Multiply Bonded Germanium Species. Recent Developments

JACQUES BARRAU,* JEAN ESCUDIE, and JACQUES SATGE

Laboratoire de Chimie des Organominéraux, UA 477 du C.N.R.S., Université Paul Sabatier, 118 route de Narbonne, 31062 Toulouse Cedex, France

Received June 26, 1989 (Revised Manuscript Received November 20, 1989)

Contents

/. Introduction

It was conventional wisdom for many years that compounds featuring double bonds between heavier main-group elements would not be stable because of weak $p\pi-p\pi$ bonding. Such a view is sometimes referred to as the "classical double-bond rule".¹ Due to many unsuccessful attempts to synthesize such species and to the assumptions of theoreticians,¹ this field lay dormant until the 1970s.

In the 1960s, transient molecules with double bonds between the heavier group 14 and 15 elements were detected spectroscopically or implicated from trapping experiments. The breakthrough in this field was the isolation in 1981 of the first stable compounds with $P=$ P, Si $=$ C, and Si $=$ Si double bonds. Researchers understood from these successful syntheses that oligomerization would be thwarted if sufficiently large ligands were utilized. Interestingly, despite the requisite steric blockades, kinetically stabilized compounds undergo a wide variety of chemical reactions at the double bond. Recent reviews have summarized this field.²⁻⁹

In the case of germanium, many studies have been conducted on transient and stable compounds of the type $>$ Ge=X (X = C<, Ge<, N-, P-, O, S, Se, transition metal). In 1984 the first stable multiply bonded germanium compound was synthesized in solution $(Ge=Ge).$ ¹⁰

The work on doubly bonded germanium derivatives reported before 1982 has been reviewed by Satge⁶ and will be briefly summarized in this paper. The reader should refer to Satge's review for additional details.

The synthetic routes, physicochemical studies, and reactivity of $>Ge=X$ derivatives described in the present review have been particularly developed since 1982 and will be presented in the following order: >Ge=C< (germenes), >Ge=Ge< (digermenes), >Ge=N- (germanimines), >Ge=P- (germaphosphenes), $>Ge=O$ and $>Ge=S(Se)$ (germanones and germanethi(selen)ones), and $> Ge=Mn$.

//. Ge=C Species: Germenes

(1) Transient Germenes

Germenes 1, species containing a $p\pi$ - $p\pi$ double bond between germanium and carbon, are among the most intensively investigated topics in germanium chemistry. To briefly summarize the different routes to transient germenes, it is noted that the three most important are (1) the thermal decomposition of bicycles 2^{11} (Diels-Alder adducts of germacyclohexadienes with alkynes), (2) the photolysis or pyrolysis of (trimethylgermyl) diazomethanes 3¹²⁻¹⁴ with methyl migration from germanium to carbon, and (3) a direct interaction between a germylene 4 and a carbene generated in situ.¹⁵ Other routes have also been described, such as the thermolysis of $PhOGe(R_2)CH=CH_2$,¹⁶ the reaction between cyclopentadienylchlorodiethylgermane and a phosphorus ylide,¹⁷ or the reaction between [bis(trimethylsilyl) bromomethyl]lithium and dimethyldichlorogermane.¹⁸ However, in the last case, another process not involving a germene intermediate is considered more likely (Scheme 1).

Jacques Barrau was born in 1942 in Toulouse, France. He received his "3rd cycle" degree (Ph.D.) in 1969 and his Doctorat d'Etat (Habilitation) in organic chemistry in 1973 from the University of Toulouse for research undertaken with Professor J. Satgé on heterocycles and divalent M_{14} species ($M_{14} = Ge$, Sn). He is currently Associate Professor at the University of Toulouse. In 1987-1988 he was Visiting Professor at The University of Texas at Austin in the laboratory of Professor J. Michl, working on the matrix isolation of reactive germanium-containing intermediates. His main research interests include the chemistry of intermediates containing divalent and $p\pi$ -p π -bonded group 14 metals (with emphasis on germanium species) and the chemistry of polynuclear heterocycles having $C-M_T-M_{14}$ linkages.

Jacques Satge was born in Gaillac, France, in 1929. He received his Doctorat d'Etat (Habilitation) in chemistry in 1961 from the University of Toulouse. He became full Professor in 1971 in the organic chemistry chair of Paul Sabatier University, Toulouse (1979). Professor Satge's research interests include the organometallic chemistry of group 14 elements (germanium, silicon, tin, lead), group 15 elements (nitrogen, phosphorus, arsenic), and also sulfur, boron, and transition-metal complexes, with emphasis on the synthesis, reactivity, physical chemistry, and application of organometallic species in low coordination states. Professor J. Satgé is Director of C.N.R.S. associate laboratory UA 477, is a laureate of the C.N.R.S., the French Chemical Society, and the French Academy of Sciences, and is a member of the National Comity of Universities.

Jean Escudie was born in 1946 in Decazeville, France. He received his "3rd cycle" degree (Ph.D.) in 1970 and his Doctorat d'Etat (Habilitation) in 1978 from Paul Sabatier University in Toulouse, working with Professor J. Satgé on germanium chemistry. In 1979 he joined Dietmar Seyferth's group for a 1-year period as a postdoctoral fellow at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, where he worked on silacyclopropanes and disilacyclobutanes. Since leaving Professor Seyferth's group he has been studying low-coordinated species of main-group elements such as M=M': $(M, M' = B, C, Si, Ge, Sn, P, As)$. He is currently Directeur de Recherche at the C.N.R.S. in Toulouse.

SCHEME 1

These transient germenes were trapped in situ with alcohols, dienes, aldehydes, nitrones, and nitrosobenzene.¹⁵ In the absence of trapping reagents, the formation of dimers was observed.

A transient germabenzene was postulated by Märkl¹⁹ as an intermediate formed during the dehydrobromination of the corresponding germacyclohexa-2,4-diene, and its dimerization or Diels-Alder product has been characterized. These various routes, which allowed the first evidence of the formation of transient germenes, and some other new methods have been developed since 1982 and are described below.

The formation of germene 6²⁰ was demonstrated by in situ trapping with methanol in the photolysis of the digermirane 5 (eq 1). The formation of the products 8 and 9 in good yields clearly indicates that digermirane 5 is fragmented into the corresponding germene 6 and germylene 7. While germylene 7 reacts efficiently with butadiene and methanol, germene 6 did not react with the butadiene and undergoes polymerization. Note,

$$
Ar_{2}Ge\frac{CH_{2}}{s}
$$
\n
$$
Ar = \bigotimes
$$
\n
$$
Ar_{2}Ge = CH_{2} + Ar_{2}Ge:]
$$
\n
$$
Ar_{2}Ge = CH_{2} + Ar_{2}Ge:]
$$
\n
$$
Ar_{2}GeOMe + Ar_{2}GeOMe
$$
\n
$$
Ar_{2}GeOMe + Ar_{2}GeOMe
$$
\n
$$
Ar_{2}GeOMe + Ar_{2}GeOMe
$$
\n
$$
H_{2}S
$$
\n
$$
a_{2}S
$$

however, that germenes obtained by routes other than irradiation give good yields of cycloadducts with dienes (see below).

Generation of a transient germene 10^{21} was postulated in the reaction of $(Me_3Si)_3CGePh_2Cl$ with cesium fluoride. Surprisingly, this reaction provides, in good yield, 12, which was characterized by X-ray analysis. It is assumed that the precursor of 12 is the germene 10, which because of steric hindrance, cannot undergo cyclodimerization and loses a Me₃Si group to give a second transient germene 11 followed by cyclodimerization to give 12 (eq 2).

\n
$$
\text{CsF} + (\text{Me}_3 \text{Si})_3 \text{C} \longrightarrow \text{GePh}_2 \text{Cl} \longrightarrow \text{[(Me}_3 \text{Si})_2 \text{C} \longrightarrow \text{GePh}_2 \longrightarrow 10
$$
\n

\n\n $\text{[Me}_3 \text{SiCH} \longrightarrow \text{GePh}_2] \longrightarrow \text{Me}_3 \text{SiCH} \longrightarrow \text{GePh}_2 \quad (2)$ \n

\n\n $\text{11} \longrightarrow \text{Me}_3 \text{Ge} \longrightarrow \text{CHSiMe}_3$ \n

\n\n 12 \n

The problem of how the $Me₃Si$ group undergoes exchange with a proton remains unresolved. In contrast, the transient silicon analogue of 10, $(Me_3Si)_2C=SiPh_2$, undergoes a 1,3-migration of a methyl group, followed by C-H insertion at the ortho positions of the phenyl substituent (eq 3).

The formation of the transient germene $\text{Me}_2\text{Ge}=CH_2$ $(13)^{23}$ has been observed in attempts to synthesize the four-membered-ring oxagermetane $Me₂Ge-CH₂-O CH₂$ (Scheme 2). Classical routes to oxetane, when applied to the dihalogenated germane 14, led to germoxane 15 instead of the expected cyclic product. An elimination reaction, with formation of formaldehyde and germene 13, followed by a $[2 + 2]$ cycloaddition leading to germaoxetane 16 and a retro $[2 + 2]$ reaction are postulated in order to account for this result. Evidence of the transient formation of 13 comes from its trapping with water or ethanol to give 17 and 18 (Scheme 2).

The formation of transient digermene $Et_2Ge=GeEt_2$ and germene $Et_2Ge=CHPh$ has been invoked in the pyrolysis of a digerma-l,2-cyclohexene in the presence of benzaldehyde (see section III.l).

Recently, the transient germene $Me₂Ge=C(SiMe₃)₂$ $(19)^{24}$ has been obtained by Wiberg following thermal elimination of LiX (between -110 and $+100$ °C, depending on X) from 20. In the absence of suitable trapping reagents the dimer 21 is obtained (eq 4). Note

$$
2Me_{2}Ge - C(SiMe_{3})_{2} \xrightarrow{-R_{1}C} 2Me_{2}Ge - C(SiMe_{3})_{2} \xrightarrow{-2L_{1}X} 2Me_{2}Ge - C(SiMe_{3})_{2} \xrightarrow{\text{Me}_{2}C} 2O
$$
\n
$$
[Me_{2}Ge = C(SiMe_{3})_{2}] \xrightarrow{\text{Me}_{2}G} \xrightarrow{\text{Me}_{2}G} C(SiMe_{3})_{2}
$$
\n
$$
[Me_{3}Si_{2}C - GeMe_{2}] \xrightarrow{\text{Me}_{3}G} (4)
$$

 $X = F$, Br, OMe, OPh, OC₆F₅, OC₆H₃Cl₂, SPh, Ph₂PO₄, Ph₂PO₂

that the chemical behaviors of 10 and 19 are completely different, since 19 easily dimerizes, whereas 10, substituted on germanium by two phenyl groups, which are slightly bulkier than the methyl group (as in the case of 19), cannot dimerize and instead loses a trimethylsilyl group.²¹ Germene 19 has been thoroughly characterized by its chemical reactivity: both by insertion into the $O-H$ bond of alcohols^{25a} and by ene reactions.^{24,25a} Various cycloadditions^{24,25} have also been observed: $[2]$ $+$ 2] cycloadditions are obtained with the C=C bond of $CH₂=CHOMe^{25a}$ and the C=O bond of ketones,^{25a} $[2 + 3]$ cycloadducts with azides²⁵ and $N_2O²⁵$ and $[2 + 3]$ 4] cycloadducts with dienes. However, in the case of dimethylbutadiene, a competition occurs between ene reaction and cycloaddition^{24,25a} (Scheme 3). The fivemembered-ring derivatives 23 obtained by cycloaddition of azides are good precursors of germanimines $($ >Ge= N-). Most of the reactions of germenes are regiospecific, the first step probably being the nucleophilic attack on germanium^{25a} (Scheme 3).

In the case of benzophenone, both $[2 + 2]$ and $[2 +$ 4] cycloaddition occur, but $[2 + 2]$ cycloaddition is predominant (83%). The adduct 22 can be considered as a "store" for germene 19 since it decomposes very easily to the germene and benzophenone by thermal cycloreversion (eq 5).^{25a}

$$
Me2Ge-C(SiMe3)2 \n-CPh
$$
\n
$$
Me2Ge=C(SiMe3)2 \n+ O=CPh2 \nMe2Ge-C(SiMe3)2 \nMe2Ge-C(SiMe3)2 \n+ O=CPh2 \n+ O=CPh2
$$

By comparison with the silaethene $Me₂Si=C(SiMe₃)₂$, 19 is less Lewis acidic and its double bond is less po- $\ar{25a}$ as predicted by calculations.²⁶

Thermolysis, pyrolysis, and photolysis of various heterocycles such as thiagermetane $Me₂Ge-CH₂-S \rm CH_2$, 27,28 dithiagermolane Me₂Ge–CH₂–S–S–CH₂, 28 2,4- ${\rm digermetane}~{\rm Me}_2\overline{\rm Ge-CH_2-GeMe_2-O}, ^{29}$ 2,4-digermathietane $\rm{Me}_{2}Ge{\rm{-}}CH_{2}$ – $\rm{GeMe}_{2}{\rm{-}}S,^{30,31}$ and thiagermetane dioxide $\text{Me}_2\overline{\text{Ge}-\text{CH}_2\text{-}\text{SO}_2\text{-}\text{CH}_2}^{28}$ lead to both germenes $\rm Me_2Ge{=}\rm CH_2$ and germanones $\rm Me_2Ge{=}\rm O$, germanethiones Me₂Ge=S, or germanesulfenes Me₂Ge=SO₂. Germenes and germanethiones have also been characterized32,33 in the reaction of dimethylgermylene

 $R = H$, Me, $CMe = CH₂$

 $(Me₂Ge)$ (generated from germanorbornadiene) and adamantanethione. AU these reactions leading to both germenes and germanethiones are described in section VI.

Evidence for germenes and germabenzenes has been obtained by physicochemical methods. The 1 methylenemetallacyclobutenes 24³⁴ for example are observed from precursors 25 (eq 6). In the mass spectra

of these spiro compounds of silicon and germanium, a relatively abundant fragment ion $[M - C_4H_8]^+$ appears. In view of the well-established cleavage of silacyclobutanes into silenes and ethylene³⁵ under electron impact conditions, an analogous cleavage can be suggested in this case leading to silenes and germenes 24 (eq 6). It should be pointed out that, in contrast to observation on the monocyclic group 14 metallacyclobutanes, the $[M - C_4H_8]^+$ ions do not form the base peak; further fragmentation is evident, in particular the loss of an additional methyl group,

Germenes have also been detected in the mass spectra of four-membered-ring germaoxetanes obtained by addition of aldehydes or ketones to a stable germene (see section II.2.c). Three 1,4-dialkylgermabenzenes 26^{36} generated from the corresponding allylcyclohexadienes 27 by gas-phase pyrolysis have been spectroscopically detected in the gas phase by VTPES (variable-temperature photoelectron spectroscopy) experiments (eq 7). Various silabenzenes were detected earlier in a similar manner.³⁶

(2) Stable Germenes

(a) Synthesis

The use of steric and electronic stabilization allowed the isolation of three stable germenes by Berndt^{37,38} and by some of us^{39,40} in 1987. The Berndt compounds 28 and 2937,38 were synthesized by the reaction between the electrophilic cryptocarbene 30 and the stable germylenes 31^{41} and 32^{42} at room temperature (eq 8).

The germene structure of 28 and 29 has been proved by NMR (see Figure 1) by addition of HCl onto the germanium-carbon double bond (eq 9) and in the case of 28 by X-ray crystallography.

The starting cryptocarbene 30 is the nonclassical boranediylborirane 33⁴³ (eq 10): interaction of the empty p orbital at the dicoordinated boron with the adjacent B-C σ bond (a) of the three-membered ring yields 34; stronger interaction with the $C-C \sigma$ bond (b) can lead to carbene 30, which has recently been calculated to be only 10 kcal/mol higher in energy than 34 for model compounds with $R = SiH_3$ and $R' = CH_3$.⁴⁴ It was shown experimentally that 33 behaves as a carbene in the reactions with bis(trimethylsilyl)ethyne, triphenylphosphine, and triphenylarsine.⁴⁵

In our laboratories the stable germene 35^{39,40} was prepared by dehydrofluorination of the corresponding fluorenyl germane 36 at low temperature (eq 11).

Germene 35 is very thermally stable but highly air

Figure 1. ORTEP view of 28 (reprinted from *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* 1987,*26,* 799; copyright 1987 VCH Verlagsgesellschaft mbH).

Figure 2. ORTEP view of 35 (reprinted from *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* 1988,*27,* 828; copyright 1988 VCH Verlagsgesellschaft mbH).

sensitive. As other doubly bonded main-group compounds, it is thermochromic: it is yellow at -100 °C, orange at room temperature, and orange-red at +80 °C. It forms stable $B\rightarrow Ce-C<$ adducts with Lewis bases such as ethers or amines; this type of complexation has also been observed with silenes $(>\sin^{-1}\theta)^{46,47}$ and silanimines $(S\text{Si}=N-)^{48-50}$ but never in symmetric molecules $M=M$ $(M = Si, Ge, Sn, P)$ or in metallaphosphenes $M' = P(M' = Si, Ge, Sn)$ where the group 14 metal is bound to phosphorus, a less negative atom than carbon or nitrogen.^{51,52} The complexation reactions of 35 are easily explained by the electrophilic character of germanium and the polarity of the $Ge=C$ bond (the dipole moment of 35 is estimated to be $4 \mathrm{D}$;⁴⁰ see below).

(b) Physlcochemical and Theoretical Studies

The ¹³C NMR shows for 28 and 29 the expected low-field signals for the carbon doubly bonded to germanium (respectively 115 and 93 ppm), whereas the ¹¹B NMR shows a shielded boron atom, indicating that

TABLE 1. Calculated d (Ge=C) and ν (Ge=C) for H_2 Ge= CH_2

	ab initio using pseudopotentials ^a			
	SCF	ΩI	$MNDO^b$	
d (Ge=C), \AA	1.779	1.812	1.717	
ν (Ge=C), cm ⁻¹	906	847	854	

TABLE 2. Calculated and Experimental Physicochemical Values for 35 and 37

there is a relatively large amount of negative π charge on these atoms and an ylidic character to the molecule (eq 12).

An X-ray structure analysis of 28 (Figure 1) confirmed the significance of the ylide resonance form B as shown by short distances C(Ge)-B and an average twist angle at the Ge= C bond of $36^{\circ}.^{37,38}$ The germanium-carbon double bond (1.827 A) is about 8.4% shorter than the Ge-C bond in $GeMe₄$ (1.98 Å). Similar contractions are observed for silenes.3,4 The X-ray structures of both $Mes₂Ge=CR₂$ (35) (Figure 2) and $\text{Mes}_2\text{Ge(H)C(H)}\text{R}_2$ (37) have been obtained⁴⁰ and allow a good comparison between Ge-C single and double bonds. The Ge= \overline{C} double bond (1.80 Å) is about 10.5% shorter than the corresponding $Ge=C$ single bond in 37 (2.01 A) and constitutes a very large shortening. The germanium atom is planar, and the twisting around the Ge-C bond is only 6° (compared to 36° in *2S).³¹' ³⁸* This finding, namely that 16 atoms lie nearly in the same plane despite high steric strain, supports the postulate of a stabilization of 35 by electronic interaction between the Ge=C double bond and the fluorenylidene moiety. Similar stabilizations of a $P=C^{53}$ and of a $B=C^{54}$ double bond by the fluorenylidene system are known; for P=C, interactions with the fluorenylidene π electrons have been proposed.

The results of the X-ray structure of 35 are in good agreement with ab initio²⁶ or MNDO⁵⁵ calculations which predicted a planar structure for H_2 Ge=C H_2 . On the other hand, $H_2Ge=CF_2$ is predicted to be nonplanar by calculations.⁵⁶ The germanium-carbon double-bond length in $H_2Ge=CH_2$ has been predicted by various methods to be between 1.71 and 1.81 A (see Table 1).

TABLE 3. π -Bond Energy of Me₂M= CH ₂ (M = C, Si, Ge, **Sn) (kcal/mol)**

			method $H_2C=CH_2$, $H_2Si=CH_2$, $H_2Ge=CH_2$, $H_2Sn=CH_2$	
a^a	64–66	35–36	-31	19
h^b	67–68	35	31	19

^a Method a: direct energy differences between planar (π bonded) and perpendicular (biradical) structures. *^b* Method b: from disproportionation energies.

