Chemical Reviews

Volume 92, Number 6 September/October 1992

Salt Effects Resulting from Exchange between Two Ion Pairs and Their Crucial Role in Reaction Pathways

André Loupy'

Laboratolre des Reactions Selectlves sur Supports, URA CNRS No. 478, Batlment 410, Universite de Parls-Sud, 91405 Orsay Cedex, France

Bianka Tchoubar

Grouoe des Laboratolres CNRS 2 a 8, rue Henri Dunant, B.P. 28, 94320 ThIaIs, France

Didier Astruc^{*}

Laboratoire de Chimie Organique et Organométallique, URA CNRS No. 35, Université de Bordeaux I, 351, Cours de la Libération, **33405 Talence Cedex, France**

Received December 9, 1991 (Revised Manuscript Received March 30, 1992)

Contents

VII. References 1163

/. Introduction

A. Scope

 \mathbf{v}

Ion pairing is an essential feature of the mediumdependent reactivity in molecular chemistry. In particular the presence of a salt in a reaction medium involving an ion pair brings about a second ion pair which dramatically changes the course of the reaction subsequent to exchange between the two ion pairs. This "special salt effect" concerns all the areas of molecular chemistry from organic to inorganic. We will cover here two broad classes of reactions: (i) between nucieophiles and electrophiles and (ii) electron transfer (ET) including photoinduced and radiolytic ET. Given the ability of transition metals to change redox states, inorganic and organometallic chemistry are deeply

André Loupy, Directeur de Recherches in the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), was born in Paris in 1945. He studied in Paris-Sud University from which he also received his "Thèse d'Etat" in 1975 under the direction of J. Seyden-Penne, in Thiais. After postdoctoral research with Nguyen Trong Anh (Orsay) involving theoretical chemistry, he moved to Orsay in 1982 at the creation of the "Laboratoire des Réactions Sélectives Sur Supports" (Dir.: Professor G. Bram). His research field is dealing with solventfree synthesis, with special interest for supported reactions, phase transfer catalysis, salt effects and microwave activation.

Bianka Tchoubar was born in Kharlov (Ukraine) in 1910 and moved to Paris at the age of 14. Like many Russian emigrants, she first studied at the Ecole Russe on Dr. Blanche Street where her teacher, to whom she later said she owed her vocation, was Miss Chamier, a collaborator of Marie Curie. After her "Licence es Science" (1931), she worked on the reaction of ethyl iodoacetate with P. Freundler, a good friend of J.-A. Le Bel and obtained her postgraduate degree in 1932 at the Faculté des Sciences of the University of Paris. She then worked with Marc Tiffeneau at the Faculté de Médecine and, more independently, with Jeanne Lévy as a Director at the Fournier Institute, with a small team. Finally she passed her thesis on "The Contributions to the Study of the Ring Extensions: Nitrous Desamination of 1-Aminomethyl, 1-Cyclohexanols" in 1946. Appointed as Research Assistant at the CNRS, she soon played the role or a "Director" much before she was appointed to such the role or a "Director" much before she was appointed to such a position in 1955. In 1961 when moved with her group to the Institut de Chimie des Substances Naturelles of Gif-sur-Yvette, she then became the Director of Research Group No. 12 of the CNRS Center of Thiais in 1968 and stayed in that position until her retirement 10 years later. In the 1970s she started working on nitrogen fixation by iron complexes in collaboration with A. E. Shilov and his group. After retiring, her scientific activity did not stop and she passionately continued working on reaction mechanisms until she passed away on April 24, 1990.

Bianka Tchoubar was an outstanding figure and a pioneer in the French chemistry of this century. For instance her work on the three-step transformation of cycloalkanone to higher homologues (1937) became a widely used classic, notably in the steroid series. Of very generous character she was an extremely rigorous mechanistic chemist and wrote two books on reaction mechanisms, the latter with André Loupy (1988). For more details, see the January 1992 issue of the New Journal of Chemistry on "Mechanisms and Processes in Molecular Chemistry", dedicated to her memory.

Didier Astruc obtained his "Thèse d'Etat" from the University of Rennes (1975) and did postdoctoral studies at MIT (1977). He was a CNRS Fellow in Rennes (1978-82) and has been a Professor of Chemistry at the University Bordeaux I since 1983 (except a sabbatical year in Berkeley, 1990-91). His interests are in electrontransfer mechanisms and processes, organometallic materials, and catalysis.

involved in the second part. Fast physical techniques such as time-resolved photoacoustic calorimetry and picosecond absorption spectroscopy have been recently helpful in understanding the microdynamics of ionpair reactions. On the synthetic side many applications of the special salt effect are already known. They range from simple organic reaction to sophisticated photochemical devices, and the area is considerably growing. The synthetic chemists should find a powerful tool to control reaction pathways there.

B. Specific Trends of Salt Effects Resulting from Exchange between Two Ion Pairs

It is probable that every reaction between two charged species, one negatively (A⁻) and the other positively (B⁺) must proceed via a transitory contact ion pair (CIP) containing both species $(A^{-}B^{+})$:

$$
A^{-} + B^{+} \rightleftharpoons A^{-}, B^{+} \rightleftharpoons [A^{-}B^{+}]^{*} \rightarrow products \qquad (eq 1)
$$

where $TS =$ transition state.

It is obvious that the rate of such a reaction must depend on the concentration of the intermediate ion pair.

$$
v = k[A^-, B^+]
$$
 (eq 2)

It follows that any factor disfavoring the formation of the latter must necessarily slow down the overall reaction: v decreases as $[A^-,B^+]$ decreases. Thus it must be disfavored by solvents with a large dielectric constant and by solvents susceptible to transforming the contact ion pairs (CIP) into solvent-separated ion pairs (SSIP).

$$
[A^-,B^+] (CIP) + S \stackrel{K}{\rightleftharpoons} A^-/S/B^+ (SSIP) \qquad (eq 3a)
$$

When the contact ion pairs (CIP) and the solventseparated ion pairs (SSIP) are in equilibrium, the position of the latter depends not only on temperature *(K* increases when *T* decreases) but also on the nature of the ions and of the solvent.1-7

Another factor which can cause the slowing down and even the complete inhibition of this type of reactions

is the presence of a chemically inert salt (M^+X^-) in the reaction medium. Indeed the equilibrium leading to the ion pairs in which the reaction takes place (K_1) can be in competition with two other equilibria $(K_2 \text{ and } K_3)$ in the presence of a salt, resulting from ionic associations of the ions A^- and B^+ with those of the added salt.

$$
A^{-} + B^{+} \xrightarrow{K_1} A^{-}B^{+}
$$
 (eq 3b)
\n
$$
+ +
$$

\n
$$
M^{+} X^{-}
$$

\n
$$
K_2
$$

\n
$$
A^{-}M^{+} B^{+}X^{-}
$$

If the side ion pairs $[A^-,M^+]$ and $[B^+,X^-]$ are thermodynamically more stable than $[A^-,B^+]$ or if the added salt is in large excess with respect to the reacting species, the reaction between the ions of the pair $\left[A^-,B^+\right]$ is disfavored.

In nondissociating solvents *(e <* 10) in which ions are essentially associated with their counterions,¹⁻³ the reaction occurring in a contact ion pair CIP (A⁻B⁺) can be blocked by an added salt because of ion exchange between the two ion pairs.

$$
[A^-,B^+] + [M^+X^-] \underset{k_{-1}}{\overset{k_1}{\rightleftharpoons}} [A^-,M^+] + [B^+,X^-] \quad (eq\ 4)
$$

$$
K_E = k_1/k_{-1}
$$

The fact that direct ion exchange among the two ion pairs can occur without prior dissociation into the free ions was evoked for the first time by Winstein.⁸ On the basis of studies of the effect of added $LiClO₄$ on the rate of solvolysis of alkyl arenesulfonates, Winstein concluded that the salt accelerated the rate by undergoing an ion-pair exchange with the solvent-separated ion pair generated by heterolysis of the sulfonate. [X- $=$ ArSO₃⁻].

$$
R-X \rightleftharpoons R^+//X^-
$$
 (eq 5)

$$
R^+//X^- + Li^+ClO_4^- \rightleftharpoons R^+.ClO_4^- + Li^+X^- \quad (eq 6)
$$

Such an exchange prevents the initially formed solvent-separated ion pair from returning to the sulfonate precursor ("special salt effect"). Although Winstein concluded that the exchange reaction involved the solvent-separated ion pair, ion -pair exchange could occur in principle with either the contact or solventseparated form.^{2,6,7,9} One would expect that the mechanism of exchange, as well as the rate of exchange, would depend not only on the type of ion pairs involved but also on the specific interaction existing between the ions and the surrounding solvent molecules.

At the present time, such a process has received substantial support on the basis of various spectrometric measurements^{2,9-13,17,18} allowing the determinations of equilibrium constants K_E and of rate constants $k₁$, for series of reactions based on eq 2.

Picosecond and nanosecond studies by absorption spectroscopy of the photoreduction of benzophenone by amines have been extensively envisaged.^{6,7,13} They have been used to examine the thermodynamics of the ion-pair exchange between alkaline salts and ion-pair intermediates in these reactions (for a discussion, see

section III.B.l dealing with photophysical studies). It was so concluded that the nature (SSIP or CIP) of ion pairs involved as well as their interaction with the solvent are of prime importance.

Several authors have addressed the problem of the intimate exchange mechanism between the two ion pairs, for example, the question of the structure of the transition state of such a bimolecular process.

Crampton and Grunwald have proposed that, in the transition state, the two ion pairs form a quadruplet (quadrupole) in which each ion is bound to two ions of opposite charge:2,9

$$
A^{-},M^{+} + C^{+},B^{-} \longrightarrow \left[\begin{matrix} M^{+} \cdots B^{-} \\ \vdots \\ A^{-} \cdots C^{+} \end{matrix}\right]^{+} \longrightarrow M^{+},B^{-} + C^{+},A^{-} \qquad (7a)
$$

In such a transition state, the bonds are lengthened with respect to those in each initial ion pair. This elongation requires all the more energy since the starting ion pair is more stable (tighter). This hypothesis was also considered later by other authors.^{2,10}

However, several studies have shown that, at least in some cases, the transition state is not a quadruplet but a triple ion:^{2,11,12,17,18}

$$
A+ + C+B- \rightleftharpoons [C+A-M+ + B-]* \rightleftharpoons C+A- + M+B-
$$

(eq 7b)

$$
A-M+ + C+B- \rightleftharpoons [A-C+B- + M+]* \rightleftharpoons C+A- + M+B-
$$

(eq 7c)

It is probable that both mechanisms of eqs 7a and 7b (or*7c) can compete.¹⁰ It seems that the ion-pair exchange via a quadrupolar transition state (eq 3) dominates every time its formation is not sterically hindered.¹⁰ In the opposite situation, the ion-pair exchange would preferentially proceed through a triplet in the transition state.10,17

The relative importance of these two mechanisms can also depend on the affinities of the ions for each other and especially of their relatively hard and soft characters. The symbiotic effect introduced by Pearson²⁰ states that hard acids (cations) prefer to coordinate to hard bases (anions) and that soft acids prefer to coordinate to soft bases. Particularly, four-centered mechanisms via quadrupoles could be favored when all the concerned ions are either hard or soft ones. This is noticeably the case when the ion pairs concerned are of the same type (SSIP or CIP), a favorable case according to Simon and Peters⁷ (Scheme 1).

SCHEME 1

$$
A^T \quad B^* \quad + \quad M^* \quad X^- \implies \begin{bmatrix} A^- & - - - B^* \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ A^* & - - X^* \end{bmatrix}
$$
\nhard hard hard hard

\nsoft soft soft soft soft soft

On the other hand, if one ion of different hardness is involved, a triple ion can be favored in connection with specific symbiotic interactions (Scheme 2).

Thus ESR studies have shown that the exchange between ion pairs containing large anions proceeds via a triplet, as in Scheme 3 where both the fluorenyl and tetraphenylborate anions are large.

On the contrary, the exchange of sodium ketyls (where the ketyl anions are derived from fluorene or xanthone) **SCHEME 2**

$$
A^{-}B^{+} + M^{+}X^{-} \longrightarrow [B^{+}A^{-}M^{+}]X^{-}
$$

hard hard hard soft
soft soft soft hard

$$
A^{-}B^{+} + M^{+}X^{-} \longrightarrow [A^{-}B^{+}X^{-}]M^{+}
$$

hard hard soft hard soft
soft soft hard soft

SCHEME 3

$$
\begin{bmatrix}\n0 \\
\hline\n0 \\
\hline\n0 \\
\hline\n0\n\end{bmatrix}^T, N a^T + M^T B P h_4^- =
$$

with NaI proceeds via a quadrupole ion in the transition state^{2,10,11,16} (the iodide anion is now much smaller than BPh_4^-).

The exchange of ions between solvent-separated ion pairs has been shown on several occasions. However its mechanism is not yet known.⁶¹³¹⁹

$$
A^{-}//M^{+} + C^{+}//B^{-} \rightleftharpoons A^{-}//C^{+} + B^{-}//M^{+}
$$

\n
$$
SSIP
$$

$$
SSIP
$$

$$
SSIP
$$
 (eq 8)

The photophysics of ion pairing of β -naphtholates in weakly and medium polar solvents was studied. It was shown that the broad absorption and emission bands of the β -naphtholate anion consist of overlapping spectra of CIP and SSIP types of ion pairs. The lifetime measurements show that an increasing cation radius favors the SSIP over the CIP. This is not a general observation since, for carbanions, the opposite tendency is usually reported.^{26,27,151,152} The effect of adding salts on the spectra and lifetimes of β -naphtholates has been evaluated.^{17,18} Additions of NaClO₄ transform the main part of the excited CIP sodium-naphtholate ion pair into SSIP as traced by the observed lifetime.

