but the latter formed from acidic isomerization of the former. ^{*f*} It = room temperature; h = hours; ' = minutes.

HOMO/LUMO separation decreases in the former and increases in the latter case.

This behavior has already been verified for direct Diels– Alder reactions, ¹⁰⁸ and the above reported kinetic results can fit the same model if one considers that E_{Ho} is a constant (same vinyl ether) and E_{Lu} is a function of the substituent on the aryl group only in an homogeneous series of derivatives. If the substituents act on MO energies both with inductive and mesomeric effects, it seems a reasonable approximation to represent the energy variation by σ^+ constants.

Further support was gained from the rate constants of the reactions between 1,3-diphenyl-4-benzal-5-pyrazolone (64, X = H) and various alkyl vinyl ethers. A quantitative correlation with their IP's,³⁷ determined by charge-transfer complexes, was unsatisfactory, but a correlation was obtained with the σ^* constants of the alkyl groups of the vinyl ether. Reinvestigation of IP's by more modern techniques will probably find a correlation with the inductive effect of substituents.

B. Acetylenic Ethers

Few papers report the reaction of α , β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds with acetylenic ethers, and only 1,4-cycloadducts **66** have been isolated^{96,100} (Table VIII). The stereospe-



cific reduction of **66** demonstrated the *cls* configuration of some previously described adducts (Tables VI and VII).

C. Alternative Reactions

 α , β -Unsaturated carbonyl derivatives which also have a *p*-quinone system **67** show a very different behavior since no 1,4-cycloaddition occurs. Nucleophilic attack of the unsaturated ether¹⁰⁹ (either cyclic or acyclic, ethylenic or acetylenic) occurs in the more electrophilic position, and the zwitterion intermediate **68** is formed, stabilized mainly by resonance. Electrophilic attack on the oxygen atom not involved in the stabilization with a proton loss and gain gives the benzofuran or dihydrobenzofuran derivatives **69**, in accordance with a 1,3-cycloaddition (Scheme XIV).





A remarkable analogy with the behavior of oxindolidene acetophenones **57** (section VI.A.3) is evident: a doubly unsaturated O = C - C = C - C = O system occurs in both substrates, and the presence of a dipolar intermediate can be ra-

CH₂CH₃

H

Chromone^k

							н - С	1		R	Ť
TABLE VI. Adducts from Hetero	cyclic α,β-Unsaturat	ed Carbonyl Comp	ounds and	β-Unsub	stituted Vir	nyl Ethers		+ 11 ^H 2 - CH-OR1			+, , ,OR,
			React	ion cond	itions ¹		Ci	s adduct	Tr	ans adduct	
Heterocyclic ring	R	R ₁	Solvent	<i>т</i> , °С	Time	yield, %	Yield, %	Mp, °C	Yield, %	Mp, °C	Ref
3-Phenyl-5-isoxazolone	C,H,	CH,	а	45	10 d	b	b	176	b	143-144	95
3-Phenyl-5-isoxazolone	C, H,	СН, СН,	а	36	4 d	97	b	115-116	b	82-83	95
3-Phenyl-5-isoxazolone	o-NO ₂ C ₄ H ₄	CH,	а	20	5 d	с	Ь	156-157	b	171-172	95
3-Phenyl-5-isoxazolone	o-NO ² C ⁴ H	сн, сн,	а	36	4 h	с	b	151-152	b	135-136	95
Oxindole	COC , Ĥ , Ĵ	сн, сн,	а	100	14–17 h	~75d	35-60	140-141			99
Oxindole	COC Hp-NO	CH,CH,	а	100	65 h	48	34	153-155	14	182-183	99
Oxindole	COC H. p-OCH	CH,CH,	а	100	47 h	71 ^e	50	155-156			99
1-Acetyloxindole	C'H'	сн,сн,	a	140	48 h	89	b	114.5-115.5	. <i>b</i>	134-135	95
1-Acetyloxindole	2-Furvl	сн, сн,	a	140	7 d	75	Ь	115–116 ^f	Ь	112 - 113.5f	98
1-Acetyloxindole	2-Pyridyl	сн, сн,	а	90	24 h	69	b	140–141 <i>f</i>	Ь	146–147 <i>f</i>	98
1-Acetyloxindole	4-Pyridyl	CH, CH,	a	90	24 h	85	57	144-146	43	151-153	98
1-Acetyloxindole	CN	CH.CH.	a	100	16 h	97	77	158-159	20	139-141	98
1-Acetvloxindole	COC.H.	CH.CH.	a	100	45'	898	76	154-155	8	131-132	99
1-Acetyloxindole	COC.Hn-NO.	CH.CH.	a	100	3 h	80	67	171-172	13	184-185	99
1-Acetyloxindole	COC.Hp-OCH.	CH.CH.	a	100	1 h	90h	76	123-124	8	175 - 176	99
1-Methyloxindole	COCH	CH.CH.	<i>a</i>	100	22 h	75i	50	115-116	9	114 - 115	99
1-Methyloxindole	COC H -n-NO		<u> </u>	100	96 h	29	17	161 - 162	12	153-154	99
1-Methyloxindole	$COC H_{p}OCH$	CH.CH.	a	100	12 h	70 <i>i</i>	35	129-131	7	150-152	99
1-Phenyl-5-nyrazolone	С Н	CH	<u> </u>	80	50 h	с. С	80	115-116	20	125-126	101
1-Phenyl-5-nyrazolone	C H	сн сн	а л	80	30 h	c	73	87-88	27	104-105	101
1-Phenyl-5-pyrazolone	C H		u a	80	60 h	c	60	88-89	40	115	101
1-Phenyl-5-pyrazolone			a	80	7 d	c	42	119-120	58	122-123	101
1-Phenyl-3-methyl-5-pyrazolone		CH	u a	80	40 h	c	42 69	103-104	31	122 - 123 110 - 111	101
1-Phenyl-3-methyl-5-pyrazolone			u a	80	46 h	C	19	100 - 104	51	97-98	101
1-Phenyl-3-methyl-5-pyrazolone			u	80	40 h	C C	4 <i>5</i>	81-82	40	82-83	101
1-Phenyl-3-methyl-5-pyrazolone			u a	80	7 d	C	65	83-84	35	114 - 1.15	101
1 3-Diphenyl-5-pyrazolone			u	80	/0 h	C C	516	161-162	<u> </u>	(220/0.4)m	101 103
1.3-Diphenyl-5-pyrazolone			u	36	40 H	C Q	10 1	162 164	40.4 50.6	07 08	101, 103
1.3 Diphenyl 5 pyrazolone			u	80	24 II 19 h	Ľ	49.4 55 0	141 142	30.0	110 120	100, 101, 103
1.3-Diphenyl-5-pyrazolone			u	80		l c	71 /	141 - 142 140 - 141	20.6	112-112	101, 103 101-103
1.3-Diphenyl-5-pyrazolone			u Benzene	70	50	L Q	526	140-141	29.0	02	101-105
1.3 Diphenyl 5 pyrazolone			Benzene	70		Ĺ	176	123	47 <u>4</u> 52 /	92	103
1.3 Diphenyl 5 pyrazolone			Delizene	36	10 h	Ľ	47.0	161	52.4	110 120	103
1.3 Diphenyl 5 pyrazolone			u	36	40 II 26 d	C	<i>U</i> <i>b</i>	154	<i>U</i> <i>b</i>	106	100
1.3 Diphenyl 5 pyrazolone			<i>u</i> Benzono	70	20 u	č	616	150-151 99 dec	25 /	100	100
1.3 Diphenyl 5 pyrazolone		$CH(CH_3)_2$	Benzene	70		č	52 Q	150	33.4	147	103
1.3 Diphenyl 5 pyrazolone	p-COOCH ₃ C ₆ H ₄	$CH(CH_3)_2$	Benzene	70		C	52.9	136	47.1	102	103
1.3 Diphenyl 5 pyrazolone			Bonzono	70		e	56-0	120	43.4	140	103
1.3-Diphenyl 5 pyrazolono			Benzona	70		c	50.2	132	40.0 10 E	100	103
1.2 Diphenyl 5 pyrazolono			Bonzono	70		c	57.5	121	42.0	120	103
1.2 Diphenyl 5 pyrazolone			Benzene	70		С	57.9 63.0	131	42.1	117	103
1.2 Diphonyl 5 pyrazolona			Denzerie	70		С	02.0	120	30.0	92 170 100 data	103
1,3-Diphenyi-3-pyrazoione	$p \cdot \mathbb{N}(\mathbb{CH}_3)_2 \mathbb{C}_6 \mathbb{H}_4$		Benzene	70	7 .1	<i>c</i>	20.9	128	30.1	179-180 dec	103
4-1 molen-2-one	u ₆ H ₅		а	нι	/ 0	39	39	631			104

^{*a*} Excess vinyl ether as solvent. ^{*b*} Not reported in the original paper. ^{*c*} Nearly quantitative. ^{*d*} Including **60** type adduct (12–18%; mp 159–160°). ^{*e*} See footnote *d* (21%; mp 154–155°). ^{*f*} Configuration not determined. ^{*s*} See footnote *d* (5%: mp 133–134°). ^{*h*} See footnote *d* (6%: mp 139–140°). ^{*i*} See footnote *d* (16%: oil). ^{*f*} See footnote *d* (28%; oil). ^{*k*} Obtained by decomposition of the Mannich base. ^{*i*} d = days; h = hours; '=minutes. ^{*m*} Boiling point.

4 h

58

58

(114/0.4)^m

160

а

105

TABLE VII. Adducts from Heterocyclic α , β -Unsaturated Carbonyl Compounds and β -Substituted Vinyl Ethers



		Vinyl ether			Reaction conditions ^a		Cis (2,3) Cis (3,4)		Cis (2,3) Trans (3,4)		1	Trans (2,3) Cis (3,4)	Trans (2,3) Trans (3,4)		
Heterocyclic ring	R	Config	R ₁	R ₂	<u>т, °</u> С	Time	Yield, %	Mp, °C	Yield, %	Mp, °C	Yield, %	Mp, °C	Yield, %	Mp, °C	Ref
3-Phenyl-5-isoxazolone	C,H,	Cis	CH,	CH,CH,CH,	80	16 h	5	119-120	95	115-116					96
3-Phenyl-5-isoxazolone	C, H,	Trans	CH,	CH, CH, CH,	80	12 h					3	91-92	97	136-137	96
3-Phenyl-5-isoxazolone	o-NO ₂ C ₂ H,	Cis	ĊH.	chíchích,	80	8 h			100	92-93					96
3-Phenyl-5-isoxazolone	o-NO,C,H,	Trans	CH.	сн,сн,сн,	80	8 h					10	138-139	90	124-125	96
3-Phenyl-5-isoxazolone	C,H,		–Čl	⊣,cĤ,cĤ,– Î	120	200 h	15	190-191	39	185-186	6	203-204			97
1-Phenyl-5-pyrazolone	C,H,	Cis	CH,	ĆH,ĈH,ĈH,	80	60 h	85	104-105	15	94-95					102
1-Phenyl-5-pyrazolone	C,H,	Trans	CH.	сн,сн,сн,	80	30 h					16	69-70	84	110-111	102
1-Phenyl-5-pyrazolone	p-NO ₂ C ₄ H	Cis	ĊH,	chíchích,	80	30 h	90	102-103	10	158-159					102
1-Phenyl-5-pyrazolone	p-NO ² C ² H ²	Trans	ĊH.	chíchích,	80	15 h					11	152.5-153.5	89	185–186	102
1-Phenyl-5-pyrazolone	p-OCH ₃ C ₄ H ₄	Cis	CH,	сн,сн,сн,	80	8 d	77	95-96	23	146-147					102
1-Phenyl-5-pyrazolone	p-OCH,C,H,	Trans	сн,	CH_CH_CH_	80	5 d					19	109-110	81	143-144	102
1-Phenyl-5-pyrazolone	2.4.6-(Me),C,H,	Cis	ĊН,	сн,сн,сн,	80	30 d			100	Oil					102
1-Phenyl-5-pyrazolone	2,4,6-(Me),C,H,	Trans	сн	сн,сн,сн,	80	8 d							100	128-129	102
1-Phenyl-3-methyl-5-pyrazolone	C,H,	Cis	сн	chíchíchí	80	90 h	18	92-93	82	74-75					102
1-Phenyl-3-methyl-5-pyrazolone	C, H,	Trans	CH,	CH_CH_CH_	80	48 h					11	186-187	89	163-164	102
1-Phenyl-3-methyl-5-pyrazolone	p-NO ₂ C ₆ H ₄	Cis	CH,	сн,сн,сн,	80	40 h	14	149-150	86	125-126					102
1-Phenyl-3-methyl-5-pyrazolone	p-NO ₂ C ₄ H	Trans	СН	сн, сн, сн,	80	15 h					12	107-108	88	133-134	102
1-Phenyl-3-methyl-5-pyrazolone	p-OCH ₃ C ₄ H ₄	Cis	сн,	сн,сн,сн,	80	6 d	25	104-105	75	110-111					102
1-Phenyl-3-methyl-5-pyrazolone	p-OCH ₃ C ₄ H	Trans	сн,	сн, сн, сн,	80	5 d					15	104-105	85	124-125	102
1-Phenyl-3-methyl-5-pyrazolone	2,4,6-(Me),C,H,	Cis	СН	сн, сн, сн, сн,	80	35 d			100	124-125					102
1-Phenyl-3-methyl-5-pyrazolone	2,4,6-(Me),C,H,	Trans	CH,	CH,CH,CH,	80	70 h							100	145-146	102
1,3-Diphenyl-5-pyrazolone	C,H,	Cis	CH,	CH,CH,CH,	80	18 h	7	129–130	93	137-138					96, 102
1,3-Diphenyl-5-pyrazolone	C,H,	Trans	CH,	CH,CH,CH,	80	12 h							~100	180–181	96, 102
1,3-Diphenyl-5-pyrazolone	o-NO ₂ C ₆ H ₄	Cis	CH,	CH,CH,CH,	80	12 h	<3	134-135	>97	96-97					96
1,3-Diphenyl-5-pyrazolone	o-NO ₂ C ₆ H ₄	Trans	CH,	CH, CH, CH,	80	8 h							~100	152-153	96
1,3-Diphenyl-5-pyrazolone	p-NO ₂ C ₆ H ₄	Cis	CH,	CH,CH,CH,	80	12 h	5	130-131	95	168-169					102
1,3-Diphenyl-5-pyrazolone	p-NO ₂ C ₆ H ₄	Trans	CH,	CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₃	80	6 h					<3	241-242	>97	221-222	102
1,3-Diphenyl-5-pyrazolone	p-OCH ₃ C ₆ H ₄	Cis	CH,	CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₃	80	48 h	10	97–98	90	140-141					96, 102
1,3-Diphenyl-5-pyrazolone	p-OCH ₃ C ₆ H ₄	Trans	CH,	CH, CH, CH,	80	24 h					3	129-130	97	145-146	96, 102
1,3-Diphenyl-5-pyrazolone	2,4,6-(Me) ₃ C ₆ H ₂	Cis	CH,	CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₃	80	40 d			100	145-146					102
1,3-Diphenyl-5-pyrazolone	2,4,6-(Me) ₃ C ₆ H ₂	Trans	CH,	CH,CH,CH,	80	7 d							100	177-178	102
1-Phenyl-5-pyrazolone	C ₆ H ₅		-CI	H ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ -	120	200 h	52	165-166	32	118-119					97
1-Phenyl-3-methyl-5-pyrazolone	C ₆ H ₅		CI	H ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ -	120	200 h	42	211-212	23	128-129	2	194–195			97
1,3-Diphenyl-5-pyrazolone	C H,		CI	H ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ -	120	200 h	26	181–182	47	204–205					97
1,3-Diphenyl-5-pyrazolone	p-CIC ₆ H₄		-CI	H ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ -	120	200 h	32	245-246	53	203–204					97
1,3-Diphenyl-5-pyrazolone	p-OCH,C,H,		CI	H,CH,CH,-	120	200 h	29	200–201	53	226-227					97

Heterodiene Syntheses with lpha,eta-Unsaturated Carbonyl Compounds

Chemical Reviews, 1975, Vol. 75, No. 6 663

^a Excess vinyl ether as solvent. h = hours; d = days.

TABLE	V	III. <i>I</i>	Adducts	with	Acetylenic	Ethers
-------	---	---------------	---------	------	------------	--------

				Reac condi	tion tions			
х	Ar	R	R ₁	T, °C	Time	Yield, %	Mp,°C	Ref
NC ₆ H ₅	C ₆ H ₅	Н	CH2CH3	55	12 h	95	152-152.5	100
NC ₆ H	o-NO ₂ C ₆ H ₄	н	CH ₂ CH ₃	55	48 h	93	161	100
NC H	p-OCH ₃ C ₆ H ₄	н	CH ₂ CH ₃	55	5 d	83.5	155-156	100
0	C'H'	CH3	CH, CH, CH,	70	20 h	а	103-104	96
0	o-NO2C6H4	CH,	CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₃	70	5 h	а	139–140	96
NC ₆ H ₅	C, H,	CH,	CH ₂ CH ₂ CH,	70	48 h	~100	127-128	96
NC H,	o-NO ₂ C ₆ H ₄	CH,	CH,CH,CH,	70	8 h	а	140-141	96
NC ₆ H ₅	p-OCH₃C₅H₄	CH3	CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₃	70	7 d	а	93–94	96

^a Not reported in the original paper.



Figure 8. Schematic representation of frontier orbital energies and coefficients for acrolein and unsaturated amines. (For most cases these are estimated from substituent effects.)

tionalized either in terms of charge delocalization or large frontier orbital controlled reactions.

VII. Unsaturated Amines

It is well known that enamines and ynamines are strong nucleophiles, but unfortunately little work has been done on the determination of the MO parameters and levels. Nevertheless, although this does not allow a more rigorous treatment, a qualitative prediction can be made if one considers that enamines have a very low IP.^{17,62}

The nucleophilicity, and to a certain extent the IP's, seems to be a function of the nitrogen residue: pyrrolidino¹⁷ > piperidino \simeq dialkylamino > morpholino¹⁷ > aziridino (this order is also supported by NMR chemical shifts of the β protons¹¹⁰). The same effect on the nucleophilicity is caused by alkyl residues on the double bond¹¹¹ in the order: α -alkyl > unsubstituted $\simeq \alpha,\beta$ -disubstituted > β -alkyl-substituted.

On this basis a rough schematic diagram is proposed in Figure 8 with ynamines at a lower level than enamines.⁶²

The asymmetry of the coefficients increases in the same order,¹¹¹ and combined with the large frontier control and enhanced charge transfer due to the low HOMO/LUMO separation this provides favorable conditions for the formation of a zwitterion, at least for stronger nucleophilic enamines. Therefore it is not surprising that dipolar intermediates are rather popular in enamine cycloaddition. Furthermore, if a dipolar species is formed in the reaction this can collapse to a 1,4cycloadduct or can form some different products. This variety of reactions represents the most interesting feature in the field of enamines and ynamines.

A. Enamines

1. Historical Survey

The Robinson annelation reaction,¹¹² especially in the Stork modification where an enamine acts as the active methylene component,^{113,114} has been known for a long time to be the source of various monocyclic and bicyclic adducts which have been claimed to be the primary products formed in the reaction.



In 1963 the fundamental paper by Stork¹¹⁵ showed that the mechanism consisted of nucleophilic attack of the enamine on the β position of the unsaturated carbonyl derivative. The zwitterionic intermediate **70** could be in equilibrium with the dihydropyran **71**, the cyclobutyl ketone **72**, or the Michael-type adduct **73** (Scheme XV). The choice between the various structures is not well defined since the intermediates usually undergo trans enamination¹¹⁶⁻¹¹⁸ or are hydrolyzed to carbonyl compounds.¹¹⁹ Unfortunately these transformations cannot show the true intermediate. Route b, i.e., a four-center Diels-Alder reaction already proposed by Opitz,¹²⁰ is considered as an alternative.





The discussion concerning these alternatives filled the literature of the subsequent years and in 1968 the very small carbonyl absorption in the ir of the initial intermediate in the reaction between acrolein and enamines was "noted with surprise".¹²¹ The subject of the forthcoming pages will be a report of this debate.

2. Acyclic Carbonyls

The reaction of methyl vinyl ketone with enamines was first analyzed by Fleming and Harley-Mason¹²² and these authors proposed a cyclobutane structure for the adduct **74** on the basis of its spectroscopic behavior.



This approach had to be revised when Schut and Liu¹²³ isolated good yields of dihydropyrans **75** as precursors of the Stork bicyclic adducts. Opitz¹²⁴ also showed that dihydropyran adducts were formed when acrolein reacted with enamines. In addition to **75**, variable quantities of enamine-type by-products **76** and **77** or Stork bicyclic derivatives **78** were sometimes obtained (Scheme XVI).

SCHEME XVI



The Michael adducts **76** were isolated from methyl vinyl ketone¹²⁴ (32% yield), whereas the rearrangement product **77** was isolated if the enamine was β , β -disubstituted.¹²⁴ The Stork bicyclic adducts are sometimes isolated directly,¹²³ but, since **75** is usually converted into **78** on heating, milder conditions would probably allow the precursor to be isolated every time.

Faithful to his previous reaction model, Opitz described the formation of dihydropyrans as a Diels-Alder reaction.¹²⁴ On these bases, Fleming reinvestigated the reaction of methyl vinyl ketone and enamines¹²⁵ and showed the presence of a

stable dihydropyran. The novelty, however, was the proposed presence of the zwitterion **79** somewhere in the reaction sequence; this was captured with tetracyanoethylene (TCNE) to give **80.** Obviously two possibilities exist (Scheme XVII): the





dipolar species is the intermediate of the reaction (route a) or is only in equilibrium with the six-membered ring which therefore remains the primary reaction product (route b). This mechanism was subsequently distorted by the later literature and usually Fleming's paper is quoted when a zwitterion has to be "demonstrated".