TABLE 4. π -Bond Strength of Me₂M= CH_2 (M = Si, Ge, Sn, **Pb) (kcal/mol)**

	$Me2Si=CH2$ $Me2Ge=CH2$	$Me2Sn=CH2$	$Me2Pb=CH2$
-38	43	45	-30

Semiempirical calculations have also been made on 35. The MNDO optimization of the geometrical parameters gives a $\hat{G}e=C$ bond length of 1.77 \hat{A}^{57} in relatively good agreement with the X-ray analysis⁴⁰ (see Table 2).

The Raman spectrum of crystalline 35 shows an emission at 988 cm^{-1} , which is attributed to the Ge=C valence vibration.⁴⁰ This assignment was corroborated by ab initio calculations using pseudopotentials²⁶ (see Table 2). A comparison has been made by MNDO calculations between germene 35 and germane 37. The results for ionization energy, $57 \text{ bond order}, 57 \text{ charge}$ distribution, 57 and bond lengths⁴⁰ are listed in Table 2.

The charge distributions for H_2 Ge=C H_2 and H_2 Si= $CH₂$ have been calculated by using double $\zeta + d$ (Ge and C) basis sets; the charge difference in silenes is predicted to be greater than in germenes²⁶ (eq 13), in agreement with the Allred-Rochow electronegativity scale $51,52$ and with their chemical reactivity.

$$
+0.18
$$
\n

Other calculations on charge distribution have been performed on germenes, particularly on the fluorinated germenes $H_2\tilde{G}e=CF_2$, $\tilde{F}_2Ge=CH_2$, and $F_2Ge=CF_2$. They were predicted to have an unusual double bond having a relatively weak σ bond and much stronger π bond, which is polarized $Ge^{\delta+}-C^{\delta-58}$

The π -bond energies of the M=C bonds in H_2M = $CH₂$ have been determined by various methods⁵⁵ (see Table 3). Pietro and Hehre⁶⁰ have estimated the π bond strength of $Me₂M=CH₂$ (M = Si, Ge, Sn, Pb) on the basis of gas-phase deprotonation thresholds for group 14 trimethylmetalla cations $Me₃M⁺$ (M = Si, Ge, Sn, Pb) (Table 4). These authors have noted, however, that the values for the germanium and tin systems appear to be out of line with the π -bond strengths in silicon compounds due to experimental thermochemical data of uncertain quality.

Calculations have been performed comparing the relative stabilities of germene $(H_2Ge=CH_2)$ and methylgermylene (HGeMe). All the calculations^{26,61,62} show that the germene is less stable than the germylene by about $15,^{26}$ 17.6,⁶¹ 23,⁶² 24.2,⁶¹ and 24.8⁶¹ kcal/mol, depending on the calculation method and on the basis sets considered.

(C) Chemical Reactivity of 35

Germene 35 is highly reactive toward various electrophiles and nucleophiles (Scheme 4). For example,

SCHEME 5

protic reagents,^{39,63} halogens,⁶³ and lithio compounds⁶³ add very easily onto the double bond. Reduction of 35 can be effected by $LiAlH₄$.³⁹ With tert-butyllithium, derivatives 38 and 39 are obtained after quenching with methanol and methyl iodide; these reactions probably involve radical intermediate 40. An addition of dimethyl disulfide onto the double bond has also been $observed^{39}$ (Scheme 4).

Various $[2 + 2]$, $[2 + 3]$, and $[2 + 4]$ cycloadditions occur with 35 (Scheme 5). For example, four-membered rings are obtained from 35 and aldehydes or ketones;⁶³ the germaoxetanes 41 are highly stable, probably due to steric hindrance (at room temperature the rotation of the mesityl group is hindered, as shown by NMR). Generally, such heterocycles dimerize or decompose very easily to give germanones and alkenes. Diphenylimine reacts with germene 35 as a protic reagent to give 46 in nearly quantitative yield. With acetone the main reaction (90%) leads to 44; the first step of the reaction is probably the formation of compound 43, which cannot be observed. The intermediate undergoes a germanotropic rearrangement with formation of 44. With azobenzene, a stable four-membered ring 47⁶³ with a Ge-N-N linkage is obtained (Scheme 5). In this case also the steric hindrance plays a very important role for the stabilization; rotation of the mesityl group is hindered at room temperature, displaying six different methyl signals in the $^{\mathbf{\hat{i}H}}$ NMR (eq 15).

$$
Mes2Ge2 + PhCR' = 0
$$
\n
$$
Mes2Ge = CR2 + PhCR' = 0
$$
\n
$$
S2Ge = O + PhCR' = CR2
$$
\n
$$
Ph
$$
\n
$$
41
$$
\n
$$
R' = H, Ph
$$
\n
$$
Mes2Ge = CR2 + PhR = NPh
$$
\n
$$
Mes2Ge = CR2 + PhR = NPh
$$
\n
$$
Mes2Ge = CR2 + PhR = NPh
$$
\n
$$
Mes2Ge = NPh + PhR = CR2
$$
\n
$$
42
$$
\n
$$
42
$$
\n
$$
Mes2Ge = NPh + PhR = CR2
$$

Nearly quantitative $[2 + 3]$ cycloadditions have been observed with nitrones.³⁹ With 1,3-dienes³⁹ and α ethylenic aldehydes and ketones, 63 $[2 + 4]$ cycloaddition occurred (Scheme 5). Mass spectra (electron impact) of 41 and 47 show, besides the molecular peak, the two types of $[2 + 2]$ decomposition: fragments with Ge-O or Ge=N double bonds are observed.

The reaction of diazofluorene with 35 gives quite surprising results.⁶⁵ It does not afford the expected three-membered-ring germacyclopropane (such heter-

ocycles are still unknown and should be of great interest; due to a high strain, the Ge-C and C-C bonds should be very reactive), but the four-membered-ring cyclodigermazane 48. The structure of 48 has been established by X-ray analysis, which demonstrates that the $N=CR_2$ moieties are in cis position. After 2 weeks in solution at room temperature, the thermodynamic trans isomer 49 is obtained. Fluorene 50 is also formed in this reaction (eq 16).

The reaction of 35 with diphenyldiazomethane⁶⁵ leads exclusively to germazane 51 and fluorene 50 (eq 17). $Mes₂Ge = CR₂ + Ph₂CN₂$ -

35

Among the mechanisms postulated, the most probable is given in eq 18. It seems reasonable to postulate the

formation of the transient germanimine 52 followed by

its dimerization. The presence of fluorene 50 can only be explained by abstraction of hydrogen from the solvent by a fluorenyl carbene intermediate 53.

The structure of 48 is very close to that of germanimines obtained by Glidewell, which are stabilized by greater steric hindrance on germanium (two $(Me₃Si)₂N$ instead of two mesityls) (see section IV).

(3) Silenes and Stannenes

Large reviews have been devoted to compounds with silicon-carbon double bonds. Many transient silenes have been evidenced by trapping. Since the synthesis of the first stable silene, $(\dot{Me}_3Si)_2Si=C(OSiMe_3)(ada$ mantyl) (55), by Brook in 1981^{66a} (eq 19), some other stable silenes have been obtained, either by Brook (photolysis of acylsilanes^{66b,67,68}) or by Wiberg (dehydrofluorination of fluorosilanes).^{46,47,69}

Note that the photolysis of the adamantyl tris(trimethylsilyl)germane 56 (eq 20) does not give the expected germene 57,⁷⁰ whereas a similar photolysis of the silicon analogue 54 gives rise to high yields of the isomeric silene 55^{66a} (eq 19).

$$
(Me3Si)3Si — C — Ad $\xrightarrow{h \cdot \bullet}$ (Me₃Si)₂Si — C(Ad)OSiMe₃ (19)
\n55
\n54
\n
$$
(Me3Si)3Ge — C — Ad $\xrightarrow{h \cdot \bullet}$ (Me₃Si)₂Ge — C(Ad)OSiMe₃ (20)
\n57
\n56
$$
$$

Recently, two stable stannenes, 58 and 59, have been obtained by Berndt^{38,71} in the reaction between stannylenes and the cryptocarbene 30 analogous to the synthesis of germenes (eq 21).

Compound 58 has been characterized by X-ray crystallography. The chemistry of such derivatives is not well developed, and only the addition of HCl onto the tin-carbon double bond has been performed.

III. Ge=Ge Species: Dlgermenes

(1) Transient Dlgermenes

Digermenes are the heavier congeners of alkenes $($ >C=C \lt) and disilenes (>Si=Si \lt). Digermenes have been implicated as reactive intermediates in several reactions, but it was only in 1982 that solution spectra of a digermene, tetrakis(2,6-dimethylphenyl)digermene, were recorded.⁷² We present here the different routes to transient digermenes, beginning with their charac-

terization by chemical trapping, followed by the synthesis of stable digermenes with their structural data, and lastly theoretical aspects and calculations on such compounds.

The first route to transient digermenes was the photolysis of polynuclear germylmercury compounds of the type $[-\hat{G}e\check{R}_2GeR_2Hg-]_n$.^{73,74} This reaction leads to a mixture of cyclopolygermanes $(R_2Ge)_n$, probably by intermediate formation of digermyl diradical R_2 Ge-Ge R_2 , $73,74$ which can be considered as the limiting form of digermene $R_2Ge=GeR_2$ and can be trapped by biacetyl,^{73,74} dimethyl disulfide,⁷⁵ and dienes such as $2,3$ -dimethylbutadiene^{75,76} and isoprene⁷⁶ (Scheme 6).

The dimerization of the germylene R_2 Ge has been observed to lead to the corresponding digermene in some reactions.^{32,33,77} For example, the thermolysis of germanorbornadiene 60 in the presence of butylacetylene leads to the three products 61, 62, and 63.⁷⁷ Independent experiments have demonstrated that 62 cannot arise from 61, 63, or 64. It is assumed that 62 is obtained by the rapid dimerization of $Me₂Ge$: (65)

SCHEME 8

to give tetramethyldigermene (66) and subsequent cycloaddition with the alkyne (Scheme 7).

The formation of a digermene intermediate has also been postulated in the thermolysis of germanorbornadiene 60 in the presence of adamantanethione.^{32,33} This reaction leads to the four-membered-ring 1,2-digermathietane 67, probably via dimerization of germylene 65 in digermene 66 with subsequent $[2 + 2]$ cycloaddition with adamantanethione $x^{32,33}$ (eq 22). The other

products obtained in this reaction probably arise from a germanethione intermediate (see section VI). The formation of dimethylgermylene (65) from germanorbornadiene 60 has been proved unambiguously by Ando.⁷⁸ When a solution of 60 cooled at 77 K was irradiated with a low-pressure mercury lamp, the matrix became intensely yellow and a broad electronic absorption band with a maximum of 420 nm was observed. The electronic spectra of $Me₂Ge$: had been previously reported to have a $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 430$ nm, in good agreement with the results of Ando.⁷⁸ Convincing evidence is provided by the result of a chemical trapping experiment of 65 with dimethylbutadiene, leading to the formation of the corresponding germacyclopentene.⁷⁸ Reaction between 60 and di-tert-butylthioketene leads also to digermathietane 68 . In this case the authors⁸⁰ report that 68 is more likely obtained via route a than via route b involving a digermene intermediate $R_2Ge=GeR_2$ (Scheme 8).

The matrix isolation technique has been used widely for the observation of the reactive species. For example, in the irradiation of thiagermirane 69 in 3-methylpentane at 77 K with a low-pressure mercury lamp, a new band at 690 nm appeared, indefinitely stable at 77 K^{33} (eq 23). The careful annealing and recooling of the matrix led to the disappearance of this band and the formation of a new one at 406 nm, which was assigned to tetramesityldigermene (70). On the basis of results obtained in the photolysis of oxa(or thia)silirane 71⁸¹ (eq 24), the authors assign the band at 690 nm to the germathiocarbonyl ylide 73, which decomposes thermally to dimesitylgermylene (74) with subsequent dimerization into digermene 70. This digermene reacts with adamantanethione to yield 75^{33} (eq 23).

Mes₂Ge = GeMes₂ 70 $Ad =$ $GeMes₂$ (23) Åd

Pyrolysis of the six-membered-ring 1,2-tetraethyldigerma-4-cyclohexene 76 at 500 °C under 10⁻² Torr leads in the absence of trapping reagent to polymers and also to 2- and 3-germacyclopentenes 77 and 78⁸² (Scheme 9). The tetraethyldigermene has been detected by its trapping with 1,4-diphenylbutadiene or benzaldehyde. In this last case, the four-membered ring 79 decomposes to germene and germanone $Et_2Ge=0$. Germene 80 can then react with an excess of benzaldehyde to give finally germoxanes 81⁸² (Scheme 9).

Similar pyrolysis of the disila analogue of 76 yields disilenes, which can be trapped by conjugated dienes or aldehydes. 82 It must be noted that the $[4 + 2]$ cycloreversion is easier with the digermacyclohexene (from 400 ⁰C) than with its disila isologue (between 500 and 600 ⁰C).

In the molten state, 7-dimethylgermanorbornadiene 82 acts as a powerful germylene scavenger, 83,84 competing successfully with the rapid polymerization of germylene by insertion into the highly strained germanium-carbon bond to form digermabicyclooctadienes 83.83,84 The heterocycle 83 has also been synthesized⁸⁵ from digermacyclohexadiene 84 and benzyne (eq 25). Such heterocycles 83 are by

thermolysis at ca. 170 ⁰C very good precursors of tetramethyldigermene, which is found to be an active dienophile and can be trapped by anthracene^{84,85} and silacyclopentadiene.⁸⁵ Whereas reaction of tetramethyldigermene (66) with diphenylacetylene and $\frac{1}{2}$ is (trimethylgermyl)acetylene failed,⁸⁵ a $[2 + 2]$ cycloaddition is observed with the cyclic acetylene 85 to give the digermacyclobutene 86.8 Although highly strained, 86 is thermally stable and was characterized by X-ray analysis (Scheme 10).

One of the most important sources of digermenes is the photolysis of three-membered-ring trigermiranes $>\dot{G}e-Ge-\dot{G}e^{10,20,33,72,86,87,89}$ This route gave the first metastable digermene 87⁷² but the slow photolytic

conversion from 88 and concomitant photoinduced polymerization of 87 prevented its isolation. Nevertheless, 87 was clearly characterized spectroscopically by UV and proton NMR and chemically by trapping with methanol (eq 26).

During photolysis or mild thermolysis of a solution of hexamesitylcyclotrigermane (89) in the absence of trapping reagent, the solution turned yellow with a maximum at 410 nm, which was assigned to digermene 70.⁷⁸ After irradiation or thermolysis, 89 was recovered almost quantitatively, suggesting that the decomposition of 89 into digermene 70 and germylene 74 may be a reversible reaction.⁸⁸ Photolysis of 89 in the presence of adamantanethione leads to the corresponding threeand four-membered rings,³³ due to the reaction of adamantanethione with germylene 74 and digermene 70. Thermolysis of 89 at 80 ⁰C in the presence of paraformaldehyde or phenylacetylene gives the corresponding four-membered ring oxadigermetane or disponding four-membered ring oxadigermetatie of ur-
germetene in good vield.⁸⁸ Only the digermene 70 has been trapped in these two reactions. In the case of dimethylbutadiene and thiobenzophenone (thermolyumethynoutaulelle and throbenzophenone (thermoly-
sis)⁸⁸ or sulfur and selenium (photolysis) ⁸⁶ both products resulting from trapping of digermene 70 and germylene 74 have been obtained. With sulfur and selenium, the formation of 90 can be explained by the transient formation of germanethione or germaneselenone 91 (Scheme 11).

Although it is well-known that seleniranes $\geq C-C-Se$ are generally unstable molecules (they decompose easily to olefins with the extrusion of selenium), selenadigermirane **92b** is thermally very stable and does not decompose when heated to its melting point (217-219 ^oC).^{86a} A great difference is observed between the chemical behavior of alkenes and their heavier homologues. Under normal conditions, alkenes do not react with sulfur or selenium, but disilenes $\frac{90}{100}$ or digermenes react very easily to form stable three-membered adducts.^{86a} Note that other $[2 + 1]$ cycloadditions have recently been observed between sulfur and selenium and doubly bonded derivatives of main-group elements such as diphosphenes, $91-93$ diarsenes, 94 phosphaarsenes, 95 or germaphosphenes.⁹⁶ The structure of **92a** has been proved by X-ray analysis; **92a** presents surprisingly some character of a π complex.^{86a} The Ge-Ge bond length (2.376 A) is remarkably shorter than the normal bond length (2.44 A). This is not a characteristic feature of three-membered rings because hexakis(2,6-dimethylphenyl)cyclotrigermane has very long Ge-Ge bonds (2.53-2.54 A). Also surprising is the nearly planar arrangement around germanium. The sum of the bond angles Ar-Ge-Ge', Ar'-Ge-Ge', and Ar-Ge-Ar' around each germanium is 357.3 and 356.7°, respectively, close to the expected 360° for a planar sp² germanium. According to Dewar's model of metal-olefin bonding, 97,98

SCHEME 10

Ando suggested that 92a has some of the character of π complex A. Recently, such similar structures have

been reported for other three-membered rings such as thiadisilirane,⁹⁰ oxadisilirane,⁹⁹ disilirane,¹⁰⁰ and germathiaphosphirane⁹⁶ (see section V). The theoretical investigation of Grev¹⁰¹ on three-membered rings containing two silicon atoms, $>\overline{Si-Si-X}$, supports these results.

The photolysis of cyclotetragermanes 93^{75} is also a route to digermenes (or to the corresponding biradicals) by successive α elimination of germylene. Trapping by dimethyl disulfide proves unambiguously the mechanism of this reaction (Scheme 12). Cyclopentagermanes give under UV irradiation the same type of

decomposition with the final formation of the digermene, but much less rapidly.⁷⁵

(2) Stable Digermenes

(a) Synthesis and Reactivity

Two compounds with a germanium-germanium double bond, R_2 Ge=Ge R_2 (\bar{R} = bis(trimethylsilyl)methyl (94) ,^{102,103} R = 2,6-diethylphenyl (95) ^{10,87,104}) have been characterized in the solid state by X-ray analysis,^{10,102,103} but only 95 retains its digermene structure in solution,10,87 whereas the other disproportionates into two germylenes.¹⁰² Another digermene stable in solution, 96, $(R = 2.6$ -diisopropylphenyl) has been recently $obtained⁸⁹ (eq 27).$

$$
R_2Ge = GeR_2
$$
 (27)

$$
R = (Me_3Si)_2CH (94), R = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} (95), R = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} (96)
$$

Compound 95 is obtained in >90% yield by irradiation of cyclotrigermane 97.^{10,87,104} These appear in the form of thermally stable yellow air- and moisture-sensitive crystals. The mechanism of the formation of 95 and its photostability with respect to fragmentation to 98 were investigated. Irradiation of cyclotrigermane 97 and of 99 was performed under different conditions.⁸⁹ It appears that photolysis of 99 gives germylene 98, which thermally dimerizes after irradiation to digermene. In the photolysis of cyclotrigermane 97, both germylene and digermene are observed at the beginning of irradiation by NMR. Prolonged irradiation afforded exclusively germylene 98, which can be trapped by $2,3$ -dimethylbutadiene or methanol⁸⁹ (Scheme 13). The structure of 86 was determined by X-ray analysis¹⁰ (see below) as well as chemically. Addition of methanol gives the addition product $100^{10,87}$ and proves unambiguously the digermene structure. $[2 + 1]$ cycloaddition reactions have been observed with selenium,¹⁰⁵

 $[2 + 2]$ with acetone¹⁰⁵ and phenylacetylene,¹⁰⁵ and $[2]$ $+$ 3] with diazomethane^{20,105} and phenyl azide.^{20,105} In these two last cases three-membered rings 101 and 102 are obtained after elimination of nitrogen. Note that in the reaction with acetone, only the $[2 + 2]$ cycloadduct is obtained 105 and no ene-type products are observed (Scheme 14).