Thus if the reaction whose site is a CIP is effected in a nondissociating solvent having a small donor number (DN) and acceptor number (AN), the addition of a salt (M^+X^-) can inhibit the reaction of eq 9.

$$
A^{-}B^{+} \xrightarrow{k} products \qquad (eq 9)
$$

However, such a salt can only have an effect if the rate of the reaction $v = k[A^{-}B^{+}]$ is lower than that of the ion exchange between the two ion pairs: v_E = $k_{\rm E}$ [A⁻B⁺][M⁺X⁻]. If the concentration of added salt is much larger than that of the ion pair which is the reaction site $([M^+X^-] \gg [A^-B^+])$, the ion exchange reaction becomes a pseudo-first-order process:

$$
v_{\rm E} = k'_{\rm E} [\rm{A}^{-}B^{+}] \text{ with } k'_{\rm E} = k_{\rm E} [\rm{M}^{+}X^{-}] \qquad (eq\ 10)
$$

It follows that the negative salt effect on the reaction 9 can operate only when $k[A-B^+] < k'_{E}[A-B^+]$, e.g. k $\langle k_E[M^+X^-]$. For this reason, the inhibition of some reactions of the type of eq 9 whose rate constant *k* is very large requires a large excess of added salt M⁺X -

with respect to A^{-B+}. This is sometimes the case for reactions between neutral species leading to charged species.

The formation of CIP is an important intermediate step in numerous reactions of various nature. The course of these reactions is deeply modified by addition of salts in the reaction media because of ion exchange between ion pairs. The present review illustrates this aspect of the salt effects whose interest is growing.

The ion pair C^+D^- (or A^+B^- , etc.), originating from a reaction between neutral products, can give rise to two classes of reactions: those resulting from the interaction between an electrophile and a nucleophile (in inorganic terms, inner-sphere transfer of an electron pair) and those arising from outer-sphere single electron transfer (SET) in which radicals are necessarily involved.

$$
A + B \rightleftharpoons C^+, D^-
$$

(electrophile + nucleophile) (eq 11)

$$
A + B \rightleftharpoons A^+, B^-
$$

(single electron transfer) (eq 12)

The reactions need also be classified according to their ergonicity. The nucleophile/electrophile reactions are often equilibrated, especially in organic chemistry; a major influence of the added salt M^+X^- is to dislocate the formed ion pair by double ion exchange. The rate of the inverse reaction (v_{-1}) which depends on the concentration of the ion pair C^+D^- ($v_{-1} = k_{-1}[C^+, D^-]$) is decreased and the ion-pair exchange is displaced to the right:

$$
[C^+, D^-] + [M^+, X^-] \rightleftharpoons [C^+, X^-] + [M^+, D^-] \quad (eq 13)
$$

On the other hand, SET reactions can be strongly exergonic, equilibrated (close to isoergonicity), or endergonic. In an exergonic SET process, the salt has no influence on the SET which is fast and irreversible, but since it dislocates the ion pair formed, it inhibits the cage reaction (Scheme 4). Inorganic and organo-

SCHEME 4

$$
A + B \implies A^{+}.B^{-} \longrightarrow \text{cage reaction}
$$

$$
\left| \begin{array}{ccc} [M^*, X^-] \\ [A^*, X^-] + [M^*, B^-] \longrightarrow \text{reaction} \end{array} \right|
$$

metallic reactions belong to this category because of the large range of oxidation states and of redox potentials of the transition metal complexes.

In iso- and endergonic SET, the added salt displaces the ET equilibrium to the right by inhibiting the back ET, a situation similar to the nucleophile/electrophile reactions. If the endergonicity is weak, this salt effect can even be catalytic when the appropriate salt is chosen. If the endergonicity is large, a larger quantity of salt can be used to drive the ET; this is possible because the rate of double ion exchange among the ion pairs is very high, close to the diffusion-control limit. This case applies in particular to the salt effect in lightinduced reactions:

$$
A \stackrel{h\nu}{\rightarrow} A^* \qquad \qquad (eq\ 14)
$$

$$
A^* + B \rightarrow [A^+, B^-] \tag{eq 15}
$$

$$
A^+ + B^- \rightarrow A + B \tag{eq 16}
$$

$$
[A^+,B^-] + [M^+,X^-] \to [A^+,X^-] + [M^+,B^-] \to \text{reaction (eq 17)}
$$

A feature common to these categories is the possible occurrence of several pathways leading to several reaction products. The addition of a salt strongly influences this distribution through the dislocation of reactive ion pairs.

//. Reactions between Nucleophlles and Electrophlles

In this part, we distinguish the reactions between neutral species and those between ionic species. In the first category, the charged species are created in a primary reaction according to

$$
A + B \rightarrow [C^+, D^-] \qquad \qquad (eq 18)
$$

If the dielectric constant of the solvent is weak ($\epsilon \leq$ 10), only the CIP forms. The added salt also forms another CIP subsequent to dissolution and fast exchange between the ions of the two CIP's influences the chemistry, for instance by inhibiting the cage reaction within the CIP [C⁺ ,D-]. The many organic examples illustrating this situation have been gathered in the first section. In several instances, the solvent may be dissociating the CIP's into SSIP's and the mechanism of ion-pair exchange is uncertain, but these cases will be included as well in this first section since they are also organic.

However they are somehow related to the second type of situation treated in the second section where the reactions are started with ionic species (organometallic examples). The ionic species introduced may form CIP's and/or SSIP's, depending on their nature and that of the solvent.

$$
A^+ + B^- \rightarrow A^+ / / B^- \rightleftharpoons A^+, B^-
$$
 (eq 19)
free ions SSIP CIP

A. Organic Reactions between Neutral Nucleophlles and Electrophlles

1. Acidic-Basic Equilibria

a. Protonation of Amines by Acetic Acid. It was shown, using alkaline colored indicators, that the protonation equilibrium (eq 20) of an amine by $CH₃CO₂H$ is strongly shifted toward the protonated species by addition of a salt Na^+ , X^- or R_4N^+ , X^- (X^- = Cl^- , I^- , ClO_4^-) and that this effect is all the more marked as the salt concentration is higher.^{21,22} The shift to the right in eq 20 is essentially due to the slowing down of

$$
-N: + HO-C-CH_3 \xrightarrow[V_{\text{L}}]{\begin{array}{c} V \\ V_{-} \end{array}} \xrightarrow[V_{\text{L}}]{N^{\star}-H \cdots {}^{T}O-C-CH_3} \quad (\text{eq 20})
$$

the reverse reaction $(v-\downarrow)$ subsequent to the exchange between ion pairs shown in eq 21 (also when the acetate anion is associated to an alkali cation Li⁺ or Na⁺, its ability to abstract a proton is greatly reduced²⁴). As

$$
-N^{+}+N^{+}C-C-CH_{3} + M^{+}X^{-} \longrightarrow
$$

0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0

suggested by Bessiere,²¹ it is adequate to envisage the global equilibrium of eq 22 in the presence of a salt, rather than the equilibria of eqs 20 and 21 taken separately. Given the equilibrium shift rule, it follows

$$
-N: + CH3CO2H + M'X- \implies -N:-H.X- + CH3CO2-.M+ (eq 22)
$$

that the percentage of protonated amine should increase as the concentration of added salt increases, which has been confirmed by the experiment.²¹

Corriu et al. have indicated however that the shift of eq 20 toward the protonated species can also result from an enhancement of the proton-donor character of acetic acid upon interaction with the cation M⁺ , at least when the latter is Li^+ or Na^+ (eq 23).^{22,23}

$$
CH_3-C\begin{matrix}0\\O-H\end{matrix} + M^+X^-\longrightarrow CH_3-C\begin{matrix}0\end{matrix} + M^+X^-\end{matrix} \qquad (eq 23)
$$

b. Deprotonation of Weak Acids by Aromatic Amines. Another apparently closely related salt effect is the dramatic influence of $LiClO₄$ in ether solutions on deprotonation equilibria of weak acids such as phenols or tropolone by anilines or pyridines²⁸ (eq 24).

Pocker and Ciula have thoroughly studied this phenomenon in the case of eq 24.28 Using UV measurements of the ratio [A"]/ [AH] in the presence of various LiClO4 concentrations, these authors have shown that the apparent equilibrium constant K_c of equilibrium 24 increases very drastically with the salt concentration: from 1.9×10^{-3} for $[LiClO₄] = 4.8 \times 10^{-3}$ M to 23.8 for $[LiClO₄] = 2.95 M$. Thus K_c is multiplied by 12 500 when the $LiClO₄$ concentration is 600 times higher.

Since all the measurements were taken in the presence of a high $LiClO₄$ excess with respect to the reacting species (the molar ratio [LiClO4]/[AH] varies from 80 to 49 000), the exchange equilibrium between two ion pairs must be totally shifted to the right in all the examined cases (eq 25).

Under these conditions, it follows that the actual equilibrium is as in eq 26. The fact that *K'c* increases

$$
A^-
$$
,
$$
BH^+ + nLi^+ClO_4^- \rightleftharpoons
$$

$$
A^-
$$
,
$$
Li^+ + BH^+, ClO_4^- + (n-1)Li^+ClO_4^- \text{ (eq 25)}
$$

AH + B + nLiClO₄
$$
\stackrel{K_c}{\rightleftharpoons}
$$

A⁻,Li⁺ + BH⁺,ClO₄⁻ + (n - 1)Li⁺ClO₄⁻ (eq 26)

with the number of moles *n* of LiClO₄ means that the ion pairs A-,Li⁺ and BH⁺,ClO₄⁻ are not free but associated with the salt in mixed aggregates when the LiCl O_4 excess is large. This aggregation stabilizes the ion pairs and thus decreases their mutual reactivity. One may consider that these ion pairs are solvated by LiClO₄ and that their respective activity coefficients γ are consequently diminished. The consequence is that the equilibrium of eq 24 is shifted to the right. This shift is all the more marked as the number of LiClO⁴ molecules in the aggregate is larger. Experimentally this appears by the increase of the $[A^-]/[AH]$ ratio as a function of $LiClO₄$, e.g. in the increase of K_c in eq 24.

Finally, Pocker and Buchholz^{28b} showed that the ether solutions of $LiClO₄$ contain two species in equilibrium $\frac{1}{2}$ in which Li^+ is associated to one or two ether molecules: $Li(Et_2O)^+$, ClO_4^- and $Li(Et_2O)_2^+$, ClO_4^- . These are the species which are able to form mixed aggregates are the species which are able to form in the aggregates with other ions in the medium.^{27,28} Note that the stabilization of ion pairs by aggregation with $LiClO₄$ in ether is a general phenomenon. For instance, it causes the apparent equilibrium constant of $Ph₃CCl$ to be 7 the apparent equilibrium constant of r_{H3} CC to be ℓ
 \times 10⁹ higher in the presence of 5.05 M LiClO₄ than in the absence of the salt.28b

2. Acylatlon Equilibria of Neutral Molecules

The acylation equilibrium of eq 27a is the major process in condensation reactions between two nucleophiles in which acetic acid is involved. In this way, it

$$
B: + (RCO)_2 \rightleftharpoons B^+ - C(O) - R, RCO_2^-
$$
 (eq 27a)

is possible to transform, by acylation, a nucleophilic substrate (B:) bearing an electron pair (pyridine, amine oxide, sulfoxide, pyrone, etc.) into a cationic intermediate [B⁺ -C(O)R] susceptible of reacting with another nucleophilic substrate.

The reversibility of these reactions (eq 27a) is dramatically decreased in the presence of salts and in particular alkali perchlorates (eq 27b). The alkali salts

$$
B^{+} - C(O) - R, RCO_2^{-} + M^{+}X^{-} \rightleftharpoons
$$

$$
B^{+} - C(O) - R, X^{-} + RCO_2^{-}, M^{+} \text{ (eq 27b)}
$$

play a double role here:

(i) They slow down the inverse reaction subsequent to the exchange between two ion pairs (eq 27b). The equilibrium is notably shifted to the right because of the strong ionic association between two hard ions in the species RCO_2 -Li⁺ when the salt used is LiClO₄.

(ii) They accelerate the forward reaction by electrophilic catalysis by the cation of the added salt (Chart 1).

Quantitative and semiquantitative measurements of the shift magnitude of the equilibria as a function of the added salt concentration have been taken using **CHART 1**

UV spectroscopy for the three reactions of eqs 28-30.

