The Chemistry of Diphosphenes and Their Heavy Congeners: Synthesis, Structure, and Reactivity

Lothar Weber

Anorganische Chemie II, Fakultät fur Chemie, Universität Bielefeld, 4800 Bielefeld 1, Germany

Received January 8, 1992 (Revised Manuscript Received August 24, 1992)

Contents

Ι.	Introduction	1839
II.	Preparations of Diphosphenes	1840
	A. Eliminations and/or Condensations	1840
	B. Rearrangement Reactions	1846
	C. Substitution Reactions	1848
	D. Miscellaneous Methods	1848
III.	Structure and Bonding	1849
	A. Theoretical Studies	1849
	B. Electric and Electron-Spectroscopic Studies	1850
	C. Molecular Structures	1850
	D. ³¹ P NMR Spectroscopic Studies	1852
IV.	Reactivity of Diphosphenes	1853
	A. Reactions with Electrophiles	1853
	1. Oxidations	1853
	2. Reactions with Sulfur and Selenium	1856
	3. Halogenations	1856
	4. Reactions with Protic Reagents	1857
	B. Reactions with Nucleophiles	1857
	1. Hydrogenations	1857
	2. Reactions with Lithium Alkyls	1857
	C. Cycloadditions	1858
	1. [2 + 1] Cycloadditions	1858
	2. [2 + 2] Cycloadditions	1860
	3. [2 + 3] Cycloadditions	1862
	4. [2 + 4] Cycloadditions	1862
۷.	Transition Metal Diphosphene Complexes	1864
	A. Coordination Modes of Diphosphenes	1864
	1. η^1 -Diphosphene Complexes	1865
	2. η^2 -Diphosphene Complexes	1868
	3. μ_2 -(η^1 : η^1)-Diphosphene Complexes	1871
	4. μ_2 -(η^1 : η^2)-Diphosphene Complexes	1872
	5. μ_3 - $(\eta^1;\eta^1;\eta^2)$ -Diphosphene Complexes	1874
	6. μ_2 -(η^2 : η^2)-Diphosphene Complexes	1875
	7. Clusters with Diphosphene Units	1875
	B. ³¹ P NMR Spectroscopic Data of Diphosphene Complexes	1876
VI.	Reactivity of Transition Metal Diphosphene Complexes	1877
	A. Transformations with Retainment of the P-P Double Bond	1877
	1. Substitutions	1877
	2. Complexation and Decomplexation	1878
	3. Thermolysis of the Coordinated Diphosphene	1879
	 1,2-Additions to the P—P Double Bond In Diphosphene Complexes 	1880

	5. Cycloadditions to Diphosphene Complexes	1881
	 Insertion Reactions into the P==P Double Bond of Diphosphene Complexes 	1881
VII.	Metallodiphosphenes (Diphosphenyl Complexes)	1882
	A. Synthesis, Structures, and Spectra	1883
VIII.	Reactivity of Metallodiphosphenes	1887
	A. Ligand Properties	1887
	B. Reactions of Metallodiphosphenes with Chalcogens	1888
	C. Reactions of Metallodiphosphenes with Alkylidene Transfer Reagents	1888
	D. Reactions of Metallodiphosphenes with Isocyanides	1889
	E. Reactions of Metallodiphosphenes with Electron-Deficient Alkenes	1889
	F. Reactions of Metallodiphosphenes with Azo Compounds	1891
	G. Reactions of Metallodiphosphenes with Hexafluoroacetone	1892
	H. Reactions of Metallodiphosphenes with Electron-Deficient Alkynes	1892
IX.	Phosphaarsenes, Diarsenes, and Phosphastibenes	1894
	A. Synthesis, Structures, and Spectra	1894
Χ.	Reactivity of Phosphaarsenes and Diarsenes	1897
	A. Reactions with Sulfur and Selenium	1897
	B. Reactions with Diazomethane	1897
	C. [2 + 2] Dimerizations and Subsequent Reactions	1897
	D. Reaction of Phosphaarsenes with Ortho Quinones	1898
	E. Substitutions	1898
XI.	Transition Metal Complexes of Phosphaarsenes, Diarsenes, and Distibenes	1898
XII.	Reactivity of Diarsene and Distibene Complexes	1 9 01
XIII.	Phosphaarsenyl and Diarsenyl Complexes	1902
XIV.	Reactivity of Phosphaarsenyl Complexes	1903

I. Introduction

According to the so-called "classical double-bond rule"1 stable molecules featuring multiple bonding should only be possible with elements of the first long period. This statement was rationalized by long bond distances and concomitantly inefficient π -bonding. Obviously this rule was a result of numerous thwarted



Lothar Weber was born in Langenöls/Silesia in 1944. He studied chemistry at the Philipps Universität in Marburg, where he received his "Doctor Rerum Naturalium" in 1973. For the year 1974 to 1975, he carried out postdoctoral studies with Prof. B. M. Trost in Madison/Wisconsin. In 1982 he accomplished his "Habilitation" at the University of Essen, where he became a C2-professor in 1985. Since 1988 he has been professor of Inorganic Chemistry at the University of Bielefeld. His research interests include the organometallic chemistry of sulfur ylides as well as synthetic and structural aspects of low-coordinated heavier main group elements' chemistry. Emphasis is also made on the synthesis and ligation properties of phosphorus homo- and heterocycles and cage compounds.

attempts to prepare compounds with double bonds between two phosphorus atoms, two silicon centers, etc.

Early reports by Köhler and Michaelis (1877) on phosphobenzene "Ph—P=P—Ph",² which was claimed to result from the condensation reaction of PhPCl₂ and PhPH₂, later turned out to be wrong. Kuchen showed in 1958 that the condensation product was a mixture of oligomers of "phosphobenzene".³ This was confirmed a little later by X-ray structure analyses^{4,5} of pentaphenylcyclopentaphosphane [(PhP)₅] and hexaphenylcyclohexaphosphane [(PhP)₆].

A similar correction was necessary for the molecular structure of the medicament "Salvarsan",⁶ which was first described by Ehrlich as



Later X-ray crystallographic work on compounds of the empirical composition C_6H_5As revealed their oligomeric character.⁷

The idea of kinetic stabilization of reactive multiple bonds by very bulky substituents provided an efficient breakthrough to molecules with P=P, P=As, and As=As double bonds. In 1981 the first diphosphene was reported by Yoshifuji, who introduced the voluminous 2,4,6-tri-*tert*-butylphenyl (supermesityl, Mes*) group as a substituent into phosphorus chemistry.⁸

The reduction of (2,4,6-tri-*tert*-butylphenyl)dichlorophosphane with elemental magnesium in THF led to the orange-red crystalline diphosphene 1 (Scheme 1).

His account was the initiative of a very dynamic and intense development in the chemistry under discussion which furnished a great number of novel compounds. This is reflected in several review articles⁹⁻¹³ on diphosphenes which have to be considered as a completely new class of compounds of great current interest.





In this article the chemistry of heteroarenes with PP multiple bonding is not considered.

The following abbreviations will be used all along this review: nBu (*n*-butyl), tBu (*tert*-butyl), Cp (cyclopentadienyl), Cp* (pentamethylcyclopentadienyl), Cy (cyclohexyl), DBU (1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene), Et (ethyl), Is (isityl = 2,4,6-triisopropylphenyl), Me (methyl), Ment (menthyl), Mes (mesityl), Mes* (supermesityl = 2,4,6-tri-*tert*-butylphenyl), Ph (phenyl), iPr (isopropyl), tPn (*tert*-pentyl), THF (tetrahydrofuran), TMEDA (N,N,N',N'-tetramethylethylenediamine), and TMP (2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidyl).

II. Preparations of Diphosphenes

A. Eliminations and/or Condensations

The close chemical relation of C=C, C=P, and P=P double bonds as invoked by the concept of diagonal relationship in the periodic table of elements and by the concept of isoelectronic molecules parallels in the formation of multiple bonds within these three classes of compounds. Consequently one should expect base induced 1,2-hydrogen halide elimination from halogenated diphosphanes RPX-PHR' to give diphosphenes (Scheme 2).

Alternatively the elimination of salts or trimethylsilyl halides is conceivable. Moreover 1,2-dihalogen eliminations similar to the well-known zinc dust elimination of bromine from vicinal organodibromides should provide an additional tool for diphosphene synthesis.

In contrast to the organic precursors, which in most cases already possess a C-C single bond between the two centers of interest, in phosphorus chemistry the respective educts for the elimination process, the functionalized diphosphanes, are often not easily available as stable compounds, but have to be prepared by

Scheme 3



the formation of the P-P single bond in advance to the elimination. Wurtz-type couplings of dihalophosphanes by means of metals or condensation reactions utilizing halophosphanes, primary phosphanes, silylphosphanes, and the corresponding phosphides provide viable routes to these targets.

According to the opinion of the author the idea of phosphinidene generation and their subsequent dimerization should be regarded with care especially with respect to the high reactivity of free phosphinidenes and the lack of unambigious proof of their transient occurrence in the vast majority of the cases.

The conversion of organodichlorophosphanes such as Mes*PCl₂ to diphosphenes was accomplished by a number of reducing agents, such as magnesium metal, sodium naphthalenide, organolithium species, bis-(trimethylsilyl)mercury, two-valent germanium and tin compounds, or electron-rich olefins. In the latter reactions the occurrence of the corresponding dichlorodiphosphane was unambigiously shown by ³¹P NMR spectroscopy.

The reductive coupling of aryldichlorophosphanes by magnesium metal was utilized to furnish other symmetrically^{20,21} and unsymmetrically substituted diphosphenes²¹ (Schemes 3 and 4).

Diphosphene 1 is also available from the reduction of a 1,3,5-trithia-2,4,6-triphosphinane with triphenylphosphane¹⁵ (Scheme 5).

The first dialkyldiphosphene (Me₃Si)₃CP=PC-(SiMe₃)₃ (9) was obtained from the reaction of dichlorophosphane (Me₃Si)₃CPCl₂ with sodium naphthalenide.^{14,22} Alternative syntheses of 9 made use of organolithium reagents such as (Me₃Si)₃CLi,¹⁴ tBuLi,²³ as well as lithium metal²⁴ or Hg(SiMe₃)₂¹¹ as reducing agents (Scheme 6).

When the sodium naphthalenide reduction was carried out with equimolar amounts of Mes*PCl₂ and

Scheme 4



 $(Me_3Si)_3CPCl_2$ the predominant formation of the symmetrical diphosphenes 1 and 9 was observed. In addition the unsymmetrical diphosphene 10 was produced in 20% yield.²⁵

Bis(pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)diphosphene (11) was detected among a number of products when $(C_5-Me_5)PCl_2$ was reduced by metals such as Mg, Li, and







K or alternatively dilithium naphthalenediide.²⁶ The dehalogenation of $[(C_5Me_2)PI]_2$ with decamethylsilicocene also afforded 11.27 A more convenient and straightforward synthesis of 11 was accomplished by the reduction of $(C_5Me_5)PCl_2$ with LiAlH₄ and the subsequent elimination of hydrogen chloride from the chlorodiphosphane by means of triethylamine²⁸ (Scheme 7).

It is conceivable that the intermediate diphosphane resulted from the coupling of $(C_5Me_5)PCl_2$ with $(C_5 Me_5)PH_2$.

In keeping with this the reaction of Mes*PH₂ with aryldichlorophosphanes in the presence of diazabicycloundecene (DBU) provided a clean approach to symmetrical and unsymmetrical diphosphenes²⁹ (Scheme 8).

It was pointed out by Yoshifuji et al. that phenylsupermesityldiphosphene (12b) although observed in the ³¹P NMR spectrum, could not be isolated without decomposition.²⁹

Surprisingly the attempted condensation of (Me₃- Si_3CPCl_2 with Mes*PH₂ in the presence of DBU did not afford the expected diphosphene 10. Instead the unsymmetrical diphosphene (Me₃Si)₂CHP=PMes*

Scheme 8



Scheme 9

(Me₃Si)₃CPCl₂ + H₂PMes*



(Me₃Si)₂CH — PCl₂ + H₂PMes*

13

OBU



(13) was obtained.³⁰ Presumably one Me₃Si group at the trisilylmethyl substituent was removed by the nucleophilic attack of chloride during the course of the reaction. A more straightforward access to 13 was realized by the reaction of (Me₃Si)₂CHPCl₂ with Mes*PH₂ under similar conditions³⁰ (Scheme 9a).

The application of the same strategy to fluorinated arylphosphanes led to the stable bis(nonafluoromesityl)diphosphene (14), which was isolated as a pale yellow solid³¹ (Scheme 9b).

A mixture of 2,4,6-(CF₃)₃C₆H₂PCl₂ and Mes*PH₂ was dehydrochlorinated to give the unsymmetrical thermolabile diphosphene 2,4,6-(CF₃)₃C₆H₂P=PMes* (15).³² Instead of primary phosphanes the respective phosphides or organosilylphosphides were also successfully employed for diphosphene syntheses (Scheme 10). The intermediate diphosphane Cp*P(Cl)-P(H)Mes* was not identified.33

An efficient synthesis of $(Me_3Si)_2CHP = PCH(SiMe_3)_2$ made use of HX or Me₃SiX eliminations from diphos-





phanes as the crucial step of the process³⁴ (Scheme 11).

The cyclotetraphosphane stemmed from the [2+2]self-dimerization of 17. This reaction possesses a halflife time of one week at ambient temperature. Obviously diphosphene 17 is at the borderline of kinetic stability.

Base-induced HCl elimination from a diphosphane provided an entry into the class of diphosphenes with amino substituents. Thus the corresponding chlorodiphosphane underwent 1,2-elimination when treated with an equimolar amount of LiN(tBu)SiMe₃ to give diphosphene $18a^{35}$ (Scheme 12, eq 1).

Scheme 11

Scheme 12

Compound 18a was a ruby red liquid which dimerized to a cyclotetraphosphane within a few hours. The syntheses of similar diaminodiphosphenes were performed in a one-pot reaction involving the subsequent treatment of the lithium amides $\text{LiNR}(\text{SiMe}_3)$ with PCl₃, LiAlH₄, and NEt₃³⁶ (Scheme 12, eq 2).

If a mixture of the amides $LiN(SiMe_3)_2$ and $LiN(tBu)(SiMe_3)$ was employed the unsymmetrical diaminodiphosphene 19 was formed in addition to 18a and 18b. Their stabilities with respect to dimerization increase in the order 18a < 19 < 18b, reflecting the influence of steric congestion.³⁶

Other synthetic approaches discussed earlier were also operating well in the generation of symmetrical aminodiphosphenes. Thus the sterically well protected diphosphene 21a was available by the reductive coupling of 20a with lithium metal,³⁶ tert-butyllithium,³⁶ or Hg-(SiMe₃)₂¹¹ (Scheme 13). The latter reagent is obviously of general use in the transformation of bulky aminodichlorophosphanes of the type 20 into the corresponding diaminodiphosphenes 18a and 21^{11,37} (Scheme 14).

The scope of 1,2-elimination processes can be extended to the synthesis of the amino-aryl substituted diphosphenes 22. The generation of the precursor diphosphanes was made feasible by treatment of the respective aminodichlorophosphanes with either







Scheme 14



Scheme 15



$$R_2 N - P(CI) - P(SIMe_3) - Mes^* \xrightarrow{-Me_3SIG} P = P$$

$$22 Mes^*$$

Scheme 16



Mes*PLi(SiMe₃), Mes*PH(SiMe₃), or Mes*PHLi^{11,38} (Scheme 15).

A similar reaction between aminodichlorophosphane 20b and LiP(tBu)(SiMe₃) furnished thermolabile TMPP=PtBu (23).^{37b}

The presence of a primary amino substituent led to the rare class of the Z-configurated diphosphenes 24^{39} (Scheme 16).

Recently Niecke reported for the first time on both stereoisomers of an amino-substituted diphosphene

Scheme 17







Mes*PCl₂ + (Me₃Sl)₃P <u>- 2Me₃SlCl</u>≯ [Mes*P=PSIMe₃] + 1 **29**0

$$Mes*PCI_2 + Cp_2MR[P(SiMe_3)]_2 \longrightarrow$$

$$R = CI, Me, M = Zr, H$$

29c + 1 + Cp₂MRCl + Me₃SiCl

$$(Me_{3}Si)_{3}CPCI_{2} + (Me_{3}Si)_{2}PLi \xrightarrow{-Me_{3}SiCI} \rightarrow -UCi \qquad [(Me_{3}Si)_{3}C-P = P - SiMe_{3}]$$
29b

which were stable and could be synthesized selectively.^{40a,b} Thus (E)- $(Me_3Si)_2NN(SiMe_3)P=PMes^*$ (25a) was obtained as the only product after elimination of Me₃SiCl from a functionalized 1-chlorodiphosphane, whereas the analogous reaction of the bromo derivative cleanly afforded the Z-isomer 25b (Scheme 17). In solution both isomers equilibrated to a mixture 25a/ 25b = 11:6. The free enthalpies of activation of the reversible transformation 25a \approx 25b were determined to $\Delta G_{(293)E/Z} = 25.5$ (5) and $\Delta G_{(293)Z/E} = 25.4$ (5) kcal/ mol. These enthalpies are significantly lower than the calculated barrier of rotation for HP=PH (34.0 kcal/ mol), but on the other hand significantly higher than for the conversion $E-1 \rightleftharpoons Z-1$ ($\Delta G_{(293)Z/E} = 20.3$ kcal/ mol). In keeping with this Z-1 could not be isolated.

Not only carbon and nitrogen substituents are capable of stabilizing the P=P double bond in diphosphenes. Silyl- and stannyl-substituted diphosphenes are also isolable compounds provided that bulky groups guarantee sufficient kinetic stabilization. Treatment of the lithium disilylphosphide 26 with equimolar quantities of Mes*PCl₂ or (Me₃Si)₃CPCl₂ in ether solution afforded the diphosphenes 27 and 28 quantitatively. In both cases the intermediate functionalized diphosphanes were detected by ³¹P NMR spectroscopy⁴¹ (Scheme 18a).

Compound 28 appeared to be stable for extended periods both in solution and in the solid phase, whereas

Scheme 19



27 easily dimerized, especially in solution. The crucial influence of steric requirements was further demonstrated in the thermolability of the diphosphenes 29a,b with a Me₃Si substituent instead of the more bulky SiPh₃ group.

When $Mes*PCl_2$ and $P(SiMe_3)_3$ were heated in $CDCl_3$ the formation of Mes*P=PMes* (1) took place. The unsymmetrical and thermolabile diphosphene 29a was observed as byproduct by ³¹P NMR techniques.²¹ A

Scheme 20

similar result was obtained when Mes*PCl₂ was treated with bis(trimethylsilyl)phosphido complexes of zirconium and hafnium⁴² (Scheme 18b).

The formation of 1 was rationalized by a rapid Me₃-Si/Clexchange between the educts. 29b was produced by coupling (Me₃Si)₃CPCl₂ with LiP(SiMe₃)₂. It decomposed to a bicyclic tetraphosphane (see section IV.C.2).

The synthesis of the first stable stannyl-substituted diphosphene 30 was also based on condensation and 1,2-elimination processes⁴³ (Scheme 19). Violet crystalline 30 was isolated in 62% yield.

When a solution of Cl₂PN(SiMe₃)N(SiMe₃)₂ in pentane was reacted at -60 °C with a solution of LiP(SiMe₃)₂ in ether and the resulting solution was stirred for 3 h at room temperature the intermediate diphosphane 31



$$(iPr_2N)_2 P - P(SiMe_3)_2 \xrightarrow{n-BuL} (iPr_2N)_2 P - PLi(SiMe_3)$$

$$\downarrow + |Me_3Si_2N-N \xrightarrow{PG_2} - LiCi. Me_3SiC_1 + |Me_3Si_2N-N \xrightarrow{SiMe_3} - LiCi. + |Me_3Si_2N-N \xrightarrow{SiMe_3} - LiCi. + LiC$$

was completely converted into diphosphene 32 with amino and silyl ligands^{44a} (Scheme 20). Following similar synthetic approaches Niecke succeeded in the synthesis of a number of stable unsymmetrical diphosphenes 33-38 containing substituents derived from phosphorus, nitrogen, and oxygen atoms^{44b} (Scheme 21).

The transformation of the 1,1-disilyldiphosphane $(iPr_2N)_2P-P(SiMe_3)_2$ into a lithium derivative previous to the reaction with the hydrazidodichlorophosphane $(Me_3Si)_3N_2PCl_2$ furnished diphosphene **39** (Scheme 22).

The diphosphenes 33, 34, 37, and 38 are orange to red crystalline solids, while 35, 36, and 39 were isolated as orange to red oils. Only diphosphene 36 lacks sufficient kinetic stability as it was indicated by its complete dimerization within a few hours at ambient temperature.

Another interesting elimination reaction for the synthesis of diphosphenes was claimed to be of general applicability. Satgé observed that the chlorophosphane Mes*PHCl was transformed almost quantitatively into diphosphene 1 upon reaction with DBU.⁴⁵ Chlorophosphanes are only sufficiently stable with bulky substituents. However the easily available (trichlorogermyl)phosphanes 40 can be utilized as in situ reagents for these reactive species. They are conveniently accessible by the treatment of a primary phosphane with GeCl₄ and the subsequent removal of GeCl₂ by means of DBU.⁴⁵ Surprisingly (trichlorogermyl)phosphanes of the type 40 were also the products of the reaction of the germanium dichloride-dioxane complex with organodichlorophosphanes in refluxing dioxane⁴⁵ (Scheme 23).

There are two mechanisms invoked to account for diphosphene formation as well as for the occurrence of RPH_2 and $RPH-PHR [R = (Me_3Si)_2CH]$ as byproducts. Route a leads to the expected diphosphene via the intermolecular dehydrochlorination of RPHCl with formation of an intermediate chlorodiphosphane. Route b pronounces the intramolecular dehydrochlorination to yield a phosphinidene, which was believed to be converted into phosphanes RPH₂ and diphosphanes RPH-PHR via radical intermediates. When the DBUinduced elimination was carried out with a mixture of $Mes*P(H)GeCl_3$ and 2,6-(CF₃)₂C₆H₃P(H)GeCl₃ a 60% yield of the unsymmetrical diphosphene 42 was obtained. The symmetrical diphosphenes 1 and 41 were also observed in 30% and 10% yields respectively^{45d} (Scheme 24).

B. Rearrangement Reactions

1,3-Shift reactions of silvl substituents are of great importance for the synthesis of phosphaalkenes,⁴⁶ but there are only a few examples for the formation of P—P

Scheme 23

$$RPH_{2} + GeCl_{4} \xrightarrow{-HCl} R - P - GeCl_{3} \xrightarrow{C_{4}H_{8}O_{2}}{110^{\circ}C} RPCl_{2} + GeCl_{2} \cdot C_{4}H_{8}O_{2}$$

$$\downarrow + \frac{40}{10^{\circ}}$$

$$\downarrow + DBU$$

$$= DBU \cdot GeCl_{2}$$

$$\downarrow + DBU$$

$$= DBU \cdot HCl$$

$$R - P - P - R$$

$$R - P - R$$

$$R - P - P - R$$

$$R - P$$



Scheme 25



Scheme 26

bonds via this route. P-chloro[bis(trimethylsily])methylene]phosphane (43a) reacts with lithium (trimethylsily])-tert-butylphosphide to give the P-phosphinomethylenephosphane 44a, which resisted isomerization in boiling benzene solution or when irradiated with UV light.⁴⁷ However, a different situation was met when the more bulky supermesityl substituent was present in the lithium silylphosphide. In this case the expected P-phosphinomethylenephosphane 44b could not be detected even at -78 °C. Instead diphosphene 10 was quantitatively isolated as the result of an 1,3-Me₃Si shift⁴⁷ (Scheme 25).

The phosphino-substituted phosphaalkene 44c, which was formed from 43a and LiHPMes*, suffered from a 1,3-proton shift to furnish diphosphene 13. In THF solution at ambient temperature this isomerization takes 7-9 days to reach completion.

Diphosphenes 46a, b were synthesized similarly from the *P*-bromomethylenephosphane 43b and the respective lithium phosphides via the phosphaalkenes 45a and $45b^{50c}$ (Scheme 26).

The phosphenium ions 49a,b could neither be detected in the coupling reaction of $(Et_2N)_2C$ —PSiMe₃

(47) with the phosphenium moiety $[ClPN(iPr)_2]^+$ - SO_3CF_3 nor in the halide abstraction process from $(Et_2N)_2C = P - P(Cl)NR_2$ (48a,b). Instead at -78 °C the carbenium ion-substituted diphosphenes 50a, b were observed by ³¹P NMR (50a, δ = 493.5 d, 200.0 d, ¹J_{PP} = 520 Hz; 50b, δ = 465 d, 210 d, J_{PP} = 525 Hz). It was obvious that the formation of the diphosphenes 50a.b was due to the extremely fast isomerization $49 \rightarrow 50$. favored by the higher stability of 50a,b in comparison to the phosphenium ions 49a,b. The delocalization of the positive charge on the $(Et_2N)_2C$ moiety of 50a,b contributed to this stabilization. The ions 50a, b could not be isolated from the solution. At room temperature they dimerized to the dicationic cyclotetraphosphanes 5148 (Scheme 27). There are two research groups reporting on structural isomerizations of phosphinosubstituted iminophosphanes to diphosphenes. 40,49,50b,c

Coupling of the *P*-chloroiminophosphane ClP-NMes* with lithium phosphides of the type Mes*RPLi led to novel iminophosphanes which underwent 1,3-hydrogen or 1,3-trimethylsilyl shifts from phosphorus to nitrogen to afford the amino-functionalized diphosphenes 52 (Scheme 28). Alternatively the



iminophosphane which served as precursor for **52b** was synthesized by an addition elimination process as also depicted in Scheme 28.^{50b}

C. Substitution Reactions

Diphosphenes XP = PR' which are functionalized by the leaving group X⁻ undergo substitution reactions with nucleophiles more powerful than X⁻. This was first exemplified by the reaction of the amino-substituted diphosphene 22a with organolithium reagents as well as lithium diisopropylamide⁵¹ (Scheme 29).

The diphosphenes were generated in 80–95% yield in addition to small amounts of 1. The scope of substitution reactions was considerably increased by the availability of diphosphene 54 possessing a chloro substituent. Treatment of 54 with nucleophiles rendered accessible a variety of other diphosphenes displaying aryl, silyl, amino, phosphino, alkoxy, and thioxy groups bound in Mes*P=PNu. Diphosphene 54, which is stable in solution up to -30 °C, was conveniently synthesized by the exposure of **22c** to an excess of gaseous HCl in ether solution at -78 °C⁵² (Scheme 30).

The tendency of the diphosphene Cp*P=PCp*(11)to undergo facile substitution reactions could be inferred from the remarkably long P—C bonds, which were evident in the X-ray structure analysis of the molecule. The reaction of 11 with bulky lithium amides or lithium alkyls led to the stepwise replacement of the Cp* ligands. The unsymmetrical intermediates 56 were identified by ³¹P NMR spectroscopy, their isolation however failed²⁸ (Scheme 31).

D. Miscellaneous Methods

The phosphorus phosphorus double bond in the first 1,2,4-triphospha-1,3-butadiene 58 resulted from a baseinduced trimethylsilyl chloride elimination with concomitant CO extrusion of the intermediate 1,3,5triphospha-1,4-pentadiene 57⁵³ (Scheme 32).

Scheme 29







Scheme 31



Scheme 32



The hydrozirconation reagent $Cp_2Z(H)Cl$ reduces the phosphaalkene 43a to give a mixture of diphosphene

17 and diphosphirane $\dot{P}H-P[CH(SiMe_3)_2]\dot{C}(SiMe_3)_2^{54a}$ (Scheme 33).





Unexpectedly the reaction of the anionic phosphido complex Li[(CO)₅Cr-P(SiMe₃)₂] with 1,2-dibromoethane furnished the novel tetraphosphene dianion 59 which is ligated at each terminal phosphorus center by the two bulky Cr(CO)₅ groups.^{54c}

III. Structure and Bonding

A. Theoretical Studies

Several theoretical studies on diphosphenes have been reported in the literature.^{9b,44,55-69} For the parent diphosphene HP—PH ab initio calculations revealed that the *E*-isomer is stabilized by 3.5 kcal/mol with respect to (*Z*)-HP—PH. The P—P π -bond strength, represented by the rotational barrier for the *E/Z* isomerization was found to 34 kcal/mol. For an inversion process 66 kcal/mol were necessary.⁶⁶ An experimental study by laser irridiation of Mes*P—PMes* (1) showed that the free activation energy for the *Z/E* conversion is only 20.3 kcal/mol at 0 °C.⁷⁰ In comparison to this the P–P σ -bond strength in P₂H₄ amounts to 49 kcal/mol.⁶⁸

The P=P bond distance in (E)-HP=PH was calculated to 2.004 Å and the valence angle HPP to 96.0°.⁶⁶ As a consequence of orbital nonhybridization the valence angle at phosphorus is strongly decreased in order to accumulate s-character to the nonbonding lone pair at the phosphorus atoms.