SCHEME 13

Contrary to 95, 96 can be obtained directly from the corresponding dichlorogermane Ar_2GeCl_2 , by addition of lithium naphthalenide at low temperature (eq 28).

After elimination of naphthalene in vacuo, the usual

workup affords 96 in 25% yield (the yield before purification is estimated to be 65% by NMR). The digermene **96** can also be obtained in low yield (10%) by the reaction of $GeI₂$ with 2 equiv of (2,6-diisopropylphenyl)lithium, with the transient formation of the germylene $Ar'_{2}Ge$, followed by dimerization.

The steric hindrance in this molecule is clearly demonstrated by NMR, which shows two types of isopropyl groups. This phenomenon is temperature independent in toluene from -80 to +100 ⁰C. The hindered rotation of the aryl groups along the Ge-C axis is certainly responsible for the nonequivalence of the isopropyl groups. In contrast, the spectrum of 95 at room temperature has only one type of ethyl group but can be induced to show two types of ethyl groups upon cooling to below -80 ⁰C.

Due to the very large Ar' groups, the Ge-Ge double bond of **96** is effectively protected from attack by external reagents. For example, addition of methanol to **96** proceeds only to 33% completion in 7 days whereas this reaction is complete in 30 min at room temperature for **95.** Addition of an excess of lithium naphthalenide results in a totally unexpected reaction, with the formation of digermenyllithium (DME) complex 103, which gives **104** after methanolysis (eq 29).

Oxygenation of digermenes 95 and 96 gives, depending on the oxidant used and on the experimental conditions, 1,2-digermadioxetanes **105,** 1,3-cyclodigermoxanes **106,** or digermoxirane **107** derivatives¹⁰⁶ (Scheme 15). Exposure to oxygen leads to 105, which upon heating at 90 ⁰C is isomerized to 108. Photolysis **SCHEME 15**

of 105 yields **106.** Three-membered-ring **107** is obtained with nitrous oxide. Addition of DMSO or N -methylmorpholine N-oxide to 95, 96, and 107 affords the cyclodigermoxane **106.** The structures of **105a** and **106a** $(R = 2,6$ -diethylphenyl) have been proved by X-ray analysis¹⁰⁶ (Scheme 15). The skeletal geometry of **106a** is square with a Ge-Ge distance of 2.617 (1) A, longer than the Ge-Ge normal bond length (2.46 A). This is contrary to the case of its silicon analogue $[Mes(t Bu) SiOj_2; ^{107}$ in this compound the Si-Si distance is shorter than a normal Si-Si bond length.

Digermene 94 is prepared from germylene **109** by reaction with bisyllithium, $(Me_3Si)_2CHLi$ (108) or from dichlorogermylene-dioxane complex 1**10** and Grignard reagent^{109a} (eq 30). Contrary to 95, which is clearly

dimeric, the digermene 94 disproportionates very easily in solution into two singlet germylenes.^{102,103} However, in the crystalline state 94 was shown to be dimeric by X-ray crystallography^{102,103} (see X-ray below). The chemical properties of 94 are those of the monomer R_2 Ge:. It reacts as a Lewis base $((a)$ in Scheme 16), a substrate for valence expansion to yield a tetravalent germanium adduct $((b)-(e))$, or a substrate for disproportionation ((f)).¹⁰² It is also evident that R_2 Ge=Ge R_2 resembles $R_2Sn = SnR_2$: in these two compounds the

Figure 3. ORTEP view of 95 (reprinted from *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1984, *25,* 4191; copyright 1984 Pergamon Press Inc.).

M=M bond is exceedingly labile. Note the great difference of reactivity in solution between 95 and 94 toward alcohols; in this reaction 94 behaves as a germylene¹⁰³ and 95 as a digermene.^{10,87} The structural integrity of the digermene species (i.e., dimeric or monomeric) in solution seems to be very sensitive to substituent effects. In the gas phase the germylene 94b is also observed by mass spectrometry,^{108,109c} photoelectron spectroscopy,^{108,109c} and gas electron diffraction.^{109b}

The monomeric nature of 94 in solution contrasts with that of its silicon analogue, which is dimeric both in solution and in the solid state.

(b) Physicochemical and Theoretical Studies

X-ray Structures and Theoretical Aspects. The differences in chemical behavior between 94 and 95 can be understood from their X-ray structures (Figures 3 and 4). The Ge=Ge double-bond length is very long in 94,^{102,103} which explains its easy cleavage. The shortening in relation to the standard germaniumgermanium bond $(2.465 \text{ Å in } (\text{Ph}_2\text{Ge})_4$,¹¹¹ 2.463 and 2.457 Å in $(\text{Ph}_2\text{Ge})_6^{(112)}$ is only 4% in 94 but 8% in 95, which corresponds to the standard shortening for doubly bonded main-group elements. The germanium environment in both 94 and 95 is intermediate between pyramidal and planar. Theoretical studies using various basis sets^{103,113-115} predicted a trans-bent geometry with short Ge-Ge distance $(2.30^{114}$ and 2.325 A¹¹³) and important fold angle θ (40¹¹⁴ and 38.9^{o113}) (Table 5). The bonding occurring in $H_2Ge=GeH_2$ has been described as two semipolar bent bonds between two singlet germylenes 113 (eq 31).

The ab initio molecular orbital calculations with better than double ζ basis sets on the model compounds M_2H_4 (M = Ge, Sn) show that trans-folded equilibrium structures are more stable than planar by 3-4 kcal/mol for germanium^{103,113} and 6 kcal/mol for tin. The structures predicted by calculations (semipolar bent bond between two singlet germylenes) correspond well

Figure 4. ORTEP view of 94 (reprinted from *J. Chem. Soc, Chem. Commun.* 1984, 480; copyright 1984 Royal Society of Chemistry).

TABLE 5. Some Structural Parameters of 94 and 95

to the digermene 94 obtained by Lappert, except for the Ge=Ge double bond, which is found experimentally longer. The X-ray structure of 95, however, displays a slightly different structure closer to that of alkenes. The $M=M$ dissociation energy is calculated to be 30 kcal/mol for germanium (22 kcal/mol for tin).¹⁰³ These energies are about half the experimental >M-M< single-bond dissociation energies of H_3GeGeH_3 or $Me₃GeGeM₃$.^{103,109b} The decreasing strength of M-M bonding in the series M_2X_4 with increasing atomic number of M as well as the increasing stability of the trans-folded relative to planar structures is attributed to the increasing inertness of the electron lone pair in the MX_2 monomer, which in turn is reflected in an increasing singlet \rightarrow triplet excitation energy.¹⁰³

Ab initio calculations using pseudopotentials have been carried out on digermene $(H_2Ge=GeH_2)$ and its germylgermylene isomer $(HGeGeH₃)$ at both SCF (double ζ + d basis sets) and CI levels. The digermene is predicted more stable than the germylene by 5 $kcal/mol.¹¹³$

IR, Raman, UV, and Mass Spectroscopy. The UV absorption band of the Ge=Ge double bond was observed when the matrix containing dimesitylgermylene was annealed: a yellow coloration appeared due to tetramesityldigermene (Mes₂Ge=GeMes₂) (λ_{max} = 406 nm at 77 K).⁷⁸ This absorption band is identical with that obtained from the photolysis of hexamesitylcyclotrigermane giving tetramesityldigermene.³³ In the case of $Ph_2Ge=GePh_2$, λ_{max} is observed at 320 nm.¹¹⁶ A strong Raman band at 300 cm^{-1} attributed to ν (Ge-Ge) was observed in crystalline 94,¹⁰³ in good agreement with calculations $(286 \text{ cm}^{-155}).$

TABLE 6. Observed and Calculated Skeletal Vibrations of the Isolated Tetramethyldigermene Me2Ge=GeMe2 (cm'¹)

		Raman		IR	
		obsd		obsd	
assignment	Ar	N,	calcd	Ar	calcd
ν (Ge–C)	591	589	588	598	593
	580	580	576	568	564
ν (Ge-Ge)	404	405	401		
δ (GeGeC)	235	234	232		
δ (CGeC)	200	198	206		

SCHEME 17°

"a, R = *H,^u* **Ph;⁸⁶ b, ref** 83a; **c, ref** 117; **d, ref** 118; **e, ref 33.**

Tetramethyldigermene $(Me₂Ge=GeMe₂)$ has been isolated in argon and nitrogen matrices⁸⁴ by thermolysis of germanorbornadiene 82 in the solid state or melt (see eq 25). IR and Raman data have been obtained in matrices at 5 K (see Table 6). Whereas a planar model gave insufficient approximations, the trans-bent geometry in accordance with the theory^{113,115} led to the best agreement with the measured frequencies. The *v-* $(Ge=Ge)$ (404 cm⁻¹) is much higher than in the case of the single-bonded digermane $Me₃Ge-GeMe₃$ (275 cm⁻¹). This means that $Me₂Ge=GeMe₂$ can really be written with a double bond like an alkene. It is bent, and dipolar or biradical forms give, if any, only minor contributions.⁸⁴

The tetramethyldigermene ion 111 and the tetraethyl- and triethyldigermene ions 112 and 11382 have been observed by mass spectroscopy of various substrates. These fragmentations are consistent with the results of thermolysis of parent compounds: in all cases digermenes were relatively easily generated and could be chemically evidenced by in situ trapping⁸² (Scheme 17).

(3) Dlsllenes and Dlstannenes

Many transient disilenes have been generated by various methods and evidenced by trapping.⁴ The first stable disilene was prepared by West in 1981¹¹⁹ by photolysis of an acyclic trisilane leading to the intermediate formation of a silylene and dimerization (route a). Other routes afford stable disilenes, such as the photolysis of cyclotrisilanes (c) or of bicycles with a Si-Si bridge (d), reaction of dichlorosilanes with lithium or lithio compounds (b), and redistribution of other disilenes (Scheme 18). Various groups on silicon were

SCHEME 18

SCHEME 19

found to stabilize disilenes $R(R')S = Si(R')R$ (R, $R' =$ $(\mathbf{Mes})_{2;}^{119,120}(2.6\text{-}\mathbf{ Me}_{2}\mathrm{C}_{6}\mathrm{H}_{3})_{2};^{121a}(2.6\text{-}\mathrm{Et}_{2}\mathrm{C}_{6}\mathrm{H}_{3})_{2};^{10,87,121b}$ t -Bu, Mes;^{122,123} (Me₃Si)₂N, Mes;¹²⁴ (2,4,6-*i*-Pr₃C₆H₂)₂;¹²⁵ $[(Me₃Si)₂CH]₂$ ¹²⁶ Some other disilenes are marginally stable in solution when substituted by a less bulky group: R (R'): $(t$ -BuCH₂)₂;¹²⁷ t -Bu₂;¹²⁷⁻¹²⁹ (Et₂CH)₂;¹³⁰ $(Me_3SiCH_2)_2$ ^{131a} *i*-Pr₂;^{132b} $(Et_3Si)_2$ ¹³² Mes, Ad;¹³³ *i*-Bu₂;^{131a} s-Bu₂;^{131a} t-Bu, Pr;^{131a} t-Bu, Me.^{131a}

X-ray structures show a shortening of about 8% in relation to the standard silicon-silicon single bond and an alkene-like structure with planarity around the double bond.^{2b,4} The chemical behavior of such derivatives is well-known, and many insertion reactions and cycloadditions have been performed.^{2b,4}

In the case of distannenes $R_2Sn=SnR_2$, two types of compounds with a double bond between two tin atoms have been obtained, like for digermenes: compound 114, obtained by Lappert, has a distannene structure only in the solid state, as shown by X-ray analysis,103,114 with a very small shortening of the tin-tin double bond. In solution the reactivity of 114 corresponds to the reactivity of stannylene 115 (Scheme 19). Another distannene 105, obtained by photolysis of a cyclotristannane, has recently been described by Masamune¹³⁴ (Scheme 19) and retains its distance structure in solution: the 119 Sn NMR spectrum displays a signal characteristic of an sp^2 -hybridized tin $(+427)$ ppm/Me₄Sn) with a very large 119 Sn- 117 Sn tin-tin coupling.

IV. Ge=N Species: Germanlmlnes

(1) Transient Germanlmlnes

The formation of germanimine intermediates

SCHEME 20

 $R_2Ge=NR'$ was first observed by a β -elimination process from unstable germaazetidine $117^{6,135,136}$ (eq 32).

$$
R_2Ge \bigvee_{N} \qquad \xrightarrow{-C_2H_4} [R_2Ge \xrightarrow{NMe} NMe]
$$
 (32)

They are also formed by the action of phenyl or methyl azides on germylenes¹³⁷ (eq 33). The direct interaction

$$
R_2Ge + R' - N - N \equiv N \longrightarrow R_2Ge - N - N \equiv N \longrightarrow
$$

\n
$$
R_2Ge = NR_1 + N_2
$$
\n(33)

of a germylene with a nitrene also leads to germanimines.^{6,137} Like silanimines the germanimines can also be generated by photolysis of triorganogermanium azides^{6,139} (eq 34). Germanimines have been quantitatively trapped by pinacol.^{6,139}

$$
R_3Gen_3 \xrightarrow[n_2]{h \vee}_{-N_2} [R_2Ge \equiv N R] \tag{34}
$$

Another route to germanimines is via the reaction of 2 mol of phenyl isocyanate with 1 mol of cyclodigermazane leading to diadduct 118, which decomposes through successive eliminations to a germanimine stabilized by dipole-dipole interaction with phenyl isocyanate and thence to equilibrium with an unstable germaoxodiazetidine 1196,140 (Scheme 20).

Studies of ring opening of cyclogermazanes $(R_2\text{GeVR}')_n$ ($n = 2, 3$)^{6,140,141} and chlorocyclotrigermazanes $(Cl_2GeNR)_n$ $(n = 2, 3)^{142}$ induced by Lewis bases ($Et₃N$, HMPA) or Lewis acid ($ZnCl₂$, M- $(CO)_6$, $M(CO)_5$ THF (M = Cr, W) show the existence of an equilibrium between cyclogermazanes and germanimines. The 1,3-cycloaddition with nitrones is proposed as chemical evidence of germanimine formation in this equilibrium.6,140-142

It is prossible to characterize germanimine intermediates in the ammonolysis of diphenyldichlorogermane by trapping (under conditions in which the cyclogermazane does not react) of the germanimine with $CS₂$ to yield germathiaazetidine 120, which then decomposes to $Ph_2Ge = S$ and MeNCS. Subsequent reaction of MeNCS on germanimine is also observed with formation of germathiaazetidine 1216,140 (Scheme 21).

The formation of germanimine $Ar_2Ge=NPh$ (Ar = (2,6-diethylphenyl)) is postulated in the photodecomposition of the azadigermiridine $Ar_2GeGe(Ar_2)NAr^{20}$

SCHEME 22

SCHEME 23

SCHEME 24

Germene and silene $Me₂E=C(SiMe₃)₂$ (E = Ge, Si) generated by reaction of BuLi with $\text{Me}_{2}E(\text{Br})C(\text{Br})$ - $(SiMe₃)₂$ in Et₂O quantitatively react with azidoalkanes or -silanes RN_3 ($R = t$ -Bu, p-tolyl, Me₃Si, t -BuMe₂Si, t -Bu₂MeSi, t -Bu₃Si, Ph₃Si, (Me₃Si)₂NMe₂E, Me₂SiN₃) at -78 °C by $[2 + 3]$ cycloaddition to form germa(or sila)dihydrotriazoles 122 (Scheme 22).5,25

The germadihydrotriazoles 122 decompose partly at or above room temperature in a first-order reaction either by isomerization into diazomethane derivative 123 (route a) or by $[2 + 3]$ cycloreversion into $(Me_3Si)_2C=N=N$ and germanimines $Me_2Ge=NR$ (route b). These germanimines are formed as shortlived intermediates, which dimerize^{5,25} (Scheme 23).

Reactions of germanimines $Me₂Ge=NR$ with its precursor 122 (for $R = \text{SiMe}_3$)^{25b,143} (Scheme 24) and

SCHEME 25

an intramolecular migration process $(R = SIMe₂N (SiMe₃)₂$, $SiPh₃)^{25b,143}$ (eq 35) are also observed.

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{Me}_2\mathsf{Ge} = \mathsf{N} - \mathsf{Sime}_2 - \mathsf{N} & \mathsf{Me}_3 \\ \mathsf{Ime}_2 - \mathsf{N} & \mathsf{Sime}_3 \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{Me}_2\mathsf{Ge} - \mathsf{NSiMe}_3 \\ \mathsf{Me}_3 \mathsf{SiN} & \mathsf{Sime}_2 \end{bmatrix} \tag{35}
$$

Germanimines are characterized in the form of polygermazanes by insertion into the germanium-nitrogen bond of germylazetidine and dimethyl(triethylgermyl)amine and by insertion into a tetrahydrofuran ring and formation of a seven-membered heterocycle as well as pseudo-Wittig reaction with benzaldehyde and formation of unstable germaoxaazetidine $6-8,136-137$ (Scheme 25).

The 1,2-cycloadditions of germanimines with phenyl or methyl isocyanates produce unstable germaoxodiazetidine **124** which leads to 2-germa-4,6-dioxo-1,3,5-perhydrotriazine **125¹⁴⁰** (Scheme 26).

In the case of isothiocyanates, the formation of adducts from C=N and C=S addition is observed. Addition across the C $=N$ bonds leads to 2-germa-4-thioxo-l,3-diazetidine **126** and 2-germa-4,6-thioxo-l,3,5 perhydrotriazine 127. Addition across the C=S bond leads to unstable 2-germa-4-(methylimino)-l,3-thiazetidine **128** and 2-germa-4-(phenylimino)-l,3-thiazetidine 129, which decompose to diphenylgermanium sulfide dimer and trimer and carbodiimide¹⁴⁰ (Scheme 27).

 N -Methyldiphenylgermanimine [from Ph₂Ge(NMe₂)₂ $+$ PhNCO] adds to $CS₂$ with formation of unstable 2 -germa-4-thioxo-1,3-thiaazetidine 130^{140} (eq 36).

Germanimines $Me₂Ge=NR$ (R = SiMe₃, SiMe₂-t-Bu,

 $\text{SiMe-}t\text{-Bu}_2$, $\text{SiMe}_2N(\text{SiMe}_3)_2$, $\text{GeMe}_2N(\text{SiMe}_3)_2)$ generated as reactive intermediates by thermal $[2 + 3]$ cycloreversion from cycloadducts of $Me₂Ge=C(SiMe₃)₂$ or $Me₂Ge=NR$ with silyl azides $RN₃$ combine with amine EtNMe_2 to afford the adducts $^{5\text{\textup{0}}}\mathrm{Et}\mathrm{Me}_2\mathrm{N}{\rightarrow}\mathrm{Ge}_2$ $(M_e)=NR$. These germanimines undergo addition reactions with *i*-PrOH, $Me₃SiN₃$, and $Ph₂CNSiMe₃$ (eq. 37 and 38). Germanimines give also $[2 + 2]$ cyclo-

$$
[Me2Ge = N - R] + i-PrOH \longrightarrow Me2Ge - NHR (37)
$$

\n
$$
\downarrow
$$

\n
$$
[Me2Ge = NR] + YSiMe3 \longrightarrow Me3Ge - N - R (38)
$$

\n
$$
\downarrow
$$

\n
$$
\downarrow
$$

\n
$$
SiMe3
$$

 $Y = N_3$, Ph₂CN

additions with themselves by dimerization (eq 39) and with $Ph₂C=O$ (eq 40). However, ene reactions are

$$
[Me2Ge == NR] + [RN == GeMe2] \frac{R = Simen t - Bu2 n}{sinh3, Sime2N(SiMe3)2} \frac{Me2Ge - NR}{RN - GeMe2}
$$
\n(39)

$$
[Me2Ge = NR] + O = CPh2 \xrightarrow{R = SIMen t-Bu3,n}
$$

$$
\begin{bmatrix} Me2Ge - NR \\ \downarrow \end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
(40)
$$

observed with $CH_2=CHCH_2H$ and $O=CMeCH_2H$ (eq 41). Reactions with azidoalkanes or -silanes $R'N_3(R')$

$$
[Me2Ge = NR] + \bigvee_{R'} H \longrightarrow \bigvee_{R'}
$$

$$
Y = CH2, O
$$

(41)

 $=$ *t*-Bu, SiMe_n-*t*-Bu_{3-n}, SiPh₃, SiMe₂N(SiMe₃)₂) yield germatetrazoles $131^{50,143}$ (eq 42). The formation of insertion products of $Me₂Ge=NR$ into the R'N bond of the azides $N=N=NGe(Me_2)NRR'$ is also noted.