In the presence of a high $NaClO₄$ concentration, these three equilibria are totally shifted toward charged species. For instance, in the pyridine cases (eq 28), the rate constant of the forward reaction is $136 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ in the presence of 4 M NaClO_4 and $190 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{ s}^{-1}$ with 6 M $NaClO₄$.²⁹

For equation 30, the UV and NMR spectroscopies interestingly show that γ -pyrone only gives traces of pyrylium salts in pure acetic anhydride, but a complete transformation is obtained in the presence of excess LiClO4. When a solvent solvating the inorganic cation is added to this reaction medium (for instance DMF), the equilibrium is shifted toward the pyrone formation. These results unambiguously show that, in a weakly dissociating medium (a mixture of chloroform and acetic anhydride), the salt provokes the trapping of $CH_3CO_2^$ by Li⁺ subsequent to double ion-pair exchange (eq 27b). Let us emphasize that this salt effect on the position of the acylation equilibrium of the γ -pyrone (eq 30) has deep consequences for the reaction of eq 31 where condensation of a methylenepyran 1 with the γ -pyrone 2 leads to the corresponding cyanine 3 upon the action of acetic acid.³⁰⁻³³

The yield of this reaction increases from a few percent in the absence of salt to 85-90% in the presence of a large $NaClO₄$ excess. In addition, the reaction is strongly accelerated by salts whose efficiencies vary as follows:³³

$LiClO₄ > NaClO₄ > LiBr$

The first reaction stage is the formation of the pyrylium

cation by reversible acylation of the γ -pyrone. The acceleration of the reaction by salts is due to the shift of the preequilibrium toward the pyrylium cation subsequent to the exchange between two ion pairs (vide supra) whose concentration determines the global reaction rate. The improvement of the latter can also be attributed to the exchange reaction between two ion pairs, one of which is formed by the reaction product 3 and the other by the added salt $Na⁺ClO₄$. Indeed this reaction is reversible, due to the instability of the cyanine salt 3 in the presence of its anion MeCO_2 . When the latter is replaced by $CIO₄$, the reaction is no longer reversible, the cyanine being stable as a perchlorate salt.³¹

A salt effect, favorable to the yield as well as to the reaction rate, has also been found in the condensation reaction of methylenepyran with p-nitrosodimethylaniline.³⁴

3. Salt Effects on the Product Distribution In Reactions Occurring In Ion Pairs

If a reaction involving the intermediate formation of an ion pair further leads to different paths, a mixture of products is necessarily obtained (eq 32). The

$$
A + B \longrightarrow [C^*, X] \longrightarrow B
$$
 (eq 32)

proportion of the product yields depends on the ratio of the reaction rates of the two competitive reaction paths a and b. Thus any factor influencing the latter will affect the D/E ratio. On the other hand, it is well known that the reaction rates markedly depend on the ion-pair structures. This phenomenon often involves mechanistic changes in the reactions of these ion pairs. Large variations of product distributions may result. It is also conceivable that the presence of a salt might influence the product distribution of the reaction by partially or totally dislocating the intermediate ion pair formed. This effect can then be attributed to the exchange reaction between two ion pairs giving rise to new species (eq 33). A series of examples will now be

$$
C^{+}X^{+} + M^{+}Y^{+} \implies C^{+}Y^{+} + M^{+}X^{+} \qquad \text{(eq 33)}
$$

shown to illustrate this phenomenon and emphasize its general character.

a. E1ZSNI Competition.

Upon addition of alkaline salts, the ionization of the substrates RX (eq 34) is notably accelerated, especially under the influence of lithium salts. According to the interpretation proposed by Winstein,⁸ this effect is attributable on one hand to the acceleration of the ionization step by the "normal salt effect"

$$
k_1
$$
 $R - X^2$ Li⁺

but on the other hand to the "special salt effect" which is the consequence of an exchange reaction (eq 35) between two ion pairs and disfavors the ion recombination $(k_1 \setminus)$. In addition, the carbocation (R^+)

$$
R^+//X^- + Li^+//ClO_4^- \rightleftharpoons R^+//ClO_4^- + Li^+//X^- \text{ (eq 35)}
$$

reactivity is different depending on whether it is engaged in an ion pair with X^- or with ClO_4^- , the latter being much less tightly bound than the former, thus more reactive. The ratio of the products E_1/S_N1 can be modified by the effect of a salt differently influencing the two fast steps. The ion-pair structure causing the reaction as well as the relative H- and C-nucleophilicities of Y- are modified by the presence of the salt.

Studying the solvolysis of isobornyl chloride (eq 36), Bunton et al.³⁵ observed opposite salt effects with alkaline chlorides (increase of the olefin *%*) and with the corresponding perchlorates (increase of the ether %) (see Table 1).

Opposite effects of the addition of $LiClO₄$ were observed in the acetolysis depending on the nature of the substrates:

(i) With cyclohexyl tosylate, in the presence of a buffer $(MeCO₂K)$, the $S_N1/E₁$ ratio varies from 10/90 in the absence of a salt to $45/55$ in the presence of LiClO₄. thus provoking a marked decrease of the elimination product formation.³⁶

(ii) With 4-tert-butylcyclohexyl 2,4-dinitrobenzenesulfinyl, the addition of the same salt induces an increase in the proportion of olefin from 22 to 48% in the presence of 1 equiv of LiClO_{4.37}

In a number of examples where the global reaction rate is increased by the addition of a salt, the product ratio S_N1/E_1 is unchanged.^{38,39} The two antagonistic phenomena, modifying on one hand the reactivity of the cation $R⁺$ and on the other hand that of the nucleophile Y", then compensate each other.

b. Reactions of "Activated Sulfoxides". With anhydrides, the sulfoxides, in particular DMSO, give an equilibrated reaction leading to (acyloxy)sulfonium salts^{40,41} (eq 37). Salt 4 is only stable at low temper**Table** 1

ature.⁴⁰ At 20 ⁰C, the ion pair 4 reacts to give the acetoxy sulfurane 5 (eq 38).

The mechanism of this reaction, discovered very long ago by Pummerer⁴² has been subjected to multiple studies.⁴³ It can be schematized according to eq 39; the elimination probably occurs by a two-step process with the formation of an ylide intermediate CH_2 -S⁺(Me)-OCOMe.

It is known that (acyloxy)sulfonium salts such as 4 react with a whole series of nucleophiles to give substitution reactions of the sulfur atom⁴⁰ (see for instance eq 40). They also react with electron-rich

$$
ROH + \frac{1}{5}^{+}-OCOMe, MeCO_2^{-} \rightarrow \text{ROO}_2^{+} + \text{ROO}_2^{-} + \text{O}_2^{-} +
$$

carbon-carbon double bonds such as enols⁴ or enoxysilanes⁴⁵ or even with α -disubstituted hydrocarbons⁴⁶ (eqs 41 and 42).

The yields of these reactions are often quite low because they always compete with Pummerer's reaction. Since the latter occurs in a contact ion pair, it can be foreseen that it would be slowed down or totally

Table 2. PhCOCH2COMe/DMSO/Ac20 (1:1:2.5) with a Reaction Time of 0.5 **h**

molar ratio. salt/DMSO	yield $%$
	0٩
LiClO ₄ , 1:1	64
NaClO ₄ , 1:1	50
\degree 40% after 20 h.	

inhibited in the presence of a salt whose anion is not basic (for instance $ClO₄$) because of the double ion pair exchange of eq 43. The direct consequence of such

$$
{}^{\circ}S^{+}-OCOR, RCO_{2}^{-}+M^{+}, ClO_{4}^{-} \rightleftharpoons
$$

$$
{}^{\circ}S^{+}-OCOR, ClO_{4}^{-}+RCO_{2}^{-}, M^{+} \text{ (eq 43)}
$$

a special salt effect would be a significant increase of the reaction yields. To our knowledge, there is only one reported example of the study of salt effects on reactions involving an (acyloxy)sulfonium salt. It concerns the condensation of DMSO with β -dicarbonyl compounds in acetic anhydride at 100 °C, leading to stable sulfonium ylides 47 (eq 44).

Strzelecka⁴⁸ showed that, in the presence of 1 equiv of NaClO₄ or LiClO₄, this reaction is accelerated 40fold, and that its yield is considerably increased (Table 2).

In order to interpret this double salt effect, it is appropriate to refer to the mechanism of this reaction. Its first step is the nucleophilic attack of the acyloxysulfonium cation by the enol of β -diketone (Scheme 5). Recall that the β -diketones are essentially present in solution in their enolic form, about 80%; the latter is stabilized by an intramolecular hydrogen bond. The resulting compound 6 is a strong acid;⁴⁴ it undergoes a spontaneous deprotonation under the influence of the solvent (eq 45) whatever the associated anion (acetate or perchlorate); this leads to the stable ylide (Scheme 5).

The double salt effect observed must be due to the exchange reaction between the ion pairs (eq 43). This exchange:

(i) Provokes the shift of eq 37 toward the acyloxysulfonium and therefore the acceleration of the substitution reaction of Scheme 5.

(ii) Slows down the competitive Pummerer reaction (eq 38) by dislocating the ion pair 4 within which this reaction occurs and whose concentration determines its rate.

These results move in the direction of our above prediction concerning the beneficial effect of salts on substitution reactions whose site is an acyloxysulfonium cation.

c. *Opening of Epoxides in an Acidic Medium.* The epoxide opening reactions are considered as nucleophilic substitutions involving a weak leaving group⁴⁹ which therefore requires an electrophilic assistance to proceed.

In an acidic medium, the epoxides can give rise to two competing reactions subsequent to complexation **SCHEME 5**

between the proton (or a Lewis acid) and the oxygen atom (Scheme 6):

SCHEME 6

(i) Nucleophilic substitution a by the counteranion of the acid on the protonated epoxide.

(ii) Formation of the carbocation, then rearrangement b.

The oxaziridinium salts 10 are double bond epoxidizing reagents leading, along with epoxides, to immonium salts 11 (eq 46).

In the presence of 1 equiv of $CF₃CO₂H$, only the aldehyde 9 is obtained (Scheme 6) when the epoxide has been formed in situ from 10 (eq 47a). In contrast, an approximately equimolar amount of 8 and 9 are obtained when the epoxide is prepared in situ by reacting m-chloroperbenzoic acid with the same olefin $(eq 47b).50$

This difference in results can be attributed to the intervention of the immonium salt 11 generated during the epoxidation step, for reaction a. Subsequent to exchange between the two ion pairs 7 and 11, these salts can dislocate the ion pair 7 and therefore inhibit the formation of 8 (eq 48).

This result shows that by treating the epoxide in acidic medium, one can selectively obtain the rearrangement products when a salt whose anion is not nucleophilic is present in the reaction mixture. This effect then results from an exchange between two ion pairs.

d. Electrophilic Additions on Olefins. Electrophilic addition reactions result from the attack of a carboncarbon double bond by electrophilic reagents. During the slow step, a more or less symmetrical bridged cationic species is formed from a π -type complex. The subsequent evolution of these cations (Scheme 7) generates the reaction product(s) during the fast steps

by combination with the counteranion or with another nucleophile (anion or solvent).

For example, the reaction of bromine with styrene in acetic acid gives a mixture of the dibrominated derivative 12 and brominated acetate 13 (eq 49).

$$
Ph-CH=CH_2 + Br_2 \xrightarrow{\text{MeCO}_2H} Br \xrightarrow{\text{Pn}} Br \xrightarrow{\text{Pn}} Br \xrightarrow{\text{B r}} Br \xrightarrow{\text{B r}} \xrightarrow{\text{B r}} \xrightarrow{\text{C--C--H}} \xrightarrow{\text{(eq 49)}}
$$
\n
$$
Br \xrightarrow{\text{H}} \xrightarrow{\text{OCOMe}} H
$$

Rolston and Yates 51 have shown that the ratio of $13/$ 12 is notably influenced by addition of lithium salts. This ratio is 0.5 in the absence of a salt; it decreases to 0.2 in the presence of 0.1 M LiBr and increases to 1 with 0.1 M LiClO₄.

An analogous effect of $LiClO₄$ was observed in the reaction of arenesulfonyl chlorides ArSCl on olefins in acetic acid⁵² (eq 50).

The addition of $LiClO₄$ provokes a significant increase of the proportion of 15.⁵² The latter is all the larger as the salt concentration is higher.⁵³ This result is in accordance with the hypothesis of an intermediate episulfonium cation^{52,54} (eq 51a). The LiClO₄ effect on the mode of transformation of the intermediate cation is then attributable to the exchange reaction between two ion pairs⁵⁵ (eq 51b). The ratio 15/14 depends on the position of this equilibrium; it increases with the salt concentration.

e. Aromatic Electrophilic Substitutions. Kovalev et al.⁵⁶ have recently shown that aromatic compounds can be alkylated using secondary alcohols such as adamantylpropanol (eq 52) in a trifluoroacetic acid medium when the reactions are carried out in the presence of a catalytic amount of $LiClO₄$ (20% with respect to each of the reagents). In the first step, the alcohol in $CF₃CO₂H$ gives the corresponding carbocation, engaged in an ion pair together with $CF_3CO_2^-$.

The beneficial effect of $LiClO₄$ is probably due to an exchange equilibrium of ion pairs with $[\check{CF}_3\check{C}O_2^-, R^+]$. The latter then generates a new species $[R^+, ClO_4^-]$. By suppressing the internal ion recombination susceptible to giving the ester ROCOCF3, the electrophilic aromatic substitution process would therefore be favored (attack of the phenyl ring, eq 53).

$$
CF3CO2-.R+ + Li+.CO4-
$$
-H+|PH|PH
$$

$$
+H-|PH|PH
$$

$$
R-PH
$$
 (eq 53)
$$

/. *Reductions by Silanes in Acidic Medium.* In the presence of an acid HX, the polyprenols 16 undergo an electrophilic addition of HX on the terminal double bond with the formation of a carbocation engaged in an ion pair with X". When they are submitted to the system CF_3CO_2H (2.5 equiv)/ Et_3SiH (2 equiv), two competing reactions can occur⁶⁷ as follows:

(i) Addition of the acid onto the terminal double bond, leading to 17 (Scheme 8, path a) subsequent to a reaction proceeding within the intermediate ion pair formed.

SCHEME 8

Table 3

(ii) Reaction with the silane leading to the reduction product 18 (Scheme 8, path b). The reduction yield is remarkably improved in the presence of $LiClO_4^{57}$ (Table 3). The role of $LiClO₄$ can be attributed to a "special" salt effect", i.e. the exchange of the ion pairs in eq 54 inhibiting the reaction between the carbocation and the anion X".

$$
R^{+}, X^{-} + Li^{+}, CIO_{4}^{-} \rightleftharpoons R^{+}, CIO_{4}^{-} + Li^{+}, X^{-} \quad (eq\ 54)
$$

g. Formation of Carbon-Carbon Bonds in Oxidizing Media. Hayashi and Mukaiyama⁵⁸ have elaborated a new method of forming carbon-carbon bonds. This method consists in transforming a neutral molecule into a cationic species using a good oxidant such as 2,3 dichloro-5,6-dicyano-p-benzoquinone (DDQ) capable of hydride abstraction (eq 55). The cationic species

$$
-\frac{1}{\zeta}-H + DDQ \xrightarrow{\nu_1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \neg P_i + DDQH^* \qquad \text{(eq 55)}
$$

formed in this way then reacts with a silylated nucleophilic reagent having an ionic character, such as in eq 56. The reaction yield is improved from 23 to 82% in

$$
-c^{2} + c^{2} = c^{2} - 0
$$

the presence of $LiClO₄$ due to the exchange between the two ion pairs (eq 57). The inverse reaction of the

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} C_i^* DDOH^- + Li^*.CO_4^- \implies \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_i^*.CO_4^- + Li^*.DDOH^- \quad (eq.57)
$$

cation formation reaction in eq 55 is therefore inhibited $(v_{-1} \setminus).$

Note that $LiClO₄$ is used in catalytic amount ($LiClO₄/$ substrate, 0.1), being regenerated in the last reaction step of the mechanism (eq 58b).