The dihydropyrans rearrange to Michael-type adducts under more severe conditions (Scheme XVIII). The first obser-

SCHEME XVIII



vation by Schut and Liu¹²³ was further confirmed by Risaliti¹²⁶ who noted the rearrangement to **81** in refluxing benzene. When $R = C_{6}H_{5}CH$ —CH-, the Michael-type adducts can undergo an intramolecular nucleophilic attack of the enamine group to the α,β -unsaturated carbonyl system.¹²⁷ The intermediate **82** cyclizes to the fused cyclobutane adduct **83**, which seems to be the only four-membered adduct in this section. This dihydropyran \rightarrow Michael adduct conversion involving a zwitterion was considered as further proof of the polar character of the cycloaddition with enamines.

Nevertheless, only Risaliti¹²⁶ proved the presence of a dipolar intermediate as the reaction of cyclohexanone enamines with both cis and trans α,β -unsaturated ketones **84** and



TABLE IX. Adducts from Acyclic α , β -Unsaturated Carbonyl Compounds and Enamines



α,β -Unsaturated carbonyl		Enamine				Reac	tion cond	itions	Viald		·		
R	R ₁	R ₂	R ₃	R ₄	R ₅	R ₆	N ring	Solvent	T, ℃	Time	<i>%</i>	Mp (bp/mmHg),°C	Ref
Н	н	Н	Н	н	CH,	Н	Piperidine	Ether	0+rt	1+21 h	86	(104-105/12)	120, 124
н	н	н	н	н	CH, CH,	н	Diethylamine	а	а	а	81	(45-46/1)	120, 124
Н	н	н	н	н	CH, CH,	н	Pyrrolidine	а	а	а	46	(57-58/1)	124
Н	н	н	н	н	CH, CH,	н	Morpholine	а	а	а	43	(72-75/1)	124
Н	н	н	н	н	CH, CH, CH,	н	Piperidine	а	а	а	79	(85-86/1)	120, 124
Н	н	н	н	н	CH(CH,),	н	Piperidine	а	а	а	73	(80-82/1)	120, 124
Н	н	н	н	н	n-C,H,	н	Morpholine	а	а	а	77	(110/0.4)	124
н	н	н	н	н	сн	CH,	Pyrrolidine	а	а	а	78	(44/0.05)	124
н	н	н	н	н	сн,сн,	СН,СН,	Pyrrolidine	а	а	а	66 ^b	(80-81/0.05)	124
н	н	н	н	н	<i>n-</i> C_H_	сн,сн,	Pyrrolidine	а	а	а	0 <i>c</i>		124
н	н	н	н	-C	н,сӊ,с́н,–	н'́	4-Phenyl-1-piperazine	а	а	а	0^d		123
н	н	н	н	-CH	CH_CH_CH_	н	4-Phenyl-1-piperazine	C2H2	5+rt	1.5+5 h	71	117-118	123
Н	Н	Н	н	-CH_C	H.CH.CH.CH	н	4-Phenyl-1-piperazine	- 6 · · 6 <i>a</i>	a	а	0 <i>d</i>		123
н	CH.	н	н	-CH	CH_CH_CH	н	4-Phenyl-1-piperazine	a	а	а	43	126-127	123
Н	H,	C.H.	н	-CH	CH.CH.CH.	н	4-Phenyl-1-piperazine	a	a	а	0 <i>d</i>		123
CH.	н	H ,	н	н	CH.	н	Piperidine		0+rt	1+12 h	37e	(62 - 64/0.1)	122
CH.	н	н	н	н	CH.	н	Piperidine	Ether	0+rt	4+48 h	52 <i>f</i>	(63/0 ₋ 03) <i>f</i>	124
CH.	н	Н	н	н	CH.	н	Pyrrolidine	a	а	a	 a	a	125
CH.	н	Н	н	н	CH_CH_	н	Piperidine	-	0+rt	1+12 h	70.5 ^e	(70 - 72/0.1)	122
CH.	H	н	н	н	CH.CH.	н	Pyrrolidine		0	1.5	85	(78 - 80/0.2)	125
CH.	н	н	н	н	CH.	CH.	Pyrrolidine	Ether	0+rt	5+43 h	46	(57-58/0.03)	124
CH.	н	н	н	н	CH.	CH.	Dimethylamine		rt	12 h	60	(54.5-55/5.5)	125
CH.	н	н	н	CH	CH.CH.CH	H	Pyrrolidine	Pentane	-50	a	<i>a</i>	(0.1.0 00,010)	125
C.H.	н	н	н	H A	CH.	CH.	Dimethylamine		0	48 h	45	(101 - 102/0.01)	125
C.H.	н	н	н	-CH	CH.CH.CH	H	4-Phenyl-1-piperazine	a	a	a	75	96-97	123
C H.	н	н	н	-CH	CH.CH.CH	н	Morpholine			a	72	102-103	123
C.H.	н	н	н	-CH	CH.CH.CH	н	Morpholine	Petrol	10	24 h	75	100-101	126
C.H.	н	н	н	-CH.CH		- H	Morpholine	Petrol	rt	24 h	20	98	126
C H.	н	C.H.	н	CH	CH.CH.CH	н	4-Phenyl-1-piperazine	<i>a</i>	a	a	44	129-130	123
C.H.	н	C.H.	н	-CH	CH_CH_CH	н	Morpholine	CH ₂ CN	rt	72 h	60	132	126
C.H.	н	C.H.	н	-CH	CH.CH.CH	н	Piperidine	Ethanol	0	18 h	66	121.5-122.5	127
C.H.	Н	COC.H.	н	-CH	CH_CH_CH	н	Morpholine	Ether	rt	12 h	94	90-92	126
C.H.	Н	H	COC.H.	-CH	.CH.CH.CH	н	Morpholine	Ether	rt	<u>a</u>	a	90-92	126
C.H.	С.Н.	C.H.	H	-CH	.CH.CH.CH	н	Piperidine	Ethanol	refl	18 h	12	157-158	127
C.H.	C.H.	C.H.	н	-CH	CH.CH.CH	н	Pyrrolidine	Ethanol	refl	2 h	47	151-152	127
C.H.CH-CH	H	C.H.	н	н	CH.	H	Piperidine	Ethanol	refl	0.5 h	61	142-143	127
C.H.CH-CH	н	C.H.	Н	н	CH.	Н	Morpholine	Ethanol	refl	6 h	93	162-165	127
C.H.CH=CH	н	С.Н.	н	-сн	.CH.CH.CH -	н	Piperidine	Ethanol	0+rt	1+2 h	90	110.5 - 111.5	127
CHCH=CH	н	C.H.	H	-CH	CH.CH.CH -	н	Pyrrolidine	Ethanol	0	Fast	67	110-112.5	127
-650.1 011	••	~6. '5	••	;	2 ~ · · 2 ~ · · 2 ~ · · 2 ~ · · 2 ~				-		. .		

^a Not reported in the original paper. ^b In addition 19% of 77 type product (rearrangement). ^c Only 40% of 77 type adduct was isolated. ^d Only Stork bicyclic adducts were obtained, ^e Described as cyclobutyl ketone derivative. ^f In admixture with 76 type adduct (Michael).

85 (Scheme XIX) gave the same dihydropyran **87**. If the reaction is performed with excess of **85** the recovered ketone is the thermodynamically more stable **84**. In the opinion of the authors the experimental conditions do not cause equilibration between **84** and **85**, so the result can be regarded as clear evidence for a two-step mechanism with reversible formation of the dipolar intermediate **86**.

A question arises concerning the configuration of the adducts. Because a zwitterionic intermediate was demonstrated the adducts are assumed to be formed under conditions of thermodynamic control. Alternatively a cis¹²⁷ or a trans¹²⁶ junction was proposed to be the stable one from considerations of the steric interactions in the transition state. This question remains unanswered because the spectroscopic data do not allow a firm assignment. However, a cis ring junction is supported by a recent X-ray determination of the similar structure **88**.¹²⁸



The stereochemistry of the 4 and 4a chiral centers of **89** has been investigated. A [4,4a-trans] configuration was suggested¹²⁶ from the point of view of the more favorable steric interactions, but the proton coupling values (8.5–10 Hz) were proposed¹²⁷ as proof of a cis configuration.

In the opinion of the reviewers these *J* values can also be consistent with a trans configuration having an exclusive axial/axial character.^{96,100,129-131} Therefore conformation **90** could also be taken into account as well as **91** for adducts



formed from trans aldoenamines and dibenzalacetone.¹²⁷ These compounds have *J* values of 10 Hz for both H_5/H_6 and H_4/H_5 couplings. Therefore each dihydropyran seems to retain the configuration of the starting enamine, but of course this is not proof of the concerted mechanism of the cycload-dition since thermodynamic control could lead to this configuration via a dipolar intermediate.

In conclusion, in the aliphatic series, the dihydropyran derivatives (collected in Table IX) are the primary reaction products which can be converted into Michael adducts.

The cyclobutyl ketones have never been isolated in the aliphatic series since their independent synthesis from 92^{132} has shown that they rearrange to the thermodynamically more stable dihydropyrans (Scheme XX).



H+D + pyrrolidine : Spontaneous conversion piperidine : I h at 20° morpholine : Heating at 60-80°

3. Alicyclic Carbonyls

Two series of alicyclic α,β -unsaturated ketones are known

to react with enamines: quinone methides 13 and saturated arylidenecyclanones 93. Quinone methides are formed from



phenolic Mannich bases (Scheme XXI) and a likely mechanism for the formation of dihydropyran derivatives is a concerted elimination of the base followed by nucleophilic attack by the enamine.¹³³⁻¹³⁵

SCHEME XXI



A charged intermediate **94** is suggested from the chemical behavior of the adducts **95**. Epimerization of **94** seems to prevent the formation of diastereoisomers and therefore only one isomer is isolated. Partly reduced heterocyclic systems which contain an endocyclic enamine function undergo an analogous 1,4-cycloaddition¹³⁵ and all six-membered ring adducts are collected in Table X.

Arylidenecyclanones **93** react with enamines in accordance with 1,4-cycloadditions,^{127,136-141} and the dihydropyran derivatives are collected in Table XI.

An alternative 1,2-cycloaddition, leading to cyclobutyl ketone **97**, was reported for the reaction of 2-benzylidenetetral-1-one **(96)** with cyclohexanone-enamines.¹⁴² However, further investigation revealed that the supposed carbonyl stretching at 1650 cm⁻¹, on the basis of which structure **97** was proposed, should be assigned to the dihydropyran double bond of **98**^{138,139} (Scheme XXII).

SCHEME XXII



The configuration of the adducts 98 was proposed with a [7a, 11a-cis] ring junction, ^{127, 137, 141} and this is in accordance with the previously reported structure of 90. The [7,7a] configuration already suggested as cis^{127, 137} can alternatively be considered as trans if *J* values are compared with those found by Prasad¹⁴⁰ for 99.



4. Heterocyclic Carbonyls

Whereas when 5-arylidene-1,3-dioxane-4,6-diones (100)

TABLE X.	Adducts	from	Enami	ines and	o-Qui	inonemethid es a
----------	---------	------	-------	----------	-------	-------------------------

Dimethylaminomethyl ^b Mannich bases	Enamine	Yield %	Mp, °C	Ref
2-DMAM-6-methoxyphenol	1,2,3,4,6,7,8-Heptahydroquinolizine (EHQ)	50	93–95	135
2-DMAM-6-methoxyphenol	1-Methyl-4-(1-pyrrolidinyl)-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine	с		135
2-DMAM-4-benzyloxyphenol	1,2,3,4,6,7,8-EHQ	27	66-67	135
2-DMAM-4-phenylphenol	1-Methyl-4-(1-pyrrolidinyl)-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine	с		135
2-DMAM-4-styrylphenol	4-Piperidino-2,3,6-trihydrothiopyran	с		135
2-DMAM-4-styrylphenol	1,2,3,4,6,7,8-EHQ	29	88–93	135
2-DMAM-3-hydroxypyridine	N-Dimethylamino-1-isobutene	с		134, 135
2-DMAM-3-hydroxypyridine	1-Pyrrolidinocyclohex-1-ene	с		135
2-DMAM-3-hydroxypyridine	1-Benzoyl-4-(1-pyrrolidinyl)-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine	с		134, 135
1-DMAM-2-naphthol	1-Morpholino-1-isobutene	d	154-156	135
1-DMAM-2-naphthol	1-Pyrrolidinocyclohex-1-ene	52	90-95	135
1-DMAM-2-naphthol	1-Methyl-4-(1-pyrrolidinyl)-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine	с		134
1-DMAM-2-naphthol	1-Benzoyl-4-(1-pyrrolidinyl)-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine	с		135
1-DMAM-2-naphthol	4-Piperidino-2,3,6-trihydrothiopyran	38	149-153	135
1-DMAM-2-naphthol	1,2,3,4,6,7,8-EHQ	17	209–212	134
1-DMAM-2-naphthol	1-Methylene-2-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-β-carboline	38	178–181	135
1-DMAM-6-bromo-2-naphthol	1-Methylene-2-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (THIQ)	71	171-174	135
1-DMAMphenyl-2-naphthol	1-Methyl-4-(1-pyrrolidinyl)-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine	55	203–207	135
1-DMAMphenyl-2-naphthol	1-Methylene-2-methyl-1,2,3,4-THIQ	43	172-173	135
1-DMAMphenyl-2-naphthol	1-Methylene-2-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro- β -carboline	62	197–200	135
2-DMAM-1-naphthol	1-Methyl-4-(1-pyrrolidinyl)-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine	с		135
2-DMAM-3-hydroxy-	1-Morpholino-1-isobutene	43	153-155	134, 135
1,4-naphthoguinone				
2-Methyl-3-carbethoxy-	1,2,3,4,6,7,8-EHQ	60	166-169	135
4-DMAM-5-hydroxyindole				
2-Methyl-3-carbethoxy-	1-Methylene-2-methyl-6,7-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-THIQ	28	176-178	135
4-DMAM-5-hydroxyindole	• • • • • • • •			
5-DMAM-6-hydroxyquinoline	N-Dimethylamino-1-isobutene	d	201.5-203.5	134
5-DMAM-6-hydroxyquinoline	1-Pyrrolidinocyclohex-1-ene	с		135
5-DMAM-6-hydroxyquinoline	4-Piperidino-2,3,6-trihydrothiopyran	с		135
5-DMAM-6-hydroxyquinoline	1-Benzoyl-4-(1-pyrrolidinyl)-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine	с		135
5-DMAM-6-hydroxyquinoline	1,2,3,4,6,7,8-EHQ	51	178-181	135
5-DMAM-6-hydroxyquinoline	1-Methylene-2-methyl-1,2,3,4-THIQ	63	164-167	135
7-DMAM-8-hydroxyquinoline	N-Dimethylamino-1-isobutene	с		135
7-DMAM-8-hydroxyquinoline	1-Pyrrolidinocyclohex-1-ene	с		135
7-DMAM-8-hydroxyquinoline	4-Piperidino-2,3,6-trihydrothiopyran	с		135
3-DMAM-4-hydroxycoumarin	1-Morpholino-1-isobutene	38	142-144	134, 135
3-DMAM-4-hydroxycoumarin	1-Pyrrolidinocyclohex-1-ene	с		134
1-DMAM-2-hydroxycarbazole	1-Methyl-4-(1-pyrrolidinyl)-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine	с		135
1-DMAM-2-hydroxycarbazole	1-Methylene-2-methyl-6,7-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-THIQ	12	134–139	135

^aAll reactions performed in refluxing dioxane for 2–8 hr. ^b Dimethylaminomethyl group reported as DMAM. ^c Isolated as the hydrolysis product. ^aNot reported in the original paper.

react with enamines, only condensed dihydropyrans **101** are formed, ¹⁴³ 3-oxindolidene derivatives give different reaction modes depending on the substituents both in the β position of the enamine and at the oxindole nitrogen atom.



1-Acetyl-3-benzaloxindole (**102**) gives dihydropyran derivatives **103**¹⁴⁴ whose structure was determined by chemical and spectroscopic methods (Scheme XXIII).

1-Acyl-3-oxindolideneacetophenones or -acetates 104^{145,146} also gave dihydropyran adducts 106 whereas their 1-alkyl derivatives (104, R = CH₃ or C₂H₅) gave spirocyclobutaneoxindoles 107 (Scheme XXIV). Both these structures were determined by chemical reactions and ir and NMR spectroscopy.

The cyclic adducts **106** and **107** are thermally unstable since ring opening occurs at room temperature in chloroform and open-chain Michael-type products **108** are obtained.¹⁴⁷



TABLE XI. Adducts from Enamines and Arylidenecyclanones

		Reaction	n condi	tions	Viald		
Arylidenecyclanones	Enamines	Solvent	<i>T</i> , °C	Time	%	Mp,°C	Ref
2-Benzylidenecyclohexan-1-one	1-Pyrrolidinocyclohex-1-ene	Ethanol	Refl	2 h	96	88-90	137
2,6-Dibenzylidenecyclohexan-1-one	1-Piperidinoprop-1-ene	а	а	а	50	157	140
2,6-Dibenzylidenecyclohexan-1-one	1-Piperidinopent-1-ene	а	а	а	56	144-145	140
2,6-Dibenzylidenecyclohexan-1-one	1-Pyrrolidinocyclohex-1-ene	C°H°	Refl	16 h	>75	176-179	136
2,6-Dibenzylidenecyclohexan-1-one	1-Pyrrolidinocyclohex-1-ene	Ethanol	rt	Fast	~100	178–181	137
2,6-Dibenzylidenecyclohexan-1-one	1-Piperidinocyclopent-1-ene	a	а	а	70	140-141	140
2,6-Dibenzylidenecyclohexan-1-one	1-Piperidinocyclohex-1-ene	C H	Refl	16 h	а	179–183	136
2,6-Dibenzylidenecyclohexan-1-one	1-Piperidinocyclohex-1-ene	a	а	а	73	184-185	140
2,6-Dibenzylidenecyclohexan-1-one	1-Morpholinocyclohex-1-ene	C'H'	Refl	16 h	а	149–152	136
2,6-Dibenzylidenecyclohexan-1-one	1-Morpholinocyclohex-1-ene	Ethanol	Refl	18 h	86	156-159	137
2,6-Dibenzylidenecyclohexan-1-one	1-Morpholinocyclohex-1-ene	а	а	а	70	157-159	140
2,6-Dibenzylidenecyclohexan-1-one	1-(4-Methyl)piperazinocyclohex- 1-ene	а	а	а	75	172	140
2-Benzylidenedimedone ^b	1-Piperidinocyclohex-1-ene	C H	Refl	15 h	70	147–148	127
2-Benzylidenetetral-1-one	1-Pyrrolidinocyclohex-1-ene	C H	Refl	18 h	>40	126–129¢	142
2-Benzylidenetetral-1-one	1-Pyrrolidinocyclohex-1-ene	Ethanol	Refl	1 h	89	126-129	127
2-Benzylidenetetral-1-one	1-Pyrrolidinocyclohex-1-ene	C°H°	Refl	18 h	40	128-129	139
2-Benzylidenetetral-1-one	1-Piperidinocyclohex-1-ene	C H	Refl	18 h	а	152–154 <i>c</i>	142
2-Benzylidenetetral-1-one	1-Piperidinocyclohex-1-ene	C H	Refl	18 h	а	153-154	139
2-Benzylidenetetral-1-one	1-Piperidinocyclohex-1-ene	Ethanol	Refl	18 h	58	143–146	127
2-Benzylideneacenaphthen-1-one	1-Pyrrolidinocyclohex-1-ene	а	а	а	а	115-116	141
2-Benzylideneacenaphthen-1-one	1-Piperidinocyclohex-1-ene	а	a	а	а	а	141
$2 \hbox{-} p \hbox{-} Chlorobenzylideneace naph then \hbox{-} 1 \hbox{-} one$	1-Pyrrolidinocyclohex-1-ene	а	а	а	а	а	141

^{*a*}Not reported in the original paper. ^{*b*}Prepared in situ from dimedone and benzaldehyde in the presence of piperidine. ^{*c*}Erroneously described as cyclobutane derivative.



SCHEME XXV



This rearrangement occurs via the dipolar intermediate **105** which can be captured in the presence of TCNE. **105** could also be the intermediate to the cyclic adducts.

If 104 has R = H or CH₂C₆H₅, some intermediate cases occur and usually β -monosubstituted enamines give 1,2-addition to 107, whereas β , β -disubstituted enamines give 1,4-addition to 106. Sometimes the latter spontaneously change into the former.

Coupling constants of dihydropyran ring protons in **106** allow assignment of a [2,3-trans-3,4-trans] configuration identical with that found for **99**.

A mechanism involving the participation of the nitrogen lone pair which causes different ring closures of the intermediate zwitterion **109** (Scheme XXV) could rationalize the alternative 1,4- or 1,2-cycloaddition.

An attempt to prove its presence was made with *cis*-1-aziridino-1-propene¹¹⁰ hoping to find dihydropyran adducts containing the enamine fragment with the original cis configuration lost. However, no reaction occurred¹⁴⁶ probably because of the low n/π conjugation which reduces the enaminic character of this olefin.

