Thermal [1, *j*] Sigmatropic Rearrangements

CHARLES W. SPANGLER

The Michael Faraday Laboratories, Northern IIIInois University, DeKalb, IIIinois 60115

Received December 30, 1974 (Revised Manuscript Received March 28, 1975)

Contents

I.	Introduction	187
II.	Theoretical Aspects of Sigmatropic Migration	187
III.	Nature and Conformation of Sigmatropic Transition States	189
	A. Migration in Acyclic Systems	189
	B. Migration in Cyclic Systems	190
	C. Migrations Involving a Cyclopropane Ring	191
IV.	Specific Group Migrations	191
	A. [1,/] Hydrogen and Deuterlum Migrations	192
	B. [1,/] Carbon MIgrations	199
	 Alkyl Groups. Migration of sp³-HybridIzed Carbon 	200
	2. Aromatic Groups. Migration of sp ² -Hybridized	
	Carbon	205
	3. Carbon Functional Groups	208
	C. [1,j] Heteroatom Group Migrations	209
	D. Organometallic Group Shifts	211
۷.	Migratory Aptitudes and the Influence of Substituents	
	on Migration Rates	214
VI.	Summary and General Trends in Current Research	215
VII.	Addendum	215
VIII.	References	216

I. Introduction

Interest in the study of concerted processes in organic chemistry has increased markedly since the development of the general theory of the principles of orbital symmetry by Woodward and Hoffmann.¹ Consequently, application of the rules governing orbital symmetry control in electrocyclic reactions, sigmatropic rearrangements, cycloaddition reactions, and cheletropic processes has contributed much to our understanding of the nature of concerted reactions. Thermal and photochemical [*i.j*] sigmatropic rearrangement via either suprafacial or antarafacial pathways, and the resultant stereochemical consequences, is one of the more fascinating of these processes and has been the subject of several early reviews.²⁻⁸ However, during the past 5 years a small army of workers has labored to demonstrate the wide applicability of orbital symmetry rules (or lack thereof) in sigmatropic processes in an even wider range of systems. Whereas not long ago sigmatropic migrations were unknown except for hydrogen, deuterium, and a few esoteric carbon examples, today not only are carbon migrations widely documented, but migrations of other functionalities (halogen, oxygen, nitrogen, organometallics, etc.) are being noted with ever increasing frequency.

This review will be limited to thermal [1,j] sigmatropic migrations (j = 3, 5, 7, 9) in neutral molecules, but will cover all known migrating atoms or groups. Both cyclic and acyclic systems will be discussed and the literature coverage complete through the June 1974. Although several reviews during the past 5 years have discussed pericyclic reactions, sigmatropic processes have not been discussed in detail. In particular, it is now possible to review the process of sigmatropic migration in terms of energetics and the nature of the transition state from the wealth of kinetic data now available. It is realistic and feasible to discuss migratory aptitudes and competition between various possible allowed pathways, or between allowed and forbidden routes. Recently, it has also been recognized that conformation can affect the rates and also the product distributions of various thermal processes, and it is pertinent to extend this discussion to the interpretation of various aspects of sigmatropic migration. Finally, this review is an attempt to bring some order to the chaotic dispersion of information presently available so as to make it more useful and widely known.

II. Theoretical Aspects of Sigmatropic Migration

The elementary theoretical framework governing sigmatropic migration has previously been described in detall by Woodward and Hoffmann.¹ [1,j] hydrogen migration may be considered in terms of an interacting hydrogen atom and the HOMO of a polyene radical. The migrating H thus may partici-



pate in two possible transition-state geometries, if orbital symmetry is to be maintained. In suprafacial mIgration, the migrating H maintains contact at all times with the same face

Concerted Sigmatropic Suprafacial Pathway (n = 1, 3, ...)



Concerted Antarafacial Pathway (n = 0, 2, 4, ...)



TABLE I. HOMO Selection Rules for [1, j] Sigmatropic Migration

j –	Allowed	Forbidden
3	Antara-retention	Antara-inversion
	Supra-inversion	Supra-retention
5	Antara-inversion	Antara-retention
	Supra-retention	Supra-inversion
7	Antara-retention	Antara-inversion
	Supra-inversion	Supra-rentention

of the π system, while in an antarafacial process the hydrogen must maintain contact with both faces of the π system simultaneously. This requirement makes [1,3] antarafacial hydrogen migration extremely difficult, if not impossible.

If the migrating atom is capable of undergoing stereochemical inversion, then the selection rules allow two distinct suprafacial or antarafacial routes with attendant retention or inversion at the migrating center (Scheme I). Thus for migrating groups other than hydrogen. [1,3] migrations become feasible. Selection rules for neutral [1,j] thermal migrations are summarized in Table I.

An alternative viewpoint of sigmatropic migration has been proposed by Zimmerman⁹ and discussed also by Dewar.¹⁰ Basically, this approach considers that thermal sigmatropic migration occurs via aromatic transition states. Cyclic transition states may be classified as either aromatic or antiaromatic depending on the number of π electrons involved and

SCHEME I. Possible Pathways for Concerted [1.3] Migration

TABLE II. Selection Rules for Thermal [1,/] Sigmatropic Migrations

No. of electrons	System type	j	Allowed migration
4	Möbius	3	Antara-retention
6	Hückel	5	Antara-inversion
4 <i>n</i>	Möbius	(4n - 1)	Antara-retention
4 <i>n</i> + 2	Hückel	(4n + 1)	Antara-inversion Supra-retention

whether the polyene follows Hückel (zero or even number of twists in the cyclic array) or Möbius (odd number of twists) topology. Möbius transition states will be aromatic for $4n \pi$ electrons, with one sign inversion in the cycle, while Hückel transition states will be aromatic for $(4n + 2) \pi$ electrons, with no sign inversions. Thus [1,3] hydrogen migration, involving 4π electrons, can only occur via a Möbius array, an antarafacial pathway. [1,5] signatropic hydrogen migration, a $(4n + 2) \pi$ array, follows a Hückel suprafacial pathway. The selection rules derived from application of the Hückel-Möbius concept are identical with those from the HOMO approach, but seem preferable to some workers because they avoid the necessity of knowing the individual symmetries of HOMOs (see Table II).



Various orbital interaction schemes have recently been devised which extend the above arguments. Fukui has reviewed¹¹ a method which considers the orbital interaction between the HOMO (highest occupied molecular orbital) and the LUMO (lowest unoccupied molecular orbital) to predict the most favorable steric pathway. More recently, Goddard¹² has proposed the orbital phase continuity principle (OPCP), an ab initio method which asserts that certain phase relationships must occur between the orbitals of the reactants and products for the reaction to be allowed. The results generally agree with the Woodward-Hoffmann rules, and OPCP may also be applied to reactions involving no symmetry. Goddard's approach is based on a generalized valence-bond self-consistent field method and thus is the valence bond analog of the Woodward-Hoffmann MO approach. Finally Epiotis¹³ has applied configuration interaction (CI) considerations in an effort to predict stereoselectivity in [1,3] and [1,5] shifts. Interestingly, he concludes that CI can affect [1,3] shifts and actually reverse stereoselectivity if groups of widely differing polarity are involved, but that [1,5] shifts are not affected. A generalization of this result is that CI is important for 4n processes, but not for 4n + 2 aromatic arrays.

A topic of much recent concern centers on the question of the nature of a "forbidden" process. By carefully choosing the transition state geometry, one can envision symmetry-allowed concerted mechanisms for a great many reactions. However, other factors, such as ring strain or steric blockage may hinder or even preclude an "allowed" concerted process, even though it is probably true that in the absence of such constraints reaction will proceed through the allowed concerted route. If reaction nevertheless occurs, one must choose between a stepwise mechanism or a forbidden pathway. Berson and his coworkers^{14,15} have recently discussed these problems in detail and have concluded that for [1,3] carbon migrations, and possibly other transition states as well, the order of preference can be allowed > forbidden > diradical. These workers point out that forbidden reactions may well be concerted and predictably stereoselective. The concertedness of the forbidden pathway becomes possible by interaction of the migrating carbon p orbital with a subjacent bonding allylic orbital. Berson thus refers to this electron-



ic factor as Subjacent Orbital Control. These workers are careful to point out, however, that this effect does not assume importance unless steric factors argue against the allowed route. It would appear then that concerted forbidden processes can occur under the proper conditions, and it will be interesting to see if forbidden sigmatropic migrations of higher order ([1,5], [1,7], etc.) will be discovered in the future.

III. Nature and Conformation of Sigmatropic Transition States

A. Migration in Acyclic Systems

Concerted migration of a σ bond between the terminl of a polyenylic π -electron system implies the formation of a cyclic transition state. Thus a [1.5] migration proceeds via a cyclic six-membered transition state with suprafacial (allowed) geometry. It has been recognized that a cis configuration about



the C₂–C₃ π bond is a necessary prerequisite, as a trans orientation would dictate a highly strained transition state.⁴ Substituent groups will tend to occupy preferentially the more stable pseudo-ring positions. Thus thermolysis of *cis*-1,3-hexadiene yields predominantly *cis*.*trans*-2,4-hexadiene, presumably via a transition state wherein the methyl group occupies a pseudo-equatorial position.¹⁶ However, if there is little steric difference between two competing alkyl groups. Roth and coworkers¹⁷ found that either may occupy the pseudo-equatorial position in the suprafacial transition state. Thus at 250°



(S)-cis,trans-3-methyl-7-deuterio-4,6-octadiene yields a mixture of (R)-trans, cis-3-methyl-7-deuterio-3,5-octadiene and (S)-cis, cis-3-methyl-7-deuterio-3,5-octadiene (see Scheme II).

One of the first reported examples of a thermal [1,7] shift is the interconversion of vitamin D and precalciferol.¹⁸ If the migration follows a concerted path, the transition state must be coiled in a helical fashion to accommodate the antarafacial geometry. Structure **9** is an envisioned transition state for acyclic antarafacial [1,7] sigmatropic migration of hydro-



gen. In order to achieve this configuration, at least two double bonds must have the necessary cisoid configuration. If this condition is not met, then the more common [1.5] migration or some other pericyclic process may dominate (Scheme IIA).^{19,20} It appears that [1.7] H migration is actually favored over [1.5] migration, all other factors being equal, with geometry being the deciding factor. The relative activation parameters for this competition will be discussed in a later section.

SCHEME II





B. Migration in Cyclic Systems

Thermal [1,5] sigmatropic migration in cyclic systems has been observed in a wide variety of systems and has been reviewed extensively in the literature up to 1970.1-8 However, [1,3] and [1,7] H migration is not observed in small or medium sized rings owing to the unattainable geometry required for antarafacial migration. [1,3] migrations of carbon moieties, on the other hand, are not uncommon. Conformational effects are minimal in five-membered diene rings such as 1,3-cyclopentadiene and indene; however, in larger polyene rings the migrating atom or group can assume different conformational attitudes with respect to the π -electron system. For example, in either 1,3-cyclohexadiene or 1,3,5-cycloheptatriene, a migrating group can occupy either pseudoaxial or pseudo-equatorial positions. Kloosterziel and coworkers^{21,22} first postulated that a group occupying a pseudo-axial position is more liable to participate in a concerted cyclic transition state than if it occupies a pseudo-equatorial position. Wolf and coworkers²³ also utilized this argument in suggesting that migration of hydrogen in preference to phenyl in 7-phenyl-1,3,5-cycloheptatriene was due mainly to the conformational preference of the phenyl group for the pseudoequatorial position.



Spangler has recently reported that migration of hydrogen from C_5 of a 5-substituted-1,3-cyclohexadiene may also be conformation dependent.²⁴ In the range 300–350° in a fast flow system, 5-*tert*-butyl-1,3-cyclohexadiene rearranges faster than does the corresponding 5-methyl compound to yield 1-alkyl-1,3-cyclohexadiene. This behavior is ascribed to the



pronounced preference of the *tert*-butyl group for the pseudo-equatorial position. Thus the rate of migration is enhanced when alkyl group bulk forces the C_5 -H to maintain a predominantly axial conformation. These results indicate the possibility that relative migration rates, and also migratory aptitudes, may be controlled, to some extent, by ground-state conformation.

C. Migrations Involving a Cyclopropane Ring

In 1966. Winstein and coworkers reported the apparent similarity between [1.5] H shifts in cyclic dienes and a shift across a homodiene wherein a cyclopropane ring replaced one of the double bonds of the diene system.²⁵ They suggested a transition state similar to the [1.5] sigmatropic transition state. Frey and Solly^{26,27} studied similar migrations in acyclic analogs, in the gas phase, and extended this model of the transition state to allow for conformational preferences of substituent groups. In the thermolysis of 1.1-diethyl-2-vinylcy-clopropane (R₁ = Et; R₂ = H; R₃ = Me), they found²⁶ a 1:1 product ratio of *trans.cis*-3-ethyl-2.5-heptadiene and *cis,cis*-



3-ethyl-2.5-heptadiene. This product distribution indicates that the two possible transition states (A and B) are almost equivalent and that R_2 and R_3 occupy positions that are intermediate between axial and equatorial and thus have no preferred orientation. This result is striking when compared to the ther-



molysis of *cis*-1,3-hexadiene described above¹⁶ where a 40:1 preference for a transition state having a pseudo-equatorial conformation was found. Frey and Solly also proposed²⁷ that a "boat"-like conformation might compete with the "chair" conformations depicted above. However, in the thermolysis of 1,1-dimethyl-2-vinylcyclopropane (**30**), only *cis*-2-methyl-



1,4-hexadiene (**31**) is formed. These workers concluded that the "chair" transition state is probably at least 4.5 kcal/mol lower in energy than the "boat" form.