The germadihydrotetrazoles **131** decompose at elevated temperatures in a first-order reaction, reversing their formation by $[2 + 3]$ cycloreversion into azides

 $Me₂Ge$ = NR or $Me₂Ge$ = NR' (42)

 $R'N_3$ or RN_3 and germanimines $Me_2Ge=NR'$ or $Me₂Ge=NR$. The germanimines are formed only as short-lived intermediates. Consequently, azides operate as stores for germanimines¹⁴³ (eq 42).

The $[2 + 3]$ cycloadditions of germanimines $RR'Ge=NR''$ ($R = Ph$; $R' = Ph$, Cl ; $R'' = Me$, Ph , t - Bu) with nitrones 132 (diphenyl- or phenyl-tert-butylnitrone) as well as their insertion reactions on oxaziridines 133 lead to germanones (RR'Ge=0), imines PhCH=NR", and nitrenes, probably via transient 2 germa-l-oxa-3,5-diazolidines **134.** The imines formed contain the NR" group of the initial germanimines^{140,141} (Scheme 28). Similar reactions are observed with di $chloro-N-methylgermanimine$ (from ring opening of chlorocyclotrigermazanes catalyzed by Lewis bases (HMPA) or Lewis acids $(ZnCl_2, M(CO)_6, M(CO)_5$ -THF $(M = Cr, W)$.¹⁴² Germanimines obtained from catalytic depolymerization of cyclodigermazane by $ZnCl₂$ or $Et₃N$ insert into ethylene oxide with formation of 2-germa-1,3-oxazolidine **135¹⁴⁰** (eq 43). Reactions of diazo

compounds $R'_2CN_2 (R'_2C =$ fluorenylidene or Ph_2) with germene $Mes₂Ge=CR₂ (35)$ lead to cyclodigermazanes 48 and **51,** probably via transient germanimines 52, with evolution of carbene \rm{CR}_2 and formation of $\rm{R_2CH_2^{65}}$ (cf. eq 16-18).

The transient diphenyl- N -mesitylgermanimine $(Ph₂Ge=NMes)$ (from dehydrohalogenation of $Ph₂ClGeVHMes$ by DBU) gives unstable 1,4 adducts 136 and 1,2 adducts 137 with di-tert-butyl-3,5-oquinone²²³ (Scheme 29).

(2) Stable Germanimines

The electron-rich germylene Ge $[N(SiMe₃)₂]$ ₂ reacts with the diazo compound $N_2C(COOMe)_2$ to give germyleneazines $[(Me₃Si)₂N]₂Ge=N-N=C(COOMe)₂$ $(138).^{144-146}$ Two forms of the stable germyleneazine have been characterized in solution by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy. MNDO calculations on the simpler analogue $[(H_2Si)_2N]_2GeVNC(COOMe)_2$ indicate that the two forms have planar transoid **138a** and cisoid **138b** GeNNC groups, respectively, with the cisoid isomer as the more stable (Scheme 30). The calculations also indicate that charge-controlled additions of electrophiles will occur at nitrogen adjacent to germanium while all nucleophilic additions will occur at Ge.^{144,145}

Some adducts of $[(Me₃Si)₂N]₂Ge=N-N=C (COOMe)_2$ (138) with weak acids $H\bar{X}$ are described. In all cases 1,2-addition of HX occurs across the Ge-N bond to yield $[(Me₃Si)₂N]₂Ge(X)[NHN=0]$ $(COOD_{2}]$ (Scheme 31). The reaction with water is

SCHEME 31

SCHEME 32

more complex; when at least 2 molar equiv of water is used, the germyleneazine undergoes complete cleavage of the unique Ge-N bond to give the germanediol $(HO)_2Ge[N(SiMe_3)_2]$ ₂ together with the hydrazone $H_2NN=C(COOMe)_2$. When only 1 molar equiv of water was added to $[(Me₃Si)₂N]₂GeVNC(COOMe)₂$, the products included not only $Ge(OH)_2[N(SiMe_3)_2]_2$ and $H_2N-N=C(COOMe)_2$ but also the very labile $[(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_2\text{N}]_2\text{Ge}(\text{OH})[\text{NH}-\text{N}=\text{C}(\text{COOMe})_2]$, which decomposes to $\frac{1}{n}[\text{GeO(N(SiMe₃)₂]₂]}$ and $\text{H}_2\text{N=}$ N-C- $\rm (COOMe)_2$. The same germylene $\rm Ge[N(SiMe_3)_2]_2$ reacts with other diazo compounds $R^1CH_2COC(N_2)\tilde{R}^2$ that contain an enolizable function to yield the heterocycles $[(Me₃Si)₂N]₂GeNH-N=C(R²)C(=CHR¹)O (139)¹⁴⁶$ (Scheme 32). The crystal and molecular structure of one of these compounds 139 ($R^1 = H$, $R^2 = COOEt$) has been described.¹⁴⁷

The weakly acidic diazo compounds ethyl diazoacetate and diazoacetophenone yield bis adducts $[(Me₃Si)₂N]₂Ge(C(N₂)COR][NH-N=CHCOR]$ (R = OEt or Ph).¹⁴⁶

AU the reactions of germylene with these diazo compounds can be rationalized in terms of the initial formation of a germyleneazine $[(Me₃Si)₂N]₂Ge=N-N=$ C(X)Y formed by initial addition of the terminal nitrogen of the diazo group to the germylene Ge[N- $(SiMe₃)₂$. The adduct, containing a Ge=N bond, can be trapped either intramolecularly in the presence of enolizable groups or by addition of weakly protic species. The characterization of the germyleneazine $[(Me₃Si)₂N]₂Ge=N-N=C(COOMe)₂ (138) represents$ the first system containing three-coordinate germanium forming a formal double bond, which does not rely on the presence of sterically bulky substituents at both ends of the double bond to confer kinetic persist- $_{\rm ence.}^{\rm max.}$ 144–146

Reaction of germylene $Ge[N(SiMe₃)₂]$ with tosyl azide follows a different course from the reaction involving diazo compounds; nitrogen is lost and the product is a polygermazane $[(Me₃Si)₂N]₂GeNSO₂C₆H₄Me-_P]_n$. The product probably arises via the formation of transitory germanimine $[(Me₃Si)₂N]₂Ge=NSO₂C₆H₄Me-_P.¹⁴⁵]$

Two stable germanimines 140 and 141 have recently been characterized from the action of bis[bis(trimethylsilyl) amino] germylene on triethylsilyl azide or tri-tert-butoxysilyl azide¹⁴⁸ (eq 44). The germanimines are characterized by MS, ¹H NMR, and ²⁹Si NMR. Germanimines 140 and 141 are white solids that quickly

react with water to form triamino hydroxygermanes 142 (eq 45).

$$
[(CH3)3SI2NGe == NSiX3 + H2O penlane
$$

$$
[(CH3)3SI2N
$$

$$
\begin{vmatrix} [(CH_3)_3S\bar{J}_2N] \, {}_{2}\text{Ge(OH)NHSiX}_{3} & (45) \\ 142a, X = C_2H_5 & b, X = O \cdot t \cdot C_4H_9 \end{vmatrix}
$$

Methanol reacts only with 141 to give triamino methoxygermane 143 (eq 46).

$$
[(CH3)3S12N] 2Ge = NSi(O-t-C4H9)3 + CH3OH
$$

141

$$
[(CH3)3S12N] 2Ge(CCH3)NHSi(O-t-C4H9)3
$$
 (46)

The reactions of diazagermylenes $[(CH₃)₃Si(R)N]₂ Ge$ 144 (R = $(CH_3)_3Si$, 2,6- $\overline{CH_3}_2C_6H_3$, 2,4,6- $\overline{CH_3}_3C_6H_2$) with trimethylsilyl azide lead to azido germanium derivatives 145 (eq 47), probably via transitory formation of germanimines followed by addition of trimethylsilyl azide on the Ge=N double bond.

$$
{\begin{bmatrix} (CH_3)_3S i_{N} & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}^2 Ge + 2N_3Si(CH_3)_3 \xrightarrow{\text{THF}_2 2S^2 C} N_2
$$

144

$$
{\begin{bmatrix} (CH_3)_3Si_{N} & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}^2 Ge \xrightarrow{N[Si(CH_3)_3]_2} (47)
$$

145

Reaction of germa(II)indane 146 with N_3SiX_3 (X = CH_3, C_2H_5) leads to the germate tragermoles 147 (eq 48), probably by preliminary formation of an unstable germanimine followed by $[2 + 3]$ cycloaddition of triorganosilyl azide.

By the same route the sterically hindered germylenes $[(CH₃)₃Si(R)N]₂Ge 148$ react with phenyl and benzyl azides to give the corresponding germatetrazole 149 (eq 49).

 $R' = C_6H_5$, $CH_2C_6H_5$

The reactions of less hindered diazagermylene **150** with N_3SiX_3 $(X = CH_3, O \cdot t \cdot C_4H_9)$ lead to hexaazadigermadispirododecanes 151, the dimer of the transient germanimine¹⁴⁸ (eq 50).

A new stable germanimine, N -(trifluoromethyl)germanimine $CF_3N=GeH_2(152)$, was recently synthesized by reaction of CF_3NO and GeH_4 and characterized by IR and electron impact mass spectrometry¹⁴⁹ (eq 51).

$$
CF_3NO + GeH_4
$$
 — $CF_3N = GeH_2 + H_2O$ (51)
152

 N -(Trifluoromethyl)germanimine (152) was isolated at -96 °C in a trap-to-trap fractionation under high vacuum as a white solid in 25% yield. At room temperature the germanimine **152** became a colorless gas. On standing in an evacuated ampule for 2 days at room temperature, the germanimine **152** underwent polymerization.

The presence of the $Ge=$ N double bond in this germanimine was chemically confirmed by its reaction with hydrogen iodide, which gave the product CF_3NHGeH_2I in 33% yield.

The presence of the GeH_2 moiety in N -(trifluoromethyl)germanimine (152) was confirmed by its reaction with bis(trifluoromethyl)nitroxyl, which is a powerful hydrogen abstractor and a radical scavenger (eqs 52 and 53). The new [bis(trifluoromethyl)nitroxy]-

$$
CF_{3}N = \text{GeH}_{2} + 2(CF_{3})_{2}NO \longrightarrow
$$

\n
$$
CF_{3}N = \text{Ge}(H)ON(CF_{3})_{2} + (CF_{3})_{2}NOH \quad (52)
$$

\n
$$
153
$$

\n
$$
CF_{3}N = \text{GeH}_{2} + 4(CF_{3})_{2}NO \longrightarrow
$$

\n
$$
CF_{3}N = \text{Ge}[\text{ON}(CF_{3})_{2}]_{2} + 2(CF_{3})_{2}NOH \quad (53)
$$

\n
$$
154
$$

\ngermanimines 153 and 154 were isolated at -70 °C as

white solids. They are stable at room temperature. Cleavage of the Ge-O bonds of both the [bis(trifluoromethyl)nitroxy]germanimines **153** and **154** by hydrogen chloride afforded the corresponding chloro derivatives **155** and **156** in 53% and 57% yields, respectively (eqs 54 and 55). Compounds **155** and **156** are stable at -20

$$
\mathsf{CF}_{3}\mathsf{N} \equiv \mathsf{Ge}(\mathsf{H})\mathsf{ON}(\mathsf{CF}_{3})_{2} + \mathsf{H}\mathsf{Cl} \rightarrow \mathsf{CF}_{3}\mathsf{N} \equiv \mathsf{Ge}\mathsf{H}\mathsf{Cl} + \mathsf{CF}_{3}\mathsf{N}\mathsf{OH} \tag{54}
$$

155

 $CF_3N=Ge(ON(CF_3)_2)$ + 2HCI $\rightarrow CF_3N=GeCI_2$ + 2CF₃NOH (55) **156**

⁰C. On standing for 1 day at room temperature in evacuated ampules, both **155** and **156** deposited some nonvolatile solids.

The presence of the Ge=N double bond in the new germanimines 153, **154,** 155, and **156** was indicated by their IR spectra, which gave absorption peaks located at 1028, 1070, 1057, and 1076 cm^{-1} ,¹⁴⁹ respectively, which are slightly higher than the band at 970 cm⁻¹ reported SCHEME 33

$$
\begin{array}{c}\nH \\
1.552 \text{ A} \\
113.1^{\circ} \\
1.538 \text{ A} \\
H\n\end{array}\n\qquad\n\begin{array}{c}\n122.8^{\circ} \\
\hline\n128.8^{\circ} \\
\hline\n1.695 \text{ A} \\
118.1^{\circ} \\
\end{array}\n\qquad\n\begin{array}{c}\nH \\
1.022 \text{ A} \\
\hline\n1.695 \text{ A} \\
\hline\n\end{array}
$$

for the F_2 Ge=NPh double bond.⁶ The stability of these nonhindered germanimines described in this paper¹⁴⁹ is, however, rather surprising.

Trimesitylgermanimine ($Mes₂Ge=NMes (157)$) was obtained by reaction between dimesitylgermylene and mesityl azide (eq 56) and also by photolysis of trimes-

$$
Mes2Ge \begin{array}{c}\n M & \frac{Me_3N}{N} \\
 \hline\n - Me_3N, HCl\n \end{array}
$$
\n
$$
[Mes2Ge] \begin{array}{c}\n \frac{MesN_3}{N} & Mes2Ge=\n \hline\n Nes2Ge=\n \hline\n 157\n \end{array}
$$

itylgermyl azide (158). Transitory formation of (trimesitylgermyl)nitrene **(159)** and Curtius-like rearrangement are postulated in this rearrangement.¹⁵⁰ The cyclic isomer **160** is also formed by insertion of germylnitrene into the C-H bond of the mesityl group (eq 57).

$$
Mes3Gen3 \xrightarrow{hv} [Mes3Gen] \longrightarrow
$$

\n158
\n159
\n
$$
Mes2Ge = NMes + Mes2Ge - NH (57)
$$

\n157
\n
$$
CH2
$$

\n160
\n160

Hydrolysis by HCl carried out at the end of the photolysis reaction leads to Mes₂GeCl₂, thus confirming the Curtius-like rearrangement.¹⁵⁰

Trimesitylgermanimine **(157)** has been characterized by pseudo-Wittig reaction with benzaldehyde and formation of PhCH=NMes and $(Mes₂GeO)₂$.¹⁵⁰ Recently, Ando et al.²²⁸ have characterized **157** by irradiation of $Mes₃GeN₃$ in a 3-methylpentane matrix at 77 K. The formation of azagermacyclopentene **160** is also observed (50% yield). Mes₂Ge=NMes (157) is intensely yellow with absorption maxima at 309 and 459 nm. This assignment agrees with that of West et al. for the analogous silanimine $Mes₂Si=NMes$, which has absorption $\frac{3000 \text{ M}}{296 \text{ and } 444 \text{ nm}}$. $229,230$

In the presence of ethanol, in addition to a 50% yield of **160,** diethoxydimesitylgermane **(161)** and 2,4,6-trimethylaniline (162) were obtained in 14% and 11% yields, respectively (eq 57a).

$$
\mathsf{Mes}_2\mathsf{Ge} \equiv \mathsf{NMes} + 2\mathsf{EIOH} \longrightarrow \mathsf{Mes}_2\mathsf{Ge}(\mathsf{OEI})_2 + \mathsf{MesNH}_2 \quad (57a)
$$
\n
$$
157 \qquad 161 \qquad 162
$$

(3) Theoretical Studies

Germanimine $(H_2Ge=NH)$ is predicted to be a planar molecule.²⁶ Its optimized geometry at the SCF level is shown in Scheme 33.

The Ge=N bond length (1.695 Å) is shorter than a normal Ge-N σ bond (1.83-1.87 $\hat{A}^{148,151}$). The length, force constant, and absorption frequency of the $Ge= N$ (noncoupled) bond at the CI level are found to be $\delta_{\rm Ge-N}$ = 1.727 Å, $K_{\text{Ge--N}}$ = 5.37 mdyn/Å, and $v_{\text{Ge--N}}$ = 854 cm^{-1} .

The germylene isomer H GeN H_2 is calculated to be more stable than the doubly bonded form H_2 Ge=NH.

SCHEME 34

The energy difference between $HGeNH₂$ and $H₂Ge=$ NH is 32 kcal/mol. The relative stabilization of aminogermylene is enhanced by delocalization of a π lone pair in the vacant germanium $p\pi$ orbital. The charge distribution and dipole moment of the germanimine is shown in Scheme 34. The theoretical analysis and experimental studies of the flash pyrolysis of trimethylgermyl azide **163** combined with calculations of the ionization potentials of the rearrangement products have led to the conclusion of the formation of germaisonitrile 164 as the thermolysis product¹⁵² (eq 58).

(CH3J3GeN³ **163** 1100 K, 10" ² mbar [Ge=NH] + N² **164** (58)

(4) Stable Silanimines

The stable silanimine t -Bu₂Si=N- t -Bu has been prepared in quantitative yield from the reaction between azidodi-tert-butylchlorosilanes and *{tri-tert-bu*tylsilyl)sodium in dibutyl ether at -78 °C.

The mechanism of this reaction is not well understood. It seems that the silanimine could be formed after a sequence of substitution reactions, formation of silylnitrene, and Curtius-like rearrangement (eq 59).

 t -Bu₂Si=Ni- t -Bu has been structurally characterized by X-ray analysis $(Si=N = 1.568 \text{ Å}, Si-N-Si = 177$ $(\check{8})^{\circ}$).²²⁴

The same silanimine can be obtained by thermal elimination of LiCl from $(t-Bu)_2$ ClSi-NLi-Si $(t-Bu)_3$.²²⁵ A free silanimine, diisopropyl $[(2,4,6\text{-}tri\text{-}tert\text{-}butyl\text{-}tert\text{-}trdt]$ phenyl)imino]silane, has been isolated by elimination of LiCl from chlorodiisopropyl $[N\text{-}lithio-N-(2,4,6-tri-$ £ert-butylphenyl)amino]silane heated in a vacuum at 0.01 mbar. The silanimine sublimes at 80 $^{\circ}$ C. It is an orange crystalline solid which melts without decomposition to give a deep red liquid.²²⁶

V. Ge=P Species: Germaphosphenes

(1) Transient Germaphosphenes

Until recently, germaphosphenes RR'Ge=PR" were postulated only as reactive intermediates and characterized by trapping reactions. These highly reactive and short-lived species were obtained by two routes: thermal decomposition of the four-membered heterocycles 2-germaphosphetanes **165¹⁵³** and dechlorosilylation between dialkyldichlorogermanes and disilylphosphines.¹⁵⁴ The transient germaphosphenes 166 were clearly characterized by formation of dimeric or trimeric cyclic germylphosphine **167** and by insertion and ring-expansion reactions with strained three- or

four-membered heterocycles (Scheme 35).

In the case of silicon and tin, sila- and stannaphosphenes $\text{RR}'\text{M}= \text{PR}''$ (M = Si,¹⁵⁵ Sn¹⁵⁶) could also until recently only be obtained and characterized by similar procedures.

(2) Stable Germaphosphenes

(a) Synthesis

Owing to substituents having strong steric hindrance and electronic effects, three stable germaphosphenes—167,¹⁵⁷ 168,¹⁵⁸ and 169¹⁵⁸—have recently been obtained. The best route to **167-169,** which is nearly quantitative, is the dehydrofluorination of the corresponding fluorogermylphosphines **170** by *tert-bu*ty!lithium at low temperature (eq 60).

In the case of **169,** the best yield (75%) is obtained by using pentane as solvent for the dehydrofluorination. With diethyl ether, the lithiophosphine is quite stable¹⁵⁸ and leads after 24 h at 25 ⁰C to the expected germaphosphene only in poor yield. The major product is the germylphosphine t -Bu₂Ge(H)P(H)Ar, probably formed via biradical **171.**

In solution in pentane **169** gives after 1 week at room temperature the germylphosphine **172;** this transformation is much more rapid in diethyl ether. So it seems that, contrary to **167,** the germaphosphene **169** substituted on germanium by two alkyl groups has some radical character (eq 61).