Recently it has been demonstrated that σ -push-pull substitution effects a distortion of the usual E geometry.^{44,71} Thus for diphosphene HP=PF a bridged structure was calculated with a valence angle P=P-H of only 88.0°, while the angle P=P-F was found to be opened to 103.5°. These theoretical findings were supported by X-ray crystal structure determinations of appropriate push-pull-substituted diphosphenes such as TMP-P=P-P[N(iPr₂)₂] 34. Here valence angles PPP of 89.4° and NPP of 114.8° were encountered.

The frontier orbitals of (E)-HP—PH are also of interest. Molecular orbital calculations (SCF method) showed that this diphosphene possesses a low-lying

lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) $(2b_g)$ which essentially is the antibonding (P=P) π^* orbital. The two highest occupied MO's, which are the bonding (P=P) π -orbital (2a_u) and n₊-orbital, a symmetrical lone pair combination (7a_g), are closely spaced. The exact orbital sequence and the ionization energies are very sensitive to the method of calculation. The SCF orbital energies of the valence electrons are the highest for the π -orbital 2a_u (-9.69 eV) followed by the energy of the n₊ combination (-9.86 eV).

A study of the vertical ionization energies, affording the $H_2P_2^+$ cation, was also performed to get further insight into the relative energies of the π and n_+ MO's. When an electron is removed from the $2a_u(\pi)$ MO to form the cation in an ²A_u state then the SCF (selfconsistent field method), CI (configuration interaction), and Davidson-corrected ionization energies are 9.03, 9.72, and 9.88 eV, respectively.⁶⁶ The corresponding energies for removal of an electron from the $7a_g(n_+)$ MO, to form the cation in an ${}^{2}A_{r}$ state, are 9.29, 9.38, and 9.35 eV. Thus the π -MO is the HOMO according to both the SCF ionization energies and the SCF orbital energies, whearas the n_{+} MO is the HOMO according to the presumably more accurate CI and Davidsoncorrected energies.⁶⁶ The latter conclusion in an accord with the results of X_{α} studies on trans HP=PH.^{9b,63}

B. Electric and Electron-Spectroscopic Studies

The presence of a low-lying unoccupied (P=P) π^* MO is reflected in the facile reduction of the diphosphenes 1 and 9 resulting in radical anions via population of the π^* orbital. Thus cyclic voltammetry at a Pt electrode in acetonitrile using (nBu₄N)BF₄ as a supporting salt revealed a reversible reduction of 1 at -1.74 V vs a saturated calomel electrode (sce).¹⁵ Under slightly different conditions a reduction potential of -1.93 V was measured (THF, NnBu₄BF₄, 25 °C). Bulk electrolysis gave THF solutions of [Mes*P-PMes*]⁻ which were stable for several days under an inert gas atmosphere.⁷²

The triplet appearance of the ESR spectrum of the radical anion (1)⁻ $[a(^{31}P) = 55G, g = 2.013]$ demonstrated that the unpaired electron resides in the P=P* orbital.⁷² Similar findings were reported for diphosphene 9 where reversible reduction was apparent at -1.84 V (THF, (NnBu₄)BF₄, 25 °C).⁷² At a mercurycoated platinum electrode in acetonitrile the reduction of 9 was observed at -1.73 V.⁷³ The bulk coulometry experiment with 9 resulted in the production of a purple radical anion, which was stable in THF solution for several days. In the ESR spectrum a triplet was observed $[a(^{31}P) = 43G, g = 2.018]$. The production of the radical anions $(1)^{-74}$ and $(9)^{-73}$ could also be realized chemically by means of sodium naphthalenide. The radical anion of 1 was also detected in the reaction of Mes*PCl₂ with magnesium.¹⁵ In contrast to this the oxidation of 9 at a Pt electrode in acetonitrile $[(nBu_4N)-$ PF₆, 25 °C] proceeded irreversibly at +1.14 V (vs sce).⁷³ However at -75 °C a CH₂Cl₂ solution of 1 showed a reversible one-electron wave at +1.6 V (vs sce).⁷² The two low-lying occupied molecular orbitals $(n_+ \text{ and } \pi)$ were evidenced by the He 1-photoelectron spectrum of 1 which displayed two bands at 7.24 and 8.05 eV.¹⁵

As shown in Table 1 each diphosphene exhibits two electronic absorptions in the range of 300 to 500 nm.

Table 1. UV/Vis Data of Selected Diphosphenes

compound	λ_{max}, nm	ref(s)
Mee*P=PMes* 1	$460 \ (\epsilon = 1 \ 360)$	8,15,16,18,22
	$340 \ (\epsilon = 7\ 690)$	
($284 \ (\epsilon = 15\ 660)$	
(Me3SI)3CP=PC(SIMe3)3	$484 \ (\epsilon = 63)$	22,23
	$353 \ (\epsilon = 9 \ 474)$	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	241 ($\epsilon = 8532$)	
$Met^{P} = PCH(SIM*3)_{2} \qquad \boxed{13}$	$427 \ (\epsilon = 370)$	14,30
	$325 \ (\epsilon = 13 \ 000)$	
N	$261 \ (\epsilon = 15 \ 500)$	
	$481 \ (\epsilon = 468)$	29
	$330 \ (\epsilon = 5\ 080)$	
Me	279 ($\epsilon = 13\ 800$)	
Mes [*] P===PMes <u>5</u>	$456 \ (\epsilon = 220)$	29
	$326 (\epsilon = 2500)$	
	$273 (\epsilon = 9830)$	
	$394 (\epsilon = 197)$	45d
$\langle \bigcirc \rangle_{P=P} \langle \bigcirc \rangle 4$	$277 \ (\epsilon = 11 \ 840)$	
CF3 CF3		
/ ^{CF} 3 ×	$437 (\epsilon = 880)$	45d
	$298 (\epsilon = 23.950)$	
	$248 (\epsilon = 21000)$	
CF3	210((21000)	
Mes	318	40b
E- P=P 250	355	
N−−N(SIMe3)2 SiMe3		
Mes N-N(SIMe3)2	319	40b
Z- P=P SIMe3 256	365	
—		

These absorptions were assigned to the $n_+ \rightarrow \pi^*$ and $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ transitions of the P=P chromophore and are responsible for the characteristic orange-red color of diphosphenes. The absorption of the band with the longer wavelength is markedly less intense than the other one.

On the basis of this observation the longer wavelength absorption seemed to be symmetry forbidden and therefore was assigned to the $n_+ \rightarrow \pi^*_{PP}$, which implied that the n_+MO is the HOMO of 1. In contrast to that the $\pi_{PP} \rightarrow \pi^*_{PP}$ transition is symmetry allowed. A comparison of the λ_{max} values of 1 and 9 indicated that there is little conjugation between aryl groups and the P=P unit.¹⁰

C. Molecular Structures

The molecular structures of several diphosphenes have been elucidated by X-ray crystallography, and their selected structural data are collected in Table 2. Their most conspicuous feature was the shortness of the phosphorus-phosphorus double bond, which ranged from 2.001 (3) in 9^{14,75} to 2.049 (1) Å in 22c.¹¹ In most of the cases the *E* configuration of the ligands at the double bond is favored. Theoretical calculations on P₂H₂ predict a P-P bond length of 2.004 Å,⁶⁶ which is in good agreement with the sum of the double bond covalent radii 2.00 Å.⁷⁶

The P-P bond distances of diphosphenes relative to those in diphosphanes (ca. 2.22 Å)⁷⁷ merit a comment. Generally it is accepted that a double bond in main group compounds involves a σ - and a π -bond. The difference in length between double and single bonds is due to π -overlap and to a change in hybridization in the σ -bonding orbitals. For carbon-carbon double

Table 2. Selected Structural Data of Diphosphenes (in Å and deg)

compound	$d(\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P})$	d(P-X)	$d(\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{Y})$	∠X-P-P	∠P–P–Y	∠X–P–P–Y	ref(s)
		trans-Diph	osphenes				
Mes*P = PMes* (1)	2.034 (2)	1.862 (2)		102.8 (1)		172.2 (1)	8
$(\mathbf{Me}_{3}\mathbf{Si})_{3}\mathbf{CP} = \mathbf{PC}(\mathbf{SiMe}_{3})_{3} (9)$	2,003 (3)	1.866 (5)		108.1 (2)		180.0	14,75
	2.001 (3)	1.855 (5)		108.9 (2)		180.0	
Cp*P = PCp* (11)	2.031 (3)	1.893 (7)	1.883 (7)	103.4	103.9		28
$(tBuMe_2Si)_2NP = PN(SiMe_2tBu)_2 (21a)$	2.034 (2)	1.769		102.2			36
$MesP = P-NiPr_2 (22c)$	2,049 (1)	1.863 (3)ª	1.666 (3) ^a	92.0 (1)ª	108.1 (1)ª		11
$Mes^*P = PTMP (22d)^b$	2.033 (2)	1.869 (5)ª	1,685 (4)ª	89.4 (3)ª	115.1 (3)ª		11
$Mes*P = PN_2(SiMe_3)_3 (25a)$	2.037 (2)	1.864 (4)ª	1.700 (3)ª	97.6 (1)ª	106.1 (1) ^a	179.4 (2)	40b
$(Me_3Si)_3CP = PSiPh_3 (28)$	2.005 (2)	1.868 (6)°	2.269 (2)°	110.5 (1)°	98,65 (8)°	0.21	41
$Mes*P = PSntBu_3 (30)$	2.033 (3)	1.871 (7) ^d	2.546 (2) ^d	102.2 (2) ^d	$100.6 (1)^{d}$	179.1 (2)	43
$(iPr_2N)_2PP = PMes^* (33)$	2.018 (1)	1.859 (2) [/]	2.242 (1) ^f	101.2 (1) [/]	92.3 (<0.1) [/]	175.3 (1)	44b
$(iPr_2N)_2PP = PTMP \ (34)^b$	2.029 (2)	1.691 (4)	2.233 (2)	114.8 (1)8	89.4 (1)8	177.7 (2)	44b
$(\mathbf{i}\mathbf{Pr}_2\mathbf{N})_2\mathbf{PP} = \mathbf{PN}[\mathbf{Si}\mathbf{Me}_2\mathbf{t}\mathbf{Bu}]_2$ (37)	2.011 (2)	1.736 (4)	2.228 (1)	110.5 (1)8	89.8 (<0.1)	-179.8 (1)	44b
$(LiL_3)_2[[M]_2RPP + PPR[M]_2] (59)^h$	2.025 (3)	2.219 (2)		105.9 (1)			54c
		cis-Diphos	sp hene s				
Mes*P = P-NHtBu (24a)	2.038 (2)	1.862 (4)ª	1.645 (4)ª	102.0 (2)ª	109.9 (2)ª	0.6 (2)	39
$Mes*P = PNH(1-Ad) (24b)^{e}$	2,044 (2)	1.855 (3)ª	1.652 (5)ª	102.2 (2)ª	109,2 (2)ª	0.5 (2)	39
$\mathbf{Mes} * \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{PN}_2(\mathbf{SiMe}_3)_3 (\mathbf{25b})$	2.027 (3)	1.878 (9)ª	1.686 (7)ª	121.4 (3)ª	126.3 (3) ^a	1.5 (6)	40b
a X = C, Y = N. b TMP = 2,2,6,6-tetran P. s X = N, Y = P. h L = DME, [M] = C:	methylpiperi r(CO)5; R =	dino. ° X = (M e 3Si.	C, Y = Si. d X	$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{S}$	n. ° 1-Ad = 1-ad	amantyl. ^f X =	= C, Y =

bonds the shortening due to $(p-p) \pi$ -overlap amounts to 70–75%, whereas 25-30% can be accounted for the change in hybridization from sp^3 to sp^2 . In an elegant study Power et al. showed that in the case of diphosphenes the bond shortening is about equally divided between (p-p) π -overlap and rehybridization of the σ -orbitals.⁷⁸ Thus in diphosphenes with organic substituents the valence angles at the phosphorus centers vary from 90 to 110°. In the boryl-substituted diphosphanes $[Mes_2B(R)P]_2$ (R = 1-Ad, Mes) the phosphorus atoms possess a planar geometry and the P-P bond distance amounts to only ca. 2.11 Å. Inspite of this the P-P bonds have a bond order of unity. From calculations a valence angle of 96.1° is inferred for the parent diphosphene P_2H_2 . In the symmetrical diphosphenes the bond angles range from 102.2 (1)° in (tBuMe₂- $Si_2NP=PN(SiMe_2tBu)_2$ (21a), to 108.9 (2)° in 9, reflecting the steric demands of the bulky ligands at the P=P moiety. In unsymmetrical diphosphenes the bond angles may differ markedly which is rationalized by a push-pull effect imposed by ligands of different electronegativity^{44b} or by steric interactions. Thus in Mes*P=PTMP 22d an obtuse angle NP=P of 115.1 $(3)^{\circ}$ has to be compared to the angle P=PC of only 89.4 (3)°.11

In $(Me_3Si)_3CP=PSiPh_3$ (28) the difference between the angles SiPP = 98.65 (8)° and CPP = 110.5 (1)° considerably decrease,⁴¹ while in Mes*P=PSntBu₃ the bond angles Sn-P-P = 100.6 (1)° and P-P-C = 102.2(2)° are no longer essentially different.⁴³ In all the E-configurated diphosphenes yet analyzed by X-ray diffraction the atoms directly attached the P=P unit are located in the same plane. This was inferred from torsion angles X-PP-Y ranging from 172.2 (1)° to 180.0°. In E diphosphenes with supermesityl-substituents the aryl rings are usually in an orthogonal orientation to the plane defined by the atoms $X, P, P,^2$ and Y. A similar observation was made in the bisaminodiphosphene $21a^{36}$ where the lone pairs at nitrogen are directed perpendicularily to the π -bond. The same holds for diphosphene $(iPr_2N)_2PP=PN$ - $(SiMe_2tBu)_2\,(37)^{44b}\,and\,is\,in\,sharp\,contrast\,to\,(iPr_2N)_2\text{--}$ PP=PTMP (34),^{44b} Mes*P= $PN(iPr)_2$ (22c),¹¹ and

22d,¹¹ where the lone pair at the nitrogen atom is in conjugation with P=P double bond, thus establishing a three-center, four-electron system as it is well known from the allylic anion. In accord with this the diphosphenes 22c,¹¹ 22d,¹¹ and 34^{44b} display PN bonds [1.666 (3); 1.685 (4); and 1.691 (4) Å, respectively] which are significantly shortened in comparison to the corresponding distances in 21a (1.769 Å)³⁹ and 37 [1.736 (2) Å].⁴⁴

In the two Z-configurated diphosphenes 24a and 24b,³⁹ fully characterized by X-ray analyses, the atoms N, P(1), and P(2) and the ipso carbon atom of the aryl substituent are located in the same plane. The aryl ring is directed orthogonally to the plane defined by N, P(1), P(2) and the ipso C atom, thus rendering it possible that the hydrogen atom of the amino group points to the center of the arene ring. The attractive interaction resulting from this was invoked to explain the stability of the rare Z arrangement. The P=P bonds in 24a and 24b are 2.038 (2) and 2.044 (2) Å, respectively, whereas the PN separations are shortened [1.645 (4) and 1.652 (5) Å, respectively] due to π -conjugation. The bond angles N-P-P amount to 109.9 (2) and 109.2 (2)°, while the angles P-P-C were conspicuously more acute [102.0 (2) and 102.2 (1)°, respectively].

The molecular structures of the compounds (E)- and Z)-Mes*P=PN(SiMe₃)N(SiMe₃)₂ (24a,b) provide insight in structural differences between the E- and Z-isomers of the same diphosphene. In both species the planar-coordinated N atoms of the hydrazino substituents and the P atoms of the double-bond system are located in one plane (three-center, four π -electron system), which give rise to a more obtuse angle N-P-P in 25a (106°) and 25b (126°) in comparison to the valence angles C-P-P in both species 98° (25a) and 121° (25b), respectively (Figure 1). The P-P bond distance in the Z-isomer is found slightly shorter [2.027](3) Å] than that in the *E*-configurated 25a [2.037 (2) Å]. Despite the fact that this difference is not significant an explanation was given, which invoked an increased participation of 3s electron density in the P-P bond of 25b.40b



Figure 1. Molecular structures of Mes*P=PMes* (1), Mes*P=PSiPh₃ (28), $(iPr_2N)_2PP=PN[SiMe_2tBu]_2$ (37), and (*E*)- and (*Z*)-Mes*P=PN₂(SiMe₃)₃ (25a,b). Reprinted from refs 8 (1), 41 (28), and 44b (37). Copyrights 1981, 1989, and 1989, respectively, American Chemical Society. Reprinted from ref 40b (25). Copyright 1991 VCH (Weinheim).

D. ³¹P NMR Spectroscopic Studies

Generally ³¹P NMR spectra comprise a range from $\delta = -530$ ppm for white phosphorus P₄⁷⁹ to $\delta = +1362$ ppm in the phosphinidene complex $tBuP[Cr(CO)_5]_2$.⁸⁰ The P atom in the latter species is tricoordinate. An explanation for the high-field resonance in P_4 invokes the fact that the lone pairs at the phosphorus atoms in this strained molecule are mainly 3s in character, providing a high degree of diamagnetic shielding. On the other hand the reason for the low-field ³¹P NMR chemical shift in phosphinidene complexes is assumed to be due to a significant increase in the paramagnetic shielding term $\sigma_{\rm P}$, which dominates the overall shielding in multiple-bonded compounds. This paramagnetic shielding term is reverse proportional to the size of the HOMO-LUMO gap ΔE . This gap is quite small in these three-center, four π -electron systems as indicated by the intense color of phosphinidene complexes.

Indeed Huttner succeeded in finding a linear correlation between the absorbance in the UV/vis spectra λ_{max} and the chemical shifts δ^{31} P of a series of phosphinidene complexes.⁸¹ The situation, however, is more complicated in the case of E-configurated diphosphenes with a coordination number of two at both phosphorus centers. The chemical shifts for diphosphenes are among the lowest field shifts known in ³¹P NMR spectroscopy. They essentially range from 500 to 670 ppm. Here again it is obvious to correlate the ³¹P chemical shifts with λ_{max} values from UV/vis experiments in order to determine whether the paramagnetic term is governed by the $n_+ \rightarrow \pi^*$ or $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ transition of the diphosphene. However, no such simple relationship was found.^{10,14} The ³¹P nuclei in 1 give rise to a resonance at $\delta = 492.4$, whereas in 9 the corresponding absorbance is significantly low field shifted ($\delta = 599.6$). One might argue that in 9 the valence angles P-P-C

[108.1 (2) or 108.9 (2) respectively] is widened as compared to the corresponding angle in 1 [102.8 (1)°]. The decreased s-character of the lone pair in 9 might result in a destabilization of the n₊-MO with a concomitant decrease of the HOMO-LUMO gap ΔE . On the other hand in the unsymmetrical diphosphene Mes*P=PC(SiMe₃)₃ (10) no such effect is apparent as indicated by an AB-type spectrum at $\delta_A = 530.0$ and δ_B = 533.1 ppm.

A significant deshielding of a phosphorus atom is effected by the substitution with less electronegative substituents such as SiMe₃ or SiPh₃ groups as realized in (Me₃Si)₃CP^A=P^BSiMe₃ (29b)⁴¹ ($\delta_A = 544.1, \delta_B = 686.9,$ ¹J_{PP} = 633.2 Hz) or (Me₃Si)₃CP^A=P^BSiPh₃ (28)⁴¹ ($\delta_A = 511.8, \delta_B = 711.4, {}^{1}J_{PP} = 633.1$ Hz). Here one might argue that the energy of the n₊-MO is raised by the electropositive and electron-donating substituent.

A similar argument might be helpful to provide an understanding for the significant low-field absorptions of the metalated P atoms in diphosphenyl complexes such as: $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(CO)(PPh_3)FeP^A = P^BAryl_F (Aryl_F =$ 2,4,6-(CF₃)₃C₆H₂), $(\delta_A = 877.9d, \delta_B = 487.0d; {}^1J_{PP} = 615$ Hz) (see section VII.A).

The opposite situation, a high-field shift of the ³¹P resonance, was observed in several nitrogen substituted representatives such as Mes*P=PN(iPr)₂ (22c), Mes*P=PTMP (22d), or (iPr₂N)₂PP=PTMP (34), where π -conjugation of the nitrogen lone pair with the P=P double bond is operating. As a consequence of this three-center, four π -electron system



the phosphorus atom in β position to the nitrogen atom experiences an additional negative charge, which causes a high-field shift. In **22c** the ³¹P absorbances are registered at $\delta_{P\alpha} = 446.9$ and $\delta_{P\beta} = 276.4$ ($^{1}J_{PP} = 537$ Hz). For comparison in Mes*P=PN(SiMe₃)₂, where such a three-center, four π -electron situation is absent, the ³¹P NMR spectrum comprises two doublets at $\delta_{\alpha} =$ 409.3 and $\delta_{\beta} = 501.5$ ppm ($^{1}J_{PP} = 584.2$ Hz).

In sharp contrast to their *E*-isomers *Z*-configurated diphosphenes give rise to ³¹P resonances at significantly higher fields. Thus for *Z*-1 a singlet was observed at $\delta = 368$ which is about 124 ppm upfield from the corresponding signal in *E*-1. In (*Z*)-Mes*P=PNH(tBu) (24a) two doublets are registered at $\delta = 214$ and 377 ppm (¹J_{PP} = 526.0 Hz).

Especially interesting is the comparison of the spectra of (*E*)- and (*Z*)-Mes*P—PN(SiMe₃)N(SiMe₃)₂ 25a and 25b, respectively. The spectrum of 25a features doublets at $\delta = 311$ (P_β) and $\delta = 481$ (P_α) (*J*_{PP} = 554 Hz) which agrees with the precence of the already mentioned three-center, four π -electron system. The same is true for the *Z*-isomer 25b, where ³¹P resonances were observed at considerably higher fields [$\delta = 190$ (P_β) and $\delta = 358$ (P_α), *J*_{PP} = 516 Hz]. The differences in chemical shifts between the *E*- and *Z*-isomers amount to $\Delta\delta_{P\alpha} = 123$ ppm and $\Delta\delta_{P\beta} = 121$ ppm.

The values of ${}^{1}J_{PP}$ obtained directly from the spectra of unsymmetrical diphosphenes merit a special comment. Generally these couplings are large and vary from 510 to 670 Hz. These remarkable ${}^{1}J_{PP}$ values for P=P' systems originate from increases in the Fermi contact term, which reflects changes in the valence character of the phosphorus atoms.¹⁰ In Table 3 ³¹P NMR parameters of diphosphenes are compiled.

IV. Reactivity of Diphosphenes

Diphosphenes are polyfunctional molecules where chemical reactions are conceivable at (a) the P atom, (b) the P=P bond, and (c) the P-R bond:



The presence of low-lying frontier orbitals makes diphosphenes to suitable candidates for redox processes and for reactions with electrophiles as well as nucleophiles. Moreover the diagonal relationship in the periodic table between the elements carbon and phosphorus makes cycloadditions to the P=P double bond according to the respective organic model obvious.

Reactions at the P-R bond (c) involve substitutions with retainment of the P=P bond and are already included in the chapter on the synthesis of diphosphenes. Ligating properties of diphosphenes in transition metal complexes are discussed separately (section V).

A. Reactions with Electrophiles

1. Oxidations

In the solid state heavily substituted diphosphenes such as 1 or 9 were not affected by triplet oxygen at ambient temperature. However heating a toluene solution of 1 for 3 days at 80 °C in the presence of oxygen led to the formation of the decomposition products 60-62.⁸³

A possible mechanism invoked the generation of a phosphinidene which might have abstracted hydrogen from the solvent or undergone an intramolecular insertion into a C-H bond of an *o-tert*-butyl group of the aryl ring prior to oxidation. Product 62 involved oxidation of the phosphinidene to a dioxophosphorane with subsequent insertion of the P=0 functionality into the C-H bond (Scheme 34).

This assumption was supported by the thermal decomposition of 1 in a THF/C₆H₆ mixture at +120 °C which eventually afforded Mes*PH₂.¹⁶ Photoirradiation of 1 with a medium-pressure mercury lamp without a pyrex filter gave a phosphaindan derivative via the believed intermediacy of a phosphinidene.⁸⁴ If, however, the same irradiation was carried out at low temperature in the presence of a pyrex filter an E/Z isomerization of 1 took place.⁸⁴ A similar process was observed when 1 was exposed to the light of an argon laser (514.5 nm) at -78 °C.^{70,83}

In contrast to triplet oxygen, singlet oxygen is reactive to diphosphenes even at low temperatures.⁸³ At room temperature the reaction of singlet oxygen which was generated chemically from a number of trioxophosphetanes (phosphorus ozonides) 63 led to the formation