Crandall and Watkins proposed a similar transition state for the oxygen analog of a homodienyl shift in the thermolysis of 3.4-epoxycyclooctene (**35**).²⁸ More recently it has even been



shown²⁹ that [1,5] and [1,7] homo migrations may compete with one another in the bicyclo[3.1.0]hex-2-ene-endo-6-carboxaldehyde system (**37**). As was also true for direct competition between [1,5] and [1,7] hydrogen shifts, the [1,7]homo shift dominates over the [1,5] homo shift if both are geometrically and conformationally allowed. This preference may be a measure of the greater stability of a homotrienyl vs. the homodienyl delocalization in the transition state.



IV. Specific Group Migrations

This section will discuss specific examples of group migrations with respect to product formation, reaction conditions, and reaction energetics. Activation parameters (log A, ΔH^{\ddagger} , and ΔS^{\ddagger}) for the various acyclic and cyclic systems and migration types which may be reasonably described as sigmatropic processes are tabulated under each separate heading. The Arrhenius activation energy (E_a) has been converted to ΔH^{\ddagger} by the relation $\Delta H^{\ddagger} = E_a - RT_m$, where T_m is the mean absolute temperature, so as to make direct data comparison easier. The reader must realize that there are wide variations in the degree of proof of concertedness for many of the reactions discussed below. While many authors have attempted to establish the sigmatropic nature of a particular rearrangement with experiments designed to demonstrate the unimolecularity and homogeneity of the process, others have done little more than claim a particular reaction as possibly being sigmatropic in nature. However, even these latter claims have been included in this review so as to document the extent to which sigmatropic migrations *may be involved* in organic rearrangements.

A. [1, *j*] Hydrogen and Deuterium Migrations

[1,5] sigmatropic hydrogen migration has been studied extensively during the past decade in a wide variety of systems and is perhaps the most widely known of all the sigmatropic processes. The suprafacial nature of this migration has been demonstrated conclusively by Roth et al.,¹⁷ and early work in this area has been adequately reviewed.^{1,3,4,6} In general, activation enthalpies for [1,5] migration in linear dienes will be in the range 30–36 kcal/mol, with activation entropies of ca. – 10 eu. A particularly facile [1,5] H migration has been studied by Skattebol.³⁰ In the gas phase, 5-methyl-1,2,4-hexa-



triene (42) rearranges quantitatively to *cls*-2-methyl-1,3,5hexatriene (43) which ring-closes to 1-methyl-1,3-cyclohexadiene (44) under the thermolytic reaction conditions. This [1,5] H migration to an allenic carbon proceeds at a reasonable rate (k (113°) = 2.73 × 10⁻⁴ sec⁻¹) at temperatures 100° *lower* than for comparable conjugated 1,3-dienes such as 2-methyl-1,3-pentadiene.³¹ The activation enthalpy for this process (24 kcal) is the lowest for any known [1,5] H process in an open-chain system. Recently, Hansen, Schmid, and coworkers³² have shown that [1.5] H migration to an allenic carbon is indeed a suprafacial process, and that the initial products (**46**, **47**) derived from this migration may react further by other pericyclic processes. Activation parameters for specific examples of these and other [1.5] H shifts are shown in Table III.

Many more examples of [1.5] H migration in cyclic molecules have been studied than the corresponding shifts in acyclic molecules. Roth^{35a} established that thermal hydrogen migration in 1.3-cyclopentadiene and indene was indeed a concerted [1.5] process by substituting deuterium for hydrogen in appropriate positions, and following the migration process by NMR techniques. For example, indene (**50**) undergoes the following equilibrium proceeding through the isoindene intermediate **51**.^{35b} Almy and Cram³⁶ established that migration in



the indene system is a suprafacial, stereospecific process, and also showed a definite isotope effect that favored H migration over D migration. Alkyl substitution at C-5 (see Scheme III) lowers the activation enthalpy for migration of a C-5 H in cyclopentadiene.^{37,38} while [1,5] H migration from C-5 in 5-[5-cyclopentadienyl]-1.3-cyclopentadiene occurs with particular ease.³⁹

[1.5] migration in the 1.3.5-cycloheptatriene system has been extensively studied. Kloosterziel and his coworkers^{21,22,40-43} have demonstrated that hydrogen migration occurs in the following sequence. These workers have also demonstrated that migration rates from C-7 in **65** are dependent on the nature of X.^{41,42} as are the final equilibrium compositions.⁴¹ In general, migration in the cycloheptatriene system requires a greater activation energy than for the comparable 1.3-cyclopentadiene compound ($\Delta H^{\ddagger} \sim 25$ -35 kcal/



Chemical Reviews, 1976, Vol. 76, No. 2 193



TABLE III. [1,5] Hydrogen Shifts in Acyclic Dienes

Reaction	Migrating group	<i>T</i> range, [°] K (medium) ^a	Log A. sec ⁻¹	ΔH^{\ddagger} , kcal/mole	∆S‡. eu	Ref
$ \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & $	н	458—478 (g)	11.93	35.4	-4.9 <i>b</i>	33
$\underset{H_2C}{\overbrace{CD_3}} \rightarrow \underset{H_2CD}{\overbrace{CD_2}}$	D	458—478 (g)	11.86	36.8	-5.2 <i>^b</i>	33
$\bigwedge \to \bigwedge$	н	475—518 (g)	10.80	31.5	-12.0	16
$ \rightarrow $	н	473—677 (g)	11.24	31.6	-8.4 ^b	31
$ \longrightarrow $	н	473—677 (g)	11.72	35.2	-6.3 <i>b</i>	31
$ \longrightarrow $	н	463—523 (g)	10.77	30.6	-10.3 <i>b</i>	34
$ \longrightarrow $	н	463—523 (g)	11.03	33.5	-9.1 b	34
$ \bigcap^{} \rightarrow \bigcap^{} \bigcap^{} $	н			24		30

 a (g) indicates gas-phase reaction. b Calculated for $T_{\rm m}.$



X = H. D. Me. C_6H_5 . p-Me₂NC₆H₄. OMe. SMe. CN

TABLE IV. [1.5] Hydrogen Shifts in Cyclic Dienes and Trienes

Reaction	Migrating group	T range, °K (medium)	Log A (sec ⁻¹)	∆H [‡] . kcal/mol	$\Delta S^{\ddagger},$ eu	Ref
$ \xrightarrow{D \to D} $	D	318–338 (CCI₄)	12.11	23.6	-3.3 <i>b</i>	35
$\overset{H}{\longrightarrow}\overset{Me}{\longrightarrow}\overset{Me}{\longrightarrow}\overset{H}{\longleftarrow}\overset{H}{\longleftarrow}$	н	279–298 (CCl₄)	10.87	19.3	-10.8	38
$\overset{D}{\swarrow}\overset{Me}{\longrightarrow}\overset{Me}{\longleftarrow}\overset{D}{\overset{D}{\longleftarrow}}\overset{He}{\overset{D}{\longleftarrow}}$	D	292–313 (CCI₄)	11.88	21.7	-6.2	38
$\overset{H}{\swarrow}\overset{Me}{\longrightarrow}\overset{Me}{\longrightarrow}\overset{Me}{\longleftarrow}\overset{Me}{\longleftarrow}$	н	303—327 (neat)		22.5	—4	37
	н	(heptane)		18.6	-16	39
$\mathbf{A}^{Me} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^{Me}$	н	573—634 (g) <i>ª</i>	11.2	34.0	-10.7	46
$\underset{Me}{\overset{Me}{\longrightarrow}} \underset{Me}{\overset{Me}{\longrightarrow}} \underset{Me}{\overset{Me}{\longrightarrow}}$	н	455—473 (hexane)		32.1	-7	48
$\underbrace{\bigcirc}_{Me}^{Me} \rightarrow \underbrace{\bigcirc}_{Me}^{Me}$	н	455—473 (hexane)		35.1	-3	48
$M_{e} \rightarrow M_{e}$	н	413—433 (g) <i>ª</i>		29.5	-7.4	50, 51
$\bigvee_{Me} \rightarrow \bigvee_{Me}$	H	413–433 (g) <i>ª</i>		28.6	-9.9	50, 51
$\overset{H}{\longmapsto} \overset{D}{\longrightarrow} \overset{D}{\underset{H}{\longmapsto}} \overset{D}{\longrightarrow} \overset{D}{\underset{H}{\longmapsto}} \overset{D}{\underset{H}{\longmapsto}}$	н	371—413 (neat)	10.9–11.5	30.2	-11.5	21
$\stackrel{H}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{Ph}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{Ph}{\longleftarrow} \stackrel{Ph}{\mapsto} \stackrel{Ph}{\to} \mathsf{P$	н	353—393 (decane)	10.8	26.9	-11.7	22
$H \xrightarrow{\rho \text{-NMe}_2 \text{Ph}} \rightarrow H$	н	373—413 (neat)	11.3	27.6	-9.3	42
$\overset{Me}{\longmapsto} \overset{Me}{\longrightarrow} \overset{Me}{\underset{H}{\longleftarrow}} \overset{Me}{\underset{H}{\rightthreetimes}} \overset{Me}{\underset{H}{{\rightthreetimes}}} \overset{Me}{\underset{H}{{\rightthreetimes}}} \overset{Me}{\underset{H}{{\rightthreetimes}}} \overset{Me}{\underset{H}{{\rightthreetimes}}} \overset{Me}{\underset{H}{{\rightthreetimes}}} \overset{Me}{\underset{H}{{\rightthreetimes}}} \overset{Me}{{\rightthreetimes}} \overset{Me}{{\rightthreetimes}} \overset{Me}{{\rightthreetimes}} \overset{Me}{{\rightthreetimes}} \overset{Me}{{\rightthreetimes}} $	н	402—499 (g) <i>ª</i>	12.6	32.3	-4.9	54
$\overset{\text{OMe}}{\longmapsto} \xrightarrow{\overset{\text{OMe}}{\longrightarrow}} \overset{\overset{\text{OMe}}{\longrightarrow}}{\underset{\text{H}}{\overset{\text{OMe}}{\longrightarrow}}} \overset{\text{OMe}}{\underset{\text{H}}{\overset{\text{OMe}}{\longrightarrow}}} \overset{\text{OMe}}{\underset{\text{H}}{\overset{\text{OMe}}{\overset{\text{OMe}}{\longrightarrow}}} \overset{\text{OMe}}{\underset{\text{H}}{\overset{\text{OMe}}{\overset{\text{OMe}}{\longrightarrow}}} \overset{\text{OMe}}{\underset{\text{H}}{\overset{\text{OMe}}{\overset{\text{OMe}}{\overset{\text{OMe}}{\overset{\text{OMe}}{\overset{\text{OMe}}{\overset{\text{OMe}}{\overset{\text{OMe}}{\overset{\text{OMe}}{\overset{\text{OMe}}{\overset{\text{OMe}}{\overset{\text{OMe}}{\overset{\text{OMe}}{\overset{\text{OMe}}{\overset{\text{OMe}}{\overset{\text{OMe}}{\overset{\text{OMe}}{\overset{\text{OMe}}{\overset{\text{OMe}}{\overset{\text{OMe}}{\overset{\text{OMe}}{\overset{\text{OMe}}{\overset{\text{OMe}}{\overset{\text{OMe}}{\overset{\overset{\text{OMe}}{\overset{\text{OMe}}{\overset{\text{OMe}}{\overset{\overset{\text{OMe}}{\overset{\overset{\text{OMe}}{\overset{\overset{\text{OMe}}{\overset{\overset{\text{OMe}}{\overset{\overset{\text{OMe}}{\overset{\overset{\text{OMe}}{\overset{\overset{\text{OMe}}{\overset{\overset{\overset{\text{OMe}}}{\overset{\overset{\overset{\text{OMe}}}{\overset{\overset{\overset{\text{OMe}}}{\overset{\overset{\overset{\overset{\text{OMe}}}{\overset{\overset{\overset{\overset{\overset{\overset{\overset{\overset{\overset{\overset{\overset{\overset{\overset{\overset{\overset{\overset{\overset$	н	353—413 (neat)	10.0	25.7	-15.0	55

TABLE IV (Continued)

Reaction	Migrating group	T range, [°] K (medium)	Log A (sec ⁻¹)	∆H [‡] . kcal/mol	$\Delta S^{\ddagger}.$ eu	Ref
$\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\$	н	410—460 (neat)	13.3	32.8	-3.2	56
$\begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array}$	н	398–428 (CDCI3)	12.5	31.4	—3.9	57
$\bigcirc \rightarrow \bigcirc$	н	393—418 (g)	11.7	28.1	-5.6 <i>^b</i>	52
$\bigcirc \rightarrow \bigcirc \bigcirc$	н	398—423 (neat)		29.3	-10.0	25
$\bigcup_{o}^{i} \rightarrow \bigcup_{o}^{i}$	н	333—374 (n-heptane)	11.5	25.8	-8.0	58
	н	333—374 (<i>n</i> ∙heptane)	11.5	26.2	-8.0	58

a (g) indicates gas phase. b Calculated for T_{m} .

mol), whereas the similar negative entropies indicate that both systems have a highly ordered cyclic transition state $(\Delta S^{\ddagger} \sim -4 \text{ to } -15 \text{ eu})$. While relative rates have been determined for all of the competing H migrations in the 7-substituted-1,3,5-cycloheptatriene system, activation parameters are generally available only for the first step, abbreviated k_{73} .