Two other routes, routes b and c, to germaphosphenes starting from chlorogermylphosphines have also been used but lead to 167 only in poor yield¹⁵⁷ (eq 62).

In the case of **168,** starting from a 60/40 mixture of the diastereoisomers **173,** a sole isomer **168a** is obtained after reaction at low temperature.¹⁵⁸ This phenomenon is explained by the preferential reaction of one diastereoisomer of **173** and by a rapid thermodynamic equilibrium between the two diastereoisomers of **173,** probably due to the configurational instability of

asymmetric fluorogermanes.¹⁵⁹ After 5 h at room temperature the ratio **168a/168b** becomes nearly 0/100 (eq 63).

The structure of **168b** has been proved by X-ray analysis (see section V.2.b).

The cis-trans isomerization barrier (22.3 kcal/mol) has been determined by NMR. A similar value was experimentally obtained for diphosphene ArP=PAr (Ar $= 2,4,6$ -tri-tert-butylphenyl); but in the case of germene $(H_2Ge=CH_2)$, the calculated isomerization barrier is much higher $(31 \text{ kcal/mol})^{59}$ (see section II).

Note, however, the large discrepancy between the experimental value for ArP=PAr (22.3 kcal/mol)¹⁶⁰ and the theoretical value for $HP=PH(34 \text{ kcal/mol})$;¹⁶¹ this is probably due to the very important steric and electronic effects of the very bulky Ar group. Values higher than the 22.3 kcal/mol found for germaphosphene 168b¹⁵⁸ are also calculated for silaphosphene (H_2S) = PH ¹⁶¹ and for phosphene $(H_2C=PH)$.^{161,162}

(b) Physicochemical Studies

The yellow-orange crystals of **167** and **168b** are air and moisture sensitive but very thermally stable (until 150 °C); they can be stored without change for months in an inert atmosphere.

Germaphosphenes **167,** 168, and **169** are thermochromic: they are yellow at -80 ⁰C, orange at room temperature, and orange-red at +80 ⁰C. Such a reversible phenomenon has previously been noted in other doubly bonded species like disilenes^{2b} or diphosphenes.⁹ The vibrational population of the electronic ground state changes drastically from low to room temperature $(\nu_{\text{Ge}}=p = 500 \text{ cm}^{-1} \sim 1.4 \text{ kcal/mol})$, and from this reorganization a red shift of the electronic absorption is expected according to the Franck-Condon principle.¹⁵⁸

A rather important temperature dependence of 12-14 ppm has been observed for the ³¹P chemical shift of germaphosphenes (see Table 7).¹⁵⁸ Increasing the temperature causes a low-field shift in connection with the red shift of the electronic absorption: an analogous connection between electronic absorption and ¹¹⁹Sn chemical shifts has been observed in the series $Ph₃Sn (Sn-t-Bu_2)_nSnPh_3$ ($n = 1-4$).¹⁶³

The ${}^{31}P$ chemical shifts $(148.5-178.2)$ (see Table 7) are characteristic of dicoordinated phosphorus. As in

TABLE 7. Variation of δ ⁽³¹P) Chemical Shifts with **Temperature (ppm)**

		³¹ P NMR		
germaphosphene	-80 °C	$+20 °C$	$+80 °C$	
Ar Mes $Ge =$	$+164.8$	$+173.6$	$+178.2$	
Mes Mes Ge≕		$+169.2$		
t-Bu A٢ $t - Bu$ Ge=	$+149.1$	$+157.4$	$+161.0$	
Mes Ar t-Bu				
Ge= t-Βι	$+148.5$	$+156.6$	$+159.8$	

the case of stable germenes, germanimines, and digermenes, no ⁷³Ge NMR signal could be detected. ⁷³Ge NMR gives good results only in the case of relatively symmetrical molecules. Compounds **167** and **168b** have strong Raman emission at 503 and 501.5 cm⁻¹ (see Table 8 .¹⁵⁸ A good correlation is observed with previous calculations using pseudopotentials: the value obtained by Barthelat with configuration interaction is 481 $\rm cm^{-1}$. 167

The structures of **167** and **168b** have been determined by X-ray crystallography158,166 (Figures 5 and 6). The germanium-phosphorus double-bond length (2.138 and 2.144 A; see Table 8) is substantially shorter than the standard germanium-phosphorus single bond (2.33-2.35 \AA).^{96,168-173} This shortening of about 8.5% (about the same as that in other main-group doubly bonded species) and the essentially planar double-bond geometry (the sum of the angles on germanium is 360° and the five atoms $C_2Ge=PC$ are in the same plane) support the existence of a true double bond.

When the germanium is substituted by two different groups (mesityl and tert-butyl), the most stable isomer has the tert-butyl group cis to the tri-tert-butylphenyl group.¹⁵⁸ Such an arrangement may seem surprising, since tert-butyl is considered to be bulkier than mesityl;¹⁷⁴ however, it is the sole possibility allowing free rotation of all groups, which is not possible when mesityl and tri-tert-butylphenyl are cis.

A good correlation between calculations and experiment was observed for bond lengths and angles in $Ge=167$

Figure 5. ORTEP view of 167 (reprinted from *Organometallics* 1988, 7, 1010; copyright 1988 American Chemical Society).

Figure 6. ORTEP view of 168b (reprinted from *New J. Chem.* 1989, *13,* 389; copyright 1989 CDR-Centrale des Revues).

(c) Chemical Reactivity of 167 and 168b

The double-bond character of the germanium-phosphorus connection, as shown by X-ray data, was also supported by the study of the chemical reactivity. Only a behavior of a doubly bonded compound was observed and never a behavior of germylene R_2 Ge: and phosphinidene ArP, as if the germanium-phosphorus connection was very weak.

Germaphosphene 168b reacts regiospecifically with protic reagents such as fluorhydric acid or water;¹⁵⁸ germylphosphines 174 are obtained in a nearly quantitative yield. Immediately after reaction, ³¹P NMR shows a mixture of two diastereoisomers in the ratio 60/40, due to a rapid thermodynamic equilibrium (eq 65).

Germaphosphene 167 is very reactive toward compounds having active hydrogens such as water,157,185 alcohols,^{157,185} thiols,^{175,185} amines,^{175,185} mineral and organic acids,^{157,175,185} phosphorus ylides,^{157,185} and acetylenic compounds175,185 (Scheme 36). These additions

are highly regiospecific, producing only secondary phosphines. The regiochemistry is determined particularly by polar effects arising from the fact that germanium (electronegativity $2.0^{51,52}$) is more electropositive than phosphorus $(2.1^{51,52})$. The indicated germanium-phosphorus bond polarity $Ge^{\delta+}=P^{\delta-}$ is in good agreement with calculations.¹⁶⁷

Bromine and iodine react with 167 to produce the expected adduct 175 and, surprisingly, the halogermylphosphine 176 as a major product.^{175,185} The formation of 175 and 176 probably involves two competitive mechanisms: an electrophilic addition on the double bond and a radical process with an intermediate $Mes₂Ge(X)P[*]Ar abstracting X[*] (formation of 175) and$ H* (formation of 176) from the solvent. Nucleophiles such as lithio compounds or Grignard reagents add easily to the double bond, affording deep red solutions characteristic of the presence of the phosphorus anions.175,185 Quenching with methanol gives the new germylphosphines 177. Reduction of the germaniumphosphorus double bond is observed with lithium aluminum hydride and borane, together with the adduct minum hydride and borane, together with the adduct
178 in this last case^{175,185} (Scheme 36). Similar additions of lithio compounds have been observed on diphosphenes.176,177

Germaphosphene 167 reacts with dimethyl disulfide, probably by a radical process, leading to 179 (Scheme 36). Even with a large excess of dimethyl disulfide, cleavage of the germanium-phosphorus single bond has not been observed.^{175,185} The same phenomenon occurs with electrophiles and nucleophiles, which react only with the double bond. The fact that the Ge-P single bond, which is usually cleaved by lithio compounds,¹⁷⁸ Grignard reagents,¹⁷⁹ hydrides,¹⁸⁰ and dimethyl disulfide, 181 is unaffected is probably due to the very large steric hindrance of the mesityl and tri-tert-butylphenyl groups.

Reactions of germaphosphene 167 with halogenated compounds such as carbon tetrachloride or diiodomethane^{175,185} are particularly interesting because they lead to phosphaalkenes 179 and 180, unsaturated compounds of phosphorus with a carbon-phosphorus double bond. The first step of this reaction is probably the addition of carbon tetrachloride (or diiodomethane) to the germanium-phosphorus double bond followed by a β elimination initiated by the affinity of chlorine for germanium¹⁸⁶ (eq 66). Phosphaalkenes $ArP=CCl₂$ ¹⁸³

Figure 7. ORTEP and PLUTO views of respectively 167 and 181a (reprinted from *Organometallics* 1988, 7, 1010 (167), and *Organometallics* 1988, 7, 1545 (181a); copyright 1988 American Chemical Society).

and $ArP = CH_2$ ¹⁸⁴ have been prepared through other routes by Appel and Issleib, respectively.

$$
Mes2Ge = PAr
$$
\n
$$
167
$$
\n
$$
167
$$
\n
$$
CCl2
$$
\n
$$
167
$$
\n
$$
1682GeI2 + ArP = CCl2
$$
\n
$$
1682
$$
\n
$$
169
$$

Cycloadditions have also been observed with germaphosphenes. For example, addition of sulfur or selenium to 167 leads to the corresponding three-membered rings $181a^{175}$ and $181b^{95,175}$ (eq 67). The first step of

$$
Mes_2Ge \longrightarrow Ar \xrightarrow{\begin{array}{c} 1/6 \text{Se} \\ (or Se) \end{array}} \begin{bmatrix} Mes_2Ge \longrightarrow Par \\ \begin{bmatrix} \text{Se} \\ \text{Se} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \text{ Mes}_2Ge \longrightarrow Ar \\ (Se) \xrightarrow{\begin{array}{c} 181a, S \\ b, Se \end{array}} \tag{67}
$$

this reaction is probably the formation of germaphosphene sulfide or selenide 182, which isomerizes rapidly to 181 due to the great affinity of sulfur or selenium for germanium (for example, $Ge-Se = 115$ $kcal/mol$.¹⁸⁶

A similar mechanism has been postulated by Yoshifuji in the reaction of sulfur with diphosphenes: in this case the intermediate 183 is relatively stable and isomerizes slowly to the three-membered ring 184^{187} (eq 68).

The X-ray structure of 181a deserves some comments: germanium, phosphorus, and the three ipso carbons of the aromatic rings are nearly in the same plane with a quasi-planar germanium.⁹⁶ Surprisingly, the geometry of 181a is very close to that observed in the starting germaphosphene 167¹⁶⁶ (Figure 7).

So it seems that due to the planarity of the molecule (sum of angles on germanium $= 358.1^{\circ}$) and the short

Ge-P bond, the hybridization at germanium may be better represented as sp^2 than sp^3 and partial π bonding may exist between the germanium and the phosphorus atoms. The bonding may be intermediate between that of a normal three-membered ring and that of a π complex⁹⁶ (eq 69).

. A ^e-P - — >f- (69)

Similar observations have been made by West in the three-membered ring obtained from disilenes;⁹⁹ West postulated a contribution from the form B (eq 70). This hypothesis has been supported by calculations.¹⁰¹

Addition of an excess of sulfur to 181a leads to metadithiophosphonate 185 and 2,4-digerma-l,3-dithietane 187. The formation of 187 may involve a germanethione intermediate 186 (eq 71).

Thermolysis of 167 (140 \degree C/48 h) affords nearly quantitatively 188, the unique stable germaphosphetene¹⁷³ (Scheme 37). X-ray analysis shows a nearly planar four-membered heterocycle. The formation of 188 may be explained by a radical process (route a) involving the preliminary formation of 189 by intramolecular radical aromatic substitution, followed by an abstraction of hydrogen from the solvent.¹⁷³ A similar aromatic substitution involving a silylated radical has been described by Ishikawa in the thermolysis of disilacyclopropanes.¹⁸⁸ However, route b or other routes for the formation of 188 are not excluded (Scheme 37).

(3) SiIa- and Stannaphosphenes

(a) Silaphosphenes

Stable silaphosphenes 190 have also been recently synthesized and stabilized owing to bulky substituents on silicon and phosphorus.

They were obtained by Bickelhaupt^{189,190} from the reaction of ArP(H)Li and the corresponding dichlorosilanes $RR'SiCl₂$, followed by elimination of HCl. Depending on R and R', several side reactions were encountered; for this reason and because of their instability, the compounds were not isolated in pure form (eq 72).

Silaphosphenes were characterized by their low-field ³¹P and ²⁹Si NMR data, in the expected range for doubly bonded silicon and phosphorus, with a large ¹J(PSi) coupling constant: δ ⁽³¹P): 93–136 ppm; δ ⁽²⁹Si): $148.7 - 175.9$ ppm; $^{1}J(PSi)$: 149-155 Hz. They react very easily with methanol and tellurium¹⁹⁰ to give the corresponding adducts (eq 73).

(b) Stannaphosphenes

Only one stable stannaphosphene R₂Sn=PAr (191) has been stabilized so far in a monomeric state.¹⁹¹ Compound **191** was synthesized by dehydrofluorination of the corresponding fluorostannylphosphine with £er£-butyllithium (eq 74).

$$
Bis_{2}Sn-PAr \xrightarrow{l-Bul} \begin{bmatrix} Bis_{2}Sn-PAr \\ \vdots \\ H \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Bis_{2}Sn-PAr \\ \vdots \\ E \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{-LIF} \begin{bmatrix} Bis_{2}Sn \implies PAr \\ \vdots \\ 191 \end{bmatrix}
$$
 (74)

$$
Bis = (Me_{3}Si)_{2}CH, Ar = \bigotimes_{\mathcal{A}} \begin{bmatrix} \bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{I} & \mathbb{I} \end{bmatrix}
$$

The stannaphosphene structure of **191** was unambiguously determined by NMR: the ³¹P NMR chemical shift $(+204.7$ ppm) falls in the range of sila- and germaphosphenes. The ¹¹⁹Sn chemical shift, at very low field $(+658.3 \text{ ppm}/\text{Me}_4\text{Sn})$, is also a normal value for a tricoordinate $p\pi$ -hybridized tin. Similar chemical shifts have been observed in stannenes⁷¹ and distannenes.¹³⁴ Very significant are the coupling constants between phosphorus and tin $(^1J(P^{117}Sn) = 2191, \frac{1J}{1}$ SCHEME 38

 $(P¹¹⁹Sn) = 2195 Hz$. These values are much larger than for single-bonded tin-phosphorus compounds (1150-1200 Hz), which can be attributed to a π bond between tin and phosphorus. A similar effect has been observed in the coupling constants, for example for $\rm U(P^{29}Si)$ in silaphosphenes and $\rm U(PP)$ in diphosphenes.

The structure of **191** was corroborated by its chemical behavior:191,192 addition of protic reagents (alcohols,^{185,191} hydrogen chloride^{185,191}), lithio compounds, and Grignard reagents^{185,192} to the double bond is regiospecific and confirms its expected polarity $Sn^{\delta+}$ =P δ ⁻. Reduction of the double bond by $LiAlH₄$, 185,192 addition of dimethyl disulfide,^{185,192} and cycloaddition with selenium^{185,192} are also observed (Scheme 38).

Thermolysis of 191 in a sealed tube at 70 °C for 15 h gives a completely different reaction from that observed in the case of germaphosphenes. It leads, probably according to a metathesis-type reaction, to the stannylene 192 and the phosphinidene 193.^{185,192} Evidence for the formation of **192** has been obtained by the thermolysis of **191** in the presence of BisCl, leading to $\operatorname{Bis}_2\operatorname{SnCl}_2$ (eq 75).

$$
Big2Sn = PAr
$$

\n
$$
191
$$
\n
$$
Big1 = 192
$$
\n
$$
Big1 = 193
$$
\n
$$
Big1 =
$$

Reactions of stripping of halogens by divalent species of group 14 have already been observed by Neumann.¹⁹³ In the reaction between BisCl and stannylene **192** at room temperature, Lappert has observed the formation of Bis_3SnCl (194)¹⁹⁴ (eq 76).

$$
Bis2Sn + BisCl \longrightarrow Bis3SnCl
$$
 (76)

These two completely different reactions can be explained by a difference in the stannylene electronic state: singlet, which is the ground state of stannylene,^{108,195} in the case of Lappert, and triplet when generated thermally from stannaphosphene.

VI. Ge=X (X = O, S) Species: Germanones and Germanethlones

The chemistry of germanones and germanethiones is essentially the chemistry of elusive reactive intermediates.

Early work, summarized in a previous review,⁶ was based on thermal generation of $[>Ge=X]$ species (X)

SCHEME 39 SCHEME 41

 $=$ O, S) by various β eliminations on linear germoxanes or germathianes, various cycloreversions, and oxygen or sulfur abstractions by germylenes.

A survey of the more recent results shows that no free germanone and only one compound with an intramolecular base-stabilized Ge-S double bond have yet been isolated. These $[>Ge=X]$ species exhibit only fleeting existence under the experimental conditions used. Thus their preparation and their trapping, which are usually two aspects of the same experiment, often need to be described together. The only direct observation is based on a recent germanethione matrix isolation work.

(1) Transient Germanone and Germanethione Generation

Reaction of germylenes with oxygen or sulfur donors is an interesting reaction process which leads to germanones or germanethiones. In early work O_2 , KMn O_4 , DMSO_1 C₅H₅NO, and S₈ were the favorite oxidizing agents.⁶

It is noteworthy that the adducts of germylenetransition-metal complexes of the type $Y_2GeV(CO)_{5}$. THF ($M = Cr$ or W , $\bar{Y} = F$ or Cl) with various 1,2-dipoles, aldehydes, oximes, and oxidizing agents (DMSO, C_6H_5NO , C_5H_5NO) present high stability that impedes their decomposition into $[>\widetilde{Ge}=X]$ intermediates.¹⁹⁶

Bis[(2,4,6-tri-ter£-butylphenyl)germylene] **(195)** is oxidized by elemental sulfur to give the germaindanethiol **196** apparently via the intermediate germanethione 197 by addition of an ortho tert-butyl group C-H bond to the Ge=S double bond¹⁹⁷ (Scheme 39).

A route involving the reaction of dimesitylgermylene and sulfur to dimesitylgermanethione was suggested to explain the production of dithiadigermirane **199a** and dithiadigermetane **200** from irradiation of a cyclohexane solution of hexamesitylcyclotrigermane and elemental sulfur in a quartz tube at room temperature with a low-pressure mercury lamp.^{86a} Similarly, selenadigermirane **199b** was obtained from the photolysis of **198** and selenium in cyclohexane at room temperature, but it is noteworthy that no adduct from dimesitylgermylene and selenium could be found (Scheme 40).

Photolysis of **199** (a and b) with 2,3-dimethyl-l,3 butadiene produces only products from dimesitylger-

SCHEME 42

SCHEME 43

mylene and dimesitylgermanethione or -selenone (Scheme 41).

The intermediacy of free germanethione has been proposed to rationalize the results of the reaction of dimethylgermylene and thioketones^{32,33} (adamantanethione and indanethione). Formation of 1,3,2,4-dithiadigermolanes **201** is explained by the authors in quite conventional fashion: dimethylgermylene adds to thioketone to form thiagermirane, which reacts with another molecule of germylene to eliminate sulfur to form dimethylgermanethione (Scheme 42).

In the reaction of dimethylgermylene with bis(trimethylsilyl)thioketene, compounds **203** and **204** were obtained. Digermathietane or germathiirane are not formed in this reaction, in contrast with the reaction with di-tert-butylthioketene. The reaction proceeds probably by addition of germylene to thioketene to give the intermediate **202,** which decomposes directly to acetylenic **203** and germanethione or alternatively to germanethione and alkylidenecarbene⁸⁰ (Scheme 43).