Table 5. "P NMR Data of Dibnosphenes A.P P-1	Table 3.	³¹ P NMR	Data of I)iphosphenes	$\mathbf{R}^{1}\mathbf{P}^{1}=\mathbf{P}^{2}\mathbf{R}$
--	----------	---------------------	-----------	--------------	---

compd	\mathbf{R}^1	R²	³¹ P NMR: δ, ppm	¹ J _{PP} , Hz	ref(s)	compd	\mathbb{R}^1	R²	⁸¹ Ρ NMR: δ, ppm	¹ J _{PP} , Hz	ref(s)
Z-1	Mes*	Mes*	368		70	29b	(Me ₃ Si) ₃ C	SiMe ₃	544.1; 686.9	633.2	41
E-1	Mes*	Mes* (1)	492.4		82	30	Mes*	SntBu ₃	446.57; 465.71	607.9	43
2	2,4,6-tPn ₃ C ₆ H ₂	2,4,6-tPn ₃ C ₆ H ₂	490.8		20Ъ	32	$(Me_3Si)_3N_2$	SiMe ₃	210.3; 542	540	44a
3	2,6-tBu ₂ C ₆ H ₃	2,6-tBu ₂ C ₆ H ₃	488.7		20a	33	Mes*	$P(NiPr_2)_2$	502.7; 554.0	574	44b
4	2-tBu-4,5,6-Me ₃ C ₆ H	2-tBu-4,5,6-Me ₃ C ₆ H	509.8		21	34	TMP	$P(NiPr_2)_2$	320.1; 496.5	574	44b
5	Mes*	2,4,6-tPn ₃ C ₆ H ₂	489 .9; 491 .2	582.9	20Ъ	35	NHMes*	$P(NiPr_2)_2$	407.4; 544.0	570	44b
6	Mes*	Мев	467.6; 540.4	573.7	29	36	N-iPr ₂	$P(NiPr_2)_2$	245.7; 483.9	534	44b
7	Mes*	2,4,6-iPr ₃ C ₆ H ₂	470, 535	572	21	37	N(SiMe ₂ tBu) ₂	$P(NiPr_2)_2$	442.9; 577.6	602	44b
8	Mes*	2-tBu-4,5,6-Me ₃ C ₆ H	478, 512	580	21	38	OMes*	$P(NiPr_2)_2$	386.2; 590.2	564	44b
9	(Me ₃ Si) ₃ C	(Me ₃ Si) ₃ C	599.6 [598.6]		14, 22 [23]	39	$(Me_3Si)_3N_2$	$P(NiPr_2)_2$	298.7; 5 22.3	522	44b
10	Mes*	(Me ₃ Si) ₃ C	530.0; 533.1	619.7	25	41	2,6-(CF ₃) ₂ C ₆ H ₃	2,6-(CF ₃) ₂ C ₆ H ₃	477.1		45d
11	C ₅ Me ₅	C ₅ Me ₅	504.0		28	42	Mes*	2,6-(CF ₃) ₂ C ₆ H ₃	422.1; 533.0	574.3	45d
12 a	Mes*	$2,4-tBu_2-6-MeC_8H_2$	480.1; 517.0	583.5	29	46 a	Mes*	$C(Ph)(H)(SiMe_3)$	486.6; 522.8	569.9	50c
12b	Mes*	Ph	455.5; 525.5	548.7	29	46b	Mes*	CPh(SiMe ₃) ₂	510.8; 530.1	598. 0	50c
13	Mes*	CH(SiMe ₃) ₂	493.0; 513.0	577.5	30	50a	$(Et_2N)_2C^+$	N(iPr) ₂	200; 493.5	52 0	48
14	2,4,6-(CF ₃) ₃ C ₆ H ₂	2,4,6-(CF ₃) ₃ C ₆ H ₂	474		31	50Ъ	$(\mathbf{Et_2N})_2\mathbf{C}^+$	TMP	210; 46 5	525	48
15	2,4,6-(CF ₃) ₃ C ₆ H ₂	Mes*	416.8; 537.6	572	32	52a	Mes*	NHMes*	314; 455	537	49a
16	Mes*	C ₅ Me ₅	484.6; 491.1	584	33				316.2; 450	532	50a
17	(Me ₃ Si) ₂ CH	(Me ₃ Si) ₂ CH	517		34	52b	Mes*	N[SiMe ₂ (tBu)]Mes*	350.1; 480.8	578.2	50c
18 a	$(Me_3Si)_2N$	$(Me_3Si)_2N$	572		35	52c	Mes*	N(SiMe ₃)Mes*	343.1; 483.2	571.4	50c
18b	(Me ₃ Si)(tBu)N	(Me ₃ Si)(tBu)N	499		36	53	Mes*	tBu	524.7; 531.9	576. 6	51, 11
19	(Me ₃ Si)(tBu)N	$(Me_3Si)_2N$	507; 544	670	36	54	Mes*	Cl	473.4; 522.8	598	52a
21a	$(tBuMe_2Si)_2N$	(tBuMe ₂ Si) ₂ N	561		36	55 a	Mes*	Si(SiMe ₃) ₃	501.2; 610.6	598	52 a
21b	TMP	TMP	471.1		37 a	55b	Mes*	OtBu	397.6; 524.3	573.7	52 a
22a	Mes*	(Me ₃ Si) ₂ N	409.3; 501.5	584.2	38	55c	Mes*	$P(tBu)_2$	487.1; 600.9	586	52a
22Ь	Mes*	$(tBuMe_2Si)_2N$	389.6; 501.2	537. 1	11	55d	Mes*	StBu	448.1; 468 .0	549.3	52a
22 c	Mes*	iPr ₂ N	276.4; 446 .9	537	52 a	56a	C ₅ Me ₅	C(SiMe ₃) ₃	522.2; 545.8	635	28
22d	Mes*	TMP	336.1; 46 0.7	579.9	38	56b	C ₅ Me ₅	CH(SiMe ₃) ₂	492.6; 522.8	599	28
23	tBu	TMP	383; 508	611	37Ъ	56c	C ₅ Me ₅	N(SiMe ₃) ₂	478.3; 546 .2	632	28
Z-24a	Mes*	tBuNH	214; 377	526	39	56d	C ₅ Me ₅	N(tBu)(SiMe ₃)	529.1; 440.1	640	28
Z-24b	Mes*	1-AdNH	213; 375	524.5	39	58	Mes*	$C(OSiMe_8) = PMes^*$	414.6; 394.3	510	53
<i>Z</i> -24c	Mes*	Et₃CNH	212.3; 379.0	527.2	39	59	$[(CO)_{\delta}Cr]_{2}(Me_{3}Si)P$	$[(CO)_5Cr]_2(Me_3Si)P$	552	640	54c
<i>Z-</i> 24d	Mes*	2,4,6-iPr ₃ C ₆ H ₂ NH	209.3; 379.7	523	39	9 8	Mes*	PHMes*	500; 552	571	98a
E-25a	Mes*	$(Me_3Si)_3N_2$	311, 481	554	40b	99	Mes*	PMeMes*	473; 493	558	98a
<i>Z</i> -25Ъ	Mes*	$(Me_3Si)_3N_2$	190; 358	516	40b	140	$(Me_3Si)_3N_2$	$N_2(SiMe_3)_3$	325		40b,
27	Mes*	SiPh ₃	457.7; 641.9	588.7	41						112
28	(Me ₃ Si) ₃ C	SiPh ₃	511.8; 711.4	633.1	41						
29a	Mes*	SiMe ₃	490.8; 632.3	584 575	42						





Scheme 36







of anhydride 64. Compound 64 was easily hydrolyzed to a mixture of phosphinic acid 65 and phosphonic acid 66.^{83,85}

Photochemically produced ${}^{1}O_{2}$ (sensitizer, tetraphenylporphine TPP 514 nm) reacted with 1 at -78 °C to give a mixture of the diphosphene oxide 67 and the dioxodiphosphetane 68. Compound 68 was stable for several days at -78 °C. At 20 °C, however, it experienced decomposition and easy hydrolysis to 65 and 66^{83,85} (Scheme 35).

The transient production of diphosphene oxide 67 was also invoked in the reaction of 1 with *m*-chloroperbenzoic acid in CH_2Cl_2 and subsequent treatment of the reaction mixture with ethereal diazomethane. The mixed ester anhydride 71 was obtained in 58% yield⁸⁶ (Scheme 36).

Compound 67 was available as a stable product (71%) from the ultrasonic irradiation of a mixture of super-

Scheme 38



Scheme 39





1



Scheme 41



mesityl phosphonic dichloride and magnesium at $0 \circ C^{86}$ (Scheme 37).

In the ³¹P NMR spectrum compound 67 exhibited an AB pattern centered at $\delta = 206.5$ (Mes*P=) and 69.8 (Mes*P(O)=) with a large coupling constant ¹J_{PP} = 638.6 Hz.

Ozonolysis of 1 in CH_2Cl_2 or toluene at -96 °C produced the monomer, dimer, and trimer of Mes*PO₂ (³¹P NMR control) which reacted with methanol or water to the methyl ester and the acid respectively⁸⁷ (Scheme 38).

The ozonolysis of 9 in toluene at -70 °C yielded the cyclic diperoxide 72, characterized by a ³¹P NMR signal at $\delta = 33$. 72 was solvolyzed by methanol to give the phosphonic ester 73⁸⁶ (Scheme 39).

tert-Butoxide radicals, which were photochemically freed from di-*tert*-butyl peroxide, attacked diphosphene 1 with the formation of the phosphorus-based radicals 74 and 75, which were characterized by ESR spectroscopy⁷⁴ (Scheme 40).

Scheme 42



2. Reactions with Sulfur and Selenium

Diphosphene 1 was easily transformed into the diphosphene monosulfide 76 by treatment with elemental sulfur in triethylamine (Scheme 41).

The ³¹P NMR spectrum of **76** comprised an AB signal at $\delta = 247.8$ and 255.8. The large coupling constant ¹J_{PP} = 629.9 Hz agrees with the presence of a P=P double bond.⁸⁹

This conclusion was fully confirmed by a single-crystal X-ray structure analysis. The molecule features the situation of an *E*-configurated diphosphene with a P=P double bond of 2.054 (2) Å. The sulfur atom is attached to a trigonal-planarily configurated phosphorus atom via a P=S double bond [1.931 (2) Å]. Desulfurization of 76 was achieved by $P(NMe_2)_{3.}^{89}$ Heating 76 in boiling toluene or its photoirradation with a mercury lamp led to thiadiphosphirane 77. This species was also accessible by the reduction of Mes*P(S)Cl₂ with magnesium metal.⁸⁹

Similarly some unsymmetrically substituted diphosphenes were converted into thiadiphosphiranes via diphosphene monosulfides.⁹⁰

Thiadiphosphiranes 78a,b and 79a,b were synthesized from the diphosphenes $9,^{23b}$ 18a, 35,91a 11, 91b and 16^{91b} by treatment with elemental sulfur, dimethyl disulfide, or CS₂ (Scheme 42).

When 1 was reacted with sulfur and DBU in refluxing toluene the P=P bond was broken and the dithiophosphinic acid 80 was obtained. 80 was believed to be the product of a P=S insertion of transient Mes*PS₂ into the CH bond of an *o-tert*-butyl group.^{92a-c} This assumption was underlined independently by the thermal decomposition of isolated Mes*PS₂^{92d,e} (Scheme 43).

The synthesis of selenadiphosphiranes 81-83 was achieved by the reaction of the diphosphenes 1, 6, or 17 with gray selenium. The formation of 81 was accompanied by the appearance of Mes*P(Se)₂. The ³¹P NMR spectrum of 81 diplayed a singlet at $\delta^{31}P =$ -47.4 with a selenium coupling of ¹J(³¹P⁷⁷Se) = 131.8 Hz.⁹³ The large P-Se coupling of 854.5 Hz in the ³¹P NMR spectrum of Mes*P(Se)₂ ($\delta = 273.0$) is indicative of P—Se double bonds (Scheme 44).

3. Halogenations

The 1,2-dichlorodiphosphane 84 was produced in the reaction of 9 with equimolar amounts of chlorine in



Scheme 44



Scheme 45

$$\underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} C_{12}/C_{C14} \\ 20^{\circ}C \end{array}}_{20^{\circ}C} \quad (Me_{3}Si)_{3}CP(CI) - P(CI)C(SiMe_{3})_{3} \\ \underline{84} \\ (Me_{3}Si)_{3}C - PCI$$

 $\underline{85}$ CCl₄ at ambient temperature. An excess of the halogen furnished the alkyl phosphorus tetrachloride 85^{23b} (Scheme 45).

Diphosphene 1 was attacked by various halogens to give products such as phosphinic dihalides, phosphorus trihalides, haloarenes, and supermesitylene dependent upon the nature of the halogen and the reaction conditions. The Scheme 46a gives a rationale for the observed pattern of products.

When diphosphene 18a was exposed to tetrachloromethane, the rupture of the P-P bond occurred with





Scheme 47



formation of bis[(trimethylsilyl)amino](dichlorometh ylene)phosphane and bis[(trimethylsilyl)amino]dichlorophosphane.^{91a} A 1-chloro-2-(trichloromethyl)diphosphane was suggested as a possible intermediate in this transformation (Scheme 46b).

4. Reactions with Protic Reagents

An equimolar amount of hydrogen chloride was cleanly added to diphosphene 9 with the result being a diastereomeric mixture of chlorodiphosphanes $86^{23b,92b,c}$ (Scheme 47).

9 and 86 suffered from cleavage of the P-P bond when an excess of ethereal HCl was employed in the reaction. Compound 87 is a representative of the rare class of organochlorophosphanes $RP(H)Cl.^{23b,92b}$ The P=P double bond of 9 was also cleaved by an excess of ethereal HBF₄ in CH₂Cl₂ solution at -78 °C. Phosphonium salt [(Me₃Si)₃CPH₃]+BF₄⁻ was the sole product. The protonation of 1 by an excess of HBF₄·OEt₂ at -78 °C took a different course. The intermediate phosphenium ion 88 underwent an intramolecular CH insertion to yield cation 89, which eventually decomposed at room temperature to give the cyclic phosphonium ion 90^{92b} (Scheme 48).

B. Reactions with Nucleophiles

1. Hydrogenations

The one-electron reduction of 1 and 9 by sodium naphthalenide^{73,74} or alternatively in electrochemical experiments has already been mentioned.^{73,74} The

Scheme 48





synthesis of 1 from Mes*PCl₂ and an excess of magnesium in THF was accompanied by a considerable amount of the diphosphane Mes*P(H)P(H)Mes*, which might result from the reduction of 1 with subsequent proton abstraction.²² A clean reduction of 1 to give a mixture of *dl*- and *meso*-diphosphanes was accomplished by treatment with LiAlH₄% or K[sBu₃BH].^{92b,96} LiAlH₄ reduction of the diphosphenes 9 and 17 also led to diastereomeric mixtures of the corresponding diphosphanes 91^{23b} and 92^{45c} (Scheme 49).

2. Reactions with Lithium Alkyls

Independently Cowley^{92b,96} and Yoshifuji⁹⁷ reported on the nucleophilic addition of lithium alkyls to 1 and the subsequent quench of the obtained anions by protons or alkyl halides (Scheme 50).



Scheme 51

 $1 \xrightarrow{t-Bull} Mes^* \xrightarrow{p-p} \xrightarrow{HeOH} H \xrightarrow{P-p} \xrightarrow{HeOH} \underbrace{H} \xrightarrow{P-p} \underbrace{g5}$

Scheme 52



R = Me, Et, nPr. nBu. nOct. PhCH₂, PhCH₂CH₂, tBuCH₂

While treatment of the anions 93a-c with methanol afforded the diphosphanes 94a-c, the P-P bond in 93a(R = Me) was cleaved by hydrolysis to Mes*P(O)H₂ and Mes*P(O)(H)Me, or alternatively by ethereal HBF₄ to produce Mes*PH₂ and [MesP(Me)H₂]*BF₄⁻. In the reaction of 1 with tBuLi the phosphaindan derivative 95 was detected as a byproduct (Scheme 51). Obviously one of the *o-tert*-butyl groups at the aryl ring was deprotonated prior to the nucleophilic attack at the adjacent phosphorus center.

Anion 93b was shown to be an appropriate candidate to alkylation by alkyl halides with generation of unsymmetrical diphosphanes 96^{97} (Scheme 52).

The reaction of Cp*P=PMes* (16) with lithium phosphides provided a novel synthetic pathway to triphosphaallyl systems. The lithium salts 97a and 97b could not be isolated from the reaction mixture (Scheme 53) but were successfully quenched by water or methyl iodide to give the diphosphenes 98 and 99.⁹⁸ An alternative approach to the triphosphaallyl system made use of methyl lithium instead of lithium supermesityl phosphide^{91b} (Scheme 54).

Here the transient generation of the dianion $[Mes*P]^2$ - was invoked, which eventually was trapped by the diphosphene 16 to yield the allylic system. With a stoichiometry of 16/MeLi = 2:3 a nearly quantitative transformation of 16 to PMe₃, 97a, and 97b was observed. From a hexane solution violet-black crystals of the triphosphaallyl product were isolated. Their extreme sensitivity toward moisture, however, thwarted a structure analysis. Even under an inert atmosphere they spontaneously were protonated to the orangecolored solid compound 98. Deprotonation of 98 with 1 equiv of butyllithium led to the mixture of 97a and 97b again.

The diphosphide Me_2P -PMes*Li, which was postulated as an intermediate, could be observed spectroscopically when equimolar amounts of 16 and methyllithium were employed in the reaction.

C. Cycloadditions

Like the carbon-carbon double bond in olefins the P=P double bond in diphosphenes is an appropriate candidate for a series of different cycloadditions.

1. [2 + 1] Cycloadditions

The addition of carbenes to the P=P functionality opened a new entry into the class of stable diphosphiranes. Useful precursors for the carbene moiety are diazoalkanes. In a formal sense this type of reaction may be envisaged as a [2+1] cycloaddition. However, it cannot be excluded that this transformation proceeded via an initial [2+3] dipolar cycloaddition, which is followed by a rapid N₂ extrusion. Usually the [2 +3] cycloadducts cannot be detected. The first diazoalkane addition to a diphosphene was reported by Niecke et al.^{99,91a} (Scheme 55).

Diphosphene 1 was converted by diazomethane (0 °C, 48 h) to a mixture of diphosphirane 101a (80%) and phosphaalkene 102a (10%)^{100a,b} (Scheme 56).

Diphenyldiazomethane Ph₂CN₂ is less reactive than CH₂N₂ and demanded a reaction temperature of 65 °C to give 80% of the diphosphirane 101b and 20% of the phosphaalkene 102b. Here the intermediate $\lambda^5 \sigma^3$ phosphorane Mes*P^A=P^B(Mes*)=CPh₂ was evidenced by ³¹P NMR (δ (P_A) = 208.4; δ (P_B) = 66.7; ¹J_{PP} = 676 Hz). The formation of ring 101c from 1 and 9-diazo-fluorene was achieved photochemically.^{100b}

The cyclopentadienyl-functionalized diphosphenes 11 and 16 were also prone to cyclopropanation by diazoalkanes^{91b} (Scheme 57).

During the formation of 104c the ³¹P NMR spectra of the reaction mixture (Et₂O, -20 °C) showed two doublets at $\delta = 45$ and 68 ppm (¹J_{PP} = 300 Hz), which were tentatively assigned to a transient [2 + 3] cycloadduct.

A different approach to diphosphiranes, developed in the research groups of Koenig and Yoshifuji, made use of the stereoselective [2 + 1] cycloaddition of halogenated carbenes to the P=P bond of a number of diphosphenes (Scheme 58). The halocarbenes were generated by the reaction of KOtBu or nBuLi with an



'e. L¦€

[Mes*P]²⁻ (Li⁺)₂







Scheme 54

<u>16</u>

+ MeLi

 $(Me_{3}Si)_{2}N - P = P - N(SIMe_{3})_{2} \xrightarrow{+ Me_{3}SICHN_{2}}$ $\underbrace{180}_{(Me_{3}SI)_{2}N} \xrightarrow{- N_{2}} N(SIMe_{3})_{2}$

Scheme 56



excess of the corresponding haloform or alternatively from tetrahalomethanes and nBuLi at low temperatures.

The reaction of the functionalized diphosphiranes 105 with organolithium compounds, Lewis acids as well as thermolysis or photolysis invariably led to ring Scheme 58



Scheme 59



opening products such as 1,3-diphosphaallenes, 1,3-diphosphapropenes, and others. 20,101,102

Sulfur Ylides. According to a method first devised by Weber,¹⁰³ Koenig et al. succeeded in the transformation of the diphosphene 1 into the 1,2-diphosphaspiro[2.2]pentane $106.^{102a}$ Diphenylsulfoniocyclopropanide served as the alkylidene transfer reagent (Scheme 59).

Isocyanides. A [2+1] cycloaddition was encountered in the reaction of equimolar amounts of diphosphene 9 and trifluoromethyl isocyanide.¹⁰⁴ This synthetic



Scheme 61



pathway complements the [2 + 1] cyclocondensation approach earlier devised by Baudler et al. to the rare class of imino diphosphiranes¹⁰⁵ (Scheme 60).

A different situation was met when diphosphene 1 was allowed to react with CF_3NC under similar con-

Scheme 62

ditions. The structure of product 108 was elucidated by X-ray crystallography, and it was ascertained that 108 was generated from three molecules of the isocyanide and one molecule of 1^{104} (Scheme 61). The phosphorus carbon distances [1.683 (P1-C1), 1.842 (C1-P2), and 1.797 (P2-C2) Å] were in accord with a double bond and two single bonds, respectively.

2. [2 + 2] Cycloadditions

Cyclodimerizations. Diphosphenes which are not sufficiently protected by their substituents often undergo [2 + 2] self-dimerization to afford all-trans substituted cyclotetraphosphanes (Scheme 62).

The pronounced reactivity of 109^{45c} and 115^{106} precluded their observation by ³¹P NMR techniques. The generation of the cyclotetraphosphanes 118a,b from *tert*-butylbis(trimethylsilyl)phosphane and the respective aminodichlorophosphanes involved a [2 + 2] head-to-tail cyclodimerization of the transient diphosphenes 117. 117a could be detected by ³¹P NMR ($\delta = 515 d, 585 d, {}^{1}J_{PP} = 638 Hz$) before it suffered from dimerization^{50b} (Scheme 63).





Scheme 64



Fritz et al. described the synthesis of cyclotetraphosphanes 122 (in addition to cyclotriphosphanes) which might result from a sequence of dimerization processes of the phosphinidene moiety 120. This species was freed from the phosphinidene phosphorane precursor 119 (Scheme 64). The transient nature of 120 and 121 was confirmed by trapping experiments.¹⁰⁷ Equimolar amounts of tBuPCl₂ and LiP(SiMe₃)₂ interacted at -60 °C to give the diphosphane 123. Warming up the reaction mixture to ambient temperature led to the production of the reactive diphosphene 124, which eventually experienced head-to-head and head-to-tail cyclodimerizations to give the cyclotetraphosphanes 125 and 126¹⁰⁸ (Scheme 65).

Again the intermediacy of diphosphene 124 was made plausible by trapping experiments. The thermolabile diphosphene 127 was produced during the condensation of $Aryl_FPH_2$ and an imino-substituted dichlorophosphane at -78 °C. At this temperature the double-bond system was successfully coordinated to a platinum complex. However, in the absence of trapping reagents dimerization to cyclotetraphosphane 128 occurred³¹ (Scheme 66).

The magnesium reduction of a pyrrolyl dichlorophosphane afforded cyclotetraphosphane 130 via diphosphene 129, which gave rise to a signal at $\delta = 454$ in the ³¹P NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture¹⁰⁹ (Scheme 67).

Scheme 65



Scheme 66

2 Aryl_FPH₂ + 2 Cl₂P-N=S(0)Me₂
$$\xrightarrow{+ 4 \text{ DBU}}_{- 4 \text{ DBU} + \text{Gl}}$$

2 Aryl_F-P=P-N=S(0)Me₂



Scheme 67



Similarly the synthesis of cyclotetraphosphane 131 may proceed via the transient diphosphene iPr_2 -NP=PNiPr₂.^{110,111} Here, however, other mechanisms for the generation of the ring compound are also reasonable (Scheme 68).

Some isolable diphosphenes slowly cyclodimerized upon standing at room temperature. In the case of 17 the half-life time of this process was estimated to 1 week³⁴ (Scheme 69).

In some cases the dimerization of Z-configurated diphosphenes 24 was followed by cycloreversion and the subsequent cyclodimerization of the amino-substituted diphosphene fragment to yield cyclotetraphosphane 138 and diphosphene 1^{39} (Scheme 70).

Like the Z-configurated diphosphenes 24 the diphosphene 25b slowly decomposed in solution at room temperature to the symmetrical diphosphenes 1 and $(Me_3Si)_3N_2P=PN_2(SiMe_3)_3$ (140). An explanation for



Scheme 69



Scheme 70



this result invoked the transient appearance of the kinetically labile cyclotetraphosphane 139^{40b,112} (Scheme 71).

An intramolecular electrocyclization process of the reactive 1,2,3-triphosphabutadienes 141a,b was made responsible for the appearance of the bicyclic systems 142a,b (Scheme 72).



Weber



The P=P bond of 141a was easily inserted into a P-C linkage of 142a to give the bicyclic compound 143. The insertion of reactive diphosphane into threemembered rings finds a precedence in the ring enlargement of silirane 144 to the 1,2,3-siladiphospholane 145^{45c} (Scheme 73).

The photochemically induced cyclodimerization of 11 to 146 was followed by the extrusion to two cyclopentadienyl fragments and the generation of bicyclotetraphosphane 147. Under prolonged irradiation 147 degradated to white phosphorus¹¹⁵ (Scheme 74).

Ether solutions of diphosphene 29b decomposed upon standing for 5 days at -20 °C to give the bicyclotetraphosphane 148⁴¹ (Scheme 75).

3. [2 + 3] Cycloadditions

Reactions with Azides. Diphosphene 18a and the organic azides 149a-c underwent a 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition to furnish the 1*H*-1,2,3-triaza-4,5-diphosphol-2-ene derivatives 150a-c. The thermolability of 150a-c precluded their isolation and confined their characterization to ³¹P NMR evidence. Above ambient temperature the triazadiphospholenes decomposed to give the aminoiminophosphanes 151a-c as the only nonpolymeric products. In the reaction with Me₃SiN₃ the diphosphene imide 152 was detected as an intermediate by ³¹P NMR ($\delta = 62 \text{ d}, 171 \text{ d}, {}^{1}J_{PP} = 881 \text{ Hz}$) (Scheme 76). The isolation of this compound failed.^{91a,99}

4. [2 + 4] Cycloadditions

The close relationship of phosphorus and carbon chemistry is especially obvious in [2+4] cycloadditions of diphosphenes to 1,3-dienes, which parallel the



Scheme 73



Scheme 74



Scheme 75

$$(Me_{3}Si)_{3}C-P=P-SiMe_{3} \xrightarrow{-20C, 5 d}$$

$$\underbrace{\frac{29b}{Et_{2}0}}$$

$$(Me_{3}SI)_{3}C-P \xrightarrow{P} - C(SiMe_{3})_{3}$$

$$\underbrace{148}$$

prominent Diels-Alder reaction. This kind of transformation constituted a valuable tool for the interception of transient and highly reactive diphosphenes. Thus the intermediate appearance of diphosphenes such as tBuP=PtBu and tBuP=PMes was unambigiously demonstrated by the formation of the [2 + 4] cycload-ducts 153 and 154¹¹⁶ (Scheme 77).

The retro-Diels-Alder decomposition of 154 should liberate the diphosphene tBuP—PtBu. Thus heating 154 in the presence of 2,3-dimethylbuta-1,3-diene afforded 153a in good yields.^{45b} The reactive diphosphene PhP—PPh, obtained from Ph(H)PGeCl₃ and DBU, was also efficiently trapped by 2,3-dimethylbuta-1,3-diene to give 153c^{45b} (Scheme 78).

Analogously diphosphene tBuP=PSiMe₃ (124) could be transformed into 155 by treatment with the butadiene¹⁰⁸ (Scheme 79).

The reactive diphosphenes 156a,b were also intercepted via [2 + 4] cycloadditions¹¹⁷ (Scheme 80).

Grobe et al. have developed an elegant method for the production of instable CF_3 -substituted diphosphenes, and again their evidence was based on [2 + 4]cycloaddition processes.

The symmetrical diphosphene 158 resulted from the dehalogenation of CF_3PI_2 by $SnCl_2^{118a}$ (Scheme 81). This transformation was found to be reversible and allowed the transfer of the diphosphene from one cycloadduct to another. Thus compound 160 is useful as a source of the reactive diphosphene 158 (Scheme 82).

Unsymmetrical diphosphenes were available from distannylphosphanes 162 and (dimethylthio)phosphane 163. The thiophilicity of tin was assumed to be the driving force of this transformation. The in situ generated diphosphenes were trapped by various 1,3-dienes^{118b} (Scheme 83). The influence of the groups R and R' as well as that of the dienes upon this [2 + 4] cycloaddition has been discussed.

Diphosphenes with medium-sized substituents such as 17^{35} and $18a^{36,99}$ are also prone to [2 + 4] cycload-

Scheme 77

Scheme 78





Scheme 79



ditions with dienes (Scheme 84). Adduct 168 readily decomposes above 40 °C into its components and is thus useful as a storable source of diphosphene 18a.

V. Transition Metal Diphosphene Complexes

A. Coordination Modes of Diphosphenes

The rapid development of the chemistry of diphosphenes has also included the study of their ligand properties in transition metal complexes. Here at least seven modes of coordination of acyclic diphosphene ligands (A-G) are encountered to date¹³ (Scheme 85).



Scheme 82



 π -Complexes of ring compounds with P-P fragments are not discussed here.

In compounds of type A the diphosphene acts as an η^1 -ligand toward the metal center. Theoretical calculations on the model compound $(\eta^1 - P_2 H_2)Cr(CO)_5$ revealed that a realistic description of the ligand-metal interaction invokes a delocalized σ -donation from the HOMO of the ligand (the n_+ lone pair combination) and π -back-donation of a filled metal orbital into the

Weber

156a.b

<u>157a,b</u>

PtBu₂

Scheme 83





Scheme 85







empty LUMO (the π^* orbital) of the ligand. The extent of the back-donation is quite large and comprises 0.30 electrons.¹¹⁹ Formula B emphasizes a η^2 -interaction of the P=P π -system with the metal atom. Like in olefin complexes donation from the filled π -orbital to an empty metal orbital transfers electron density from the ligand to the metal. π -Back-donation operates between filled metal orbitals and the LUMO (π^*) orbital of the diphosphene. In the coordination modes C-E a diphosphene is bridging two independant metal complex fragments. In C this is achieved by two η^1 -interactions, whereas in types D and E a combination of η^1 - and η^2 -coordination is present. Formula F depicts a diphosScheme 86



phene incorporated in a butterfly molecule, whereas G is a representive of a cluster compound with a diphosphene building block. Other types of complexes with such a bonding situation are conceivable.

Two main synthetic pathways lead to diphosphene complexes: At first a diphosphene ligand can be added to a coordinatively unsaturated complex or may replace labile ligands in suitable coordination compounds. Secondly the diphosphene ligand may be constructed from easily available and stable precursors in the coordination sphere of an organometallic complex. This method implies that the stability of the free diphosphene is not a prerequisite for its existence as a ligand in stable complexes.

The following section is organized by the respective coordination mode rather than by the method of preparation.

1. η^{1} -Diphosphene Complexes

Complexes with terminal diphosphene ligands, which are end-on bonded to the metal center via the lone pair of one phosphorus atom are often products of ligand displacement reactions. Thus the treatment of Mes*P=PMes* with Ni(CO)₄, Fe₂(CO)₉, and W(CO)₅-THF afforded species of the type under discussion (Scheme 86). The end-on coordination of a Cr(CO)₅ moiety to this diphosphene failed presumeably for steric reasons.

