Chemical Reviews

Volume 86, Number 5 October 1986

SilvI-Substituted Cyclopropanes as Versatile Synthetic Reagents

LEO A. PAQUETTE

Evans Chemical Laboratories, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210

Received November 5, 1985 (Revised Manuscript Received March 10, 1986)

Contents

Ι.	Introduction	733
Π.	Synthetic Protocols	734
	A. Cyclopropylsilanes	734
	B. 1, 1-Bls(silylated) Cyclopropanes	736
	C. Functionalized	737
	1-(Trimethylsilyl)cyclopropanes	
	D. Functionalized	738
	2-(Trimethylsilyl)cyclopropanes	
Ш.	Functional Group Modifications with	740
	Preservation of the Silicon Substituent	
IV.	Reactions Involving Substitution of the Sillcon	741
	Group	
	A. Electrophilic	741
	B. Nucleophilic	741
	Peterson OlefInation Chemistry	742
VI.	Ring Cleavage Reactions	743
	A. Acid-Promoted Processes	743
	B. Solvolytic Behavior	744
	C. Thermal Isomerizations	745
VII.	Configurational Properties of	746
	1-(Trimethylsilyl)cyclopropyl Carbanions and	
	Free Radicals	
	Sllylated Cyclopropenes	746
	Addendum	746
Χ.	References and Notes	748

I. Introduction

Three-membered carbocyclic rings hold a particularly prominent position for theoretical, mechanistic, and synthetic chemists alike. Because a cyclopropyl unit possesses "double bond character" and consequently resembles most closely a vinyl substituent, interesting and useful electronic interactions are often brought into play. The high level of strain that is simultaneously present lends itself to chemical reactivity not otherwise attainable. Accordingly, it comes as no surprise that cyclopropanes have served extensively as probes of chemical reactivity, as substrates for varied theoretical analyses, and as utilitarian synthons in organic synthesis.

In recent years, there has occurred a widespread upsurge of interest in the application of organosilicon reagents to new synthetic transformations.¹ This activity has undoubtedly stimulated interest in the use of silylcyclopropanes not only as novel reagents in their own right,² but also as important new tools for molecular construction. Although the parent system 1 was



first prepared in 1962,³ the subsequent decade was witness only to the intermittent development of alternative approaches to simple silicon-substituted threemembered rings. More recently, however, an increasing number of original publications devoted to the preparation, application, and mechanistic understanding of silyl-substituted cyclopropanes has appeared. A rather diverse range of chemistry has already surfaced. It is the intent of this review to define the boundaries of our present knowledge of this area. The emphasis will be heavily synthetic rather than mechanistic. The aim is to alert synthetic organic chemists to the recognized properties of this class of molecules and thereby to promote their utilization as intermediates in future synthetic undertakings.

II. Synthetic Protocols

A. Cyclopropylslianes


One of the historically most revered and extensively studied methods of silylcyclopropane construction involves the insertion of Me₃SiCH: or its equivalent into a carbon-carbon double bond. Two methods have proven to be especially convenient and in some respects complementary. The first involves use of (trimethylsilyl)diazomethane (2), a relatively stable (bp 95 °C at 760 torr)⁴ and commercially available⁵ reagent. Although this substance was originally available via one of several multistep syntheses,⁴⁻⁷ two abbreviated alternatives have recently become available that allow the convenient preparation of multigram quantities. Barton and Hoekman have reported that metallation of (chloromethyl)trimethylsilane and condensation of the resulting anion with tosyl azide produces 2 in 38% yield.⁸



Leo A. Paquette was born in Worcester, MA, on July 15, 1934. He received the B.S. degree magna cum laude from Holy Cross College in 1956 and was awarded the Ph.D. in Organic Chemistry by the Massachusetts Institute of Technology in 1959. After serving as a Research Associate at The Upjohn Company from 1959 to 1963, he jointed the faculty of the Ohio State University as Assistant Professor and from 1969 to 1981 has been Professor of Chemistry there. Since 1981, he has held the title Kimberly Professor of Chemistry.

Dr. Paquette has been a Visiting Professor at Michigan State University (1968), the University of Iowa (1970), the University of Colorado (1974), the University of California at Santa Barbara (1975), the University of Groningen (1975), Texas A&M University (1979), Northwestern University (1981), and the University of Heidelberg (1984). He has served in an advisory capacity on the Chemistry Division Advisory Committee of the National Science Foundation and the Medicinal Chemistry B Study Section of the National Institutes of Health, and as a member of the editorial boards of the Journal of Organic Chemistry, Mechanisms of Reactions of Sulfur Compounds, and Chemical Reviews. During 1984, he served as chairman of the Columbus Section of the American Chemical Society. Currently, he is a member of the editorial boards of Organic Reactions, Organic Syntheses, Synthetic Communications, and Current Abstracts of Chemistry and Index Chemicus. Other current activities include membership on the Board on Chemical Sciences and Technology of the National Research Council and on the Medicinal Chemistry Study Section of the NIH.


In 1965, Professor Paquette was named a Fellow of the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation. On several occasions, he has been honored by the Americal Chemical Society: Morley Medalist of the Cleveland Section in 1971, recipient of the Columbus Section Award in 1979, and the national Award for Creative Work in Synthetic Organic Chemistry in 1984. He was the holder of a Guggenheim Fellowship during the 1976–77 academic year and was elected to the National Academy of Sciences in 1983. In 1980, The Ohio State University awarded him its prestigious Senior Research Award, and in 1984, he was presented an honorary degree by his alma mater. He has been the Chairman of the Gordon Conference on Heterocyclic Compounds (1969) and a Plenary Lecturer at numerous conferences in the U.S. and abroad. He is the author of 630 research papers in organic chemistry and has more than 40 patents to his credit.



More expedient still is Martin's procedure involving mixing of equimolar amounts of trimethylsilyl triflate and diazomethane in the presence of diisopropylethylamine. The quantity of redistilled 2 isolated amounts to 74%.⁹

When 2 is thermolyzed in the gas phase or photolyzed in solution, conversion to (trimethylsilyl)carbene occurs. Methyl migration ensues to give 2-methyl-2-sila-2butene (3) and products thereof.¹⁰⁻¹² Hazeldine and his co-workers have demonstrated that if the photolysis of 2 is performed in an alkene medium, the carbene can be intercepted.¹³ As is illustrated for *trans*-2-butene (1:3 molar ratio), 4 could be isolated in 23% yield. The stereospecific addition was taken as evidence for formation of the carbene in its singlet state during the photochemical decomposition.

More convenient is the cuprous chloride-induced denitrogenation of $2.^{6}$ Under such conditions, cyclohexene is converted to a 13:1 mixture of 5 and 6, and *cis*-4-methyl-2-pentene is similarly transformed into 7 and 8 (3.4:1) without loss of stereochemistry. A pref-




erence for formation of the less sterically hindered cyclopropane is invariably found. A drawback to this methodology is the operation of competitive dimerization of the carbene to *cis*- and *trans*-1,2-bis(trimethylsilyl)ethylenes. In those instances where the products are of low molecular weight, these contaminants can prove difficultly separable. This complication is not encountered in the Olofson method.

The useful process developed by the Penn State group involves deprotonation of chloromethyltrimethylsilane with lithium 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidide in hexane solution.¹⁴ The second stage of the 1,1-elimination reaction is completed by heating at the reflux temperature, the liberated singlet carbene or carbenoid then inserting stereospecifically into the olefin present as it is generated (see 9 and 10). Only trace amounts of the disilylethylenes are produced under these conditions.


A direct and detailed comparison of the two silyl carbene trapping procedures has been made by Daniels and Paquette.¹⁵ Their work has revealed that the anionic approach generally gives 5–10% higher yields of the desired cyclopropanes. One limitation of this methodology did, however, surface. Whereas treatment of 1,4-dihydronaphthalene with (chloromethyl)trimethylsilane and lithium 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidide resulted in formation of a mixture of allylic C-H insertion products, direct conversion to 11 was achieved uneventfully by means of the Seyferth method.

The cuprous chloride catalyzed addition of (trimethylsilyl)diazomethane to 1,3-cyclohexadiene and to cyclobutene has been reported to give 12^{16} and a 4:1 mixture of 13 and 14, respectively.¹⁷

The action of sodium or potassium vapor on Me₃SiCHCl₂ is reputed to produce the same carbene.¹⁸ Although this chemistry was not applied in a synthetic context, an interesting and useful intramolecular variant




has recently made its appearance. Thus, exposure of 15a to methyllithium in ether at 20–35 °C has been



 $g, R^{1}=R^{2}=H; b, R^{1}=CH_{3}, R^{2}=H; c, R^{1}=H; R^{2}=CH_{3}$

found to give bicyclobutane 17a in 47% yield. The higher homologues 17b (53%) and 17c (48%) were analogously prepared.¹⁹ Thus, trapping of the reactive center in 16 by the proximate double bond is relative efficient.