Various germaoxetanes and -thietanes $\rm R_2\overline{Ge-X-C-C}$ $(X = 0, S)$ are thermally unstable and lead probably via β elimination to transient dialkylgermanones and

SCHEME 44

germanethiones, which can be trapped with oxirane or thiirane.⁶⁷ Reaction of chlorodialkylgermanes R₂GeHCl with (i) triethylamine in pentane (elimination of $Et₃N·HCl$ by filtration) and (ii) various oxiranes and thiiranes is one of the recent methods investigated for the obtention of these four-membered heterocycles¹⁹⁸ (Scheme 44).

Evidence for the initial formation of germaoxetane or -thietane in these reactions was obtained when experiments starting from chloromethylphenylgermane were carried out with oxirane. In this case a rapid dimerization reaction of the transient germaoxetane occurs instead of decomposition to germanone (eq 77).

With phenyloxirane and chlorodiethylgermane as starting materials, the reaction leads to the germylated dioxolane 205 in low yield $(\sim 5\%)$, the main reaction product resulting from formal germanone oligomerization (Scheme 45).

In the reaction of 1,2-dimethyloxirane with chloromethylphenylgermane as starting material, the formal germanone-trapping product is not obtained, suggesting a low reactivity of the methylphenylgermanone toward 1,2-dimethyloxirane (Scheme 46).

Thermolysis and pyrolysis of thiagermetane $Me₂$ - $GeCH₂SCH₂$ (206) and photolysis of 206 and dithiagermolane $\text{Me}_2\widetilde{\text{GeCH}_2\text{SSCH}_2}$ (207) lead to various new germylated heterocycles, in particular **208-210.27,28**

SCHEME 46

Decomposition of **206** probably proceeds by two competitive mechanisms a and b (Scheme 47) involving transient germene $[Me₂Ge=CH₂]$, germanethione [Me₂Ge=S], thiagermirane $\text{Me}_2\text{GeCH}_2\text{S}$, and thiadi-

germetane $\text{Me}_2\text{GeCH}_2\text{Ge}(\text{Me}_2)S$ (Scheme 47).

Path a, which is consistent with the observation by UV spectra of electronic interaction between sulfur and germanium in 206 and with the trapping of $CH₂$ by cyclooctene in these decompositions, seems to be predominant in thermolysis; path b, consistent with the mass spectrometric study of the decomposition of **206,** would be predominant in pyrolysis, but other possibilities clearly exist. These results indicate the possible occurrence of $[2 + 2]$ cycloaddition between 1,1-dimethylgermene and dimethylermanethione.

For photolysis of **207** the authors proposed Scheme 48.

Pyrolysis of the thiagermetane dioxide $Me₂$ - $GeCH₂S(O₂)CH₂$ is also postulated to involve multiply bonded germanium species: the germene $[Me₂Ge=$ $CH₂$] and probably the new doubly bonded germanium species, the germanesulfene $[M{\rm e_2}Ge{=}{\rm SO_2}]$, which finally gives germoxanes $(Me₂GeO)_n$ $(n = 3, 4)^{28}$ (Scheme 49). The germanesulfene has been detected in the mass spectroscopy of the four-membered-ring thiagermetane dioxide.

An interesting precursor for dimethylgermanethione and dimethylgermene seems to be the 2,4-digermathi ane $\text{Me}_2\overline{\text{GeCH}_2\text{Ge}(M\text{e}_2)}\text{S}$ (214). This heterocycle, previously postulated as an intermediate in some thermolyses, is relatively stable in solution at room temperature but spontaneously dimerizes and easily dissociates upon heating.³⁰ Obtention of **215-217, 210,** and $(Me₂GeS)₃$ supported the conclusion that germanethione and germene are both formed in this decomposition reaction (Scheme 50).

Dimethylgermanethione and a 1,1-dimethylgermene intermediate are also probably formed in the thermolysis of 215. Obtention of 210, 217, and $(Me₂GeS)₃$ and the trapping reaction of $[Me₂Ge=CH₂]$ support these cycloelimination mechanisms (Scheme 51).

Similar decompositions of thia- or oxadigermetane intermediates 214 are postulated in investigations of the actions of S_8 or O_2 on the tetragermadimercurocane 218³¹ or on the [bis(dimethylgermyl)alkane]iron tetracarbonyls **219²⁹** that, in a first step, lead to the relatively stable dithia- or dioxadigermolanes 220^{29,31} (Scheme 52). It has been proposed that the decomposition of the

phosphorylated heterocycle $Me₂GeSP(S)(An)S$ (221),

SCHEME 48

stable at room temperature, leads to the transient species $[AnPS_2]$ and $[Me_2Ge= S].^{199}$ The evidence is the formation of the expected thiirane adducts of the germanethione and of $[AnPS₂]$ when thiirane is present in the mixture. In the absence of trapping agent, $(Me₂GeS)₃$ and $(AnPS₂)_n$ are isolated from the reaction mixture (eq 78).

$$
Me2GeS \longrightarrow R = (Me2Ge=S1 + [AnPS]S (7B)
$$

221
$$
Re2GeS3 (AnPS2)n
$$

In the same way the dithiaphosphadigermolane **222** undergoes thermal fragmentation leading to $(Me₂GeS)₃$ and $(AnPS)₂$, probably via the monomer species $[Me₂Ge=S]$ and $[AnP=S]$.¹⁹⁹ The decomposition,

which is inhibited in the presence of Et_3N , seems to be initiated by nucleophilic attack on germanium by the sulfur P=S. The dimethylgermathione can be trapped by $Me₂Ge(SMe)₂$ (Scheme 53).

The germathiaphosphirane **223** heated with an excess of sulfur leads to the metadithiophosphonate **224** and the 2,4-digerma-l,3-dithietane 225. Three possible mechanisms involving formation of dimesitylgermanethione by decomposition of three- or four-membered heterocycles have been suggested to explain these for $m⁹⁶$ (Scheme 54).

An interesting precursor to dimethylgermanone is the 1,3,2-dioxagermetane 226, which is stable at room temperature in the solid state (association dimer form). Dilution or low pressures induce decomposition to form chloral and dimethylgermanone by β elimination. Dimethylgermanone is easily trapped by ethylene oxide or thiirane²⁰⁰ (Scheme 55).

Decomposition in a similar manner of various dioxagermetane intermediates 227 has been suggested²⁰⁰ (Scheme 56).

Dimethylgermanethione and dimethylgermylene are probably formed in the thermolysis of the 2,3-digerma-l,4-dithiane 228, which leads to the isolation of the germylated heterocycles **229-231.²⁰¹** The authors rationalize these results by two concurrent decomposition mechanisms of **228** involving formation of dimethylgermylene ($[Me₂Ge.]$) and dimethylgermanethione $([Me₂Ge=)|$, respectively (Scheme 57). This proposal is supported by the result of the pyrolysis of **228** in the presence of 2,3-dimethylbutadiene: formation of the cycloadduct expected from the trapping of dimethylgermylene, the absence of **230** and **231,** and formation of the trimer of the dimethylgermanethione $(Me₂GeS)₃$ are observed in this experiment (Scheme 57).

Species $[>Ge=X]$ $(X = 0, S)$ have been often suggested as intermediates formed in pseudo-Wittig reac-

SCHEME 50

SCHEME 51

SCHEME 52"

tions of germenes,^{32,202} digermenes,⁸² and germanimines^{50,135-137,140} with carbonyl or thiocarbonyl compounds (eq 79). It is noteworthy that the adduct of

the germene 232 with Ph_2CO is not a source of dimethylgermanone.^{25a} Thermal $[2 + 2]$ or $[2 + 4]$ cycloreversions of the isomers 22 and 233 regenerate 19 and $Ph₂CO$ (cf. eq 5).

Direct generation of the dimethylgermanone from thermolysis in a flow system of the 6 -oxa-3-germabicyclo[3.1.0]hexane 234 via a transient 2-germaoxetane

SCHEME 56

$$
R_{2}Ge(NMe_{2})_{2} + nCX_{3}CH(OH)_{2} \longrightarrow \left[R_{2}Ge\begin{matrix}O\\R_{2}Ge\end{matrix}\right]
$$

\n
$$
(R_{2}GeO)_{x} \longrightarrow \left[R_{2}Ge\begin{matrix}O\\R_{2}Ge\end{matrix}\right] + CX_{3}CHO
$$

\n
$$
n = 1, R = Ph, X = Cl \text{ or } F
$$

\n
$$
R = Me_{2}, X = F
$$

\n
$$
n = 1 \text{ or } 2, R = NMe_{2}, X = Cl
$$

has also been suggested. Initial formation of a diradical followed by attack on the metal atom by the oxygen radical with transient formation of an unstable germaoxetane that decomposes to germanone and 1,3-butadiene seems reasonable 203,204 (Scheme 58). The 1,4 hydrogen shift that gives rise to 3-germacyclopent-4 en-l-ol is a minor process and the 1,2 hydrogen shift that would lead to 3-germacyclopentan-l-one is not observed under the experimental conditions, where this derivative is stable (Scheme 58).

SCHEME 57

SCHEME 59

Similarly, thiiranes 235 are unstable and potential precursors of germanethiones²⁰⁵ (eq 80).

It should be noted that in many of these reported reactions involving four-membered germylated rings, the data are compatible with mechanisms in which these strained heterocycles are believed to fragment to yield an olefin (a ketone, an imine, etc.) and a germanone or a germanethione, since the corresponding oligomers $(\overline{R_2}GeV)_n$ (X = 0, S; n = 3-5) are produced.

Similarly, intermediacy of free silanone has been postulated in reactions of the same type, particularly in the pyrolysis of oxasiletanes;⁴ however, formation of these doubly bonded silicon species in these reactions has recently been questioned on the basis of calculations which suggest that the fragmentation of oxasiletanes is too endothermic to occur at a significant rate under pyrolytic conditions.²³¹ Thus, intervention of bimolecular mechanisms, such as those proposed for formation of the observed products in the pyrolysis of oxasile- $\tan e^{231}$ could be accepted too in the case of germanium (Scheme 59).

The intermediacies of germanones and germanethiones have also been often proposed to rationalize the results of thermal decomposition of various cyclogermoxanes and cyclogermathianes.

Cyclogermathianes $(R_2GeS)_3$ lead very easily, by thermal and catalytic dissociation, to the germanethiones $[R_2Ge= S]$.²⁰⁶ Basic solvents such as Et_3N or HMPA and catalysts $(Ph_3P)_2PdCl_2$ induce these dissociations and catalyze the reaction of germanethiones with three- and four-membered rings (Scheme 60).

Figure 8. ORTEP view of 236 (reprinted from *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* 1989,*28,*1238; copyright 1989 VCH Verlagsgesellschaft mbH).

SCHEME 62

Thermolysis of $(Me₂GeS)₃$ has been found to be useful for the production of matrix-isolated germanethione.²¹²

Germanones are often postulated as intermediates formed on thermal dissociation of various germoxanes;^{200,206} the claims are supported by trapping experiments and ESR characterization (eq 81).

$$
(R_2GeO)_n \xrightarrow{A} (R_2GeO)_{n-1} + [R_2Ge=O]
$$
 (B1)

$$
R = Me, n = 4
$$

$$
R = Me_3Sich_2, Ph, n = 2
$$

Recently, 236, the first isolable compound with an intramolecular base-stabilized Ge-S double bond, has been reported.²³² Compound 236 is the sulfurization product of the bis(amino)germanediyl 237 (Scheme 61). In 236 the germanium atom is four-coordinate. The coordination can be described as distorted tetrahedral or as trigonal planar (N2, S, N4) with an additional bond (Ge-N3) (Figure 8). The Ge-S distance of 2.063 A is about 0.2 A shorter than the value of the Ge-S single bond, 0.06 A shorter than the terminal Ge-S

distances in the thiogermanate ion $Ge_4S_{10}^4$, and 0.05 Å longer than the calculated $p\pi$ - $p\pi$ Ge-S double bond in $Me₂Ge=$ S. All these structural data suggest to the authors that a bonding model described in terms of the resonance structures 236a and 236b could be applied to this compound (Scheme 61).

240

Surprisingly, the oxidation product 238 of 237 is a dimer of the corresponding germanone.²³²

(2) Germanone and Germanethione Reactivity

Germanones and germanethiones with strongly polarized double bonds are highly reactive, and several kinds of reactions appear to be characteristic of these species: (i) polymerization reactions; (ii) addition reactions to various σ bonds of acyclic or cyclic organometallic compounds (e.g., Ge-O, Ge-S, Si-O, Si-Cl); (iii) insertion and expansion reactions with strained organic heterocycles; (iv) $[2 + 2]$, $[2 + 3]$, and $[2 + 4]$ cycloaddition reactions (Scheme 62).

Insertions of $[>Ge=X]$ into simple bonds between an atom carrying a lone pair and a group 14 metal are facile. Many of these reactions are postulated for Ge (se above or refs 6, 7, 27, 28, 30, 32, 33, and 199). Insertions of dimethylgermanone into Si-O and Si-Cl bonds are also observed,²⁰⁴ Si-O bonds of siloxanes being more reactive than Si-O bonds of alkoxysilanes toward insertion of dimethylgermanone (Scheme 63).

The addition of a C-H function from the ortho tert-butyl group of an intermediate germanethione to the Ge⁻S bond has also been postulated¹⁹⁷ (cf. Scheme 39).

Barrau et al.

As examples of reactions with small organic rings, we summarize the results of the work quoted in ref 206. Dialkylgermanones and dialkylgermanethiones $[R_2Ge=X]$, directly generated from various heterocyclic precursors and dimeric or trimeric forms $(R_2GeO)_2$ and $[R_2GeS]_3$, react with various three- or four-membered rings $\widehat{Y(CH_2)}_n$ to lead to the germaheterocycles R_2 $GeV(CH_2)_n$ ($n = 2$ or 3) (eq 82).

$$
\begin{array}{c}\n\text{various precursors} & \longrightarrow \left[\bigcirc \bigcirc \mathsf{Ge} = \mathsf{x} \right] + \\
\downarrow & \searrow \\
\hline\n\downarrow & \searrow \\
\downarrow & \searrow
$$

Simultaneous obtention of oxathiolane 239 and dioxolane 240 as final products is observed in the reaction of diethylgermanethione with oxirane at 160 ⁰C (cf. Scheme 64). When the reaction is carried out at lower temperature (100 °C) with $(Et₂GeS)₃$ as the precursor of $[Et_2Ge= S]$, the oxathiolane 239, expected from the trapping of $[Et_2Ge= S]$ with the oxirane, is the only adduct recovered. It is assumed that formation of an intermediate germanone $[Et_2Ge=O]$ originating from the decomposition of adduct 241 is probably responsible for 240. Such decomposition being detected in a separate experiment (decompositions of the adduct dimethylgermanone [Me₂Ge=O]-diethylgermadithiolane i i

 $Et_2GeSCH_2CH_2S$, Scheme 64 is proposed as a working hypothesis for the mechanism of this reaction.

The mechanism and the stereochemistry of some of these additions to small rings have been studied.¹⁹⁸ The condensation reactions of germanone with oxirane begin by nucleophilic attack of oxygen on germanium, followed by ring opening and cyclization. This nonconcerted mechanism is supported by the results of condensation of the germanone with cis or trans isomers of butene oxide. Each reaction leads to a mixture of cis and trans adducts: 45/55 from cis-butene oxide and $52/48$ from *trans*-butene oxide. However, in the presence of triethylamine, the percentage of the cis adduct increases. In the presence of a 300% excess of

$$
\begin{bmatrix} R \\ R \end{bmatrix} Ge = X + C_6 H_5 C = N - N - C_6 H_5 \longrightarrow
$$

\n
$$
R \begin{bmatrix} X - C & C_6 H_5 & X - 0.5 \\ N & 10 & 100 - 220 \cdot C \end{bmatrix} R R' Ge = X + C_6 H_5 C = N + C_6 H_5 N;
$$

\n
$$
C_6 H_5 N = NC_6 H_5
$$

SCHEME 68

$$
[Me_{2}Ge=S] + C_{6}H_{5} - CH - N \cdot t \cdot Bu \xrightarrow{160°C} \frac{2h}{h}
$$

\n
$$
Me_{2}Ge \begin{cases} S - CH - C_{6}H_{5} & I \to 0 \\ 0 & N \cdot t \cdot Bu \end{cases}
$$

\n
$$
Me_{2}Ge \begin{cases} 0 \cdot C & I \to 0 \\ 0 & N \cdot t \cdot Bu \end{cases}
$$

\n
$$
[Me_{2}Ge=O] + PhCH=N \cdot t \cdot Bu + [S]
$$

\n
$$
e_{9} \cdot C \begin{cases} 0 \cdot C & I \to 0 \\ E_{13}N & I \to (Me_{2}GeO) \cdot n \\ 0 & M \to 0 \end{cases}
$$

\n
$$
Me_{2}Ge=S + C_{6}H_{5} - C - N \cdot t \cdot Bu
$$

triethylamine, almost exclusive formation of the cis adduct is observed from both *cis-* and trans-butene oxide, along with a maximum of 2% of the trans adduct.

The high stereoselectivity in the presence of triethylamine implies a germanium atom hexacoordinated by two molecules of triethylamine. The study of molecular models shows very strong steric interactions between the methyl group and the triethylamino group in the equatorial position of the bipolar intermediate during the cyclization process. The position of least hindrance occurs when the two methyls are in the cis position opposite the triethylamino group, leading to the cis isomer (Scheme 65).

On the other hand, the condensation of germanethione with *cis-* or *trans*-butene oxide gives a stereospecific reaction with inversion of configuration: *cis*and *trans-butene* oxides lead to trans and cis adducts, respectively, in proportions >95% with or without triethylamine.¹⁹⁸

The mechanism of this reaction seems to proceed by nucleophilic attack of sulfur on the epoxide with configuration inversion (Scheme 66).

New cycloaddition reactions with 1,3-dipolar reagents (nitrilimines, nitrones, and nitrile oxides) have been observed.^{207,208} The reactions of germanone and germanethione with diphenyl-2,5-tetrazole (precursor of nitrilimine) gave regioselective cycloaddition with formation of germaoxa- or germathiadiazolines (Scheme 67). Dimethylgermanethione reacts with nitrones (diphenylnitrone and phenyl- N - t er t -butylnitrone) to form germaoxathiazolidines. Dimethylgermanethione reacts with butylphenyloxazirane (isomer of phenyl- N tert-butylnitrone) by an insertion reaction in the oxazirane ring and formation of the same germaoxathiazolidine²⁰⁸ (Scheme 68). Nitrile oxides also give cycloadducts with germanethiones²⁰⁸ (eq 83). The regioselectivity of all the 1,3-cycloaddition reactions is analogous to that observed with ketones, thioketones, or CS_2 ²⁰⁹

$$
RC = N - \overline{O} + (Me_2 \text{Ge} = S) \longrightarrow Me_2 \text{Ge} \begin{matrix} & R \\ & R = Ph, \text{mesityI} \end{matrix}
$$
 (83)

(3) Calculations

Ab initio calculations using pseudopotentials have been carried out on $H_2Ge=O$ and $H_2Ge=S$. The geometries of the lowest singlet and triplet states of the doubly bonded molecules as well as the geometries of their respective singlet germylenes have been optimized at the SCF level of theory.^{26,210} Singlet H_2 Ge=X (X) $= 0$, S) compounds possess a planar structure, while the corresponding triplets are both twisted and pyramidalized on germanium (for charge density and density difference contour maps for the π MO of germanone and of germathione, see refs 6 and 210). Germanone and germanethione are predicted to have singlet ground states; the results are also obtained with MNDO methods.²¹¹ The lowest triplet state of H_2 GeO is 44 kcal/mol higher in energy than the singlet (determined by CI calculation). H_2 GeO: Ge-O = 1.634,^{26,210} 1.611 $\rm \AA$ ²¹¹ Ge-H = 1.547,^{26,210} 1.499 $\rm \AA$ ²¹¹ \angle HGeO = 124°,^{26,210} 119.4° ;²¹¹ $\nu_{\text{Ge}=0} = 1036 \text{ (SCF)}$,²⁶ 881 (CI),²⁶ 980 cm⁻¹ $(MNDO);^{211} \mu = 4.65 \text{ D}. \ \ \text{H}_2\text{GeS}: \ \ \text{Ge=S} = 2.020 \text{ A},$ Ge-H = 1.55 Å, $\angle HGeS = 125^\circ$; $v_{Ge=5} = 586$ cm⁻¹; $\mu =$ 3.80 D.