Consistently the treatment of the unsymmetrically substituted diphosphene Mes*P=PMes (6) with $M(CO)_5(THF)$ (M = Cr, Mo, W) leads to complexes with the metal carbonyl group at the less hindered phosphorus atom^{61,121} (Scheme 87). The same is reflected in the transformations of Scheme 88.¹²⁰

Attempts to prepare a $M(CO)_5$ complex of 6 with the metal at the more hindered phosphorus atom failed. Instead the rearranged products 170 and 173 with the metal at the sterically less congested site of the ligands were obtained^{121a} (Scheme 89).

The interesting equilibrium $174a \Rightarrow 174b$, which implies the migration of the Ni(CO)₃ group between the two phosphorus was observed by ³¹P NMR spectroscopy (Scheme 90).

The fluoroaryl-substituted diphosphenes 14 and 41 obviously do not suffer from such the severe steric





 $\begin{array}{c} R & M \\ \hline Ph & Cr \\ Ph & Mo \\ Ph & W \\ \hline Mes^{*} & P = P \\ M(CO)_{5} \\ \hline 170o-c,173o-c \end{array}$

restrictions like 1 does¹²² (Scheme 91). The reaction of the Z-configurated diphosphene 24a with Ni(CO)₄ afforded the corresponding Ni(CO)₃ adduct with an end-on coordination of the ligand³⁹ (Scheme 92). Complex 176b was synthesized via a substitution process and implied a 1,3-H shift from P to N.^{50c}

o

b

С

The addition of AgSO₃CF₃ or of the coordinatively unsaturated gold compound (Et₃PAu)⁺PF₆⁻ to 1 furnished complexes 177a-c with η^1 -diphosphene ligands. These were observed by ³¹P NMR spectroscopy in solution, but were not isolated¹²³ (Scheme 93).

The reductive coupling of dichlorophosphanes by means of carbonyl metalates represents an interesting approach to diphosphene complexes. This type of reaction is not straightforward and leads to a number of products, depending on steric and electronic factors.

Power et al. studied the reaction of RPCl₂ with Na₂-Cr(CO)₅ in different solvents and observed the formation of Cr(CO)₅ complexes with diphosphene, phosphinidene, phosphane, diphosphane, and cyclotriphosphane ligands.¹²⁴ The employment of (Me₃-Si)₂NPCl₂ afforded the diphosphene complex 178 only as a minor product in 18% yield. The main product was the phosphinidene complex 179 (45%), whereas a trinuclear phosphinidene species 180 was generated in 10% yield^{124c} (Scheme 94). The phosphane (Me₃-





Scheme 91





Scheme 92



Scheme 93



Si)₂CHPCl₂ underwent a 45% conversion to 181a when reacted with the chromate anion in ether^{124b} (Scheme 95).

Huttner et al. carefully investigated the reactivity of $Na_2M_2(CO)_{10}$ toward various organodichlorophosphanes¹²⁵ (Scheme 96). He invoked phosphinidene complexes I as intermediates in the diphosphene complex synthesis via the dehalogenation of organodichlorophosphanes. Depending upon the steric requirement of the substituent R, one, two, or three $M(CO)_5$ fragments were ligated to the diphosphene. For $R = C_6H_5$, compounds of type V were formed, whereas with R = mesityl diphosphene complexes IV were generated in addition to phosphinidene species I.

Phosphinidene complexes and a phosphirane species accompanied the synthesis of ${R[(CO)_5M]P=PR}$ (R

41

Scheme 94





longed heating of 185 achieved the extrusion of one M(CO)₅ moiety to give compounds 181a-c (Scheme 98).^{125a} Figure 2 shows the molecular structure of 181b.

Cr

w

181.185

a

ь

 $(Me_{3}Si)_{2}HC$ P = P $(CO)_{5}M^{4}$ $CH(SiMe_{3})_{2}$ $\frac{181}{2}$

= TMP) 182.¹²⁶ Complexes 183 were the result of a phosphinidene addition to ethylene, which was believed to originate from the solvent THF (Scheme 97).

In the reaction of $(Me_3Si)_2CHPCl_2$ with $Na_2M_2(CO)_{10}$ (M = Cr, Mo, W) very short reaction times favored the occurrence of phosphinidene complexes, which were subsequently converted into complexes 185a-c. Pro-

The P=P bond length in the respective chromium complex 181a was found to be 2.027 Å,^{124c} which is in good agreement with other diphosphenes with unsupported P=P units. Obviously this bond distance is not affected significantly by the end-on coordination to a metal atom.





(CO)5

Z-170a

Scheme 100

 $(CO)_5 M^{\bullet} P = P$ E - 170a - c

M = Cr. Mo, W

Scheme 101



UV irradiation of the complexes E-172b-d led to an equilibrium mixture of the E- and Z-isomers^{98a} (Scheme 99). On the other hand the compounds E-170a-c were quantitatively converted into the corresponding Z-isomers upon exposure to UV light⁶¹ (Scheme 100).

The E/Z isomerization was accompanied by a highfield shift of the ³¹P NMR resonances and an increase of the ¹J_{PP} coupling constant.

In light of the steric congestion in $(Me_3Si)_3CP=PC-(SiMe_3)_3$ (9) it is not surprising that all attempts to synthesize complexes thereof have failed.

The treatment of Mes*P=PMes* with Cr(CO)₅-(THF) did not lead to end-on coordination, but reacting Cr(CO)₆ with 1 in refluxing dioxane resulted in η^{6} coordination of one or two Cr(CO)₃ fragments to the arene rings. The ³¹P NMR spectrum of 187 exhibited a singlet at $\delta = 499.7$, whereas the spectrum of 186 was characterized by an AB pattern at $\delta = 503.2$ and 475.7 with a ¹J_{PP} constant of 590.8 Hz¹²⁷ (Scheme 101).

2. η²-Diphosphene Complexes

Only in a few cases were η^2 -diphosphene complexes accessible by displacement reactions via the free diphosphene (Scheme 102). The diphosphenes 11 and 16 underwent reaction with ethylene-bis(triphenylphosphane)platinum to give the η^2 -diphosphene complexes 188a,b quantitatively.^{98a}

Similarly a mixture of Ni(C₂H₄)₃, tetramethylethylenediamine (TMEDA), and 16 at -78 °C furnished the complex (η^2 -Cp*P—PMes*)NiTMEDA 189 as violet platelets.^{91b} The thermolabile complex 190 was obtained as a red solid from the reaction of [nBu₃P]₂-Ni(COD), nBu₃P, and 24a in a toluene/hexane solution³⁹ (Scheme 103).

Trapping experiments with the labile diphosphene 127 at -60 °C led to η^2 -diphosphene complex 191³¹ (Scheme 104).

In the vast majority the η^2 -ligated diphosphene was constructed from stable precursors in the coordination sphere of a metal center. Thus the degradation of the





cyclotetraphosphane $(C_6F_5P)_4$ in the presence of Pt-(PPh₃)₄^{128a} or Pd(PPh₃)₄^{129b} provided a method for the synthesis of the π -complexes 192a,b (Scheme 105).

The *E* configuration of the diphosphene in 192b was proved by X-ray analysis.^{128a} The P=P bond distance of 2.156 (7) Å in this complex is significantly smaller than the standard value for a P-P single bond (2.22 Å).⁷⁷

In a similar fashion, cyclotetraphosphane $(CF_3P)_4$ reacted with zerovalent Pt, Pd, and Ni complexes under refluxing conditions in benzene to give η^2 -CF₃P=PCF₃ complexes 193a-f (Scheme 106). Only 193a was isolated and fully characterized.^{128b}

The fixation of reactive diphosphenes in the coordination sphere may be accompanied by a change in the oxidation state of the metal.

The first example of a η^2 -diphosphene complex (194) was prepared by the reduction of white phosphorus with Cp₂MoH₂^{130a} (Scheme 107). The X-ray structure analysis of 194 revealed a P–P bond distance of 2.146 (3) Å,^{130b} which is markedly widened with respect to the calculated P–P bond length in free P₂H₂ (2.004 Å).⁶⁶

Platinum and palladium complexes 195 with η^2 diphenyldiphosphene were the products of the reduction of cis- or trans-MCl₂L₂ with 1,2-dilithio-1,2diphenyldiphosphane¹²⁹ (Scheme 108). In Pd complex 195a a P-P bond of 2.121 (4) Å is present.

A similar type of reaction is reported from nickel chemistry: Treatment of $(PMe_3)_2NiCl_2$ with Li(tBu)P-P(tBu)Li gave the nickelatetraphosphacyclopentane 196 with an additional η^2 -bonded diphosphene ligand. Presumably the heterocycle is formed by the coupling of two diphosphenes at the metal center¹³¹ (Scheme

$$R_{F}PH_{2} + Cl_{2}P - N = S(0)Me_{2} \xrightarrow{-78^{\circ}C} \left[R_{F} - P = P - N = S(0)Me_{2}\right]$$

$$R_{F} = C_{6}H_{2}(CF_{3})_{3} - 2,4,6$$

$$-60^{\circ}C + (Ph_{3}P)_{2}Pt(C_{2}H_{4}) - C_{2}H_{4}$$

$$Ph_{3}P = S(0)Me_{2}$$









109). The NiP₆ unit is virtually planar as shown by a X-ray structure analysis. The molecular structure of 196 is shown in Figure 3.

Generally there is no need to employ dimetallodiphosphanes for this kind of synthesis. Primary metal phosphides such as LiPHR (R = Ph, tBu)¹³² or Mg-(PHPh)₂¹³³ react with complex metal dihalides to yield η^2 -diphosphene complexes 197a-c and 200e (Scheme 110).

The synthesis of η^2 -diphosphene complexes of Zr and Hf is of no general applicability. A study of the reaction of $(C_5H_5)_2MCl_2$, $(C_5Me_5)_2MCl_2$, and $[1,3-(Me_3Si)_2C_5H_3]$ -MCl₂ with primary lithium phosphides evidenced that the distribution of products depended upon (1) the



191



Scheme 109



Figure 3. Molecular structure of 196. Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (deg): Ni-P(1) 2.257 (4), Ni-P(2) 2.149 (4), P(1)-P(1') 2.110 (5), P(2)-P(3) 2.237 (5), P(3)-P(3') 2.196 (4); P(2)-Ni-P(2') 101.2 (2), P(2)-Ni-P(1) 101.5 (1), Ni-P(1)-P(1') 82.1 (1), P(1)-Ni-P(1') 55.7 (1). Reprinted from ref 31. Copyright 1985 American Chemical Society.

metal and its reducibility, (2) the steric and electronic nature of the cyclopentadienyl ring, and (3) the bulk of the organic group at the phosphorus atom.¹³²

The first tantalum diphosphene complex resulted from the treatment of Cp_2TaH_3 with Ph_2PH in refluxing toluene¹³⁴ (Scheme 111). The diphosphene configu-

Scheme 110

$$Cp''_{2}MCI_{2} \xrightarrow{+ 2LiP(H)Ph} Cp''_{2}M(\eta^{2}-PhP=PPh) + ...$$

$$Cp'' = 1,3-(Me_{3}Si)_{2}C_{5}H_{3} \xrightarrow{\underline{197}} M \qquad (1)$$

$$a Zr$$

$$b Hf$$

 $Cp''_{2}ZrCl_{2} \xrightarrow{+ 2 \sqcup P(H) tBu} Cp''_{2}Zr(\eta^{2} - tBuP = PtBu)$ (2) $\underbrace{197c}_{+} (48\%)$





Scheme 111



Scheme 112

(R3P)2NiCl2			LiP(R ¹)(SIM R ¹ P(SIMe3)	ez), 2 or		_R'
		NICI2	R ¹ (Me ₃ SI)P-	-P(SIMe3)R2	(R3P)2 NI - 2	τ
	<u>199</u>	R	R ¹	R ²	1 <u>99</u> R ^{2*}	
	a	nBu	SIMez	SiMeg		
	ь	Et	SiMeg	SiMeg		
	c	Mie	SiMez	SiMez		
	d	íBu	SiMeg	SiMez		
	e	Ph	SiMez	SiMez		
	f	Et	tBu	tBu		
	g	Et	tBu	SIMez		
	h	Et	Ph	Ph		

ration in the complex is uncertain. The mechanism of the formation of the diphosphene is unknown, but it was suggested that in a preliminary step the dihydride $Cp_2Ta(H)_2(PPh_2)$ is generated by insertion of the fragment [Cp_2TaH] into the Ph₂P-H bond. The dihydride was synthesized independently and was readily converted into $Cp_2Ta(H)(\eta^2-PhP=PPh)$ by heating with Ph₂PH.¹³⁴

Schäfer and co-workers carefully studied the reactivity of bisphosphane nickel dichlorides $(R_3P)_2NiCl_2$ toward silylphosphane derivatives such as LiP(SiMe₃)-R', PR'(SiMe₃)₂, and P₂R'₂(SiMe₃)₂.^{135a,b}

The formation of diphosphene complexes of the types $(R_3P)_2Ni(\eta^2-Me_3SiP=PSiMe_3)$, $(R_3P)_2Ni(\eta^2-tBuP=PtBu)$ and $(R_3P)_2Ni(\eta^2-PhP=PPh)$ was favored by small Tolman cone angles of the phosphane ligands (<132° like in PMe_3, PEt_3, and PnBu_3) and low reaction temperatures (-30 to -10 °C). The employment of



Figure 4. Molecular structure of 199b. Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (deg): Ni-P(2) 2.236 (2), Ni-P(3) 2.258 (2), P(2)-P(3) 2.149 (2), P(2)-Ni-P(3) 57.13 (5), Ni-P(2)-P(3) 61.95 (7), P(2)-P(3)-Ni 60.93 (6), Si(1)-P(2)-P(3) 97.87 (8), P(2)-P(3)-Si(2) 96.96 (8). Reprinted from ref 138. Copyright 1982 Munksgaard (Copenhagen).

Scheme 113



 $\begin{array}{c} R \\ P \\ R \\ R \\ R \\ 200 \end{array}$

disilyldiphosphanes $P_2R'_2(SiMe_3)_2$ (R' = Ph, tBu, SiMe₃) gave the best yields of the η^2 -diphosphene complexes 199a-h, and the process formally may be considered as a [2 + 1] cyclocondensation^{135b} (Scheme 112).

The molecular structure of $(Et_3P)_2Ni\{\eta^2-[P(SiMe_3)]_2\}$ (199b, Figure 4) has been elucidated by X-ray analysis.¹³⁸ The structure shows an η^2 -coordinated and transconfigurated diphosphene, the phosphorus centers of which are located in nearly the same plane as the nickel and the two remaining phosphorus atoms.

The reactions of LiP(SiMe₃)₂ with the square-planar chelate complexes (R₂PCH₂CH₂PR₂)NiCl₂ (R = Et, Cy, Ph) were more straightforward.¹³⁶ Here the bulky Cy₂-PCH₂CH₂PCy₂ ligand even stabilized an η^2 -MeP—PMe complex. When the silvlphosphane components were of concern the best results were obtained with LiP-(SiMe₃)₂, PhP(SiMe₃)₂, MeP(SiMe₃)₂, [tBu(Me₃Si)₂P]₂, and (Me₃Si)₂PP(tBu)(SiMe₃) (Scheme 113).

The treatment of the chelate complexes with $P(SiMe_3)_3$ or $P_2(SiMe_3)_4$ did not furnish η^2 -diphosphene



Scheme 115



Scheme 116



complexes. Instead stable dinuclear complexes 201 with a bridging $\eta^{2}:\eta^{2}\cdot P_{2}$ ligand were formed (Scheme 114). The same was observed when $(Me_{3}Si)_{2}PH$ was reacted with the respective nickel complexes. In the case of R = Cy the compounds $(Cy_{2}PCH_{2}CH_{2}PCy_{2})$ -Ni $(\eta^{2}$ -HP=PSiMe₃) (202) and $(Cy_{2}PCH_{2}CH_{2}PCy_{2})$ Ni $(\eta^{2}$ -HP=PH) (203) were detected as intermediates by ³¹P NMR spectroscopy^{136c} (Scheme 115). The latter complex was isolated from the reaction of $(Cy_{2}PCH_{2}-CH_{2}PCy_{2})$ NiCl₂ with Me₃SiPH₂ at -20 °C.^{136c} Warming solid 203 or its solutions to 20 °C gave the diphosphorus complex 201.

Schäfer extended the synthetic approach described here to the chemistry of platinum diphosphene complexes¹³⁷ (Scheme 116).

Under comparable conditions the use of $(Ph_3P)_2PtCl_2$ did not afford stable η^2 -diphosphene complexes. The compounds $(Ph_3P)_2Pt(\eta^2-RP - PR)$ (207) (a, R = Me₃-Si; b, R = tBu) were observed in the reaction mixture by ³¹P NMR techniques, however.

The same synthetic principle that was used for 199b was used in the reaction of a 3,4-diphosphinomaleimidenickel complex with PhP(SiMe₃)₂. Here evidently the disilylphosphane first reduced the maleimide before the η^2 -diphosphene complex 208 was built up¹³⁹ (Scheme 117).

An interesting question concerns the factors which govern the coordination mode of the diphosphene ligand. It is obvious that the η^2 -mode is mainly realized with electron-rich complexes of the nickel triade. This may be an indication for the improved π -acceptor capacity when the diphosphene operates as an η^2 -ligand. Scheme 117



The $(CO)_5Cr$ fragment is known as an electronwithdrawing group and therefore prefers the end-on coordination of a diphosphene where the donor abilities of the ligand predominate.

3. μ_{2} -(η^{1} : η^{1})-Diphosphene Complexes

It was pointed out that the number of $M(CO)_5$ groups linked to a diphosphene P_2R_2 is highly dependant on the steric requirements of the substituents R. Thus, in order to obtain complexes with $\eta^1:\eta^1$ diphosphene bridges the size of these groups should be considerably smaller than that of a supermesityl unit.

Nearly all of the compounds under discussion were synthesized by the interaction of organodichlorophosphanes with carbonylmetalates.

E- and *Z*-configurated complexes 209a were the main products of the reaction of MesPCl₂ and Na₂Cr(CO)₅ in ether. A phosphinidene species and a cyclotriphosphane complex were observed as minor products^{124c} (Scheme 118).

In contrast to these findings Huttner reported only the Z-configurated dinuclear diphosphene complexes in addition to a phosphinidene species as products of the dehalogenation of MesPCl₂ by Na₂[M₂(CO)₁₀] (Scheme 119). The X-ray analysis of the molybdenum complex Z-209b revealed a P-P bond length of 2.026 (2) Å^{125a} (Figure 5).

Other examples of this type of complexes are $[(E)-Me_3SiCH_2P \longrightarrow PCH_2SiMe_3][Cr(CO)_5]_2$ (210)^{124c} and $[(E)-(Me_3Si)_2CHP \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow CH(SiMe_3)_2][M(CO)_5]_2$ (185a,b) (M = Cr, W)^{125a} which were prepared from the corresponding organodichlorophosphane and Na₂[Cr-(CO)_5]^{124c} or Na₂[M₂(CO)₁₀] (M = Cr, W).^{125a}

The reduction of menthyldibromophosphane complexes 211a,b to the dinuclear diphosphene complexes 212a,b was effected by magnesium in THF¹⁴⁰ (Scheme 120).

Scheme 119



Figure 5. Molecular structure of 209b. Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (deg): Mo(1)-P(1) 2.454 (2), P(1)-P(1') 2.026 (2), P(1)-C(21) 1.812 (4); Mo(1)-P(1)-C(21) 120.5 (1), Mo(1)-P(1)-P(1') 132.3 (0), C(21)-P(1)-P(1') 107.0 (1). Reprinted from ref 125a. Copyright 1985 Elsevier Sequoia.



Again phosphinidene complexes accompanied the formation of the diphosphene species. The P-P distance in 212a amounts to 2.040 Å.¹⁴⁰ Similarly the reduction of a manganese dichlorophosphane complex proceeded with the production of dinuclear diphosphane and diphosphene complexes¹⁴¹ (Scheme 121).





The synthesis of compound 215 involved the thermal dissociation of one $Cr(CO)_5$ moiety from the trinuclear complex 214^{142a,b} (Scheme 122). The permanganate-like color of 215 was explained by a four-center, six π -electron system, which brought about a very small energy gap between the HOMO and the LUMO of the molecule (ca. 20 000 cm⁻¹).¹⁴³

Since the $Fe(CO)_4$ fragment is smaller than the $M(CO)_5$ unit more diphosphenes tolerate the presence of two such groups. A viable synthetic pathway to the complexes under discussion was realized by the reductive dehalogenation of dichlorophosphanes by Collman's reagent^{124a,144a,b} (Scheme 123). In 216 the P=P bond length was determined to 2.029 (1) Å, whereas 217 and 218 feature P=P bonds of 2.039 (1) and 2.053 (1) Å, respectively.¹⁴⁴

The intermediacy of the phosphinidene species $RP = Fe(CO)_4$ was suggested in these transformations, but it has not been trapped by organic substrates as yet. Besides the course of the reaction between $RPCl_2$ and $Na_2Fe(CO)_4$ is highly dependent upon the nature of R and the solvent. Diphosphene complexes were favored by low concentrations of $Fe(CO)_4^{2-}$ in solution as it was realized in ether or hexane.¹⁴⁵

A comparable synthetic approach, applied upon aryldichlorophosphanes and Na₂[V(C₅H₅)(CO)₃] yielded diphosphene complex 219 for R = Mes¹⁴⁶ and vanadium phosphinidene complex [Cp(CO)₂V]₂PMes^{*} in the case of the very bulky supermesityl group.¹⁴⁷ In several cases the treatment of Mes^{*}PCl₂ with carbonylmetalates gave diphosphene 1 in addition to phosphinidene complexes; however, no diphosphene complexes were detected among the products¹⁴⁸⁻¹⁵⁰ (Scheme 124).

The addition of a second 16-electron fragment to a preformed η^1 -diphosphene complex in order to synthesize μ - $(\eta^1:\eta^1)$ -complexes was only realized for a small number of Ni(CO)₃ compounds^{50c} (Scheme 125). The X-ray structure analysis of **220a** exhibited an *E*-configurated P=P bond of 2.029 Å.^{50c}

4. μ₂-(η¹:η²)-Diphosphene Complexes

Dinuclear entities with $\eta^1:\eta^2$ diphosphene bridges of type D represent an interesting alternative to the complexes of type C discussed in the previous section.

The hydridocarbonylferrate HFe(CO)₄⁻ reduced Ph-PCl₂ and diazaphospholyl dichlorophosphane to the complexes 221¹⁵¹ and 222¹⁵² with bridging $\eta^1:\eta^2$ -diphosphene ligands. In addition the organochlorophosphane



iron complex 223 was generated (Scheme 126). The ³¹P NMR spectrum of 221 diplays an AB spin system with $\delta = 52.1$ and -34.5 ppm ($J_{AB} = 415$ Hz). The most interesting feature of the structure of complex 222 is the presence of a 1,3,4,6-tetraphosphahexatriene (1,3,5) unit which is η^1 -bound to one Fe(CO)₄ and η^2 -coordinated to another Fe(CO)₄ moiety¹⁵² (Figure 6).

Scheme 126

Figure 6, Molecular structure of 222. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): P(1)-P(3) 2.1504 (8), P(2)-C(9) 1.713 (2), P(4)-C(13) 1.714 (3), P(1)-C(9) 1.801 (2), P(3)-C(13) 1.802 (2); P(3)-P(1)-C(9) 105.6 (8), P(1)-P(3)-C(13) 101.2 (8). Reprinted from ref 152. Copyright 1988 Chemical Society, London.

Collman's reagent turned out to be a useful starting material for the synthesis of $\eta^{1}:\eta^{2}$ -diphosphene iron complexes 224a,b and the interesting bis(μ -phosphin-





Scheme 128







Scheme 129 $\begin{array}{c} (CO)_{n}M \\ R \end{array} P = P \begin{array}{c} R \\ M(CO)_{n} \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CO)_{n}M} P = P \begin{array}{c} R \\ P = P \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CO)_{n}M} P = P \begin{array}{c} R \\ M(CO)_{n} \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CO)_{n}M} P = P \begin{array}{c} R \\ M(CO)_{n} \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CO)_{n}M} P = P \begin{array}{c} R \\ P = P \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CO)_{n}M} P = P \begin{array}{c} R \\ P = P \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CO)_{n}M} P = P \begin{array}{c} R \\ P = P \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CO)_{n}M} P = P \begin{array}{c} R \\ P = P \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CO)_{n}M} P = P \begin{array}{c} R \\ P = P \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CO)_{n}M} P = P \begin{array}{c} R \\ P = P \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CO)_{n}M} P = P \begin{array}{c} R \\ P = P \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CO)_{n}M} P = P \begin{array}{c} R \\ P = P \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CO)_{n}M} P = P \begin{array}{c} R \\ P = P \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CO)_{n}M} P = P \begin{array}{c} R \\ P = P \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CO)_{n}M} P = P \begin{array}{c} R \\ P = P \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CO)_{n}M} P = P \begin{array}{c} R \\ P = P \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CO)_{n}M} P = P \begin{array}{c} R \\ P = P \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CO)_{n}M} P = P \begin{array}{c} R \\ P = P \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CO)_{n}M} P = P \begin{array}{c} R \\ P = P \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CO)_{n}M} P = P \begin{array}{c} R \\ P = P \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CO)_{n}M} P = P \begin{array}{c} R \\ P = P \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CO)_{n}M} P = P \begin{array}{c} R \\ P = P \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CO)_{n}M} P = P \begin{array}{c} R \\ P = P \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CO)_{n}M} P = P \begin{array}{c} R \\ P = P \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CO)_{n}M} P = P \begin{array}{c} R \\ P = P \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CO)_{n}M} P = P \begin{array}{c} R \\ P = P \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CO)_{n}M} P = P \begin{array}{c} R \\ P = P \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CO)_{n}M} P = P \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CO)_{n}M} P = P \begin{array}{c} R \\ P = P \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CO)_{n}M} P = P \begin{array}{c} R \\ P = P \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CO)_{n}M} P = P \begin{array}{c} R \\ P = P \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CO)_{n}M} P = P \begin{array}{c} R \\ P = P \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CO)_{n}M} P = P \begin{array}{c} R \\ P = P \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CO)_{n}M} P = P \begin{array}{c} R \\ P = P \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CO)_{n}M} P = P \begin{array}{c} R \\ P = P \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CO)_{n}M} P = P \begin{array}{c} R \\ P = P \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CO)_{n}M} P = P \begin{array}{c} R \\ P = P \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CO)_{n}M} P = P \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CO)_{n}M} P = P \begin{array}{c} R \\ P = P \end{array} \xrightarrow{(CO)_{n}M} P = P \end{array}$

idene) species 225^{124b,144b} (Scheme 127). Complexes 224a,b were converted into 225a,b by gentle heating with concomitant loss of two CO ligands.

The X-ray structure analysis of **224a** revealed marked differences in bond lengths between the η^1 (Fe–P) bond [2.226 (2) Å] and the η^2 (P=P)Fe contacts [2.362 (2) and 2.347 (2) Å]. The P=P bond amounts to 2.184 (2) Å.^{124b}

Diphosphene complexes 226 were among the minor products in the reaction of Collman's reagent with (dialkylamino)dichlorophosphanes in ether. Bulky substituents like iPr_2N , Cy_2N , or the TMP group were required for the success of this transformation¹⁴⁵ (Scheme 128).

If one accepts a hypothetical equilibrium between the two isomers C and D, it is remarkable that both modes of coordination are realized in iron chemistry, whereas the pentacarbonyls of chromium, molybdenum, and tungsten clearly prefer structure C (Scheme 129).

5. μ_{3} -(η^{1} : η^{2})-Diphosphene Complexes

Complexes of diphosphenes, where two metal centers are end-on-coordinated (η^1) via the lone pairs of the phosphorus atom and the third one is η^2 -ligated to the π -system were mainly observed in the carbonyl chemistry of chromium, molybdenum, and tungsten.

An especially effective synthetic strategy involves dehalogenation and complexation steps which are



operative in the mixture of $Na_2M_2(CO)_{10}$ with organodichlorophosphanes^{142a,153} (Scheme 130). Again the formation of the diphosphene complexes is believed to proceed via the dimerization of the transient phosphinidene complexes $RP[M(CO)_5]_2$ or their THF adducts.