Kinetic data for migrations in six-, seven-, and eight-membered cyclic dienes are quite sparse. Spangler reported^{44,45} that [1,5] H migration in the 1,3-cyclohexadiene system was fairly slow below 300 °C, but fast and reversible above 325°. van der Burg.⁴⁶ Marvell and coworkers.^{47,48} and Kloosterziel ⁴⁹ have all confirmed that [1,5] H migration is indeed much slower in the 1,3-cyclohexadiene system than in the



case of 1.3-cyclopentadiene, and that the activation energies for the 1.3-cyclohexadiene systems are about 13–15 kcal/ mol higher. Activation entropies are, however, in the same range as was observed for both the cyclopentadiene and cycloheptatriene systems. The reason for this large deviation is obscure, but it is easier for the migrating H in 1.3-cyclopentadiene to achieve the transition state commonly ascribed to the [1.5] migration. Whether conformation can account for all of this deviation, however, is open to argument. Mironov^{50,51} and coworkers have determined that H migration in methyl-1.3-cycloheptadienes follows a predictable scheme with ΔH^{\ddagger} for the conversion **72** \rightarrow **73** midway between that



of cyclopentadiene and cyclohexadiene (29 kcal/mol). The greater flexibility of the seven-membered ring allows for closer approach of the migration termini than is possible in 1.3-cyclohexadiene, thus providing further evidence for the conformational criteria previously discussed. Further increase in ring size does not appreciably lower the activation energy in either 1.3.6- or 1.3.5-octatriene.^{52,53} and, indeed, conformational analysis of C₈ or larger dienic rings becomes increasingly difficult to interpret in terms of favorable confor-

mations providing a driving force for sigmatropic migration. The activation parameters for hydrogen migration in the cyclic dienes and trienes discussed above are summarized in Table IV.

Although many early reviews categorize thermal [1,7] H shifts as rare, several recent reports indicate that [1,7] migration actually is preferable to [1,5] migration in systems where the two pathways are really competitive. The geometric requirements for an antarafacial transition state have been discussed previously (section III.A), and, if these requirements are met, activation enthalpies ($\Delta H^{\ddagger} \sim 15$ –27 kcal/mol) are considerably less than for the corresponding [1.5] process. The more numerous examples of [1,5] migration in the literature are more a reflection on the types of systems studied wherein [1,7] processes are discriminated against, usually because of the impossibility of attaining the necessary antarafacial geometry. The highly ordered [1,7] transition state is characterized by a highly negative entropy of activation (-15 to -25 eu), which also distinguishes it from the less ordered [1,5] state.

The simplest literature example⁴⁸ is the postulated [1.7] H shift in the reversible isomerization of 2,4,6-octatriene. The



intermediary cis.cis-1,3,5-octatriene is not detectable under the reaction conditions. Similar shifts have been invoked to rationalize the observed thermolysis products obtained from 5-alkyl- and 5,5-dialkyl-1,3-cyclohexadienes^{59,60} at 500°

SCHEME IV

By far the most extensive investigation of the [1.7] migration process has been carried out by Hansen. Schmid, and coworkers.^{20,63,64} The reversibility of the [1.7] H migration was confirmed by deuterium substitution, which also confirmed the [1.7] pathway as the main isomerization pathway (Schemes V and VI). A similar migration involves a [1.7] shift of hydrogen from oxygen to carbon in *o*-pentadienylphenols (91).^{64,65} The [1.7] shift is also responsible for *cis-trans* isomerism in 91b, and competes favorably with electrocyclic ring closure to 93. Activation parameters for reactions involving a concerted [1.7] H shift are listed in Table V.



Homodienyl and homotrienyl hydrogen shifts have been recognized for many years as being related to [1.5] and [1.7] sigmatropic shifts in cyclic and acyclic polyenes, and the former has been the subject of a short early review.²⁵ In general, the activation parameters for [1.5] dienyl and [1.5] homodienyl migrations are similar. This degree of similarity carries over to [1.7] and [1.7] homodienyl shifts, even to the extent that [1.7] homodienyl shifts are preferred to [1.5]



(Scheme IV). At the high temperature encountered in these rearrangements, other processes, such as [1,5] alkyl group migration and various radical-chain processes become possible, however, and this complication will be discussed in the section on carbon migrations. Courtot and Rumin^{61,62} have studied an extremely facile [1,7] H migration, finding that either *cis.cis,cis-* or *cis.cis.trans-*3,6-diphenyl-2,4,6-octatriene rearranges to 3,6-diphenyl-1,3,5-octatriene (**88**) at room temperature.



dienyl shifts when both are truly competitive.^{29,66} For example, in the thermolysis of **94** (eq 1), the product of homo [1.7] migration, **99**, is preferred over the homo [1.5] product by 40:1. An epoxide ring may also function²⁸ as the "homo" portion of the diene, as in the reversible rearrangement of 3,4-epoxycyclooctene (**35**).



Berson and coworkers⁶⁷ proposed the intriguing rearrangement of bicyclo[4.2.1]nona-2,4,7-triene to bicyclo-[4.3.0]nona-2,4,7-triene occurred through two successive al-

TABLE V. [1,7] Hydrogen Shifts in Various Systems

Reaction	<i>T</i> range, [°] K (medium)	Log A (sec ⁻¹)	ΔH [‡] , kcal/mol	ΔS^{\ddagger} . eu	Ref
$ \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & $	324—363 (decalin)		20.9	-17.2	18
$\begin{array}{c} Ph \\ \hline \\ Me \end{array} \xrightarrow{Ph} \\ Ph \end{array} \begin{array}{c} Ph \\ \hline \\ Ph \end{array} \xrightarrow{Ph} \\ Ph \end{array}$	297–331 (CDCI ₃)	9.5	18.5	-17.2	62
$\begin{array}{c} Ph \\ \hline \\ Me \\ Ph \end{array} \xrightarrow{Ph} \begin{array}{c} Ph \\ \hline \\ Ph \end{array} \xrightarrow{Ph} \begin{array}{c} Ph \\ \hline \\ Ph \end{array}$	278–318 (CDCI3)	7.8	14.9	-25	61
$O() \rightarrow O() \rightarrow O()$	((CD ₃) ₂ SO)		23	-17	65
$\bigcirc \bigcirc $	358—378 (octane)		24.9	-16	64
$O_{OH} \rightarrow O_{OH}$	358—378 (octane)		26.1	-15	64

SCHEME V



18











SCHEME VI

TABLE VI. [1,5] Homo and [1,7] Homo Hydrogen Shifts in Various Systems

Reaction	T range, °K (medium)	Log A (sec ⁻¹)	ΔH [‡] , kcal/mol	$\Delta S^{\ddagger}.$ eu	Ref
$\checkmark \rightarrow \bigcirc$	443-463 (g) <i>ª</i>	10.95	30.2	-11	69
$\checkmark \rightarrow \bigcirc$	439—493 (g)	11.03	30.3	-11.6	68
\rightarrow	473—533 (g)	11.41	32.5	-9.7	27
	468—523 (g)	11.26	32.5	-8.1 b	26
	468—523 (g)	11.31	32.6	-7.8 <i>b</i>	26
(HO) (HO)	373–423 (cyclohexane)		24.7	-11.5	66
	373–423 (cyclohexane)		27.8	—9	66
	373–423 (cyclohexane)		27.3	-7.2	66
	373–423 (cyclohexane)		28.3	-7.4	66
	373–423 (cyclohexane)		28.7	-9.4	66
$H \rightarrow H$	473—513 (g)		27.2	-17.2	70
$H \to H \to H$	473—513 (g)		33.0	-8.8	70
$H \xrightarrow{H} H \xrightarrow{H} H$	473—513 (g)		28.6	-6.5	70
Me H	473—513 (g)		28	-6.7	70
$(H) \rightarrow (H)$			29.3	—7	25

TABLE VI (Continued)

Reaction	T range, [°] K (medium)	Log A (sec ⁻¹)	∆H [‡] , kcal/mol	∆S‡. eu	Ref
			32.1	-6	25
$ \begin{array}{c} & \\ H \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} H \end{array} $	424—443 (neat)		31.4	-6	25
$\neg \bigcirc \land \rightarrow \neg \bigcirc \land \land$	425—436 (neat)		29.9	-10	71
Me COOMe COOMe Me Me Me Me	513—532 (neat)		37.5	-8	72
	532—557 (neat)		33.9	-18	72
	532—557 (neat)		36.1	-14	72
$\overset{\text{Me}}{}\overset{\text{COOMe}}{\underset{\text{Me}}{}}\rightarrow \overset{\text{COOMe}}{\underset{\text{Me}}{}}$	532–557 (neat)		30.2	-25	72
	532—570 (neat)		32.5	-22	72
	532—567 (neat)		35.9	—17	72
$ \begin{array}{c} Me & COOMe \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & Me \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} COOMe \\ & & \\ & & \\ & Me \end{array} $	532—570 (neat)		25.2	-37	72

 a (g) indicates gas-phase reaction. b Calculated for T_{m} .

lowed 1,5-homodienyl hydrogen shifts, which they termed the hydrogen "rebound" mechanism (Scheme VII). In order for the cyclopropane ring to participate in a concerted [1,5] or [1,7] homo migration, a cis orientation is required.^{68,69} In the trans isomers, a diradical pathway is usually followed (Scheme VIII), and this is reflected in a much higher activation enthalpy and the appearance of products derived from the intermediary allylic radical.

A summary of activation parameters for [1,5] and [1,7] "homo" H migrations are listed in Table VI.

B. [1, j] Carbon Migrations

In the past 5 years intensive efforts have greatly increased our knowledge of group migrations other than hydrogen. In particular, the migration of carbon functionalities has attracted the greatest attention, and [1,3] and [1,5] sigmatropic processes involving alkyl, aryl, and more complex functional groups have been studied to attempt to describe the stereochemical consequences of the various carbon migration routes, both allowed and forbidden. In the following discus-





SCHEME VIII



sion, an attempt has been made to present these investigations in a coherent, but not necessarily chronological, fashion.

1. Alkyl Groups. Migration of sp³-Hybridized Carbon

Two modes of [1,3] migration of sp³ carbon are allowed according to orbital symmetry considerations, suprafacial migration with inversion of configuration of the migrating carbon or antarafacially with retention. Berson and Nelson described an elegant example in the bicyclo[3.2.0]hept-2-ene system (104) which demonstrates that inversion does occur for the suprafacial path.^{73,74} Several workers⁷⁵⁻⁷⁹ have studied the



similar thermal conversion of the bicyclo[2.1.1]hex-2-ene system (106) to the bicyclo[3.1.0]hexene system (107). Frey and Hopkins^{75,76} showed that the reaction is quantitative in the gas phase ($150-200^{\circ}$) and postulated a concerted [1.3] suprafacial migration of carbon. Roth and Friedrich⁷⁷ and Masamune et al.⁷⁸ demonstrated that the [1.3] shift definitely



proceeds with inversion of the migrating group. Activation enthalples are of the same order as [1,5] and [1,7] H migra-



X = Me.⁷⁷ CH₃OCH₂COO-.⁷⁸ CH₃COO-.⁷⁸ MeO-.⁷⁹ N₃-⁷⁹

tions, ranging from 35 kcal for the parent hydrocarbon^{76,77} to 25 kcal for the 5-*anti*-methoxy derivative.⁷⁹ A similar migration has also been postulated in the bicyclo[4.1.1]heptene system (**108**) by Dietrich and Musso.⁸⁰ a rearrangement which is degenerate in the parent hydrocarbon.



Berson and coworkers^{81,82} have recently been concerned with the contrasts between formally ''allowed'' and ''forbidden'' routes for carbon migration. These workers have found that forbidden routes can successfully compete with allowed routes in the *trans*-1,2-di(*trans*-propenyl)cyclobutanes (**110**). Although the allowed process dominates, as predicted, it is



only slightly preferred. The supra retention pathway apparently is stabilized by overlap between the front lobe of the migrating carbon and the supra lobe of the allylic framework. This overlap, however, only assumes importance when the normal allowed supra-inversion pathway is partially or wholly blocked by geometric factors. An endo substituent interferes with the allowed geometry: consequently a large contribution from the forbidden s_r path is observed. The antarafacial routes in all of the above are very slow because of the unfavorable geometry (see Scheme IX).

There are relatively few reports of possible [1.3] antarafacial migrations with retention of configuration wherein there

SCHEME IX



is a clear distinction between s_l and a_r pathways. Baldwin and Fleming⁸³ attributed the difference in the rates of deuterium scrambling and racemization in deuterium-labeled chiral *syn*-1-ethylidene-2-methylcyclobutane (**116**) to [1,3] antarafacial migration. Berson et al.⁸⁴ have pointed out that the two mi-



gration pathways differ only in the sense of torsional motion of the migration origin. The migrating group starts out *in the plane* of the migration framework, so that the steric difficulty of most other antarafacial processes is absent. These workers, in their most recent attempt.⁸⁴ forced retention of configuration on the migrating group by thermolyzing both *syn*-7*trans*-propenyl-*anti*-7-methoxynorbornene and the corresponding anti,syn isomer. Both isomers produced *endo*-4methylbicyclo[3.2.2]non-6-en-2-one (99% stereospecific), thus providing a formal [1,3] antarafacial migration with retention in the migrating group in **120** (eq 1). However, other evidence pointed to an alternative method involving migration



of C₇ rather than C₁ (eq 2). It seems, therefore, that a really clear-cut example of [1,3] a_r migration has not yet been described. Clemans has recently described yet another different



migration pathway in the norbornene system.⁸⁵ The migrating carbon follows a suprafacial path with inversion of configuration (eq 3 and 4).



Several examples of [1,3] alkyl group migration from nitrogen to carbon have been studied recently, all of them following a suprafacial path with inversion in the migrating group. Hasselgren and coworkers^{86,87} first reported the N to



C type migration for the quinuclidine system. They postulated that rearrangement occurs via two consecutive [1,3] shifts,

each resulting in inversion (eq 5). The first shift is from N to C, while the second is from C to O.



Lown and Akhtar^{88,89} were able to analyze the stereochemistry of the migration of a chiral benzyl group from N to C in a 1.4-dlhydropyrazine (eq 6). The [1.3] shift was pre-



dominantly suprafacial with inversion (88 \pm 6%), the balance being ascribed to an intermolecular radical dissociation-recombination. Baldwin and Walker⁹⁰ have very recently found evidence for [1,3] benzyl and allylic migrations in the unstable dimers formed from the thermal decomposition of N-substituted benzothiazolium salts (eq 7). With allylic groups, there



25 75

100

85 75

is a competing [3,3] migration which is favored at lower temperatures (eq 8). However, only [1,3] migration is observed for benzylic groups.