These results are not in accordance with the direction of attack of 1-pyrrolidinocyclopent-1-ene on ethyl 1-benzyloxin-





TABLE XII. Adducts from Enamines and Heterocyclic α , β -Unsaturated Carbonyl Compounds $(A_{R_1}^{R_1}, A_{R_2}^{R_1}, A_{R_2}^{R_1}, A_{R_2}^{R_2}, A A dducts$

Hete	rocyclic compound		······································	Enan	nine		Reacti	on cond	itions	1,4-A	dduct	1,2-/	Adduct	Mich	ael adduct	
Ring	 R	R ₁	R ₂	R ₃	R4	-N)	Solvent8	T,°C	Time	Yield, %	Mp,°C	Yield,%	Mp,°C	Yield,	<i>‰</i> Мр, °С	Ref
Oxindole	H	COC.H.	н	CH.		Piperidine	С.Н.	rt	1 h			80	95-97			145
Oxindole	Н	COC H	н	CH,	CH,	Pyrrolidine	0 0	0	1 d	100	75-77					145
Oxindole	н	cooch,	н	CH,	н'	Piperidine	PE	rt	а			70	110			146
Oxindole	Н	соосн	н	CH,	CH,	Pyrrolidine		rt	а	Ь	74-76					146
Oxindole	CH,	COC'H'	н	CH,	н	Piperidine	PE	0+rt	2 h			80-91	97–98			145
Oxindole	CH,	COC H	н	CH,	CH,	Pyrrolidine		rt	5–10'			91	92–93			145
Oxindole	CH,	COC H	-CH ₂ CH ₂ CH	, _	н́	Pyrrolidine	PE	0	а					74	81-83	146
Oxindole	CH,	COOCH,	н́́	ĈСН,	н	Piperidine	PE	rt	а			62	158–159			146
Oxindole	CH,	COOCH,	Н	CH,	CH,	Pyrrolidine		rt	а			81	76			146
Oxindole	CH,	COOCH,	-CH,CH,CF	<u>,</u>	н	Pyrrolidine	PE	0	а					58	66–68	146
Oxindole	CH ₂ CH ₃	COC,H	Н́	CH,	н	Piperidine	PE	0+rt	2 h			81	105-106	1)		145
Oxindole	CH ₂ CH ₃	COC H	Н	CH,	CH,	Pyrrolidine		rt	5–10'			90	113-115			145
Oxindole	COCH,	C,H,	Н	CH,	H	Piperidine	C H	0	5-10'	73.5	99–101					144
Oxindole	COCH,	С ҉H	Н	CH,	CH,	Pyrrolidine		0	1 h	76	77–78					144
Oxindole	COCH,	C,H,	-CH ₂ CH ₂ CH	2CH2-	н	Pyrrolidine	PE	0	45'	95	86-88					144
Oxindole	COCH,	COC, H,	Н	CH,	н	Piperidine	PE	0+rt	2 h	99	100-102					145
Oxindole	COCH	COC, H,	н	CH,	CH,	Pyrrolidine		rt	5–10'	84–94	74-75					145
Oxindole	COCH,	COC H	-CH2CH2CH	2-	н	Pyrrolidine	PE	0	а					75	89-91	146
Oxindole	COCH,	COOCH,	ΗŢ	CH,	н	Piperidine	PE	rt	а	95	95–97					146
Oxindole	COCH,	COOCH,	н	CH,	CH3	Pyrrolidine		rt	а	95	100-102					146
Oxindole	COCH,	COOCH ₃	-CH2CH2CH	2-	н	Pyrrolidine	PE	0	а					73	72–73	146
Oxindole	COC, H,	COC'H	Н	СH,	н	Piperidine	PE	0+rt	2 h	95	93–95					145
Oxindole	COC,H	COC H	н	CH ₃	CH,	Pyrrolidine		rt	5–10'	94	90–92					145
Oxindole	COC H,	COOCH,	н	CH ₃	н	Piperīdine	PE	rt	а	84	107–108					146
Oxindole	COC H,	COOCH,	н	CH ₃	СН,	Pyrrolidine		rt	а	81	118–120					146
Oxindole	CH ₂ C ₆ H ₅	COC'H	н	CH ₃	H	Piperidine	PE	0+rt	2 h			87	111-113			145
Oxindole	CH ₂ C ₆ H ₅	COC H,	н	CH,	СН₃	Pyrrolidine		0	с	100 ^d	90-91	100^{d}	89-91			145
Oxindole	CH ₂ C ₆ H ₅	COC®H	-CH ₂ CH ₂ CH	2-	н	Pyrrolidine	PE	0	Fast					87 ^e	е	146
Oxindole	CH ₂ C ₆ H ₅	COOCH,	Н	СН₃	н	Piperidine	PE	rt	а			64	88–90			146
Oxindole	CH ₂ C ₆ H ₅	COOCH3	Н	CH,	СН,	Pyrrolidine		0	а			93	120–121			146
Oxindole	CH₂C₅H₅	COOCH3	-CH ₂ CH ₂ CH	2-	н	Pyrrolidine	PE	0	Fast-					92 ^e	e	146
Oxindole	CH₂C₅H₅	COOC ₂ H ₅	н	СН,	н	Piperidine	PE	rt	a			79	102-104			146
Oxindole	CH₂C₅H₅	COOC ₂ H ₅	н	СН,	СН,	Pyrrolidine		0	а	70 <i>d</i>	75-77	70d	75-77			146
Oxindole	CH₂C₅H₅	COOC₂H₅	-CH ₂ CH ₂ CH	2	н	Pyrrolidine	PE	0	Fast					35 <i>e</i>	е	146
Oxindole	CH₂C₅H₅	COOC₂H₅	-CH ₂ CH ₂ CH	CH2-	Η	Pyrrolidine	PE	0	Fast					70 ^e	e	146
Oxindole	CH ₂ C ₆ H ₄ -p-OCH ₃	COC⁰H°	н	СН₃	н	Piperidine	PE	0+rt	2 h			82	98–101			145
Oxindole	CH ₂ C ₆ H ₄ -p-OCH ₃	COC°H°	Н	СН₃	CH₃	Pyrrolidine		rt	5–10'			82	98–100			145
Oxindole	$CH_2C_6H_4$ -p-NO ₂	COC₅H₅	Н	СН₃	н	Piperidine	C°H°	0+rt	2 h			90	112-114			145
1,3-Dioxanedione		C₅H₅	Н	СН₃	CH₃	Morpholine	C°H°	rt	Fast	80	87-91					143
1,3-Dioxanedione		C₅H₅	-CH ₂ CH ₂ CH	I2CH2-	н	Morpholine	Ether	f	f	100	103-106					143
1,3-Dioxanedione		<i>p-</i> NO ₂ C ₆ H ₄	Н	СН,	CH₃	Morpholine	C°H°	rt	Fast	f	f					143
1,3-Dioxanedione		p-NO₂C₅H₄	-CH ₂ CH ₂ CH	₂ CH ₂ -	н	Morpholine	Ether	f	f	100	121–123					143

^{*d*} Until color of the starting material disappears. ^{*b*} Undetermined because of the instability of the adduct. ^{*c*} Various times depending upon the ratio of reagents. ^{*d*} 1,2- or 1,4-adduct obtained; the latter spontaneously at -18° changes into the former. ^{*e*} Detected by spectroscopic methods, isolated as hydrolysis product. ^{*f*} Not reported in the original paper. ^{*g*} PE = petroleum ether.

dolideneacetate **104** (R = CH₂C₆H₅, R' = OC₂H₅) which, under severe conditions, is reported to give products¹⁴⁸ whose origin is connected with attack in the α -position of the α , β -unsaturated system to give **110** (Scheme XXVI). Reinvestigation of the reaction revealed that attack occurs only in the β position and, under mild conditions (-20°), Michael adducts **111** are obtained.¹⁴⁶

Rationalization can be proposed in terms of the better stabilization of the positive charge in the zwitterion **112** due to the alkyl residue adjacent to the amine function. In this case the α -proton loss is preferred over ring closure and a Michael adduct is obtained.



A further rationalization arises from the lower separation of the frontier orbitals promoted both by the electron-attracting carbonyl group in the β position of the unsaturated C==C-C==O system and by the electron-donating alkyl residues of the enamine. Furthermore, the increased asymmetry of the coefficients, in addition to the large frontier control, strongly favors a zwitterionic pathway.

In conclusion, the unusual behavior of enamines in general, and of their reaction with unsaturated heterocyclic carbonyls in particular, must be pointed out. A variety of reactions occurs: 1,4- and 1,2-cycloadditions and Michael reactions (collected in Table XII). A zwitterionic intermediate seems to rationalize this behavior.

Unfortunately these heterodiene syntheses are not general for all heterocycles since 4-benzylidene-5-oxazolones^{149,150} undergo ring opening. Enamines do not attack the unsaturated system but attack the carbonyl group whose carbon atom is rendered highly electrophilic by the adjacent oxygen atom of the heterocyclic ring.

B. Ynamines

Ynamines are known to react with a variety of α , β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds and different reactions occur. The most common reaction is a 1,4-cycloaddition¹⁵¹⁻¹⁵³ and amino pyrans **113** are formed (Scheme XXVII). Besides these

SCHEME XXVII



main products, open-chain amides **116** sometimes occur¹⁵¹ which originate from 1,2-cycloaddition to the carbonyl group followed by electrocyclic ring opening of the oxete intermediate **114.** If the carbonyl group belongs to an ester, a further alternative occurs¹⁵² with 1,2-cycloaddition to the C=C double bond. These cyclobutane derivatives **115** do not undergo ring opening, but a shift of the double bond occurs giving **117**.

The above reported behavior of unsaturated esters changes for acetylenedicarboxylic esters (ADE).¹⁵⁴ Two moles of ester are involved and hexasubstituted benzene derivatives **119** are obtained (Scheme XXVIII), probably via a dipolar species **118** which undergoes 1,4-dipolar cycloaddition. An alternative ring closure followed by a Diels-Alder reaction with ring opening (disrotatory!) of the bicyclic adduct **120** seems unlikely.

SCHEME XXVIII



The pyran adducts **113** are potential enamines and, under more severe conditions, a second mole of unsaturated carbonyl compound is added.¹⁵⁵ These bis-adducts **121** undergo ring opening on heating and, in accordance with examples described in previous sections, Michael-type products **122** are obtained (Scheme XXIX).



Ynamines also react with acylketenes **123**,^{156,157} and adducts from three competitive processes are obtained: (a) 1,2-cycloaddition to the C=O group which gives allene derivatives **127** via **124**; (b) 1,2-cycloaddition to the C=C group giving cyclobutenones **125**; (c) 1,4-cycloaddition giving γ -pyrones **126** (Scheme XXX).

It is very difficult to rationalize the observed selectivity of attack since, e.g., γ -pyrones **126** are isolated only from carbamoyl ketenes (**123**; R = NR₂) if R' is a phenyl group. Otherwise 1,2-cycloadducts are formed exclusively.¹⁵⁷ Table XIII collects the variety of adducts previously described.

The variety of reaction modes cannot be considered definite evidence of a dipolar intermediate since [2 + 2] cycloadditions with ynamines can also occur in a concerted manner which does not necessarily involve an antarafacial approach. In addition to the 1,4-intermediate (Figure 9, case A), similar alternative 1,2-intermediates (cases B–E) are reported. These 1,2-reactions could in fact be regarded as [2 + 2 + 2] cycloadditions involving 4π electrons from the ynamine¹⁵⁸ (cases B and C) or $2\pi + 2n$ electrons from the carbonyl group (case D) or even a second pair of π electrons from the

a R Unaatur	ated carbo	nyl dori			Vaamina	Ponetio	n conditio	ne	Total	1	,4-Adduct	1,2(C	=C)-Adduct	1,2(C=	=0)-Adduct	1,4-	Bis-adduct	
R	R ₁	R ₂	R ₃	R ₄	N)	Solvent	T, °C	Time	yield, %	Yield %	, Mp (bp/ mmHg), °C	Yield, %	Mp (bp/ mmHg), C	Yield, %	Mp (bp/ mmHg), C	Yield, %	Mp (bp/ mmHg), C	Ref
Η	н	н	н	CH.	Diethylamino	Ether	25	3 h	20	20	(71/13)							151
н	н	н	н	СН	Diethylamino	THE	80	15h	34	30	(81/0.07)			4	(91/0.05)			151
Н	н	C.H.	H	ČĤ.	Diethylamino	Ether	25	15 h	50	10	(84/0.05)			40	(154/0.07)			151
CH,	н	Ĥ	н	H,	Diethylamino	Dioxane	20	2 h	60		(,,			• -	(,,	60	(83-84/0.1)	155
сн	н	н	н	н	Morpholino	Dioxane	50	4 h	70							70	72	155
CH,	н	н	н	H	Methyl-	Dioxane	70	6 h	45							45	(116/0.07)	155
3					phenyl- amino												(,,	
CH,	н	н	н	CH,	Diethylamino	Ether	25	3 h	50	50	(71/7)							151
CH,	н	Η·	н	CH,	Morpholino	а	50	а	а	а	(95-100/8)							155
CH,	н	н	н	CH,	Morpholino	a	80	а	а	а	(95 - 100/8)					а	65	155
CH,	н	н	н	C, Ĥ,	Diethylamino	THF	80	6 h	40	40	(85/0.05)							151
OCH3	н	н	н	CH,	Diethylamino	THF	–10+rfl	0.5 h	70	50	(37/0.05)	20	(60/0.01)					152
OCH3	н	СН,	н	СН,	Diethylamino	THF	-10+rfl	12 h	35	35	(37/0.01)							152
OCH,	СН,	н	н	СН₃	Diethylamino	THF	-10+rfl	12 h	60	60	(36/0.03)							152
C⁰H³	C⁰H°	C₄H₅	н	СН₃	Diethylamino	Ethanol	0+rt	8 h	32	32	111.5-112							153
CH==CHC ⁶ H ²	н	C⁰H°	н	CH3	Diethylamino	Ethanol	0+rt	а	18 ^b									153
CH2CH(CH3)C	H ₂ CH ₂	СН,	СН₃	CH,	Diethylamino	Xylene	150	20 h	35	35	(79/0.07)							151
C(CHC ₆ H ₅)CH	2CH2CH	2 C⁰H²	н	CH,	Diethylamino	Ethanol	0+rt	2.5 h	32	32	80-82							153
OC₂H₅	CH,	0)	CH,	Diethylamino	Hex/C ₆ H ₆	rt	1 h	65			3	75	62	(85/0.05)			157
OC₂H₅	CH,	0)	C₄H₅	Diethylamino	Hex/C ₆ H ₆	rt	1 h	70			14	83	56	а			157
OC₂H₃	C₅H₅	0)	CH3	Diethylamino	Hex/C H	rt	1 h	65			32.5	(140/0.01)	32.5	(140/0.02)			157
OC ₂ H _s	C ₆ H ₅	C)	C₅H,	Diethylamino	Hex/C ₆ H ₆	rt	1 h	65			65	85					157
$N(CH_3)_2$	C₅H₅	C	c	C₅H₅	Diethylamino	CH₃CN	rtd	24 h	81	81	220							156
N(C₂H₅)₂	CH,	C)	СН,	Diethylamino	Hex/C ₆ H ₆	rt	1 h	70			3	(120/0.005)	67	Dec			157
N(C ₂ H ₅) ₂	CH3	C)	C₄H₅	Diethylamino	Hex/C,H,	rt	1 h	70			21	а	49	Dec			157
$N(C_2H_5)_2$	C₅H₅	C)	CH,	Diethylamino	Hex/C H	rt	1 h	70	70	(140/0.005)							157
$N(C_2H_5)_2$	C ₆ H ₅	C) <i>c</i>	C₄H,	Diethylamino	CH3CN .	rtd	24 h	96	96	146							156
$N(C_2H_5)_2$	C H,	C)	Ċ,H,	Diethylamino	Hex/C ₆ H ₆	rt	1 h	50	50	146							157

 $R_{R} \xrightarrow{R_{2}} R_{3} + C_{R} \xrightarrow{R_{4}} Adducts$

⁴ Not reported in the original paper, ^b Isolated as hydrolysis product, ^c Prepared in situ from ynamine and carbon dioxide. ^d Under pressure.

TABLE XIV. Adducts with Ketenes

R ₂	R4	R ₅	R ₂ R ₃ R	4	R ₂	
	+	Ľ Ľ→	R	s or	RIT KA	
RKO	•	0	R [™] 0 [™] 0	A	R [↓] 0↓0	B

					Katana Perstian conditions				Adduct A				
α,β -Unsaturated carbonyl derivative			ative	Ket	tene	Reaction conditions			Yield.			Mp	
R	R ₁	R ₂	R ₃	R4	R ₅	Solvent	<i>T</i> , °C	Time	%	Mp (bp/mmHg), °C	Yield, %	(bp/mmHg), °C	Ref
CH,	Н	Pyrrolidino	Pyrrolidino ^a	н	Н	Ether	0	b			58	177–178	187
сн	н	Piperidino	Piperidino ^a	н	н	Ether	0	b			54	130-131	187
CH,	н	Morpholino	Morpholino ^a	н	н	Ether	0	b			52–68	178-178.5	187

G

CH,	CH,	Piperidino	Piperidino ^a	н	н	Ether	0	b			55	125-126	187
CH ₃	CH3	Morpholino	Morpholino ^a	н	н	Ether	0	b			51	94-95	187
CH,	C,Ĥ,	Piperidino	Piperidino ^a	н	н	Ether	0	b			51	156-157	187
CH ₃	C ₂ H _s	Morpholino	Morpholino ^a	н	н	Ether	0''	b			53	85-86	187
CH ₃		1, (CH ₂), CH ₂ -	Pyrrolidino	н	н	Ether	b	2 h			50	82-83	188
CH,	–CH	1, (CH ₂), CH ₂ -	Piperidino	н	н	Ether	b	2 h			7	b	188
CH,	–CH	1, (CH,), CH, -	Morpholino	н	н	Ether	b	2 h			0		188
(CH,),CH	н	N(CH ₄),	н	CH,	CH,	Benzene	20-30	2 h	86	(79/1)			189
(CH,),CH	н	N(CH ₃),	Н	<i>n-</i> C_H_	C,H,	Toluene	refl	8 h	52	(110 - 113/1.5)			175
Ċ,H,	н	C,H,	Н	C,H,c	с,́н,́		130-140	4 h	22d	189-190			178
C, H,	н	p-CH ₂ OC ₂ H ₄	н	Ċ,Ĥ,ċ	с,́н,́		130-140	4 h	42d	179-180			178
C, H,	H	p-(CH,),NC,H,	н	Ċ,Ĥ,ċ	Ċ.H.		130-140	4 h	34d	199-200			178
(C,H,),CH	CH,	OC H.	OC.H.	C.H.	C.H.		120	10 h	15	174-175			183
(C,H,),CH	Ċ.H.	och.	OCH.	C.H.	С.Н.	b	Ь	b	b.e	b.e			183
-(CH.).	- 0 5	NICH(CH.).1.	H	Cl	CÎ	Benzene	rt	15'	54	98-99			186
-(CH_)	-	N(CH_)C_H	Н	CI	CI	Benzene	rt	15'	48	105-106			186
-(CH.).	-	N(C.H.).	Н	CI	ci	Benzene	rt	15'	42	169-170			186
-(CH.).	-	N(CH.).	н	С.Н.	СH.	Benzene	rt	30'	49	107-108			185
-(CH.)		N(C.H.)	н	C H	СH.	Benzene	rt	30'	40	109-110			185
-(CH.).	-	NICH(CH.).1.	н	Cl	C	Benzene	rt	15'	61	116-117			186
-(CH.)	-	N[CH(CH_),1,	н	Č.H.	С.Н.	Benzene	rt	30'	68	97-98			185
-(CH)	_	Piperidino	н	СН	С́Н.	Benzene	rt	30'	39	124-125			185
-(CH)		Morpholino	н	сн С н	C H	Benzene	rt	30'	53	141-141 5			185
-(CH)	_	N(CH.)C H	н	CI	CI	Benzene	rt	15'	51	122-123			186
-(CH)	_		н	сн Сн	СН	Benzene	rt	30'	58	155-156			185
-(CH)		N(C H)	н			Benzene	rt	15'	56	183-184			186
-(CH)		N(C H)	н	сн Сн	СН	Benzene	rt	30'	36	157 5-158 5			185
-(CH)		N(CH)	н		CI	Benzene	rt	15'	59	90-91			186
-(CH)	•	N(CH)	н	сн сн	СН	Benzene	rt	30'	59	92-93			185
-(CH)	-	N(CH)	н	С н	C H	Benzene	rt	30'	15	77-78			185
-(CH)	_	$N(C_2 \cap_5)_2$	н			Benzene	1 L rt	15'	50	81-82			186
-(CH)	_	$N[CH(CH_3)_2]_2$	н	СН	СН	Benzene	rt	30'	20	89-90			185
-(CH)		Piperidino	н	С H	С H	Benzene	rt	30'	20 13	107-108			185
-(CH)		Morpholino		С°Ц	С Ц	Benzene	r t	30'	40	125 - 126			185
-(CH)	_		н Ц			Benzono	r L	15'	72	03-04			185
			н Н			Benzene	rt	30'	61	136-137			185
-(CH)	_	N(CH)	н	С ₆ П ₅		Benzene	rt	15/	04	160-170			185
-(CH)		N(C H)				Benzene	r t	30'	10	169-170			185
		N(CH)				Benzene	r t	15'	49	70 80			185
	-	$N(CH_3)_2$				Benzene	r L	30'	20	114-115			185
		N(CH)				Benzono	r L +	30'	29 50	105 107			185
	-	$N(C_2 \cap_5)_2$				Benzene	r L	15'	12	105-107			185
		$N[CH(CH_3)_2]_2$				Benzene	r L 	20'	43	90-99 02 05			100
	_	$\operatorname{Riperidipe}_{2}$				Benzene	r L ++	20'	20	121 122			185
-(CH)₀	_	Morpholipo		С6 ^П 5		Benzone	1 L +	20'	60	151-152			100
				С ₆ П ₅	С6 С	Benzene	rt 	3U 1E'	03 E4	151-152			100
	-					Benzene	ΓL	10	54 97	119-120			100
				C6∐°	C6H5	Denzene	ľ Ľ	30	20	100-104			105
$-(CH_2)_6$		N(C H)				Benzene	۲ĭ	10	30	208-209			180
$-(CH_2)_6$	_	$N(C_6\Pi_5)_2$	н	C₀H₅	C₀H₅	Benzene	rt	30.	50	161-162			185
^a Prepared in	situ from k	ketene and aminals. ^b N	Not reported in the c	original paper.	^C As auina	line complex.	^I Variable amou	nts of buta	diene deri	vatives isolated (see te	xt). ^e Error	neously described a	s a cvclo-

^{*a*} Prepared in situ from ketene and aminals. ^{*b*} Not reported in the original paper. ^{*c*} As quinoline complex. ^{*d*} Variable amounts of butadiene derivatives isolated (see text). ^{*e*} Erroneously described as a cyclo-butanone derivative: R. Scarpati and D. Sica, *Rend. Accad. Sci. Fis. Mat. Naples*, [IV] 27, 70 (1961).



Figure 9. Possible allowed cycloadditions of α,β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds with ynamines (schematic representation).