The X-ray analysis of 214 indicates significantly shorter Cr-P contacts for the η^1 -bonded Cr(CO)₅ units than for the Cr(CO)₅ group engaged in the π -interaction (Figure 7). The P=P bond is considerably lengthened with respect to the unsupported P=P bond in 215 $[d(P=P) = 2.021 (2) \text{ Å}].^{142a}$

The dimerization of phosphinidene compounds into diphosphene derivates was examplified by the formation of compound 214 and 228¹⁵⁴ (Scheme 131). In 228 a Z-configurated diphosphene is capping the triangular face of a cluster molecule. A chromium compound of this type is especially noticeable since only very few chromium clusters are known. The P-P bond in 228 amounts to 2.11 Å.¹⁵⁴ The ³¹P resonance occurs at δ 352. The idea that diphosphene complexes are resulting from phosphinidene precursors is supported by the



Figure 7. Molecular structure of 214. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): P(1)-P(2) 2.125 (6) [2.125 (4)], Cr-(1)-P(1) 2.411 (4) [2.405 (5)], Cr(2)-P(2) 2.405 (4) [2.387 (4)], Cr(3)-P(1) 2.546 (5) [2.536 (5)], Cr(3)-P(2) 2.524 (3) [2.530 (5)]; P(2)-P(1)-Cr(1) 130.9 (2) [129.5 (2)], P(1)-P(2)-Cr(2)130.3 [129.5 (1)]. Reprinted from ref 142a. Copyright 1983 VCH (Weinheim).







occurrence of **227a** as the main product in the thermal or catalytic decomposition of the appropriate 7-phosphanorbornadiene complex **229**. It is known that the latter serves as a source for the electrophilic phosphinidene complex **230**¹⁵⁵ (Scheme 132).

The lone pairs at the phosphorus atoms of the η^2 bound diphosphene in palladium complex 194a still possess basic properties, which became evident by the addition of two W(CO)₅ groups^{129b} (Scheme 133).

6. μ₂-(η²:η²)-Diphosphene Complexes

232 is the only well-documented complex, featuring a diphosphene as part of a butterfly arrangement. It was prepared in the course of a ligand transfer process





Scheme 134





Scheme 135



(Scheme 134). According to the X-ray diffraction analysis, 232 displays a Mo_2P_2 skeleton with a P-P bond length of 2.136 Å and two short Mo-P bonds (2.466, 2.370 Å) as well as two long Mo-P contacts (2.542, 2.546 Å). Both MoP₂ triangles enclose a dihedral angle of 135°. Thus the Z-configurated diphosphene has to be considered as a six-electron ligand. A THF solution of 232 gave rise to a singlet at $\delta = -94$ ppm in the ³¹P NMR spectrum.¹⁵⁶

7. Clusters with Diphosphene Units

The fusion of the sixth bond of the tetrahedrane 234 was accomplished by lithiation of the bridging *tert*butylphosphido ligands in the butterfly complex 233a and the subsequent oxidation of the dilithio compound with 1,2-dibromoethane^{157a,b} (Scheme 135). The X-ray structure analysis of 234 demonstrates that the P==P bond in the diphosphene [2.059 (3) Å], which functions





Figure 8. Molecular structure of 236. Selected bond lengths (Å): Ir(2)-P(3) 2.302 (12), Ir(3)-P(3) 2.308 (9), Ir(1)-P(4) 2.434 (11), P(3)-P(4) 2.186 (13), Ir(5)-P(4) 2.375 (8). Reprinted from ref 159. Copyright 1986 Chemical Society, London.

as a six-electron ligand, is only marginally elongated by coordination, According to Hoffmann's isolobal analogy,¹⁵⁸ [Fe(CO)₃⁻ \leftrightarrow CH \leftrightarrow RP⁺] complex 234 may be regarded as a derivative of the tetrahedrane C₄H₄.

The course of the dimetalation/oxidation sequence is sensitive to the steric requirements of the substituent at the μ -phosphido ligands in the butterfly precursor. The employment of the bis(μ_2 -organylphosphido) complexes 233b-d caused the formation of the organometallic analogs of octabisvalene 235b-d instead of tetrahedranes.^{157c,d} The P-P bond length of 2.23 Å in 235b excludes the description of the cluster as a diphosphene complex. A μ_4 -bridging dimethyldiphosphanediyl system seems to be a more appropriate characterization of the ligand (Scheme 136).

In cluster 236 [d(PP) = 2.186 (13) Å] the same problem is evident.¹⁵⁹ The author, however, admits that there are no clear-cut borderlines between these two extreme descriptions (Scheme 137, Figure 8).

The synthesis of the carbonyl nickel cluster 237 incorporating the (Me₃Si)₂CHP=PCH(SiMe₃)₂ ligand as a six-electron donor was achieved by dehalogenation



Figure 9. Molecular structure of 237. Reprinted from ref 160. Copyright 1984 American Chemical Society.

of $(Me_3Si)_2$ CHPCl₂ with Na₂[Ni₆(CO)₁₂]¹⁶⁰ (Scheme 138, Figure 9). The diphosphene ligands interact most closely with Ni(3) and Ni(5) as examplified by the short Ni-P distances (average ca. 2.18 Å). The distances between the phosphorus atoms and the other nickel atoms are almost 0.2 Å longer, averaging ca. 2.37 Å. The P(1)-P(2) and P(3)-P(4) bond lengths are 2.085 (4) and 2.098 (4) Å. Each of the diphosphene ligands obviously behaves as a σ -donor ligand toward Ni(3) and Ni(5), which results in fairly short Ni-P distances. The other Ni-P distances, which are considerably longer suggest that the diphosphenes are operating as very weak π -bonding ligands toward these three nickel atoms.¹⁶⁰

B. ³¹**P NMR Spectroscopic Data of Diphosphene** Complexes

With a few exceptions the η^1 -complexation of a diphosphene $R^1P^1 = P^2R^2$ to give $R^1P^1(ML_n) = P^2R^2$ is accompanied by the shielding of both nuclei P^1 and P^2 in the ³¹P NMR spectrum. Usually the metal-bound atom P^1 experiences the more pronounced coordination shift (ca. $\Delta \delta = -30$ to -130 ppm) upon complexation. The amount of the screening depends on the nature of the group ML_n and within a homologous series it increases with the atomic number of the metal, e.g., $(Me_3Si)_2CHP_A[M(CO)_5] = P_BCH(SiMe_3)_2 \ [\Delta \delta P_A = -72.7 \ (M = Cr), -84.0 \ (M = Mo), -128.9 \ (M = W); \Delta \delta P_B = -41.2 \ (M = Cr), -51.6 \ (M = Mo), -73.2 \ (M = W)].$

In keeping with the idea that the prominent contribution for this chemical shifts stems from a paramagnetic term, which depends upon the HOMO/LUMO separation, one might suggest that in η^1 -diphosphene complexes this energy gap increased.

This conclusion is underlined by the MO scheme for $H_2P_2Cr(CO)_5$ which indeed indicated an energy increase in the HOMO-LUMO separation (ca. 4.8 eV) in the complex as compared with the free ligand (ca. 3.6 eV).¹¹⁹

The same argumentation may be valid for complexes with μ_{2} - $(\eta^{1}:\eta^{1})$ -diphosphene ligands [e.g., $(Me_{3}Si)_{2}CHP$ -[$M(CO)_{5}$]= $P[M(CO)_{5}]CH(SiMe_{3})_{2}$ ($\Delta\delta$ = -91.1 ppm (M = Cr), -174.8 ppm (M = W)].

Table 4. ³¹P NMR Data of η^1 -Diphosphene Complexes

compd	R1	\mathbb{R}^2	ML_n	δ ³¹ Ρ	$^{1}J_{\rm PP},{\rm Hz}$	solvent	ref(s)
169a	Mes*	Mes*	$Ni(CO)_3$	449.0; 422.0	540.3	Et ₂ O	120
169b	Mes*	Mes*	Fe(CO) ₄	423.6; 396.4	578.0	CH_2Cl_2	120
169c	Mes*	Mes*	$W(CO)_5$	486.3: 357.4	561.5		12
E-170a	Mes	Mes*	$Cr(CO)_5$	500.9; 412.3	517.6	CDCl ₃	61, 121
E-170b	Mes	Mes*	Mo(CO) ₅	486.3: 395.0	518.8		61, 121
<i>E</i> -170c	Mes	Mes*	W(CO) ₅	461.9: 352.4	528.8		61, 121
Z-170a	Mes	Mes*	Cr(CO) ₅	393.9; 384.9	603.0	·	61, 121
Z-170b	Mes	Mes*	$Mo(CO)_5$	398.4; 359.0	585.9		61, 121
<i>Z</i> -170c	Mes	Mes*	W(CO) ₅	393.1; 322.4	576.8		61, 121
171	CH(SiMe ₃) ₂	Mes*	Fe(CO) ₄	424.0; 416.0	519.0	CH_2Cl_2	120
1 72a	Cp*	Mes*	Ni(CO) ₃	443.3; 428.2	551	$C_6 D_6$	91b
<i>E</i> -1 72b	Cp*	Mes*	$Cr(CO)_5$	498.2; 454.4	549	CDCl ₃	98a
<i>E</i> -1 72 c	Cp*	Mes*	$Mo(CO)_5$	485.6; 443.4	545	$C_6 D_6$	98 a
<i>E</i> -1 72d	Cp*	Mes*	$W(CO)_5$	465.1; 405.3	546	C_6D_6	98a
<i>E</i> -1 72e	Cp*	Mes*	Fe(CO) ₄	462.3; 440.2	548	CDCl₃	98a
<i>Z</i> -1 72b	Cp*	Mes*	$Cr(CO)_5$	421; 385	603	$n - C_6 H_{14}$	98a
<i>Z</i> -172c	Cp*	Mes*	Mo(CO) ₅	396; 391	583	$n-C_6H_{14}$	98 a
Z-172d	Cp*	Mes*	$W(CO)_5$	394; 362	581	$n-C_6H_{14}$	98a
1 7 3 a	Pĥ	Mes*	$Cr(CO)_5$	498.9; 415.7	503.5	THF	121a
1 73b	Ph	Mes*	$Mo(CO)_5$	484.1; 399.5	500.5	THF	121 a
1 73c	Ph	Mes*	$W(CO)_5$	458.8; 359.0	498.1	THF	121 a
1 74a	$CH(SiMe_3)_2$	Mes*	Ni(CO) ₃	434.8; 429.9	521.3		50c
1 74b	Mes*	CH(SiMe ₃) ₂	Ni(CO) ₃	428.1; 422.3	521.3		50c
1 74c	CH(Ph)(SiMe ₃)	Mes*	Ni(CO) ₃	440.1; 425. 2	514.2		50c
1 75a	2,6-(CF ₃) ₂ C ₆ H ₃	$2,6-(CF_3)_2C_6H_3$	$Cr(CO)_5$	438.7; 432.6	489	THF	122
1 75b	2,4,6-(CF ₃) ₃ C ₆ H ₂	2,4,6-(CF ₃) ₃ C ₆ H ₂	$Cr(CO)_5$	430.2; 427.6	501	THF	122
175c	$2,4,6-(CF_3)_3C_6H_2$	2,4,6-(CF ₃) ₃ C ₆ H ₂	$Mo(CO)_5$	412.7; 407.7	510	$CDCl_3$	122b
1 75d	2,4,6-(CF ₃) ₃ C ₆ H ₂	2,4,6-(CF ₃) ₃ C ₆ H ₂	$W(CO)_5$	3 86. 5; 359.9	478	THF	122b
1 75e	2,4,6-(CF ₃) ₃ C ₆ H ₂	$2,4,6-(CF_3)_3C_6H_2$	$Pt(PEt_3)Cl_2$	346.6; 337.2	534	CH_2Cl_2	122b
1 76a	Mes*	NHtBu	Ni(CO) ₃	341; 164	561	C_6D_6	3 9
1 76b	Mes*	NHMes*	Ni(CO) ₃	416.5; 259.6	501.3	C_6D_6	50c
1 77a	Mes*	Mes*	Ag ⁺	435; 378	549	THF	123
177c	Mes*	Mes*	AuPEt ₃ +	403; 358	555	\mathbf{THF}	123
178	$(Me_3Si)_2N$	$(Me_3Si)_2N$	$Cr(CO)_5$	560.2; 540.4	631	C_6D_6	124c
181 a	(Me ₃ Si) ₂ CH	(Me ₃ Si) ₂ CH	$Cr(CO)_5$	477.3; 446.2	510	C_6D_6	124b,c
_				475.8, 444.3	516	CDCl ₃	125 a
181b	(Me ₃ Si) ₂ CH	(Me ₃ Si) ₂ CH	Mo(CO) ₅	465.4; 433.0	515	CDCl ₃	125 a
181c	(Me ₃ Si) ₂ CH	(Me ₃ Si) ₂ CH	W(CO) ₅	443.8, 388.1	514	CDCl ₃	125 a

In contrast to the η^1 -ligand the η^2 -ligated diphosphene experiences a dramatic shielding (ca. 450 ppm) relative to the free ligand. Thus the ³¹P nuclei of Cp*P=PCp* (11) (δ = 504.0) are shifted by $\Delta\delta$ = -441.5 ppm to δ = 62.5 in the π -complex [η^2 -Cp*P=PCp*]Pt(PPh_3)_2 (188a).

In $[\eta^2$ -Cp*P=PMes*]Pt(PPh_3)_2 (188b) similar coordination shifts ($\Delta \delta = -438.3$ and -455.6 ppm) were observed. Here doublets of doublets at $\delta = 52.3$ ppm $({}^{1}J_{PP} = 433 \text{ Hz and } {}^{3}J_{PPtP} = 36 \text{ Hz}) \text{ and at } \delta = 28.9 \text{ ppm}$ $({}^{1}J_{PP} = 433 \text{ Hz and } {}^{3}J_{PPtP} = 46 \text{ Hz})$ were assigned to the diphosphene. The coupling constant ${}^{1}J_{PP}$ decreased from 584 Hz in 16 to only 433 Hz in the complex. The couplings of the P atoms of the diphosphene to the ¹⁹⁵Pt nucleus are 276 and 205 Hz. The resonances of the PPh₃ ligands show up as doublets of doublets at δ = 25.5 (${}^{3}J_{PPtP}$ = 36 Hz) and δ = 21.9 (${}^{3}J_{PPtP}$ = 46 Hz).^{98a} Here the coupling constants ${}^{1}J_{PtP}$ increase by 1 order of magnitude (3094 and 3160 Hz). The small Pt-P coupling in the η^2 -diphosphene-Pt ensemble and the small trans coupling, ${}^{3}J_{PPtP}$, to the phosphane ligands indicate that there is only a small 3s contribution in the bonding between the η^2 -ligand and the metal.

The tremendous high-field shift of the phosphorus atoms of a diphosphene upon π -bonding demands an even greater HOMO-LUMO separation in such π -complexes. This invokes a destabilization of the π^* -orbital, a stabilization of the π -orbital and a more or less uneffected n_+ -orbital as results from the η^2 -ligation of the diphosphene to a transition metal center.

³¹P NMR data of diphosphene complexes are compiled in the Tables 4–8.

VI. Reactivity of Transition Metal Diphosphene Complexes

A. Transformation with Retainment of the P—P Double Bond

1. Substitutions

Methanolysis of the silylated η^2 -diphosphene ligand in nickel complexes 200 furnished dinuclear complexes 201 with bridging $\mu_2(\eta^2;\eta^2)P_2$ units^{136c} (Scheme 139).

The steric bulk of the chelating Cy₂PCH₂CH₂PCy₂ provided enough kinetic stabilization to the transient compounds **202b** and **203b** to allow their detection by ³¹P NMR. The methanolysis of (Et₂PCH₂CH₂PEt₂)-Ni(η^2 -Me₃SiP=PtBu) (**200k**) also furnished the diphosphorus species **201a**, whereas **200**1 afforded the η^2 -HP=PtBu complex **238** in 80% isolated yield under comparable conditions. At 80 °C **238** decomposed to give **201b**^{136c} (Scheme 140). The displacement of PPh₃ ligands in complex **192a** by a chelating diphosphane is also feasible^{129b} (Scheme 141).

Table 5. ³¹P-NMR-Data of η^2 -Diphosphene Complexes



	compd	R ¹	R ²	ML _n	δ ³¹ P	$^{1}J_{\rm PP},{\rm Hz}$	solvent	ref(s)
	188 a	Cp*	Cp*	Pt(PPh ₃) ₂	62.5		C ₆ D ₆	98a
	188b	Cp*	Mes*	$Pt(PPh_3)_2$	52.8; 29.0	435	C_6D_6	98a
	18 9	Cp*	Mes*	Ni(TMEDA)	55.9; 51.0	440.8	THF-d ₈	9 1 b
	190	tÊuNH	Mes*	$Ni(PnBu_3)_2$	85.5; -55.1	427	$toluene-d_8$	39
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	191	$2.4.6-(CF_3)C_6H_2$	$N=S(O)Me_2$	$Pt(PPh_3)_2$	130.2; 29.5		C_6H_5Me/C_6D_6	31
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	192a	C ₆ F ₅	C ₆ F ₅	$Pd(PPh_3)_2$	32.0		CeHe	129b
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	192b	C _e F ₅	C ₆ F ₅	$Pt(PPh_3)_2$	-22.0		CeHe	129b
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	192c	C _e F ₅	C ₆ F ₅	Pd(diphos)	1.7		CeHe	129b
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	193a	CF.	ĊF ₃	Pd(PPh ₃) ₂	22.7		de-toluene	128b
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	193b	CF ₃	CF ₃	Pt(PEt ₃) ₂	-28.0		de-toluene	128b
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	193d	CF ₃	CF ₃	Pt(PPh ₃) ₂	-6.2		CeDe	128b
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	193e	CF ₃	CF ₃	Pd(diphos)	19.57		C _e H _s Me	128b.
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	193f	CF ₂	CF ₃	Ni(diphos)	19.42		CeHeMe	128b
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	194	Ĥ	H	MoCp ₂	203		(CD ₃) ₂ SO	130
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	195a	Ph	Ph	Pd(diphos)	34.3		CeHe	129b
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	195b	Ph	Ph	Pt(PPh ₃) ₂	18.1		CeHe	129b
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1950	Ph	Ph	Pt(diphos)	-23.5		CeHe	129h
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	195d	Ph	Ph	Pt(PMe ₂ Ph) ₂	4.5		CeDe	129b
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	196	tBu	tBu	Ni(P-tBu)	45.03	275	C.D.	131
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1978	Ph	Ph	[1.3-(MesSi) C.H.].Zr	228.91	210	C.D.	132
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	197h	Ph	Ph	[1.3-(MesSi) C.H.] Hf	193.22		C.D.	132
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1970	tBu	tBu	$[1.3-(Me_3Si)_2C_3H_3]_2Z_7$	270.56		C.D.	132
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	198	Ph	Ph	$C_{D_0}T_{B}(H)$	-146164	327	C.D.	134
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1999	SiMe	SiMe	$Ni[P(nBu)_{a}]_{a}$	-65	021	C.D.	135h
	199h	SiMe	SiMe	Ni(PEta)	-67		C.D.	135h
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1990	SiMe	SiMe.	Ni(PMe ₂) ₂	-76		C.D.	135h
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1994	SiMe.	SiMe	$Ni[P(iBu)_{a}]_{a}$	-57		C.H.M.	135b
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1996	SiMe	SiMe.	Ni(PPh_)	-22		C.H.Me	135h
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	199f	tR ₁₁	+B11	Ni(PEta)	54		C.D.	135b
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	199g	tBu	SiMe	Ni(PEta)	7686	384	C.H.Me	135h
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	199h	Ph	Ph	Ni(PEta)	-5	001	C	135h
200aDifferDifferDifferDifferDiffer200bSiMe3SiMe3Ni[Cy2P(CH2)2PCy2]-103CeDe136b200cSiMe3SiMe3Ni[Ph2P(CH2)2PCy2]-64CeH3Me136b200dPhPhPhNi[Cy2P(CH2)2PCy2]-34CeDe136b200ePhPhPhNi[Cy2P(CH2)2PCy2]-34CeDe136b200gMeMeNi[Cy2P(CH2)2PCy2]-28CeDe136b200gMeMeNi[Cy2P(CH2)2PCy2]-28CeDe136b200itButBuNi[Cy2P(CH2)2PCy2]-28CeDe136b200itButBuNi[Cy2P(CH2)2PCy2]42CeDe136b200itButBuNi[Cy2P(CH2)2PCy2]42CeDe136b200itButBuNi[Cy2P(CH2)2PCy2]42CeDe136b200itButBuNi[Cy2P(CH2)2PCy2]42CeDe136b200itButBuNi[Cy2P(CH2)2PCy2]42CeDe136b200kSiMe3tBuNi[Cy2P(CH2)2PCy2]48; -110369CeDe136c200iSiMe3tBuNi[Cy2P(CH2)2PCy2]-117; -126315CeDe136c200mSiMe3HNi[Cy2P(CH2)2PCy2]-117; -126315CeDe136c202SiMe3HNi[Cy2P(CH2)2PCy2]-105CeDe136c203bHHNi[Cy2P(CH2)2PCy2]-120CeH3	200.	SiMe	SiMe	Ni[EtaP(CHa)aPEta]	-101		C,D,	136b
2000SiMe3SiMe3Ni[Ph2]C(H2)2P(H2)2100C6010002000PhPhNi[Et2P(CH2)2PEt2]-20C6D6136b200ePhPhNi[Cy2P(CH2)2PEt2]-20C6D6136b200fPhPhNi[Cy2P(CH2)2PEt2]-34C6D6136b200gMeMeNi[Cy2P(CH2)2PEt2]-2C6D6136b200gMeMeNi[Cy2P(CH2)2PEt2]55C6D6136b200itButBuNi[Cy2P(CH2)2PEt2]55C6D6136b200itButBuNi[Cy2P(CH2)2PEt2]57; -107382C6D6136b200itButBuNi[Cy2P(CH2)2PEt2]57; -107382C6D6136b200itButBuNi[Cy2P(CH2)2PEt2]57; -107382C6D6136b200itButBuNi[Cy2P(CH2)2PEt2]57; -107382C6D6136c200isiMe3tBuNi[Cy2P(CH2)2PEt2]57; -107382C6D6136c200isiMe3tBuNi[Cy2P(CH2)2PEt2]57; -107382C6D6136c200isiMe3tBuNi[Cy2P(CH2)2PEt2]57; -107382C6D6136c200isiMe3tBuNi[Cy2P(CH2)2PEt2]57; -107382C6D6136c201siMe3tBuNi[Cy2P(CH2)2PEt2]57; -107382C6D6136c202siMe3HNi[Cy2P(CH2)2PEt2]57; -107351C6D6 <td>2001</td> <td>SiMe</td> <td>SiMe</td> <td>Ni[CvoP(CHo)oPCvo]</td> <td>-103</td> <td></td> <td>C.D.</td> <td>136b</td>	2001	SiMe	SiMe	Ni[CvoP(CHo)oPCvo]	-103		C.D.	136b
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2000	SiMe	SiMe	Ni[PhoP(CHo)oPPho]	64		C.H.M.	136b
200c H H H_1 $H_1(2y_2P(CH_2)_2PCy_2)$ -34 C_6D_6 $136b$ 200fPhPh $Ni[Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PCy_2]$ -24 C_6D_6 $136b$ 200gMeMe $Ni[Cy_2P(CH_2)_2PCy_2]$ -22 C_6D_6 $136b$ 200htButBuNi $[Et_2P(CH_2)_2PCy_2]$ -28 C_6D_6 $136b$ 200htButBuNi $[Et_2P(CH_2)_2PCy_2]$ 42 C_6D_6 $136b$ 200itButBuNi $[Et_2P(CH_2)_2PCy_2]$ 42 C_6D_6 $136b$ 200jtButBuNi $[Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PCy_2]$ 42 C_6D_6 $136b$ 200kSiMe_3tBuNi $[Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PCy_2]$ 42 C_6D_6 $136c$ 200lSiMe_3tBuNi $[Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PCy_2]$ $48; -110$ 369 C_6D_6 $136c$ 200mSiMe_3tBuNi $[Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PCy_2]$ -107 382 C_6D_6 $136c$ 202SiMe_3tBuNi $[Cy_2P(CH_2)_2PCy_2]$ $-117; -126$ 315 C_6D_6 $136c$ 203bHHNi $[Cy_2P(CH_2)_2PCy_2]$ -105 C_6D_6 137 205tButButBuPt(PEt_3)_2 -105 C_6D_6 137 205tBuSiMe_3Pt(PEt_3)_2 -57 C_6H_5Me 137 207aSiMe_3SiMe_3Pt(PPh_3)_2 -57 C_6H_5Me 137	2004	Ph	Ph	Ni[Et_P(CH_a)]	-20		C ₂ D ₂	136h
2000HH H_1 $H_1(Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PPh_2)$ -2 C_0D_6 136b200gMeMe $Ni[Cy_2P(CH_2)_2PCy_2]$ -28 C_0D_6 136b200htButBu $Ni[Et_2P(CH_2)_2PEt_2]$ 55 C_6D_6 136b200itButBu $Ni[Et_2P(CH_2)_2PCy_2]$ 42 C_6D_6 136b200jtButBu $Ni[Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PCy_2]$ 42 C_6D_6 136b200itButBu $Ni[Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PCy_2]$ 42 C_6D_6 136b200itButBu $Ni[Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PEt_2]$ $57; -107$ 382 C_6D_6 136c200kSiMe_3tBu $Ni[Et_2P(CH_2)_2PEt_2]$ $57; -107$ 382 C_6D_6 136c200mSiMe_3tBu $Ni[Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PCy_2]$ $48; -110$ 369 C_6D_6 136c202SiMe_3tBu $Ni[Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PCy_2]$ $-117; -126$ 315 C_6D_6 136c203bHH $Ni[Cy_2P(CH_2)_2PCy_2]$ -105 C_6D_6 137c204SiMe_3SiMe_3 $Pt(PEt_3)_2$ -105 C_6D_6 137205tButBu $Pt(PEt_3)_2$ 29 C_6D_6 137206tBuSiMe_3 $Pt(PEt_3)_2$ -57 C_6H_5Me 137207aSiMe_3SiMe_3 $Pt(PPh_3)_2$ -57 C_6H_5Me 137	200e	Ph	Ph	$Ni[Cv_0P(CH_0)_0PCv_0]$	-34		C.D.	136h
200MeMeNi[$Cy_2P(CH_2)_2PCy_2$]-28C ₀ D ₆ 136b200htButBuNi[$Cy_2P(CH_2)_2PCy_2$]-28C ₀ D ₆ 136b200itButBuNi[$Cy_2P(CH_2)_2PCy_2$]42C ₆ D ₆ 136b200jtButBuNi[$P_2P(CH_2)_2PCy_2$]42C ₆ D ₆ 136b200jtButBuNi[$P_2P(CH_2)_2PCy_2$]42C ₆ D ₆ 136b200kSiMe ₃ tBuNi[$P_2P(CH_2)_2PCy_2$]69C ₆ D ₆ 136c200kSiMe ₃ tBuNi[$P_2P(CH_2)_2PCy_2$]48; -110369C ₆ D ₆ 136c200mSiMe ₃ tBuNi[$P_2P(CH_2)_2PCy_2$]-78392C ₆ H ₅ Me136c202SiMe ₃ HNi[$Cy_2P(CH_2)_2PCy_2$]-117; -126315C ₆ D ₆ 136c203bHHNi[$Cy_2P(CH_2)_2PCy_2$]-105C ₆ D ₆ 137c204SiMe ₃ SiMe ₃ Pt(PEt_3) ₂ -105C ₆ D ₆ 137205tButBuPt(PEt_3) ₂ 46; -119351C ₆ H ₆ Me137206tBuSiMe ₃ Pt(PEt_3) ₂ -57C ₆ H ₅ Me137207aSiMe ₃ SiMe ₃ Pt(PPh_3) ₂ -57C ₆ H ₅ Me137	200f	Ph	Ph	Ni[PhoP(CHo)oPPho]	-2		C, D,	136h
200bHo $Hi[Et_2P(CH_2)_2P(t_2]) = 5$ C_0D_6 136b200itButBu $Ni[Et_2P(CH_2)_2P(t_2)] = 55$ C_0D_6 136b200jtButBu $Ni[Ph_2P(CH_2)_2P(t_2)] = 42$ C_0D_6 136b200jtButBu $Ni[Ph_2P(CH_2)_2P(t_2)] = 69$ C_0D_6 136b200kSiMe_3tBu $Ni[Et_2P(CH_2)_2Pt_2] = 57; -107$ 382 C_6D_6 136c200lSiMe_3tBu $Ni[Cy_2P(CH_2)_2Pt_2] = 57; -107$ 382 C_6D_6 136c200mSiMe_3tBu $Ni[Cy_2P(CH_2)_2Pt_2] = 57; -107$ 382 C_6D_6 136c202SiMe_3tBu $Ni[Cy_2P(CH_2)_2Pt_2] = 57; -107$ 382 C_6D_6 136c203bHH $Ni[Cy_2P(CH_2)_2Pt_2] = -117; -126$ 315 C_6D_6 136c204SiMe_3SiMe_3 $Pt(PEt_3)_2$ -105 C_6D_6 137205tButBu $Pt(PEt_3)_2$ 29 C_6D_6 137206tBuSiMe_3 $Pt(PEt_3)_2$ -57 C_6H_5Me 137207aSiMe_3SiMe_3 $Pt(PPh_3)_2$ -57 C_6H_5Me 137	200r	Me	Me	$Ni[Cv_0P(CH_0)_0PCv_0]$	-28		C, D,	136h
20011011011011011011012001tButBuNi $[Cy_2P(CH_2)_2PCy_2]$ 42CeDe136b200jtButBuNi $[Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PCy_2]$ 42CeDe136b200kSiMe_3tBuNi $[Et_2P(CH_2)_2PEt_2]$ 57; -107382CeDe136c2001SiMe_3tBuNi $[Cy_2P(CH_2)_2PCy_2]$ 48; -110369CeDe136c200mSiMe_3tBuNi $[Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PCy_2]$ 48; -110369CeDe136c202SiMe_3tBuNi $[Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PCy_2]$ -117; -126315CeDe136c203bHHNi $[Cy_2P(CH_2)_2PCy_2]$ -1105CeDe136c204SiMe_3SiMe_3Pt(PEt_3)_2-105CeDe137205tButBuPt(PEt_3)_229CeDe137206tBuSiMe_3Pt(PEt_3)_2-57CeH_5Me137207aSiMe_3SiMe_3Pt(PPh_3)_2-57CeH_5Me137	2001	tB11	tB11	Ni[Et_P(CH_a)]	55		C,D,	136h
2001 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 2001 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 200k 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 200l 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 200m 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 202 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 203 bHH 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 203 bHH 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 204 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 205 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 206 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 207 a 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 100^{-1} 100^{-1} <	2001	tBu	tBu	$Ni[Cv_0P(CH_0)_0PCv_0]$	42		C.D.	136b
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	200i	tBu	tBu	Ni[PhoP(CHo)oPPho]	69		C,D,	136b
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2001	SiMe	tBu	Ni[EtaP(CH _a) _a PEta]	57107	382	C.D.	1360
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2001	SiMe.	tBu	$Ni[Cv_0P(CH_0)_0PCv_0]$	48 - 110	369	C.D.	136c
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2001 200m	SiMe	tBu	Ni[PhoP(CHo)oPPho]	8278	392		136c
203bindfit $(h)_{2}(P)$	202	SiMe	н	Ni[CvoP(CHo)oPCvo]	-117 - 126	315	C.D.	136c
204SiMe3SiMe3Pt(PEt3)2-105C6D6137205tButBuPt(PEt3)229C6D6137206tBuSiMe3Pt(PEt3)246; -119351C6H5Me137207aSiMe3Pt(PPh3)2-57C6H5Me137	203h	H	ਸ	Ni[CvoP(CHo)oPCvo]	-120	010	Č _e H _e Me	1360
205tButBuPt(PEt_3)_229 C_6D_6 137206tBuSiMe_3Pt(PEt_3)_246; -119351 C_6H_5Me 137207aSiMe_3SiMe_3Pt(PPh_3)_2-57 C_6H_5Me 137	204	SiMe	SiMe	$Pt(PEt_0)_0$	-105		CaDa	137
206 tBuSiMe ₃ $Pt(PEt_3)_2$ 26 C_6H_5 Me137 207a SiMe_3SiMe_3 $Pt(PPh_3)_2$ -57 C_6H_5 Me137	205	tBu	tBu	Pt(PEt_a)	29		C.D.	137
207a SiMe ₃ SiMe ₃ Pt(PPh ₃) ₂ -57 C ₆ H ₅ Me 137	206	tBu	SiMe	Pt(PEt _a) _a	46: -119	351	C _e H _e M _e	137
	207e	SiMe	SiMe	Pt(PPha)a	-57	001	CeHeMe	137
207b tB_{11} tB_{12} $Pt(PPh_2)_2$ 54 $C_2H_2M_2$ 137	207h	tBu	tBu	Pt(PPh _a) ₂	54		CeHaMe	137
238 tBu H Ni[$Cy_2P(CH_2)_2PCv_2$] 41: -120 363 C ₄ D ₄ 136c	238	tBu	Ĥ	Ni[Cy ₂ P(CH ₃) ₂ PCv ₂]	41: -120	363	$C_6 D_6$	136c