B. Organo-Transition Metal Reactions between Ionic Substrates

1. Reactions within the Ion Pair and Inhibition by Salts

There is ample evidence that double ion exchange between ion pairs is responsible for reactions between ionic species. In the iron-activated carbonyl reduction by NaBH4 in THF, neither of the starting materials is THF soluble, but a THF soluble species is detected by ¹H NMR in THF- d_8 before the reaction, indicating that borohydride becomes the counteranion of the organoiron cation in a contact ion pair (CIP) at -80 °C.⁵⁹ Additional NaP F_6 obviously displaces the ion-pair exchange equilibrium toward the counterproductive direction (eq 59). Similarly, $NaClO₄$ inhibits the

[FeCp^{*}(CO)₃⁺,PF₆⁻] + [Na^{*},BH₄⁻]
$$
\frac{THF}{-80 \text{ °C}}
$$

CP* = C₅Me₅
[FeCp^{*}(CO)₃⁺,BH₄⁻] + [Na^{*},PF₆⁻] (eq 59)

$$
\downarrow -60 \text{ °C}
$$

[FeCp^{*}(CO)₂CHO:BH₃]

hydride transfer between ionic ruthenium complexes⁶⁰ (Scheme 9).

SCHEME 9

In the reaction of $\mathbf{FeCp(C_6Me_6)^+PF_6^-}$ with KOH and a halide RX $(R = \text{alkyl}, \text{allyl}, \text{benzyl}; X = \text{Cl}, \text{Br}, \text{I}$, the first step is the deprotonation of a methyl group by

KOH. This step is only feasible if double ion exchange produces naked, reactive OH- as a counteranion of the organoiron cation, a mechanism reminiscent of phasetransfer catalysis.⁶¹ The final hexaalkylated reaction products partly contain OH- as counteranion. Attempts to perform the reaction in the presence of 1 equiv of $NaPF₆$ did not work because again the double ion exchange equilibrium is displaced toward the wrong direction (Scheme 10).

SCHEME 10

$$
[FeCp*(C6Me6)*, PF6*] + [K*,OH*] \n[FeCp*(C6Me6)*,OH-] + [K*,PF6*] \n[Fe(Cp*C6Me5CH2R)*,X-] \n
$$
+ \frac{KOH}{RX} \xrightarrow{etc.} [FeCp*C6(CH2R)6*,A-]
$$
\n
$$
(A- = X*, OH-, \alpha-PF6-)
$$
$$

These simple examples illustrate the crucial role of ion pairs in the reactions between ionic species. Contrary to the favorable salt effect found in the reactions between neutral molecular species, the reactions between ions are subjected to an inhibiting salt effect. The major point here is that ionic species are brought together within an ion pair before reacting. In this way they are more reactive because they are no longer bound to their original counterion; on the other hand, their electrostatic interaction is now the driving force of the desired reaction.

2. Change in Rate and Selectivity by Variation of **Counteranion**

Double ion exchange could also orientate the selectivity of reactions. Although no salt effect has been reported in the alkylation of acylcarbonylmetalates, they can easily be anticipated after the studies by Semmelhack et al.⁶² These authors reported that the regioselectivity of the alkylation depends on the nature of the counteranion, at least in the case of iron. Fischer et al. had shown that these anions are easily available from the reaction of carbanions on metal carbonyls.⁶³ As for enolates, 64 alkylation can occur on the hard site (oxygen) or on the soft one (metal). In the free anion (no coordination of the oxygen), the alkylation is under charge control and occurs on the oxygen atom.⁶⁴ On the other hand, with small metal cations in nondissociating solvents, the oxygen center is bound to the metal cation, acting as a Lewis acid in a contact ion pair (CIP); the reaction of the electrophile is then under orbital control and the metal is the reactive center. From these results, it is expected that a desired selectivity can be obtained by addition of a suitable salt which will provoke double ion exchange (Scheme 11).

SCHEME 11

One may extend this concept to the reactivity of a large variety of anions which depends on their binding to the countercation. Such is the case for Collman's migratory insertion of a carbonyl into the iron-alkyl bond of $\text{RFe}(\text{CO})$ ₄. This reaction is accelerated by the assistance of small alkaline metal countercations (500 times faster with Na^+ than with nBu_4N^+ for $R = alkyl$. Double ion exchange induced by addition of an external Li⁺ salt will undoubtedly accelerate this reaction. It is known that the Li⁺ salt reacts 4 times faster than the $Na⁺$ one⁶⁵ (Scheme 12).

SCHEME 12

 $[{\sf RFe}({\sf CO})_4$ $\bar{\sf N}a^{\dagger}$] + $[{\sf Li}^{\dagger}{\sf X}^{\dagger}]$ \Longrightarrow $[{\sf RFe}({\sf CO})_4$ $\bar{\sf Li}^{\dagger}]$ + $[{\sf Na}^{\dagger}{\sf X}^{\dagger}]$ **CO** $[{\sf RCOFe}({\sf CO})_4\overline{\sf N} {\sf a}^+]$ **CO** | k_2 = 4 k_1 $[{\sf RCOFe}({\sf CO})_4$ -Li *]

///. Single Electron Transfer Reactions

A. Organo-Transttlon Metal Ion Pairs Generated by SET from Neutral Donors and Acceptors

Organo-transition metal species are especially adequate for SET because of their great ability to change their redox states. The reactivity of the ion pairs formed by SET from a neutral organometallic species and a neutral acceptor is dramatically dependent on the presence of an added salt. However, one may distinguish at least two categories of situations depending on the ergonicity of the SET step.

1. Exergonic Generation of O_2 ⁻⁻ from O_2 and Organo-Fe¹ Electron Reservoir Complexes: Inhibition of the Cage Reaction of O_2 ⁻ by Na⁺PF₆-

The generation of O_2 ⁻ in solution, not easy with insoluble salts of O_2 ^{*} or requiring special media in electrochemistry,⁶⁶ can be simply carried out in common solvents using the soluble Fe^I sandwiches [Fe- $(C_5R_5)(C_6R_6')$ (R = H or Me, R' = H, Me, or Et) and derivatives. The *E⁰* value of the reversible system O_2/O_2 ^{*} is -0.7 V vs SCE in pyridine.^{66,67} Although it slightly depends on the solvent, it is much lower than the *E⁰* value of all the reversible systems [Fe- $(C_5R_5)(C_6R_6)^{10/+}$ (e.g. $E^{\circ} = 1.55$ V vs SCE for R = H, $R' = Me$.⁶⁸ The SET step is thermodynamically downhill and thus, according to the Marcus theory,⁶⁹ very fast.

In the absence of a salt, the reaction of O_2 with the Fe¹ complexes either gives benzylic H atom abstraction (overall eq 60) or peroxide dimers (eq 61).⁷⁰

In both cases, SET gives ion pairs $[D^+,O_{2}^{*-}]$ in which O_2 ⁻⁻ either deprotonates D^+ at the benzylic position (eq 61a) or gives nucleophilic addition onto the benzene ligand of \tilde{D}^+ activated by the positively charged, 12e moiety $(FeC_5R_5)^+$ (eq 61b). This mechanism is summarized in eq 62.

$$
D + O2 \rightarrow [D+, O2•-]\ndownarrow\ncage reaction (eq 62)
$$

When the reactions are carried out in the presence of 1 equiv of Na^+PF_6 , the cage reaction products are not formed at all, and instead $D^+PF_6^-$ and Na_2O_2 are obtained (eq 63).⁷¹

In the presence of Na^+PF_6 , the ion pair $[D^+,O_2]$ can be metathesized and the equilibrium of (Scheme 13) is shifted to the right for both thermodynamic and kinetic reasons.

SCHEME 13

$$
[D^{\dagger}.O_2^{\dagger}^-] + [Na^{\dagger}.PF_6^-] \implies [D^{\dagger}.PF_6^{\dagger}] + [Na^{\dagger}.O_2^{\dagger}]
$$

\n
$$
\downarrow k_1
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow k_2
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow k_3
$$
\ncage reaction\n
\nprecipitation
\ndisproportionation

The thermodynamic of Scheme 13 is in favor of the disproportionation products because both small ions Na⁺ and O_2 ^{*-} are together as well as both large ions D^+ and PF₆". The kinetics of disproportionation of O_2 ^{*} is known to be extremely fast in the presence of protons or of transition metal ions. The disproportionation of O_2 ^{**} induced by Na^+ can be accounted for in terms of the large difference of energy between the CIP and the SSIP. Indeed, the electrostatic attraction is very large between both small charged ions $Na⁺$ and $O₂⁺⁻$ and is thus much larger in the CIP than in the SSIP (eq 64a). It is accordingly proposed that the more energetic SSIP reduces the CIP, a reaction eventually favored by the insolubility of sodium peroxide (equation 64b).

$$
[Na^+/ / O_2^{\bullet -}] = [Na^+, O_2^{\bullet -}]
$$

$$
CIP
$$
 (eq 64a)

$$
[Na^+/O_2^{\bullet-}] + [Na^+,O_2^{\bullet-}] = O_2 + [2Na^+,O_2^{2-}]
$$

SSIP *CIP* precipitation
(eq 64b)

A further argument in favor of the salt effect on the reactivity of O_2 with the Fe^I complexes is brought about by variations in the sizes of the cation and the anion in the added salt M^+X^- . Such variations were carried out for both eqs 61a and 61b and gave analogous results consistent with the rationalization represented in Scheme 13 (Tables 4 and 5).

2. Disproportionation of Fe¹ Complexes in the Presence of P Donors: Inhibition of Radical Pathways and Induction of SET by Na⁺PF₆^{-72,73}

Extremely electron rich 19e Fe^I species can be generated upon displacement of the arene ligand by P

Table 4. Salt Effect on the Reactivity of O2" as a Base in the Reaction

$\bf{CpFe}^I(C_6Me_6)$ 19	-80 °C, THF	
	20	$\mathbf{CpFe}^{\text{II}}(\text{C}_{6}\text{Me}_{6}\text{CH}_{2}) = [\text{CpFe}^{\text{II}}(\text{C}_{6}\text{Me}_{6})]^{\text{+}}\text{X}^{-}$ 19^{+} X ⁻

° Percent of 20 and 19⁺X" determined by weight (reactions are immediate). At 20° C, the crude yields are 97% for 20 and 3% for 19 ; $+PF_6$ ⁻. Concentrations of both 19 and the salt in THF (30) mL) are 0.033 mol L⁻¹. With other CpFe(arene) complexes (arene = toluene, mesitylene.pentamethylbenzene, ethyl benzene, fluorene), analogues of 20 are not formed in the presence of 1 equiv of Na+PF₆- under identical conditions 90–100% yield of CpFe(arene)+]PF₆-. Superoxide ion was characterized by its characteristic ESR spectra at 77 K in reaction of $O₂$ with 19 in frozen THF solution.

Table 5. Salt Effect on the Reactivity of O_2 **^{** - **} as a Nucleophile in the Reaction**

^a Percent of 22 and 21^{+PF₆⁻ determined by weight (reactions} are immediate). ° Concentrations of both 21 and the salt in THF (30 mL) are 0.067 mol L^{-1} ; $\text{Na}^+ \text{PF}_6$ - produces the same salt effect in DME. The intermediacy of superoxide ion is indicated by its characteristic ESR spectrum at 77 K in the reaction of $O₂$ with 21 in frozen THF solution at 150-170 K in the presence or absence of a salt.

donors in the 19e complexes $[Fe^I Cp(arene)]$ (Cp = η^5 - C_6H_6). The η^6 6e arene ligand is a relatively good π **acceptor whereas P ligands are poorer in this respect. Thus, the result of the replacement of toluene by three 2e PMe3 donors is a shift of the** *E⁰* **value of the 18e/ 19e** redox system $[FeCpL₃]^{+/0}$ ($L₃$ = toluene vs 3 PMe₃) **from -1.3 V to -2.05 V vs SCE. The new 19e species [FeCp(PMe3)3] is so electron rich that it may reduce the 19e complex [FeCp(toluene)] to the 20e anionic species [FeCp(toluene)]- .**

However, this reduction is not observed because the radical reaction of the 17e species [FeCp(PMe3^] (which is in equilibrium with the 19e species [FeCp- (PMe3)3]) is faster than the follow-up chemistry resulting from SET (Scheme 14).⁷²

On the contrary, when the reaction of 21 with PMe³ in THF is carried out in the presence of 1 equiv of Na⁺PF6", the radical reaction is not observed and [FeCp(PMe3)3]⁺PF6-, [Fe(PMe3)J, benzene, and cyclopentadienylsodium are formed. These products all result from SET followed by metathesis of the organoiron ion pair with Na⁺PFe' and subsequent reaction of Fe⁰ with PMe3 (Scheme 15).