Simmons-Smith cyclopropanation of vinylsilanes constitutes an entirely practical synthesis of cyclopropylsilanes.^{3,20-25} The method is tolerant of as many as three alkoxy groups on silicon. Subsequent exchange reactions can result in acquisition of potentially serviceable intermediates. The $18 \rightarrow 20$ transposition is



illustrative.²¹ Futhermore, when this procedure is implemented subsequent to a ketone arenesulfonylhydrazone \rightarrow vinylsilane transformation,^{26–28} a wide variety of 1,1- and 1,2-dialkylated products such as 21 and 22 can be obtained.¹⁵


In a related context, Mironov and co-workers have demonstrated that the irradiation of diiodomethane in trimethylvinylsilane can also lead to 1, although the yield (11%) is unimpressive.²¹

Another useful entry to cyclopropyl silanes entails treatment of cyclopropyllithium reagents with chlorotrimethylsilane and related electrophiles.^{29,30} As concerns cyclopropyllithiums, one is dependent upon initial halogen-metal exchange within the bromide precursor. However, when the acidity of the relevant protons is increased, the requisite anions are available by direct deprotonation. The behavior of methylenecyclopropane




(23)³¹ and cyclopropabenzene (25)^{32,33} nicely illustrates this more direct functionalization scheme. A Russian group has demonstrated how these two processes can take on complementary aspects. Thus, silylation of the lithium reagent derived from bromide 27 leads to 28.³⁴ In contrast, direct metalation of the parent hydrocarbon (29) results instead in regioselective deprotonation of the unsaturated three-membered ring and delivers ultimately the isomeric allyl silane 30.³⁵


Rearrangement reactions are also recognized to offer useful synthetic advantages. A case in point is the response of **31**, obtained by silvlation of 1-lithio-



tricyclo[$4.1.0.0^{2,7}$]heptane, to acidic reagents of various type. Exposure to catalytic quantities of 70% perchloric acid in benzene, for example, leads instantaneously to **32**. With *p*-toluenesulfonic acid, a mixture of **12** and **32** is formed.¹⁶ In contrast, ethereal magnesium bromide promotes isomerization only to **12**. When an additional methyl substituent is present as in **33**, a catalytic quantity of silver perchloratte in benzene promotes conversion to a 9:1 mixture of **34** and **35**.¹⁶ Bicyclobutane **17b** behaves comparably.¹⁹


Addition of freshly sublimed aluminum chloride (10 mol %) to solutions of (chloromethyl)vinylsilanes in dichloromethane at room temperature often results in rearrangement to cyclopropylchlorosilanes.^{36,37} Subsequent methylation with CH_3MgBr delivers cyclo-






appear to be determined by the relative stabilities of the α - and β -silyl carbocation intermediates.

Sakurai has recently demonstrated that irradiation of molecules such as **39** and **40** can result in photoinduced 1,2-silyl migration and formation of structurally unusual silylcyclopropane structures.³⁸




B. 1,1-Bis(silylated) Cyclopropanes

A simple, general, and efficient route to gem-disilylcyclopropanes³⁹ consists of reacting dichlorocyclopropanes with lithium metal and chlorotrimethylsilane in tetrahydrofuran solution.⁴⁰⁻⁴² The substitution of potassium 1-(dimethylamino)naphthalenide is substantially less effective in producing 41 cleanly.⁴³ Also




somewhat less satisfactory from the preparative viewpoint is the combination of 1,1-dibromocyclopropanes with chlorotrimethylsilane and magnesium metal, since monosilylated cyclopropanes and silylated ring-opened products are formed concurrently.⁴⁴ The eventual product distribution appears to be controlled by the extent and site of alkyl substitution.

The facility with which silicon stabilizes an α -anion can offer ready synthetic entry to this class of compounds. To illustrate, quenching of the anion derived from 42 with 1 equiv of chlorotrimethylsilane delivers 44 and not 43.³³ Since unreacted 42 is recovered from this reaction, it would seem that 43 is deprotonated immediately upon its formation by the anion of 42. Although 42^{-} offers no opportunity for assessing the regioselectivity of electrophilic capture in these highly strained systems, the anion 24 does. With *n*-butyl-lithium as the base, 24^{-} proceeds to give 45 and 46 in an 88:12 ratio (74% yield) alongside the trisilyl derivative 47 (13%).³¹ For comparison, alkylation of 24^{-}




with various halides results only in formation of α -silylated products related to 45. These results differ somewhat from the known reactivity of allylsilane anions where γ -alkylation predominates, likely because of the greater ring strain associated with cyclopropenes as compared to methylenecyclopropanes. That some 46 results at all is probably due to steric factors arising from geminal disilylation that inhibits this anion from reacting exclusively with chlorotrimethylsilane at the α -position.

In contrast, 24⁻ reacts with benzaldehyde and with acetone in the manner illustrated.³¹ An electron-



transfer mechanism⁴⁵ has been invoked to rationalize these findings. Although this may be accurate, additional research is required to fully comprehend the scope of such processes and the underlying cause for exclusive coupling of the benzaldehyde radical anion and the radical cation of 24^- at the γ -position.⁴⁶

Fleming and Floyd have uncovered an example of a bis(silylated) cyclopropane-forming reaction that constitutes a formal homo-Peterson reaction (the only known example). Where tris(trimethylsilyl)lithium is




allowed to condense with styrene oxide, alkoxide 48 is produced. A 1,4-silyl shift presumably ensues to generate carbanion 46, cyclization of which by intramolecular displacement of trimethylsilyl oxide anion leads to 50.⁴⁷ This process appears not to be general, seem-

ingly because the final step is feasible only at a secondary benzylic site.

C. Functionalized 1-(Trimethylsilyl)cyclopropanes


A reagent that attracted early attention is the silicon-substituted organomermercurial $(Me_3SiCCl_2)_2Hg$, heating of which in hot (120 °C) bromobenzene for 6 days results in slow thermal decomposition to (trimethylsilyl)chlorocarbene.⁴⁸ In the presence of cyclohexene, the single adduct 51 is formed in 24% yield. If



a molar equivalent of diphenylmercury is also added, the yield of 51 increases to 62%. These conditions have been successfully applied to cyclooctene (73%) and to allyltrimethylsilane. However, the Me₃SiČCl adduct of 2,3-dimethyl-2-pentene proved insufficiently stable to the rather drastic reaction conditions to survive. Tri*n*-butyltin hydride reduction of 51 leads chiefly to 6, the minor product of direct Me₃SiCH: insertion.


Analogously, $(Me_3SiCBr_2)_2Hg$ reacts with cyclohexene at 95 °C in the presence of diphenylmercury to give 7-bromo-7-trimethylsilylnorcarane in 36% yield. However, $(Me_3SiCHBr)_2Hg$ is too stable, even at 160 °C, to serve as a divalent carbon transfer agent.⁴⁸

Schöllkopf and co-workers have made the interesting discovery that mercury bis(diazoethylacetate) (52) is capable of smooth reaction with bis(trimethylsilyl)-sulfide to give (trimethylsilyl)diazoethyl acetate (53, 82%).⁴⁹ As expected, 53 is characterized by unusual




thermostability and undergoes [3 + 2] cycloaddition to dimethyl fumarate more slowly than does ethyl diazoacetate. On the other hand, **53** readily decomposes when photochemically irradiated. The resulting carbene adds with ca. 95% cis stereoselectivity to olefins to give 1-trimethylsilyl-1-ethoxycarbonylcyclopropanes such as **54** (41%). The concurrent formation of side products of type **55** signals that this reactive intermediate also inserts easily into C-H bonds.

The chemical versatility of cyclopropylcarbinols has provided considerable incentive for the development of routes to silylated analogues. Quite unlike cyclopropyl phenyl sulfide, which undergoes ready deprotonation at its α -cyclopropyl site under a variety of conditions,⁵⁰ 1 is inert to strong bases⁵¹ including prolonged exposure to *sec*-butyllithium and TMEDA in tetrahydrofuran solution.⁵² Consequently, a comparably direct route to the 1-(trimethylsilyl)cyclopropyl anion is not available. However, because (α -bromovinyl)trimethylsilane can be conveniently prepared in large quantities⁵³ and readily coaxed into halogen-metal exchange with *tert*-butyllithium in ether at -78 °C,⁵⁴ the first synthetic entry made use of organometallic 56.^{52,55,56} Its addition to




carbonyl compounds and epoxides proceeds uneventfully. Products such as 57, 59, and 61 undergo Simmons–Smith cyclopropanation best when the modified form of this procedure that utilizes ethylzinc iodide⁵⁷ is applied.

The lack of proton acidity in 1 can also be readily overcome by reductive lithiation⁸ of 65 with lithium naphthalenide^{52,55} or 1-dimethylaminonaphthalenide (LDMAN).^{55,59} The bifunctional cyclopropane 65 is available in large quantities either by deprotonation of 63 followed by addition of chlorotrimethylsilane (88%)




or, more conveniently, by sequential treatment of 64 with 2 equiv of n-butyllithium⁶⁰ and Me₃SiCl (85%).