Scheme 139



Scheme 140



2. Complexation and Decomplexation

The addition of further complex fragments to the η^2 -ligated diphosphene in (diphos)Pd(η^2 -PhP=PPh) (194a) constitutes a pathway to complexes of higher nuclearity (Scheme 133). The transfer of the PhP=PPh

Table 6. ³¹P NMR Data of μ -(η^1 : η^1)-Diphosphene Complexes

compd	R ¹	R ²	ML _n	δ ³¹ Ρ	$^{1}J_{\rm PP},{\rm Hz}$	solvent	ref(s)
$\begin{array}{c} E-185a\\ E-185b\\ E-209a\\ Z-209a\\ Z-209c\\ E-210\\ E-212a\\ E-212b\\ E-215\\ E-215\\ E-216\\ E-217\\ E-218\\ E-219\\ \end{array}$	$(Me_3Si)_2CH \\ (Me_3Si)_2CH \\ Mes \\ Mes \\ Mes \\ Mes \\ Mes \\ Me_3SiCH_2 \\ Ment \\ Ment \\ Ph \\ Mes \\ (Me_3Si)_2CH \\ (Me_3Si)_2N \\ Mes \\ Mes$	$(Me_{3}Si)_{2}CH$ $(Me_{3}Si)_{2}CH$ Mes Mes Mes Mes Mes Mes Me_{3}SiCH_{2} Ment Ment Ph Mes $(Me_{3}Si)_{2}CH$ $(Me_{3}Si)_{2}N$ Mes	$\begin{array}{c} Cr(CO)_5 \\ W(CO)_5 \\ Cr(CO)_5 \\ Cr(CO)_5 \\ Mo(CO)_5 \\ W(CO)_5 \\ Cr(CO)_5 \\ Cr(CO)_5 \\ Cr(CO)_5 \\ Cr(CO)_5 \\ Fe(CO)_4 \\ Fe(CO)_4 \\ Fe(CO)_4 \\ Fe(CO)_4 \\ CO)_3 CpV \end{array}$	425.9 342.2 419.9 396.7 367.7 313.6 189 447.5 361.5 369 335.5 384.6 403.9 426		$\begin{array}{c} CD_{2}Cl_{2}\\ CD_{2}Cl_{2}\\ C_{6}D_{6}\\ C_{6}D_{6}\\ CD_{2}Cl_{2}\\ CD_{2}Cl_{2}\\ CD_{2}Cl_{2}\\ C_{9}D_{6}\\ n-C_{5}H_{12}\\ n-C_{5}H_{12}\\ C_{6}H_{6}\\ C_{6}H_{6}\\ C_{6}H_{6}\\ C_{6}D_{6}/THF \end{array}$	125a 125a 124c 124c 125a 125a 125a 124c 140 140 125a,142 144b 144b 144b
<i>E</i> -220a <i>E</i> -220b <i>E</i> -220c	Mes* Mes* Mes*	(Me ₃ Si) ₂ CH (Me ₃ Si)(Ph)CH NHMes*	Ni(CO) ₃ Ni(CO) ₃ Ni(CO) ₃	367.2; 389.1 378.1; 388.2 214.2; 377.1	418.2 435.2 407.5		50c 50c 50c

Table 7. ³¹P NMR Data of $\mu_{2^{-}}(\eta^{1}:\eta^{2})$ -Diphosphene Complexes

	$L_{n}M \xrightarrow{P} \underset{ML_{n}}{=} R^{2}$								
compd	R1	\mathbb{R}^2	ML _n	δ ³¹ P	$^{1}J_{\rm PP},{\rm Hz}$	solvent	ref(s)		
221 222	Ph Me N ^C CC M N-P Me	Ph Me N ^C C N-P Me	Fe(CO) ₄ Fe(CO) ₄	52.1; -34.5 8.22; -52.38	415 384	$\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{CD}_2\mathrm{Cl}_2\\ \mathrm{CD}_2\mathrm{Cl}_2\end{array}$	151 152		
224a 226a 226b	Mes*O iPr2N Cy2N	Mes*O iPr ₂ N Cy ₂ N	Fe(CO) ₄ Fe(CO) ₄ Fe(CO) ₄	233.8; 193.4 97.3; 61.7 99.8; 63.2	532 477 488	$egin{array}{c} {}_6D_6 \\ {Et_2O} \\ {Et_2O} \end{array}$	124b, 144b 145 145		

Table 8. ³¹P NMR Data of μ_3 - $(\eta^1:\eta^1:\eta^2)$ -Diphosphene Complexes



			ML	n			
compd	R1	\mathbb{R}^2	$M^{1}L^{1}n$	$M^2L^2_m$	δ ³¹ P	solvent	ref(s)
214 227a 227b 227c 227d 227e 227f 231a	Ph Ph 4-MeO-C ₆ H ₄ Me Et nBu Ph	Ph Ph 4-MeO-C ₆ H₄ Me Et nBu Ph	$\begin{array}{c} Cr(CO)_5\\ W(CO)_5\\ Cr(CO)_5\\ Cr(CO)_5\\ Mo(CO)_5\\ Cr(CO)_5\\ Cr(CO)_5\\ Cr(CO)_5\\ W(CO)_5\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Cr(CO)_5\\ W(CO)_5\\ Cr(CO)_5\\ Cr(CO)_5\\ Mo(CO)_5\\ Cr(CO)_5\\ Cr(CO)_5\\ Cr(CO)_5\\ Cr(CO)_5\\ Pd(diphos)\end{array}$	97 -16.6 108.5 78.1 27.2 103.7 99.9 17.0	$\begin{array}{c} CH_2Cl_2\\ C_4H_4\end{array}$	142a,153 153 153 153 153 153 153 153 153 129b

ligand from 207 to 232 should also be referred to in this section (Scheme 134). A similar transfer of the diphosphene ligand was observed between $(Ph_3P)_2Pd(\eta^2-CF_3P=PCF_3)$ (193a) and its Pt homolog 193b via $(Ph_3P)_2Pt(\eta^2-C_2H_4).^{128b}$

Thermally induced decomplexations of metal fragments from diphosphene ligands have also been illustrated before in the Schemes 98 and 122.

3. Thermolysis of the Coordinated Diphosphene

Thermolysis of the $\eta^1:\eta^2$ -diphosphene complex 221 took a different course. Heating a benzene solution of 221 for 1 h at 80 °C led to the disintegration of the diphosphene unit (Scheme 142). An X-ray structure analysis of 239 revealed the main product of the thermolysis as a tetranuclear complex with a μ_4 -bridging η^3 -PhPPPPh ligand. Another fragment of the former PhP=PPh ligand constitutes a μ_2 -PPh₂ bridge.¹⁶¹

At elevated temperatures compounds 221 as well as 239 experienced another rearrangement with the result of the tetranuclear cluster 241 (Figure 10). This complex features an μ_4 - $(\eta^2:\eta^1)$ -diphosphenyl ligand which unsymmetrically caps a square of iron atoms; μ_4 -PPh and μ_2 -PPh₂ bridges are also present in the molecule¹⁶¹ (Scheme 143). The ³¹P NMR resonances of the phosphorus nuclei of the diphosphenyl fragment were assigned to signals at $\delta = 117.3$ (ddd, ${}^{1}J_{PP} = 357$ Hz) and $\delta = 169.5$ ppm (ddd, ${}^{1}J_{PP} = 357$ Hz).



4. 1,2-Additions to the P=P Double Bond in Diphosphene Complexes

The permanganate-colored compound 215 reacted stereoselectively with alcohols, amines, and acids to the expected yellow diphosphane complexes 242a-d. They were found exclusively in the threo form due to a trans addition of HX to the multiple bond. The trinuclear complex 214 was also employed in these reactions as it cleanly liberates 215, when heated to 70 °C^{142b} (Scheme 144). The P-P bond in 242d was not affected by additional HCl. This is remarkable with respect to the smooth stepwise cleavage of the P=P bond in (Me₃Si)₃CP=PC(SiMe₃)₃ (9).^{23b,92c}

In contrast to this the bromination of 215 at 65 °C yielded a 1:1 mixture of the meso and racemic dibromo

Scheme 143



Figure 10. Structure of 241. Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (deg): Fe(1)-P(3) 2.205 (2), Fe(4)-P(3) 2.247 (2), Fe(2)-P(4) 2.297 (2), Fe(3)-P(4) 2.342 (2), P(3)-P(4) 2.126 (2); Fe(1)-P(3)-Fe(4) 76.64 (6), Fe(2)-P(4)-Fe(3) 71.95 (6), C(29)-P(3)-P(4) 113.1 (1). Reprinted from ref 161. Copyright 1988 American Chemical Society.

products 243^{142b} (Scheme 145). The reverse reaction was effected by treatment of 243 with zinc. The η^1 : $\eta^1:\eta^2$ -complexes 214 and 227 also exhibited the reactivity of P-P double bonds, even without the prior release of the η^2 -ligated Cr(CO)₅ moiety. The addition of acetic acid to the phenyl derivative or that of methanol to the anisyl compound proceeded stereoselectively in a trans fashion, whereas with the ethyl derivative and methanol two stereoisomers were produced¹⁵³ (Scheme 146).

A mixture of the meso and racemic forms of complex 246 was synthesized by the LiAlH₄ reduction of diphosphene complex $212a^{140}$ (Scheme 147).

A hydrometalation process was responsible for the conversion of the μ - $(\eta^1:\eta^2)$ -diphosphene diiron compound 221 into trinuclear complexes 247a,b with a bridging diphosphane-1,1-diido ligand. The constitution of the products invoked an α -addition of the metal hydride to P_B with a subsequent migration of a Fe(CO)₄ moiety¹⁵¹ (Scheme 148).









Scheme 146



5. Cycloadditions to Diphosphene Complexes

A number of cycloadditions to the unsupported as well as supported P=P double bond in complexes of the type 215 or 214 were reported by Huttner et al. The oxidation of 215 or 227g (R = p-MeOC₆H₄) with 1 equiv of sulfur yielded the corresponding thiadiphosphirane complexes 248a,b^{126a,142b} (Scheme 149). The related diphosphirane complex 249 was formed when the violet solution of 215 in toluene was allowed to react with diazomethane^{142b} (Scheme 150). In a formal sense the azadiphosphirane complex 250 was the product of a [2 + 1] cycloaddition of phenylnitrene to the P=P bond of 214. The intermediacy of a [3 + 2] cycloadduct with a subsequent N₂ elimination, however, could not be excluded.^{126a}

[2 + 4] cycloaddition reactions of the diphosphene complexes 215^{142b} or alternatively $227f^{153}$ with cyclopentadiene or 2,3-dimethylbutadiene furnished complexes of cyclic diphosphanes (Scheme 151). According



to ³¹P NMR analysis these [2 + 4] cycloadditions proceeded stereoselectively and only one diastereoisomer was observed.

6. Insertion Reactions into the P—P Double Bond of Diphosphene Complexes

During the attempted purification by chromatography on a silica column the $(\eta^1:\eta^2)$ -diphosphene iron complexes **226a-c** experienced hydrolysis of the PP bond, and the dinuclear diphosphine oxide complexes **253a-e** were isolated¹⁴⁵ (Scheme 152).

Vahrenkamp described insertion and cleavage reactions of the P-P bond in the diferradiphosphatetrahedrane 234^{157b} (Scheme 153). CO insertion into the P=P multiple bond of 234 occurred when a benzene solution of the tetrahedrane was exposed to a 50-bar CO pressure at 80 °C. The most remarkable structural feature of the resulting diphospho urea 254 is the acute angle PC(O)P (84.6°) at the carbonyl bridge (Figure 11). Complex 255 was the result of the insertion of ethylene into the P-P bond of 234.

The hydrogenation of 234 with elemental H₂ (50 bar, 80 °C) led to an isomeric mixture of the butterfly molecule 233 containing μ_2 -(PHtBu) ligands. The same result was obtained by superhydride reduction of 234 and subsequent methanolysis of the reaction mixture^{157b} (Scheme 154).

Scheme 149









The P–P bond of 234 was also cleaved when the cluster was allowed to react with anhydrous hydrogen chloride in benzene (Scheme 155). The tetrahedrane was not affected by S_8 or diazomethane in boiling benzene, whereas decomposition took place with Cl_2 , $SOCl_2$, and PCl_5 .



(1)

 $Cr(CO)_5$





(CO)5Cr.

+ 🔓 S8





VII. Metallodiphosphenes (Diphosphenyl Complexes)

A common feature of the complexes of the types A-G in Scheme 85 is that the structural integrity of the diphosphene entity is mainly preserved. There are other diphosphenes conceivable where one or both of the organic substituents are replaced by metal complex fragments (H or I):



Because of the electronic and structural influences the metal fragment imposes upon the P=P moiety compounds H and I should display a different chemistry as the type of complexes already described, and therefore they merit discussion in a separate section.

tBu

Scheme 154







Figure 11. Molecular structure of 254. Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (deg): Fe(1)-Fe(2) 2.623 (2), Fe(1)-P(1) 2.228 (4), Fe(1)-P(2) 2.228 (4), Fe(2)-P(1) 2.228 (4), Fe(2)-P(2) 2.236 (4), P(1)-P(2) 2.525 (4), P(1)-C(1) 1.875 (9), P(2)-C(1) 1.878 (9), C(1)-O(1) 1.172 (9); Fe-P-Fe 72.1/72.0 (1), P-Fe-P 69.0/68.9 (1), P(1)-C(1)-P(2) 84.6 (6). Reprinted from ref 157. Copyright 1986 Verlag der Zeitschrift fuer Naturforschung.

Scheme 155



A. Synthesis, Structures, and Spectra

The first representative of a transition metal substituted diphosphene, the complex (E)- $(\eta^5$ -C₅Me₅)(CO)₂-FeP=PMes* (257) (Figure 12) was synthesized by the reaction of a disilylphosphidoiron complex with Mes*PCl₂ in THF (Scheme 156). The orange crystalline solid was obtained in yields up to 70%.¹⁶² In the ³¹P NMR spectrum of 257 two doublets ($\delta = 553.5$ and 715.2, Table 9) were observed in the characteristic region of unsymmetric diphosphene with a large ${}^{1}J_{PP}$ coupling constant of 594.2 Hz. The X-ray structure analysis ascertained the presence of an unsupported P=P bond [2.027 (3) Å] which compares well with the corresponding bond length in Mes*P==PMes*(1). The same is true for the angle $P-P-C_{Aryl}$ [102.4 (1)°] at the organically substituted phosphorus atom, which is considerably smaller as the valence angle at the metalated phosphorus [109.8 (1)°].



Figure 12. Molecular structure of 257. Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (deg): Fe(1)-P(1) 2.260 (1), P(1)-P(2) 2.027 (3), P(2)-C(13) 1.873 (3); Fe(1)-P(1)-P(2) 109.8 (1), P(1)-P(2)-C(13) 102.4 (1). Reprinted from ref 162b. Copyright 1987 American Chemical Society.

Scheme 156



In order to explore the scope and the limits of the synthetic principle performed here, the homologous ruthenium and osmium disilylphosphido complexes were allowed to react with Mes*PCl₂ under comparable conditions. Whereas the preparation of the orangered crystalline (C5Me5)(CO)2RuP=PMes* 258 proceeded without difficulties, the isolation of the analogous osmium complex 259 failed. Its formation was inferred from two doublets in the ³¹P NMR spectrum at $\delta = 543.2$ and 632.0 with a P-P coupling of 583.9 Hz and from the conversion of 259 into the $Ni(CO)_3$ adduct 260 upon treatment with an excess of $Ni(CO)_4^{162b}$ (Scheme 157). The decreased stability of the diphosphenyl complex 259 with the 5d metal osmium, as opposed to the 3d and 4d congeners, was also met in the chemistry of manganese¹⁶³ and rhenium¹⁶⁴ (Scheme 158). Without any problems the manganese complex 261 was synthesized by the reaction of the respective disilylphosphido complex with supermesityl dichlorophosphane in THF. When a similar synthetic procedure was applied to the analogous rhenium disilylphosphido complex the isolation of any stable diphosphenyl

Table 9. ³¹P NMR Data of Metallodiphosphenes [M]P = PR and their η^1 -Complexes

[M ¹]								
			L-M ²	R				
compd	[M ¹]	R	M ² L _n	δ ³¹ P _M	δ ³¹ P _R	$^{1}J_{\rm PP},{\rm Hz}$	solvent	ref(s)
257	Cp*(CO) ₂ Fe	Mes*		715.2	553.5	594.2	CeDe	162a.b
258	Cp*(CO) ₂ Ru	Mes*		676.5	551.6	597.1	$C_6 D_6$	162a.b
259	$Cp^{*}(CO)_{2}Os$	Mes*		632.0	543.2	583.9	THĚ	162b
261	$Cp^{+}(CO)(NO)Mn$	Mes*		728.5	535.7	597.4	$C_6 D_6$	163
262	Cp*(CO)(NO)Re	Mes*		637.2	532.1	583.1	THF	164
268a	Cp(CO)(PPh ₃)Fe	$2.6-(CF_3)_2C_6H_3$		861.8	487.0	615	THF	122a
26 8b	Cp(CO)(PPh ₃)Fe	$2,4,6-(CF_3)_3C_6H_2$		877.9	475.2	599	THF	122a
269a	Cp*(CO) ₂ Fe	$2,6-(CF_3)_2C_6H_3$		797.1	488.9	594	THF	12 2a
269b	$Cp^{+}(CO)_{2}Fe$	$2,4,6-(CF_3)_3C_6H_2$		812.3	475 .5	592	THF	122a
272a	(C ₅ Me ₂ Et)(CO) ₂ Fe	Mes*		719.7	553 .4	596	THF	166a
272b	$(C_5Me_4nBu)(CO)_2Fe$	Mes*		719.6	553.7	597	THF	166a
273	$(1,3-tBu_2C_5H_3)(CO)_2Fe$	Mes*		678.1	559.1	598	THF	166 a
274	Cp(CO) ₂ Fe	Mes*		671	563	600	THF	91b.d
275	Cp(CO)(PPh ₃)Fe	Mes*		765.4	562.0	621.2	$C_6 D_6$	162b
276	$Cp^{+}(CO)_{3}Cr$	Mes*		653.0	559.6	610.1	CDCl ₃	98a. 167
277a	Cp*(PPh ₃)Ni	Mes*		754	543	623	toluene/C ₆ H ₆	98a
277b	$Cp + [P(nBu)_3]Ni$	Mes*		784	538	632	toluene/C ₆ H ₆	98 a
278	Cp*(CO),Fe	Cp*		690	559	625	THF	91b.d
285	Cp ⁺ (CO) ₂ Fe	C(SiMe ₃) ₃		788.2	602.3	654.8	$C_6 D_6$	169
270a	Cp(CO)(PPh ₃)Fe	$2.6 - (CF_3)_2 C_6 H_3$	$Cr(CO)_5$	665.7	473.6	605	THĚ	122a
270b	Cp(CO)(PPh ₃)Fe	2,4,6-(CF ₃) ₃ C ₆ H ₂	Cr(CO) ₅	676.0	461.7	624	CD_2Cl_2	122a
271a	Cp*(CO) ₉ Fe	$2.6 - (CF_3)_2 C_6 H_3$	$Cr(CO)_5$	650,9	491.5	585	THF	122 a
271b	Cp*(CO) ₂ Fe	2,4,6-(CF ₃) ₃ C ₆ H ₂	$Cr(CO)_5$	661.5	477.3	587	THF	122a
263	$Cp^{+}(CO)(NO)Re$	Mes*	Cr(CO) ₅	562.7	509.6	560.9	$C_{6}D_{6}$	164
289	Cp*(CO) ₂ Fe	Mes*	Cr(CO) ₅	599.6	572.0	593.4	$C_{6}D_{6}$	164
290	Cp*(CO) ₂ Fe	Mes*	Fe(CO) ₄	546.3	514.6	581.9	CeDe	171
291	Cp*(CO) ₂ Fe	Mes*	Ni(CO) ₃	591.7	509.9	576.6	Č _e D _e	172
292	(C,Me,Et)(CO) ₂ Fe	Mes*	$Cr(CO)_5$	597	573	595	THĚ	166b
29 3	(C ₅ Me ₄ nBu)(CO) ₂ Fe	Mes*	Cr(CO) ₅	597.5	572.8	594	THF	166b
294	(1.3-tBu ₂ C ₅ H ₃)(CO) ₂ Fe	Mes*	Cr(CO) ₅	574.8	565.7	589	THF	166b
295	Cp*(CO) ₉ Ru	Mes*	Fe(CO) ₄	503.9	495.9	571.6	CeDe	171
296	Cp*(CO) ₂ Ru	Mes*	Ni(CO)	556.7	503.7	564.1	CeDe	172
297	Cp*(CO) ₂ Os	Mes*	Fe(CO)₄	437.5	491.8	553.3	C _e D _e	171b
298	Cp*(CO) ₂ Os	Mes*	Ni(CO) ₃	500.1	495.6	545.8	CeDe	162 b
299	Cp*(CO)(NO)Mn	Mes*	Cr(CO) ₅	603.6	562.1	593.1	$C_6 D_6$	164

Scheme 157



complex was hampered. The presence of two doublets at $\delta = 637.2$ and 532.1 ppm with the characteristic $J_{\rm PP}$ constant of 538.1 Hz in the ³¹P NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture indicated the transient appearance of diphosphenyl complex 262. Trapping the thermolabile 262 was accomplished by its conversion in the Cr(CO)₅ adduct 263, which was isolated by column chromatography as a stable crystalline solid.¹⁶⁴

Attempts to extend the same synthetic approach to diphosphenyl complexes to the early transition metals zirconium and hafnium clearly showed the limitation of this concept.⁴² The reaction of the $Cp_2M(CH_3)$ -[P(SiMe₃)₂] **264** (M = Zr, Hf) with Mes*PCl₂ resulted in the production of $Cp_2M(CH_3)Cl$ and thermolabile Mes*P=PSiMe₃ (**29a**) instead of the expected metallodiphosphenes. Obviously complexes **264a,b** functioned as disilylphosphido transfer reagents.⁴²

The synthesis of metallodiphosphenes is also governed by sterical restrictions as known from other diphosphenes. This became especially evident when the complexes $Cp^*(CO)_2MP(SiMe_3)_2$ (M = Fe, Ru) were allowed to react with mesityldichlorophosphane. No diphosphenyl complexes were detected in the reaction solution. Instead a mixture of metal-functionalized cyclotriphosphanes and cyclotetraphosphanes (266 and 267) were obtained¹⁶⁵ (Scheme 159).

The size of the nonafluoromesityl- and the hexafluoroo-xylyl groups should be somewhere among those of the supermesityl and the mesityl substituents (Scheme 160). Therefore it was interesting to test the reactivity of (nonafluoromesityl)dichlorophosphane $(2,4,6-(CF_3)_3-C_6H_2PCl_2)$ and (hexafluoro-o-xylyl)dichlorophosphane $[2,6-(CF_3)_2C_6H_3PCl_2]$ toward several disilylphosphido complexes of iron.¹²² In addition to small amounts of the symmetric diphosphenes 14 and 41 the thermolabile diphosphenyl complexes 268, 269a,b were formed in these reactions. Their isolation failed. Trapping experiments with [(Z)-cyclooctene]Cr(CO)₅ furnished the corresponding Cr(CO)₅ adducts 270a,b and 271a,b.¹²²







Scheme 160



The influence of the η^5 -ring at the metal center on the course of the diphosphenyl complex formation was also studied. With the bulky ligands η^5 -C₅Me₄Et, η^5 -C₅Me₄n-Bu, and 1,3-tBu₂C₅H₃ the preparation of stable diphosphenyl complexes was also feasable.^{166a} With $(C_5H_5)(CO)_2FeP(SiMe_3)_2$, which contains the parent cyclopentadienyl ring the synthesis of a stable diphosphenyl complex failed. However, the replacement of a CO group by the more bulky and electronrich triphenylphosphane ligand constitutes a situation, where the preparation of the isolable diphosphenyl complex 275 proceeds without difficulty^{162b} (Scheme 161).

A complementary access to diphosphenyl complexes involves the oxidative addition of the pentamethylcyclopentadienyl-derived diphosphene 16 to transition metal complexes containing labile ligands. Stirring a suspension of $(CH_3CN)_3Cr(CO)_3$ and 16 for 3 days in toluene gave the corresponding chromium diphosphenyl complex 276^{98a,167} (Scheme 162). An analogous molybdenum complex was detected by ³¹P NMR spectroscopy as a minor product in the reaction of $(CH_3-CN)_3Mo(CO)_3$ and 16, but could not be isolated.