In Table VII is a summary of the activation parameters for [1,3] sp³-hybridized carbon shifts.

[1,5] alkyl group migration is now well documented in several different cyclic dienic systems.⁹²⁻¹⁰⁵ All of the well-studied reactions follow the allowed suprafacial route with retention of configuration in the migrating group. deHaan and Kloosterziel^{92,93} reported the first unambiguous [1,5] alkyl shift in their studies of the thermal behavior of 1,5,5- and 2,5,5-trimethylcyclopentadienes. The mechanism may be formulated as an initial slow [1,5] methyl migration followed by rapid [1,5] H shifts eventually leading to a preponderance of the more stable trimethylcyclopentadienes (Scheme X). As

SCHEME X



TABLE VII. [1.3]	sp ³ -Hybridized	Carbon	Shifts
--------------	------	-----------------------------	--------	--------

Reaction	Migrating group	<i>T</i> range, [°] K (medium)	Log A (sec ⁻¹)	∆H [‡] . kcal/mol	$\Delta S^{\ddagger},$ eu	Ref
$\mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{A}$	-CH ₂ - bridge	422-463 (g) <i>ª</i>	13.95	34.29	—5.5 <i>b</i>	75, 76
	–CH₂– bridge	358—378 (<i>n</i> ∙dodecane)		27.5	-2	78
	–CH₂– bridge	310–323 (CCl₄)		24.5	0.5	79
	–CH₂– bridge	303—330 (hexane)	9.6	19.4	-14.8 <i>^b</i>	91
	–CH₂– bridge	500—510 (neat)		34.9		80

 a (g) indicates gas-phase reaction. b Calculated for T_{m} .

the [1,5] H shifts are both rapid and reversible with respect to the initial alkyl migration, the less stable intermediates are often difficult, if not impossible, to detect. The activation energy for methyl migration is approximately 20 kcal/mol higher than that for a similar [1.5] hydrogen migration.93 Herndon and Manion⁹⁴ and McLean and Findlay⁹⁵ found similar activation parameters for [1,5] methyl migration in the cyclopentadiene system, and Mironov and coworkers96-99 were able to establish that [1,5] methyl migration is indeed reversible in a series of studies of di-, tri-, and tetramethylcyclopentadienes. These latter workers also established¹⁰⁰ that reversible [1,5] migration of a sec-butyl group occurs without isomerization in the migrating group. Kloosterziel and coworkers^{101,102} established the stereospecificity of alkyl migration in the rearrangements of *cis*- and *trans*-6,9-dimethylspiro[4,4]nona-1,3-diene (143), the migration occurring via a suprafacial pathway with retention of configuration in the migrating carbon.



Boekelheide and Sturm¹⁰³ found an apparent [1.5] alkyl group migration in the thermal rearrangement of *trans*-15, 16-dialkyldihydropyrenes (145), which is formally a [1.5]



migration from C₅ of a 1,3-cyclohexadiene molety. Although methyl migration required a temperature of 200°, ethyl and *n*-propyl migration occurred readily in boiling cyclohexane, the latter without isomerization. Mironov and coworkers¹⁰⁴ and Spangler and Boles¹⁰⁵ reported evidence for [1,5] methyl migration in the parent 5,5-dimethyl-1,3-cyclohexadiene (147), followed by rapid [1,5] hydrogen migration. Although



at first this reaction seems to be analogous to the methyl migrations in cyclopentadiene,⁹²⁻⁹⁶ the reaction is potentially more complicated. An alternate route to apparent methyl migration involves the following sequence: (a) electrocyclic ring-opening to *cis*-6-methyl-1,3,5-heptatriene, (b) [1,7] hydrogen migration to yield 2-methyl-1,3,5-heptatriene and (c) ring closure to give the product predicted for [1,5] methyl migration, 1,5-dimethyl-1,3-cyclohexadiene (**148**). Spangler and Boles¹⁰⁵ were unable to detect the presence of either **151** or **152** in any of their products over the product range







Termination $2\dot{C}H_3 \longrightarrow C_2H_6$

0.1-60% under fast flow conditions, and, in fact, [1,7] antarafacial migration in an open-chain triene has never been conclusively demonstrated, although Marvell and coworkers have postulated this as an isomerization route in 2,4,6-octatriene.48 A further complication which has been emphasized recently is the possible intervention of a radical-chain process competitive with sigmatropic migration.^{106,107} Willcott and Rathburn¹⁰⁷ thermolyzed a deuterium-substituted 1,5,5trimethylcyclopentadiene under conditions similar to those employed by deHaan and Kloosterziel,93 except for longer time periods, and on the basis of observed deuterium scrambling, these workers postulated a radical-chain process competitive with [1.5] sigmatropic methyl migration but with a higher activation energy (50-56 kcal vs. 42) (Scheme XI). Thus the radical-chain process would become more important at elevated temperatures, a result which had been previously suggested by McLean and Findlay.95 It would thus appear that apparent [1,5] alkyl migrations must be analyzed carefully for cyclopentadiene and cyclohexadiene systems in order to separate out the two or three different possible mechanistic contributions. It is likely, however, that further studies directed toward the resolution of this problem will appear during the next few years.

[1,5] alkyl migration has been found in several heterocyclic dienes. Maier and coworkers¹⁰⁸ reported a [1,5] methyl shift at a temperature of 60° in a substituted dihydrophthalazine ring (eq 9). Patterson et al.¹⁰⁹ found that various allylic groups can undergo [1,5] shifts in pyrrole from N to C, with competition from a concurrent [3,3] migration (eq 10). In general, activation enthalpies ranged from 41 to 50 kcal/mol for the [1,5] shift as opposed to 32–43 kcal/mol for the [3,3] process.¹⁰⁹ This relative difference is in accord with that reported previously for competing [1,3] allyl vs. [3,3] rearrangement in the thermolysis of substituted benzothiazolium salts.⁹⁰ Sergio and coworkers^{110,111} reported a particularly facile [1,5] alkyl shift from intermediary spiropyrazoles formed in the cycloaddition of disubstituted acetylenes to diazocyclopentadienes (Scheme XII). These [1,5] shifts are described as spontaneous and are in obvious contrast to the hydrocarbon analogs described by Kloosterziel¹⁰² which rearrange at 280–380° (**143** \rightarrow **144**).



 $X = (a) \alpha$ -methylallyl. (b) trans-crotyl. (c) cis-crotyl

[1.5] alkyl migrations in 1.3.5-cycloheptatriene systems have been studied by Berson and coworkers.^{112,113} and the results show that instead of simple [1.5] methyl migration in 3.7.7-trimethyl-1.3.5-cycloheptatriene.¹¹² a circumambulatory migration of ring carbon from an intermediary norcaradiene tautomer more readily explains the results. A similar path (eq 11) is followed for the 4-methyl-7.7-dicyanotropilidene, for which the dicyanonorcaradiene is a recognized valence isomer.¹¹³



Table VIII gives a summary of the activation parameters for [1,5] sp³-hybridized carbon shifts.



 R_3 \dot{R}_5 Ŕ₆ $\dot{\mathbf{R}}_{6}$ Ŕ4 'n₄ 168 169 R₁ R_4 R_5 R₆ R_2 R₃ Ph COOMe Ph Ph Ph COOMe а CN Ph CF b Ph н н С (benzo) Ph Ph CN CN d н (benzo) (benzo) Η Ph CI CI Н е CI CI

Only one clear-cut example of [1,7] carbon migration has been studied. Klarner¹¹⁴ was able to establish that each step in the circumambulatory mechanism proceeds with inversion of configuration at the migrating center, with free energies of activation of 29 kcal/mol. No endo-exo isomerization occurs (Scheme XIII).

SCHEME XIII



2. Aromatic Groups. Migration of sp²-Hybridized Carbon

The migration of phenyl groups to centers of positive charge leading to the formation of bridged phenonium ions is well known in many different systems, and it is perhaps surprising that sigmatropic migrations of phenyl and other aromatic groups have not been widely studied. Miller and coworkers^{115,116} have studied the relative migration rates of hydrogen, phenyl, and methyl in the indene system (Scheme XIV), in diphenyl ether, and found migratory aptitudes wherein $H > C_6 H_5 > C H_3.$ McCullough and McClory 117 have more recently studied phenyl migration in the indene system to determine the effect of para substituents on the migration rate (aptitude). p-Bromo and p-methoxyl substitution had little effect

SCHEME XIV

















TABLE VIII. [1.5] sp³-Hybridized Carbon Shifts

Reaction ^a	Migrating group	T range, [°] K (medium)	Log A (sec ⁻¹)	ΔH [‡] . kcal/mol	$\Delta S^{\ddagger},$ eu	Ref
$\swarrow \rightarrow \checkmark$	Me	625–660 (g) <i>b</i>	13.9	44.2	+6	93
$\swarrow \rightarrow \swarrow$	Me	625—660 (g)	14.4	44.4	+3	93
$\bigvee \rightarrow \bigvee \rightarrow$	Me	625—660 (g)	14.6	40.3	-1	93
\rightarrow + others	Me	608—702 (g)	13.7	43.8	+1	94
$ \rightarrow \cdot $	Me	473—530 (g)	13.9	42.3	+3	95
$\square \land \neg \square \land \square \land$	(−CH ₂ −)₄	553—653 (g)	13.3	34.6	-1	102
$\square \bigcirc \neg \square \bigcirc$	(−CH₂−)₅	653—718 (g)	15.1	45.5	+6	102
$ \begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & $	α-Methylallyl	739—823 (g)		43.0	—13.5	109
$ [] \rightarrow []$	t-Crotyl	739—823 (g)		46.7	-8.3	109
$ \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & $	c-Crotyl	739—823 (g)		48.1	-7.4	209
	α-Methylallyl	739—823 (g)		41.2 44.3	-15.5 -10.3	109
$ = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}$	t-Crotyl	739—823 (g)		41.8 44.2	-14.5 -10.1	109
	c-Crotyl	739—823 (g)		46.6 47.1		109
	α-Methylallyl	661—723 (g)		48.0	-4.0	109
$ \xrightarrow{\pi} $. <i>t-</i> Crotyl	710—756 (g)		49. 8	+0.7	109

 a Reaction product shown as finally isolated after fast [1,5] H shifts. b (g) indicates gas-phase reaction.

on migratory aptitude, as might be expected for a simple [1.5] process (eq 12); however, *p*-cyano substitution greatly





however, by an ionic route which is the dominant migration pathway (Scheme XV).

A [1.5] phenyl migration has also been postulated in the thermal rearrangement of a diphenyl, dicarbomethoxy pyrazole system (eq 14).¹²¹ Bramley and coworkers interpreted



this process as involving an initial [1,5] phenyl migration followed by two [1,5] COOMe migrations (or one [1,3] COOMe migration) to give the observed product. However, this rearrangement may also be envisaged as a two-step process via a dipolar aziridine intermediate, such as **200**. Sigmatropic

0-

ОМе

Br10050:50OMe10052:48CN10082:18The only simple example of [1.5] phenyl migration in a cy-clopentadiene ring has been described by Youssef and Ogli-aruso.^{118,119} Refluxing a solution of 1.2.3.4.5-pentaphenylcy-clopentadienol in diphenyl ether produced 2.2.3.4.5-penta-

% reaction

189:188

skews the migration process in favor of **189**. This was interpreted as indicating some radical character to the overall pro-

cess, or transition state.

Substituent

phenyl-3-cyclopentenone, resulting from a slow [1,5] phenyl migration followed by tautomerization to the keto form. Beck and Schenker¹²⁰ have reported a possible [1,5] phenyl migration in a 1,3-cyclohexadiene system (eq 13), complicated,



197

TABLE IX. [1.5] Migration of Phenyl Groups

473 (PhOPh) 35.	3 -7.5	119
553 (PhOPh) 27	2 -27.2	115
509 (PhOPh) 26.	725.1	115
	473 (PhOPh) 35. 553 (PhOPh) 27. 509 (PhOPh) 26.	473 (PhOPh) 35.3 -7.5 553 (PhOPh) 27.2 -27.2 509 (PhOPh) 26.7 -25.1

methyl migration has also been postulated in this system, although there is evidence that a competing radical pathway is also involved (eq 15 and 16).



See Table IX for a summary of the activation parameters for [1,5] migration of phenyl groups.

3. Carbon Functional Groups

In the past few years there have been a surprising number of reports of apparent sigmatropic migration of carbon functional groups in five- and six-membered diene ring systems. With few exceptions, the migrating atom has been sp²-hybridized, and the majority of reports have been concerned with carboalkoxy migration.

McGreer and Wigfield¹²² probably were the first to observe [1.5] carboalkoxy shifts during the thermal dehydrobromination of 4-bromo-3-methyl-3-carbomethoxy-1-pyrazoline (eq 17). This migration is indeed similar to that observed by Bram-



SCHEME XVI



ley et al.,¹²¹ which was discussed in the preceding section. Franck-Neumann and Buchecker¹²³ found that acetyl and cyano groups also undergo spontaneous [1,5] migration in the pyrazoline-pyrazole conversion during the condensation of acetylenedicarboxyate with α -diazo ketones, esters, and nitriles (Scheme XVI). In the analogous carbon system, cyclopentadiene carboalkoxy migration requires greater activation. Thus Hoffmann and coworkers¹²⁴ found the [1,5] COOMe migration required 25–26 kcal/mol in cyclopentadienes with five ester substituents (eq 18).



[1,5] carboalkoxy migration was postulated in the thermal rearrangement of 5,5-dicarboalkoxy-1,3-cyclohexadienes by Berson and Salomon¹²⁵ and also Baylouny.¹²⁶ The migration process, however, is complicated by the dehydrogenation-hydrogenation commonly found in high-temperature reactions of substituted 1,3-cyclohexadienes, resulting in a mixture of monoenes, dienes, and aromatic 1,3-diesters (eq 19).