The $Ge=X$ bonds are strongly polarized, especially in H₂Ge= \overline{O} . The σ and π Ge- \overline{O} bond polarities suggest that the bonding is intermediate between $\pi(H_2Ge=0)$ and semipolar $(H_2Ge \rightarrow O)$ bonding.

The reaction enthalpies of $H_2GeX \rightarrow H_2Ge(^1A_1) +$ $X(^{3}P)$ are about 108 kcal/mol for $X = 0$ and 83 kcal/mol for $X = S$.

For each case singlet germylenes are calculated to be more stable than their π -bonded isomers (by 20 kcal/ mol in the case of germanone at the CI level).

Recently ab initio Hartree-Fock level calculations have been carried out on $Me₂Ge= S.²¹²$ The calculated equilibrium geometry is of $C_{2\nu}$ symmetry. Me₂GeS: Ge=S = 2.010 A, Ge-C = 1.950 A, ZCGeC = 110°; *vGe==s* $= 666$ cm⁻¹ (599 cm⁻¹ after scaling correction); $\mu = 4.\overline{6}$ D.

(4) Photoelectron Spectroscopy

Pyrolytically produced germanones and germanethiones have been investigated by photoelectron spectroscopy (PES). The PES spectra of the trimer of dimethylgermanethione, $(Me_2GeS)_3$, were analyzed between 80 and 300 $^{\circ}$ C.²¹³⁻²¹⁵ The spectrum recorded at 300 ⁰C is interpreted in terms of a decomposition of the trimer and a coexistence of dimer and monomer. A very intense band in the spectrum at 8.6 eV is assigned to the ionization of the nonbonding pair on sulfur in the dimethylgermanethione. Bands at 9.55,10.75, and 11.47 eV are characteristic of π ionizations of the Ge=S bond, the Ge-S bond, and the Ge-C bond, respectively.

The spectrum of Me₂GeOCH(CCl₃)O decomposition products includes the characteristics of the chloral and of the dimethylgermanone whose ionization potentials are 9.7 (n₀), 10.2 ($\pi_{\text{Ge}-0}$), 11.0 ($\sigma_{\text{Ge}-0}$), and 12.1 eV **('Ge-C).²¹⁵' 216**

At temperatures higher than 150 ⁰C the monomer apparently recombines as a result of thermal agitation, yielding the stable trimer $(Me₂GeO)₃$. This explains why only the trimer is visible in the photoelectron spectrum when the tetramer $(Me₂GeO)₄$ is decomposed at 350 °C.

TABLE 9. Vibrations of Dimethylgermanethione

obsd vib ^a	assignment	
850(s)	$CH3$ rock (in phase)	
605 (vs)	$Ge = S$ stretch	
1390 (m)	$CH3$ asym def (in phase)	
761(m)	$CH3$ def (in phase)	
1229(m)	$CH3$ def (out of phase)	
753(m)	$CH3$ rock (out of phase)	
^a Frequency in units of cm ⁻¹ .		

All these assignments are in agreement with theoretical ionization potentials calculated with the PS HO-NDO program and introduced polarization and correlation interpair corrections.

The observed ionization potentials are interpreted in terms of the highly polar character of the $\pi_{\text{Ge}=0}$ and $\pi_{\text{Ge--S}}$ bonds. This polarization and the relatively low ionization potentials explain the very high reactivity of these species and their short lifetimes.

(5) Matrix-Isolated Dimethylgermanethione

Recently, the IR spectrum of the matrix-isolated dimethylgermanethione obtained by gas-phase pyrolysis of $(Me₂GeV)₃$ was reported.²¹² The observed frequencies and their relative intensities (cf. Table 9) are in good agreement with those calculated at the ab initio Hartree-Fock level.

The same IR spectrum is obtained by interaction between atomic sulfur and dimethylgermylene obtained by photodecomposition of OCS and $Me₂Ge(N₃)₂$, respectively²¹² (eq 84).

$$
S + Me2Ge: \longrightarrow Me2Ge=SS
$$
 (84)

$$
h \vee \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -C & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 3N2
$$

VII. Ge=Mn Species

 $\ddot{}$

The significantly shortened $Ge-M_T$ distance and the trigonal-planar environments at the germanium atom in the complexes $LM \leftarrow GeXY$ may indicate the presence of a formal double bond in these derivatives. Chemical properties and the most important spectroscopic features of these complexes of divalent germanium are well summarized in a recent review; 217 we report here only recent works concerning structures $242-245^{218-221}$ for which X-ray diffraction studies^{218,219,221} and theoretical calculations²²² clearly revealed multiple-bond character.

$$
M'=Ge=M'
$$

\n
$$
M'=Ge=M'
$$

\n
$$
242, M' = (\pi^{5} \text{-}C_{5}H_{5})Mn(CO)_{2}^{218,219}
$$

\n
$$
244, M' = (\pi^{5} \text{-}C_{5}H_{4}Me)Mn(CO)_{2}^{220}
$$

\n
$$
245, M' = (\pi^{5} \text{-}C_{5}Me_{5})Mn(CO)_{2}^{221}
$$

\n
$$
245, M' = (\pi^{5} \text{-}C_{5}Me_{5})Mn(CO)_{2}^{221}
$$

The synthesis of compounds 242 and 245 is achieved by treatment of the solvent complex M'(THF) with germane (GeH_4) in the presence of sulfuric acid (Scheme 69). Compounds 243 and 244 have been obtained by treatment of $K[(\pi^5-C_5H_4Me)Mn(CO)_2GeH_3]$ with Hg^{2+} ions and acetic acid, respectively.²²⁰ Diazomethane reacts with 242 with addition of $CH₂$ to the Mn=Ge multiple bond.

SCHEME 69

$$
{}^a\mathbf{M}' = (\pi^5\text{-}C_5\mathbf{M}e_5)\mathbf{Mn}(\mathrm{CO})_2, \ \ {}^b\mathbf{M}' = (\pi^5\text{-}C_5\mathbf{H}_5)\mathbf{Mn}(\mathrm{CO})_2.
$$

X-ray analyses^{219,223} show a strictly linear Mn–Ge–Mn skeleton for compounds 244 and 245. In these compounds the manganese-germanium bonds lengths average 2.18-2.20 A and thus correspond to considerable multiple bonding between these atoms (2.48-2.60 A for single bonds).

VIII. Conclusion

Although the chemistry of doubly bonded germanium species remains in some cases that of elusive intermediates, it appears, upon comparison of the present review with the previous review of multiply bonded germanium species, 6 that progress in the field during the past 8 years has been important, particularly in the field of stable or stabilized doubly bonded species.

The first stable germenes, digermenes, germaphosphenes, germanimines, and germanethiones have recently been isolated. Digermenes and germaphosphenes were kinetically stabilized owing to steric hindrance, which prevents oligomerization. In the cases of germenes and germanimines both steric and mesomeric effects can act as factors for stabilization. A germanethione was stabilized by intramolecular coordination of a base, and dimethylgermanethione $(Me₂Ge= S)$ has recently been characterized at low temperature by matrix techniques.

The structure of various stabilized germenes, digermenes, germaphosphenes, and germanethione has been proved by X-ray analyses which show a planar or a nearly planar germanium and a shortening of the double bond by 8-10%. This shortening is less than that observed between alkanes and alkenes (13%) but comparable to the shortening previously reported for other doubly bonded main-group species such as silenes, disilenes, phosphenes, diphosphenes, and diarsenes.

 $A > Ge=Y$ species can be considered as a hybrid of the following three resonance structures:

On the basis of the physical and chemical properties, it appears that structure I_c seems to make the greatest contribution in the cases of asymmetrical structures (Y = O, S, N-, C<) while structure I_A seems to be predominant in the cases of symmetrical $(Y = Ge<)$ or asymmetrical structures when the electronegativity difference between the two bonded atoms is low $(Y =$ P-). The doubly bonded germanium structure is favored by mesomeric effects of the ligands (aromatic groups on germanium or on Y) while the biradical structure is favored by the donor inductive effect of the ligands.

Reports of chemical trapping of doubly bonded germanium species are numerous, but detailed reaction mechanisms are known for only a few of the reactions of this family. In many reactions, formation and reactivity of free $>$ Ge=Y have been postulated and a variety of reaction mechanisms have been proposed.

A statement that can be made with considerable certainty is that the polar character of Ge-Y multiple bonds leads to numerous types of reactions (insertion, addition, cycloaddition, transposition, etc.). Moreover, the symmetrical structure of some species and the weaker polar character of other ones leads also in some cases to radical activity. However, the experimental evidence that is presently available does not provide a basis upon which to decide between the possible alternatives. Calculations would be of particular importance in some cases for the interpretation of experimental data.

The new "organometallic functions" $>Ge=Y$ (Y = C<, N-, O, P-, Ge<, M) are much more reactive than the carbon analogues and are precursors to many new formulations in germanium-heteroelement chemistry. Moreover, these unsaturated species could be interesting precursors of new high-performance materials of practical interest such as polymers, conductors, and ceramics.

The synthesis and investigation of the chemical properties of novel structures containing sp germanium such as $-Ge \equiv X$, $Y = Ge \equiv Y$ are likely to be the next challenge in organogermanium chemistry.

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to Professor J. Michl, who invited us to contribute this review article. Support of this project by the C.N.R.S. (UA 477) and GRECO (Low Coordinances) is gratefully acknowledged. We also wish to thank Dr. G. Gillette for help with the language editing.

IX. References

- (1) Pitzer, K. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1948, *70,* 2140. Mulliken, R.
- S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1950,** *72,* 4493. (2) (a) West, R. *Science* 1984, *225,* 1109. (b) West, R. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1987,** *26,* 1201.
- (3) Brook, A. G.; Baines, K. M. *Adv. Organomet. Chem.* 1986,*25,* 1.
- (4) (a) Raabe, G.; Michl, J. *Chem. Rev.* 1985,*85,* 419. (b) Raabe, G.; Michl, J. *Chem. Org. Silicon Compd.* **1989,** *2,* 1015. (5) Wiberg, N. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1984, *273,* 141. (6) Satge, J. *Adv. Organomet. Chem.* **1982,** *21,* 241.
-
-
- (7) (a) Satge, J. *Pure Appl. Chem.* 1984, *56,* 137. (b) Satge, J. *Rev. Silicon, Germanium, Tin Lead Compd.* **1986,** 8, 291. (c) Satge, J. *Bull. Soc. Chim. BeIg.* **1982,** *91,* 1019.
- (8) Riviere, P.; Riviere-Baudet, M.; Satge, J. *Germanium in Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry;* Pergamon Press: New York, 1982; Vol. 2, Chapter 10, p 399.
- (9) Cowley, A. H.; Norman, N. C. *Prog. Inorg. Chem.* 1986, *34,* 1.
- (10) Snow, J. T.; Murakami, S.; Masumune, S.; Williams, D. J.
- Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 4191.
(11) Barton, T. J.; Kline, E. A.; Garvey, P. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
1973, 95, 3078.
(12) Barton, T. J. Pure Appl. Chem. 1980, 52, 615.
(13) Barton, T. J.; Hoekman, S. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 19
-
- 1584. (14) Norsoph, E. B.; Coleman, B.; Jones, M., Jr. *J. Am. Chem.*
- *Soc.* 1978, *100,* 994. (15) Riviere, P.; Castel, A.; Satge, J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1980,*102,*
- 541. (16) Bertrand, G.; Mazerolles, P.; Ancelle, J. *Tetrahedron* 1981,
- *37,* 2459.
- (17) Zemlyanskii, N. N.; Borisova, I. V.; Luzikov, Yu. N.; Koloso-

va, N. D.; Ustynyuk, Yu. A.; Beletskaya, I. P. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim.* **1980,** 2668.

- (18) Seyferth, D.; Lefferts, J. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1974, *96,* 6237. Seyferth, D.; Lefferts, J. L. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1976,*116,* 257.
-
- (19) Markl, G.; Rudnik, D. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1980, 1405. (20) Ando, W.; Tsumuraya, T. *Organometallics* 1988, 7, 1882. (21) Zemlyanskii, N. N.; Borisova, I. V.; Bel'skii, V. K.; Kolosova,
- N. D.; **Beletskaya,** I. P. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim.* 1983 *4* 869
- (22) Eaborn, C; Happer, D. A. R.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Hopper, S. P.; Safa, K. D.; Washbume, S. S.; Walton, D. R. M. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1980,*186,* 309.
- (23) Barrau, J.; Rima, G.; El Amine, M.; Satge, J. *Synth. React. Inorg. Met. Org. Chem.* **1988,***18,* 317.
- (24) Wiberg, N.; Kim, Ch. K. *Chem. Ber.* 1986, *119,* 2966.
- (25) (a) Wiberg, N.; Kim, Ch. K. *Chem. Ber.* 1986,*119,* 2980. (b) Wiberg, N.; Karampatses, P.; Kim, Ch. K. *Chem. Ber.* 1987, *120,* 1203.
- (26) Trinquier, G.; Barthelat, J. C; Satge, J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1982, *104,* 5931.
- (27) Barrau, J.; Rima, G.; Satge, J. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1983, *252,* C73.
- (28) Barrau, J.; Rima, G.; El Amine, M.; Satge, J. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1988,** *345,* 39.
- (29) Barrau, J.; Ben Hamida, N.; Agrebi, A.; Satge, J. *Organometallics* 1989, *8,* 1585. (30) Barrau, J.; Ben Hamida, N.; Satge, J. *J. Organomet. Chem.*
- 1985, *282,* 315.
- (31) Barrau, J.; Ben Hamida, N.; Agrebi, A.; Satge, J. *Organometallics* 1987, *6,* 659.
- (32) Ando, W.; Tsumuraya, J.; Sekiguchi, A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1985, *26,* 4523.
- (33) Tsumuraya, T.; Sato, S.; Ando, W. *Organometallics* 1989, *8,* 161.
- (34) van de Heisteeg, B. I. J.; Tinga, M. A. G. M.; van den Winkel, Y.; Akkerman, O. S.; Bickelhaupt, F. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1986, *316,* 51.
- (35) Auner, N.; Binnewies, M.; Grobe, J. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1984, *277,* 311.
- (36) Markl, G.; Rudnick, D.; Schulz, R.; Shweig, A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1982,** *21,* 221.
- (37) Meyer, H.; Baum, G.; Massa, W.; Berndt, A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1987,** *26,* 798.
- (38) Berndt, A.; Meyer, H.; Baum, G.; Massa, W.; Berger, S. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1987,** *59,* 1011.
- (39) Couret, C; Escudie, J.; Satge, J.; Lazraq, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1987, *109,* 4411.
- (40) Lazraq, M.; Escudie, J.; Couret, C; Satge, J.; Drager, M.; Dammel, R. A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1988,** *27,* 828.
- (41) Gynane, M. J. S.; Harris, D. H.; Lappert, M. F.; Power, P. P.; Riviere, P.; Riviere-Baudet, M. *J. Chem. Soc, Dalton Trans. 1* 1977, 2004.
- (42) Veith, M.; Grosser, M. *Z. Naturforsch.* 1982, *37b,* 1375.
- (43) Klusik, H.; Berndt, A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* 1983, *22,* 877.
- (44) Budzelaar, P. H. M.; Schleyer, P. v. R.; Krogh Jespersen, K. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1984,** *23,* 825.
- (45) Wehrmann, R.; Klusik, H.; Berndt, A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1984,** *23,* 826.
- (46) Wiberg, N.; Wagner, G.; Muller, G.; Riede, J. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1984, *271,* 381.
- (47) Wiberg, N.; Wagner, G.; Muller, G. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* 1985, *24,* 229.
- (48) Wiberg, N.; Schurz, K.; Fischer, G. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* 1985, *24,* 1053.
- (49) Wiberg, N.; Schurz, K.; Reber, G.; Muller, G. *J. Chem. Soc, Chem. Commun.* **1986,** 591.
- (50) Wiberg, N.; Preiner, G.; Karampatses, P.; Kim, Ch. K.; Schurz, K. *Chem. Ber.* 1987, *120,* 1357.
- (51) Allred, A. L.; Rochow, E. G. *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.* 1958, 5, 269.
- (52) Allred, A. L. *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.* 1961, *17,* 215.
- (53) van der Knaap, T. A.; Bickelhaupt, F. *Chem. Ber.* 1984,*117,* 915.
- (54) Glaser, B.; Noth, H. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1985,** *24,* 416.
- (55) Dewar, M. J. S.; Grady, G. L.; Healy, E. F. *Organometallics* 1987, *6,* 186. (56) Trinquier, G.; Malrieu, J. P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1987, 709,
- 5303.
- (57) Drager, M.; Escudie, J.; Dammel, R. European Symposium Meeting, Frieburg, Sept 1988. (58) (a) Gowenlok, B. G.; Hunter, J. A. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1976,
- *111,* 171. (b) Gowenlok, B. G.; Hunter, J. A. *J. Organomet.* Chem. 1977, 140, 265.
(59) Dobbs, K. D.; Hehre, W. J. Organometallics 1986, 5, 2057.
(60) Pietro, W. J.; Hehre, W. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 4329.
(61) Nagase, S.; Kudo, T. Organometallics 1984, 3, 324.
(62) Kudo, T.
-
-
-
-
- (63) Lazraq, M. Thesis, Toulouse University, 1988.
(64) (a) Lutsenko, I. F.; Foss, V. L.; Semenenko, N. M. Zh.
Obshch. Khim. 1969, 39, 1174. (b) Semenenko, N. M.; Foss,
V. L.; Lutsenko, I. F. Zh. Obshch. Khim. 1971, 41, 24
- (65) Lazraq, M.; Couret, C; Declercq, J. P.; Duboure, A.; Escudie, J.; Riviere-Baudet, M.; Satge, J. *Organometallics,* in press.
- (66) (a) Brook, A. G.; Abdesaken, F.; Gutekunst, B.; Gutekunst, G.; Kallury, R. F. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1981, 191. (b) Brook, A. G.; Nyburg, S. C.; Abdesaken, F.; Gutekunst, G.; Krishna, R.; Gutekunst, G.; Krishna
- (67) Brook, A. G.; Safa, K. D.; Lickiss, P. D.; Baines, K. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1985,***107,* 4338.
- (68) Brook, A. G.; Wessely, H. J. *Organometallics* **1985,** *4,* 1487. (69) Wiberg, N.; Wagner, G. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* 1983, *22,* 1005.
- (70) Brook, A. G.; Abdesaken, F.; Sollradl, H. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1986,** *299,* 9.
- (71) Meyer, H.; Baum, G.; Massa, W.; Berger, S.; Berndt, A. *An-gew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1987,** *26,* 546.
- (72) Masamune, S.; Hanzawa, Y.; Williams, D. J. *J. Am. Chem.*
- *Soc.* 1982, 704, 6136. (73) Riviere, P.; Satge, J.; Soula, D. C. *R. Hebd. Seances Acad. ScL, Ser. C* 1973, *277,* 895.
- (74) Riviere, P.; Castel, A.; Satge, J. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1981,
- *212,* 351. (75) Riviere, P.; Castel, A.; Satge, J.; Guyot, D. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1984, *264,* 193.
- (76) Mazerolles, P.; Joanny, M.; Tourrou, G. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1973, *60,* C3.
- (77) Billeb, G.; Neumann, W. P.; Steinhoff, G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1988 *29* 5245
- (78) Ando, W.; Tsumuraya, T.; Sekiguchi, A. *Chem. Lett.* 1987, 317.
- (79) Sakurai, H.; Sakamoto, K.; Kira, M. *Chem. Lett.* 1984,1379. (80) (a) Ando, W.; Tsumuraya, T.; Goto, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1986, *27,* 5105. (b) Ando, W.; Tsumuraya, T. *Organo-metallics* 1989, *8,* 1467.
- (81) Ando, W.; Hagiwara, K.; Sekiguchi, A. *Organometallics* 1987,
- 6, 2270. (82) Marchand, A.; Gerval, P.; Duboudin, F.; Joanny, M.; Maze-rolles, P. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1984,** *267,* 93.
- (83) (a) Nefedov, O. M.; Egorov, M. P.; Gal'minas, A. M.; Kolesnikov, P.; Krebs, A.; Berndt, J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1986, 301, C21. (b) Nefedov, O. M.; Kolesnikov, S. P.; Egorov, M. P.; Gal'minas, M.; Krebs, A. Izv. Akad. *Khim.* 1985, *12,* 2834.
- (84) Bleckmann, P.; Minkwitz, R.; Neumann, W. P.; Schriewer, M.; Thibud, M.; Watta, B. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1984,*25,* 2467.
- (85) Sakurai, H.; Nakadaira, Y.; Tobita, H. *Chem. Lett.* 1982,
- 1855. (86) (a) Tsumuraya, T.; Sato, S.; Ando, W. *Organometallics* 1988, 7, 2015. (b) Ando, W.; Tsumuraya, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1986, *27,* 3251.
- (87) Collins, S.; Murakami, S.; Snow, J. T.; Masamune, S. *Tetra-hedron Lett.* 1985, *26,* 1281.
- (88) Ando, W.; Tsumuraya, T. *J. Chem. Soc, Chem. Commun.* 1989, 770.
- (89) Park, J.; Batcheller, S. A.; Masumune, S. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1989,** *367,* 39.
- (90) West, R.; De Young, D. J.; Haller, K. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1985, *107,* 4942.
- (91) Escudie, J.; Couret, C; Ranaivonjatovo, H.; Satge, J.; Jaud, J. *Phosphorus Sulfur* **1983,** *17,* 221.
- (92) Yoshifuii, M.; Shibayama, K.; Inamoto, N.; Hirotsu, K.; Hi-guchi, T. *J. Chem. Soc, Chem. Commun.* **1983,** 862.
- (93) Yoshifuji, M.; Shibayama, K.; Inamoto, N. *Chem. Lett.* 1984,
- 603. (94) Couret, C; Escudie, J.; Madaule, Y.; Ranaivonjatovo, H.; Wolf, J. G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1983, *24,* 2769.
-
- (95) Escudié, J.; Couret, C.; Ranaivonjatovo, H.; Wolf, J. G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 3625.
(96) Andrianarison, M.; Couret, C.; Declercq, J. P.; Dubourg, A.; Escudié, J.; Ranaivonjatovo, H.; Satgé, J. Organometallics
-
-
- 1988, 7, 1545.