SCHEME 14

The thermodynamics of the metathesis reaction between the ion pairs is not in favor of the formation of the final products because the starting ion pairs have ions of closer size than those of the exchanged ion pairs. However, the reason for the salt-induced SET is found in the fast kinetics of decomposition of the ion pair $[FeCp(C_6H_6)^-, Na^+]$ as compared to $[FeCp(C_6H_6)^-,$ $\text{FeCp}(\text{PMe}_3)_3^+$ ($v_7 \gg v_5$). Thus, the rate of decom**position of the 2Oe anion [FeCp(C6H6)]' is extremely dependent on the size of the countercation, i.e. the large countercation [FeCp(PMe3)3] + considerably slows down the decomposition and the small cation Na⁺ accelerates** it. Under these conditions, $v_7 \gg v_4$, i.e. the decomposition of $[FeCp(C_6H_6)^{-}$, Na⁺ $]$ is much faster than the **radical reaction of [FeCp(PMe3)2]. The thermodynamic factors associated with this system are the equilibrium between the 17e species [FeCp(PMe3^] and the 19e species [FeCp(PMe3)3] for which precise informations are not available and the redox step which is slightly in favor of the SET in the case of PMe3 (by 0.15 V). Although these thermodynamic factors are important, they can be varied to some extent without perturbing the observation of a "quantitative salt effect" in the presence of 1 equiv of Na⁺PF6". For instance, the same chemistry and salt effect are found with P(OMe)3 instead of PMe3, although this change renders the redox step endergonic by 0.2 V. Indeed the F⁰ of [FeCp(P(OMe)3J3]+¹⁰ is -1.72 V vs SCE, Le. lower than that of [FeCp(C6H6)]⁰ /-** *(E⁰* \mathcal{L} v vs SOCE, i.e. lower than
 $\mathcal{L} = -1.9$ V vs $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. This **means that the presence of** $N\sigma^+ P F$ **, induces a therm**
means that the presence of $N\sigma^+ P F$, induces a ther*modynamically unfavorable SET.* **This endergonicity can be tentatively increased by adding methyl substituents on the benzene ligand of 21 or by replacing P(OMe)3 by P(OPh)3 (in the latter case, the equilibrium between the 17e and 19e species [FeCp(P(OPh)3Jn] (n Detween the 17e and 19e species** $[Fe^{(1)}(OFn)_{3n}]$ **(h** $=$ 2, 3) is also displaced toward the 17e species for steric $= 2, 3$) is also displaced toward the 17e species for steric **PERSONS).** With [FeCp(mesitylene)], the reaction of $P(\text{MA})$ carried out in THF in the presence of NatDF $P(OMe)₃$ carried out in THF in the presence of Na⁺PF₆⁻ has to face an endergonicity of 0.4 V for the redox step, and yet, it is again quantitative. On the other hand, with $[FeCp(C_6Me_6)]$ and $P(OMe)_3$ or with $[FeCp(to]-]$ uene)] and $P(OPh)_{3}$, the endergonicity of 0.8 to 1 V cannot be overcome, and another reaction pathway is **bbserved.** In these two cases, the radical reaction is
class cancelled by the presence of 1 gouin of Na⁺**PF**₀ also cancelled by the presence of 1 equiv of Na⁺PF₆⁻ and the 17e radical [FeCp{P(OR)₃}₂] is reduced by the starting complex [Fe^ICp(arene)]. This salt effect **in Scheme 16)** is of the same nature as the one described **ion pair metathesis with Na⁺PF⁶ - have a faster de**ion pair metathesis with Na⁺PF₆⁻ have a faster decomposition kinetics (for one of them) than the kinetics of the radical pathway (H-atom abstraction or Arbuzov-
type).

SCHEME 15

It is thus striking that, whatever the thermodynamics of the equilibrium, a SET pathway is always preferred to the radical pathway observed in the absence of Na⁺PF₆⁻. As with the reaction system involving O_2 , the sizes of the ions of the added salt were varied, and the results shown in Table 6 for the reaction between $[FeCp(C_6H_6)]$ and PMe₃ are again consistent with the salt effect. This confirms that $Na^+PF_6^-$ is the optimum salt insuring a "quantitative" salt effect.

The influence of the precipitation was also examined as one may believe it to be crucial to the observation of the salt effect. However, such is not the case. The complexes $[FeCpL₃]⁺$, $(L₃ = 3 PR₃$ or arene) obtained in the cases of salt effect are essentially insoluble in THF but soluble in a THF/Me₃CN mixture $(1/1)$. In such a medium, precipitation was indeed never observed but the reaction products were the same $(PF_6^-$ salts), indicating that the influence of the precipitation was

not critical. Furthermore, we envisaged the possibility of recycling the salt ions in order to test the feasibility of a catalytic salt effect. Thus, in the case of the reaction between $[FeCo(toluene)]$ and $PMe₃$ in $THF/Me₃CN$. we used a catalytic amount of Na^+PF_6 ⁻ (0.1 equiv) and found a virtually quantitative formation of [FeCp- $(PMe₃)₃$ ⁺ without a detectable product resulting from the radical pathway. The SET is thus induced quantitatively with a catalytic amount of Na^+PF_6^- (Scheme 17) confirming that the rate of decomposition of the

SCHEME 17

ion pair $[{\rm FeCp(C_6H_6)}$ -,Na⁺] is more than 10 times higher than that of the H-atom abstraction, itself much higher than that of the decomposition of the ion pair $[FeCp(C₆H₆)⁻, FeCp(PMe₃)⁺]: v₅ < v₄ < v₇⁷³$ A catalytic salt effect of Na^+PF_6^- is also found in the disproportionation of $[FeCp(C_6H_6)]$, 21, in the absence of P donor. In the absence of a salt, the dimer is the main reaction product along with a minor amount of disproportionation products (Fe, benzene, and ferrocene). With a catalytic amount of Na^+PF_6^- , only the latter disproportionation products are observed. The mechanism of the disproportionation of $[Fe^{I}Co(C_{6}H_{6})]$ catalyzed by Na^+PF_6^- proceeds as in Scheme 17 except that PMe_3 is replaced by tetrahydrofuran (THF) and [FeCp- $(THF)₃$ ⁺,Cp⁻] spontaneously forms ferrocene; iron powder is found instead of $[Fe(PMe₃)₄]$. This reaction was found to occur in THF by Nesmeyanov et al.^{74a} who overlooked the role of the salt. The THF solution used by these authors must have contained $Na^+BF_4^-$ or $Na⁺PF₆$ produced in the Na/Hg reduction of [FeCp- $(C_{\alpha}H_{\alpha})^{+}$, X^{-1} ($X^{-} = BF_{4}^{-}$ or PF_{α}^{-}). Indeed in the absence of salt, $[Fe^{I}Cp(C_6H_6)]$ essentially dimerizes in THF (90% yield).

In the ligand exchange of Fe^I complexes with CO, however, no salt effect is observed. Only the dimer $[FeCp(CO)₂]$ is obtained whether Na⁺PF₆" is present or not because the radical reaction of $[FeCp(CO)₂']$ (dimerization) is faster than SET $(v_4 > v_5)$. This is due to the small size of the CO ligand. On the contrary, in the related biradical $[Fe^I{}_2$ (fulvalene) $(C_6H_6)l_2$, the reaction with CO is strongly subjected to the special salt effect which dislocates a reactive zwitterion.⁷⁴ In the absence of Na^+PF_6 , this biradical reacts with CO to give the exchange of the two benzene ligands with six

CO's. The unstable complex $[Fe⁰₂(fulvalene)(CO)₆]$ can be characterized by ¹H and ¹³C NMR. In the presence of 1 equiv of $\mathrm{Na^+PF_6^-}$, only one benzene exchange occurs because intramolecular SET at this stage is driven by the salt (Scheme 18).

SCHEME 18

On the other hand, in the ligand exchange with PMe3, intermolecular SET is driven by double ion exchange with $\mathrm{Na^+PF_6^-}$ as in the monomeric series. This indicates that both Fe¹ moieties act independently since none of them are electron poor enough to be reduced by the other.

3. Enhancement of Coulombic Efficiency in Electron-Transfer-Chain (ETC) Catalysis

The transformation of neutral complexes by ETC catalysis involves 17e and 19e radical ions in the propagation chain.⁷⁵ The rate of the propagation steps depends on the counterion introduced in the initiation step. The radical ions are extremely reactive species and their side reactions are often very fast but also depend on the nature of the counterion. The ratio between the rate of the slowest propagation step and the rate of the fastest side reaction determines the Coulombic efficiency and is thus very dependent on the nature of the counterion. Analogously, the addition of an external salt provokes double ion exchange which introduces another counterion for the reactive radical ions. Therefore Coulombic efficiency is influenced. The ETC-catalyzed chelation of the monodentate dithiocarbamate ligand in $[FeCp^*(CO)_2(\eta^1-SC(S)NMe_2)]$ can be initiated either by an oxidant⁷⁶ or a reductant.⁷⁷ In both cases, the nature of the counterion of the initiating redox reagent has a dramatic effect on coulombic efficiency.

In the case of the oxidative initiation (Scheme 19a), the propagation is limited by the endergonic (thus slow)

SCHEME 19

 \mathbf{a}

$$
\mathsf{FeCp}_2\mathsf{^+PF}_6
$$

cross redox step. The competitive side reaction is the precipitation of the 17e chelated cation which is all the faster as the counteranion, introduced as a ferricinium salt, is smaller. In the reductive initiation (Scheme 19b), the propagation cycle is limited by the selectivity of ligand cleavage in the 19e anion $[FeCp^*(CO)₂(n¹ -1)]$ SC(S)NMe₂)]⁻. With Na⁺ as a countercation (introduced as naphthyl sodium in the initiation), the selectivity (66%) is much better than with the large, soft countercation $\text{FeCn}(C_eMe_e)^+$ (introduced as the $19e \text{Fe}^{\text{I}}$ neutral complex in the initiation). For the latter, the selectivity is zero. The side reaction is quantitative: cleavage of the dtc" ligand. It is probable that this difference is due to the selective binding of the hard site, the carbonyl oxygen by the hard Lewis acid nafu site, the carbonyl oxygen by the hard Lewis acid
Na+ assisting the cleavage of this carbonyl ligand whereas the large organoiron countercation drives the precipitation of its dtc~ salt. A systematic study of the counterion effects in organic and inorganic ETCcatalyzed reactions, so far overlooked, will probably contribute to improve their efficiency in the future by addition of external salts and careful choice of counterions.

B. Light-Induced SET Reactions

The area of ET photochemistry has been the subject of considerable interest recently.^{7,78-93} Many endergonic ET reactions can be driven by light if one of the reactants absorbs in the suitable wavelength range. The gain of driving force is equal to the energy of absorption whether the excited molecule is the oxidizing or the reducing species. Both the oxidizing and reducing powers are increased by the excitation energy $\Delta G_{A\rightarrow A^*}$ $= n \mathcal{F} E_{A\rightarrow A^*}.$ This increase of redox power can be enormous. For benzene which absorbs in the UV region, $E_{A\rightarrow A^*}$ reaches 4.7 V, but the ground-state redox properties of this molecule are also very weak. For most aromatic ketones, aryl olefins, and polyaromatics, $E(A \rightarrow A^*)$ is still between 3 and 4 V (for both the singlet and triplet states). In this way, ET processes which

$$
E^{\circ}{}_{A^{\bullet}/A^-} = E^{\circ}{}_{A/A^-} + E_{A \to A^*} \quad \text{(eq 65a)}
$$

$$
E^{\circ}{}_{A^*/A^*} = E^{\circ}{}_{A/A^*} + E_{A \to A^*} \qquad \text{(eq 65b)}
$$

would be endergonic in the ground state become exergonic upon excitation to the excited singlet or triplet state. According to Marcus theory,⁶⁹ the rate of SET being given by

$$
k_{\text{ET}} = [K_{\text{ET}} k_{\text{A}} \cdot k_{\text{S}}]^{1/2}
$$

where K_{ET} is the equilibrium constant: $K_{ET} = e^{-\Delta E^2 / 0.06}$ at 30 $\,^{\circ}$ C; ΔE° is the difference between the standard thermodynamic potentials of the two redox systems; and k_A and k_B are the rate constants of self-exchange for the redox systems of A and S. It is assumed that ET occurs at a diffusion-controlled rate when the free energy change ΔG° is more negative than -40 kJ mole⁻ i. It follows that the exergonic SET from the excited state A* is fast, but the back-ET to the ground-state A (eq 66b) is also exergonic, thus fast.

$$
A^* + S \rightarrow [A^*^-, S^{*}] \qquad \Delta G^{\circ} \ll 0, \text{ fast} \qquad (\text{eq 66a})
$$

$$
A^{\bullet-},S^{\bullet+} \to [A+S] \qquad \Delta G^{\circ} \ll 0, \text{ fast} \qquad (\text{eq 66b})
$$

The only way to succeed in achieving ET is to prevent the back ET by a fast reaction of the ion-pair cage A", S⁺⁺. Radical ions are indeed unstable and reactive but it is difficult to compete with an exergonic ET, the rate of which can approach the diffusion-control limit. Addition of an external salt to the solution can largely favor this objective. $89-91,120,121$ Indeed double ion exchange between the two ion pairs can also be close to the diffusion-control rate if the two pairs are of the same kind, e.g. are both contact ion pairs (CIP) or both solvent-separated ion pairs (SSIP).

$$
[A^{\bullet-},S^{\bullet+}] + [M^{\bullet},X^-] \implies [M^{\bullet},A^{\bullet-}] + [S^{\bullet+},X^-] \quad (\text{eq 67})
$$

The effect of the double ion exchange is to separate A'- and S'⁺ which slows down the back-ET and to favor their reactivities in the two new ion pairs (the reasoning is the same with A^{+} and S^{-}).

There are now many examples of such special salt effects in the organic photochemistry of neutral molecules A.

7. Photophysical Studies

Using picosecond absorption spectroscopy, Peters et al 6,7,94,95 studied the influence of sodium salts on the ion pairs generated during the photoreduction of benzophenone by amines (Scheme 20).