Chemical Reviews, 1976, Vol. 76, No. 2 209



with the previous results for [1,5] hydrogen and [1,5] alkyl migration.

In seven-membered rings, only two examples of a [1,5] migration of a carbon functional group have been studied. Ciganek found [1,5] CN migration occurred during the thermolysis of 7,7-dicyanotropylidine (eq 21).¹²⁹ and, in analogy to



the migration of acetyl in both five- and six-membered rings. Mahendran and Johnson¹³⁰ postulated a [1,5] ketimine shift in the thermolysis of an 1*H*-azepine (eq 22). A summary of the known activation parameters for carbon functional group [1,5] migrations are given in Table X.



Schiess and Fünfschilling^{127,128} determined that acetyl and formyl groups, as well as carbomethoxy, also undergo [1,5] sigmatropic migration in the 1,3-cyclohexadiene system with activation energies ranging from 31 to 41 kcal/mol (eq 20). Relative rate studies show migratory aptitudes in the order CHO \gg COMe > H > COOMe. Thus it follows that [1,5] migration of carbon functionalities in six-membered rings require greater activation than for five-membered rings, in accord

C. [1, j] Heteroatom Group Migrations

There are several well-scattered examples of [1,3] and [1,5] heteroatom migrations reported in the literature, which may roughly be divided into nonmetal and metal categories with respect to the atom directly involved in the migration.

TABLE X. [1.5	Migrations of	Carbon	Functional	Groups
---------------	---------------	--------	------------	--------

Reaction	Migrating group	T range, °K (medium)	Log A (sec ⁻¹)	ΔH [‡] , kcal/mol	$\Delta S^{\ddagger},$ eu	Ref
E CH ₂ COOMe CH ₂ COOMe						
$E \xrightarrow{E} e \xrightarrow{E} x x \xrightarrow{E} x x x$	E = COOMe	386–423 (PhCI)		25.3	-9.7	124
E E E E Reverse E cul coopt CH2COOEt	COOMe	386–423 (PhCI)		25.1	-10.4	124
$E \xrightarrow{E} G_{2} \xrightarrow{E} \xrightarrow{E} \xrightarrow{E} G_{2} \xrightarrow{E} \xrightarrow{E} \xrightarrow{E} \xrightarrow{E}$	COOMe	370–407 (PhMe)		25.3	-10.9	124
Reverse	COOMe	370–407 (PhMe)		26.9	-6.6	124
$ \begin{array}{c} COOMe \\ \hline \\ Me \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} Me \\ \hline \\ H \end{array} \begin{array}{c} COOMe \end{array} $	COOMe	546—577 (heptane)	11.8	39.7	-5.9ª	128
$ \bigcirc \overset{\text{COMe}}{\longleftarrow} \overset{\text{Me}}{\longrightarrow} \overset{\text{Me}}{\longleftarrow} \overset{\text{Me}}{\longrightarrow} \overset{\text{Me}}{\overset} \overset{\text{Me}}{\overset}$	COMe	473—513 (heptane)	12.1	34.9	-4.1ª	128
$ \bigcirc \overset{\text{CHO}}{\longleftarrow} \underset{H}{\overset{\text{Me}}{\longrightarrow}} \underset{\text{CHO}}{\overset{\text{Me}}{\longrightarrow}} $	сно	423—473 (heptane)	11.5	30.1	-7.8 <i>ª</i>	128
$\overset{NC}{\swarrow}\overset{CN}{\longrightarrow}\overset{CN}{\underset{H}{\bigvee}}\overset{CN}{\underset{CN}{\bigvee}}$	CN	373–414 (CDCI ₃)	10.8	27.6	-12.2	129

^{*a*} Calculated for T_{m} .

This section will deal with the nonmetal category, while the following section will cover organometallic group shifts. Hoffmann and coworkers¹³¹ reported an apparent [1,5]

Hoffmann and coworkers¹³¹ reported an apparent [1.5] methoxyl shift in a 7.7-dimethoxycycloheptatriene, which proceeds at a reasonable rate at 150° (eq 23). Migration of a di-



methylamino group has also been reported¹³² for a phenylsubstituted 1,3-pentadiene (eq 24), the driving force presum-



ably coming from the greater conjugation in the product, as the migration is irreversible. A [1.5] P shift has been postulated¹³³ in the thermal (70°) conversion of 9-phenyl-9-phosphobicyclo[6.1.0] nonatriene to 9-phenyl-9-phosphobicyclo-[4.2.1] nonatriene (eq 25).



for this migration is the relief of crowding between the equatorial substituent (CI) and the peri-H atoms. Neither 5.5-dichloro-5*H*-benzocycloheptene (247) or 7.7-dichlorotropylidine (248) undergo this migration. However, CI does migrate



in the dibenzo derivative even when phenyl or a 1-naphthyl substituent replaces one of the chlorines in 244. There have

 1 order^{134} first reported a [1, 5] halogen migration in the di

Looker¹³⁴ first reported a [1,5] halogen migration in the dibenzotropylidine system (eq 26). The apparent driving force also been more recent reports of both [1,3] and [1,5] CI migration. Roedig et al.¹³⁵ reported a rapid [1,5] CI shift in a pentadienal isomerization occurring in boiling carbon tetrachloride, while Strohmeier and Eden¹³⁶ have interpreted the 3-chloro-1-butene/1-chloro-2-butene isomerization in dioxane at 75° as a [1,3] sigmatropic chlorine migration (Scheme XVII).

SCHEME XVII C С PhC P۲ CI CCI₄ Δ CI CI С CI CI [1.5] CI Ph Ph Ć 250 249 CI CI CI CI Ô۲ CI Ph Ph 251 252 There have been two reports of migration of sp²-hybridized

There have been two reports of migration of sp²-hybridized nitrogen. Habraken and Cohen-Fernandes¹³⁷ have found an apparent [1,5] migration of a nitro group in the 1,2,4-triazole system, which is followed by a rapid[1,5] hydrogen shift (eq 27). Parry and Rees¹³⁸ made an interesting study of a formal



[1,9] sigmatropic migration of sp² nitrogen in a degenerate rearrangement. This is a ten-electron process proceeding via a suprafacial-retention pathway (eq 28). The rearrangement is detectable by NMR in Me₂SO or trichlorobenzene ($\Delta G^{\ddagger} = 23$ kcal).

The relative paucity of clear-cut examples of heteroatom sigmatropic migration is curious. As is apparent from the number of organometallic examples, there is no fundamental reason why more examples have not been reported; however, this lack may merely represent the fact that little effort



256

has yet been made to extend the search for further, or different, examples of heteroatom sigmatropic migration.

D. Organometallic Group Shifts

Sigmatropic migration of organometallic groupings has been Investigated extensively over the past several years because of their remarkable mobility. Most of the work associated with these migrations has been directed toward determining if these exceedingly rapid shifts followed 1.2-least motion pathways or true [1.5] sigmatropic routes. Fritz and Kreiter¹³⁹ first demonstrated that trimethylsilyl shifts rapidly around a cyclopentadiene ring by observing the fluxional behavior of the NMR spectra of 5-trimethylsilylcyclopentadiene. Cotton and coworkers¹⁴⁰⁻¹⁴² guickly thereafter described similar rapid migrations in Fe, Cr, Ru, and Mo sandwich compounds, such as $(\pi$ -C₅H₅)Fe(CO)₂ $(\sigma$ -C₅H₅). These workers referred to this process as "ring-whizzing" and proposed that the overall process was a series of very rapid 1.2 shifts. Part of the rationale for this argument is based on the fact that $(\pi$ -C₅H₅)Fe(CO)₂(σ -1-indenyl) was found to be rigid up to about 70° by NMR spectroscopy. On this basis they concluded that 1,3-shifts were not occurring.

The [1,5] migration processes in 5-trimethylsilylcyclopentadiene may be considered as a competition between hydrogen and trimethylsilyl (eq 29). The temperature-dependent



spectra of **258** could not be explained by the rate of [1.5] H migration, determined by Ashe¹⁴³ to be only **1.1** times the rate in pentadeuteriocyclopentadiene. Both Ashe¹⁴³ and McLean and Reed¹⁴⁴ estimated that the rate of H-averaging observed in the NMR spectra could only be consistent with a [1.5] SiMe₃ migration rate 10^6 greater than for [1.5] H migration. Davison and Rakita¹⁴⁵ similarly showed that trimethyl-germyl- and trimethylstannylcyclopentadiene, methylcyclopentadiene, and pentamethylcyclopentadiene also exhibited fluxional behavior by variable-temperature NMR.

Trimethylsilyl migration in the indenyl system is complicated by the fact that [1,5] migration requires the intermediacy of an isoindenyl structure. **262.** Both Ashe¹⁴⁶ and Larrabee and



Dowden¹⁴⁷ were able to trap the elusive isoindene intermediate with TCNE and maleic anhydride, respectively, and determined that [1,5] trimethylsilyl migration required an activation enthalpy of ca. 22 kcal/mol. This represents a $\Delta(\Delta G^{\ddagger})$ of 8 kcal compared to 5-trimethylsilylcyclopentadiene, indicating the reluctance of 261 to form 264. Heating 261 for several hours at 155° results in a gradual buildup of isomers resulting from [1,5] H migration, which is still much slower than SiMe3 migration.¹⁴⁷ Larrabee and Dowden found similar behavior for 1-trimethylgermylindene.¹⁴⁷ Fluxional isomerization in the indenyl system has also been demonstrated for other organometallic groups, and trimethylstannyl, trimethylgermyl, dimethviphenvistannyl, and dimethylphenvisilyl all show fluxional behavior by variable-temperature NMR.^{148,149} As the σ -bonded metal atom becomes more metallic in character, the temperature for the onset of fluxional behavior lowers. Me₃Sn. Me₃Ge, and Me₃Si are all static at -37°; however, fluxional behavior occurs at +49, +135, and +180°, respectively.¹⁴⁸ This greater ease of migration with increasing metallic character is exemplified by comparing the activation energies for stannyl groups (~14 kcal/mol) with those of silicon (~24 kcal/mol).149

Several workers have disagreed on how best to label the above migrations. in that a 1,2-shift is indistinguishable from a [1,5] sigmatropic migration. However, in cycloheptatriene the least motion (1,2) path is quite different from the original [1,5] pathway which involves migration to the carbon furthest from the original point of attachment. Larrabee¹⁵⁰ found that triphenyl-7-cyclohepta-1,3,5-trienyltin (**266**) exhibits flux-



ional behavior with an activation energy similar to that of 1trimethylstannylindenes^{148,149} (10 vs. 14 kcal). The migration of the triphenylstannyl group occurred in a [1,5] fashion, not by the 1,2 least motion path. Curtis and Fink¹⁵¹ also concluded that trimethylstannyl migration in 5-trimethylstannyl-1,3cycloheptadiene (**267**) occurred via [1,5] shifts rather than by 1,2 or 1,3 pathways. These workers also determined that 1,1-dimethyl-4-trimethylstannyl-2,5-cyclohexadiene (**268**) did



not exhibit fluxional behavior, since the [1,5] path is barred in this molecule. Ashe¹⁵² made the surprising observation that in 7-trimethylsilylcycloheptatriene [1,5] H migration is actually faster than [1,5] trimethylsilyl migration (eq 31), a startling reversal of migratory aptitude compared to cyclo-



pentadiene and indene derivatives. A possible explanation is that the large organometallic group cannot bridge the concave, nonplanar cycloheptatriene ring as well as it can for planar cyclopentadiene. However, this explanation is not consistent with the fluxional migration of triphenylstannyl in cycloheptatriene reported by Larrabee.¹⁵⁰ Apparently the more metallic groupings have no such conformational difficulty.

[1.5] hydrogen migration in cycloheptatriene-organometalloid complexes is not limited to Ashe's observation¹⁵² in 7trimethylsilylcycloheptatriene, as Roth and Grimme¹⁵³ found a conformational preference for migration of hydrogen in a cycloheptatriene-molybdenum tricarbonyl complex (eq 32). In-



terestingly, these workers postulated that the hydrogen occupying a pseudo-equatorial position undergoes migration exclusively, which is exactly opposite to the uncomplexed molecule. Pauson and coworkers^{154,155} have confirmed this for the 7-exo- and 7-endo-phenylcycloheptatrienes complexed to $Mo(CO)_3$ and $Cr(CO)_3$. In both cases, only the exo isomer having a pseudo-equatorial hydrogen rearranges when heated. This reaction has been classified as a metal-assisted [1,5] sigmatropic hydrogen shift, although the mechanism of this "assistance" is still relatively obscure. The activation energy¹⁵⁵ for this process (24 kcal for 7-*exo*-methyl and 27 kcal for 7-exo-*p*-tolyl) compare favorably with [1,5] H migrations in the uncomplexed cycloheptatrienes (Table IV).