This methodology can be extended to include reductive lithiation of cyclopropanone dithioketals, which are now routinely available by one of the several newer versatile procedures developed by Cohen's group.⁶¹ An example of such a procedure is the reaction of the sulfur-stabilized conjugate base of **66** with **67** to produce




the vinylcyclopropanone dithioketal 68. The conversion to 69 is then effected as described above.⁶²

Very recently, (1-lithiocyclopropyl)trimethylsilanes have been prepared by bromine–lithium^{55,63} and selenium–lithium exchange reactions.⁶⁴ The preparation of 1,1-dibromocyclopropane from ethylene has been described,⁶⁵ but autoclave conditions and an expensive dibromocarbene source must be utilized.⁶⁶ These unattractive requirements can be bypassed by making recourse instead to the readily available 70.⁶⁷ Hunsdiecker degradation provides 71, a low melting solid that undergoes routine lithiation to give 72.⁵⁵ Similarly, Krief has shown that 73 is capable of efficient selenium–metal exchange and silylation to give 74.⁶⁴ This substance can, in its own right, be transformed into the lithio derivative 72.




More highly substituted 1,1-dibromocyclopropanes such as 75,68 76,63a and 7863b can similarly be transformed via silyl bromides to 1-(trimethylsilyl)cyclopropyllithium reagents. Whereas 76 experiences exo




silvlation as a direct consequence of coordination within carbenoid 77, the more normal stereochemical outcome is formation of the endo-trimethylsilyl product (e.g. 79). This is because the more sterically congested bromine atom in the dibromocyclopropane is usually the more reactive, with lithiation and electrophilic capture occurring with retention of configuration.⁶⁹

Since reductive lithiation of 1-phenylthio-1-(trimethylsilyl)cyclopropanes produces 1-lithio-1-(trimethylsilyl)cyclopropanes, additional methods for directly preparing starting materials of this type provide greater accessibility to these important organometallics. Weber and Neuenschwander have made the unusual and unexplained observation that silvlation of the anion of 80 leads chiefly (25%) to 81' instead of 81 (18%).⁷⁰ More synthetically useful are two complementary procedures recently developed by Cohen and co-workers.⁷¹ The first of these involves nucleophilic addition of sulfur-stabilized anions to 82,72 obtained simply by deprotonation-silvlation of phenyl vinyl sulfide.⁷³ The anions so generated (e.g., 83) undergo spontaneous cyclization. The formation of 84-87 illustrates the power of the technique. Alternatively, if the anion $(88)^{74}$ of (phenylthio)(trimethylsilyl)methane⁷⁵ is allowed to condense with an epoxide, a trans alkoxide results. Without isolation, formation of the benzenesulfonate is carried out and intramolecular $S_N 2$ displacement is achieved by exposure to *sec*-butyllithium (2 equiv) in TMEDA at 0 °C.⁷⁶ The yields of cyclopropane product such as 89 are 59-69%.⁷¹

Additional functionalized 1-(trimethylsilyl)cyclopropanes have been obtained by deprotonation-silvlation⁵⁶ of phosphonium salt 90,⁷⁷ flash vacuum pyrolysis





. n-BuLi -78℃

of bis(trimethylsilyl) cyclopropane-1,1-dicarboxylate,78 and irradiation of silvlated cyclobutenedicarboxylic anhydrides.79

D. Functionalized 2-(Trimethyisliyi)cyclopropanes

Entries 2 and 3 in Table I indicate that under comparable steric conditions vinylsilanes are somewhat more reactive toward dihalocarbenes than their hydrocarbon analogues. It is not clear that Si is more electron-withdrawing than carbon when attached to a carbon atom with a developing positive charge. Silicon is more electropositive than carbon and should be able to donate electrons better to a positive charge. It is unlikely that silicon stabilizes π bonds significantly better than carbon because this would require utilization of d orbitals which are thought not to be involved.

From a preparative point of view, the success of dichlorocarbene capture is highly reagent-dependent. While the chloroform-potassium tert-butoxide combi-


 TABLE I. Relative Rates of Dihalocarbene Additions to

 Vinylsilanes^{65,60}

olefin	PhHgCCl ₂ Br, 80 °C	CHCl ₃ , KO- <i>t</i> -Bu, -30 °C	Me ₃ SnCF ₃ , NaI, 80 °C
1-heptene	1.00	1.00	1.00
$C_2H_5(CH_3)_2CCH=CH_2$	0.043		0.12
$C_2H_5(CH_3)_2SiCH=CH_2$	0.069		0.26
(C ₂ H ₅) ₃ SiCH=CH ₂	0.048		0.15
(CH ₃) ₃ SiCH=CH ₂		0.047	


nation usually produces only small quantities of 2-trimethylsilylated 1,1-dichlorocyclopropanes,⁸⁰ moderate to good yields can be realized by thermal degradation of phenyl(bromodichloromethyl)mercury in benzene at 80 °C^{65,81,82} or of (trichloromethyl)trichlorosilane at 250 °C.⁸³ Difluorocarbene, as generated by the Me₃SnCF₃-sodium iodide reagent pair in 1,2-dimethoxyethane at 80 °C is also rather efficiently trapped by this class of olefins.⁸⁴

Because use of the mercurial agents on a large scale is unattractive and pyrolysis reactions at 250 °C are impractical, Miller's discovery of the feasibility of phase-transfer conditions for vinylsilane dichlorocyclopropanation is one of major importance.⁸⁵ Thus, submission of *cis*-1-(trimethylsilyl)hex-1-ene to a medium consisting of chloroform-50% sodium hydroxide-Cetrimide at 55 °C for 12 h delivers **91** in 80%




yield. With a trisubstituted vinylsilane such as 92, a 72% yield of adduct is realized.⁸⁵

The synthetic utility of lithium carbenoids derived from these molecules has been tested with respect to the synthesis of 5,6-dihydrocalicenes. The preparation of 95 is illustrative.⁸⁶



The (trimethylsilyl)sulfurane 96 has been shown to react with α,β -unsaturated ketones to silylcyclopropyl ketones.⁸⁷ The yields of product (e.g., 97 and 98) are moderate. The arsonium ylide 99 behaves comparably toward chalcones.⁸⁸

Slow addition of ethereal diazomethane to (trimethylsilyl)ketene⁸⁹ at -130 °C leads to (trimethylsilyl)cyclopropanone (100, 50% yield), accompanied by




lesser amounts of 2- and 3-(trimethylsilyl)cyclobutanones.⁹⁰ Derivatives differently substituted about the silicon atom are also known.⁹¹ Unlike cyclopropanone itself or its methyl derivative, which are stable only for a short time in solutions at low temperature,⁹² the silvlated cyclopropanones can be isolated by fractionation and stored at 5 °C without decomposition and polymerization for reasonably long periods of time. In stability, they compare closely to the very much less accessible 2,2- and trans-2,3-di-tert-butylcyclopropanones.⁹³ This last fact suggests that steric factors may contribute partially for the enhanced stability of these otherwise reactive compounds. Another parameter that merits consideration is the well-recognized ability of silicon to stabilize a β -carbocationic center.

Cyclopropanone 100 reacts practically instantaneously with alcohols and amines at -60 to -78 °C to give carbonyl addition products.⁹¹ Isomerization to ringopened compounds occurs upon heating. More powerful electrophiles such as bromine promote direct ring fission. Nonetheless, a group of reactions are known that occur with preservation of the three-membered ring. Several examples are given by 101-104.^{91,94}

III. Functional Group Modifications with Preservation of the Silicon Substituent


The carbinols available from condensation of 72 with ketones undergo dehydration smoothly when treated with catalytic quantities of *p*-toluenesulfonic acid in benzene at 20 °C. The overall yields of vinylcyclopropanes such as 105 is 52-75%. No evidence for the



occurrence of ring expansion processes was found under these conditions.^{53,55} In the case of 108, an ca. 1:1 mixture of the Z and E isomers is formed; their separation can be simply effected by vapor phase chromatography.^{53,55} When aldehyde condensation products (e.g., 109a) are involved, dehydration is more problematical. This complication can readily be bypassed by formation of the acetate (109b) and subsequent thermal extrusion of acetic acid at elevated temperatures in the vapor phase.


The cuprate formed by reaction of 1-lithio-1-(trimethylsilyl)-2-benzyloxymethylcyclopropane with dibutylcopperlithium reacts with allyl bromide and acetyl chloride to produce the *cis*-allyl (77%) and *cis*-acetyl derivatives (52%), respectively. Consequently, versatile highly functionalized intermediates are available in this fashion.^{63a}

Bifunctional aldehyde 110, readily accessible by oxidation of 58 with manganese dioxide,⁵⁶ diisobutylaluminum hydride reduction of 1-(trimethylsilyl)cyclopropyl nitrile,⁵⁶ or, less efficiently, by condensation of 72 with dimethylformamide,⁶⁴ has proven to be a very serviceable electrophilic reaction partner. Condensation with α -lithio selenides⁹⁵ at -78 °C proceeds in 80–90% yield to give adducts typified by 111, whose elimination to 112 is conveniently achieved by exposure to an excess




of methanesulfonyl chloride and triethylamine.⁹⁶ The process is fully regioselective and delivers only vinyl-cyclopropanes.

Lithiation of 1-(bromomethylene)cyclobutane followed by condensation with 110 delivers 113.⁹⁷ As




expected from thermodynamic considerations, clean rearrangement of 113 to the more stable isomeric cyclobutanol 114 occurs in the presence of 5% sulfuric acid. No desilylation intravened during this isomerization.

While direct condensation of 110 with thiophenol or benzeneselenol proceeds to give the corresponding acetals 116a and 116b only with low efficiency, exchange reactions involving dimethyl acetal 115 proceed quantitatively.⁵⁶ Both 116a and 116b can be transformed into their nucleophilic lithium derivatives 117.