Unstable nickel diphosphenyl complexes 277a, b were formed when 16 was allowed to react with (ethylene)bisphosphane nickel species.^{91b,98a} This was inferred from the spectroscopic data showing doublets at $\delta =$ 543 and $\delta = 754$ (${}^{1}J_{\rm PP} = 623$ Hz) for the ${}^{31}{\rm P}$ nuclei of the P=P group in 277a. In the transformation leading to 277a a η^{1} -complex such as $[\eta^{1}-{\rm Cp}^{*}{\rm P}={\rm PMes}^{*}]({\rm Ph}_{3}{\rm P})$ -Ni was invoked as intermediate, whereas the generation of 277b was believed to proceed via the π -complex $[\eta^{2}-{\rm Cp}^{*}{\rm P}={\rm PMes}^{*}]({\rm nBu}_{3}{\rm P})_{2}{\rm Ni}$.

As shown in section II.C, the pentamethylcyclopentadienyl group in diphosphenes 11 and 16 is prone to nucleophilic substitution. In keeping with this, Cp*P=PMes* (16) underwent reaction with NaFe-(CO)₂Cp* to produce diphosphenyl complex 257 (Scheme 163). The analogous reaction with the less bulky Na[Fe(CO)₂Cp] afforded the thermolabile complex 274, which was characterized by doublets at $\delta =$ 671 and 563 ppm (¹J_{PP} = 600 Hz) in the ³¹P NMR spectrum.^{91b,d}

Attempts to synthesize pentamethylcyclopentadienyl-functionalized diphosphenyl complexes such as 278 led to the organometallic tetraphospha[1.1.0]bicyclobutane $280^{91b,d}$ (Scheme 164). The formation of 280 involved the head-to-tail cyclodimerization of transient 278 and subsequent loss of two Cp* fragments from cyclotetraphosphane 279, as evidenced by ³¹P NMR studies.

The loss of pentamethylcyclopentadienyl rings from phosphorus atoms was impressively demonstrated by the conversion of 11 into the P₆ ligand of the tripledecker complex 281^{168} (Scheme 165). The crucial step in the synthesis of the metallodiphosphene 285 is the 1,3-Me₃Si shift from phosphorus to carbon in the metalfunctionalized 1,2-diphospha-2-propene 282. This mi-



gration was induced by catalytical amounts of [(Z)cyclooctene]Cr(CO)₅. The coordination compound 286, where the metallodiphosphene is functioning as an η^3 ligand toward the Cr(CO)₄ moiety was observed as a byproduct. An alternative description of 286 as a 42 VE butterfly (arachno complex) makes use of the Wade-Mingos rules. The employment of equimolar amounts of [(Z)-cyclooctene]Cr(CO)₅ resulted in the predominant generation of 286. It is conceivable that the steric congestion in the intermediate η^{1} -complex 284 led to dissociation and that the formation of final product 286 was induced by the attack of a Cr(CO)₅ group at the P=P π -system¹⁶⁹ (Scheme 166).

The ³¹P NMR spectrum of 285 comprises two doublets at $\delta = 788.2$ and 602.3 ppm with a ¹J_{PP} couplings constant of 654.8 Hz. On the other hand complex 286 (Figure 13) is characterized by two doublets at considerably higher field ($\delta = 431.5, 134.9$ ppm; ¹J_{PP} = 524 Hz). The molecular structures of both compounds were elucidated by X-ray diffraction analysis. The P=P



Figure 13. Molecular structure of 286. Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (deg): Cr-Fe 2.945 (3), Cr-P(1) 2.395 (4), Cr-P(2) 2.635 (4), Fe-P(1) 2.313 (3), P(1)-P(2) 2.090 (4); Fe-P(1)-P(2) 101.5 (1), P(1)-P(2)-C(7) 110.1 (3). Reprinted from ref 169. Copyright 1991 Chemical Society, London.

bond distances of *E*-configurated 285 [2.017 (3) Å] is significantly widened when 285 is coordinated to the $Cr(CO)_4$ moiety in 286.

All attempts to synthesize diphosphenes with two organometallic groups as substituents at the P=P unit failed. Upon reduction with elemental magnesium or when reacted with Cp*(CO)₂FeP(SiMe₃)₂ the dichlorophosphido complex Cp*(CO)₂FePCl₂ was converted into a mixture of the permetalated cyclotriphosphane $[\eta^5-C_5Me_5)(CO)_2FeP]_3$ (288) and the bicyclotetraphosphane 280.

There was no spectroscopic evidence for the diphosphene $Cp^*(CO)_2Fe-P=P-Fe(CO)_2Cp^{*.170}$

VIII. Reactivity of Metaliodiphosphenes

A. Ligand Properties

In almost all coordination compounds with metal carbonyls the metallodiphosphenes function as η^{1} ligands via the lone pair at the metalated phosphorus atom. Thus the 16-electron fragments $Cr(CO)_{5}$, Fe-(CO)₄, and Ni(CO)₃ can easily be attached to the Scheme 167



compd	[M]	Aryl	$M(CO)_n$	L	ref(s)
289	Cp*(CO) ₂ Fe	Mes*	Cr(CO)5	(Z)-C ₆ H ₁₄	164
290	Cp*(CO) ₂ Fe	Mes*	Fe(CO)4	Fe(CO)5	171
291	Cp*(CO) ₂ Fe	Mes*	Ni(CO) ₃	CO	172
292	(Me ₄ EtC ₅)(CO) ₂ Fe	Mes*	Cr(CO)5	$(Z)-C_{6}H_{14}$	166b
293	(Me ₄ nBuC ₅)(CO) ₂ Fe	Mes*	Cr(CO)5	(Z)-C6H14	166b
294	$(1,3-tBu_2C_5H_3)(CO)_2Fe$	Mes*	Cr(CO) ₅	(Z)-C ₆ H ₁₄	186b
295	Cp*(CO) ₂ Ru	Mes*	Fe(CO) ₄	Fe(CO) _δ	171
296	Cp*(CO) ₂ Ru	Mes*	Ni(CO) ₃	CO	172
297	Cp*(CO) ₂ Os	Mes*	Fe(CO) ₄	Fe(CO)₅	171b
29 8	Cp*(CO) ₂ Os	Mes*	Ni(CO) ₃	CO	162b
270a	Cp(CO)(PPh ₃)Fe	2,6-(CF ₃) ₂ C ₆ H ₃	Cr(CO) ₅	(Z)-C ₆ H ₁₄	122a
271a	Cp*(CO) ₂ Fe	$2,6-(CF_3)_2C_6H_3$	Cr(CO) ₅	(Z)-C ₆ H ₁₄	122 a
270Ъ	Cp(CO)(PPh ₃)Fe	2,4,6-(CF ₃) ₃ C ₆ H ₂	Cr(CO)5	(Z)-C ₆ H ₁₄	122a
271Ъ	Cp*(CO) ₂ Fe	2,4,6-(CF ₃) ₃ C ₆ H ₂	Cr(CO) ₅	(Z)-C ₆ H ₁₄	122a
2 99	Cp*(CO)(NO)Mn	Mes*	Cr(CO)5	$(Z)-C_{6}H_{14}$	164
263	Cp*(CO)(NO)Re	Mes*	$Cr(CO)_{\delta}$	$(Z)-C_6H_{14}$	164



diphosphenyl complexes by reaction with [(Z)-cyclooctene]Cr(CO)₅, Fe₂(CO)₉, and Ni(CO)₄ respectively (Scheme 167). In the ³¹P NMR spectra the η^{1} coordination of the diphosphenyl complexes is generally reflected in a marked high-field shift of the doublets for the metalated phosphorus atom ($\Delta\delta$ ca. -100 to -200 ppm). The coordination shift of the arylated phosphorus is less pronounced (+26 to -56 ppm).

The reaction of the diphosphenyl complexes $(C_5-Me_5)(CO)_2MP=P-Mes^*$ (M = Fe, Ru, Os) with a large excess of Fe₂(CO)₉ took a different course. Here Fe₂-(CO)₆ complexes **299a-c** with bridging 2-oxo-1,3-diphosphapropanediyl ligands were obtained (Scheme 168). Obviously a carbonyl group from decomposing Fe₂(CO)₉ had been inserted into the P=P bond.¹⁷¹

The same result was observed when $(C_5Me_5)(CO)_2$ -FeP=PC₆H₂(CF₃)₃-2,4,6 **269b** was reacted with an excess of Fe₂(CO)₉.¹⁷³ It was demonstrated that η^1 complexes of the type [M]P[Fe(CO)₄]=PAryl **290**, **295**, and **297** were smoothly converted to the insertion products by excess of Fe₂(CO)₉ and thus have to be regarded as intermediates in this transformation.



Figure 14. Molecular structure of 301b. Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (deg): Pt-P(1) 2.374 (2), Pt-P(2) 2.400 (3), Pt-P(3) 2.276 (3), Pt-P(4) 2.310 (2), P(1)-P(2) 2.140 (4), Fe-P(1) 2.368 (3); P(1)-Pt-P(2) 53.3 (1), P(1)-Pt-P(3) 97.0 (1), P(3)-Pt-P(4) 104.5 (1), P(2)-Pt-P(4) 105.2 (1). From ref 166b, in press.

Likewise the tetrahedrane 300 yielded 299e upon treatment with $Fe_2(CO)_9$.

A different strategy for the synthesis of such complexes involved the treatment of bulky (dialkylamino)dichlorophosphanes with $Na_2Fe(CO)_4$ -1,5-dioxane in ether.^{145,174}

Only in six cases did diphosphenyl complexes show a different coordination mode than the well-known η^{1} ligation. As mentioned before the reaction of the 1,2diphosphapropene 282 with $[(Z)-C_8H_{14}]Cr(CO)_5$ furnished complex 286 in which the metallodiphosphene 285 is functioning as an η^3 -ferradiphosphaallyl ligand.¹⁶⁹

Equimolar amounts of the metallodiphosphenes 257a-c, 273, and $(\eta^2$ -C₂H₄)Pt(PPh₃)₂ underwent reaction to give the η^2 -complexes 301a-c and 302^{166b} (Scheme 169). The ³¹P NMR spectra of 301a-c and 302 comprise resonances at $\delta = 93.4-128.5$ and 63.7-72.3 ($J_{PP} = 428-440$ Hz) for the η^2 -diphosphene moiety. The X-ray structure analysis of 301b features the metallodiphosphene unsymmetrically coordinated to the platinum (Figure 14).

The sixth example, the tetrahedrane 300, was isolated from the reaction of the metalated cyclotetraphosphane 303 with an excess of $Fe_2(CO)_9^{173}$ (Scheme 170). In the



³¹P NMR spectrum compound **300** gives rise to doublets at $\delta = -88.8$ and 45.3 with a coupling constant of 491 Hz. It was proposed that the cyclotetraphosphane is attacked by Fe(CO)₄ fragments to afford the $\eta^1:\eta^2$ complex **304**. The removal of two carbonyl ligands from **304** completed the tetrahedrane formation.

B. Reactions of Metailodiphosphenes with Chaicogens

The reaction of equivalent amounts of 257 and sulfur in THF at ambient temperature led to the isolation of the red crystalline thioxo- λ^5 -diphosphenyl complex 305 (Scheme 171). Heating a benzene solution of 305 to 80 °C ended in the rearrangement of the metalated thiadiphosphirane 306.¹⁷⁵ The addition of second equivalent of sulfur to 305 gave a mixture of Mes*PS₂ 308 and the thiadiphosphirane sulfide 307. The X-ray structure analysis of 305 shows a trigonal planar phosphorus atom with double bonds to the neighboring phosphorus and sulfur¹⁷⁵ (Figure 15).

The ligand in this molecule can be interpreted as a congener of the still unknown metaphosphite ligand $[P(=O)_2]^-$, which formally is an analog of the well-known nitro ligand.

The diphosphenyl iron complex 257 was oxidized by gray selenium to the metalated selenadiphosphirane 310. The selenoxo- λ^5 -diphosphenyl complex 309 was detected as an intermediate by its two doublets at $\delta^{31}P$ = 383.8 and 335.0 with satellite couplings ${}^{1}J({}^{31}P^{77}Se)$ of 753.0 and 121.2 Hz, respectively.¹⁷⁵

A different situation was encountered when 257 was allowed to react with tellurium. Here 24 h of heating at 80 °C were needed to bring the reaction to completion. The bicyclotetraphosphane 311 was isolated as the only phosphorus containing product.¹⁷⁵

C. Reactions of Metallodiphosphenes with Alkylidene Transfer Reagents

In looking at the products, the formation of thia- and selenadiphosphiranes may be considered as [2 + 1]cycloadditions regardless of the real reaction mechanism. The same formalism may be applied to the conversions diphosphenyl complexes undergo when allowed to react with sulfur ylides and diazomethane.

The iron and ruthenium compounds, 257 and 258, smoothly reacted with an excess of the sulfur ylide $Me_2S(O)=CH_2$ to give the orange diphosphiranes 312a,b in 45 and 32% yield, respectively. The same compounds were also accessible by treatment of the





C14

Figure 15. Molecular structure of $(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)(CO)_2FeP-(S)=PMes^*$ 305. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): Fe-P(1) 2.227 (1), P(1)-P(2) 2.041 (1), P(1)-S 1.936 (2), P(2)-C(3) 1.885 (3); Fe-P(1)-S 121.1 (1), Fe-P(1)-P(2) 114.2 (1), P(2)-P(1)-S 124.4 (1), P(1)-P(2)-C(3) 104.8 (1). From ref 175. Copyright 1987 American Chemical Society.

C18

O C19

Ó⁰¹

diphosphenyl complexes with an excess of diazomethane albeit in smaller yields^{103,176} (Scheme 172). The synthesis of the first 1,2-diphosphaspiro[2.2]pentanes **313a,b** was based upon the reaction of **257** and **258** with equimolar amounts of diphenylsulfonio cyclopropanide. The X-ray structure determination of **313a** confirmed the presence of a 1,2-diphosphaspiro-[2.2]pentane system linked to the metal through an Fe-P bond¹⁰³ (Figure 16).

D. Reactions of Metallodiphosphenes with Isocyanides

The metallodiphosphenes 257 and 285 were cleanly reacted with equimolar amounts of trifluoromethyl isocyanide to give the iminodiphosphiranes 314 and 315^{177} (Scheme 173). The ³¹P NMR spectra of the [2 + 1] cycloadducts 314 and 315 correspond well with those of the iminodiphosphiranes obtained by Baudler et al.¹⁰⁵



E. Reactions of Metallodiphosphenes with Electron-Deficient Alkenes

Metalated diphosphenes can be regarded as electronrich heteroolefins, and the quest for [2 + 2] cycloadditions with electron-poor alkenes to give 1,2-diphosphetanes seems intriguing. In order to provide insight into this problem diphosphenyl complexes were subjected to the reaction with a number of acyclic α,β unsaturated aldehydes and ketones, which are well known as powerful Michael acceptors.

The diphosphenyl complexes 257 and 258 smoothly reacted with equimolar amounts of acrolein, methacrolein, and but-3-en-2-one to give the dark violet dihydro-1,2- λ^5 -oxaphospholenes 317a-e instead of the expected 1,2-diphosphetanes 316 (Scheme 174). Obviously the five-membered heterocycles are the products of a cheletropic [1 + 4] cycloaddition.¹⁷⁸ This result can be rationalized by the fact that the introduction of an electron-releasing and highly nucleophilic transition metal fragment raises the energy of the n⁺ orbital



Figure 16. Molecular structure of 313a. Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (deg): Fe-P(1) 2.310 (1), P(1)-P(2) 2.206 (2), P(1)-C(3) 1.799 (5), P(2)-C(3) 1.824 (5), C(3)-C(4) 1.510 (6), C(3)-C(5) 1.489 (7), C(4)-C(5) 1.485 (8), P(2)-C(6) 1.921 (3); Fe-P(1)-P(2) 109.3 (1), P(1)-P(2)-C(6) 107.1 (1), P(2)-P(1)-C(3) 53.0 (2), P(1)-P(2)-C(3) 52.0, P(1)-C(3)-P(2) 75.0 (2). From ref 103. Copyright 1988 American Chemical Society.



relative to the π -MO, making "carbene-like" reactions feasible. This is reflected in the oxidation potential of 257 of only $E_{ox} = +0.45$ V (in THF vs SCE),¹⁷⁹ whereas for (Me₃Si)₃CP=PC(SiMe₃)₃ the potential $E_{ox} = +1.14$ V (in CH₃CN vs SCE) was reported.⁷³

The molecular structure of 317a was established by a single crystal X-ray analysis. The analysis shows the presence of a dihydrooxaphospholene ligand with an exocyclic P=P bond. The ring ligand is attached to

Scheme 174



Figure 17. Molecular structure of 317a. Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (deg): Fe-P(1) 2.243 (2), P(1)-P(2) 2.064 (2), P(1)-O(3) 1.703 (5), P(1)-C(5) 1.852 (7), P(2)-C(6) 1.850 (5), O(3)-C(3) 1.388 (11), C(3)-C(4) 1.268 (12), C(4)-C(5) 1.455 (11); Fe-P(1)-P(2) 111.2 (1), Fe-P(1)-O(3) 105.9 (2), Fe-P(1)-C(5) 114.2 (2), O(3)-P(1)-C(5) 92.0 (3), P(1)-P(2)-C(6) 100.3 (2). From ref 178b. Copyright 1989 American Chemical Society.

the iron via a tetracoordinate phosphorus atom in an η^{1} -fashion (Figure 17).

A [2 + 2] cycloaddition also took place, when Cp*-(CO)₂FeP—PMes* 257 was subjected to the reaction with fumarodinitrile, which yielded the all-transoriented 1,2-diphosphetane 318. Similarly, 257 was converted by either dimethyl fumarate or dimethyl maleate into the all-trans-configurated 1,2-diphosphetane 319. In the latter case considerable amounts of the maleate were catalytically isomerized to the fumarate. These observations account for a two-step mechanism, which allows rotation around the C-C bond in the zwitterionic intermediate¹⁸⁰ (Scheme 175).

Heating a 1:1 mixture of 257 and maleimide or N-methylmaleimide in benzene at 75 °C afforded the transition metal functionalized 1,2-diphosphetanes 320 and 321 as a 1:3 mixture of two diastereoisomers.¹⁸¹ They are derived from an endo and/or exo [2 + 2]cycloaddition of the P=P bond of 257 to the C=C functionality of the imides (Scheme 176). The molecular structure of the major isomer 321b confirmed the presence of a 4,5-diphospha-2-azabicyclo[3.2.0]heptane-1,3-dione system linked to the metal through a Fe-P bond [2.319 (2) Å] at the endo face of the bicycle.

The reaction of 257 and with an excess of N-methylmaleimide took a different course.¹⁸² Here the







cleavage of the P=P bond was observed to give iron complex 322 (Figure 18) with a chiral phosphido ligand. One substituent at this ligand can be described as a bicyclo[3.1.0]1-aza-4-phosphahexane-2,6-dione.

It is worth mentioning that the 1:1 adduct 321 did not react with additional maleimide.

Scheme 176



Figure 18. Molecular structure of 322. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): Fe-P(1) 2.318 (2), P(1)-C(10) 1.875 (6), C(9)-C(10) 1.522 (8), P(2)-C(9) 1.854 (5), P(2)-C(13) 1.846 (7); Fe-P(1)-C(10) 109.4 (2), P(1)-C(10)-C(9) 131.1 (4), P(1)-C(10)-P(2) 121.2 (3), P(2)-C(9)-C(10) 67.0 (3), C(9)-P(2)-C(10) 48.0 (3), C(9)-P(2)-C(13) 105.5 (3), C(10)-P(2)-C(13) 104.5 (3). Reprinted from ref 182. Copyright 1992 Barth (Leipzig).

F. Reactions of Metallodiphosphenes with Azo Compounds

The step from electron-poor alkenes to azo compounds with electron-withdrawing substituents is obvious. In principal a [2 + 2] cycloaddition with diphosphenes should provide a synthetic route to the still unknown 1,2-diaza-3,4-diphosphetidines 323 (Scheme 177).

Indeed the addition of diazodicarboxylates to a THF solution of 257 gave rise to the formation of 1:1 adducts but from spectroscopic evidence (e.g. $\delta^{31}P = 184.1$ d; -0.1 d, J = 731 Hz) the structure of a four-membered ring had to be discarded. The X-ray structure analysis revealed 324a as oxadiazaphospholene, which was generated by a cheletropic [1 + 4] cycloaddition^{183,184} (Figure 19).

This mode of cycloaddition should be avoided when the N=N bond is incorporated in a rigid system with



 $[Fe] = Cp^{*}(CO)_{2}Fe; \underline{320}(R=H), \underline{321}(R=CH_{3})$





Figure 19. Molecular structure of 324a. Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (deg): Fe-P(1) 2.241 (2), P(1)-P(2) 2.074 (3), P(1)-N(2) 1.744 (6), P(1)-O(3) 1.709 (5), P(2)-C(24) 1.870 (7); Fe-P(1)-P(2) 111.6 (1), Fe-P(1)-N(2) 114.9 (2), Fe-P(1)-O(3) 112.3 (2), P(2)-P(1)-N(2) 118.1 (2), P(2)-P(1)-O(3) 111.9 (2), N(2)-P(1)-O(3) 85.3 (3), P(1)-P(2)-C(24) 101.1 (2). Reprinted from ref 183. Copyright 1991 American Chemical Society.

exocyclic CO functions as it is given in the 1,3,4triazoline-2,5-diones 325. In keeping with this the reaction of 325a,b with $Cp*(CO)_2FeP$ —PMes* 257 in benzene furnished the first 1,2-diaza-3,4-diphosphetidines 327a,b as yellow crystals¹⁸⁵ (Scheme 178).

When, however, the same reaction was performed in ether as a solvent a different situation was encountered. Here the twelve-membered macrocycles 328a,b were isolated as products. Their formation invokes the [6 + 6] head-to-tail cyclodimerization of the zwitterionic intermediate 326. In the unpolar benzene these zwitterions may be not sufficiently stabilized so that the addition was followed by a rapid intramolecular cyclization to give 327a,b.¹⁸⁵ Evidence for the zwitterionic intermediate was inferred from a ³¹P NMR spectrum of the fresh reaction mixture. At -40 °C doublets at $\delta = 143$ and 345 (¹J_{PP} = 635 Hz) were registered.¹⁸⁵

The X-ray structure analysis of **327a** features a tilted 1,2-diaza-3,4-diphosphetidine (dihedral angle = 164°) which is fused to a 1,2,4-triazolidine-3,5-dione system via the adjacent nitrogen atoms (Figure 20).

In the crystal, the macrocycle 328, containing four P, four N, two O and two C atoms, adopts a crown conformation in which two boatlike halves are connected via the nitrogen atoms N2 and N5 (Figure 21).



G. Reactions of Metailodiphosphenes with Hexafluoroacetone

An electron-poor double bond is also present in hexafluoroacetone. When the diphosphenyl iron complex 257 is exposed to an excess of the ketone in pentane solution, one observes the formation of the fivemembered metallocycle 330^{186} (Scheme 179). At -40 °C in pentane solution 330 is slowly transformed into the 1-oxa-2,3-diphosphetane 331. It is assumed that the generation of 330 proceeds via the transient [2 + 1] cycloadduct 329, involving heterolytic opening of the P-C bond and attack of the C(CF₃)₂⁻ unit at a carbonyl ligand. On the other hand, opening of the P-O bond in 329 and oxygen attack at the arylated phosphorus atom may afford 331.

The most interesting feature of the molecular structure of 330 is the geometry of the nearly planar fivemembered metalloheterocycle (Figure 22). The Fe-P bond is remarkably short and suggests multiple bond contributions.

H. Reactions of Metallodiphosphenes with Electron-Deficient Alkynes

Having in mind of the rich chemistry that diphosphenyl complexes display with electron-poor double-



Figure 20. Molecular structure of 327a. Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (deg): Fe-P(1) 2.296 (2), P(1)-P(2) 2.275 (2), P(1)-N(1) 1.825 (5), P(2)-N(2) 1.777 (5), P(2)-C(13) 1.881 (5), N(1)-N(2) 1.429 (6); Fe-P(1)-P(2) 108.4 (1), P(1)-P(2)-C(13) 97.9 (2), Fe(1)-P(1)-N(1) 111.3 (1), P(2)-P(1)-N(1) 75.4 (1), P(1)-N(1)-N(2) 101.9 (3), N(1)-N(2)-P(2) 103.9 (3), P(1)-P(2)-N(2) 76.5 (2), N(2)-P(2)-C(13) 98.7 (2). Reprinted from ref 185a. Copyright 1991 Chemical Society, London.



Figure 21. Molecular structure of 328b. Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (deg): Fe-P(1) 2.277 (3), Fe-(2)-P(2) 2.250 (4), P(1)-P(2) 2.235 (4), P(3)-P(4) 2.250 (4), N(1)-N(2) 1.417 (11), N(4)-N(5) 1.422 (11), P(1)-N(1) 1.772 (9), P(4)-N(4) 1.771 (8), P(2)-O(9) 1.737 (7), P(3)-O(4) 1.733 (7); N(1)-P(1)-P(2) 93.5 (3), P(1)-P(2)-O(9) 101.0 (3), N(4)-P(4)-P(3) 92.6 (3), P(4)-P(3)-O(4) 99.6 (3), Fe(1)-P(1)-P(2) 105.0 (1), Fe(2)-P(4)-P(3) 105.6 (1). From ref 185a. Copyright 1991 Chemical Society, London.

bond systems, it is obvious to investigate their chemical behavior toward electron-deficient alkynes.

The reaction of Cp*(CO)₂FeP=PMes* (257) with equimolar amounts of HC=CCO₂Me (332a), and MeC=CCO₂Me (332b) in benzene regiospecifically afforded the dark green crystalline metallocycles 333a,b. The low-field resonances and the large coupling constants in the ³¹P NMR spectra of 333a (δ = 439.0 d, 299.5 d, ¹J_{PP} = 614.0 Hz) and 330b (δ = 420.1 d, 279.9 d, ¹J_{PP} = 664.2 Hz) are characteristic for diphosphene ligands in the η^1 -coordination mode. Both compounds possess an *E*-configurated P=P bond like 257. On the other hand dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate 332c and Scheme 179



Figure 22. Molecular structure of 330. Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (deg): Fe-P(1) 2.084 (4), Fe-C(13) 1.937 (14), P(1)-P(2) 2.014 (5), P(1)-O(2) 1.647 (9), P(2)-C(16) 1.860 (13), O(2)-C(12) 1.427 (15), O(3)-C(13) 1.191 (16), C(12)-C(13) 1.640 (19); Fe-P(1)-P(2) 140.3 (2), Fe-P(1)-O(2) 109.5 (3), P(2)-P(1)-O(2) 109.9 (3), P(1)-P(2)-C(16) 99.0 (4). Reprinted from ref 186. Copyright 1992 American Chemical Society.

the acetylenic ketones 332d, e underwent reaction with 257 to yield the metalloheterocycles 334c-e with Z-configurated double bonds (Scheme 180). Presumably severe steric interactions between the supermesityl ring and R¹ were responsible for the isomerization.¹⁸⁷ Compounds 333 and 334 can be regarded as chelate complexes of the novel 1,2-diphosphabutadiene ligand system.

It was assumed that the formation of the metalloheterocycles proceeded via a dipolar [2 + 3] cycloaddition. The negative charge of the 1,3 dipole is centered at the metalated phosphorus atom of 257, whereas its positive charge is located at the carbon atom of a terminal carbonyl ligand. The X-ray structure analyses of 333b¹⁸⁷ (Figure 23) and 334d¹⁸⁸ underline the structural assignments based on spectroscopic data.

The special role of the transition metal in the [2 + 3] cycloaddition between diphosphenyl complexes and alkynes was tested in going from 257 to ruthenium



Figure 23. Molecular structure of 333b. Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (deg): Fe-P(1) 2.117 (2), P(1)-P(2) 2.031 (3), P(2)-C(8) 1.847 (6), P(1)-C(4) 1.819 (6), C(3)-C(4) 1.312 (10), C(2)-C(3) 1.528 (9), Fe-C(2) 1.951 (7), C(2)-O(2) 1.234 (9); Fe-P(1)-P(2) 131.4 (1), Fe-P(1)-C(4) 106.7 (2), P(2)-P(1)-C(4) 121.7 (2). Reprinted from ref 187. Copyright 1989 CDR-Centrale des Revues.