There are few examples of [1,j] sigmatropic organometallic migrations in acyclic systems. Kwart and Slutsky¹⁵⁸ have studied the [1,3] shift of trisubstituted silyl groups in allylic frameworks and concluded that migration occurs probably via a suprafacial route with inversion at migrating silicon (eq 33). Activation parameters ($\Delta H^{\ddagger} \sim 47$ kcal and $\Delta S^{\ddagger} \sim -7$ eu) indicate the cyclic nature of the transition state, but there are no known [1,3] carbon shifts (e.g., *tert*-butyl) in simple

TABLE XI. [1, j] Migrations of Organometallic Groups

Reaction	Migrating group	T range, [°] K (medium)	Log A (sec ⁻¹)	ΔH [‡] , kcal/mol	ΔS^{\ddagger} , eu	Ref
$\bigcup_{H} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{GeMe}_{\circ}} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{SitMe}_{3}} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{SitMe}_{3}}$	SíMe₃	243—293 (neat)	11.3	13.0	-9.2	158
$ \overset{\text{H}}{\longrightarrow} \overset{\text{H}}{\longrightarrow} \overset{\text{H}}{\longleftarrow} \overset{\text{H}}{\bigoplus} \overset{\text{H}}{$	GeMe ₃	251—398 (neat)	10.7	10.9	-11.0	159, 160
$\overset{\text{SiMe}_3}{\longrightarrow} \overset{\text{H}}{\longrightarrow} \overset{\text{H}}{\text{SnMe}_3}$	SnMe3	198—242 (neat)	12.6	6.8	-2.7	159, 160
$\bigcup \longrightarrow \longrightarrow \left[\bigcup \bigvee_{H}^{SiMe_3} \right]^{d}$	SiMe ₃	313–333 (EtOAc)	12	22.5	-3.8 ^b	146
С – – –	SiMe ₃	295—473 (neat)	11.7	22.4	-6.9	160
$SiMe_{3} \rightarrow \left[SiMe_{3} \right]^{d}$	SiMe ₃	371—448 (neat)	14.4	26.1	-6.7 ^b	149
$\bigcup_{n=1}^{SiMe_2Pit} \rightarrow \left[\bigcup_{H} \bigcup_{H} \bigcup_{H$	SiMe₂Ph	304—461 (neat)	11.5	23.0	-6.4 ^b	149
$ \underbrace{\bigcirc}_{\text{SnMe}_3}^{\text{SnMe}_3} \rightarrow \left[\underbrace{\bigcirc}_{\text{H}}^{\text{SnMe}_3}\right]^{a} $	SnMe,	271—343 (neat)	11.7	13.2	-5.5 ^b	161
$\bigcup_{i=1}^{SnMe_3} \rightarrow \left[\bigcup_{i=1}^{SnMe_3}\right]^{e_i}$	SnMe₃	233—413 (neat)	11.0	12.1	-10.5	160
$ \begin{array}{c} $	SnMe ₃	256—321 (neat)	12.5	13.6	-1.4 ^b	149
$\bigcup_{i} \longrightarrow \left[\bigcup_{i} \bigcup_{i} \bigcup_{i} \bigcup_{i} \bigcup_{j} \bigcup_{i} \bigcup_{i} \bigcup_{j} \bigcup_{i}$	SnMe₂Ph	250—325 (neat)	11.9	13.5	-4.2 ^b	149
$\overset{SnPh_3}{\longleftarrow} \overset{H}{\underset{H}{\longrightarrow}} \overset{SnPh_3}{\underset{H}{\longrightarrow}} \overset{H}{\underset{SnPh_3}{\longrightarrow}} \overset{SnPh_3}{\overset{H}{\longleftarrow}} \overset{SnPh_3}{\overset{H}{\longrightarrow}} \overset{SnPh_3}{\overset{H}{\longleftarrow}} \overset{SnPh_3}{\overset{H}{\longrightarrow}} \overset{SnPh_3}{\overset{SnPh_3}{\overset{SnPh_3}}{\overset{N}{\to}} \overset{SnPh_3}{\overset{N}{\to}} \mathsf{Sn$	SnPh₃	273–412 (C₂Cl₄)		9.5		150
$ \begin{array}{c} GeMe_3 \\ \hline \\ $	GeMe3	288—473 (neat)	10.3	17.8	-13.7	160
	SiMe₃	779—840 (g)	12.3	46.1	-6.2	156
$Me_2PhSi \longrightarrow Me_2PhSi \longrightarrow Me$	SiMe₂Ph	773—845 (g)	12.2	45.6	-6.8	156
$Me_2PhSi \longrightarrow Me_2PhSi$	SiMe₂Ph	789 850 (g)	12.3	46.0	-6.6	156
$ \begin{array}{c} Ph \\ Me_3 Si \end{array} \xrightarrow{Ph} \\ Me_3 Si \end{array} \begin{array}{c} Ph \end{array} $	SiMe₃	693—753 (g)	12.0	41.1	-7.4	156
$EtBNMe_3 \qquad EtBNMe_2 \qquad \qquad$	BEtNMe ₂	374—421 (neat)		23.9	-20.6	157

 a isoindene intermediate not necessarily isolated. b Calculated for $T_{\rm m}.$



acyclic systems with which to compare these activation enthalpies. The transition state, in theory, should, however, be directly comparable to those discussed previously for [1,3] carbon migrations in simple cyclic systems. More recently, Hancock and Kramer¹⁵⁷ have described a fairly facile [1,3] sigmatropic boron migration (eq 34). The migration is apparently irreversible and crossover experiments indicate that the migration is indeed intramolecular.



Activation parameters for all of the [1,*j*] organometallic migrations discussed above are summarized in Table XI.

V. Migratory Aptitudes and the Influence of Substituents on Migration Rates

Migratory aptitudes in various sigmatropic migrations have been discussed briefly in the preceding sections. With the exception of the phenomenon of fluxional isomerization of organometallic groupings in certain systems, hydrogen migrates faster than any other functional group. This is in marked contrast to the more familiar shifts encountered in carbonium ion chemistry (1.2 shifts), wherein the favored migrating (or neighboring) group may usually be predicted by considering its bridging and charge-delocalization capabilities. Thus phenyl migrates in preference to hydrogen in such reactions as the pinacol rearrangement, and para substitution with electron-donating substituents enhances this preference, manifested by increasing migration rates. Rate differences for migration of deuterium compared to hydrogen are more properly considered as an isotope effect. Kloosterziel and ter Borg⁵³ reported a k_H/k_D of 5.0 for [1.5] migration in the cyclooctatriene system at 120°, while Roth and Konig found that $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}$ = $1.15e^{-1400/RT}$ represented the isotope effect for migration in 1,3-pentadiene.³³ At 25°, $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}$ = 12.2 for 1,3-pentadiene, a value considerably higher than that found for 5methylcyclopentadiene by McLean et al.38 (7.67 at 7°, 5.75 at 27°, and 4.45 at 47°).

Several groups have recognized the problem of migratory aptitude in sigmatropic rearrangement, but most reported studies must still be considered fragmentary. A summary of these findings may be found in Table XII.

Another equally interesting facet of sigmatropic migration is the effect of substitution at a migrating center upon the overall migration rate. Kloosterziel and coworkers have studied this problem extensively in the 1,3,5-cycloheptatriene system^{21,22,40-43} by considering the effect on k_{73} of various X groups (eq 35). Substitution of a strong activating (electrondonating) group on the para position of phenyl has very little effect on migration rate. Nozoe and Takahashi⁵⁵ confirmed that phenyl and methoxyl were equal in rate-enhancing capability and that both were faster than hydrogen. More recently Breslow and coworkers¹⁶² have studied the effect of halogen substitution on [1,5] hydrogen migration in cyclopentadiene (eq 36). Halogen substitution *slows* the rate of hydrogen migration compared to the parent hydrocarbon (e.g., X = D)

TABLE XII. Sigmatropic Migratory Aptitudes

ligration type	System	Migratory aptitudes	Ref
[1.5]	\bigcirc	H > D > Me,	33, 38, 39
[1.5]	$\langle \rangle$	$H > C_6 H_5 > Me$	114. 11 5
[1,5]	\bigcirc	CHO > COCH ₃ > H > COOMe > Me ^a	127, 128
[1.5]		COOMe > Me	122
[1,5]	NENY	$COOMe > C_6H_5$	120

 d Relative rates of group migration from 5-substituted-5-methyl-1.3-cyclohexadiene rather than direct competition.



 k_{73} : NMe₂ > OMe. C₆H₅. p-Me₂NC₆H₄ > CN > SMe > Me > H



even though it stabilizes the reaction product. A considerable rate differential also exists between the different members of the halogen family, iodine being the slowest (H > CI > Br > I). Breslow has rationalized this behavior as indicating that local positive charges on carbon must be involved in the [1,5] transition state. The fact remains that halogen is the only substituent which is known to retard sigmatropic hydrogen migration in a simple system uncomplicated by steric effects, and the mechanism of this retardation is still obscure and open to interpretation.

Substituent effects in [1,3] carbon mlgration have not been studied in any detail. In the conversion of anti 5-substituted bicyclo[2.1.1]hex-2-enes to exo-substituted bicyclo-[3.1.0]hexenes, methoxyl and other similar substituents accelerate the conversion compared to the parent hydrocarbon (eq 37).^{78,79}





In an apparent [1,3] phenyl migration (from S to O. **281** \rightarrow **282**). Araki¹⁶³ found that electron-donating groups retarded, and electron-withdrawing groups accelerated the rearrangement rate, presumably via a bridged intermediate. **283**. No studies have yet been directed toward establishing this effect for [1,5] carbon migrations. Similarly, no data are available on the possible effects of substituents on either [1.7] hydrogen or carbon migrations.



 $NO_2 > CN > COCH_3 > CI > H > CH_3 > OCH_3$

Х	∆ <i>H</i> ‡, kcal∕mol	∆S † , eu
OCH ₃	38.1	-6.5
н	37.2	-5.4
NO ₂	33.6	-5.3

VI. Summary and General Trends in Current Research

In this review an attempt has been made to present an overview of the current state of our knowledge of thermal [1,j] sigmatropic migration. In particular, it is hoped that the discussion of differing viewpoints of the sigmatropic transition states and the collection of all available activation parameter data will enable others to further extend the theoretical framework. Several problems currently exist in the field and are actively being pursued by various research groups, and it is probable that important results will be reported in these areas during the next several years. I have attempted, in the following list, to identify the more important areas currently under study and readily admit a possible prejudice in the choice. (1) Continued efforts are being directed to find examples of all reasonably possible migration pathways for hydrogen and carbon. Likely candidates are [1,9] s hydrogen migration, [1,3] a_r, [1,5] a_i, and various [1,7] carbon migrations. (2) It is likely that more, and different, examples of groups that can thermally migrate via a sigmatropic transition state will be discovered, particularly via either [1,3] or [1,7] routes with inversion at migrating centers other than carbon. (3) Better theoretical predictions of activation parameters for various migration pathways need to be developed. Virtually all previous attempts to predict activation energies for [1,5] hydrogen migration are 7-10 kcal/mol¹⁶⁴⁻¹⁶⁷ lower than the experimental value. (4) Another possible theoretical extension is an increased use of the principle of least motion $(PLM)^{164}$ in predicting the most probable [1, j] migration pathway. (5) A comprehensive study of migratory aptitude in various systems is needed in order to establish a frame-work of experimental data for future predictions. (6) A great deal of research is currently dealing with the problem of competition between allowed and forbidden migration routes, such as [1,3] s_r vs. [1,3] s_l and [1,3] a_r vs. [1,3] a_l, and these studies will probably be extended to [1,5] and [1,7] migration. (7) More research is necessary to elucidate the competition between various possible allowed routes, such as [1,5] s vs. [1,7] a hydrogen migration. (8) Much work remains to be done in order to establish the mechanism of rate enhancement or retardation by substituent groups. Dewar has already predicted,¹⁶⁶ for example, that electromeric substituents (CN, NMe₂) on piperylene may favor antiaromatic antara [1.5] hydrogen shifts. Such substituent control has already been demonstrated by Cookson and Kemp¹⁶⁸ in the thermal conversion of 3.3-dicyano-2-methyl-4-phenylpent-1-ene to 1,1dicyano-2-methyl-4-phenylpent-1-ene by a [1.3] C shift with retention. Finally, an additional problem which has, to a large extent, been ignored by many workers is the determination of the degree of concertedness of many of the "sigmatropic" migrations discussed in this review. The possibility exists in any [1, *j*] migration that an alternate pathway involving radical dissociation-recombination (RDR) may compete to some extent with the concerted path. Baldwin and coworkers169,170 have studied this competition in great detail for [2,3] sigmatropic processes, in a variety of systems, utilizing chemically induced dynamic nuclear polarization¹⁷¹ (CIDNP) effects to detect paramagnetic species present during the migration course. While this technique is indeed useful, it remains to be seen if it will aid the detection and evaluation of RDR contributions to [1, j] migrations.

VII. Addendum

During the past year the rapid expansion of our knowledge concerning [1,] sigmatropic rearrangements has continued unabated. Theoretical studies have included reviews on subjacent and superjacent orbital control.¹⁷² substituent effects on subjacent orbital control.¹⁷³ a general discussion of the relationship of aromaticity and the generalized Woodward-Hoffmann rules, 174 and a discussion of the relative ease of various [1.3] sigmatropic pathways compared to calculated approximate reaction potential energy surfaces and orbital symmetry predictions.175 Attempts have continued to develop transition-state models for sigmatropic migration. De Dobbelaere et al.¹⁷⁶ have extended their earlier work¹⁶⁷ on [1,5] hydrogen migration in cyclopentadiene to the 1,3-cyclohexadiene and 1,3,5-cycloheptatrlene systems utilizing INDO calculations, while Dewar has published considerably on the use and value of MINDO/3 calculations in describing various pericyclic processes.177

Several interesting studies of [1,j] hydrogen migration have appeared. Schiess and Radimerski¹⁷⁸ have demonstrated that [1,5] hydrogen migration from C₁ in 2.4-pentadienal produces ketene intermediates at 500–600°. [1,7]-Sigmatropic hydrogen migrations in various alkyl-1.3,5-hexatrienes have been reported.¹⁷⁹ the most unusual being that of Hastings and coworkers¹⁸⁰ who report a suprafacial [1,7] hydrogen shift in an intermediate produced by heating (*E*)-2-benzylidene-(*Z*)-1-mesityl(phenyl)methyleneIndan.