The dimethoxy derivative 115 also enters efficiently into crossed aldol condensations. Admixture with 1-(trimethylsiloxy)cyclohexene in the presence of 1–5 mol % trimethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate followed by β -elimination of methanol with DBU in refluxing dichloromethane containing molecular sieves delivers the conjugated enone 118.⁵⁶

An additional interesting example of this chemistry is found in the preparation of 119.56




Reductive coupling of aldehyde 110 with zerovalent titanium affords the symmetric olefin 120 in 38% yield.⁹⁸ Köbrich and Merkel have described a synthesis of the cis isomer by Lindlar reduction of the corresponding acetylene.⁹⁹ An alternative higher yielding pathway to 120 consists in converting bromide 121 to sulfone 123 via sulfide 122. Subsequent Ramberg-




Backlund rearrangement of the α -chlorosulfone derived from 123 reproducibly afforded 120 in 53% yield.⁹⁸


Unsymmetrical coupling of 110 to α,β -unsaturated ketones has also proven to be efficient. Thus, condensation with 125 in the presence of Ti(0) provides 126 as a single stereoisomer.^{96,100}




Despite the neopentyl nature of the halogen-substituted carbon in 121, the substance enters readily into $S_N 2$ displacement reactions.⁵⁶ That this bromide is a respectable electrophilic reagent can be seen in the following.



The functional group combination found in 127 has proven useful in the preparation of molecules such as 128, which incorporates allylsilane and acrylonitrile moieties that work in electronic opposition.⁵⁶



Generally, silver ion-assisted ionizations of gem-dihalocyclopropanes result in disrotatory ring cleavage with formation of an allyl cation whose geometry is dependent on the specific halogen that is abstracted.¹⁰¹ In contrast, treatment of **129**, **130**, and related 1-(tri-




methylsilyl)-7,7-dihalobicyclo[4.1.0]heptanes with silver trifluoroacetate in methanol or ethanol at 0–60 °C gives the corresponding dialkyl acetals resulting from substitution of the intact carbocyclic framework.¹⁰² This unusual chemical behavior is, however, restricted to norcarane derivatives.

IV. Reactions Involving Substitution of the Silicon Group

A. Electrophilic

A trimethylsilyl group so modifies the reactivity of an adjoining cyclopropane ring that certain electrophilic substitution reactions which leave the three-membered ring intact are also possible. For example, 1 has been shown to react with iodine monochloride, ¹⁰³ acyl halides in the presence of aluminum trichloride, ²² and trimethylsilylchlorosulfonate²³ to give 131a-c, respectively.




With 48% hydrobromic acid or 92% sulfuric acid, cyclopropane is produced,²¹ presumably by a related pathway.

Treatment of 131c with water eventuates in an exothermic reaction that liberates hexamethyldisiloxane and generates the previously elusive cyclopropanesulfonic acid (132).


B. Nucleophilic

Chan and Massuda have relied on the ability of 2functionalized cyclopropylsilanes to undergo facile β elimination as the basis for a general cyclopropene synthesis.¹⁰⁴ Upon treating substrates such as 133 and 129 with cesium fluoride in diglyme under nitrogen at 25–80 °C, the cyclopropenes 134 and 135 are formed.




These highly reactive substances can be trapped in situ by suitable dienes such as 1,3-diphenylisobenzofuran.

Trihalide 136, prepared from α -bromovinyltrimethylsilane,¹⁰⁵ is converted to 137 when exposed to tetra-*n*-butylammonium fluoride in tetrahydrofuran at -20 °C.¹⁰⁶ This cyclopropene reacts readily with cyclopentadiene (80–90%) and 138; in the latter instance,




both regioisomers are formed. A convenient synthesis of 1H-cyclopropa[b]phenanthrene (139) has been developed therefrom.¹⁰⁶

Epoxide 124 undergoes clean monodesilylation with oxirane cleavage when heated with tetra-*n*-butylammonium fluoride in tetrahydrofuran.⁹⁸ All attempts to apply Peterson olefination chemistry¹⁰⁷ to β -hydroxy silane 140 under acidic and basic conditions¹⁰⁸ returned unreacted starting material. However, dicyclopropylideneethane (141) could be arrived at by reaction instead with thionyl chloride. This reagent induced allylic rearrangement to deliver a chloride whose elimination to give the target diene proceeds in essentially quantitative yield.⁹⁸




Heating 126 with anhydrous tetra-*n*-butylammonium fluoride and acetone in tetrahydrofuran solution at the reflux temperature generates pentadienyl anion 142,



which enters into regioselective electrophile capture at the cyclopropyl carbon atom to give 143a in order to avoid the development of methylenecyclopropene character.^{96,100} With phenyl cyanate as the coreagent, 143b (69%) and 143c (20%) result.⁹⁶ The high regioselectivity of this new method for carbon-carbon bond formation has been utilized for gaining access to the spirocyclic sesquiterpenes α -vetispirene, hinesol, and β -vetivone.


Despite the intrinsically greater acidity of protons attached to cyclopropane rings,¹⁰⁹ cyclopropanes carrying carbonyl, nitro, and sulfonyl groups exhibit markedly decreased equilibrium acidities relative to suitable acyclic models.¹¹⁰ This is because proton abstraction is accompanied by formation of an exocyclic π bond with a sharp enhancement of ring strain and chemical reactivity. The consequences of these influ-ences are dramatic. Thus, attempts to deprotonate ethyl cyclopropanecarboxylate^{111,112} and nitrocyclopropane¹¹² lead to dimeric and trimeric self-condensation and disproportionation products. Near 50 °C, the dianion of cyclopropanecarboxylic acid¹¹³ undergoes dimerization.¹¹⁴ Nevertheless, simple electronegatively substituted cyclopropanes are now available for use as basic synthetic building blocks by making recourse to fluoride ion-induced desilvlation of their α -(trimethylsilyl)-substituted congeners.¹¹⁵ The behavior of 144 and 145 is exemplary. The condensation of ketone



146 with aldehydes in the presence of benzyltri-

methylammonium fluoride delivers 147 and not 148 as the overwhelmingly favored product. Thus, proton transfer is not a serious side reaction during aldol condensation.¹¹⁵


The seviceable nature of this methodology is further reflected in the response of the more extended systems 62 and 118.¹¹⁵ Although the enolates obtained by de-




silylation of these substrates are certain to be less reactive due to enhanced charge delocalization, they enter usefully into aldol condensation with impressively high regioselectivity. The one restriction is that the electrophilic reagent be relatively inert toward direct reaction with F^- at 0 °C for short time periods.

V. Peterson Olefination Chemistry

This section concerns itself with the conversion of silylcyclopropane derivatives to alkylidene cyclopropanes. Earlier, the inability of 140 to undergo direct elimination with formation of 141 was discussed. Intermediate conversion to the rearranged chloride followed by treatment with fluoride ion did, however, proceed in the desired direction.⁹⁸ An analogous two-step sequence was employed by Misra to convert both diastereomers of 149 to the methylenecyclopropane analogues 150 of arachidonic acid.⁶⁸




Direct olefin formation from β -hydroxy silanes of this type appears to be highly dependent upon the specific substrate structure and the conditions used. Like 140, 151 has been recovered unchanged following exposure




to potassium hydride in THF or HMPT at temperatures up to 60 °C.⁶⁴ However, the desired alkylidene cyclopropane is attainable if an intermediate activation step is implemented as before.

The Peterson olefination has proven to be facile and reliable in a number of other instances. It is necessary only to stir the β -hydroxy silane with KH in THF or diglyme at room temperature for 1–20 h. A selection of examples is illustrated below.^{62,63b,64}

In some related chemistry, treatment of 44 with potassium *tert*-butoxide¹¹⁶ and benzophenone or acetophenone in anhydrous tetrahydrofuran results in essentially quantitative conversion to the yellow, crys-




talline methylenecyclopropa[b]naphthalenes 152.33



VI. Ring Cleavage Reactions


A. Acid-Promoted Processes

Although silylcyclopropanes show appreciable stability in the presence of a variety of chemical reagents, they do undergo facile ring opening when exposed to anhydrous hydrogen chloride in dichloromethane¹⁵ or carbon tetrachloride³⁰ at temperatures as low as -78 °C. Bicyclic systems 5 and 153 (as well as their endo isomers) experience regiospecific peripheral bond cleavage, the capture of chloride ion occurring with net inversion of configuration. A corner-protonated cyclopropane intermediate has been invoked.¹⁵ Further suggestion that carbocations were involved came from the response of 155, which was transformed into a 92:8 mixture of 156 and 157 under these conditions.




The conversion of 21 uniquely to 159 holds interest since it denotes that nucleophilic attack on an edgeprotonated intermediate such as 158 can occur at the silicon-substituted carbon atom if the other option involves an incipient primary carbocation. The situation with 22 is somewhat more complex in that the combined formation of 160 and 161 suggests that initial edge protonation is followed by a 1,2 shift of the trimethylsilyl group.¹⁵

The response of 5, 6, and 153 to the BF_{3} ·2HOAc reagent in carbon tetrachloride closely parallels, but is




not identical with, the reaction pathway described above.³⁰ Replacement of HCl by TiCl₄ also leads to analogous results. However, because ring cleavage with the latter reagent leads directly to organotitanium reagents of type 162, hydrolysis with D₂O results in the efficient introduction of a deuterium label α to silicon (viz., 163).¹⁵ The exclusive transannular pathway seen when 164 is similarly handled attests to electrophileinduced rupture of one of the flanking cyclopropane C–C bonds.