SCHEME 20

The salts $NaClO₄$ and NaI were used because of their propensity to form SSIP and CIP ion pairs respectively in acetonitrile.^{6,7,25a,150} It was thus shown that the rate of ion-pair exchange is at a maximum when the two ion-pair structures are of the same type. The same spectroscopic study was also used to examine solvent effects on these ion-pair exchange reactions and revealed a great dependence on the solvent. In DMSO, no exchange is observed between $NaClO₄$ and the amineketone ion pair, the exchange process being sensitive to acceptor solvents.

Goodman and Peters used time-resolved photoacoustic calorimetry to study the effects of added salts on the ion pairs formed by photoreduction of benzophenone by DABCO.⁹⁶ They determined the heats of reaction in the absence and in the presence of added salts, showing thus the solvent and salt dependence for ion-pair energetics.

In recent publications, Devadoss and Fessenden¹³ questioned the results of Simon and Peters. Using both nano- and picosecond laser photolysis, they have shown that the initial step, in all solvents, is ET to form a contact ion pair (CIP) in the triplet state. In nonpolar solvents, the ion pair remains in this form until it decays. For polar solvents, due to solvation changes, the ion pair becomes SSIP. The lifetime of the ion pair varies greatly with the solvent. Contrary to the report by Simon and Peters, the observations support the idea of a transformation from CIP to SSIP.

McCullough and Yeroushalmi⁹⁷ examined the fluorescence quenching of a series of methylnaphthalenes by substituted olefins and the effect of added salts. Exciplex fluorescence from 1-naphthonitrile (NpCN) and tetramethylethylene (TME) in DME and tBuOH (both solvents of medium polarity) is quenched by $LiClO₄$ or nBu₄NBF₄ and the exciplex lifetime is shortened, suggesting that ion pairs are formed from the exciplex (Scheme 21). Tazuke et al.⁹⁸ showed that

SCHEME 21

$$
TME + NpcN \xrightarrow{hv} (TME.NpcN)^* \longrightarrow [TME^*1,NpcN^*]
$$

\n
$$
LC[O_4]
$$

\n
$$
TME^{*1}.ClO_4^-] + [NpcN^{*1}.Li^*]
$$

emissions of the exciplex pyrene N , N -dimethylaniline (DMA) is quenched by quaternary ammonium salts according to a Stern-Volmer relationship. This was taken into account by a bimolecular quenching pro-

ceeding with association in a quadrupole ion (Scheme 22). Hartley and Faulkner⁹⁹ proposed that such multiple ions or aggregates are "chemical derivatives" of the primary exciplex.

SCHEME 22

Goodson and Schuster¹⁰⁰ found that quenching of the 1,4-dicyanobenzene by $nBu₄NBF₄$ in DME solution depends on the concentration. Radical ions are formed at 0.05 M salt but not at a lower concentration. It was proposed that ion-pair exchange producing free ions occurs through formation of an aggregate and a quadrupolar salt. The inhibition of back-electron transfer has been shown for instance in the photooxidation of Leuco Crystal Violet (LCV) to Crystal Violet (CV⁺), although micelles or polyelectrolytes are considered as the best additives. $101-103$ Tazuke et al. 102 also showed that the fluorescence of the N -methylphenothiazine $(MPTH)/methylviologen (MV²⁺) exciplex (Chart 2) is$ affected by the addition of salts only if these salts bear long alkyl chains.

The change of solvation found responsible for inhibition of back-ET within the geminate ion pair was explained by the concepts of "solvent friction" and "solvation mode". Tasuke et al. have proposed methods to improve the quantum efficiency of such charge separation in homogeneous systems.¹⁰³

Gersdorf and Mattay used flash photolysis to determine the fraction of CIP and SSIP formed by ET from triplet fluorenone to tetraethoxyethylene.¹⁰⁴ The variation of this fraction as a function of the concentration of added LiClO4 shows the equilibrium between the CIP and SSIP. The study of the influence of a salt on the intramolecular charge separation was studied by Moore et al.¹⁰⁵ in the photolysis of a molecular assembly C-P-Q consisting of a tetraarylporphyrin (P) covalently linked to a carotenoid (C) and a quinone (Q). Irradiation of the porphyrin chromophore by visible light induces a rapid ET (<100 ps) to give the zwitterion $C^{\star+}$ – $P-Q^{\star-}$ but only at high concentration of nBu4NBF4 (Scheme 23).

SCHEME 23

$$
C-P-Q
$$

\n
$$
C^{-P-Q}
$$

\n
$$
C^{-1}P-Q
$$

\n
$$
C^{-1}P-Q^{-1}
$$

Photoredox quenching of excited $[Ru(bipy)_3]^{2+}$ by methylviologen Ti^{III} and Hg^{II} was shown to be affected by the electrolyte and by the nature of the anion¹⁰⁶ (vide infra § 2 VI).

Yasuda et al.¹⁰⁷ performed kinetic studies of the decay of phenanthrene-p-diacyanobenzene exciplex with $PrNH₂$ in the presence or absence of $nBu₄N+BF₄$.

Pines and Huppert¹⁰⁸ demonstrated that addition of a salt (NaNO₃ or $KNO₃$) enhances the average dissociation rate of photoacids such as 8-hydroxypyrene-1,3,6-trisulfonate. By measuring the variation of photoacid quantum yields as a function of the salt concentration, they showed the geminate recombination role in deprotonation reactions. The lifetimes measured by picosecond time-resolved spectroscopy (PTRS) are shorter than those found by relative quantum yield experiments (RQY) because PTRS gives the initial deprotonation rates whereas RQS measures the average geminate recombination rate.

2. Photolytic Reactions Favored by the Addition of a Salt

In a number of reactions, the radical cation produced by oxidation of the photoexcited state or from the exciplex is the reactive species. In these cases, an added salt induces double ion exchange which prevents the exergonic back-ET reaction. Thus the added salt enhances the quantum yield and efficiency of the lightdriven reaction. AU these positive salt effects follow a common mechanism:

$$
D^{\dagger} A \xrightarrow{hv} D + A^{\dagger} \text{ or } [D,A]^{\dagger} \qquad \text{(eq 68)}
$$

$$
D^* + A \text{ or } [D.A]^* \longrightarrow [D^{*+}.A^{*-}]
$$
 (eq 69)

$$
[D^{*+},A^{*-}] + [M^+,X^-] \implies [D^{*+},X^-] + [M^+,A^{*-}] \quad (\text{eq 70})
$$

reaction

 $D =$ donor; $A =$ acceptor (sensitizer); $M^+X^- =$ added

salt

a. *Photoisomerization.* The quantum yield of photoisomerization of cis-cyclopropanes (eq 71) sensitized by 9,10-dicyanoanthracene (DCA) is markedly enhanced in the presence of $Mg(CIO_4)_2$ or $LiBF_4$.¹⁰⁹ Such is also the case for the photoisomerization of cis-stilbene to trans-stilbene (eq 72), favored by addition of 3-10 equiv of NaClO4, and for the photoisomerization of *cis*l,2-bis(p-methoxyphenyl)cyclopropane, favored by addition of $Mg(C1O_4)_2$.¹¹⁰⁻¹¹²

b. Photooxidation.¹¹³' 111 - ¹⁵⁴ Mizuno et al.¹¹³ also showed that the photooxidation of 1,2-diarylcyclopropanes (CP) (eq 73) and biphenyl (eq 77) occurs with better yields in the presence of $Mg(C1O_4)_2$ or LiBF₄.

mechanism: DCA
$$
\xrightarrow{h\nu}
$$
 ^1DCA* \xrightarrow{CP} [DCA⁻⁻...CP⁺] (eq 74)

$$
2[DCA+...CP+] + Mg(ClO4)2 \rightarrow
$$

[2DCA⁻, Mg²⁺] + 2[CP⁺,ClO₄⁻] (eq 75)

 CP^+ . \circ_{2}

O — O + ' CP or DCA ' A r (e<) ⁷⁶> 0 — 0

$$
\bigodot \bigodot \qquad + \qquad \circ_2 \qquad \xrightarrow{\qquad \qquad h \vee \qquad \qquad DCA \qquad \qquad }_{\text{Mg(ClO}_4)_2} \qquad \bigodot \qquad \qquad \bigodot \qquad \qquad (eq. 77)
$$

The oxidative photocleavage of $1,2$ -bis(p-methoxyphenyl)cyclobutane is accelerated in the presence of $Mg(C1O₄)₂$. No salt effect was found for 1,2-diphenylcyclobutane because the very fast back-ET prevents interaction with the salt ion pair.¹¹⁷

c. Photoreduction. The addition of $Mg(C1O₄)₂$ accelerates the $[Ru(bipy)_3]^{2+}$ -photosensitized reduction of electron-deficient olefins by a NADH model, 1-benzyl-1,4-dihydronicotinamide. It was proposed that this effect is due to the favorable interaction of the olefin radical anion with Mg^{II}.¹¹⁸

d. Photoaddition. The DCA-sensitized photoaddition of methanol to diarylalkenes gives the anti-Markovnikov type adducts.¹¹⁹ If the diarylalkene is rigid (9-alkylidenefluorene), the reaction is favored in the presence of $Mg(C1O_4)_2$ in MeCN (eq 78), whereas it is not the case for the photoaddition to flexible diarylalkenes (eq 79).

This difference in salt effect is taken into account by the structural dichotomy of the diarylalkene radical cation attacked by MeO". The stable exciplex formed between DCA and a conformationally rigid alkene is not attacked by MeO" (Scheme 24). However, its

SCHEME 24

DCA + D
$$
\frac{nv}{MeCN}
$$
 [DCA⁵...D^{6*}] \times [DCA^{*}...D^{*}]
\n
$$
\sqrt{\frac{[Mg^{2} \cdot .2CO_{4}^{-}]}{[Mg^{2} \cdot .2CO_{4}^{-}]} + [D^{*} \cdot .CO_{4}^{-}]} \times 200 \times 200
$$

interaction with $Mg(CIO₄)₂$ leads to the formation of $Ar₂CH-C+Me₂$ which correctly reacts with MeO⁻ to give the addition product. On the other hand, in the case of nonrigid diarylalkenes, this reaction proceeds with the geminate ion pair in which the positive charge is

Table 7. Results of Salt Concentration Dependence for Tropinone Photooxidation

$[LiClO4]$, equiv	R_2NH , %	R ₂ NCHO, %
	35	65
0.1	70	30
0.25	100	0
0.50	80	20
	50	50

localized on the olefin part, owing to distortion (eq 80).

DCA + D
$$
\frac{h\nu}{\text{MeCN}}
$$

nonrigid
[DCA⁵...D^{5^t}] \longrightarrow [DCA[•]...D^{•+}] $\frac{\text{MeOH}}{\text{MeOH}}$ adduct B (eq 80)
exciplex

The salt inhibits this reaction because it dissociates the geminate radical ion pair to give the SSIP. Radicalcation cyclodimerizations of electron-rich 1,3-dienes and radical-cation Diels-Alder reactions of these dienes with electron-rich olefins are photosensitized by DCA, 1,4 dicyanobenzene, or fluorenone (eq 81). These reactions

have been shown by Mattay et al. to be favored by the presence of a salt $(\text{LiClO}_4).^{120,121}$ Addition of Mg(ClO₄)₂ increased the yield of photoaddition of 1,2-naphthoquinone with aliphatic aldehydes from *1%* to 30%.¹⁴⁹

e. Change of Selectivity in Photoadditions. The DCA-sensitized photooxidation of tertiary methy l amines was shown by Santamaria et al.¹²² to occur according to two distincts paths. However in the presence of lithium or magnesium perchlorate, only selective N-demethylation was shown to proceed (eq 82).

$$
R_2NMe + O_2 \xrightarrow{h \lor DCA} R_2NH + R_2NCHO \quad (eq 82)
$$

\nno salt: 35–65% 65–35%
\nLiCO₄
\nor Mg (CO₄)₂: 80–100% 0

A detailed examination reveals a strong salt concentration dependence of the demethylated products (Table 7).

This reaction provides a new strategy for selective N-demethylation of tertiary methylamines with an optimal concentration of added salt.

The mechanism proposed by the authors is as follows:

$$
R_2NMe + DCA \xrightarrow{h\nu} [R_2NMe^{\bullet +}, DCA^{\bullet -}] \xrightarrow{H'} R_2NCH_2^{\bullet} \text{ (eq 83)}
$$
\n
$$
R_2NCH_2^{\bullet} \xrightarrow{[R_2N] \times [R_2NCH_2COH]} \xrightarrow{[R_2NCH_2COH]} R_2NCHO
$$
\n
$$
(eq 84)
$$

Thus it appears that a secondary $ET(R_2NCH_2^{\bullet} \rightarrow$ R_2NCH_2 ⁺) is favored by the presence of the salt. One may suggest that O_2 is the acceptor in this oxidation and that the ET is driven by the salt-induced disproportionation of O_2 ^{*} (Scheme 25).

SCHEME 25

$$
R_{2}NCH_{2}^{*} + O_{2} \longrightarrow [R_{2}NCH_{2}^{*}.O_{2}^{*}]
$$
\n
$$
[M^{*}.O_{2}^{*}] + [R_{2}N^{*} \longrightarrow CH_{2,}ClO_{4}^{-}]
$$
\n
$$
[M^{*}.O_{2}^{*}] + [R_{2}N^{*} \longrightarrow CH_{2,}ClO_{4}^{-}]
$$
\n
$$
[M^{*} \longrightarrow H_{2}M^{*}]
$$
\n
$$
[M^{*} + L^{*}, Mg^{2*}]
$$
\n
$$
(M^{*} + L^{*}, Mg^{2*})
$$

/. *Photosubstitution.* Mizuno et al.123a showed that the phenanthrene-sensitized substitutions of main group σ -alkyl organometallic complexes are accelerated in the presence of $Mg(C1O_4)_2$ with increase of the quantum yield (eq 85).