SCHEME XXX



cumulated double bond (case E) in accordance with the concerted process proposed by Huisgen¹⁵⁹ for cycloadditions with ketenes.

On the contrary, a dipolar intermediate has to be proposed for the reaction involving ketene aminals **128** which are sometimes used as alternatives to ynamines. An open-chain Michael adduct **130** is isolated¹⁵⁵ (Scheme XXXI) and the presence of the zwitterion **129** can be rationalized both in terms of increased frontier control, since the energy of HOMO in aminals should be higher than in enamines and therefore much higher than in ynamines, and in terms of better stabilization of the intermediate.

SCHEME XXXI



C. Alternative Reactions

Several α,β -unsaturated carbonyl derivatives are known to react with unsaturated amines in different ways from the schemes reported in the previous sections. If the carbonyl system has a rigid transoid conformation, no 1,4-cycloaddition is possible. In this case a variety of reactions occurs; e.g., cyclopropenones give ring opening, ¹⁶⁰⁻¹⁶² whereas esters of quadratic acid give substitution.¹⁶³ Tropone undergoes either a Diels-Alder-type reaction with the C—C—C—C fragment to give **132** or 1,8-cycloaddition to give **133**.¹⁶⁴ A dipolar intermediate **131** was early proposed,^{164a} but allowed $[\pi 4_s + \pi 2_s]$ and $[\pi 8_s + \pi 2_s]$ routes respectively for **132** and **133** can be proposed in the light of recent work on the same substrate^{165,166} to explain the great stereoselectivity (Scheme XXXII).

SCHEME XXXII



p-Quinone derivatives **134** give benzofurans **136** via the dipolar intermediate **135**¹⁶⁷⁻¹⁷³ (Scheme XXXIII).

SCHEME XXXIII



Ynamines give stable condensed cyclobutenes with both cyclopentenone and cyclohexenone derīvatīves.¹⁷⁴⁻¹⁷⁶ Besides a 1,3-cycloadduct **137**, quinones give a 1,2-cycloaddition to the C \equiv O bond¹⁷⁷ with electrocyclic ring opening of the spiro-oxete intermediate **138** leading to **139** (Scheme XXXIV).

SCHEME XXXIV



VIII. Reaction of C=C-C=O System with Cumulated Double Bonds

In general the reaction of α , β -unsaturated carbonyl derivatives with ketenes occurs as a formal [4 + 2] cycloaddition involving the cumulated C=C bond.

The first example was given by Staudinger¹⁷⁸ who found δ -lactones **140** as the main reaction products, as well as

some butadiene derivatives **141** whose origin could involve a [2 + 2] cycloaddition to the carbonyl group followed by elimination of CO₂ (Scheme XXXV). The synthetic usefulness of the reaction was pointed out.¹⁷⁹

SCHEME XXXV



The most investigated reaction was the cycloaddition between ketenes and stongly nucleophilic olefins such as enamines, ketene aminals and acetals. The reaction involves more than 1 mol of ketene¹⁸⁰ and a heterodiene cycloaddition was suggested as an intermediate stage.¹⁸¹ Further Investigation, however, revealed that the first step (Scheme XXXVI) is nu-





cleophilic attack of the olefin on the ketene carbonyl group, and it was suggested that either the cyclobutane **143**^{181,182} or the dipolar intermediate **142**¹⁸³ occurs. The dipolar intermediate **142** can undergo either a thermal rearrangement to the α , β -unsaturated ketone **144** or can react with a second mole of ketene to give the unstable pyrone **145**^{183,184} in accordance with a 1,4-dipolar cycloaddition.

144 can act as a heterodiene since it reacts with a further mole of ketene to give the thermally stable pyrones **146.**¹⁸⁵⁻¹⁸⁷ These are also formed from **145** and can lose HX depending upon the substituents.^{187,188}

Two competitive cycloaddition modes from **144** to **146** are possible: Opitz¹⁸⁸ suggested a ''diene synthesis'' leading directly to the six-membered ring; Martin et al.¹⁸⁹ proposed the cyclobutanone intermediate **147** which subsequently rearranges to **146**.

This second pathway, which can be regarded as an allowed [2 + 2 + 2] cycloaddition if the 4π electrons of ketene are involved, seems to be supported by the behavior of cyclic enamino ketones **148** which react with ketene¹⁹⁰ to give **150** via the spirocyclobutanone **149** (Scheme XXXVII). Table XIV collects the six-membered adducts previously described.



IX. Reaction of the C—C—C—O System with Double Bonds Containing Heteroatoms

Enamino ketones **151** react easily with sulfene (prepared in situ from mesyl chloride and triethylamine) in accordance with a 1,4-cycloaddition if they can adopt a cisoid conformation.¹⁹¹ β -Amino δ -sultones **152** are obtained in good yields.



A wide range of substrates were tested, mainly for synthetic purposes: open-chain,^{188,191,192} cyclic,^{190,193,194} and heterocyclic^{195,196} enamino ketones (Table XV). A kinetic investigation of the influence of the substituents in enamino ketones which could determine the mechanism was never performed.

Whereas a concerted [4 + 2] mechanism can be proposed, the low-lying LUMO of sulfene¹⁹⁷ and the resonance of the nitrogen lone pair with α , β -unsaturated carbonyl system, which enhances the carbonyl HOMO, can make the interaction HOMO_{carbonyl}/LUMO_{sulfene} sufficiently great to reverse the usual donor and acceptor characters of the reagents.

X. α,β-Unsaturated Carbonyls Containing Cumulated Double Bonds

The 1,4-cycloaddltion reaction of acylketenes, acting as dienes, on substrates like aldehydes, ketones, nitriles, isocyanates, azomethines, carbodiimides, and acylketenes (leading to dimeric products) was reviewed by Ulrich,¹⁹⁸ and some reactions with ynamines^{156,157} have already been reported in section VII.B.

 α -Allenic ketones **153** react with furan¹⁹⁹ and both reagents can be regarded as potential dienes (Scheme XXXVIII). Kinetically controlled adducts **154** are formed when

SCHEME XXXVIII



furan acts as the diene, but they slowly undergo a retro-Diels-Alder reaction and thermodynamically more stable pyran-furans **155** are formed via a heterodiene cycloaddition.

The reaction involving α -oxoketenes is far more important. Some new preparations of the starting O=C_C=C=O system **156** (which has to be generated in situ) have been achieved (Scheme XXXIX) (A) from salicylic acid;²⁰⁰ (B) from diazocyclohexane-1,3-dione;²⁰¹ (C) from adipyl chloride deriv-



TABLE XV. Adducts with Sulfene

$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	10mHg), °C Ref 92 191 191 92 192
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	92 191 191 92 192
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	191 92 192
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	92 192
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	109 191
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	109 192
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	82 188, 192
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	109 188, 192
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	155 188, 192
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	95 191
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	95 192
-CH ₂ (CH ₂) ₂ CH ₂ Pyrrolidino H Ether 0+rt 1 h 80 105- -CH ₂ (CH ₂) ₂ CH ₂ Piperidino H Ether 0+rt 1 h 80 87- -CH ₂ (CH ₂) ₂ CH ₂ Piperidino H Ether 0+rt 1 h 80 87- -CH ₂ (CH ₂) ₂ CH ₂ Morpholino H Ether/dioxane 0+rt 1 h 91 149-	77 190
-CH ₂ (CH ₂) ₂ CH ₂ Pīperidino H Ether 0+rt 1 h 80 87- -CH ₂ (CH ₂) ₂ CH ₂ Morpholino H Ether/dioxane 0+rt 1 h 91 149-	106 190
-CH ₂ (CH ₂) ₂ CH ₂ - Morpholino H Ether/dioxane 0+rt 1 h 91 149-	88 190
	150 190
-CH ₂ (CH ₂),CH ₂ - 1-(4-Methylpiperazino) H Ether 0+rt 1 h 73 158-	159 190
-CH ₄ (CH ₄),CH ₄ - Dimethylamino H Ether 0+rt 1 h 79 69-	70 193
$-CH_{A}(CH_{A})CH_{A}$ Diethylamino H Ether 0+rt 1 h 71 60-	61 193
$-CH_{1}(CH_{1})$, CH_{2} , $-CH_{2}(CH_{2})$, CH_{2} , $-CH_{2}(CH_{2})$, CH_{2} , $-CH_{2}(CH_{2})$, CH_{2} , $-CH_{2}(CH_{2})$, $-CH_{2}(C$	54.5 193
-CH_(CH_)-CH_+ Pyrrolidino H Ether 0+rt 1 h 84 85-	86 193
$-CH_{L}(CH_{a})$ Piperidino H Ether 0+rt 1 h 69 114-	115 193
$-CH_{(CH_{*})}CH_{*}$ 1-(4-Methylpiperazino) H Dioxane 0+rt 1 h 66 129-	130 193
$-CH_{L}(CH_{+})$ Morpholino H Ether 0+rt 1 h 85 103-	104 193
$-CH_1(CH_1)$, CH_2 1-(4-Methylpiperazino) H Ether 0+rt 1 h 82 100-	101 193
-CH (CH.) - 1/(4-Pbenylpiperazino) H Ether 0+rt 1 h 77 146.5-	147.5 193
-CH (CH) CH $-$ 1-Hexabydroazenino H Ether 0+rt 1 h 65 75-	76 193
$-CH_{(CH_2)}$ $1 - Ctabydroazorino H Ether 0+tt 1 h 73 88-$.89 193
$-CH_{(CH)}$ $CH = 12.34$ Tetrahydroguinolino H Ether 0+rt 1 h 77 140	141 193
$-CH_{12}(CH_{2})_{3}(H_{2})$ $N(CH_{1})$ $N(CH_{1})$ H Ether $0+rt$ 1 h a $(140)^{t}$.05) 193
$-CH_{(CH_{2})} = 0$.05) 193
-CH(CH) CH = - Dimethylamino H Ether 0+rt 1 b 74 86-	87 193
$-Ch_2(Ch_2)_4Ch_2$ Directly lamino H Ether 0+rt 1 h 64 64	65 193
$-CH(CH) CH_{-}$ Diverprovide the second se	55/0.1) 193
-CH(CH) CH - Pyrolidino H Ether 0+rt 1 h 82 92-	93 193
$-CH(CH) CH_{-}$ Piperidino H Ether $0+rt 1 h 65 126$	127 193
$-CH_{1}(CH_{1})$ $-CH_{2}$ $1-(4-Phenylniperidino)$ H Ether $0+rt$ 1 h 49 181-	182 193
$-CH_{1}(CH_{1})$ $CH_{}$ Morpholino H Ether 0+rt 1 h 85 127-	128 193
$-CH_{1}(CH_{1}) - CH_{2}$ 1-(4-Methylpiperazino) H Ether 0+rt 1 h 59 97-	98 193
$-CH_1(CH_1)$, CH_2 1-(4-Phenyloiperazino) H Ether 0+rt 1 h 53 147-	148 193
$-CH_1(CH_1)$, CH_2 1-Hexahydroazenino H Ether 0+rt 1 h 62 84.5-	85.5 193
$-CH_1(CH_1)$, CH_2 1-Octahydroazocino, H Ether 0+rt 1 h 50 76-	77 193
$-CH_1(CH_1)$, CH_2 1,2,3,4-Tetrahydroguinolino H Ether 0+rt 1 h 51 147-	148 193
$-CH_{1}(CH_{1})_{1}CH_{2}$ N(CH_{2})(CH_{2})_{1}N(C_{2}H_{2})_{2} H Ether 0+rt 1 h 49 (185–	90/0.5) 193
$-CH_{(CH_{1})}CH_{-}$ N(C ₁ H ₂)(CH ₂) ₂ N(C ₂ H ₂) ₂ H Ether 0+rt 1 h 64 (190-	95/0.5) 193
45.(cis-Carape) = Direction H Ether 0+rt 1 h 74 (165-	68/0.3) 194

G. Desimoni and G. Tacconi

-CH,CH,N(CH,C,H,)CH,-	Dimethylamino	н	Ether	0+rt	1 h	10	90-92	195
-CH,CH,N(CH,C,H,)CH,-	Diethylamino	н	Ether	0+rt	1 h	60	94–95	195
4.3-(2,3-Dihydrochromane)	Pyrrolidino	н	Dioxane	0+rt	4 h	30	124-125	196
4,3-(2,3-Dihydrochromane)	Piperidino	н	Ether/dioxane	0+rt	4 h	30	148-149	196
4,3-(2,3-Dihydrochromane)	Morpholino	н	Ether/THF	0+rt	4 h	45	171-172	196
4,3-(2,3-Dihydro-6-methylchromane)	Pyrrolidino	н	Ether	0+rt	4 h	26	129-130	196
4.3-(2.3-Dihydro-6-methylchromane)	Piperidino	н	Ether	0+rt	4 h	70	145-146	196
4,3-(2,3-Dihydro-6-methylchromane)	Morpholino	н	Ether	0+rt	4 h	33	157	196

^aNot reported in the original paper.

TABLE XVI. Adducts from α -Oxoketenes



	a-Oxoketen	es			Re	action conditions				
Origin	R	R ₁	x x=	Y Y	Solvent	Ť, °C	Time	Yield, %	Mp,°C	Ref
D	C,H,	COC H	C, H, N	СО	Benzene	80	1 h	43	242	204
D	ĊĸĦĸ	COC, H,	p-CH ₃ C ₆ H ₄ N	со	Benzene	80	6 h	45	243	204
D	С, H,	COC,H	Č, H, N	CHC [*] H [*]	Xylene	Refl	2 h	27	168–169	205
D	Ċ,H,	COC H	p-CH ₃ C ₆ H ₄ N	CHC,H,	Xylene	Refl	2 h	76 <i>a</i>	а	205
D	Ċ _ĸ Ĥ	COC,H	p-NO ₂ C ₆ H ₄ N	CHC,H	Xylene	Ŕefl	2 h	58 <i>ª</i>	а	205
в	–ČH,CH,	СН,-	0	CHCČI	Xylene	130-140	40'	66	129.5-131	201
С	–CH,CH,	СН,-	0	CHCCI	Ether	35	40'	56	134-136	202
С	-CH,CH,	CH,-	0	CHCBr	Ether	35	40'	21	153-155	202
С	–CH,CH,	CH,-	0	CHC ₂ H ₃	Ether	35	40'	88.5	b	202
С	-CH,CH,	CH,-	0	CHC,H	Ether	35	40′	71	97	202
в	–CH,CH,	CH,-	0	CH-o-CIC,H,	Xylene	130-140	40'	61	105	201
С	–CH,CH,	CH,-	0	CH-o-CIC,H	Éther	35	40'	40	110-111	202
В	-CHĴCHĴ	CH,-	0	CH-p-CIC,H	Xylene	130-140	40'	54	103	201
С	–CH,CH,	CH,-	0	CH-p-CIC,H	Ether	35	40'	65	104-105	202
С	-CH,CH,	CH,-	0	CH-o-CH ₃ C ₆ H ₄	Ether	35	40'	61.5	102-103	202
С	-CH,CH,	CH,-	0	CH-p-CH ₃ C ₆ H	Ether	35	40'	59	87-88	202
С	-CH,CH,	CHĴ-	0	CH-p-CH ₃ OC ₆ H ₄	Ether	35	40'	71.5	92–93	202
в	-CH,CH,	CHĴ-	0	CH-p-NO ₂ C ₆ H	Xylene	130-140	40'	74	129.5	201
С	-CH,CH,	CH,-	0	CH-p-NO ₂ C ₆ H	Ether	35	40'	33	129–130	202
С	-CH,CH,	CHĴ-	0	CHCH=CHC,H,	Ether	35	40'	53.5	116-118	202
С	-CH ₂ CH	CHĴ-	0	CH(2-furyl)	Ether	35	40'	54	105-107	202
С	-CH ₂ CH ₂	CHĴ-	0	CH(4-pyridyl)	Ether	35	40'	45	106-107	202
С	-CH_CH_	CHĴ-	0	C(CH,),	Ether	с	с	71.5	с	203
С	-CH_CH_	CH	0	C(CH,),	Ether	35	40'	71.5	38	202
в	-CH_CH_	CH	0	C(CH)	Cyclohexane	120	1 h	72.5	42	201
С	-CH.CH.	CH	0	C(CH)	Ether	35	40'	71	40-41	202
Ċ	-CH.CH.	CH	0	C(CH,)C,H,	Ether	35	40'	51.5	Ь	202
Ċ	-CH.CH.	CH	О	C(CH_)C_H_	Ether	35	40'	9	93-95	202
В	-CH.CH.	CH	N	CC.H.	C,H,CN	145	1 h	56-66	193	201
c	-CH ₂ CH ₂	CH	CH ₂ N	С(ѕ ́Сӊ҆,),	Êther	rt	80'	43	69-70	202
Ċ	-CH.CH	CH	n-C_H_N	chcci	Ether	rt	80'	20	104-106	202
Ċ	-CH.CH.	CH	n-C.H.N	CHCCI	Ether	rt	80′	16	63-64	202
В	-CH ₂ CH ₂	CH ₂ -	C ₆ H ₅ N	CHC'H'	Xylene	140+rt	1.5+8 h	25 <i>d</i>	101-104	201

Chemical Reviews, 1975, Vol. 75, No. 6

677

TABLE XVI (Continued)

	α-Oxoketenes				Read	ction conditions				
		•	x — 1	ť			•····		<u>^</u>	
Origin	R	R ₁	х	Y	Solvent	<i>т</i> , °С	Time	Yield, %	Mp,°C	Ref
С	-CH ₂ CH ₂ C	H ₂	(C ₆ H ₅) ₂ C	со	Ether	35	1 h	21	179–180	202
С	-C(CH ₃) ₂ C	H ₂ CH ₂ -	0	CHC'H'	Ether	35	40'	56	85-86	202
С	-C(CH ₃) ₂ C	H ₂ CH ₂ -	0	$C(CH_3)_2$	Ether	35	40'	85.5	64-65	202
С	-C(CH ₃) ₂ C	H ₂ CH(CH ₃)-	0	CHC, H,	Ether	35	40'	60	72-73	202
С	-C(CH ₃) ₂ C	H ₂ CH(CH ₃)-	0	$C(CH_3)_2$	Ether	35	40'	85	b	202
Е	-C(CH ₃) ₂ C	H ₂ CH(CH ₃)-	3-CI-4-CF ₃ C ₆ H ₃ N	CO ^e	с	140	с	82.5	с	207
f	(5α)-Choles	tane	0	$C(CH_3)_2$	THF + Me₂CO	rt	16 h	6.58	177-179	208
f	(5α)-Choles	tane	C₄H ₁₁ N	$C = NC_6 H_{11}$	Benzene	Several	Long	76	h	208
f	4-Cholester	e	0	$C(CH_3)_2$	THF + Me₂CO	rt	16 h	1.4	155-157	208
f	4-Cholester	e	C₅H₁₁N	$C = NC_6H_{11}$	Benzene	rt+refl	16+1 h	46 <i>a</i>		208

^{*a*} Isolated as hydrolysis product. ^{*b*} Liquid which decomposes upon distillation. ^{*c*} Not reported in the abstract. ^{*d*} 17% yield of 158 (mp 245°) also obtained. ^{*e*} Several other derivatives in the original paper are not reported in the abstract. *f* From α-keto acid with DCC. 8 Plus 32% of adduct with DCC. ^{*h*} Amorphous resin.