These findings allow an analogy to be drawn between silylcyclopropanes and vinylsilanes, in the sense that the electrophile most often becomes bonded to the silyl-substituted carbon atom in both situations, except where ring strain factors become too larger or, as in the case of 1 and 21, where C(2) and C(3) lack alkyl substituents.¹¹⁷

Bis(trimethylsilyl)bicyclo[n.1.0]alkanes also react with acids; substitution of one Me₃Si group and/or opening of the three-membered ring rapidly materialize.⁴¹ Ring size (i.e., the value of n) plays a very im-



portant role in directing the course of the reactions, probably for steric reasons. Thus, 41 and 165 react with HCl in the manner illustrated. Under these conditions, the n = 6 system is unreactive.


Heating of 105 at the reflux temperature with ptoluenesulfonic acid in benzene resulted in desilylative ring cleavage. In the n = 1 example, pure cyclopentylidene tosylate 166a (exocyclic double bond only)




was isolated. The cyclohexenyl analogue (n = 2) be-

haved comparably, except that a mixture of exo- and endocyclic isomers was formed. Clearly, cyclopropane ring expansion is not a viable mechanistic option.^{52,55}

Complete desilylation similarly results when gemdisilylcyclopropanes are treated with 2 equiv of the CH_3COCI ·AlCl₃ complex.⁴⁰ The response of 41 is more or less typical.




At a more prosaic level, mercuric salts in aqueous solution have been reported to act very slowly on 1 to give ring-opened products of type 167.¹¹⁸ With aluminum chloride in benzene, 168 is formed rather inef-



ficiently (18%).²¹ A remarkable feature of this pair of reactions is their contrasting regioselectivity. While 168 presumably results from cleavage in that direction that transiently positions the positive charge as remotely as possible from the trimethylsilyl group, 167 arises instead from a process that generates carbocation character α to silicon. The latter state of affairs is generally strongly destabilizing, a fact reflected in the relative rates of attack of HgX₂ on 1 which are substantially retarded relative to other substituted cyclopropanes.¹¹⁸

The parent silane 1 reacts with elemental bromine or Br_2 -AlBr₃ to give mixtures of 169-171.²² It is possible that 171 arises from initial substitution of Me₃Si by Br



and subsequent brominative ring cleavage. The formation of 169 is thought to result from the liberation of hydrogen bromide, ensuing protodesilylation, and ultimate cyclopropane ring scission.

Claims exist that 1 is completely stable to iodine and is unreactive toward boiling 48% hydrobromic acid and 1 N sodium hydroxide solutions.^{21,119}

B. Solvolytic Behavlor


Usually, a β -silicon atom, if properly aligned stereoselectronically, so strongly accelerates the departure of a leaving group that elimination results.¹²⁰ Exceptions to this general rule have been found in trimethylsilylsubstituted cyclopropane systems where elimination must necessarily generate a methylenecyclopropane product and introduce significant additional strain. The smooth, acid-catalyzed dehydration of 108 and related alcohols to give 1-(trimethylsilyl)cyclopropyl alkenes, the isomerization of allylic alcohol 113 under acidic conditions, the substitution reactions of acetal 115 in the presence of Lewis acids and of 129/130 in methanolic solutions of silver trifluoroacetate, and the boron trifluoride etherate promoted isomerization of 106 to 2-((1-trimethylsilyl)cyclopropyl)cycloalkanones (107) comprise a representative group of examples.

TABLE II. First-Order Rate Data for Solvolysis of 172a-174a in 2,2,2-Trifluoroethanol¹²¹


compd	ΔH^* , kcal/mol	ΔS^{*} , eu	$k_{ m ret}$
172a	21.0	-17.0	27
173 a	20.6	-18.1	25
174a	23.1	-10.0	45
cyclopropylcarbinyl	-	-	1

trifluoroacetate

This behavior had led to a kinetic investigation of the impact of the trimethylsilyl group on the solvolysis of trifluoroacetates 172a-174a.¹²¹ Table II summarizes




the good first-order kinetic data realized in 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol, a solvent employed to minimize the effect of external nucleophiles.¹²² At 50 °C, 172a was cleanly converted (CaCO₃ as buffer) to a mixture of 172b (20%), 175a (20%), and 175b (60%). The solvolysis mixture from 173a consisted of 173b (70%), the 1,3disubstituted cyclobutane 176 (20%), and a minor unidentified ester (<10%). For 174a, the major less volatile product is 177.¹²¹




Significantly, the rate enhancements produced by positioning a Me₃Si group at C(1) and C(2) of cyclopropylcarbinyl trifluoroacetate are appreciable (25- to 45-fold), although not excessively large as in cyclohexyl systems.¹²³ Methyl substituent effects under comparable circumstances result in only 5- to 11-fold acceleration.¹²⁴ Thus, additional acceleration is attainable when a trimethylsilyl group is present, presumably as a direct result of through-bond contributions.

The solvolytic rearrangement of 178 in ethanol solution containing potassium carbonate proceeds with orbital symmetry assistance to give 179.^{63a} On acidic




hydrolysis, this product leads conveniently to 2-(trimethylsilyl)acrolein. The norcarane derivative 180 behaves comparably to deliver 2-(trimethylsilyl)cycloheptenone (181).

Whereas 129, 130, and related bicyclo[4.1.0]heptanes undergo only substitution chemistry when treated with silver trifluoroacetate in methanol, ring expansion materializes in the case of 182 and 184. The later substrate gave 3-methoxycyclononyne (186) in 77%




yield, which likely results from further elimination of chlorotrimethylsilane from 185.¹⁰²

Reduction of 187 with methanolic sodium borohydride delivers 188 as a cis/trans isomer mixture. These alcohols are transformed in acetic acid containing perchloric acid (5%) into the ring-expanded acetoxy silane 189.¹²⁵ With peracetic acid, clean conversion to 190 is observed. Evidently, the first formed 189 experiences additional electrophilic attack at its double bond and desilylative ring openings. Tertiary alcohol 191



afforded only complex product mixtures when subjected to the above conditions. However, the ring-expanded allylsilane 192 is formed efficiently in the presence of boron trifluoride etherate in ether-acetic acid solution at -40 °C.¹²⁵


The cyclopropylcarbinol 151 experiences facile ring cleavage when reacted with phosphorus triiodide and triethylamine in dichloromethane at 0 °C.¹²⁶




C. Thermal Isomerizations

It is widely recognized^{127,128} that the thermal isomerization of cyclopropane and it monoalkyl derivatives leads to products arising from ring cleavage and subsequent nonregiospecific hydrogen migration. Where simple silylcyclopropanes such as 1, 20, and 193 are concerned, however, high yield conversion to allylsilanes is seen to result exclusively.^{21,29}


In his detailed kinetic investigation of the thermal behavior of *exo-* and *endo-5-*(trimethylsilyl)bicyclo-[2.1.0]pentanes, Ashe observed that 14 rearranges 60 times faster than 13 because of substantial ground state steric crowding in the endo derivative.¹⁷ The bicyclic isomers are not interconverted at 195 °C, but give rise exclusively to 3-(trimethylsilyl)cyclopentene (195) upon



raising the temperature to 235 °C. Consequently, no evidence was found for hydrogen atom migration within the common biradical intermediate 194. Furthermore, the 1,2-shift of Me₃Si must occur at least 10^6 times faster than the movement of hydrogen.




The attachment of electronegative heteroatoms (O, N, etc.) at C(1) of a vinylcyclopropane exerts a powerful accelerating effect on the rate of thermal isomerization to the cyclopentene.¹²⁹ The electropositive character of a pendant silyl group has the opposite effect.^{55,96,100,130} Nevertheless, the ring expansion of molecules such as **62**, **105**, **126**, and **197** is efficient at more elevated tem-



peratures. Since the product vinylsilanes are subject to the usual replacement of Me_3Si with various electrophiles, the overall process serves as a versatile cyclopentannulation technique for ketones. Additionally, this procedure delivers bicyclic vinylsilanes having the double bond invariably positioned at the more highly substituted site (see **196** and **198**). This regiochemistry is not attainable through application of the Shapiro reaction, while leads via kinetically controlled proton abstraction to the lesser substituted vinylsilane.²⁶⁻²⁸ As a result, the methodologies are usefully complementary.


The influence of the trimethylsilyl group in these thermal rearrangements is again reflected in the response of 199 to heat. This first example of intramolecular vinyl cyclopropane competition delivers 200 as the exclusive product.^{55,130} The end result indicates that the unsubstituted cyclopropane ring in 199 enters more




readily into the bond reorganization than does its trimethylsilyl-substituted counterpart.