(97) Dewar, M. J. S. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1951, 18, C71.

(98) Chatt, J.; Duncanson, L. A. J. Chem. Soc. 1953, 2939.

(99) Yokelson, H. B.; Millevolte, A. J.; Gillette, G. R.; West, R. J.

Am. Chem. Soc. 19
-
-
-
- 6577.

(102) Hitchcock, P. B.; Lappert, M. F.; Miles, S. J.; Thorne, A. J.

J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1984, 480.

(103) Goldberg, D. E.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Lappert, M. F.; Thomas,

K. M.; Thorne, A. J.; Haaland, A.; Schi
-
- (105) Batcheller, S. A.; Masamune, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1988, *29,*
- 3383. (106) Masamune, S.; Batcheller, S. A.; Park, J.; Davis, W. M.; Ya-mashita, O.; Otha, Y.; Kabe, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1989, 777, 1888.
- (107) Michalczyk, M. J.; Fink, M. J.; Haller, K. J.; West, R.; Michl, J. *Organometallics* **1986,** 5, 531.
- (108) Davidson, P. J.; Harris, D. H.; Lappert, M. F. *J. Chem. Soc, Dalton Trans.* **1976,** 2268.
- (109) (a) Fjeldberg, T.; Haaland, A.; Schilling, B. E. R.; Lappert, M. F.; Thorne, A. J. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1986, 1551.

(b) Fjeldberg, T.; Haaland, A.; Schilling, B. E. R.; Volden, H. V.; Lappert, M. F.; Thorne, *mun.* **1976,** 261.
- (110) Masamune, S.; Eriyama, Y.; Kawase, T. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1987,** *26,* 584.
- 111) Ross, L.; Drager, M. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1980, 799, 195. 112) Drager, M.; Ross, L. *Z. Anorg. AlIg. Chem.* 1981, *476,* 95.
- 113) Trinquier, G.; Malrieu, J. P.; Riviere, P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1982,** 704, 4529.
- 114) Fjeldberg, T.; Haaland, A.; Lappert, M. F.; Schilling, B. E. R.; Seip, R.; Thorne, A. J. *J. Chem. Soc, Chem. Commun.* 1982, 1407.
- 115 Nagase, S.; Kudo, T. *J. MoI. Struct.* 1983, 703, 35.
- 116) l Konieczny, S.; Jacobs, S. J. W.; Janet, K. B.; Gaspar, P. P. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1988,** 347, Cl.
- 117 Orlov, V. Yu.; Gusel'nikov, L. E.; Nametkin, N. S.; Ushakova, **R. L.** *Org. Mass. Spectrom.* **1972,** 6, 309.
- 118 Johnson, F.; Gohlke, R. S.; Nasutavicus, W. A. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1965, *3,* 233.
- (119) West, R.; Fink, M. J.; Michl, J. *Science* 1981, 274, 1343.
- (120) Boudjouk, P.; Han, B. H.; Anderson, K. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1982, 704, 4992.
- 121 (a) Masamune, S.; Hanzawa, Y.; Murakami, S.; Bally, T.; Blount, J. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1982, 704,1150. (b) Masa-mune, S.; Murakami, S.; Snow, J. T.; Tobita, H.; Williams, D. J. *Organometallics* **1984,** *3,* 333.
- 122; Murakami, S.; Collins, S.; Masamune, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1984, *25,* 2131.
- 123; 124; J. *Organometallics* **1984,** 3, 793. Michalczyk, M. J.; West, R.; Michl, J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* Fink, M. J.; Michalczyk, M. J.; Haller, K. J.; West, R.; Michl,
- 1**98**4, *106*, 821
- 125; Watanabe, A.; Takeuchi, K.; Fukawa, N.; Kato, M.; Goto, M.; Nagai, Y. *Chem. Lett.* 1987, 1341.
- 126 Masamune, S.; Eriyama, Y.; Kawase, T. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1987,** *26,* 584.
- 127; Watanabe, H.; Okawa, T.; Kato, M.; Nagai, Y. *J. Chem. Soc, Chem. Commun.* **1983,** 781.
- 128: Masamune, S.; Murakami, S.; Tobita, H. *Organometallics* 1983, 2, 1464.
- 129: Schafer, A.; Weidenbruch, M.; Pohl, S. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1985, *282,* 305.
- 130: Masamune, S.; Tobita, H.; Murakami, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1983, 705, 6524.
- 131) (a) Watanabe, H.; Kougo, Y.; Kato, M.; Kuwabara, H.; Okawa, T.; Nagai, Y. Bull. Soc. Chem. Jpn. 1984, 57, 3019. (b) Watanabe, H.; Kougo, Y.; Nagai, Y. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1984, 66.
- 132: Matsumoto, H.; Sakamoto, A.; Nagai, Y. *J. Chem. Soc, Chem. Commun.* **1986,** 1768.
- 133: Gillette, G. R.; Noren, G. H.; West, R. *Organometallics* 1987, 6, 2617.
- (134) Masamune, S.; Sita, L. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1985, 707, 6390. Riviere-Baudet, M.; Riviere, P.; Satge, J.; Lacrampe, G. *Reel.* (135)
- *Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas* **1979,** *98,* 42-52. 135: (136) Riviere-Baudet, M.; Riviere, P.; Satge, J. *J. Organomet.*
- *Chem.* 1978, 754, C23.
- Riviere, P.; Cazes, A.; Castel, A.; Riviere-Baudet, M.; Satgê, J. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1978, *155*, C58.
Riviere, P.; Satgé, J.; Castel, A.; Cazes, A. *J. Organomet.*
Chem. 1979, *177*, 171.
-
- 140: Bertrand, G.; Majoral, J. P.; Baceiredo, A. Acc. Chem. Res.
1986, 19, 17–23.
Lacrampe, G.; Lavayssiere, H.; Riviere-Baudet, M.; Satgé, J.
Lacrampe, G.; Lavayssiere, H.; Riviere-Baudet, M.; Satgé, J.
Riviere-Baudet, M.; Riv
- 141
- 142:
- 143)
- 144 Glidewell, C; Lloyd, D.; Lumbard, K. W.; McKechnie, J. S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987,** *28,* 343. Glidewell, C; Lloyd, D.; Lumbard, K. W.; McKechnie, J. S.;
- 145 Hursthouse, M. B.; Short, R. L. *J. Chem. Soc, Dalton Trans.* 1987, 2981.
- (146 Glidewell, C; Lloyd, D.; Lumbard, K. W. *J. Chem. Soc, Dalton Trans.* **1987,** 501.
- (147 Glidewell, C; Hursthouse, M. B.; Lloyd, D.; Lumbard, K. W.; Short, R. L. *J. Chem. Res. (S)* **1986,** 434-5; *J. Chem. Res. (M)* 1986, 3501.
- (148) Pfeiffer, J.; Maringgele, W.; Noltemeyer, M.; Meller, A. *Chem. her.* **1989,** *122,* 245. Ang, H. G.; Lee, F. K. *J. Chem. Soc, Chem. Commun.* **1989,**
- (149) 310.
- (150! Riviere-Baudet, M.; Satge, J.; Rauzy, K. EUCHEM Conf. PSIBLOCS, Paris-Palaiseau, Aug 1988, Abstr. p 23.
- (151. Lappert, M. F.; Sanger, A. R.; Power, P. P.; Srivastava, R. C. *Metal and Metalloid Amides;* Wiley: Chichester, 1980.
- (152; Guimon, C; Pfister-Guillouzo, G. *Organometallics* 1987, 6, 1387.
- (153) Escudié, J.; Couret, C.; Satgé, J.; Andriamizaka, J. D. Or-Escudie, J.; Couret, C; Satge, J.; Andriamizaka, J. D. *Or-ganometallics* **1982,** *1,* 1261.
- (154) Couret, C; Satge, J.; Andriamizaka, J. D.; Escudie, J. *J. Or-ganomet. Chem.* **1978,** *157,* C35.
- (155)
- (156 Couret, C.; Escudié, J.; Satgé, J.; Andriamizaka, J. D.; Saint
Roch, B. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1979, *182*, 9.
Couret, C.; Andriamizaka, J. D.; Escudié, J.; Satgé, J. *J. Or-
ganomet. Chem.* 1981, 208, C3.
- (157) Escudie, J.; Couret, C; Satge, J.; Andrianarison, M.; Andria-mizaka, J. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1985,** *107,* 3378.
- (158) Ranaivonjatovo, H.; Escudie, J.; Couret, C; Satge, J.; Drager, M. *New J. Chem.* **1989,** *13,* 389.
- (159: Dubac, J.; Cavezzan, J.; Laporterie, A.; Mazerolles, P. *J. Or-ganomet. Chem.* **1981,** *209,* 25.
- (160) Caminade, A. M.; Verrier, M.; Ades, C; Paillous, N.; Koenig, M. *J. Chem. Soc, Chem. Commun.* **1984,** 875. Schmidt, M. W.; Truong, P. N.; Gordon, M. S. *J. Am. Chem.*
- (161 *Soc* 1987 *109* 5217
- (162; Francl, M'. M.;'Pelloro, R. C; Allen, L. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1988 *110* 3723
- (163) Adams, S.'; Drager, M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1987,***26,* 1255.
- (164; MacKay, K. M.; Thomson, R. A. *Main Group Met. Chem.* 1987, *10,* 83. Liepins, E.; Zicmane, I.; Lukevics, E. *J. Organomet. Chem.*
- (165) 1988, *341,* 315.
- (166) Dräger, M.; Escudié, J.; Couret, C.; Ranaivonjatovo, H.; Satgé, J. *Organometallics* **1988,** 7, 1010.
- (167 Barthelat, J. C, private communication.
- (168) Fritz, G.; Hoppe, K. D.; Honle, W.; Weber, D.; Mujica, C; Manriquez, v.; von Schnering, H. G. *J. Organomet. Chem.*
- (169) 1983, *249,* 63. Tebbe, K. F.; Freckmann, B. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C, Cryst. Struct. Commun.* **1984,** *C40,* 254. Tebbe, K. F.; Frohlich, R. *Z. Anorg. AlIg. Chem.* 1983, *506,*
- (170)
- (171 27. Veith, M.; Grosser, M.; Huch, V. *Z. Anorg. AlIg. Chem.* 1984, *513,* 89.
- (172 Dahl, A. R.; Norman, A. D.; Shenav, H.; Schaeffer, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* **1975,** *97,* 6364.
- (173) Andrianarison, M.; Couret, C; Declercq, J. P.; Dubourg, A.; Escudie, J.; Satge, J. *J. Chem. Soc, Chem. Commun.* 1987, 921. Nakadaira, Y.; Oharu, K.; Sakurai, H. *J. Organomet. Chem.*
- (174) 1986, *309,* 247. Escudie, J.; Couret, C; Andrianarison, M.; Satge, J. *J. Am.*
- (175) *Chem. Soc* **1987,** *109,* 386.
- (176: Cowley, A. H.; Pakulski, M. K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1984,*106,* 1491.
- (177: Yoshifuji, M.; Shibayama, K.; Inamoto, N. *Chem. Lett.* 1984, 115.
- (178 Glockling, F.; Hooton, K. A. *Proc Chem. Soc, London* 1963, (179 *115,* 146. Dubac, J.; Escudie, J.; Couret, C; Cavezzan, J.; Satge, J.;
- Mazerolles, P. *Tetrahedron* 1981, *37,* 1141.
- (180) (181 Satge, J.; Lesbre, M.; Baudet, M. *C. R. Hebd. Seances Acad. Sci., Ser. C* **1964,** *259,* 4733.
- (182 Couret, C.; Escudié, J.; Satgé, J.; Redoules, G. Synth. React.
Inorg. Met.-Org. Chem. 1977, 7, 99.
Jackson, R. A. J. *Organomet. Chem.* 1979, 166, 17.
Appel, R.; Casser, C.; Immenkeppel, M. *Tetrahedron Lett*.
- (183
- (184)
- (185
- (186 1985, 26, 355.
Issleib, K.; Schmidt, H.; Wirkner, Ch. *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*
1982, 488, 75.
Escudié, J.; Couret, C.; Andrianarison, M.; Raharinirina, A.;
Satge, J. *Phosphorus Sulfur* 1987, 30, 377.
Glockling, F*. The Che*
-
- (187 Yoshifuji, M.; Shibayama, K.; Inamoto, N.; Hirotsu, K.; Hi-guchi, T. *J. Chem. Soc, Chem. Commun.* **1983,** 862.
- Multiply Bonded Germanium Species Chemical Reviews, 1990, Vol. 90, No. 1 **319**
	- (188
	- (189 Ishikawa, M.; Matsuzawa, S.; Sugisawa, H.; Ano, F.; Kami-tori, S.; Higuchi, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1985, *107,* 7706. Smit, C. N.; Lock, F. M.; Bickelhaupt, F. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1984, *25,* 3011.
	- (190: (191 Smit, C. N.; Bickelhaupt, F. *Organometallics* 1987, *6,*1156. Couret, C; Escudie, J.; Satge, J.; Raharinirina, A.; Andria-mizaka, J. **D.** *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1985,** *107,* 8280.
	- (192; Escudie, J.; Couret, C; Raharinirina, A.; Satge, J. *New J. Chem.* 1987,*11,* 628.
	- (193 Appier, H.; Neumann, W. P. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1986, *314,*
	- (194 247. Cotton, J. D.; Davidson, P. J.; Lappert, M. F. *J. Chem. Soc, Dalton Trans.* **1976,** 2275.
	- (195 Neumann, W. P. *Nachr. Chem. Tech. Lab.* **1982,** *30,* 190. (196 Castel, A.; Riviere, P.; Satge, J.; Ahbala, M. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1987, *328,* 123.
	- (197 Lange, L.; Meyer, B.; DuMont, W. W. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1987, *329,* C17.
	- (198 Barrau, J.; Bouchaut, M.; Lavayssiere, H.; Dousse, G.; Satge, J. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1983,** *243,* 281.
	- (199 Barrau, J.; El Amine, M.; Rima, G.; Satge, J. *Can. J. Chem.* 1986, *64,* 615.
	- (200 Barrau, J.; Rima, G.; El Amine, M.; Satge, J. *J. Chem. Res. (S)* 1985, 30.
	- (201 Barrau, J.; El Amine, M.; Rima, G.; Satge, J. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1984, *277,* 323.
	- (202) Barrau, J.; Rima, G.; El Amine, M.; Satge, J. *Synth. React. Inorg. Met.-Org. Chem.* **1988,***18,* 317. Manuel, G.; Bertrand, G.; Weber, W. P.; Kazoura, S. A. *Or-*
	- (203) *ganometallics* 1984, *3,* 1340.
	- (204 Kang, K. T.; Manuel, G.; Weber, W. P. *Chem. Lett.* 1986, 1685.
	- (205; Manuel, G.; Faucher, A.; Mazerolles, P. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1987, *327,* C25.
	- (206) Barrau, J.; Rima, G.; Lavayssiere, H.; Dousse, G.; Satgé, J. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1983,** *246,* 227.
	- (207 Lavayssiere, H.; Dousse, G.; Satge, J.; Barrau, J.; Traore, M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1982,** *21,* 447.
	- (208; Lavayssiere, H.; Satge, J.; Barrau, J.; Traore, M. *J. Organo-met. Chem.* 1982, *240,* 335.
	- (209;
	- (2io; Huisgen, R. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1963,** *2,* 565. Trinquier, G.; Pelissier, M.; Saint-Roch, B.; Lavayssiere, H. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1981,** *214,* 169.
	- (211 Dewar, M. J. S.; Grady, G. L.; Healy, E. F. *Organometallics* 1987, 6, 186.
	- (212 Barrau, J.; Baladji, V.; Michl, J. *Organometallics* 1989, *8,* 2034.
	- (213) Guimon, C.; Pfister-Guillouzo, G.; Lavayssiere, H.; Dousse, G.; Barrau, J.; Satgé, J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1983, 249, C17. Garcia, J. L.; Gonbeau, D.; Pfister-Guillouzo, G.; Roch, M.; Weber, J. Can. J. Chem. 1985, 63, 1518.
	- (214)
	- (215)
	- (216 *23* 197
	- (217
	- (217) Petz, W. *Chem. Rev*. 1**986,** 86, 1019.
(218) Herrmann, W. A.; Weichmann, J.; Küsthardt, U.; Schäfer, A.; Harlein, R.; Hecht, C; Voss, E.; Serrano, R. *Angew. Chem.* **1983,** *95,* 1019; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1983,** *22,* 979; *Angew. Chem. Suppl.* **1983,** 1543.
	- (219 Herrmann, W. A.; Kneuper, H. J.; Herdtweck, E. *Chem. Ber.* (220 1989, *122,* 433.
	- (221 Korp, J. D.; Bernal, I.; Horlein, R.; Serrano, R.; Heumann, W. **A.** *Chem. Ber.* **1985,***118,* 340. Gade, W.; Weiss, E. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1981, *213,* 451.
	- (222; Kostic, N. M.; Fenske, R. F. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1982,*233,*
	- (223) 337.
	- (224 Riviere-Baudet, M.; Riviere, P.; Khallaayoun, A.; Satgé, J.;
Rauzy, K. J. *Organomet. Chem.* 1**988**, 358, 77.
Wiberg, N.; Schurz, K.; Reber, G.; Muller, G. J. *Chem. Soc.*,
Chem. Commun. 1**986,** 591.
	- (225)
	- (226 Wiberg, N.; Schurz, K.; Fischer, G. Angew. Chem. 1985, 97, 1058; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1985, 24, 1053.
Hesse, M.; Klingebiel, U. Angew. Chem. 1986, 98, 638; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1986, 25, 649.
gew. Chem., In
	- (227
	- (228)
(229)
(230)
	-
	- 1988, *341,* 187.
	- (231 Bachrach, S. M.; Streitwieser, A., Jr. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1985,
	- (232; *107,* 1186. Veith, M.; Becker, S.; Huch, V. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* 1989, *28,* 1237.