TABLE XVII. Adducts from *o*-Quinones

$R \longrightarrow 0 + \frac{X}{Y} \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow 0$	0~¥ 0~Y
---	------------

		1	Reaction condition	\$			
o-Quinone	X=Y	Solvent	T, °C	Time	Yield, %	Mp (bp/mmHg), °C	Ref
o-Benzoguinone	2,3,4,5-Tetraphenylcyclopentadienone	CHCI,	-20+rt	6+24 h	34	230-234	213
o-Benzoquinone	Furan	CH,Cĺ,	–25+rt	6h+2d	1	61	215
o-Benzoquinone	2-Methylfuran	CH ₂ Cl ₂	–25+rt	6+36 h	25	(130–135/5–7)	215
o-Benzoquinone	2,5-Diphenylfuran	b	b	b	b	117	212
o-Benzoquinone	Benzofuran	CHCI,	<u>-25+rt</u>	24 h	0		215
Tetrachloro-o-benzoquinone	trans-Stilbene	b	128	b	b	b	211
Tetrachloro-o-benzoquinone	cis-Stilbene	b	128	b	b	177	211
Tetrachloro-o-benzoquinone	$CH_2 = CHCH = CHC_6H_5$	Ether	-40-20	30'	80	148-149	219
Tetrachloro-o-benzoquinone	$CH_2 = CHCH = CH \cdot p \cdot NO_2C_6H_4$	Ether	-40-20	30'	84	230-231	219
Tetrachloro-o-benzoquinone	9,10-Anthraquinonedimethide ^c	Benzene	Refl	2 d	18	240 dec	210
Tetrachloro- <i>o</i> -benzoquinone	9,10-Anthraquinonedimethide ^d	Benzene	Refl	12 h	16	240 dec	210
Tetrachloro-o-benzoquinone	7,12-Benzanthraquinonedimethide	Benzene	Refl	3 d	19	247 dec	210
Tetrachloro-o-benzoquinone	2,5-Dimethyl-3,4-diphenylcyclopentadienone	b	b	b	b	193–195	212
Tetrachloro- <i>o</i> -benzoquinone	2,3,4,5-Tetraphenylcyclopentadienone	Benzene	rt	6 h	86	218-220	213
Tetrachloro-o-benzoquinone	Phenylcyclone	b	rt	Ь	b	280–282	212
Tetrachloro-o-benzoquinone	cis-1,2-Dimethoxyethylene	b	20	b	b	164	214
Tetrachloro-o-benzoquinone	1-Piperidinoisobutene	е	f	g	39	123	216
Tetrachloro-o-benzoquinone	1-Morpholinocyclohex-1-ene	е	f	g	26	152-153	216
Tetrachloro-o-benzoquinone	Furan	Benzene	Refl	12 h	62	178-180	215
Tetrachloro-o-benzoquinone	2-Methylfuran	Benzene	rt	24 h	76	153-154	215
Tetrachloro-o-benzoquinone	2,5-Diphenylfuran	Benzene	rt	24 h	78	154-155	215
Tetrachloro-o-benzoguinone	Benzofuran	Benzene	Refl	48 h	28	161-162	215
Tetrachloro-o-benzoguinone	$CH_3(CH_2)_1$, $CH=C=0$	Toluene	Refl	2 h	77	82-83	218
Tetrachloro-o-benzoguinone	$m \cdot CH_3C_6H_4CH = C = 0$	Toluene	Refl	2 h	62	133-134	218
Tetrachloro-o-benzoquinone	p-CH ₃ OC ₆ H ₄ CH=C=O	Toluene	Refl	2 h	64	159-160	218
Tetrachloro-o-benzoguinone	β -Naphthyl—CH=C=O	Toluene	Refl	2 h	59	194-195	218
Tetrachloro-o-benzoquinone	$(C_6H_5)_2C = C = NC_6H_5$	Ether	rt	h	78	158-160	217
Tetrachloro-o-benzoquinone	$(C_6H_5)_2C = C = N \cdot o \cdot CH_3C_6H_4$	Ether	rt	h	65	217-219	217

G. Desimoni and G. Tacconi

Tetrachloro-o-benzoquinone	(C,H,),C=C=N-p-CH,C,H	Ether	ť	٩	89	170-172	217
Tetrachloro-o-benzoquinone	(C,H,),C = C = N-p-CH,OC,H	Ether	t	٩	74	168-170	217
Tetrachloro-o-benzoquinone	$O = C = CH(CH_1)$, $CH = C = O$	Toluene	Refi	2 h	78 <i>i</i>	220-222	218
Tetrachloro-o-benzoquinone	0=c=cH(CH,),cH=c=0	Toluene	Refi	2 h	32i	180-182	218
Tetrabromo-o-benzoquinone	1-Piperidinoisobutene	в	ſ	8	37	140	216
Tetrabromo-o-benzoquinone	1-Morpholinocyclohex-1-ene	в	<u>مبر</u>	8	35	149-150	216
Tetrabromo-o-benzoquinone	$CH_{s}(CH_{s}), CH=C=0$	Toluene	Refi	2 h	50	8688	218
Tetrabromo-o-benzoquinone	c,H,—cH=c=0	Toluene	Refi	2 h .	58	204–205	218
Tetrabromo-o-benzoquinone	m.cH.c.H.cH=c=0	Toluene	Refi	2 h	45	165-167	218
Tetrabromo-o-benzoquinone	<i>p</i> -CH, OC, H, CH=C=0	Toluene	Refi	2 h	73	192-194	218
Tetrabromo-o-benzoquinone	β.Naphthyl −− CH=C=0	Toluene	Refi	2 h	38	204–206	218
Tetrabromo-o-benzoquinone	(C,H,),C=C=NC,H,	Ether	t	Ч	69	229–231	217
Tetrabromo-o-benzoquinone	(C,H,),C=C=N-o.CH,C,H	Ether	r	Ч	71	253–255	217
Tetrabromo-o-benzoquinone	(C,H,),C=C=N-p-CH,C,H	Ether	t	Ч	96	204-206	217
Tetrabromo-o-benzoquinone	(C,H,),C=C=N-p-CH,OC,H,	Ether	t	Ч	97	190-192	217
Tetrabromo-o-benzoguinone	$\dot{0}$ = \dot{c} = $cH(cH_1)$, cH = $c=0$	Toluene	Refi	2 h	71i	260-262	218
Tetrabromo-o-benzoguinone	$O = C = CH(CH_{1})$, $CH = C = O$	Toluene	Refi	2 h	15 <i>i</i>	198-200	218
Phenanthrenequinone	1-Piperidinoisobutene	в	ſ	8	25	119-120	216
Phenanthrenequinone	1-Morpholinocyclopent-1-ene	в	ſ	8	35	137.5	216
Phenanthrenequinone	1-Morpholinocyclohex-1-ene	в	ſ	8	27	166	216
Chrysoquinone	1-Piperidinoisobutene	в	ſ	8	31	129–130	216
Chrysoquinone	1-Morpholinocyclohex-1-ene	в	f	g	60	175-176	216
^{a} Plus 174 type adduct (4% yield, mr toluene, $f-10^{\circ}$ and +90°. & Spontaneo	148–150°). b Not reported in the original paper. c From 9,10 isly or long heating. h The reaction is accomplished when the $^\prime$	0-dimethylanthracer violet color of the s	ne. ^d From <i>cis</i> -9,1(tarting product tu	0-dimethyl-9,10-d rns to rose. ¹ Bis a	lihydroanthracer adducts (ratio qu	ne. [€] Benzene, chlorofor ⊔inone/X≔Y 2:1),	л ог

SCHEME XXXIX



atives;^{202,203} (D) from furan-4,5-dione derivatives;²⁰⁴⁻²⁰⁶ (E) from 1,3-dioxin-4-ones.207

 α -Oxoketenes 156 are useful intermediates because their reaction with double and triple bonds seems to be a promising synthetic approach to condensed heterocyclic derivative. They react easily with carbodiimides, 200, 204 carbonyl compounds, 201-203 nitriles 201,206 isocyanates, 203,204,207 and ketenes²⁰² to form six-membered ring adducts (Scheme XL). With Schiff bases, 1,4-cycloadducts 157202.205 are formed, sometimes together with 1,2-cycloadducts 158.201

SCHEME XL



A similar cycloaddition was also performed in the steroid field²⁰⁸ (Scheme XLI), and dicyclohexylcarbodlimide (DCHC) can act both as a generator of α -oxoketene, via 159, and as a partner in the heterodiene reaction to give 160. If the reaction is performed in the presence of acetone, 1,3-dioxin steroids 161 are obtained. Table XVI collects the previously reported adducts.

XI. α,β -Unsaturated Carbonyls Containing Heteroatoms

A. Oxygen Derivatives (o-Quinones)

The thermal cycloaddition of o-quinones 162 to double and triple bonds together with analogous photochemical reactions



has been reviewed by Pfundt and Schenck in Harmer's book,²⁰⁹ and several examples of the formation of 1,4-dioxin derivatives **163** have been reported.



Some new examples have been reported in recent years and C=C double bonds belonging to hydrocarbons,^{210,211} ketones,^{212,213} vinyl ethers,²¹⁴ heterocyclic rings,^{212,215} enamines,²¹⁶ N-substituted diphenylketenimines,²¹⁷ and ketenes²¹⁸ are reported to give **164–167** (Scheme XLII). The adducts are collected in Table XVII.





SCHEME XLIII

The cycloaddition is sensitive to steric interactions, as the less substituted double bonds reacts to give **166**. Clearly the driving force of this reaction is the aromaticity gain, and therefore the overall situation resembles that of o-quinone methides (**13**), previously discussed in section V.A.

Different behavior was shown by isobenzofuran $(168)^{215}$ which gives ring opening to **170** (Scheme XLIII). **169** is not formed since **170** allows both benzene rings to be aromatic.

When o-benzoquinone is tetrahalo-substituted the cycloaddition becomes easier, yields are sharply increased, and the quinone system also behaves as a heterodiene with butadiene derīvatives;²¹⁹ otherwise it acts as a dienophile and both $C=C^{220-222}$ and C=O bonds^{219,221} can react to give **171** and **172**, respectively (Scheme XLIV). The configuration of

SCHEME XLIV



the double bond is strictly retained in the adduct as shown by Bryce-Smith²¹¹ for *cis*- and *trans*-stilbene (Scheme XLV).

SCHEME XLV



This behavior strongly indicates the existence of an allowed pathway to the concerted thermal cycloaddition in contrast to the previously proposed²¹⁴ two-step mechanism with a zwitterionic intermediate.

Herndon and Giles²²³ definitively supported the former mechanism. HOMO and LUMO levels of *o*-benzoquinone and stilbene have been calculated and their values (in terms of γ^2/β units, where γ is the perturbation exchange integral and β is the Hückel exchange integral) are reported in Figure 10.





Figure 10. Frontier orbital energies of *o*-benzoquinone and stilbene. Solid arrow indicates the dominant interaction.

The largest interaction for the thermal cycloaddition occurs between the HOMO of stilbene and the LUMO of *o*-benzoquinone. Even if the proximity of the levels ensures a large frontier control, the symmetry of the reagents forbids the nonsynchroneity of the cycloaddition.

The pathways to 1,4-dioxin derivatives **173** can be compared with those giving rise to bicyclooctadiene derivative **174** taking into account the secondary interactions. The results for some model reactions are reported in Table XVIII. **173** is favored in every case but particularly with tetrahaloqui-

TABLE XVIII. Energies for Thermal 1,4-Cycloadditions of *o*-Quinones and Olefins

Reagents	173	174
o-Benzoquinone + stilbene	2.62ª	1.59
Tetrachloro-o-benzoquinone + stilbene	2.47	0.69
<i>o</i> -Benzoquinone + but-2-ene	4.60	2.13
Tetrachloro-o-benzoquinone + but-2-ene	4.23	0.62

^{*a*} All values in γ^2/β units.

TABLE XIX. Adducts from Benzoylsulfene^a





nones; in fact, **174** was only found to be formed in low yield when the quinone is unsubstituted.²¹³ However, the values found do not explain the behavior of halogen substituents in making the reaction easier.

A better rationalization of the experimental observation that reactions which do not occur with *o*-benzoquinone occur easily with its tetrachloro analog,²¹⁵ would be that electron-withdrawing halogens lower both the HOMO and the LUMO of quinones, causing a lower frontier orbital separation.

B. Phosphorus Derivatives

The reaction of the 1-oxa-4-phosphabutadiene system **175** with acetylenedicarboxylate to give the 4-phosphapyran derivative **176** has been described,²²⁴ but so far no other phosphorus derivative seems to have been obtained by this route.



C. Sulfur Derivatives

Few examples are known where acylsulfenes act as α , β unsaturated carbonyl compounds since, like all sulfenes, they prefer to act as dienophiles.²²⁵ However, benzoylsulfene **177**, prepared in situ from C₆H₅COCH₂SO₂Cl in the presence of triethylamine, can dimerize to **178** in accordance with a 1,4cycloaddition.²²⁶ The same behavior occurs with vinyl ethers²²⁷ and ketenimines,²²⁸ but enamines undergo a 1,2cycloaddition²²⁷ (Scheme XLVI).

Both 1,2- and 1,4-cycloadducts **179** and **180** have been obtained with azomethines,²²⁹ and it is difficult to rationalize a behavior which largely depends upon substituents, conditions, and time of reaction; nevertheless, **180** seems to be the kine-



					Total	1,4	-Adduct	1,2	-Adduct	
Heterodi	enophile X=Y	Reac	tion condition	ns	vield	Yield		Yield	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
x	Y	Solvent	<i>т</i> , °С	Time	%	%	Mp,℃	%	Mp,℃	Ref
(CH ₃) ₂ C	CHOC ₂ H ₅	b	b	Ь	42	42	Ь			227
(CH ₃) ₂ C	CH(1-pyrrolidino)	b	b	b	40			40	b	227
C,H,COCH	SO,	CHCI,	0 + refl	10'	45	45	146-147			226
n-C ₃ H ₂ N	CHC H.	Dioxane	rt	3 h	82	82	123 dec	с		229
n-C ₃ H ₂ N	CHC,H	Dioxane	rt	15 h	57	57	123 dec			229
n-C₄H _a N	$C = C(C_{s}H_{s})_{2}$	Ь	b	b	48	48	137			228
C, H, N	CHC,H,	Dioxane	rt	1 h	38	38	d			229
C,H,N	CHC,H	Dioxane	rt	12 h	34	14	d	20	164-165	229
C ₆ H ₅ N	CH C _« H _«	Dioxane	rt	24 h	30			30	164-165	229
C ₆ H ₆ N	CHC, H,	Dioxane	rt	25 h	22			22	164-165	229
C ₆ H ₅ N	CHC,H ₄ -p-NO ₂	Dioxane	rt	15 h	0					229
p-CH ₃ C ₆ H ₄ N	CHC,H	Dioxane	rt	100 h	24			24	163	229
p-CH ₃ C ₆ H ₄ N	$C = C(CH_3)_2$	Dioxane	rt	2 h	74	74	165			228
p-CH ₃ OC ₆ H ₄ N	CHC H	Dioxane	í rt	50 h	10			10	138	229
C ₆ H ₅ CH ₂ N	CHC,H	Dioxane	rt	100 h	37	32	158 dec	5	143	229
c-C ₆ H ₁₁ N	CHC, H,	Dioxane	rt	15 h	27	27	153 dec			229

^{*a*} Generated in situ from $C_6H_5COCH_2SO_2CI$ in the presence of 1 mol of Et_3N . ^{*b*} Not reported in the original paper. ^{*c*} Isolated only in the absence of NEt₃, with 2 mol of azomethines (13% yield, mp 148[°]). ^{*a*} Unstable and therefore not insolated.

SCHEME XLVI



tically controlled adduct and **179** the thermodynamically more stable one. The adducts are reported in Table XIX.

D. Nitrogen Derivatives

This class of reagents is well studied, and examples of heterodiene reactions with one or two nitrogen atoms are known.

1. Compounds with One Nitrogen Atom

Some 1,4-cycloadditions of *N*-acylimines **181** with olefins and acetylenes have been recently reviewed^{230,231} because 1,3-oxazine derivatives are useful synthetic intermediates.

Since X and (or) Y are strongly electron-withdrawing groups to counterbalance the polarization of electrons in **181** and render the molecule stable, *N*-acylimines behave as electrophiles and can be in general considered as the acceptor partner in a cycloaddition. **181** reacts with vinyl ethers,²³²⁻²³⁴ olefins,^{232,233} enamines,²³⁵ and sulfene,²³⁵ and, in accordance with a 1,4-cycloaddition, several heterocyclic rings are formed (Scheme XLVII).

Acetylenes can give either a 1,4-cycloadduct²³⁵ (ethoxyacetylene) or a Michael-type adduct (phenylacetylene).²³³



The reaction of N-acylimines with ketenes is far more interesting since a 1,4-cycloaddition always occurs. The reaction sometimes involves the C=C and sometimes the C=O double bond of ketene depending on the substituents.





182 is formed when the ketene is unsubstituted,^{232,233,236} but **183** is produced with bis(trifluoromethyl)ketene.²³⁵ An attempt to explain the low reactivity to give **183** was accomplished in terms of steric factors.²³⁵ In the opinion of the reviewers an alternative rationalization of both the low reactivity and the site of attack could be given in terms of frontier orbitals.

Sustmann²³⁷ pointed out that the better stabilization in the reaction between ketene and olefins involves frontier orbitals of the olefin and the C=C frontier orbitals of ketene. Strong electron-withdrawing substituents on the methylene group make the ketene C=C double bond similar to a C=O group giving rise to competition.

This occurs not only in [2 + 2] cycloaddition, but also in [6 + 4].

The recent CNDO/2 calculation of frontier MO's and coefficients of ketene, performed by Houk,¹⁹⁷ suggests that the stronger interaction occurs between the ketene HOMO and the heterodiene LUMO (Figure 11). The larger coefficient at the methylene carbon atom of the ketene will make this the site of electrophilic attack, to give **182**.

Trifluoromethyl substituents lower both the HOMO and LUMO of the ketene, and therefore the dominant interaction occurs between the heterodiene HOMO and the ketene LUMO. If the latter has the oxygen coefficient competitive with the *C* coefficient owing to the electron-withdrawing substituents, **183** could also be formed by nucleophilic attack of the oxygen atom.

2. Compounds with Two Nitrogen Atoms

Systems with two nitrogen atoms are azodicarbonyl com-



Figure 11. Frontier molecular orbital energies and coefficients of acrolein, ketene, and bis(trifluoromethyl)ketene (parameters of this are estimated from substituent effects). Solid arrows indicate the dominant interaction, that is, HOMO_{ketene}/LUMO_{acrolein} and HOMO_{acrolein}/LUMO_{ketene}, respectively, if ketene is unsubstituted or bis(trifluoromethyl)-substituted.

pounds with both ester **184** and keto groups **185**. Their behavior in cycloadditions is an intriguing one since several reaction modes have been discovered; unfortunately the wrong structure was often assigned and it had to be revised.



Azo esters **184** undergo both 1,2- and 1,4-cycloaddition leading to **186** and **187**, respectively (Scheme XLVIII). The former, giving **186**, predominates with tetramethoxy-ethane,²³⁸ alkyl vinyl ethers,^{239,240} and enamines;²³⁹ the latter, giving **187**, is preferred for *cīs*- and *trans*-1,2-dimethoxy-ethenes,²⁴⁰ hydrocarbons,^{241,242} and pseudo-nucleophilic olefins (vinyl acetate and 1-vīnyl-2-pyrrolone).²⁴³

SCHEME XLVIII



A characteristic borderline case is the reaction with aryl vinyl ethers.^{243,244} Both 1,2- and 1,4-cycloadditions occur, and the latter is preferred if an electron-attracting group decreases the nucleophilicity of the vinyl ether. The former is preferred by electron-releasing substituents (Table XX).

The presence of a zwitterionic intermediate (**188**) seems to be supported by large solvent effect: increased polarity stabilizes **188** and the 1,2-cycloadduct predominates.²⁴³

Azo ketones **185** strongly prefer 1,4-cycloaddition²⁴⁴ which is the only reaction mode with styrene, vinyl ethers, vinyl thioethers, and enamines.²⁴⁵ With these latter compounds, an early structural assignment²⁴⁶ had to be re-

TABLE	XX. Azo Dicarboxylate and Aryl Vinyl Et	hers:
Adduct	Distribution ^a	

Aryl group	Solvent	186,%	187,%
-CH ₃ OC ₆ H ₄	······································	87 ± 3	13 ± 3
o-CH ₃ C ₆ H ₄		84	16
C,H, Č	Acetonitrile	80 ± 3	20 ± 3
C, H,		77 ⁶ –65	23 ^b -35
С, ́H,	Benzene	33	67
ν-ČIČ ₆ H ₄		67	33
P-NO ₂ C ₆ H ₄		5	95

^a Reference 243, ^b Reference 244.

vised¹²⁸ when an X-ray determination gave the correct structure of a cis-fused 1,4-adduct for **88.** Only 1,3-cycloaddition was obtained with ketenes.²⁴⁷

The different behavior of **184** and **185** was neatly demonstrated by the reaction of the asymmetrically substituted azo compound **189** with phenyl vinyl ether²⁴⁵ in which only **190** was obtained.



Finally the reaction of **185** with cyclopentadiene was extensively discussed as **191** or (and) **192** is formed depending on the conditions (Scheme XLIX).²⁴⁸

SCHEME XLIX



191 was found to be the primary adduct (therefore **185** behaved as a dienophile), but it rearranged to **192.** This rearrangement was described as a [3,3] sigmatropic shift,²⁴⁹ instead of a two-step mechanism involving **193**,²⁴⁸ since both the energy and entropy of activation and the small solvent effect support a concerted mechanism.

All adducts involving nitrogen atoms in the heterodiene are described in Table XXI.

E. Derivatives with Cumulated Bonds

Acyl isocyanates **194** react with several substrates; with phenylacetylene,²⁵⁰ azomethines,^{251,252} and ketenes,²⁵³ a 1,4-cycloaddition was obtained (Scheme L).

Vinyl ethers and vinyl thioethers gave open-chain adducts **195**,²⁵⁴ probably via a 1,4-cycloadduct since in one case this latter was isolated.

Enamines gave **196** or **197**²⁵⁵ depending mainly on the nature of the substituents; any attempt at rationalization is prevented by the small number of examples.