Migrations of carbon moieties have been of considerable interest during the past year. Krow and Reilly¹⁸¹ reported a [1,3] carbon migration with retention of configuration (S_r) in the thermolysis of N-benzyl or N-carbomethoxy derivatives of 3-aza[3.3.2]deca-6,9-diene. Further studies on the possibility of [1,5] alkyl group migration in the 1,3-cyclohexadiene system have produced more evidence in favor of an alternative three-step process involving electrocyclic ring opening, [1,7] hydrogen migration, and electrocyclic ring closure.182,183 In fact, Schiess and Dinkel¹⁸³ have demonstrated that at 300° [1.5] COOMe migration accounts for only 85% of the thermal rearrangement and the three-step process 15%. At 500° the percentage of [1,5] migration falls to 75%. For [1,5] phenyl migration, the [1,5] sigmatropic pathway accounts for only 4% at 550°. Dolbier and coworkers¹⁸⁴ have studied [1,5] methyl and ethyl migration in independently prepared isoindenes. In direct competition, ethyl migrates approximately seven times faster than methyl. Patterson and coworkers¹⁸⁵ reported further studies on the competition between [1.5] and [3.3] pathways for crotyl- and α -methallylpyrroles. Several workers have reported continuing studies of various [1,5] migrations in pyrazoles and spiropyrazoles involving sp²-hybridized carbon.¹⁸⁶ The synthetic utility of these rearrangements is emphasized.

Sigmatropic migrations of carbon functional groups continue to be of considerable interest. Several [1,5] acyl shifts in a variety of systems have been noted, particularly in heterocyclic rings containing one or two nitrogens.187 Further examples of [1,5] ester migrations have also been reported. 187b, 188 Migration of oxygen functions have also received more attention and [1.5] OR migrations have been reported. from carbon to carbon^{188a} and from nitrogen to carbon.¹⁸⁹ Finally [1,5] CHO migration in indenes has been shown to be faster than [1,5] H with $\Delta H^{\pm} = 22.08 \pm 0.75$ kcal/mol and $\Delta S^{\pm} = -11.41 \pm 2.21$ eu.¹⁹⁰ Studies of sigmatropic migration of noncarbon moieties have also been extended during the past year. Hartmann and Regitz have reported¹⁹¹ sigmatropic migration of phosphoryl (PO(OMe)₂ and POPh₂) groups in phosphorylpyrazole synthesis, and Habraken and coworkers¹⁹² have confirmed their earlier report¹³⁷ concerning [1,5] migration of nitro groups in pyrazole rings. Wiberg and Pracht have determined¹⁹³ that the relative rates of [1,3] sigmatropic SiX₃ migration increase in the order X = Et < Me < OMe< Cl. Ustynyuk and coworkers¹⁹⁴ have definitely confirmed that trimethylsilyl migration in the 1.3-cyclopentadiene system is [1,5] in nature and not [1,3] as some workers have previously suggested.

Finally, an excellent study of the influence of substituents on the rate of [1,5] Coome migration has recently been reported by Hoffmann and coworkers¹⁹⁵ for a series of 5-substituted pentamethoxycarbonyl cyclopentadienes. The relative rates (Me = 1.0) are MeS (0.28). Cl (0.33), C_6H_5 (1.9). MeOOCCH₂ (2.1), MeO (12.0), NC (15.5), C₆H₅NH (125), and Me₂N (260).

Acknowledgments. The author is indebted to Northern Illinois University for a leave of absence during which a majority of the literature work for this review was accomplished, and to Professor-Dr. H. Kloosterziel and the Rijksuniversiteit-Leiden for their hospitality and the use of their facilities during this leave.

VIII. References

- (1) R. B. Woodward and R. Hoffmann, "The Conservation of Orbital Sym-", Verlag Chemie GmbH/Academic Press, Weinheim/Bergstr., metrv 1970, and references therein.
- (2) R. Hoffmann and R. B. Woodward, Acc. Chem. Res., 1, 17 (1968).
- (3) G. B. Gill, Q. Rev. Chem. Soc., 22, 338 (1968).
- (4) H. M. Frey and R. Walsh, Chem. Rev., 69, 103 (1969), and references cited therein. (5) W. R. Roth, 20 [Zwanzig] Jahre Fonds Chem. Ind., Beitr. Wiss. Ver-
- anst, 133 (1970) (6) M. R. Willcott, R. L. Cargili, and A. B. Sears, Prog. Phys. Org. Chem.,
- 9, 25-98 (1972).
- J. Gajewski, *Mech. Mol. Migr.*, 4, 1~49 (1971).
 T. L. Gilchrist and R. C. Storr, "Organic Reactions and Orbital Symme-
- ty, Cambridge University Press, New York, N.Y., 1972, pp 209–230.
 H. E. Zimmerman, Acc. Chem. Res., 4, 272 (1971), and references cited therein.
- (10) (a) M. J. S. Dewar, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 10, 761 (1971); (b) M. J. S. Dewar, "The Molecular Orbital Theory of Organic Chemistry", McGraw-Hill, New York, N.Y., 1969, pp 325–331.
 (11) K. Fukui, Acc. Chem. Res., 4, 57 (1971).

- N. D. Epictis, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 94, 793 (1972).
 N. D. Epictis, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 95, 1206, 1214 (1973).
 J. A. Berson and L. Salem, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 94, 8917 (1972).

- (14) J. A. Berson, Acc. Chem. Res., 5, 406 (1972).
 (15) J. A. Berson, Acc. Chem. Res., 5, 406 (1972).
 (16) H. M. Frey and B. M. Pope, J. Chem. Soc. A, 1701 (1966).
 (17) W. R. Roth, J. König, and K. Stein, Chem. Ber., 103, 426 (1970).
 (18) J. L. M. A. Schlatmann, J. Pot, and E. Havinga, Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-and Mathematical Applications (1994). Bas, 83, 1173 (1964).
- (19) R. Hug, H.-J. Hansen, and H. Schmid, *Chimia*, 23, 108 (1969).
 (20) von H. Heimgartner, H.-J. Hansen, and H. Schmid, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, (20) von H 53, 173 (1970)
- (21) A. P. ter Borg, H. Kloosterziel, and N. van Meurs, *Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas*, 82, 717 (1963). (22) A. P. ter Borg and H. Kloosterziel, Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas, 82, 741
- (1963). (23) K.-W. Shen, W. E. McEwen, and A. P. Wolf, Tetrahedron Lett., 827
- (1969). (24) C. W. Spangler, Abstracts, 8th Great Lakes Regional ACS Meeting,
- Purdue University, June 1974.
- (25) D. S. Glass, R. S. Boikess, and S. Winstein, Tetrahedron Lett., 999 (1966)

- (26) H. M. Frey and R. K. Solly, *J. Chem. Soc. B*, 996 (1970).
 (27) H. M. Frey and R. K. Solly, *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.*, (I) 473 (1969).
 (28) J. K. Crandali and R. J. Watkins, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1717 (1967).
 (29) J. C. Gilbert, K. R. Smith, G. W. Klumpp, and M. Schakel, *Tetrahedron Lett.* (100 (1997)). Lett., 125 (1972).

- (30) L. Skattebol, *Tetrahedron*, 25, 4933 (1969).
 (31) H. M. Frey and R. J. Eliis, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 4770 (1965).
 (32) H. Heimgartner, J. Zsindely, H.-J. Hansen, and H. Schmid, *Helv. Chim.* Acta, 56, 2924 (1973).
- (33) W. R. Roth and J. König, Justus Llebigs Ann. Chem., 699, 24 (1966).
- (34) H. M. Frey and R. K. Solly, J. Chem. Soc. A, 733 (1969).
 (35) (a) W. Roth, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1009 (1964); (b) J. A. Berson and G. G. Aspelin, *Tetrahedron*, 20, 2697 (1964), and references therein.
- (36) J. Almy and D. J. Cram, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 92, 4316 (1970).
 (37) S. McLean and P. Haynes, Tetrahedron, 21, 2329 (1965).
- (38) S. McLean, C. J. Webster, and R. J. D. Rutherford, Can. J. Chem., 47,
- 1555 (1969). (39) E. Hedaya, D. W. McNeil, P. Schissel, and D. J. McAdoo, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 90, 5284 (1968).
- (40) A. P. ter Borg and H. Kioosterziei, Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas, 84, 245 (1965).
- (41) A. P. ter Borg, E. Razenberg, and H. Kioosterziel, *Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas*, 84, 1230 (1965).
- (42) A. P. ter Borg, H. Kioosterziei, and Y. L. Westphai, Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas, 86, 474 (1967).
- (43) A. P. ter Borg and H. Kloosterziel, Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas, 88, 266 (1969).
- (44) C. W. Spangler and N. Johnson, J. Org. Chem., 34, 1444 (1969).
 (45) C. W. Spangler and R. P. Hennis, J. Org. Chem., 36, 917 (1971).

- (46) P. van der Burg, Thesis, University of Leiden, 1970.
 (47) E. N. Marvell, G. Caple, and B. Schatz, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 385 (1965). (48) E. N. Marvell, G. Caple, B. Schatz, and W. Pippin, Tetrahedron, 29, 3781 (1973).
- (49) H. Kloosterziel, personal communication.
- (50) V. A. Mironov, O. S. Chizhov, ia. M. Kimeifeid, and A. A. Akhrem, Tetrahedron Lett., 499 (1969).
- (51) V. A. Mironov and A. A. Akhrem, Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR, 21, 1582, 1791 (1972).
- (52) W. Roth, Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem., 671, 25 (1964) (53) H. Kioosterziei and A. P. ter Borg, Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas, 84, 1305 (1965).
- (54) K. W. Egger, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 89, 3688 (1967).
- (55) T. Nozoe and K. Takahashi, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 38, 665 (1965); see also E. Weth and A. S. Dreiding, Proc. Chem. Soc., London, 59 (1964). (56) W. V. Vollhard and G. Vincow, J. Am. Chem. Soc., **90**, 4537 (1968).
- (57) R. W. Murray and M. L. Kaplan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 88, 3527 (1966). (58) A. P. ter Borg and H. Kloosterziel, Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas, 82.
- 1189 (1963).
- (109 (195).
 (59) H. Pines and R. H. Kozlowski, J. Am. Chem. Soc., **78**, 3776 (1956).
 (60) H. Pines and C. T. Chen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., **81**, 928 (1959).
 (61) P. Courtot and R. Rumin, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1849 (1970).
 (62) P. Courtot and R. Rumin, *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.*, 4238 (1972).

- (63) H. Heimgartner, H.-J. Hansen, and H. Schmid, Helv. Chim. Acta, 55,
- 1385 (1972) (64) R. Hug, H.-J. Hansen, and H. Schmid, Helv. Chim. Acta, 55, 1828 (1972)
- (65) E. E. Schweizer, D. M. Crouse, and D. L. Dalrymple, Chem. Commun., 354 (1969).
- (66) M. Schakei and G. W. Kiumpp, Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas, 92, 605 (1973).
- J. A. Berson, R. R. Boettcher, and J. J. Vollmer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **93**, 1541 (1971). (67)

- Chem. Soc., 89, 1404 (1967).

- (72) D. E. McGreer and N. W. K. Chiu, *Can. J. Chem.*, 46, 2217 (1968).
 (73) J. A. Berson and G. L. Nelson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 89, 5503 (1967).
 (74) J. A. Berson and G. L. Nelson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 92, 1096 (1970).
 (75) H. M. Frey, R. G. Hopkins, H. E. O'Neal, and F. T. Bond, *Chem. Com-*1000 (1990).

- (78) S. Masamune, S. Takada, N. Nakasuka, R. Vukov, and E. N. Cain, J. (16) S. Masaniare, S. Fakada, N. Hakasuka, N. Vakov, and E. N. Calif Am. Chem. Soc., 91, 4322 (1969).
 (79) F. Scheidt and W. Kirmse, Chem. Commun., 716 (1972).
 (80) K. Dietrich and H. Musso, Chem. Ber., 107, 731 (1974).
 (81) J. A. Berson and P. B. Dervan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 95, 269 (1973).
 (82) J. A. Berson and R. W. Holder, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 94, 2140 (1973).

- (83) J. E. Baldwin and R. H. Fleming, J. Am. Cham. Soc., 94, 2140 (1972).
 (84) J. A. Berson, T. Miyashi, and G. Jones II, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 96, 3468 (1974).
- (85) G. B. Clemans, J. Org. Chem., 38, 3459 (1973).
 (86) K. H. Hasselgren, J. Doiby, J. L. Nilsson, and M. Elander, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2917 (1971).
- J. Dolby, K. H. Hasselgren, and J. L. Nilsson, J. Heterocycl. Chem., 8, (87) 663 (1971).
- (88) J. W. Lown and M. H. Akhtar, Chem. Commun., 511 (1973). J. W. Lown, M. H. Akhtar, and R. S. McDaniei, J. Org. Chem., 39, 1998 (89)
- (1974). (90) J. E. Baldwin and J. A. Walker, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 96, 596 (1974).
- (91) W. E. Billups, W. Y. Chow, K. H. Leavell, and E. S. Lewis, J. Org. Chem., 39, 274 (1974).
- (92) J. W. deHaan and H. Kloosterziel, Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas, 84,

- (68) R. J. Ellis and H. M. Frey, J. Chem. Soc., Suppl. I, 5578 (1964).
 (69) W. R. Roth and J. König, Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem., 688, 28 (1965).
- (70) G. Ohloff, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 3795 (1965).
 (71) R. M. Roberts, R. G. Landolt, R. N. Greene, and E. W. Heyer, *J. Am.*

 - *mun.*, 1069 (1969). (76) H. M. Frey and R. G. Hopkins, *J. Chem. Soc. B*, 1410 (1970).

 - W. R. Roth and A. Friedrich, Tetrahedron Lett., 2607 (1969)

Thermal [1,] Sigmatropic Rearrangements

1594 (1965).

- (93) J. W. deHaan and H. Kioosterziei, Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas, 87, 298 (1968).