VII. Configurational Properties of 1-(Trimethyisliyi)cyclopropyl Carbanions and Free Radicals

In an experimental study designed to probe the configurational stability of 1-(trimethylsilyl)cyclopropyl carbanions and free radicals, Paquette, Uchida, and Gallucci prepared the levorotatory carboxylic acid **201** by resolution via its *l*-cinchonidine salt.¹³¹ The absolute configuration of this substance was shown to be R by transformation into (-)-(R)-**202**, a molecule alternatively prepared in unequivocal fashion by metalation and silylation of (-)-(R)-**203**.¹³² Following conversion of (-)-(R)-**201** to (-)-(R)-**204**, this aldehyde was condensed with phenyllithium and oxidized with manganese dioxide to give (+)-(R)-**205**. Cleavage of this optically active phenyl ketone under Haller-Bauer conditions led to (-)-(R)-**206** with complete retention of stereochem-



istry. This conclusion was reached following the preparation of the optical antipodes of 207, X-ray analysis of the *l*-menthyl ester (208) of the dextrotatory enantiomer, and suitable conversion of (-)-207 to the identical levorotatory silane (-)-(R)-206.¹³¹



Since carbanions are known to intervene in Haller– Bauer cleavage reactions, the maintenance of full optical purity during the $205 \rightarrow 206$ conversion requires that a reasonable barrier to planarization exist in the reactive intermediate.


The situation is quite different when free radical species are involved. For example, various Hunsdiecker degradation conditions applied to (-)-(R)-201 invariably led to the isolation of racemic bromide.¹³¹ One reason why a neighboring Me₃Si group may be unable to stabilize a pyramidal configuration under these circumstances is because of steric congestion involving a nearby phenyl substituent. To rule out this possibility, the ¹³C-labeled compound 209 was prepared and



transformed into the free radical under conditions where ESR analysis was possible.¹³³ Whereas the spectrum showed $a^{^{13}C_{\alpha}} = 40.0 \text{ G}$, $a^{H_{\beta}}$ (4 H) = 27.0 G, and g = 2.0024, no $a^{^{29}\text{Si}}$ signal was observed and the a^{H} (SiMe₃) signal could not be resolved. Consequently, it is irrefutable that this free radical is essentially planar.


VIII. Silylated Cyclopropenes

To date, there has been very little work done in this area. The pair of isotopically labeled cyclopropenes 210a and 210b was prepared by photolysis of the cor-




responding labeled phenyl(trimethylsilyl)diazomethane in molten diphenylacetylene. When either substance was fluorodesilylated, the 1,2,3-triphenylcyclopropane obtained was labeled in close to the statistical ratio of 1:2. These findings indicate that pseudorotation in the derived cyclopropenyl anion must occur faster than protonation.³⁴

The naturally occurring cyclopropenone 212 has been conveniently prepared by addition of dichlorocarbene to 211. The intermediate adduct is sufficiently reactive that hydrolysis occurs during workup to give rise to 212 in moderate yield.¹³⁵




IX. Addendum


Section II. A. Whereas the addition of diazomethane to vinyltrimethylsilane at room temperature affords 3-(trimethylsilyl)-1-pyrazoline (213), (tri-



methylsilyl)diazomethane acts on ethylene at 55 °C to produce 1-(trimethylsilyl)-2-pyrazoline exclusively.¹³⁶ Thermal elimination of nitrogen from either heterocycle leads to cyclopropyltrimethylsilane (1, 35–48%), as well as allyltrimethylsilane and E- and Z-propenyltrimethylsilane. Metalation of methylenecyclopropane 214 with *n*butyllithium and trapping of the resulting allyl anions with Me₃SiCl gives rise to a mixture of the syn and anti products 215 and 216.¹³⁷




Section II. C. Cunico and Kuan have demonstrated the feasibility of preparing 1-(trimethylsilyl)cyclopropanol (217) by sequential silylation of the enolate




of acetyltrimethylsilane, modified Simmons-Smith cyclopropanation involving hot dioxane as solvent (no reaction otherwise), and finally transetherification in neat methanol containing potassium fluoride.¹³⁸

Section II. D. Quite suprisingly, efforts to dehalogenate 218 with magnesium in acetonitrile gave a mixture of the four possible stereoisomeric β -keto acid chlorides 219.¹³⁹ When the reducing agent was changed to zinc in boiling acetonitrile, there was produced instead a mixture of the four stereoisomeric dimers 220 and the pair of trimers 221 and 222 in a 2:1 ratio




(combined yield of 60%). The C_3 symmetry of 222 was easily recognized by ¹H NMR spectroscopy (one Me₃Si signal and only one ABM system).

Section III. 2-(Trialkylsilyl)cyclopropanones are reduced readily to their stereoisomeric cyclopropanols, which in turn undergo silylation without ring cleavage.¹⁴⁰ The more highly functionalized alcohol **223** reacts with trifluoroacetic anhydride with ejection of the tin substituent to give **224**.¹⁴⁰



Osmium tetraoxide catalyzed dihydroxylation of 225 produces 226 whose oxidation under Swern conditions leads to the silyl substituted tropolone 227 with loss of hydrogen bromide.¹⁴¹




Section IV. A. Acetylation of endo- and exo-(trimethylsilyl)-substituted bicyclo[n.1.0]alkanes (228) has




been studied using the $CH_3COCl/AlCl_3$ complex. The results demonstrate that the ultimate course of the reaction is dependent both upon ring size and substituent stereochemistry. Depending upon the structure of the reactant, either bicyclo or ethylenic ketones were formed in addition to chloro-substituted analogues.¹⁴²

Section VI. A. When mixed with an equivalent amount of trifluoroacetic acid at 0 °C, cyclopropanol 217 is completely converted to 229 within 15 min. Bromination of its trimethylsilyl ether was also examined.¹³⁸

Section VI. C. Upon flash vacuum photolysis at 625 °C, 1-(trimethylsiloxy)-1-(trimethylsilyl)cyclopropane




afforded mostly hexamethyldisiloxane and some *cis*and *trans*-1-(trimethylsiloxy)-3-(trimethylsilyl)propene. The 1-ethoxy derivatives behaves analogously. In contrast, comparable treatment of the acetoxy compound (230) leads via a different mechanistic pathway to 231.¹⁴³




Section VII. Reductive debromination of 1-bromo-1-(trimethylsilyl)cyclopropane-1-¹³C produced the labeled radical, the EPR spectrum of which confirmed the species to be planar or nearly so.¹⁴⁴

Section VIII. Cyclopropanation of bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene with diazomalonic esters affords adducts such as 232, which are desilylated to 2-cyclopropene-1,1-dicarboxylic esters by treatment with potassium fluoride/crown ether in acetonitrile.¹⁴⁵ Selective hydrolysis of the carbalkoxy groups can be realized with trimethylsilyl iodide.

Exposure of 232 to diazomethane for 1 week results in the formation of 233, which when irradiated undergoes nitrogen extrusion to deliver the bicyclobutane $234.^{145}$



Treatment of *cis*-1-iodo-3-chloro-1-propene derivative 235. available via trans addition of organometallic reagents to the trimethylsilylated propargyl alcohol followed by iodinolysis and chlorination, reacts with alkyllithiums at -78 °C to give the cyclopropene 236



with high efficiency. The reaction of 236 with 2 equiv of allylzinc bromide provided 237 in 92% yield after protonolysis. On exposure of 236 to diisobutylaluminum hydride, conversion to 238 (> 98% isomeric purity) resulted. With lithium aluminum hydride as reducing agent, a 70:30 mixture of 238 and 239 was formed in 95% yield.146

X. References and Notes

- (1) Paquette, L. A. Science 1982, 217, 793 and references cited therein.
- Paquette, L. A. Isr. J. Chem. 1981, 21, 128
- Seyferth, D.; Cohen, H. M. Inorg. Chem. 1962, 1, 913. Schöllkopf, U.; Scholz, H.-U. Synthesis 1976, 271. (4)
- Lappert, M. F.; Lorbeth, J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1967, 836. (5)
- Seyferth, D.; Dow, A. W.; Menzel, H.; Flood, T. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 90, 1080.
 Consult also: (a) Connolly, J. W.; Urry, G. J. Org. Chem.
 1964, 29, 619. (b) Connolly, J. W. J. Organomet. Chem. 1968, (6)
- (7)

11, 429. (c) Kaufmann, K. D.; Auräth, B.; Träger, P.; Ruhl-mann, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1968, 4973. (d) Brook, A. G.; Jones, P. F. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1969, 1324. (e) Brook, A. G.; Jones, P. F. Can. J. Chem. 1969, 47, 4353.