TABLE XXI. Adducts with Nitrogen-Containing Heterodiene

dienes $R \xrightarrow{N \xrightarrow{R}} + \stackrel{Y}{\underline{I}} \xrightarrow{R} R \xrightarrow{N \xrightarrow{N}} \stackrel{X \xrightarrow{N}}{\underline{I}} \xrightarrow{And} R \xrightarrow{N \xrightarrow{N}} 0$
--

	Heterodiene		Y === Z	Rea	iction condi	tions		,4-Adduct		I,2-Adduct	
R	x	Y	Z	Solvent	<i>т</i> , °С	Time	Yield, %	Mp (bp/mmHg), °C	Yield, %	Mp (bp/mmHg), °C	Ref
CH,	CHCCI,	H,C	CHOC,H,	H,O	0+rt	12 h	92	(88-89/0.15)			234
ĊH,	C(CF _a),	н,с	co	Ether	rt	1–2 h	80	(61-62/10)			233, 236
C,H,	CHCCI,	н,с	CHOC'H	H₄O	0+rt	12 h	85	(91-92/0.15)			234
<i>n-</i> C.H.	CHCCI	H.C	снос ́н.	H.O	0+rt	12 h	89	(96-98/0.15)			234
C.H.	CHCCI	H.C	CHOC, H.	H ₀	0+rt	12 h	94	(153-158/0.08)			234
с.н.	$C(CF_{a})_{a}$	H.C	co	Ether	rt	1–2 h	91	75-77			233, 236
C.H.	$C(CF_{a})$	H.C	SO.	C.H.	rt	12 h	63	85-86			235
C.H.	C(CF)	H.C	C(CH_).	0.0	100	5 h	60	62-63			233
C.H.	$C(CF_{a})$	H.C	CHOC.H.		0	a	81	(105 - 107/0.1)			233
С́Н.	$C(CE_{2})$	H.C	$CH \longrightarrow O \cdot n \cdot C \cdot H$		a	a	56	(110 - 111/0.1)			233
С́Н	$C(CE_{1})$	(CH.).C	CH(1-morpholino)		100	1.5 h	91	111-112			235
с́н	$C(CE_{1})$				20	30 d	ь -				233
сн	$C(CE_{1})$	HC=	≡COC.H.		rt	 0	80	97-98			235
сн	C(CF)	0	C = C(CF)		100	20 h	53	46-47			235
с'н С	C(CE)(CE NO)	йс		Ether	rt	1-2 h	92	74-75			233
с'н'	C(CF)(CFNO)	нс	C(CH)	2000	100	5 h	55	(92/01)			233
С н	C(CE)(CENO)				20	3 4		(32/0.1)			233
CHO	C(CF)	нс		Ether	rt	1-2 h	94	(50-51/2)			233 236
		Н2С			rt	1 2 11	67	134			245
С'Н С Н		н ₂ с н с			rt	a	64	112			245
С Ц	NCOC H	н <u>г</u>		C6116	rt	a	<u>\63</u>	112	<3	a	244 245
		П ₂ С		сů	rt	u a	78	112		u	244, 243
			CH(1 morpholino)			u	70 91	114			245
		Norborn		C6116		u a	01	155			243
		hurrolidinoon		u	<i>u</i> rt	u	100d	100			241
		Pinoridinecy			rt rt	u	100- 100d	156			246
			clonex-1-ene			u	100 <i>∝</i> 100 <i>d</i>	150			240
				_	11	u	100 <u>~</u>	104			240
C'H'				a	<i>u</i>	a	1000	100 151			245
		orpholinocy		<u>с ц</u>	rt +	<i>u</i> 4 d	1004	149-151	20	(40 50/10-5)	240
	NCOOCH,	H ₂ C			r L	4 U 2 d			29	$(40-50/10^{-5})$	240
	NCOOCH,	H ₂ C		YZ exc	rt ut	2 a			79	$(40-54/10^{-5})$	240
CH ₃ O	NCOOCH ₃	H ₂ C			rt.	a			00	(125-150/0.1)	239
	NCOOCH,	П ₂ С			rt.	a			02 70	30	239
CH ³ O	NCOOCH,	H ₂ C	$CHO(CH_2)_{17}CH_3$	Ether	rt	а	17.0	104	78	90	239
CH ₃ O	NCOOCH ₃	H ₂ C		a	rt	а	17±2	104	56 ± 2	OII	243, 244
CH ₃ O	NCOOCH ₃	H ₂ C	$CH - OC_6 H_4 - p - NO_2$		rt	а	38	123	2	a	243
CH ³ O	NCOOCH ₃	H ₂ C	CHOC ₆ H ₄ -p-Cl		rt	а	18	a	38	a	243
CH 30	NCOOCH ₃	H ₂ C			rt	а	14	a	/1	<i>a</i> 70	243
CH ³ O	NCOOCH ₃	H ₂ C	CHOC ₆ H ₄ -p-OCH ₃		rt	а	11 ± 2	a	/1 ± 2	/9	243
CH ₃ O	NCOOCH ₃	H ₂ C	CHOCOCH ₃		rt	а	62 <i>a</i>	87			239, 243
CH ₃ O	NCOOCH3	H ₂ C	CHSC ₂ H ₅		rt	а	80	<i>a</i>			239
СН₃О	NCOOCH3	H₂C	CH(1-pyrrolidyl-2-one)	Ether	rt	а	87a	137			239, 243

сн _, о	NCOOCH	H ₂ C	CH(1-carbazolyl)	Ether	ť	а			84	135	239
CH ₃ O	NCOOCH	сн _э онс	CHOCH ₃ (cis)	c, D,	t	12 h	80	а	20	а	240
CH ₀	NCOOCH	CH,OHC	CHOCH, (trans)	c,D,	20	12 h	100	128-130			240
CH_O	NCOOCH	(CH, O), C	c(ocH ₃),	a ,	40-80	а			97	(150/0.01)	238
c,H,O	NCOOC,H	Norborne	ne	а	а	а	a	а			241
c,H,O	NCOOC,H,	Norbornadi	iene	а	а	а	40^{e}	(128–131/0.4)			241
C,H,O	NCOOC,H,	Indene		с ^к Н,	Refi	6 h	а	103-105			242
c,H,O	NCOOC,H	H,C	CHOC,H,	YZ exc	t	18 h			100	$(108/3 \times 10^{-5})$	240
C,H,O	NCOOC,H,	Н,С	CHOC,H		t	а	29	101	55	54	243, 244
c,H,O	NCOOC,H	H,C	CHOC,H,	CH ₃ CN	t	а	$20 \pm 3f$	101	80±3	54	243
c, H, O	NCOOC,H	Н,С Н	CHOC,H,	Ether	t	а	67 <i>f</i>	101	33	54	243
c,H,O	NCOOC,H,	H,C	CHOC,H	С,Н,	t	a	675	101	33	54	243
C,H,O	NCOOC,H	Н,C	снососн,	YZ exc	Refi	65 h	25	60-62			240
c,H,O	NCOOC,H	(ćH³O),C	с(осн,),	а	40-80	а			100	111	238
C,H,O	NCOOC,H,	Norborne	ine	a	a	а	а	а			241
ci _s ccH ₂ O	NCOOCH,CCI,	H ₂ C	CHOC "H ^s	а	t	а	4	а	81	73	244
^d Not report is 1,2-adduct.	ed in the original pape ^e Other products form	r. b Michael-type red, not related v	s adduct (see text) obtained (92 with this topic. ^J Ratio of isome	2% yield, mp ers. total yield	$105-106^{\circ}$). c	Michael-typ d.	ie adduct (see t	ext) obtained (88% yi	eld, mp 114-	–116°). ^d Erroneously	described

SCHEME L 196 197 C_H_C <u></u>_c^{__0} 'n R 194 CH2 C∥0 ≥0 R 195 ососн, сңсо Acyl isothiocyanates 198 gave 1,4-cycloadditions with azomethines²⁵⁶ and hydrazones;²⁵⁷ with cyclic azomethines 199, which behave as enamines, Michael-type adducts 200 were obtained²⁵⁷ (Scheme LI). SCHEME LI



Finally N-sulfinylurethane 201 reacts with norbornene, 258 and a 1,4-cycloaddition has been suggested to occur to give 202. Table XXII collects all the adducts.



XII. Natural Products via Heterodiene Syntheses

The Stork modification of the Robinson annelation¹¹³ has been used for a long time for the synthesis of certain alkaloids. These syntheses are particularly expeditious when the nitrogen atom of the enamine intermediate used to introduce the new ring can become the nitrogen of the alkaloid. (\pm) -Mesembrine $(203)^{259}$ and $(\pm)-15,16$ -dimethoxyerythrinan-3one (204)²⁶⁰ have recently been obtained by this route.



XR.

×۲		Y II		Y I
R = 0 +	A 		or	

Heterodiane		Δ <u></u> B		Ponction conditions			1,4-Adduct		Michael adduct		,
R	X=Y	A	B	Solvent	T, °C	Time	Yield %	Mp, ℃	Yield, %	Мр, °С	Ref
CI.C	C==0	H.C	CHO-n-C.H.	Ether	10-15	20 h			44	75-76	254
CLC	Č==0	H.C	CHSC.H.	CS.	-20+5	30'	76	50-51	•••		254
CLC	Č==0	CH.HC	CHSC.H.	cci.	65-75	2 d			36	68-69	254
CLC	C==0	C.H.HC	CHOC, H.	4	-40+rt	2 h+10 d			22	98-99	254
CI.C	C==0	1-Cyclopente	ene-1-piperidine	а	10-15	b			80	49-51	255
CI,C	C==0	1-Cyclohexe	ne-1-piperidine	а	10-15	Ь			77.5	78–80	255
CI	C==0	1-Cyclopente	ene-1-morpholine	а	10-15	Ь	76	68–70			255
CL	С=О	1-Cyclohexe	ne-1-morpholine	а	10-15	b			83	104–106	255
CLC	с=о	HC≡́	≡CC,H,	b	90-95	4 h	65	Ь			250
CI,C	C == 0	HC≔	≡CC,H,·p-CH,	b	55	5 h	70	Ь			250
Cl ₃ C	C == 0	HC≡	≡CC,H,·p·OCH,	Ь	35	3 h	61	Ь			250
Cl ₃ C	C==0	HC≔	≡CC,H,·p-Br	Ь	50	5 h	37	Ь			250
CI_C	C==0	HC ≡	≡CC ₄ H ₄ -p-Cl	Ь	18–20	12 h	20	Ь			250
CIĴC	с=о	HC ≡	≡CC,H,p.F	b	18–20	2 h	31	Ь			250
C,H,	C==0	H⁴C	CHO-n-CAH		80-130	30'+20'			14	99	254
C, H,	C == 0	C,H,HC	CHOC, H,		60–0	3 h+20 h			58.5	110-111	254
C,H,	с=о	1-Cyclopente	ene-1-piperidine	а	10-15	Ь	70	85-87			255
C, H,	C==0	1-Cyclohexe	ne-1-piperidine	а	10-15	b	66	109-110			255
C, H,	C==0	1-Cyclopente	ne-1-morpholine	а	10-15	b	78	103-106			255
C , H ,	C==0	1-Cyclohexe	ne-1-morpholine	а	10-15	Ь	64	108-110			255
C,H,	C==0	C.H.N	CHC'H	Ether	0	b	89	109-110			251
C, H.	C==0	Ć,H,N==C	HCH=NC_H	C H	rt	Ь	с	Ь			252
p-CH ₃ C ₄ H ₄	C == 0	C,H,N	снс,н,	Ether	0	b	78	109-110			251
p-CIC₄H₄	с=о	C,H,N	CHC,H	Ether	0	b	80	101-102			251
Ċ,H,	C==S	CĤ,Ň	CHC,H	C₄H₄	rt	4 h	79	85-86			256
C, H,	C ==S	(ČH,),C==N	ICH(CH ₃)C ₄ H ₄ d	AcOEt	0	Fast			52	155 dec	257
C,H,	C==5	(CH_),C==N	ICH(CH_)C_H_d	AcOEt	0	Fast			10	196 dec	257
C,H,	C==S	C,H,(CH,)NN	C(CH,),	b	0	Fast	51	60 dec			257
C,H,	C==5	C,H,(CH,)NN	C(CH ₂) ₄	b	0	Fast	71	67 dec			257
C , H ,	C ==5	C ₄ H ₄ (CH ₃)NN	C(CH,),	Ь	0	Fast	88	101 dec			257
C H	C==S	C,H,(CH,)NN	C(CH ₂)	Ь	0	Fast	46	77 dec			257
C , H ,	C==S	(C, H,), NN	C(CH ₂),	b	0	Fast	75	77 dec			257
p-CH ₃ OC ₄ H ₄	C ==5	C ₆ H ₄ (CH ₃)NN	$C(CH_2)_4$	Ь	0	Fast	55	67 dec			257
p-CH ₃ OC ₆ H ₄	C==\$	C ₆ H ₅ (CH ₃)NN	$C(CH_2)_s$	b	0	Fast	86	90 dec			257
p-NO ₂ C ₆ H ₄	C==5	C ₆ H ₅ (CH ₃)NN	$C(CH_2)_5$	b	0	Fast	67	6 1 dec			257
p-NO ₂ C ₆ H	C==S	(C ₆ H ₅)₂NN	C(CH ₂) ₅	b	0	Fast	45	85 dec			257
C₂H₅O	S==0	Noi	bornene	Ether	rt	1 h	80	79–81			258

^a Heptane or cyclohexane. ^b Not reported in the original paper. ^c Bis-adduct (ratio heterodiene/A=B 2:1). ^d Behaving as enamine (see text).

An intermediate dihydropyran, obtained by a heterodiene synthesis, has been used in the preparation of frontalin (205, $R = CH_3$),³⁴ brevicomin (205, $R = C_2H_5$),³⁴ valerianine (206),²⁶¹ and adaline (207),²⁶²



The above reported examples would not justify an entire section devoted to natural products, but a brilliant synthesis has been developed recently²⁶³ to obtain carpanone, a lignan from the bark of the carpano tree. The extreme efficiency of the phenolic coupling of 2-(*trans*-1-propenyl)-4,5-methylenedioxyphenol (**208**) with PdCl₂ is notable, and the dimer **209** has the correct stereochemistry to give rise to an internal heterodiene reaction giving carpanone (**210**) in 46% yield (Scheme LII).

Using the dimerization of 1-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroanthra-

quinones **211** as the model, in accordance with a $[\pi 4_s + \pi 2_s]$ cycloaddition with exo approach of the monomers to give **212**²⁶⁴ (Scheme LIII), a heterodlene reaction can be suggested as an alternative to phenolic coupling in the biosynthetic pathway to (-)-Flavoskyrin (**213**), a yellow coloring matter of *Penicillum islandicum*.

The previously reported sequences are excellent examples of the powerful tool of heterodiene synthesis and the great possibilities for future development. In the opinion of the reviewers, many other examples of its application in the synthesis of natural products will be reported in the near future since very few synthetic methods offer comparable efficiency with such powerful control of the stereochemistry of the products. Furthermore, dihydropyran rings are common in this field.

XIII. Acid-Catalyzed Reactions

The acid-catalyzed Diels-Alder reactions are well studied both from preparative and theoretical aspects.²⁶⁵ Nothing similar is known for the effect of acids on the reaction between α , β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds and dienophiles even though two recent patents^{266,267} have shown that the

SCHEME LII



SCHEME LIII







TABLE XXIII. Kinetic Data of Acid-Catalyzed Heterodiene Reactions

		$k_2 \times 10^3$, g/(mol sec), at 170°						
Expt	Olefins	Without acid	Acid 0.006–0.014 mol/kg	Acid 0.055–0.065 mol/kg				
1	Ketene di-n-butyl acetal	8.4 ± 0.3	4.6 ± 0.2	1.8 ± 0.1				
2	2-Ethylhexyl vinyl ether	6.3 ± 0.2	3.9 ± 0.3	1.7 ± 0.1				
3	Diisopropyl maleate	2.5 ± 0.2	0.6 ± 0.1	0				
4	Allyl bromide	1.3 ± 0.2	1.5 ± 0.2	2.9 ± 0.2				
5	1-Dodecene	0.2 ± 0.04	0.3 ± 0.04	0.6 ± 0.1				

reaction of unsaturated aldehydes with vinyl ethers occurs under milder conditions and with higher yields in the presence of Lewis acids.

Only one paper⁶⁵ reports the effects of the addition of acid on the 1,4-cycloaddition between cinnamaldehyde and various clefins. Table XXIII reports the effect of two different concentrations of acid compared with the rate constants of the uncatalyzed reaction. It was found that the action of acid appears to have a negative effect on the rate of reaction if the olefins contain proton-attracting groups (expt 1–3), whereas the presence of acid enhances the rate of the reactions with expt 4 and 5. The authors suggest that these processes are initiated by proton transfer from the acid to the cinnamaldehyde or to the olefins. An explanation of this can be given in terms of frontier orbitals, using the acrolein and the protonated acrolein MO's²⁰ as a model (Figure 12).

If the IP of the olefin is higher than that of the n orbital of the unsaturated carbonyl compound (for acrolein 9.9 eV^{268}),

TABLE XXIV. Thermodynamic Data of Retro-Heterodiene Reactions



Figure 12. Frontier orbital energies in acid-catalyzed heterodiene reactions: (A) acrolein and protonated olefins; (B) olefins and protonated acrolein. Broken arrows indicate the dominant interaction in the uncatalyzed reaction, whereas solid arrows indicate the dominant interaction in the presence of acid. Diagram is schematic.

protonation occurs on the olefin. Its HOMO and LUMO decrease, the frontier orbital separation increases, and this causes a lowering of the rate constants (expt 1 and 2).

If the HOMO of the olefin is lower than the nonbonding orbital of the unsaturated carbonyl compound, protonation occurs on this and two new levels are involved. The dramatic lowering of the frontier orbital levels rationalizes the increased rate (expt 4 and 5).

XIV. Retro-Heterodiene Reactions

Few papers have been devoted to the study of the retroheterodiene reaction but the mechanism seems to have been determined.

The thermal decomposition of 3,4-dihydro-2H-pyrans (214)²⁶⁹⁻²⁷¹ has been shown to be a first-order homogeneous process which yields equal amounts of α,β -unsaturated carbonyl derivatives and olefins as the only products. The available thermodynamic data are collected in Table XXIV.

$$\bigwedge_{R} \bigcap_{Q_{R_{1}}} \longrightarrow_{R} \bigwedge_{U} + \bigcup_{R_{1}}$$

The lowering of both activation energy and free energy from unsubstituted and 6-methyl-substituted to 2-methoxydihydropyran can be explained, at first approximation, using the principle of microscopic reversibility, from the frontier orbital energies of products (Figure 13). The lower energy separation between frontier orbitals if ethylene has a methoxy substituent ensures a lowering of ΔG^* and ΔH^* in the retro-



the α,β -unsaturated system will slightly raise both the HOMO²⁷² and the LUMO, the former more than the latter. Therefore, the small decrease in ΔG^* and ΔH^* cannot be explained by the previous method.

The similarity of the A factors strongly supports an essentially similar transition state for all three reactions. Thermodynamic considerations²⁷¹ suggest that the C-O rupture leads to that of the C-C bond, and therefore the transition state can have either diradical (215) or polar character (216). The for-

$$s_{+} \bigcup_{\underline{s} = 0}^{\infty} \longleftarrow \bigcup_{0}^{\infty} \underbrace{\swarrow}_{\underline{s} = 0}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{\underline{s} = 0}^{\infty}$$

mer can be rejected since the rate of decomposition of cyclobutanecarboxaldehydes (217), whose reaction products are the same as dihydropyrans and whose process cannot be concerted, differ greatly from previous results.

A slightly polar character can therefore be suggested for the transition state, and this character increases with the presence of suitable substituents. The polar character of the transition state could become great enough to give a true zwitterionic intermediate. This was shown in the retro-cycloaddition of the adducts 218 and 219 from 3-oxindolidenea-



cetophenones and β -dimethyl-substituted enamines¹⁴⁷ (Scheme LIV). At room temperature, in chloroform, they reverted to the starting materials. However, if the reaction is performed in the presence of tetracyanoethylene (TCNE), the dipolar intermediate **220** is captured and spirocyclohexane oxindoles **221** are obtained.

XV. Addendum

After submission of the manuscript to the Editor, additional papers (as usually happens dealing with reviews) have appeared. In this Addendum the authors briefly list some recent contributions, since these update the review through the early 1975. The order used in the contents will be followed.

A reexamination of the origin of regioselectivity in the dimerization of acrolein was carried out using the frontier orbital approach.²⁷³ The result was that the dimerization of acrolein is under overlap control and there is no evidence that the polar interaction has a relevant role in determining the regioselectivity which is in contrast with Salem's results.9 Furthermore both INDO and CNDO methods predict the HOMO of acrolein as a π MO, and the energy of the oxygen nonbonding orbital is placed between those of the two occupied π orbitals. This n- π inversion was earlier found by calculations²⁷⁴ but seems in contrast with the interpretations of the electronic absorption spectrum of acrolein.275-278 From uv data a clear representation of the electronic configuration of acrolein was given by Birge and Leermakers279 with the n level higher than the π ones. The uv transitions do not take into account both coulomb and exchange integrals;²⁸⁰ nevertheless, these results parallel those of photoelectron spectroscopy, which indicate the first ionization related to electron loss from the nonbonding orbital.281,282 This is still a point to be decided upon and the calculations may perhaps overestimate the π energy levels. If it is not the case, the interpretation of the acid effect on the acid-catalyzed heterodiene reactions can simply be modified, assuming the protonation of the π level of acrolein might be a gap between two mechanisms.

The reaction between 1-(OH or OR)-butadienes and acrolein was confirmed to be an asymmetric concerted reaction²⁸³ under frontier control.²⁸⁴ Further examples of the cycloaddition between *o*-quinone methide (from saligenol) and substituted olefins were reported²⁸⁵ to give discrete yields of flavans with complete retention of the configuration of the dienophile.

The previously reported Cu₂O-isocyanide catalyzed dimerization of methyl crotonate⁶⁰ was reexamined using aluminum alkyl-tertiary amine and the coordination number of the complex seemed to control the dimerization mechanism.²⁸⁶

The formation of a zwitterion intermediate was suggested in the reaction of enones with allylidene-triphenylphosphorane²⁸⁷ followed by proton transfer to generate an ylide which then undergoes an intramolecular Wittig reaction to give cyclohexadienes.

Depending on substituents of the unsaturated carbonyl compound, benzyne does not give only 1,2-cycloaddition to C=C and C=O bonds but also some 1,4-cycloadducts and some open-chain derivatives from ene reaction.²⁸⁸ An intermediate biradical was excluded in favor of the reactivity of the initial carbonyl as the determining factor.

Still very popular is the reaction of open-chain α , β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds with vinyl ethers, and several papers²⁸⁹⁻²⁹⁷ are concerned with this synthetic approach to dihydropyrans.

A dipolar intermediate was proposed both with 1,1-dialkoxyethylenes²⁹⁸ (and the low IP²⁹⁹ of these nucleophilic olefins support the suggestion) and for the reaction of acetylenedicarboxylate with 2-methoxy-6-methyl-3,4-dihydro-2*H* pyran³⁰⁰ which is apt to give a proton transfer or a ring closure to [2 + 2] cycloadduct. In the latter case, the crucial effect of the 6-methyl group can be explained in terms of increased HOMO and distorted symmetry.

The low IP of enamines was further tested.³⁰¹ The formation of cyclobutane adducts via zwitterion was described from the disubstituted electron-poor α , β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds,³⁰² which seems to be a true example of 1,2cycloaddition in section VII.A.2.

An important paper of Risaliti et al.³⁰³ stated by X-ray analysis the cis-fused configuration of the naphthopyran adduct from phenyl vinyl ketone and decalin enamines. This is in full accordance with what was proposed in section VII.A.2 of this review. The anti-trans or syn-trans relationship of the further chiral centers is determined mainly by steric reasons.

Arylideneisoxazolones and -pyrazolones were found to react with enamines,³⁰⁴ and intramolecular-stabilized Michael adducts were obtained through a reaction pathway which probably does not differ much from that of oxindolideneaceto-phenones.¹⁴⁶

The suggestion that the behavior of aminals could be due in part to the high energy of the HOMO was confirmed by the low value of their IP's.²⁹⁹

Further examples of $[2 + 2]^{305}$ and $[4 + 2]^{306}$ cycloadditions of ynamimes were described, the latter adducts having been used as antihypertensive and coronary-dilating agents.

Enamino ketones react with dichloroketene,³⁰⁷ thus further supporting their behavior as ''donors''.

Good examples of cycloadditions of α , β -carbonyls were described on C—Si (1,4),³⁰⁸ C—P (1,4 and/or 1,2),³⁰⁹ and C—O (1,4)³¹⁰ dienophiles.