Yasuda et al.¹⁰⁷ recently found that the photoamination of polyaromatics (naphthalene, 2,5-dimethylnaphthalene, anthracene, phenanthrene) sensitized by p-dicyanobenzene (DCNB) is only possible in the presence of tetrabutylammonium tetrafluoroborate in low polarity solvents (DME, THF, dioxane). The polyaromatic-DCNB exciplex in these solvents was observed and quenched by the salt. It was therefore concluded that the salt dislocates the geminate ion pair which provides a sufficiently long-lived polyaromatic radical cation for amination [for instance in Scheme 26, polaromatic = phenanthrene (Phen)]. The kinetic

SCHEME 26

studies were performed with p-dimethoxybenzene used as a cation quencher and show a phenanthrene radical cation lifetime of $6-7$ ns and a rate constant $k = (6.3-$ 11.4) \times 10⁹ M s⁻¹ for the nucleophilic addition.

Yasuda et al.^{107c} also studied the photosensitized oxidation of methylnaphthalenes by dicyanoanthracene. In the presence of $NEL_4(CH_3CO_2)$, the reaction selectivity occurs at the unsubstituted ring, whereas, in the presence of NEt4BF4, oxidation selectivity occurs at the substituted ring.

3. Photolytlc Reactions Inhibited by Addition of a Salt

The effect of an added salt can be negative, i.e. the salt inhibits the photolytic reaction if both the radical cation and the radical anion react together within the geminate ion pair. Dislocation of the photogenerated ion pair by an added salt removes the reactive ions from each other (Scheme 27).

SCHEME 27

$$
D + A \xrightarrow{hv} D + A^* \text{ or } [D,A]^*
$$

\n
$$
D + A^* \text{ or } [D,A]^* \longrightarrow [D^{*+},A^{*}] \longrightarrow \text{reaction}
$$

\n
$$
[D^{*+},A^{*+}] + [M^{+},X^{-}] \longrightarrow [D^{*+},X^{*}] + [A^{*-},M^{*}]
$$

 $D =$ donor; $A =$ acceptor (sensitizer); $A^* =$ photoexcited state of A; $[D,A]^* =$ exciplex; $M^+X^- =$ added sait

The known cases are the photoreduction of aromatic ketones by amines, the photoaddition of silylated tertiary amines to α -enones, and the photosubstitution of anthracene.

Peters et al. $6,7,94-96$ have shown that NaClO₄ in excess considerably slows down or even inhibits photoreductions of aromatic ketones by amines. The essential steps of this reaction is ET from the amine to the ketone triplet (fast) followed by a (slow) deprotonation within the contact ion pair CIP.

$$
Ph2CO \stackrel{h\nu}{\rightarrow} Ph2C'-O'
$$
 (eq 86)

$$
Ph_2C^{\bullet}-O^{\bullet} + NHR_2 \rightarrow [Ph_2C^{\bullet}-O^{\bullet}, NHR_2^{\bullet+}] \quad (eq 87)
$$

$$
[Ph2C*-O-, NHR2*]\rightarrow Ph2C*-OH + NR2* (eq 88)
$$

$$
[Ph2C*-O-, NHR2**] + [M+X-] \rightleftharpoons
$$

[Ph₂C^{*}-O⁻,M⁺] + [NHR₂^{**}, X⁻] (eq 89)

The rate of this double ion exchange could be measured using picosecond spectroscopy in various solvents. It is low in coordinating solvents or even nil in the presence of a suitable crown ether. The mechanism is similar in the photoaddition of tertiary amines (Scheme 28a). In the case of α -silylated tertiary amines,¹²⁴⁻¹²⁶ two competing reactions can occur: deprotonation or desilylation (Scheme 28b). The ratio A/B markedly depends on the presence of an alkaline salt, illustrating the role of Li⁺ (Table 8).

The salt effect shows that deprotonation occurs within the contact ion pair C (eq 90) whereas it should not be the case for the desilylation (Scheme 29).

The considerable L_{i⁺} effect is caused by its large affinity for the oxoanion, both ions being hard. Thus

Table 8. Dependence of A/B on Alkaline Salt*

salt	\mathbf{A}/\mathbf{B}
no salt	86/14
nBu_4NClO_4 (0.48 M)	71/29
LiClO ₄ (0.4 M)	27/73

SCHEME 28

the double ion exchange is shifted toward the dislocation of the CIP C favoring the formation of A from a SSIP or from the free ions.

Kochi et al.¹²⁷⁻¹³⁰ showed that tetranitromethane (TNM) and various aromatic donors form charge-

transfer complexes which, upon irradiation, give geminate radical ion pairs. The photogenerated species susceptible to salt effects are the $[are^{+}/(NO₂)₃C⁻/$ NO₂^{\cdot}] triads and the free ions. With anthracene and p-methylanisole, the ion pair decay is shown in Scheme 30.

SCHEME 30

ArH + TNM — - [ArH.TNM] $\frac{N_{\text{CT}}}{N_{\text{CT}}}$ **[ArH^{**}.TNM^{*-}]**

 $[ArH^{**}, TNM^{*-}]$ –

$$
[ArH^{**},C^-(NQ_2)_3.NQ_2^*] \longrightarrow [ArH^{**},C^-(NQ_2)_3] + NO_2^*
$$

$$
[ArH^{**},C^-(NQ_2)_3] \longrightarrow ArH^{**} + C^-(NO_2)_3
$$

(a)
$$
[ArH^{\bullet \bullet}, C^{\bullet}(NO_2)_3] \xrightarrow{\text{cage}} ArH^{\bullet} - C(NO_2)_3 \xrightarrow{-H^{\bullet}} ArC(NO_2)_3
$$

(b) $ArH^{\bullet +} + NO_2^{\bullet} \longrightarrow HAr^{\bullet}NO_2 \longrightarrow ArNO_2$

The two competing reactions (a and b) of the radical cation ArH⁺⁺ with $C^{-(NO₂)₃}$ and with $NO₂[*]$ can occur. The presence of a salt, which dislocates the CIP responsible for the reaction between the two ions, inhibits this reaction a. Path b is then preferred. Solvents having a weak dielectric constant also induce the reaction a since the CIP is favored. This is the case in CH_2Cl_2 ($\epsilon = 9$). However, in this solvent, the reaction a is totally inhibited by the presence of $Bu_4N+CIO_4^-$, and reaction b occurs. In acetonitrile, a dissociating solvent ($\epsilon = 37.5$), the CIP is disrupted even in the absence of salt, and reaction b is almost exclusive under such conditions.

With polymethylbenzenes (durene and hexamethylbenzene) as the donors, a major product results from benzylic nitration according to two competing pathways (Scheme 31). In $CH₂Cl₂$, deprotonation occurs as the first step in a CIP as shown by the kinetic isotope effect

SCHEME 31

 $(k_H/k_D \simeq 3)$. The addition of 0.2 M nBu₄N⁺ClO₄⁻ provokes the loss of this kinetic isotope effect (k_H/k_D) = 1) because it dislocates the CIP according to eq 91.

[
$$
are^{+}
$$
, $C(NO_2)_3$]⁺ [nBu_4N ⁺, CIO_4]⁺ \rightleftharpoons
[are^{+} , CIO_4]⁺ + [nBu_4N ⁺, $C(NO_2)_3$ ⁻] (eq 91)

When the CIPs are dislocated, the first step is radical coupling of arene⁺⁺ with NO_2 ^{*} and deprotonation follows. This sequence is the reverse of that found with the SSIP. With the more dissociating solvent acetonitrile, the ion pairs are SSIP and radical coupling also

proceeds first; consistently no salt effect is observed upon addition of $nBu₄N⁺ClO₄⁻$.

This mechanistic dichotomy disclosed by Kochi is summarized in Scheme 31.

C. Pulse Radiolysis

Using pulse radiolysis, molecules are oxidized by a SET and ion pairs form between the radical cation and the solvated electron (eq 92). Thus such ion pairs are extremely unstable but the radical cation can be stabilized by addition of a salt subsequent to double ion pair exchange (eq 93).

$$
A \rightarrow [A^{\bullet +}, e^-_{solv}] \qquad \qquad (eq 92)
$$

$$
[A^{+}, e^{-}_{solv}] + [M^{+}X^{-}] \rightleftharpoons [A^{+}, X^{-}] + [M^{+}, e^{-}_{solv}]
$$

(eq 93)

Yamamoto et al.¹³¹⁻¹³⁵ have conducted a deep and thorough study of the salt effects on the lifetimes of a series of aromatic radical cations and especially of biphenyl. Its formation and reactions under the pulse radiolytic conditions in dichloromethane are summarized in eqs 94-97.

$$
CICH_2CH_2Cl \rightarrow \text{C}CH_2CH_2Cl^+ + e^-
$$
 (eq 94)

$$
CICH2CH2Cl++ + Ph2 \rightarrow CICH2CH2Cl + Ph2++ (eq 95)
$$

$$
e^- + \text{CICH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{Cl} \rightarrow \text{CICH}_2\text{CH}_2^{\bullet} + \text{Cl}^- \qquad \text{(eq 96)}
$$

$$
\text{Ph}_2^{\bullet +} + \text{Cl}^- \rightarrow \text{PhC}_6\text{H}_4\text{Cl} + \text{H}^{\bullet} \qquad \text{(eq 97)}
$$

It has been shown^{131,132} that the lifetime of Ph_2 ^{**} is markedly increased in the presence of a salt M^+X^- whose anions are not nucleophilic $(X^{\dagger} = PF_6^{\dagger}, BF_4^{\dagger}, CD_4^{\dagger}).$ This effect was attributed to the formation of an ion pair [Ph₂⁺,X⁻] between two soft ions resulting from the exchange reaction:

$$
[\text{Ph}_2^{\bullet +}, \text{Cl}^-] + [\text{M}^+, \text{X}^-] \rightleftharpoons [\text{Ph}_2^{\bullet +}, \text{X}^-] + [\text{M}^+, \text{Cl}^-] \tag{eq 98}
$$

The formation of the contact ion pairs $A^{\cdot -}$, M^+ and $X^{\text{-}}$,D^{*+} have been shown by the nBu₄N⁺PF₆⁻ salt (M⁺X⁻) induced blue shifts of the absorption maxima of the stilbene and diphenylpolyene radical anions A⁺⁻ and the radical cation D^{*+} of α,ω -diphenylpolyenes.¹³³⁻¹³⁵

The electron detached from a solvent can be used to produce aromatic radical anions whose lifetime is increased by addition of a salt (eqs 99 and 100).

$$
[S^{**}, e^-_{solv}] + PolyAr \rightarrow [S^+, PolyAr^{**}] \qquad (eq 99)
$$

$$
[S^{**}, PolyAr^{**}] + [M^+,X^-] \rightleftharpoons
$$

$$
[S^{**},X^-] + [M^+,PolyAr^{**}] \text{ (eq 100)}
$$

Baxendale et al.¹³⁶ have shown that the lifetimes of the radical anions of anthracene, pyrene, and phenanthrene generated by pulse radiolysis of THF or dioxane solutions are markedly increased in the presence of the

Li⁺ cations from LiAlH4. This cation stabilizes the aromatic radical anion preventing the geminate recombination. Yields of radical anions were 0.66 (pyrene*-) and 0.70 (anthracene'-) on a microsecond time scale but much higher on a nanosecond time scale. The addition of $LiAlH₄$ led to increased reaction yields and longer lifetimes even on a microsecond time scale (Scheme 32).

SCHEME 32

$$
S^T + e^-
$$
\n
$$
S^T + e^-
$$
\n
$$
[S^T.PolyA^P -] \longrightarrow S + PolyA^P
$$
\n
$$
[U^T A H_4^-
$$
\n
$$
[SH + A H_3] + [Li^T, PolyA^P^-]
$$

 $Likewise, Na⁺ from NaBPh₄ is an excellent scavenger$ of e- generated by pulse radiolysis. The decay rate of the ion pair $[Na^+,e^-_{solv}]$ in THF is one order of magnitude slower than that of e_{solv} . This can be taken into account by the exchange reaction (eqs 101 and 102).

$$
S \longleftarrow [S^+, e^-_{\text{solv}}] \qquad \qquad (\text{eq } 101)
$$

$$
[S^{+}, e_{solv}^{-}] + [Na^{+}, BPh_{4}^{-}] \rightleftharpoons
$$

[Na⁺, e_{solv}⁻] + [S⁺⁺, BPh₄⁻] (eq 102)

The stabilization of such radical anions by the double ion exchange also decreases the rates of their reactions with other substrates such as *n*-butyl halides. Using fast optical absorption spectroscopy, Bockrath and Dorfman reported in 1973 that the measured absolute rate constants for ET from α -naphthalide radical anion and biphenyl radical anion to n-butyl chloride and iodide (eq 103) depend on their ion pairing with Na⁺: *k*

$$
A^{\prime\prime} + nBuX \rightarrow A + nBu^* + X^{\prime} \qquad (eq 103)
$$

 $(free ion) > k$ (loose ion pair) > k (tight ion pair).¹³⁷⁻¹⁴⁰ The $[Na^+, A^+]$ ion pair exhibits a reactivity that is approximately 2 orders of magnitude lower than that of the "free" radical anion A'- . Salmon et al. also studied pulse radiolysis of THF solutions of alkali metal cations generating solvated electrons and found enhanced yields by addition of Na⁺ explained as above.¹⁴¹⁻¹⁴⁴

IV. Conclusion and Prospects

The special salt effect was disclosed in the pioneering work of Winstein 35 years ago.⁸ The influence of an added salt to an ion pair participating in a chemical reaction is a very wide phenomenon whose magnitude depends on the dielectric constant of the solvent, on the nature of the interacting ionic species, and on the decay rate of the geminate ions of the ion pair.

The added salt changes the selectivity of the reaction pathway whether the ionic species are preformed substrates or are generated in the preliminary reaction step. In the latter case, the salt effect can be even more dramatic because of the formation of the CIP in solvents with a low dielectric constant. Even when the decay rate of the geminate ions of a CIP is very high, the salt

can inhibit this reaction because dislocation by double ion pair exchange between the two CIP's occurs at a diffusion-controlled rate.⁶ Thus this special salt effect can be taken as a strong indication of a mechanism proceeding by collapse of a geminate CIP.