- (8)Barton, T. J.; Hoekman, S. K. Synth. React. Inorg. Met.-Org. Chem. 1979, 9, 297.
- (9)Martin, M. Synth. Commun. 1983, 13, 809.
- Kreeger, R. L.; Schechter, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1975, 2061.
 Chapman, O. L.; Chang, C. C.; Kolc, J.; Jung, M. E.; Lowe, J. A.; Barton, T. J.; Tumey, M. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 7844.
- (12) Chedekel, M. R.; Skoglund, M.; Kreeger, R. L.; Schechter, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 7846.
- (13) Hazeldine, R. N.; Scott, D. L.; Tipping, A. E. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1974, 1440.
- Olofson, R. A.; Hoskin, D. H.; Lotts, K. D. Tetrahedron Lett. (14)1978. 1677
- (15)
- Daniels, R. G.; Paquette, L. A. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 2901. Taylor, R. T.; Paquette, L. A. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 242. (16)
- (17) Ashe, A. J., III J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 818.
- Skell, P. S.; Goldstein, E. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1964, 86, 1442. (18)(19) Baird, M. S.; Buxton, S. R.; Mitra, M. Tetrahedron Lett.
- 1982, 2701 (20) Dolgii, I. E.; Meschcheryakov, A. P.; Gaivoronskaya, G. K. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim. 1963, 1111.
- (21) Mironov, V. F.; Shelukyakov, V. D.; Shcherbinin, V. V.; Vik-torov, E. A. Zhm. Obshch. Khim. 1975, 45, 1796.
- (22)Grignon-Dubois, M.; Dunoguès, J.; Calas, R. Synthesis 1976, 737
- (23) Grignon-Dubois, M.; Dunoguès, J.; Calas, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1976, 1197.
- (24) Grignon-Dubois, M.; Pillot, J.-P.; Dunoguès, J.; Duffant, N.; Calas, R. J. Organomet. Chem. 1977, 124, 135. Davies, A. G.; Hawari, J. A.-A.; Grignon-Dubois, M.; Pereyre,
- (25)
- M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1983, 255, 29.
 (a) Taylor, R. T.; Degenhardt, C. R.; Melega, W. P.; Paquette, L. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1977, 159.
 (b) Paquette, L. A.; Fristad, W. E.; Dime, D. S.; Bailey, T. S. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 15 (2000) (26)45, 3017
- (27) Chan, T. H.; Baldassarre, A.; Massuda, D. Synthesis 1976, 801.
- (28)Chamberlin, A. R.; Stemke, J. W.; Bond, F. T. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 147
- (29) Sakurai, H.; Hosomi, A.; Kumada, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 301.
- (30)Ahra, M.; Grignon-Dubois, M.; Dunoguès, J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1984, 271, 15.
- (31)
- Sternberg, E.; Binger, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 301. (a) Eaborn, C.; Eidenschink, R.; Harris, S. J.; Walton, D. R. (32)M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1977, 124, C27. (b) Eaborn, C. Ibid. 1980, 192, 155.
- (33)Halton, B.; Randall, C. J.; Stang, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 6108.
- Donskaya, N. A.; Akhachinskaya, T. V.; Leonova, T. V.; Schulishov, E. V.; Shabarov, Yu. S. Zh. Org. Khim. 1980, 16, (34)563.
- Akhachinskaya, T. V.; Bakhbukh, M.; Grishin, Yu. K.; Don-skaya, N. A.; Ustynyuk, Yu. A. Zh. Org. Khim. 1978, 14, 2317. Tamao, K.; Nakajima, T.; Kumada, M. Organometallics (35)
- (36)1984, 3, 1655.
- (37) Robinson, L. R.; Burns, G. T.; Barton, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 3935. Nakadaira, Y.; Otsuka, T.; Sakurai, H. Tetrahedron Lett.
- (38)1981, 2417, 2421.
- (39) For detailed spectral analyses of this class of compounds, consult: (a) Grignon-Dubois, M.; Laguerre, M.; Barbe, B.; Pétraud, M. Organometallics 1984, 3, 359. (b) Grignon-Dubois, M.; Laguerre, M.; Barbe, B.; Pétraud, M. Ibid. 1984, 3, 1060. (c) Grignon-Dubois, M.; Marchand, A.; Dunoguès, J. Barbe, B.; Pétraud, M. J. Organometl. Chem. 1984, 272, 19.
- (40) Laguerre, M.; Grignon-Dubois, M.; Dunoguès, J. Tetrahedron 1981, 37, 1161.
- (41) Grignon-Dubois, M.; Ahra, M. J. Organometal. Chem. 1985, 280, 313
- Grignon-Dubois, M.; Dunoguês, J.; Calas, R. Can. J. Chem. (42)1980, 58, 291. Oku, A.; Yoshiura, N.; Okuda, T. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 617. Seyferth, D.; Duncan, D. P. J. Organomet. Chem. 1980, 187,
- (43)
- (44)
- (45) Lau, P. W. K.; Chan, T. H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1978, 2383. (46)Lithiomethylenecyclopropane condenses with aldehydes and ketones at the ring position to give the lesser strained alco-hols: (a) Thomas, E. W. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 1467. (b) Leandri, G.; Monti, H.; Bertrand, M. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1974, 3015. (c) Akhachinskaya, T. V.; Bakhbukh, M.; Grishin, Yu. K.; Donskaya, N. A.; Ustynyuk, Y. A. Zh. Org. Khim. 1978, 14, 2139.
- Fleming, I.; Floyd, C. D. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1981, (47)

- (48) Seyferth, D.; Hanson, E. M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1971, 27, 10.
- (49) (a) Schöllkopf, U.; Rieber, N. Angew. Chem. 1967, 79, 906.
 (b) Schöllkopf, U.; Hoppe, D.; Rieber, N.; Jacobi, V. Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1969, 730.
- (a) Trost, B. M.; Keeley, D. E.; Arndt, H. C.; Rigby, J. H.;
 Bogdanowicz, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 3080. (b)
 Trost, B. M.; Keeley, D. E.; Arndt, H. C.; Bodanowicz, M. J. *Ibid.* 1977, 99, 3088. (c) Trost, B. M.; Jungheim, L. M. *Ibid.*1980, 102, 7910. (50)
- (51) Cunico, R. F., unpublished results.
 (52) Paquette, L. A.; Horn, K. A.; Wells, G. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 259
- (53) Chan, T. H.; Mychajlowskij, W.; Ong, B. S.; Harpp, D. N. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 1526.
 (54) (a) Gröbel, B.-T.; Seebach, D. Angew. Chem. 1974, 86, 102. (b) Chan, T. H.; Mychajlowskij, W. Tetrahedron Lett. 1974, 479.
- (55) Paquette, L. A.; Wells, G. J.; Horn, K. A.; Yan, T.-H. Tetrahedron 1983, 39, 913.
- (56) Wells, G. J.; Yan, T.-H.; Paquette, L. A. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49. 3604.
- 49, 3604.
 (57) Sawada, S.; Inoue, Y. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1969, 42, 2669.
 (58) (a) Cohen, T.; Daniewski, W. M.; Weisenfeld, R. B. Tetrahedron Lett. 1978, 4665. (b) Cohen, T.; Weisenfeld, R. B. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 3601. (c) Cohen, T.; Gapinski, R. E.; Hutchins, R. Ibid. 1979, 44, 3599. (d) Screttas, C. G.; Micha-Screttas, M. Ibid. 1979, 44, 713; 1978, 43, 1064.
 (59) (a) Cohen, T.; Matz, J. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 6900; Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 2455. (b) Matz, J. R.; Cohen, T. Ibid. 1979, 44, 3459.
- Ibid. 1981, 2459.
- Tanaka, K.; Uneme, H.; Matsui, S.; Tanikaga, R.; Kaji, A. Chem. Lett. 1980, 287. (60)
- (61) (a) Cohen, T.; Daniewski, W. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1978, 2991. (b) Cohen, T.; Weisenfeld, R. B.; Gapinski, R. E. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 4744. (c) Cohen, T.; Matz, J. R. Ibid.
- 1979, 44, 4816.
 (62) Cohen, T.; Sherbine, J. P.; Matz, J. R.; Hutchins, R. R.; McHenry, B. M.; Willey, P. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 2017.
- (63) (a) Hiyama, T.; Kanakura, A.; Yamamoto, H.; Nozaki, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1978, 3047. (b) Hiyama, T.; Kanakura, A.; Morizawa, Y.; Nozaki, H. Ibid. 1982, 1279.
 (64) Halazy, S.; Dumont, W.; Krief, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981,
- 4737.
- (65) Seyferth, D.; Burlitch, J. M.; Minasz, R. J.; Mui, J. Y.-P.; Simmons, H. D., Jr.; Trieber, A. J. H.; Dows, S. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1965, 87, 4529.
- A convenient preparation of this dibromide by double Hunsdiecker degradation has recently been disclosed: Blankenship, C.; Paquette, L. A. Synth. Commun. 1984, 14, (66)983
- (67) (a) Warner, P. M.; Le, D. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 893. (b) Ainsworth, C.; Kuo, Y. N. J. Organomet. Chem. 1973, 46, 73.
 (68) Misra, R. N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 1973.
- (69)
- Walborsky, H. M.; Impastato, F. J.; Young, A. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1964, 86, 3283.
- (70) Weber, A.; Neuenschwander, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1981, 20, 774.
 (71) Cohen, T.; Sherbine, J. P.; Mendelson, S. A.; Myers, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 2965.
 (72) Ager, D. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 95.
 (73) Heinshen P. Marrison D. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. C.
- (73) Harirchian, B.; Magnus, P. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1977, 522

- (74) Peterson, D. J. J. Org. Chem. 1968, 33, 780.
 (75) Gilman, H.; Webb, F. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1940, 62, 987.
 (76) Compare: Braun, M.; Seebach, D. Chem. Ber. 1976, 109, 669.
 (77) (a) Schweizer, E. E.; Berninger, C. J.; Thompson, J. G. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 33, 336. (b) Friedrich, K.; Henning, H.-G. Chem. Ber. 1959, 92, 2756.
- (78) Bloch, R.; Denis, J. M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1975, 90, C9.
 (79) Lage, H. W.; Reisenauer, H. P.; Maier, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 3893.
 (20) (C) State Content of Conte
- (80) (a) Cudlin, J.; Chvalovsky, V. Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 1962, 27, 1658. (b) Cudlin, J.; Chvalovsky, V. Ibid. 1963, 28, 3088; (c) Cudlin, J.; Schraml, J.; Chvalovsky, V. Ibid. 1964, 29, 1476.
- 1013. 1904, 29, 1476.
 (81) Seyferth, D.; Dertouzos, H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1968, 11, 263. See also: Weber, A.; Sabbioni, G.; Galli, R.; Neuenschwander, M. Chimia 1981, 35, 57.
 (82) Seyferth, D.; Jula, T. F.; Dertouzos, H.; Pereyre, M. J. Organometal. Chem. 1968, 11, 63.
 (83) Müller, R.; Müller, W. Chem. Ber. 1965, 98, 2916.
 (84) Seyferth, D.; Dertouzos, H.; Suzuki, R.; Mui, J. Y.-P. J. Org. Chem. 1967, 32, 2980.