Cyclic acyl ketenes react with C \equiv N double bond of isocyanates,³¹¹ whereas the reaction of 1,1-diethoxy- α -cyclopentanon allene and diphenyl ketene³¹² give first a largely stabilized 1,4-zwitterion on account of the favorable electronic effect of the substituents. It further reacts with a second mole of diphenyl ketene.

The reaction of *o*-benzoquinones with various olefins was reported to give benzodioxin derivatives³¹³⁻³¹⁵ together with some dimeric adducts.³¹⁵ If two C=C bonds are present, the electron-rich one is preferred³¹⁴ even if it belongs to an heteroaromatic ring. No dioxin derivatives, but only Diels-Alder adducts, were obtained from fulvene.³¹⁶

Cyclic α -ketosulfenes can dimerize or react with Schiff bases to give [4 + 2] or [2 + 2] cycloadducts,³¹⁷ and some interesting considerations can be given on the configuration of the latter adducts.

N-Acetylchloralimine was reported to react with 2,3-dimethylbutadiene;³¹⁸ rather surprisingly the heterodiene cycloaddition predominates the Diels-Alder reaction.

The cycloaddition reactions of acyl isocyanates were reviewed³¹⁹ and some interesting 1,4-cycloadditions described.^{320,321} Several reaction pathways were found for aroyl isothiocyanates: iminodithiocarbonate and *N*-arylide-neamines gave a [4 + 2] cycloaddition,³²² azomethynes³²² gave first a dipolar intermediate followed by ring closure or 1,4-dipolar cycloaddition with a second mole of azomethyne, and finally 1-azirines³²¹ were reported to give a [2 + 2] cycloaddition on the C—S bond followed by hydrogen shift.

The synthesis of natural products gave no recent spectacular result; nevertheless, heterodiene reactions were found useful for a synthetic approach to iridoids,³²³ (±)-thalphenine,³²⁴ and bevicomins.³²⁵

Lewis acids were found useful catalysts for the preparation of 2-substituted-3,4-dihydro-2*H*-pyrans,^{326,327} but in this field much more interesting seems the acidic decomposition of saligenol in the presence of olefins, which sharply increases the yields of the resulting chromans.³²⁸ If an intermediate is formed (*o*-hydroxybenzyl cation \leftrightarrow protonated *o*-benzoguinonemethide), its LUMO is lower than that of the nonprotonated species and this rationalizes milder conditions and better vields.

Finally the retroheterodiene reaction of 2,3-dihydro-p-dioxin giving quantitatively ethylene and glyoxal was kinetically studied.³²⁹ Both log A/sec⁻¹ and ΔH^* (14.55 and 52.9 kcal/mol, respectively) were found to be very similar to the same parameters of the thermal decomposition of 3,4-dihydro-2Hpyran²⁶⁹ (Table XXIV).

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by the National Research Council (C.N.R.), Rome. The authors wish to thank Professor P. Grünanger and Dr. R. Gandolfi for helpful discussions and valuable comments on the manuscript.

XVI. References

- (1) A. S. Onishenko, "Diene Synthesis," Israel Program for Scientific Translations, Jerusalem, 1964, p 535.
- (2) S. B. Needleman and M. C. C. Kuo, *Chem. Rev.*, **62**, 405 (1962).
 (3) J. Colonge and G. Descotes in "1,4-Cycloaddition Reactions," J. Hamer, Ed., Academic Press, New York, N.Y., 1967, p 217. (4) J. Sauer, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 5, 211 (1966). (5) H. Wollweber, "Diels-Alder Reacktion," Georg Thieme Verlag, Stutt-
- gart, 1972, p 174.
- (6) R. R. Schmidt, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 12, 212 (1973).
 (7) W. C. Herndon, Chem. Rev., 72, 157 (1972).

- (a) L. Salem, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 90, 543 (1968).
 (9) A. Devaquet and L. Salem, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 91, 3793 (1969).
 (10) K. Fukul, T. Yonezawa, and C. Nagata, J. Chem. Phys., 20, 722 (1952).
- (11) K. Fukui, T. Yonezawa, and C. Nagata, J. Chem. Phys., 22, 1433
- (1954).
 (12) K. Fukul In "Molecular Orbitals In Chemistry, Physics and Biology," P.
 O. Löwdin and B. Pullman, Ed., Academic Press, New York, N.Y., 1964, p 573.
- (13) G. Klopman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 90, 223 (1968).
 (14) O. Elsenstein, J. M. Lefour, and N. Trong Anh, Chem. Commun., 969 1971).
- (15) R. Sustmann, Tetrahedron Lett., 2721 (1971)
- (16) N. Epiotis, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 94, 1924 (1972).
 (17) R. Sustmann and H. Trill, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 11, 838 (1972).
 (18) T. Koopmans, Physica, 1, 104 (1934).

- G. Briegleb, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 3, 617 (1964).
 G. Briegleb, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 3, 617 (1964).
 K. N. Houk and R. W. Strozler, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 95, 4094 (1973).
 K. N. Houk, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 95, 4092 (1973).
 S. M. Sherlin, A. Y. Berlin, T. A. Serebrennikova, and R. F. Rabinov-tic sector and the sector and
- itch, *J. Gen. Chem. USSR*, **8**, 22 (1938). (23) E. C. Coyner and W. S. Hillman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **71**, 324 (1949). (24) C. W. Smith, D. G. Norton, and S. A. Ballard, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **73**,
- 5273 (1951).
- (25) R. P. Lutz and J. D. Roberts, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 83, 2198 (1961).
- (26) M. J. S. Dewar, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **4**, 16 (1959).
 (27) R. B. Woodward and T. J. Katz, *Tetrahedron*, **5**, 70 (1959).
- (28) L. Salem, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 90, 553 (1968)
- (29) C. G. Cardenas, *Chem. Commun.*, 134 (1970).
 (30) W. C. Herndon and L. H. Hall, *Theor. Chim. Acta*, 7, 4 (1967).
- (31) H. Schuitz and H. Wagner, Angew. Chem., 62, 105 (1950). (32) R. R. Whetstone, U.S. Patent 2,479,283 (1949); Chem. Abstr., 44, 667i (1950).
- (33) J. P. Schirmann, G. Bonnard, and F. Weiss, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr., 3326 (1968).
- (34) B. P. Mundy, R. D. Otzenberger, and A. R. Debernardis, J. Org. Chem., 36, 2390 (1971).
- (35) C. Brun, G. Jenner, and A. Deluzarche, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr., 2322 1972).
- (36) P. Linda, G. Marino, and S. Pignataro, J. Chem. Soc. B, 1585 (1971).
 (37) A. Ledwith and H. J. Woods, J. Chem. Soc. B, 310 (1970).
- (38) K. N. Houk, J. Sims, C. R. Watts, and L. J. Luskus, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 95, 7301 (1973).

- (39) R. Paul and S. Tchelitcheff, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr., 21, 672 (1954).
 (40) R. G. Pearson, J. Chem. Educ., 45, 581, 643 (1968).
 (41) G. Klopman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 90, 223 (1968).
 (42) J. Soula, D. Lumbroso, M. Hellin, and F. Coussemant, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr., 2059 (1966).
- (43) N. Sopov and M. Kovner, Zh. Obshch. Khim., 34, 1492 (1964).
 (44) V. Kucherov, A. Onishenchenko, B. Rudenko, and E. Elperina, Dokl.
- Akad. Nauk SSSR, 158, 397 (1964).
- (45) I. Nazarov, Y. Titov, and A. Kuznetsova, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Otd. Khim. Nauk*, 1412 (1959).
 (46) N. Sopov and M. Kovner, *Zh. Obshch. Khim.*, 34, 1492 (1964).

- (47) G. Büchl and J. E. Powell, Jr., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 89, 4559 (1967).
 (48) G. P. Kugatova-Shemyakina, L. I. Rozhkova, V. N. Gramenitskaya, and
- V. M. Andreev, Zh. Org. Khim., 8, 2446 (1970).
 (49) L. I. Gamaga, V. S. Markevich, S. M. Markevich, and M. V. Saricheva, Neftekhimiya, 11, 678 (1971); Chem. Abstr., 76, 13891 (1972). (50) M. Bertrand, J. Grimaldi, and B. Waegell, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr., 962
- (1971)
- (51) N. I. Skvortsova, G. V. Meleshkina-Kostyuk, and A. V. Gurevich, Tr. Vses. Nauchno-Issled. Inst. Sint. Nat. Dushistykh Veshchestv, 7, 32 (1965); Chem. Abstr., 66, 55590 (1967).

(52) G. Opitz and H. Holtmann, Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem., 684, 79 (1965).

G. Desimoni and G. Tacconi

- (53) Y. Matsubara, T. Kishimoto, and W. Minematsu. Nippon Kagaku Zas-shi, 92, 874 (1971); Chem. Abstr., 76, 153947 (1972).
- (54) L. I. Zakharkin and V. V. Guseva, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim., 2157 (1973).
- (55) J. Brougidou and H. Christol, C. R. Acad. Sci., Ser. C, 257, 3149 (1963).
- (56) J. Brougidou and H. Christol, C. R. Acad. Sci., Ser. C, 257, 3323
- (1963).
 (57) M. F. Shostakovskii, V. Z. Annenkova, A. K. Khallullin, E. A. Gaitseva, I. S. Emel'yanov, and N. I. Shergina, *Izv. Sibirsk. Otd. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 116 (1969); *Chem. Abstr.*, 71, 70885 (1969).
- V. I. Belyaev, V. Z. Annenkova, L. T. Ivanova, and G. S. Ugryumova, Izv. Sibirsk. Otd. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 114 (1966); Chem. Abstr., 66, (58) 29194 (1967
- (59)I. Webb and G. Borcherdt, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 73, 752 (1951).
- (60) T. Saegusa, Y. ito, S. Kobayashi, and S. Tomita, Chem. Commum., 273 (1968).
- (61) W. Wendelin, Monatsh. Chem., 102, 144 (1971).
- (62) K. N. Houk, J. Sims, R. E. Duke, Jr., R. W. Strozler, and J. K. George, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 95, 7287 (1973).
 (63) M. M. Guseinov, M. B. Mamedov, I. M. Akhmedov, T. G. Kyazimova,
- and M. G. Veliev, Azerb. Khim. Zh., 3, 67 (1971); Chem. Abstr., 78, 86179 (1972)
- (64) M. Mlzuta, T. Kato, and Y. Ishii, Kogyo Kagaku Zasshi, 87, 1382 (1964).
- (65) M. Mizuta, H. Harakl and Y. Ishii, Kogyo Kagaku Zasshi, 69, 79 (1966).

- (66) G. Descotes and A. Jullien, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 3395 (1969).
 (67) H. Heaney and J. M. Jablonski, *Chem. Commun.*, 1139 (1968).
 (68) H. Heaney, J. Jablonski, C. T. McCarty, and A. P. Price, *Prepr., Amer.* Div. Pet. Chem., Am. Chem. Soc., 14, C28 (1969); Chem. Abstr., 73, 130842 (1970). H. Heaney and C. T. McCarty, Chem. Commun., 123 (1970).
- (69)
- (70) H. Heaney, J. M. Jabloski, and C. T. McCarty, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 2903 (1972).
- (71) F. Tonnard, S. Odlot, and M. L. Martin, Can. J. Chem., 48, 3154 (1970).
- (72) M. Mizuta and Y. Ishii, Kogyo Kagaku Zasshi, 66, 1442 (1963)
- (73) M. F. Shostakovskii, G. G. Skvortsova, K. V. Zapunnaya, and U. G. Ko-zyrev, *Khim. Geterotsiki. Soedin.*, 2, 652 (1966).
 (74) C. Broquet, J. D'Angelo, and V. M. Thuy, *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.*, 341
- (1968).
 (75) V. B. Mochalln, Z. I. Smolina, and B. V. Unkovskii, Uch. Zap. Mosk. Inst. Tonkoi. Khim. Tekhnol., 1, 92 (1970); Chem. Abstr., 76, 14249 (1972).
- (76) Y. I. Chumakov and N. B. Bulgakova, Ukr. Khim. Zh., 36, 514 (1970); Chem. Abstr., 73, 55950 (1970).
- (77) G. G. Skvortsova and V. G. Kozyrev, Khim. Geterotsiki. Soedin., 17 (1970).
- (78) V. G. Kozyrev, G. G. Skvortsova, and M. F. Shostakovskii, Khim. Geterotsiki. Soedin., 730 (1970). F. N. Stepanov and N. L. Dovgan, Zh. Org. Khim., 6, 1821 (1970).
- (79)
- (80) O. A. Shavrygina and S. M. Makin, *Khim. Farm. Zh.*, 4, 24 (1970); *Chem. Abstry*, 73, 14618 (1970).
 (81) S. K. Kyazimov, F. Y. Kasumov, I. A. Khudayarov, R. A. Sultanov, and
- S. I. Sadykh-zade, Zh. Org. Khim., 6, 1577 (1970).
- (82) V. M. Thuy, *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.*, 4429 (1970).
 (83) H. Hoffmann, E. Schmidt, G. Jeschek, A. Kuerzinger, W. Schoenleben, S. Winderl, and D. Voges, German Patent 2,008,131 (1971); *Chem.* Abstr., 75, 151674 (1971). V. B. Mochalin, Z. I. Smolina, and B. V. Unkovskii, *Khim. Geterotsiki*.
- (84) Soedin., 452 (1972)
- (85) G. G. Skvortsova, M. A. Andriyankov, and S. M. Tyrina, Khim. Geterotsiki. Soedin., 1155 (1972).
- (86) S. I. Sadikh-zade, F. Y. Kasumov, S. K. Kyazimov, and R. A. Sultanov, *Zh. Org. Khim.*, 8, 1788 (1972).
 (87) J. Castells, F. Camps, and F. Sanchez Ferrando, *An. Real Soc. Esp. Fis. Quim., Ser. B*, 66, 175 (1970).
- (88) A. Belanger and P. Brassard, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 863 (1972).
- (89) J. Brougidou and H. Christol, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr, 1693 (1966).

- (90) M. Mühlstädt and G. Müller, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 5321 (1966).
 (91) M. Mühlstädt and G. Müller, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1811 (1968).
 (92) M. Moreau, R. Quagliaro, R. Longeray, and J. Dreux, *Bull. Soc. Chim.* Fr, 4251 (1968).
- (93) O. L. Chapman and C. L. McIntosh, *Chem. Commun.*, 383 (1971).
 (94) V. M. Thuy and P. Maitte, *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.*, 2783 (1972).
- (95) G. Desimoni, G. Tacconi, and F. Marinone, Gazz. Chim. Ital., 98, 1301
- (1968). (96) G. Desimoni, A. Gamba, P. P. Righetti, and G. Tacconi, Gazz. Chim.
- *ital.*, 101, 899 (1971). (97) G. Desimoni, G. Cellerino, G. Minoli, and G. Tacconi, *Tetrahedron*, **28**,
- 4003 (1972). (98) G. Tacconi, F. Marlnone, and G. Desimoni, Gazz. Chim. Ital., 101, 173 (1971). (99) G. Tacconi, P. ladarola, F. Marinone, P. P. Righetti, and G. Desimoni,
- Tetrahedron, 31, 1179 (1975). (100) G. Desimoni and G. Tacconi, *Gazz. Chim. Ital.*, 98, 1329 (1968).
 (101) G. Desimoni, L. Astolfi, M. Cambieri, A. Gamba, and G. Tacconi, *Tetra*-

(102) G. Desimoni, G. Colombo, P. P. Righetti, and G. Tacconi, Tetrahedron,

(106) G. Desimoni, A. Gamba, P. P. Righetti, and G. Tacconi, Gazz. Chim.

(103) G. Desimoni, M. Nicola, and G. Tacconi, personal communication (104) E. B. Pedersen and S. O. Lawesson, *Tetrahedron*, 26, 2959 (1970).
 (105) D. Couturier, M. C. Fargeau, and P. Maitte, *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.*, 4777

hedron, 29, 2627 (1973).

29, 2635 (1973).

- Ital., 102, 491 (1972). (107) M. Mizuta, A. Suzuki, and Y. Ishii, Kogyo Kagaku Zasshi, 69, 77 (1966). (108) R. Sustmann and R. Schubert, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 11, 840
- (1972). (109) P. Kuser, E. F. Frauenfelder, and C. H. Eugstern, Helv. Chim. Acta, 54,
- 969 (1971). (110) J. Sauer and H. Prahl, Chem. Ber., 102, 1917 (1969).
- (111) M. Hollk, J. Janak', and M. Ferles, Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun., 32, 3546 (1967). (112) E. C. du Feu, F. J. McQuilling, and R. Robinson, J. Chem. Soc., 53
- (1937)
- (113) G. Stork and H. K. Landesman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **78**, 5129 (1956). (114) H. O. House, B. M. Trost, and (in part) R. W. Magin, R. G. Carlson, R.
- W. Frank, and G. H. Rasmusson, J. Org. Chem., 30, 2513 (1965).

- (118) V. Dressler and K. Bodendorf, Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem., 720, 71 (1968).
- (119) H. Christol, F. Plenat, and J. Salancon, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr., 4468 (1970).
- (120) G. Opitz and I. Löschmann, Angew. Chem., 72, 523 (1960). (121) R. D. Allan, B. G. Cordiner, and R. J. Wells, Tetrahedron Lett., 6055 (1968).
- (122) I. Fleming and J. Harley-Mason, J. Chem. Soc., 2165 (1964)
- (123) R. N. Schut and T. M. H. Liu, J. Org. Chem., 30, 2845 (1965)
- (123) R. N. Schut and I. M. H. Liu, J. Org. Chem., 30, 2845 (1965).
 (124) G. Opitz and H. Holtmann, Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem., 684, 79 (1965).
 (125) I. Fleming and M. H. Karger, J. Chem. Soc. C, 226 (1967).
 (126) F. P. Colonna, S. Fatutta, A. Risaliti, and C. Russo, J. Chem. Soc. C, 2377 (1970).
 (127) J. W. Lewis, P. L. Myers, J. A. Ormerod, and I. A. Selby, J. Chem.
- Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1549 (1972).
- (128) L. Marchetti, E. Foresti Serantoni, R. Mongiorgi, and L. Riva di Sansev-erino, Gazz. Chim. Ital., 103, 615 (1973).
- (129) G. Deslmoni, M. J. Cook, and G. Tacconi, Ann. Chim. (Rome), 60, 208 (1970).
- (130) M. J. Cook and G. Desimoni, Tetrahedron, 27, 257 (1971).
- (131) G. Desimoni, G. Cellerino, A. Gamba, P. P. Righetti, and G. Tacconi, Tetrahedron, 29, 2621 (1973).
- (132) A. Venot and G. Adrian, Tetrahedron Lett., 4663 (1972).
- (133) M. Von Strandtmann, M. P. Cohen, and J. Shavel, Jr., J. Org. Chem., 30, 3240 (1965). (134) M. Von Strandtmann, M. P. Cohen, and J. Shavel, Jr., Tetrahedron
- Lett., 3103 (1965).
- (135) M. Von Strandtmann, M. P. Cohen, and J. Shavel, Jr., J. Heterocycl. *Chem.*, **7**, 1311 (1970). (136) R. Balaji and G. V. Bhide, *Chem. Ind.* (*London*), 1095 (1969). (137) J. W. Lewis, P. L. Myers, and M. J. Readhead, *J. Chem. Soc. C*, 771
- (1970).
- (138) J. W. Lewis and P. L. Myers, *Chem. Ind.* (London), 1625 (1970). (139) K. K. Prasad and V. M. Girijavallabhan, *Chem. Ind.* (London), 426 (1971)

- (140) K. K. Prasad, Indian J. Chem., 9, 1239 (1971).
 (141) O. Tsuge and I. Shinkai, Nippon Kagaku Zasshi, 92, 263 (1971).
 (142) R. Balaji Rao and G. V. Bhide, Chem. Ind. (London), 653 (1970).
- (143) S. Penades, H. Kisch, K. Tortschanoff, P. Margaretha, and O. E. Polan-(1-0) S. I. Elizaços, H. Nisch, K. Lortschanott, P. Margaretha, and O. E. Polansky, *Monatsh. Chem.*, 104, 447 (1973).
 (144) G. Tacconi and G. Desimoni, *Gazz. Chim. Ital.*, 98, 1314 (1968).
 (145) G. Tacconi, A. Gamba, F. Marinone, and G. Desimoni, *Tetrahedron*, 27, 561 (1971).

- (146) G. Tacconi and G. Desimoni, personal communication.
- (147) G. Tacconl, F. Marinone, A. Gamba, and G. Desimoni, Tetrahedron, 28, 1517 (1972).
- (148) R. L. Autrey and F. C. Tahk, *Tetrahedron*, 24, 3337 (1968).
 (149) K. K. Prasad, D. S. Iyengar and R. V. Venkataratnam, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2865 (1972).
- (150) G. S. Sidhu, R. V. Venkataratnam, K. K. Prasad, and D. S. Iyengar, In-(150) G. S. Sidnu, R. V. Venkataratnam, K. K. Prasad, and D. S. Iyengar, *indian J. Chem.*, **10**, 448 (1972).
 (151) J. Ficini and A. Krief, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1427 (1969).
 (152) J. Ficini and A. Krief, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 885 (1970).
 (153) P. L. Myers and J. W. Lewis, *J. Heterocycl., Chem.*, **10**, 165 (1973).
 (154) J. Ficini and C. Barbara, *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.*, 871 (1964).
 (155) J. Fichi, J. Bisseyre, J. D'Angelo, and C. Barbara, *C. R. Acad. Sci.*, *Ser. C*, **271**, 468 (1970).

- (156) J. Flcini and J. Pouliquen, Tetrahedron Lett., 1131 (1972)

- (150) J. Fichin and J. Pouliquen, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1137 (1972).
 (157) J. Fichin and J. Pouliquen, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1135 (1972).
 (158) T. W. Doyle, *Can. J. Chem.*, 48, 1629 (1970).
 (159) R. Hulsgen, L. A. Feller, and G. Binsch, *Chem. Ber.*, 102, 3360 (1969).
 (160) M. F. Neumann, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 341 (1966).
 (162) T. Elchergendo, Pilker, Tetrahedron Lett., 6000 (1972).