There are so far too few synthetic investigations such as calorimetric studies of salt effects in general¹⁵³ and of ion pair exchange in particular⁹⁶ to provide us free energies which would give a thermodynamic picture of these phenomena. Forthcoming research in this area would be enlighting.

The two major cases of generation of geminate ion pairs from neutral species, both susceptible to the special salt effect, are (i) the reaction between an electrophile and a nucleophile and (ii) ET between a donor and an acceptor. This second category includes ET in the exciplex state which has recently attracted considerable interest from a mechanistic and synthetic standpoint. The specific salt effect is so dramatic in these processes that it is now being systematically studied and used to tune desired light-induced synthetic pathways. The salt effect is all the more necessary in harvesting the benefit of ET in the exciplex state where the back-ET is exergonic. Thus the use of suitable salts to inhibit this back-ET in solar energy storage devices is also likely to be a challenging issue in the close $future.$ ^{89,145}

Strong specific salt effects have also been shown in ETC catalysis where the radical ion intermediates provide side reactions which depend on the nature of the counterion introduced in the initiation step.⁷⁷ Synthetic applications of this mechanistic feature should be forthcoming.

Salt effects are found in varied areas such as ET in photosynthetic membranes, 89,146 photosensitized DNA oxidation,¹⁴⁷ or catalysis using zeolite cages even if mechanistic investigations are often limited by the complexicity of such systems. However the potential of the *special* salt effect in biochemistry is clear as shown by the total inhibition of the cage reactivity of superoxide radical anion by sodium salts mimicking in this way the superoxide dismutase enzymes.^{68b,71}

Note Added In Proof

Seki has studied the effect of various perchlorate salts on the quantum yield and decay of ions produced by photoinduced ET from zinc tetraphenylporphyrin to 1,4-benzoquinone in 4-methylpentan-2-one.¹⁵⁵

In examining the picosecond dynamics of contact ion pairs $[An^+,T^-]$ and $[BA^+,T^-]$ (T^- = trinitromethide anion; $An = anthracene$, $BA = bromoanthracene$), Kochi's group has disclosed ionic exchange of the CIP with salts containing either innocuous or common ions for CIP lifetimes of >700 ps.¹⁵⁶

Salt effects on charge separation in the exciplex formed by photoamination of arenes of relatively low polarity,¹⁵⁷ on photoinduced ET isomerization of *cis*stilbene and quadricyclane,¹⁵⁸ and on ET photooxidation of l,4-diphenyl-l,3-butadiene have been published.¹⁵⁹

Drastic salt effects have been found in the photosensitized oxygenation of naphthalene derivatives.¹⁶⁰ In MeCN, $LiClO₄$ was found to influence the photoinduced ET reactions of α , β -epoxy ketones with amines.¹⁶¹ The DCA-sensitized photoisomerization of *trans-* and cis-1,2-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)cyclopropanes in MeCN was remarkably accelerated by adding Mg- $\rm (ClO_4)_2$ or $\rm LiBF_4.^{162}$ The Hamon–Lapinte group found another salt effect on the reaction of electron-rich organoiron compounds with O_2 via O_2 ^{*-163}

Bockman and Kochi have reported and carefully studied a salt effect on the ET equilibria of redox-active ion pairs generated by reacting $MTp(CO)₃$ (Tp = hydridotris $(3,5$ -dimethylpyrazolyl)borate; M = Cr, Mo, W) with the triarylpyrylium cation.¹⁶⁴

V. Abbreviations

VI. Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Dr. N. Ardoin and J. Moncada (University of Bordeaux I) for their skillful help in the preparation of the manuscript. D. Astruc thanks Dr. J.-R. Hamon (Rennes I) and Drs. M.-H. Delville, M. Lacoste, F. Moulines, and J. Ruiz (Bordeaux I) for their excellent ideas and efforts which led to the results described in several sections, and the Universities of Bordeaux I and of California at Berkeley (especially Prof. K. P. C. Vollhardt) for sabbatical facilities in the final stage of writing this review.

VIII. References

- (1) Smid, J. In *Ions and Ion Pair in Organic Reactions;* Szwarc, M., Ed.; Wiley: New York, 1972; Vol. 1, Chapter 3.
- (2) Gordon, J. E., Ed. *The Organic Chemistry of Electrolyte Solutions;* Wiley: New York, 1975.
- (3) Reichardt, C. *Solvent Effects in Organic Chemistry,* 2nd ed.; VCH: Weinheim, 1988.
- (4) Lowry, T. H.; Richardson, K. H. *Mechanisms and Theory in Organic Chemistry,* 2nd ed.; Harper and Row: New York, 1981; p 320.
- (5) Harris, J. M. *Prog. Phys. Org, Chem.* **1974,***11,* 89.
- (6) Simon, J. D.; Peters, K. S. Ace. *Chem. Res.* **1984,***17,* 277.
- (7) Simon, J. D.; Peters, K. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1982,*104,* 6142. (8) (a) Winstein, S.; Clippinger, E.; Fain berg, A. H.; Heck, R.; Robinson, G. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1956,***78,*328. (b) Winstein, S.; Klinedinst, P. E., Jr.; Robinson, G. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1964,***83,* 885; 4986.
- (9) (a) Crampton, M. R.; Grunwald, E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1971,** *93,* 2987; 2990. (b) Grunwald, E.; Price, E. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1964,** *86,* 2965; 2970.
- (10) Wong, B. F.; Hirota, N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1972,** *94,* **4419.**
- (11) Rutter, A. W.; Warhurst, E. *Trans. Faraday Soc.* **1970,** *66,* 1866. (12) Gough, T. E.; Hindze, P. R. *Can. J. Chem.* **1971,** *49,* 1529.
-
- (13) (a) Devadoss, C; Fessenden, R. W. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1990,***94,*4540. (b) Devadoss, C; Fessenden, R. W. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1991,***24,*7253. (14) Khedija, H.; Simalty, M.; Strzelecka, H.; Tchoubar, B. C. *R. Acad.*
- *Sci. Ser. C* **1971,** *272,* 1370. (15) (a) Loupy, A.; Tchoubar, B. *Effets de SeIs en Chimie Organique et OrganomHallique;* Dunod: Paris, 1988; *Salt Effects in Organic and Organometallic Chemistry;* VCH: Weinheim, 1992. (b) Reference 15a; p 226.
- (16) Hirota, N.; Weisman, S. I. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1964,** *86,* 2537.
- (17) (a) Vandereecken, P.; Soumillion, J. P.; Van der Auweraer, M.; de Schryver, F. C. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1987,***136,*441. (b) Soumillion, J. P.; Vandereecken, P.; Van der Auweraer, M.; de Schryver, F. C; Schanck, A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1979,** *111,* 2217.
- (18) (a) Mechanisms and Processes in Molecular Chemistry. Astruc, D., Ed.; *New J. Chem.* **1992,** *16,* 1-330 (Issue dedicated to the memory of B. Tchoubar). Several articles or reviews in this issue deal with salt effects: Bock, H.; Kochi, J. K.; Shilov, A. E.; and Astruc, D. (b) Bock, H.; Herrmann, H.-F. in ref 18a.
- (19) Goodman, J. L.; Peters, K. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1986,***108,*1700. (20) (a) Pearson, R. G. *Science* **1966,***151,*172. (b) Basolo, F.; Pearson, R. G. *Mechanisms of Inorganic Reactions;* Wiley: New York, 1967; p 113. (c) Pearson, R. G.; Songstad, J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1967,***89,*
- 1827. (21) Bessiere, J. C. *R. Acad. Sc, Ser. C* **1969,** *269,* 1639.
- (22) (a) Corriu, R.; Guenzet, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1968, 6083. (b) Corriu, R.; Guenzet, J.; Reye, C. *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.* **1970,** 1099; 1107.
- (23) Bell, R. P. *Acid-Base Catalysis;* Clarendon Press: Oxford, 1941. (24) Tamagaki, S.; Ogino, K.; Kozuka, S.; S.; Oae, S. *Tetrahedron* **1970,**
- 26, 467 (25) (a) Herlich, R. H.; Roach, E.; Popov, A. I. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1970,**
- 92, 4989. (b) Greenberg, M. S.; Bodner, R. L.; Popov, A. I. J. *Phys.
Chem.* 1973, 77, 2449.
(26) Hogen-Esch, T. E.; Plodinec, J. J. A*m. Chem. Soc.* 1978, 100, 7633.
(27) Vos, H. W.; McLean, C.; Velthorst, N. H. J. *Chem.*
-
- *Trans. 2* 1976, 63. (28) (a) Pocker, Y.; Ciula, J. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1988, *UO,* 2904. (b) Pocker, Y.; Buchholz, R. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1970,***92,* 2075. (c)
- Pocker, Y.; Ellsworth, D. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 2276.
(29) Fersht, R.; Jencks, W. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 5432.
(30) Kelemen, J.; Witzinger, R. Helv. Chim. Acta 1962, 45, 1918.
-
- (31) Strzelecka, H. *Ann. Chim.* **1966,** *1,* 201.

- (133) Yamamoto, Y.; Aoyama, T.; Hayashi, K. *J. Chem. Soc, Faraday Trans. 1* **1988,** *84,* 2209.
- (134) Yamamoto, Y.; Nishida, S.; Ma, X.-H.; Hayashi, K. *J. Phys. Chem.*
- 1986, 90, 1921.
(135) Aoyama, T.; Yamamoto, Y.; Hayashi, K. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday
Trans. 1 1989, 85, 3353. Teramoto, M.; Yamamoto, Y.; Hayashi,
K. Bull. Soc. Chim. Jpn. 1990, 63, 2417.
- (136) Baxendale, J. H.; Beaumond, D.; Rodgers, M. A. J. *Trans. Faraday Soc.* **1970,** 66, 1996.
-
-
- (137) Bockrath, B.; Dorfman, L. M. J. Phys. Chem. 1973, 77, 1002.
(138) Bockrath, B.; Dorfman, L. M. J. Phys. Chem. 1973, 77, 2618.
(139) Bockrath, B.; Dorfman, L. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 5708.
(140) Hirota, N.; Car
- 3611.
- (141) Salmon, G. A.; Seddon, W. A.; Fletcher, J. W. *Can. J. Chem.* **1974,** *52* 3259.
- (142) Salmon, G. A.; Seddon, W. A.; *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 1974, *24,* 366. (143) Langan, J. R.; Salmon, G. A. *J. Chem. Soc, Faraday Trans. 1***1982,**
- *78,* 3645. (144) Langan, J. R.; Salmon, G. A. *J. Chem. Soc, Faraday Trans. 1*1983,
- *79,* 589. (145) Mitsui, A.; Uehata, A.; Nakamura, H.; Matsuo, T. *Chem. Lett.* **1989,** 1445.
- (146) Timofeev, K. N.; Noks, P. P.; Muravev, V.; Kononenko, A. A.; Grishanova, N. P. *Biol. Membr.* 1990, 254.
- (147) Rokita, S. E.; Prusiewicz, S.; Romero-Fredes, L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990,***112,* 3616.
- (148) Wada, Y.; Yoshizawa, Y.; Morikawa, A. *J. Chem. Soc, Chem. Commun.* **1990,** 319.
- (149) Takuwa, A.; Kai, R. *Bull. Chem. Soc Jpn.* 1990, *63,* 623.
- (150) Greenberg, M. S.; Bodner, R. L.; Popov, A. I. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1973,** *77,* 2449.
- (151) Hogen-Esch, T. E.; Plodinec, J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1978,***100,*7633.
- (152) Vos, H. W.; McLean, C; Velsthorst, N. H. *J. Chem. Soc, Faraday Trans. 2* 1976, 63.
- (153) Galan, M.; Dominguez, M.; Andress, R.; Moya, M. L.; Sanchez, F.; Burgess, J. *J. Chem. Soc, Faraday Trans.* **1990,** *86,* 937.
- (154) Gollnick, K.; Xiao, X. L.; Paulmann, U. *J. Org. Chem.* **1990,** 55, 5945.
- (155) Seki, H. *J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans.* **1992,** 88, 35.
- (156) Yabe, T.; Sankararaman, S.; Kochi, J. K. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1991,**95, 4177.
- (157) Yasuda, M.; Watanabe, Y.; Yamashita, T.; Shima, K. *Kenkyu Hokoku-Asahi Garasu Zaidan* 1990,*56,*103 (in Japanese); *Chem. Abstr.* **1991,** *115,* 28412.
- (158) Wang, X.; Zhang, B.; Cao, Y. *Huaxue Xuebao* **1991,** *49,* 600 (in Chinese); *Chem. Abstr.* **1991,** *115,* 158268.
- (159) Wang, X.; Zhang, B.; Cao, Y. *Ganguang Kexue Yu Kuang Xuaxue* **1990,** *4,* 273 (in Chinese); *Chem. Abstr.* **1991,***115,* 146371.
- (160) Yamashita, T.; Tsurusako, T.; Yasuda, M.; Shima, K. *Chem. Lett.* **1991,** 1487.
- (161) Hasegawa, E.; Ishiyama, K.; Horaguchi, T.; Shimizu, T. *J. Org. Chem.* **1991,** *56,* 1631.
- (162) Mizuno, K.; Ichinose, N.; Otsuji, Y. *J. Org. Chem.* **1992,***57,*1855.
- (163) Roger, C; Hamon, P.; Toupet, L.; Rabaa, H.; Saillard, J.-Y.; Hamon, J.-R.; Lapinte, C. *Organometallics* **1991,***10,* 1045.
- (164) Bockman, T. M.; Kochi, J. K. In *Mechanisms and Processes in Molecular Chemistry;* Astruc, D., Ed. *New. J. Chem.* **1992,***16,*39.