- (1960).
 (94) W. C. Herndon and J. M. Manion, *J. Org. Chem.*, **33**, 4504 (1968).
 (95) S. McLean and D. M. Findlay, *Can. J. Chem.*, **48**, 3107 (1970).
 (96) V. A. Mironov, A. P. Ivanov, Ya. M. Kimelfeld, and A. A. Akhrem, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 3985 (1969).
 (97) V. A. Mironov, A. P. Ivanov, Ya. M. Kimelfeld, and A. A. Akhrem, *Bull.*
- Acad. Sci. USSR, 21, 1864 (1971).
 (98) V. A. Mironov, A. P. Ivanov, G. V. Isaguiyants, L. I. Kovalenko, and A. A. Akhrem, Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR, 21, 2128 (1971). (99)
- V. A. Mironov, A. P. Ivanov, and A. A. Akhrem, Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR, 22, 346 (1973).
- (100) V. A. Mironov, A. P. ivanov, Ya. M. Kimelfeid, and A. A. Akhrem, Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR, 22, 357 (1973). (101) M. A. M. Boersma, J. W. deHaan, and H. Kioosterziei, Chem. Com-
- mun., 1168 (1970). (102) L. M. Dané, J. W. deHaan, and H. Kloosterziei, Tetrahedron Lett., 2755
- (1970). (103) V. Boekelheide and E. Sturm, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 91, 902 (1969); 92,
- 3669 (1970). (104) V. A. Mironov, A. D. Fedorovich, and A. A. Akhrem, Bull. Acad. Sci.
- USSR, 21, 2718 (1971).
- (105) C. W. Spangler and D. L. Boles, *J. Org. Chem.*, **37**, 1020 (1972). (106) M. R. Willcott III and C. J. Boriack, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **93**, 2354
- (1971). (107) M. R. Willcott ill and I. M. Rathburn, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 96, 938
- (1974)(108) G. Maier, i. Fuss, and M. Schneider, Tetrahedron Lett., 1057 (1970).
- (109) J. M. Patterson, J. W. deHaan, M. R. Boyd, and J. D. Ferry, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 94, 2487 (1972).
- (110) H. Dürr and R. Sergio, Tetrahedron Lett., 3479 (1972).
- (110) H. Durr and R. Sergio, *Fertainedron Ber.*, 107, 2027 (1974).
 (111) H. Dürr and R. Sergio, *Chem. Ber.*, 107, 2027 (1974).
 (112) J. A. Berson and M. R. Willcott III, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 88, 2494 (1966).
 (113) J. A. Berson, P. W. Grubb, R. A. Clark, D. R. Hatter, and M. R. Willcott III, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 89, 4076 (1967).
- (114) F. G. Klarner, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 11, 832 (1972).
- (115) L. L. Miller, R. Greisinger, and R. F. Boyer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 91. 1578 (1969).
- (116) L. L. Miller and R. F. Boyer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 93, 650 (1971).
 (117) J. J. McCullough and M. R. McClory, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 96, 1962 (1974)
- (118) A. K. Youssef and M. A. Ogliaruso, J. Org. Chem., 37, 2601 (1972).
- (119) A. K. Youssef and M. A. Ogliaruso, J. Org. Chem., 38, 487 (1973).
- (120) D. Beck and K. Schenker, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, **54**, **734** (1971). (121) R. K. Bramiey, R. Grigg, G. Guliford, and P. Milner, *Tetrahedron*, **29**. 4159 (1973).
- (122) D. E. McGreer and Y. Y. Wigfield, Can. J. Chem., 47, 2095 (1969)
- (123) M. Franck-Neumann and C. Buchecker, Tetrahedron Lett., 937 (1972). (124) P. Schmidt, R. W. Hoffmann, and J. Backes, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.
- Engl., 11, 513 (1972).
- (125) J. A. Berson and R. G. Salomon, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 93, 4620 (1971).

- (129) J. A. Berson and H. G. Salomon, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 93, 4620 (1971).
 (126) R. A. Baylouny, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 93, 4621 (1971).
 (127) P. Schless and P. Fünfschlilling, Tetrahedron Lett., 5191 (1972).
 (128) P. Schless and P. Fünfschlilling, Tetrahedron Lett., 5195 (1972).
 (129) E. Ciganek, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 89, 1458 (1967).
 (130) M. Mahendran and A. W. Johnson, Chem. Commun., 10 (1970).
 (131) R. W. Hoffmann, K. R. Elcksen, H. J. Luthardt, and B. Dittrich, Tetrahedron Lett., 7380 (1962). dron Lett., 3789 (1969).
- (132) H.-W. Bersch and D. Schon, Tetrahedron Lett., 1141 (1966).
- (133) T. J. Katz, C. R. Nicholson, and C. A. Reilly, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 88, 3832 (1966).
- (134) J. J. Looker, J. Org. Chem., 37, 1059 (1972).
- (135) A. Roedig, H. A. Renk, V. Schaal, and D. Scheutzow, Chem. Ber., 107, 1136 (1974).
- (136) W. Strohmeier and E. Eden, Z. Naturforsch., Teil B, 29, 282 (1974)

- (136) W. Strömmerer and E. Eden, Z. Naturforsch., Join B, 20, 202 (1974).
 (137) C. L. Habraken and P. Cohen-Fernandes, *Chem. Commun.*, 83 (1971).
 (138) K. P. Parry and C. W. Rees, *Chem. Commun.*, 833 (1971).
 (139) H. P. Fritz and C. G. Kreiter, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 4, 313 (1965).
 (140) M. J. Bennett, F. A. Cotton, A. Davison, J. W. Faller, S. J. Lippard, and S. M. Morehouse, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 88, 4371 (1966).
- (141) F. A. Cotton, A. Musco, and G. Yagupsky, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 89, 6136 (1967). (142) F. A. Cotton and T. J. Marks, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 91, 7524 (1969).
- (142) F. A. Ottoff and F. J. Marks, J. Am. Cham. Soc., 92, 1233 (1970).
 (143) A. J. Ashe III, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 92, 1233 (1970).
 (144) S. McLean and G. W. B. Reed, Can. J. Chem., 48, 3110 (1970).
 (145) A. Davison and P. E. Rakita, Inorg. Chem., 9, 289 (1970).
 (146) A. J. Ashe III, Tetrahedron Lett., 2105 (1970).

- (147) R. B. Larrabee and B. F. Dowden, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 915 (1970).
 (148) P. E. Rakita and A. Davison, *Inorg. Chem.*, 8, 1164 (1969).
 (149) A. Davison and P. E. Rakita, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 23, 407 (1970).
- (150) R. B. Larrabee, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 93, 1510 (1971)

- (151) M. D. Curtis and R. Fink, J. Organomet. Chem., 38, 299 (1972).
 (152) A. J. Ashe iii, J. Org. Chem., 37, 2053 (1972).
 (153) W. R. Roth and W. Grimme, Tetrahedron Lett., 2347 (1966).

- (154) M. i. Foreman, G. R. Knox, P. L. Pauson, K. H. Todd, and W. E. Watts, Chem. Commun., 843 (1970).
- (155) M. I. Foreman, G. R. Knox, P. L. Pauson, K. H. Todd, and W. E. Watts, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1141 (1972).
- (156) H. Kwart and J. Slutsky, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 94, 2515 (1972)
- (157) K. G. Hancock and J. D. Kramer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **95**, 6463 (1973). (158) N. M. Sergeyev, G. I. Avramenko, A. V. Kisin, V. A. Korenevsky, and
- N. M. Sergeyev, G. I. Avramenko, A. V. Kisin, V. A. Korenevsky, and Yu. A. Ustynyuk, J. Organomet. Chem., 32, 55 (1971).
 Yu. K. Grishin, N. M. Sergeyev, and Yu. A. Ustynyuk, Org. Magn. Reson., 4, 377 (1972).
 Yu. N. Luzikov, N. M. Sergeyev, and Yu. A. Ustynyuk, J. Organomet.
- Chem., 65, 303 (1974).
- (161) N. M. Sergeyev, Yu. K. Grishin, Yu. N. Luzikov, and Yu. A. Ustynyuk, J. Organomet. Chem., 38, C1 (1972).
 (162) R. Breslow, J. H. Hoffman, and C. Perchonock, Tetrahedron Lett..
- 3723 (1973).
- (163) Y. Araki, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., **43**, 252 (1970). (164) (a) O. S. Tee, J. Am. Chem. Soc., **9**1, 7144 (1969), and references cited therein; (b) O. S. Tee and K. Yates, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 94, 3074 (1972).
- (165) K. Yamada, S. ishihara, and H. iida, Chiba Daigaku Kogakubu Kenkyu Hokoku, 23, 59-64 (1971).
- (166) M. J. S. Dewar, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 94, 9107 (1972).
- (167) J. R. DeDobbelere, J. W. deHaan, H. M. Buck, and G. J. Visser, *Theor. Chim. Acta*, **31**, 95 (1973).
- (168) R. C. Cookson and J. E. Kemp, Chem. Commun., 385 (1971).
- (169) (a) J. E. Baidwin, J. E. Brown, and R. W. Cordeli, Chem. Commun., 31 (a) J. E. Baldwin, J. E. Brown, and P. W. Cordeli, *Chem. Commun.*, St (1970); (b) J. E. Baldwin and F. J. Urban, *ibid.*, 165 (1970); (c) J. E. Baldwin, W. F. Erickson, R. E. Hackler, and R. M. Scott, *ibid.*, 576 (1970); (d) J. E. Baldwin and M. C. H. Armstrong, *ibid.*, 631 (1970); (e) J. E. Baldwin, J. E. Brown, and G. Höfle, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 93, 788 (1971); (f) J. E. Baidwin and J. E. Patrick, Ibid., 93, 3556 (1971).
- (170) D. G. Morris, Chem. Commun., 1345 (1969).
- (171) (a) H. Fischer and J. Bargon, Acc. Chem. Res., 2, 110 (1969); (b) J. Jacobus, Chem. Commun., 709 (1970).
- (172) L. Salem, Jerusalem Symp. Quantum Chem. Biochem., 329-39 (1974).
 (173) N. D. Eplotis, R. L. Yates, and F. Bernardi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 97.
- 4 198 (1975).
- (174) A. C. Day, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 97, 2431 (1975).
 (175) A. Gavezzotti and M. Simonetta, *Tetrahedron*, 31, 1611 (1975).
 (176) J. R. De Dobbeiaere, E. L. Van Zeeventer, J. W. de Haan, and H. M.
- Buck, Theor. Chim. Acta, 38, 241 (1975).
- (177) (a) M. J. S. Dewar, Science, 187, 1037 (1975); (b) Chem. Brit., 11, 97 (1975).
- (178) P. Schless and P. Radimerski, Helv. Chim. Acta, 57, 2583 (1974)
- (179) (a) K. J. Crowley and S. G. Trayner, Tetrahedron Lett., 3555 (1975); (b) F. Naef, R. Decorzant, W. Thommen, B. Willhalm, and G. Ohloff, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, **58**, 1016 (1975).
 (180) J. S. Hastings, H. G. Heller, and H. Tucker, *Chem. Commun.*, 348
- (1974).
- (181) G. R. Krow and J. Reiliy, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 97, 3837 (1975).
 (182) B. C. Baumann and A. S. Dreiding, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 57, 1872 (1974).
 (183) P. Schiess and R. Dinkel, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2503 (1975).
- (184) W. R. Doibier, Jr. L. McCullagh, D. Rolinson, and K. E. Anapoile, J. Am.
- (164) W. R. Dolber, J. L. McCullagh, D. Rolinson, and K. E. Anapolle, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 97, 934 (1975).
 (185) J. M. Patterson, J. D. Ferry, J. W. deHaan, and M. R. Boyd, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 97, 360 (1975).
 (186) (a) R. Baumes, J. Elguero, R. Jacquier, and G. Tarrago, Tetrahedron Lett., 3781 (1973); (b) P. J. Abbott, R. M. Acheson, and R. F. Flower-day, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1177 (1974); (c) H. Duerr and W. Schmidt, Luty Lipping Ang. Chem. 1140 (1974); Schmidt, Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem., 1140 (1974); (c) H. Lu Rodina, V.
 Schmidt, Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem., 1140 (1974); (d) L. L. Rodina, V.
 V. Bulusheva, and I. K. Korobitsyna, Zh. Org. Khim., 10, 1937 (1974).
 (187) (a) A. S. Katner, J. Org. Chem., 38, 825 (1973); (b) M. Franck-Neumann and C. Buchecker, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 12, 240 (1973);
- (c) M. Martin and C. Buchecker, Angew. Orbin, int. Ed. Eng., 12, 240 (1973); (c) M. Martin and M. Regitz, Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem., 1702 (1974); (d) D. W. Jones and G. Kneen, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 171 (1975); (e) T. Yamazaki and H. Shechter, Tetrahedron Lett., 1417 (1973); (f) T. Yamazaki, G. Baum, and H. Shechter, *ibid.*, 4421 (1974); (g) C. P. Falshaw, S. A. Lane, and W. D. Oilis, Chem. Commun., 491 (1973).
- (188) (a) i. Ninomiya, T. Kiguchi, and T. Naito, *Chem. Commun.*, 81 (1974);
 (b) S. F. Nelson, J. P. Gillespie, P. J. Hintz, and E. D. Seppanen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **95**, 8380 (1973).
- (189) R. A. Abramovitch, R. B. Rogers, and G. M. Singer, J. Org. Chem., 40, 41 (1975)
- (190) D. J. Field, D. W. Jones, and G. Kneen, Chem. Commun., 754 (1975).
- (191) A. Hartmann and M. Regitz, *Phosphorus*, 5, 21 (1974).
 (192) J. W. A. M. Janssen, H. J. Koeners, C. G. Kruse, and C. L. Habraken, *J. Org. Chem.*, 38, 1777 (1973).
- (193) N. Wiberg and H. J. Pracht, Chem. Ber., 105, 1390 (1972).
- (194) Yu. A. Ustynyuk, Yu. N. Luzikov, I. Mstislavsky, A. A. Azizov, and I. M. Pribytkova, J. Organometal. Chem., 96, 335 (1975).
 (195) J. Backes, R. W. Hoffmann, and F. W. Steuber, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.
- Engl., 14, 553 (1975).