- (85)
- Chem. 1967, 32, 2980. Miller, R. B. Synth. Commun. 1974, 4, 341. Sabbioni, G.; Weber, A.; Galli, R.; Neuenschwander, M. Chimia 1981, 35, 95. (86)
- Cooke, F.; Magnus, P.; Bundy, G. L. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1978, 714. (87)

- (88) Shen, Y.; Gu, Z.; Ding, W.; Huang, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 4425.
- (89) Baukov, Yu. I.; Lutsenko, I. F. Organomet. Chem. Rev. 1970, 6,355
- (90) (a) Zaitseva, G. S.; Bogdanova, G. S.; Baukov, Yu. I.; Lutsenko, I. F. J. Organomet. Chem. 1976, 121, C21. nb) Zaitseva, G. S.; Bogdanova, G. S.; Baukov, Yu. I.; Lutsenko, I. F. Zh. Obshch. Khim. 1978, 48, 131.
- (91) Zaitseva, G. S.; Krylova, G. S.; Perelygina, O. P.; Baukov, Yu. I.; Lutsenko, I. F. Zh. Obshch. Khim. 1981, 51, 2252.
 (92) Turro, N. J. Acc. Chem. Res. 1969, 2, 25.
- (92) Turro, N. J. Acc. Chem. Res. 1969, 2, 25.
 (93) (a) Crandall, J. K.; Machleder, W. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 7347. (b) Camp, R. L.; Greene, F. D. Ibid. 1968, 90, 7349. (c) Pazos, J. F.; Greene, F. D. Ibid. 1967, 89, 1030.
 (94) Perelygina, O. P.; Zaitseva, S. G.; Baukov, Yu. I. Zh. Obshch. Khim. 1984, 54, 2643.
 (95) Dumont, W.; Krief, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1977, 16, 540.
- 540.
- (96) Paquette, L. A.; Yan, T.-H.; Wells, G. J. J. Org. Chem. 1977, **49,** 3610.
- Wickham, G.; Wells, G. J.; Waykole, L.; Paquette, L. A. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 3485. Paquette, L. A.; Wells, G. J.; Wickham, G. J. Org. Chem. (97)
- (98) 1984, 49, 3610.
- (99) Köbrich, G.; Merkel, D. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1972, 761, 50.
- Yan, T.-H.; Paquette, L. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 3227. (100)
- (101) Sorensen, T. S.; Rauk, A. In Pericyclic Reactions; Marchand, A. P., Lehr, R. E., Eds.; Academic: New York, 1977; Vol. II,
- (102) Îshihara, T.; Kudaka, T.; Ando, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 4765.
- (103) Grignon-Dubois, M.; Dunoguès, J.; Calas, R. J. Chem. Res., Miniprint 1979, 379. (104) Chan, T. H.; Massuda, D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1975, 3383.
- (105) Ottolenghi, A.; Fridkin, M.; Fridkin, Z. Can. J. Chem. 1963, 41. 2977
- (106) Billups, W. E.; Lin, L.-J.; Arney, B. E., Jr.; Rodin, W. A.; Casserly, E. W. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 3935.
 (107) D. J. J. Commun. Commun. 1972, 7, 295
- (107) Peterson, D. J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1972, 7, 295.
 (108) Colvin, E. W. Silicon in Organic Synthesis; Butterworths: London, 1981; Chapter 12.
- (109) Cram, D. J. Fundamentals of Carbanion Chemistry; Academic: New York, 1965; Chapter 1.
- (110) Bordwell, F. G.; Vanier, N. R.; Matthews, W. S.; Hendrick-
- (110) Bordwen, P. Kuj, Valley, R. I., Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 7160.
 (111) Pinnick, H. W.; Chang, Y.-H.; Foster, S. C.; Govindan, M. J. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 4505.
 (112) (a) Kai, Y.; Knochel, P.; Kwiatkowski, S.; Dunitz, J. D.; Oth, J. F. M.; Seebach, D.; Kalinowski, H.-O. Helv. Chim. Acta 1969, 65 167 (b) Margaret M. J. D. 2000, 65 162 66
- 1982, 65, 137. (b) Wagner, H.-U.; Boche, G. Ibid. 1983, 66, 842.
- (113) (a) Ainsworth, C.; Kuo, Y. N. J. Organomet. Chem. 1973, 46, 73. (b) Warner, P. M.; De, D. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 893.
 (114) Jahngen, E. G. E.; Phillips, D.; Kobelski, R. J; Demko, D. M.
- J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 2472.
- (115) Paquette, L. A.; Blankenship, C.; Wells, G. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 6442.
- (116) With dry tetra-n-butylammonium fluoride, 44 experiences desilylation to the unsubstituted cyclopropanaphthalene.

- (117) For additional examples, consult: ref 24 and Grignon-Dubois, M.; Dunoguès, J.; Calas, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 2883.
 (118) Dolgii, I. E.; Meshcheryakov, A. P.; Shvedova, I. B. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim. 1965, 192.
 (119) Glizerman, V. I.; Nikitin, V. S.; Egorochnin, A. N.; Shelu-dyakov, V. D.; Shcherbinin, V. V.; Viktorov, N. A.; Mironov, V. F. Zh. Okabak Khim. 1975. 45, 1007. V. F. Zh. Obshch. Khim. 1975, 45, 1907.
- (120) Jarvie, A. W. P. Organomet. Chem. Rev., Sect. A 1970, 6, 153.
- (121) De Lucca, G.; Paquette, L. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 4931.
 (122) Schadt, F. L.; Bentley, T. W.; Schleyer, P. v. R. J. Am. Chem.
- Soc. 1976, 98, 7667.
- (123) Lambert, J. B.; Finzel, R. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 2020.
- (124) Schleyer, P. v. R.; Van Dine, G. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1966, 88, 2321.
- Magnus, P.; Sarkar, T. private communication. (125)
- (126)Denis, J. N.; Krief, A. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1983, 229
- (127) Breslow, R. In Molecular Rearrangements; de Mayo, P., Ed.
- Interscience: New York, 1968, Part I. Grant, R. C. S.; Swinbowme, E. S. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1966, 620. (128)
- A listing of relevant literature citations can be found in ref (129)55.
- (130) Paquette, L. A.; Wells, G. J.; Horn, K. A.; Yan, T.-H. Tetra-hedron Lett. 1982, 263.
- Paquette, L. A.; Uchida, T.; Gallucci, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 335. (131)
- (132)Walborsky, H. M.; Banks, R. B.; Banks, M. L. A.; Duraisamy, M. Organometallics 1982, 1, 667.

- (133) Paquette, L. A.; Hoppe, M.; Johnston, L. J.; Ingold, K. U. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1986, 411.
 (134) Koser, H. G.; Renzoni, G. E.; Borden, W. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 6359.
 (135) Bohlmann, F.; Jakupovic, J.; Muller, L.; Schuster, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1981, 20, 292.
 (136) Conlin, R. T.; Kwak, Y.-W. J. Organometal. Chem. 1985, 293, 177.
- 177.
- (137) Akhachinskaya, T. V.; Donskaya, N. A.; Abyanova, L. F.; Shabarov, Y. S. Zh. Org. Khim. 1985, 21, 1029.
 (138) Cunico, R. F.; Kuan, C.-P. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 5410.

- (139) Wulff, J.-M.; Hoffmann, H. M. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1985, 24, 605.
 (140) Zatseva, G. S.; Novikova, O. P.; Baukov, Y. I. Zh. Obshch. Khim. 1985, 55, 907.
 (141) Banwell, M. G.; Onrust, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 4543.
 (142) Ahra, M.; Grignon-Dubois, M. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1985, 820.
 (143) Cunico, R. F. Organometallics 1985, 4, 2176.
 (144) Paquette, L. A.; Hoppe, M.; Johnston, L. J.; Ingold, K. U. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 411.
 (145) Maier, G.; Wolf, B. Synthesis 1985, 871.
 (146) Stoll, A. T.; Negishi, E. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 5671.