- (160) M. T. Hountaini, *Fetrahedron Lett.*, 941 (1866).
 (161) T. Elcher and S. Böhm, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2603 (1972).
 (162) (a) M. Stelnfeis and A. S. Dreiding, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 55, 702 (1972);
 (b) V. Bilinski, M. A. Steinfels, and A. S. Dreiding, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 55, 1075 (1972); (c) V. Bilinski and A. S. Dreiding *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 55, 1271 (1972).
 (160) D. Both and H. Sonzida. Tetrahedra (1972).
- (163) H. R. Roth and H. Sporleder, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 6223 (1968).
 (164) (a) M. Oda, M. Funamizu, and Y. Kitahara, *Chem. Commun.*, 737 (1969); (b) M. Oda and Y. Kitahara, *Synthesis*, 368 (1971).
- T. Asao, N. Morita, C. Cabuto, and Y. Kitahara, Tetrahedron Lett., (165)
- 4379 (1972). (166) W. E. Truce and C. M. Lin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 95, 4426 (1973).
- (167) K. Brannock, R. D. Burpitt, H. E. Davis, H. S. Pringen, and J. G. Thweatt, J. Org. Chem., 29, 2579 (1964).
- (168) A. G. Makhsumov, I. T. Turdimukhmedova, and A. Safaev. Dokl. Akad.
- Nauk Uzb. SSR, 28, 44 (1971); Chem. Abstr., 75, 110159 (1971).
- (169) G. Domschke, Chem. Ber., 99, 930 (1966).

- (170) (a) K. Ley and R. Nast, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 6, 174 (1967); (b)
 R. G. Allen, Jr., J. Org. Chem., 33, 3346 (1968).
 (171) A. N. Grinev, G. M. Borodina, G. V. Yaroslavtseva, and L. M. Alekseye-
- va, Khim. Geterotsiki. Soedin., 1634 (1970). (172) E. A. Titov and A. S. Grishchenko, Khim. Geterotsiki. Soedin., 872
- (1972).
- (173) E. A. Titov, A. S. Grishchenko, L. A. Gura, and A. N. Kost, Khim. Geterotsiki. Soedin., 1451 (1973). (174) J. Ficini and A. Krief, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1431 (1969). (175) J. Ficini and A. Krief, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1397 (1970).

- (176) J. Ficini and A. M. Touzin, Tetrahedron Lett., 2093 (1972). J. Ficini and A. Krief, Tetrahedron Lett., 2497 (1967).
- (178) H. Staudinger and R. Endle, Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem., 401, 263 (1913).
- (179) H. Hopff and W. Rapp, U.S. Patent 2,265,165 (1942); Chem. Abstr., 36, 1614 (1942). (180) G. A. Berchtold, G. R. Harvey, and G. E. Wilson, *J. Org. Chem.*, 26,
- 4776 (1961).
- (181) G. A. Berchtold, G. R. Harvey, and G. E. Wilson, J. Org. Chem., 30, 2642 (1965).
- (182) R. H. Hasek and J. C. Martin, *J. Org. Chem.*, 26, 4775 (1961).
 (183) R. Scarpati, D. Sica, and C. Santacroce, *Tetrahedron*, 20, 2735
- (1964).
- (184) R. H. Hasek, P. G. Gott, and J. C. Martin, J. Org. Chem., 29, 2513 (1964).
- (185) G. Bignardi, P. Schenone, and F. Evangelisti, Ann. Chim. (Rome), 61, 326 (1971).
- (186) G. Bignardi, F. Evangelisti, P. Schenone, and A. Bargagna, J. Hetero-cycl. Chem., 9, 1071 (1972).

- (187) G. Opitz and F. Zimmermann, *Chem. Ber.*, **97**, 1266 (1964).
 (188) G. Opitz and E. Tempel, *Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem.*, **699**, 74 (1966).
 (189) J. C. Martin, K. R. Barton, P. G. Gott, and R. H. Meen, *J. Org. Chem.*, 31, 943 (1966).
- (190) A. Gandini, P. Schenone, and G. Bignardi, Monatsh. Chem., 98, 1518 (1967).

- (1907).
 (191) G. Opltz and E. Tempel, *Justus Lieblgs Ann. Chem.*, **699**, 68 (1966).
 (192) G. Opltz and E. Tempel, *Angew. Chem.*, *Int. Ed. Engl.*, **3**, 754 (1964).
 (193) G. Minardi, P. Schenone, and G. Bignardi, *Ann. Chim. (Rome)*, **58**,
- 1320 (1968) (194) F. Bondavalli, P. Schenone, and M. Longobardi, Farmaco (Pavia), Ed. Sci., 29, 48 (1974)
- (195) P. Schenone, L. Mosti, and G. Bignardi, Farmaco (Pavia), Ed. Sci., 26, 857 (1971).
- (196) P. Schenone, G. Bignardi, and S. Morasso, J. Heterocycl. Chem., 9, 1341 (1972).
- (197) K. N. Houk, R. W. Strozier, and J. A. Hall, Tetrahedron Lett., 897 (1974).
- (198) H. Ulrich, "Cycloaddition Reactions of Heterocumulenes," Academic Press, New York, N.Y., 1967, pp 97-103, 209.
 (199) M. Bertrand and J. Le Gras, *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.*, 34, 4336 (1967).

 - (200) M. S. Luckern and J. R. Michael, Theses, Cornell University, 1953 and 1957; reported by F. Kurzer and K. Douraghi-Zadeh, Chem. Rev., 67, 125 (1967).
 - (201) H. Stetter and K. Klehs, Chem. Ber., 98, 2099 (1965).

(1973),

(1969).

(1969)

774 (1965).

(212)

(202) G. Jåger, *J. Wenzelburger*, and R. R. Schmidt, German Patent 2,132,763 (1973); *Chem. Abstr.*, **78**, 97692 (1973).
 (204) G. Kollenz, H. Igel, and E. Ziegler, *Monatsh. Chem.*, **103**, 450 (1972).

(205) E. Zlegler, G. Kollenz, and W. Ott, Synthesis, 679 (1973). (206) G. Kollenz, E. Zlegler, and W. Ott, Org. Prep. Proced. Int., 5, 261

(1971);
(207) G. Jäger, J. Wenzelburger, and R. Wegler, German Patent 2,005,118 (1971); *Chem. Abstr.*, **75**, 151812 (1971).
(208) C. Huynh and S. Julia, *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.*, 1794 (1972).
(209) G. Pfundt and G. O. Schenck in "1,4-Cycloaddition Reactions," J. Har-

(213) W. M. Horspool, J. M. Tedder, and Z. U. Din, J. Chem. Soc. C, 1692

(214) D. Bryce-Smith and A. Gilbert, *Chem. Commun.*, 1702 (1968). (215) W. M. Horspool, J. M. Tedder, and Z. U. Din, *J. Chem. Soc. C*, 1694

(1969).
(216) W. Ried and E. Torok, *Naturwissenschaften*, **5**1, 265 (1964).
(217) W. Ried and W. Radt, *Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem.*, **888**, 174 (1965).
(218) W. Ried and W. Radt, *Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem.*, **888**, 170 (1965).
(219) M. F. Ansell and V. J. Leslie, *J. Chem. Soc. C*, 1423 (1971).
(220) M. F. Ansell, A. J. Bignold, A. F. Gosden, V. J. Leslie, and R. A. Murray, *J. Chem. Soc. C*, 1414 (1971).
(221) M. F. Ansell and P. Murray, *J. Chem. Soc. C*, 1400 (1071).

(221) M. T. Gibell and A. A. Marray, J. Chem. Soc. J. 1420 (1971).
 (222) Y. Tsuda, K. Isobe, and A. Ukai, *Chem. Commun.*, 1554 (1971).
 (223) W. C. Herndon and W. B. Glies, *Chem. Commun.*, 497 (1969).
 (224) J. B. Henrrickson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 83, 2018 (1961).
 (225) O. Tsuge and S. Iwanami, *Buil. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 44, 2750 (1971).
 (226) R. Fusco, S. Rossi, S. Malorana, and G. Pagani, *Gazz. Chim. Ital*, 95, 774 (1965).

774 (1965).
(227) G. Opitz and H. R. Mohl, unpublished; reported by G. Opitz, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 8, 121 (1967).
(228) O. Tsuge and S. Iwanami, Org. Prep. Proced. Int., 3, 283 (1971).
(229) O. Tsuge and S. Iwanami, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 43, 3543 (1970).
(230) H. E. Zaugg, Synthesis, 49 (1970).
(231) R. R. Schmidt, Synthesis, 333 (1972).
(232) N. P. Gambaryan, E. M. Rokhlin, Y. V. Zeifman, C. Ching-Yun, and I. L. Knunyants, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 5, 947 (1966).
(233) Y. V. Zeifman, N. P. Gambaryan, L. A. Simonyan, R. B. Minasyan, and I. L. Knunyants, Zh. Obshch. Khim., 37, 2476 (1967).

(221) M. F. Ansell and R. A. Murray, J. Chem. Soc. C, 1420 (1971).

(209) G. Flohad and G. O. Schenker, T. 19-5-500 and the result of mer. Ed., Academic Press, New York, N.Y., 1967, p 345.
 (210) J. W. Lown and A. S. K. Aldoo, *Can. J. Chem.*, 44, 2507 (1966).
 (211) D. Bryce-Smith and A. Gilbert, *Chem. Commun.*, 1701 (1968).

W. Friedrichsen, Tetrahedron Lett., 4425 (1969).

- (234) A. D. Sinitsa, B. S. Drach, and A. A. Kisilenko, Zh. Org. Khim., 9, 685 (1973).
- (235) N. P. Gambaryan and Y. V. Zeifman, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Otd. Khim. Nauk, 9, 2059 (1969).
- Y. V. Zeifman, N. P. Gambaryan, and R. B. Minasyan, izv. Akad. Nauk (236)SSSR, Otd. Khim. Nauk, 1910 (1965). (237) R. Sustmann, A. Ansmann, and F. Vahrenholt, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 94,
- 8099 (1972). (238) R. W. Hoffmann and H. Häuser, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 3, 380
- (1964). (239) J. Firl and S. Sommer, Tetrahedron Lett., 1133 (1969).
- (240) E. Koerner von Gustorf, D. V. White, B. Kim, D. Hess, and J. Leitich, J. Org. Chem., 35, 1155 (1970).
 (241) J. J. Tufariello, T. F. Mich, and T. S. Miller, Tetrahedron Lett., 2293
- (1966). (242) C. F. Huebner, E. M. Donoghue, C. J. Novak, L. Dorfman, and E. Wenkert, *J. Org. Chem.*, **35**, 1149 (1970).
 (243) J. Firl and S. Sommer, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1929 (1970).
- (244) J. Firl and S. Sommer, Tetrahedron Lett., 1925 (1970).
- (245) J. Firl and S. Sommer, Tetrahedron Lett., 4193 (1971)
- (246) L. Marchetti and G. Tosi, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 3071 (1971). (247) J. Markert and E. Fahr, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 769 (1970).
- (248) L. A. Carpino and E. S. Rundberg, Jr., *Chem. Commun.*, 1431 (1968).
 (249) D. Mackay, J. A. Campbell, and C. P. R. Jenninson, *Can. J. Chem.*, 48,
- 81 (1970) (250) B. A. Arbuzov, N. N. Zobova, F. B. Balabanova, and A. V. Fuzhenkova,
- Dokl. Vses. Konf. Khim. Atsetilena 4th, 1, 500 (1972); Chem. Abstr., 79, 66276 (1973).
- (251) R. Neidlein and R. Bottler, Arch. Pharm., 302, 306 (1969).
- (252) M. Sakamoto, Y. Tomimatsu, K. Miyazawa, and K. Tokoro, Yakugaku Zasshi, 92, 1462 (1972); Chem. Abstr., 78, 97610 (1973). (253) H. L. Ammon, A. J. Gordon, and R. L. Ehrenkaufer, Acta Crystallogr.,
- Sect. B, 29, 2619 (1973). (254) B. A. Arbuzov, N. N. Zobova, and F. B. Balabanova, Izv. Akad. Nauk
- SSSR, Ser. Khim, 2056 (1973). (255) B. A. Arbuzov, N. N. Zobova, and F. B. Balabanova, *Izv. Akad. Nauk*
- SSSR, Ser. Khim., 2086 (1972).
- (256) R. Huisgen, M. Morikawa, D. S. Breslow, and R. Grashey, *Chem. Ber.*, 100, 1602 (1967).
 (257) J. Goerdeler and M. Bischoff, *Chem. Ber.*, 105, 3566 (1972).
- (258) H. H. Höchold, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 6, 357 (1967).
- (259) S. L. Keely, Jr., and F. C. Tahk, *Chem. Commun.*, 441 (1968). (260) R. V. Stevens and M. P. Wentland, *Chem. Commun.*, 1104 (1968).
- (261) F. Burchard, U. Petersen, and F. Hüper, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.,
- 9, 891 (1970). (262) B. Tursch, C. Chome, J. C. Braekman, and D. Daloze, Bull. Soc. Chim.
- (202) B. Turscri, G. Chomie, J. O. Brackman, and D. Balozo, Dan. Col. Cham. Belg., 82, 699 (1973).
 (263) O. L. Chapman, M. R. Engel, J. P. Springer, and J. C. Clardy, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 93, 6696 (1971).
- (264) S. Seo, U. Sankawa, Y. Ogihara, Y. Iitaka, and S. Shibata, Tetrahedron, 29, 3721 (1973).
- (265) N. Trong Anh and J. Seyden-Penne, Tetrahedron, 29, 3259 (1973).
- (266) Y. Morita, K. Yoshimaru, H. Ohba, A. Nakamura, K. Fukuda, and T mura, German Patent 2,163,515 (1973); Chem. Abstr., 79, 78613 (1973).
- (267) Y. Morita, R. Kikumoto, and T. Nomura, Japanese Patent 73 05,588 (1973); Chem. Abstr., 78, 136072 (1973).
- (268) D. W. Turner et al., unpublished; quoted by D. W. Turner in Adv. Phys.
- (208) D. W. Turner et al., unpublished; quoted by D. W. Turner in Adv. Phys. Org. Chem., 52 (1966).
 (269) C. A. Weilington, J. Chem. Soc. A, 2584 (1969).
 (270) C. S. Caton, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 91, 7569 (1969).
 (271) H. M. Frey, R. G. Hopkins, and N. S. Isaacs, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 2082 (1972).
- (272) R. Sustmann and H. Trill, Tetrahedron Lett., 4271 (1972).
- (273) P. V. Alston and D. D. Shillady, J. Org. Chem., 39, 3402 (1974).
 (274) N. Jungen and H. Labhart, Theor. Chim. Acta, 9, 345 (1968).
 (275) J. M. Hollas, Spectrochim. Acta, 19, 1425 (1963).

- (276) S. Nagakura, *Mol. Phys.*, 3, 105 (1960).
 (277) J. C. Brand and D. G. Williamson, *Discuss. Faraday Soc.*, 35, 184 (1963).
- (278) R. R. Birge, W. C. Pringle, and P. A. Leermakes, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 93, 6715 (1971)
- (279) R. R. Birge and P. A. Leermakers, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 93, 6726 (1971)
- (280) H. H. Jaffé, D. L. Beveridge, and R. Orchin, J. Chem. Educ., 44, 383 (1967).
- (281) D. W. Turner, C. Baker, A. D. Baker, and C. R. Brundle in "Molecular Photoelectron Spectroscopy," Wiley-Interscience, London, 1970, p 250
- (282) A. Katrib and J. W. Rabalais, J. Phys. Chem., 77, 2358 (1973).

- (283) J. Bertran, V. Forero, F. Mora, and J. I. Fernandez-Alonso, An. R. Soc. Esp. Fis. Quim., **70**, 195 (1974); Chem. Abstr., **8**1, 24849 (1974). (284) J. P. Gouesnard, C. R. Acad. Sci., Ser. C, **278**, 797 (1974); Chem.
- Abstr., 81, 90767 (1974).
- (285) M. Sliwa, H. Sliwa, and P. Maitte, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr., 958 (1974).
- (286) M. Ikeda, T. Hirano, and T. Tsuruta, *Tetrahedron*, **30**, 2217 (1974). (287) W. G. Dauben, D. J. Hart, J. Ipaktschi, and A. P. Kozikowski, *Tetrahe*-
- dron Lett., 4425 (1973).
- (288) A. T. Browne and R. H. Levin, Tetrahedron Lett., 2043 (1974).
- (289) S. M. Makin, O. A. Shavygina, L. M. Kosheleva, S. D. Yabionovskaya, and M. I. Berezhnaya, *Khim. Khim. Tekhnol. Tr. Yubileinoj Konf.* Posvyashch. 70-Letlyu Inst., 151 (1970); Chem. Abstr., 81, 37457 (1974).
- (290) A. S. Atavin, V. I. Lavrov, and V. K. Stankevich, Otkrytiva Izobret. Prom. Obraztsy Tovarnye Znaki, 50, 82 (1973); Chem. Abstr., 80,
- (291) S. M. Makin, Y. E. Raifel'd, M. A. Fedorovskaya, and N. S. Zefirov, *Zh. Org. Khim.*, 10, 621 (1974).
 (292) Y. N. Porshnev, E. M. Tereshchenko, V. B. Mochalin, and V. V. Titov,
- (292) Y. K. Forshinev, E. M. Fersandreikov, V. E. Mochaint, and V. V. Hitov, Zh. Org. Khim, 10, 853 (1974).
 (293) M. G. Voronkov, A. S. Atavin, V. I. Lavrov, V. K. Stankevich, and I. D. Kalikhman, Khim. Geterotsiki. Soedin., 330 (1974).
 (294) V. I. Lavrov, A. S. Atavin, and V. K. Stankevich, Khim. Geterotsiki.
- Soedin., 333 (1974). (295) A. N. Mirskova, T. S. Proskurina, V. K. Voronov, and A. S. Atavin,
- Khim. Geterotsikl. Soedin., 184 (1974). (296) Y. P. Porshnev, E. M. Tereshchenko, and V. B. Mochalin, Khim. Geter-
- otsiki. Soedin., 1329 (1974). (297) V. B. Mochalin, Z. I. Smolina, and B. V. Unkovskii, Khim. Geterotsiki.
- Soedin., 452 (1972).
- (298) P. Amice and J. M. Conia, *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.*, 1015 (1974).
 (299) H. Bock, G. Wagner, K. Wittel, J. Sauer, and D. Seebach, *Chem. Ber.*, 107, 1869 (1974).
- (300) S. S. Hall and A. J. Duggan, J. Org. Chem., 39, 3432 (1974).
- (301) R. Sustmann, Tetrahedron Lett., 963 (1974).
- (302) H. K. Hall, Jr., and P. Ykman, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 587 (1974).
- (303) M. Forchiassin, A. Risaliti, C. Russo, M. Calligaris, and G. Pitacco, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 660 (1974).
- (304) D. C. Cook and A. Lawson, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1112 (1974).
- (305) J. Ficini, A. Dureault, G. Revial, and A. M. Touzin, Tetrahedron Lett.,
- 1025 (1974).
 (306) H. Meyer, F. Bossert, W. Vater, and K. Stoepel, *German Patent* 2,235,406 (1974); *Chem. Abstr.*, 80, 120765 (1974).
- (307) P. Schenone, F. Evangelisti, and G. Bignardi, Chim. Ind. (Milan), 57, 50 (1975)
- (308) P. B. Valkovich and W. P. Wever, J. Org. Chem., 40, 299 (1975).
- (309) H. Eckes and M. Regitz, Tetrahedron Lett., 447 (1975).
- (310) M. F. Pommeret-Chasle, A. Foucaud, and M. Hassairi, Tetrahedron, 30, 4181 (1974).
- (311) R. J. Singer and G. Jaeger, German Patent, 2,260,859 (1974); Chem. Abstr., 81, 91542 (1974).
- (312) R. W. Saalfrank, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 4509 (1974).
 (313) H. Bahn and W. Schroth, *Zh. Chem.*, 14, 239 (1974).
- (314) N. Lativ, N. Mishriky, N. S. Guirguis, and A. Hussein, J. Prakt. Chem., 315, 419 (1973).
- (315) N. Lativ and K. El-Bayouki, *Chem. Ind. (London)*, 316 (1975). (316) W. Friedrichsen, E. Büldt, M. Betz, and R. Schmidt, *Tetrahedron Lett.*,
- 2469 (1974).
- (317) O. Tsuge and M. Noguchi, Chem. Lett., 113 (1974); Chem. Abstr., 80, 95900 (1974).
- (318) Y. A. Arbuzov, E. I. Klimova, N. D. Antonova, and Y. V. Tomilov, Zh. Org. Khim., 10, 1164 (1974).
- (319) B. A. Arbuzov and N. N. Zobova, *Synthesis*, 461 (1974).
 (320) S. S. Simmons, Jr., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 96, 6492 (1974).
 (321) V. Nair and K. H. Kim, J. Org. Chem., 39, 3763 (1974).

- (321) V. Nair and K. H. Kini, J. Org. Chem., Sc. 3163 (1974).
 (322) K. Milzner and K. Seckinger, Helv. Chim. Acta, 57, 1614 (1974).
 (323) L. F. Tietze, Chem. Ber., 107, 2498 (1974).
 (324) M. Shamma and D. Hwang, Tetrahedron, 30, 2279 (1974).
 (325) K. B. Lipkowitz, B. P. Mundy, and D. Geeseman, Synth. Commun., 3, 4 (1974). 453 (1973).
- (326) R. Kikumoto, H. Oba, A. Nakamura, and K. Fukuda. Japanese Patent 68,573 (1973); Chem. Abstr., 80, 3382 (1974).
- (327) T. Morita, R. Kikumoto, H. Oba, A. Nakamura, K. Fukuda and T. Nomura, French Patent, 2,166,581 (1974); Chem. Abstr., 80, 70698 (1974). (328) R. R. Schmidt, Tetrahedron Lett., 5279 (1969); we thank Professor Schmidt who drew our attention to this point.
- (329) H. M. Frey and R. A. Smith, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1407
- (1974).