Scheme 181



homolog 258. The complex 258 and 332b underwent reaction to yield the olive green crystalline 335b with an E configuration at the P=P bond as the product of a [2 + 3] cycloaddition¹⁸⁹ (Scheme 181). The phos-



Figure 24. Molecular structure of 337c. Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (deg): Ru-P(1) 2.383 (1), Ru-P(2) 2.572 (1), P(1)-P(2) 2.121 (1), Ru-C(2) 2.093 (3), C(2)-C(3) 1.493 (5), C(3)-C(4) 1.340 (5), P(1)-C(4) 1.837 (3), P(2)-C(9) 1.864 (3); Ru-P(1)-P(2) 69.4 (1), Ru-P(2)-P(1) 98.9, P(1)-Ru-P(2) 50.5 (1), P(1)-P(2)-C(9) 103.7 (1), P(2)-P(1)-C(4) 96.5 (1). Reprinted from ref 189. Copyright 1989 VCH (Weinheim).

phorus atom at the olefinic double bond is η^1 -coordinated to the metal. This was reflected in the ³¹P NMR spectrum where two doublets ($\delta = 394.9$ and 270.9 ppm) with a large coupling (${}^1J_{\rm PP} = 657.3$ Hz) were registered.

An analogous behavior was observed in the reaction of 258 with 332a. After 90 min, dark green crystalline 335a was isolated from the benzene solution. Prolonged stirring resulted in the isomerization of 335a to the Z-configurated 336a and the η^2 -diphosphene complex 337a. The three isomers were formed in a 335a/336a/ 337a 74:16:10 ratio. Their separation was not possible.

A dark red color change was observed upon addition of dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate to a benzene solution of 258. The reaction appeared to be straightforward, affording ruby-red crystalline 337c, in which the ruthenium atom is involved in π -bonding to the P=P bond. This is reflected in the ³¹P NMR spectrum by resonances at $\delta = 104.5$ and 50.8 ppm (${}^{1}J_{AB} = 439.0$ Hz). From IR evidence in the region of the stretching vibrations of the terminal carbonyl ligands in 335-337 it is clear that the η^2 -P=P ligand is a much better acceptor than the η^1 -P=P alternative (335a, $\nu(CO)$ = 1962 cm⁻¹; 337c, ν (CO) = 1993 cm⁻¹). The formation of 337c from 335c or 336c invokes the first σ -/ π rearrangement of a diphosphene ligand.¹⁸⁹ The singlecrystal X-ray analysis of 337c features the situation of a transition metal complex with an unsymmetrically coordinated η^2 -diphosphene ligand¹⁸⁹ (Figure 24).

IX. Phosphaarsenes, Diarsenes, and Phosphastibenes

A. Synthesis, Structures, and Spectra

The same strategies, which proved to be valid for the synthesis of diphosphenes may be also be applied for their higher congeners. A reductive coupling with the formation of phosphaarsene **339** occurred when *tert*-butyllithium was added to a mixture of $(Me_3Si)_3$ -CPCl₂ and $(Me_3Si)_3CAsCl_2$.^{190a} In addition comparable amounts of diphosphene **9** and diarsene **338** were also generated (Scheme 182). Of course the reduction of pure $(Me_3Si)_3CAsCl_2$ with *tert*-butyllithium also gave **338**.^{190b} The same diarsene was isolated from the







 $\operatorname{Mes}^*\operatorname{AsCl}_2 \xrightarrow{\operatorname{F} \operatorname{Mg}} \operatorname{Mes}^* - \operatorname{As} = \operatorname{As} - \operatorname{Mes}^* \qquad (2)$

Scheme 184

 $\begin{array}{cccc} Mes^*AsH_2 & THF / O'C \\ + & & & -2 HCI \\ (Me_3Si)_2CH - AsCI_2 & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & &$

reaction of $(Me_3Si)_3CAsCl_2$ with $Na_2Cr_2(CO)_{10}$.¹⁴³ The preparation of the yellow crystalline diarsene 340 with two aryl substituents was accomplished by the treatment of Mes*AsCl₂ with magnesium at 0 °C¹⁹¹ (Scheme 183). Diarsene 340 was also produced in small amounts during the reaction of Cp*(CO)₂FeP(SiMe₃)₂ with Mes*AsCl₂ (see section XII).

The only other stable diarsene (341), reported in the literature, was synthesized in the base-catalyzed condensation of Mes*AsH₂ and (Me₃Si)₂CHAsCl₂^{14,192} (Scheme 184). The X-ray crystal structure determination of 341 exhibited an *E*-configurated molecule with an As=As bond length of 2.224 (2) Å (Figure 25). The bond angles at the arsenic atoms of 93.6 (3)° and 99.9

Scheme 185



Figure 25. Molecular structure of (E)- $(Me_3Si)_2$ -CHAs—AsMes* (341). Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (deg): As(1)-As(2) 2.224 (2), As(1)-C(01) 1.976 (10), As(2)-C(1) 1.946 (1); As(2)-As(1)-C(01) 99.9 (3), As(1)-As-(2)-C(1) 93.6 (3). Reprinted from ref 192. Copyright 1983 American Chemical Society.

(3)° are appreciably smaller than the bond angles at phosphorus in the diphosphenes RP=PR [R = (Me₃-Si)₃C, 108.5 (4)° av; R = Mes*, 102.8 (1)°], reflecting both the tendency to an increasing p character in going from P to As and possibly a decrease in steric encumbrance resulting from the longer bond As=As and As=C bonds.

The same approach was useful for the straightforward synthesis of stable phosphaarsenes, as illustrated in Scheme 185. From ³¹P NMR monitoring it was deduced that the condensation to give 345 proceeded stepwise

$$Mes^{*}PH_{2} + Cl_{2}AsCH(SIMe_{3})_{2} \xrightarrow{2 DBU}_{THF / 0'C} Mes^{*} - P = As - CH(SIMe_{3})_{2}^{14,193}$$

$$Mes^{*}AsH_{2} + Cl_{2}PCH(SIMe_{3})_{2} \xrightarrow{DBU}_{THF / 0'C} Mes^{*} - As = P - CH(SIMe_{3})_{2}^{14,192}$$

$$Mes^{*}AsH_{2} + Cp^{*}PCl_{2} \xrightarrow{DBU}_{-20'C \rightarrow RT} Mes^{*} - As = P - Cp^{*} \xrightarrow{91b}_{344}$$

$$Mes^{*}AsCl_{2} + Cp^{*}PH_{2} \xrightarrow{DBU}_{-20'C \rightarrow RT} Mes^{*} - As = P - Cp^{*} \xrightarrow{91b}_{344}$$

$$Mes^{*}AsCl_{2} + Cp^{*}PH_{2} \xrightarrow{DBU}_{-20'C \rightarrow RT} Mes^{*} - As = P - Cp^{*} \xrightarrow{91b}_{344}$$

$$Mes^{*}PH_{2} + \bigvee_{AsXY} \xrightarrow{DBU}_{THF / 0'C} Mes^{*} - P = As \xrightarrow{445}_{-455}$$

$$X=Cl, Y=Br$$

Mes^{*}PH₂ + CpFe(C₅H₄AsCl₂) $\xrightarrow{2 \text{ DBU}}$ Mes^{*}- P = As $\xrightarrow{346}$ Fe

Scheme 187

 $Cp^*PH_2 + Cp^*AsCl_2 \xrightarrow{2 \text{ DBU}} Cp^* - P = As - Cp^*$

Scheme 188



via the phosphino arsane Mes*PHAsXC₆H₂(iPr)₃-2,4,6.¹⁹⁴ The phosphaarsene **346** with a ferrocenyl substituent, which formed readily in THF, decomposed when the solution was concentrated¹⁹⁵ (Scheme 186).





H + DBU

A similar instability was reported from phosphaarsene Cp*As=PCp* (347)^{91b} (Scheme 187). Both phosphaarsenes were trapped as ligands in platinum complexes (see section XI).

A somewhat different synthetic pathway took advantage from the ready 1,2 elimination of LiCl and Me₃-SiCl (Scheme 188). Here a stepwise synthesis via a functionalized phosphinoarsane with subsequent HCl elimination was also feasible³³ (Scheme 189).

There are only two accounts in the literature which are concerned with the successful synthesis of phosphastibenes.

In the reaction mixture of $(Me_3Si)_2CHSbCl_2$, supermesitylphosphane, and DBU at 0 °C, phosphastibene Mes*P=SbCH(SiMe_3)₂ (350) was detected by its ³¹P NMR resonances at $\delta = 620.0$. At ambient temperature the compound completely decomposed within 2 hours.

Table 10. ³¹P NMR Data of Phosphaarsenes, η^1 -Phosphaarsene Complexes, Metallophosphaarsenes, and η^1 -Complexes of Metallophosphaarsenes

 $\mathbb{R}^{1} \mathbb{P} = \mathbb{A}^{1} \mathbb{R}^{2} \mathbb{R}^{2}$

compd	R ¹	\mathbb{R}^2	$M^{1}L^{1}_{n}$	$M^2L^2_m$	M ³ L ³ °	$\delta {}^{31}\mathbf{P}$	solvent	ref(s)
339	C(SiMe ₃) ₃	C(SiMe ₃) ₃				668	not given	190a
34 2	Mes*	$CH(SiMe_3)_2$				575	CH_2Cl_2	14, 19 3
343	$CH(SiMe_3)_2$	Mes*				533	CH_2Cl_2	14, 192
344	Cp*	Mes*				541	C_6D_6	91b
34 5	Mes*	2,4,6-iPr ₃ C ₆ H ₂				580	C_6D_6	194
34 6	Mes*	1-ferrocenyl				492.8	THF	195
347	Cp*	Cp*				568.3	THF	91 b
3 48a	Mes*	$N(SiMe_3)_2$				540.6		196
348b	Mes*	$N(SitBuMe_2)_2$				543.5		196
34 9	Mes*	Cp*				536.8	C_6D_6	98a
366	$CH(SiMe_3)_2$	Mes *		Fe(CO)₄		429		120b
3 67	CH(SiMe ₃) ₂	Mes*	Fe(CO)₄			390		120b
368	Mes*	Cp*		$Cr(CO)_5$		554.5	C_6D_6	98a
3 72	Mes*	Cp*			$Pt(PPh_3)_2$	66.3	C_6D_6	98 a
373	Mes*	1-ferrocenyl			$Pt(PPh_3)_2$	62.4		195
374	Cp*	Cp*			$Pt(PPh_3)_2$	105.4	THF	91b
375	Cp*	Mes*			$Pt(PPh_3)_2$	8 9. 6	C_6H_6	91b
4 02a	Mes*	Cp*(CO) ₂ Fe				603	THF	211, 212
402b	Mes*	Cp(CO)(PPh ₃)Fe				605.3	C_6D_6	211, 212
402c	Mes*	MeCp(CO)(PPh ₃)Fe				603.3	C_6D_6	211, 212
403 a	Mes*	Cp*(CO) ₂ Fe		$Cr(CO)_5$	1	628.8	C_6D_6	212
403b	Mes*	Cp(CO)(PPh ₃)Fe		$Cr(CO)_5$		608.7	C_6D_6	212
403c	Mes*	MeCp(CO)(PPh ₃)Fe		$Cr(CO)_5$		607.4	C_6D_6	212
4 06a	Cp*(CO) ₂ Fe	Mes*				765.7	THF	213
406b	Cp*(CO) ₂ Ru	Mes*				724.9	THF	213
406c	$Cp*(PPh_3)(CO)Fe$	Mes*				821.3	THF	213
410 a	Cp*(CO) ₂ Fe	Mes*	$Cr(CO)_5$			616.3	C_6D_6	213
410b	Cp*(CO) ₂ Ru	Mes*	$Cr(CO)_5$			579.0	C_6D_6	213
410c	Cp*(PPh ₃)(CO)Fe	Mes*	$Cr(CO)_5$			618.4	$C_6 D_6$	213
411 a	Mes*	Cp*(CO) ₃ Cr				608.2	C_6D_6	167
411b	Mes*	Cp*(CO) ₃ Mo				586.9	CDCI ₃	167
412 a	Mes*	Cp*(PPh ₃)Ni				587	C ₆ H ₆ /toluene	98a
41 2b	Mes*	Cp*(Pn-Bu ₃)Ni				5 88	C ₆ H ₆ /toluene	98a





Figure 26. Molecular structure of (E)- $(Me_3Si)_2CHAs=PMes^*$ (342). Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (deg): As-(1)-P(1) 2.124 (2), As(1)-C(01) 1.995 (5), P(1)-C(1) 1.847 (5);C(01)-As(1)-P(1) 101.2 (2), As(1)-P(1)-C(1) 96.4 (2). Reprinted from ref 193. Copyright 1983 Chemical Society, London.

$$(Me_{3}Si)_{3}C - P = As - C(SiMe_{3})_{3} \xrightarrow{+ \frac{1}{6}S_{8}} Si_{3}Si_{3}Si_{3}Si_{3}C - P - As - C(SiMe_{3})_{3}Si_{3$$

A more promising approach was based upon the coupling of an aminodichlorostibane with LiP(SiMe₃)-Mes*. The product 351 was obtained as a dark red oil¹⁹⁶ (Scheme 190).

In the ³¹P NMR spectra, the phosphaarsenes (Table 10) gave rise to resonances at even lower fields than those of the related diphosphenes [for example, (Me₃- $Si_3CAs = PC(SiMe_3)_3, \delta = 668, versus (Me_3Si_3CP = PC (SiMe_3)_3, \delta = 599].$

The As=P bond in Mes*P=AsCH(SiMe₃)₂ (342) amounts to 2.124 (2) Å.¹⁹³ Here the bond angle at phosphorus [96.4 (2)°] is much smaller than in 1 [102.8 (1)°] (Figure 26).

X. Reactivity of Phosphaarsenes and Diarsenes

A. Reactions with Sulfur and Selenium

Phosphaarsenes such as 339 are prone to reaction with sulfur, usually producing thiaphosphaarsiranes like 352^{190,194} (Scheme 191).

The treatment of 345 with crystalline sulfur in benzene at ambient temperature led to the corresponding heterocycle 353 as the main product (Scheme 192).

Scheme 192



Thiadiarsiranes 354a and 354b were accessible by reaction of the diarsenes 340¹⁹¹ and 338^{190b} with sulfur (Scheme 193).

Phosphaarsene 345 and grav selenium underwent reaction to yield selenaphosphaarsirane 355 as indicated by a singlet in the ³¹P NMR spectrum at $\delta = -48.1$ ppm $[{}^{31}J(P^{77}Se) = 147 Hz]$. The isolation of pure 355 has been thwarted by decomposition¹⁹⁴ (Scheme 194).

B. Reactions with Diazomethane

The interaction of phosphaarsenes and diarsenes with CH_2N_2 opened a synthetic route to three-membered heterocycles containing phosphorus, arsenic, and carbon atoms.

The phosphaarsene 349 experienced a clean conversion to the corresponding phosphaarsirane 356 when treated with diazomethane^{91b} (Scheme 195). The yellow crystalline product gave rise to a singlet at $\delta = -148$ ppm in the ³¹P NMR experiment.

The synthesis of diarsirane 357 was accomplished by exposing diarsene 340 to an ethereal solution of diazomethane¹⁹¹ (Scheme 195).

C. [2 + 2] Dimerizations and Subsequent Reactions

The phosphaarsene 347 dimerized in solution at temperatures above 0 °C to give a mixture of the two isomeric diphosphadiarsetanes 358 and 359^{91b} (Scheme 196).





Scheme 198



Photolysis of **347** furnished a mixture of the 1,3diarsa-2,4-diphosphabicyclo[1.1.0]butane isomers **360**¹¹⁵ (Scheme 197).

The analogous photoirradiation of a solution of 349 afforded a mixture of the thermolabile 2,4-diarsa-1,3diphosphabicyclo[1.1.0]butanes 361, as taken from ³¹P NMR data of the reaction solution (Scheme 198). The decreased stability of 361 as compared to the constitutional isomers 360 was rationalized by the capability of arsenic to tolerate more acute valence angles than phosphorus.^{91b}

D. Reaction of Phosphaarsenes with Ortho Quinones

The reaction of an ortho quinone with Mes*P—AsIs 345 did not furnish the expected [4 + 2] cycloadduct. Instead the formation of diphosphaarsirane 362 and arsorane 364 were encountered¹⁹⁴ (Scheme 199). In the first step the ortho quinone attacks the phosphaarsene at the arsenic atom, which is expected to be more accessible than the phosphorus atom. The intermediate arsonium phosphanide attacks another molecule of 345 with the generation of the diphosphaarsirane 362 and

Scheme 199



arsane 363. The latter is further oxidized by the ortho quinone to afford 364.

E. Substitutions

Like the analogous diphosphene Cp*P=PMes* (16) phosphaarsene 349 underwent a substitution reaction when treated with lithium supermesitylphosphide. Thereby two isomeric 2-arsa-1,3-diphosphaallyl anions (365a,b) were generated (Scheme 200). In the ³¹P NMR spectrum of the deep blue reaction mixture a singlet at $\delta = 252$ was assigned to the symmetric anion 365a, whereas 365b gave rise to doublets at $\delta = 194$ and $\delta =$ 284 ppm (¹J_{PP} = 116 Hz). Both isomers are present in a a/b = 2:1 ratio. Attempts to isolate compounds 365 resulted in decomposition.^{98a}

XI. Transition Metal Complexes of Phosphaarsenes, Diarsenes, and Distibenes

Generally the same modes of coordination as discussed with diphosphene ligands are conceivable in the complex chemistry of phosphaarsenes, diarsenes, and distibenes. To date, however, only transition metal complexes of the types A-E (section V) were described in the literature.

When treated with $Fe_2(CO)_9$ the arsaphosphene 343 was transformed into a mixture of the isomeric η^1 complexes 366 and 367, which differ in the site of ligation of the $Fe(CO)_4$ moiety^{120b} (Scheme 201).

The reaction of the arsaphosphene 349 with Cr- $(CO)_5$ THF gave rise to the pentacarbonylchromium adduct 368^{98a} (Scheme 202).

A carbonyliron complex with an η^{1} -bonded diarsene resulted from 341 and Fe₂(CO)₉,^{120b} whereas an analogous Cr(CO)₅ complex was isolated from the reaction of the diarsene with Cr(CO)₅THF^{120b,197} (Scheme 203).



Figure 27. Molecular structure of 370. Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (deg): As(1)-As(2) 2.246 (1), As(1)-C(1) 1.978 (5), As(2)-C(22) 1.981 (5), As(2)-Cr(1) 2.454 (1); As(2)-As(1)-C(1) 104.0 (1), As(1)-As(2)-C(22) 103.9 (2), As(1)-As(2)-Cr(1) 138.51 (3), Cr(1)-As(2)-C(22) 117.6 (2). Reprinted from ref 120b. Copyright 1984 American Chemical Society.

The X-ray analysis of 370 features an E-configurated diarsene, where the $Cr(CO)_5$ unit is linked to the arsenic center of less steric hinderance (Figure 27). The previous reactions involved free phosphaarsenes and diarsenes which obviously experienced sufficient kinetical stabilization from bulky substituents.

A different synthetic pathway to η^1 -diarsene complexes is based on the reductive coupling of organodichloroarsanes by carbonyl metalate anions. The molybdenum-pentacarbonyl complex 371 was isolated when (Me₃Si)₂CHAsCl₂ was allowed to interact with

Scheme 204



Scheme 205



Scheme 206





Figure 28. Molecular structure of 373. Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (deg): Pt-As 2.515 (1), Pt-P(1) 2.364 (2), Pt-P(2) 2.295 (3), Pt-P(3) 2.300 (2), As-P(1) 2.289 (3); As-Pt-P(1) 55.9 (1), As-Pt-P(2) 156.9 (1), As-Pt-P(3) 97.4 (1), P(1)-Pt-P(2) 101.1 (1), P(1)-Pt-P(3) 151.4 (1), P(2)-Pt-P(3) 105.2 (1), Pt-As-P(1) 58.7 (1), As-P(1)-Pt 65.4 (1). Reprinted from ref 195. Copyright 1988 Verlag der Zeitschrift fuer Naturforschung.

 $Na_2Mo_2(CO)_{10}$ (Scheme 204). It was assumed that for steric reasons only one $Mo(CO)_5$ fragment was accommodated at this ligand.¹⁴³

There are a few reports in the literature dealing with side-on-coordinated phosphaarsenes and diarsenes in platinum and iron complexes.

The stable phosphaarsene 349 displaced the ethylene ligand in $(C_2H_4)Pt(PPh_3)_2$ to give the expected η^2 phosphaarsene complex, which was isolated as a stable solid^{98a} (Scheme 205). The interception of the thermolabile ferrocenyl-substituted phosphaarsene 346 as η^2 -ligand led to the formation of platinum complex 373¹⁹⁵ (Scheme 206, Figure 28). The molecular structure of 373, as ascertained by X-ray analysis, displays a threemembered ring of the elements Pt, P, and As.

Scheme 208



Scheme 209



Scheme 210



The same synthetic approach was utilized for the preparation of the thermolabile complexes $Pt(\eta^2Cp^*-P=AsCp^*)(PPh_3)_2$ (374) and Pt- $(\eta^2-Cp^*P=As-Mes^*)(PPh_3)_2$ (375).^{91b}

The first η^2 -diarsene complex was synthesized by using tetrakis-(pentafluorophenyl)cyclotetraarsane as a source for the ligand. The four-membered ring reacted with Fe(CO)₅ in benzene to afford 376 as yellow plates¹⁹⁸ (Scheme 207). In the η^2 -coordinated diarsene a As-As bond distance of 2.388 Å was determined, which is somewhere between the corresponding bond lengths in Mes*As=AsCH(SiMe₃)₂ (2.22 Å) and (AsMe)₅ (2.428 (8) Å).¹⁹⁹

The related compound $(CO)_4Fe(\eta^2-PhAs_2Ph)$ (377) resulted from the dechlorination of PhAsCl₂ by means of Na₂Fe(CO)₄·1,5-dioxane²⁰⁰ (Scheme 208). The diarsene ligand in this complex occupies an equatorial position in a distorted trigonal bipyramide with an As-As bond distance of 2.365 (2) Å.

The same η^2 -As₂Ph₂ ligand is constructed at a Ni center from precursor **378** and a phenyldisilylarsane¹³⁹ (Scheme 209).

The catalytic dehydrogenation of PhAsH₂ on palladium in the presence of PPh₃ provided another entry into the chemistry of η^2 -diarsene complexes²⁰¹ (Scheme 210).

Collman's reagent reacted with $(Me_3Si)_2CHSbCl_2$ to give of a mixture of a η^2 -distibene complex 381 and the cyclic diferrastibirane $382^{202,203}$ (Scheme 211).

According to the X-ray structure analysis compound 381 may be viewed as a complex in which the distibene (Me₃Si)₂CHSb=SbCH(SiMe₃)₂ is operating as a η^2 -ligand. The Sb-Sb bond length [2.774 (1) Å] is consistent with a multiple bond, being markedly shorter



Scheme 212



 $No_{2}[W_{2}(CO)_{10}] + RSbCl_{2}$ + ... (1) Ŵ(CO) 385 <u>385</u> R Ref. tBu 207,208 a Me 208 ь с Ph 208 Na 2[Cr2(CO)10] + tBuSbCl 2 - CH2Cl2 Sb=Sb tBu Cr(CO)5 ł (2) 387

than a typical Sb-Sb bond [e.g. Ph₄Sb₂ 2.837 (1) Å].²⁰⁴

Complexes of the type E with two end-on- and one side-on-coordinated metal fragments at a As—As or Sb—Sb bond are elegantly accessible by the reaction of carbonylmetalates with organodichlorarsanes or -stibanes (Schemes 212 and 213, respectively). This reductive coupling was especially sensitive to the solvent. In CH₂Cl₂good yields of diarsene and distibene complexes were obtained,^{143,205-209} In THF solution such reactions usually furnished arsinidene or stibinidene complexes. This was explained by the donor ability of the THF molecule which stabilizes transient arsinidene and stibinidene species. In the absence of the donor solvent a dimerization of the latter species is invoked

Scheme 214





Scheme 216

 $(CO)_5 CrAsPhLi_2 + Cl_2 AsPhCr(CO)_5 \longrightarrow$



Scheme 217







Scheme 219



to explain the preferred formation of the double-bond species^{143,208} (Scheme 214).

Alternative procedures for the preparation of diarsene complexes such as 388 took advantage of the dehydrogenation of $(CO)_5Cr(PhAsH_2)$ in the presence of Fe₃- $(CO)_{12}$ (Scheme 215).¹⁴³



Figure 29. Molecular structure of 393. Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (deg): As(1)-As(2) 2.342 (4), W(1)-As(1) 2.769 (4), W(1)-As(2) 2.728 (4), W(2)-As(2) 2.622 (4), As(1)-C(31) 1.96 (2), As(2)-C(41) 1.96 (2); C(31)-As(1)-As(2) 99.3 (6), As(1)-As(2)-C(41) 102.3 (6), As(1)-W(1)-As(2) 50.4 (1), W(1)-As(1)-As(2) 63.9 (1), W(1)-As(2)-As(1) 65.7 (1). Reprinted from ref 143. Copyright 1986 Verlag der Zeitschrift fuer Naturforschung.

The reaction of dilithioarsenide complex 389 with dichloroarsane complex 390 also afforded the diarsene complex 384 (Scheme 216).²⁰⁵

An interesting entry into diarsene chemistry consisted in the catalytic dihydrogenation of a dinuclear diarsane complex in the presence of auxiliary ligands^{201,210} (Schemes 217 and 218).

The identity of coordination compounds with μ - $(\eta^1: \eta^1: \eta^2)$ -diarsene and -distibene ligands was manifested by several X-ray structure analyses.

XII. Reactivity of Diarsene and Distibene Complexes

As already mentioned before, heating a solution of $[Cr(CO)_5]_3(PhP=PPh)$ (214) yielded deep violet $[Cr-(CO)_5]_2(PhP=PPh)$ (215) with an unsupported P=P bond.^{142b} In contrast to this finding the removal of a $W(CO)_5$ group from $[W(CO)_5]_3(PhAs=AsPh)$ (383a) with PPh₃ led to the dinuclear $(\eta^1:\eta^2)$ -diarsene complex 393 (Scheme 219, Figure 29). Obviously this mode of coordination is more stable than the arrangement in the $(\eta^1:\eta^1)$ -diarsene complex 393'.¹⁴³

A completely different behavior was encountered when the analogous distibene moiety was treated with tertiary phosphanes. Here the cleavage of the Sb—Sb bond under generation of a base-stabilized stibinidene complex was observed. One half of the distibene was quantitatively converted into the stibinidene complex **394**, whereas the fate of the second half was unclear²⁰⁸ (Scheme 220). This reaction establishes a chemical proof for the proposal that stibinidene and distibene complexes are closely related to each other. The η^2 distibene iron complex **381** served as precursor for the polynuclear compounds **382** and **395** when treated with Fe₂(CO)₉²⁰² (Scheme 221).





Scheme 221

(Meg Si)2HC





Despite the instability of complex (CO)₅WAs-(Ph)=As(Ph)W(CO)₅ (393') with respect to its $(\eta^1:\eta^2)$ isomer 393 it was possible to generate reactive (CO)₅- $CrAs(Ph) = As(Ph)Cr(CO)_5$ (397) from the diarsane complex 396 by catalytic dehydrogenation. Trapping experiments proved the existence of this transient species^{201,210} (Scheme 222). An *E* stereochemistry was present in the Diels-Alder-adducts 398-400.

XIII. Phosphaarsenyl and Diarsenyl Complexes

A short extra section for metallophosphaarsenes and metallodiarsenes seems to be justified for the same reasons as given before for metallodiphosphenes.

The synthetic strategy developed for the preparation of diphosphenyl complexes is also valid for the generation of the two isomeric forms of phosphaarsenyl



Figure 30, Molecular structure of $(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)(CO)_2FeAs$ - $[Cr(CO)_5] = PMes^*$ (403a). Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): Fe-As 2.389 (1), As-P 2.155 (1), As-Cr 2.494 (1), P-C(8) 1.855 (5); Fe-As-P 102.2 (1), Fe-As-Cr 125.3 (1), Cr-As-P 131.4 (1), As-P-C(8) 112.1 (1). Reprinted from ref 212. Copyright 1988 VCH (Weinheim).

Scheme 224



complexes [M]As=PR and [M]P=AsR (Scheme 223). The disilylarsenido iron complexes 401a-c were converted by Mes*PCl₂ into the corresponding phosphaarsenyl complexes 402a-c with a Fe-As linkage. The derivatives containing a PPh₃ group as ancilliary ligands 402b,c are stable dark-red solids. The complex Cp*(CO)₂FeAs=PMes* (402a), however, suffered from rapid decomposition to Mes*P=PMes* and other unidentified species.²¹¹ Trapping experiments with [(Z)-cyclooctene]Cr(CO)₅ provided the stable dark-red $Cr(CO)_5$ adduct 403a which was subjected to an X-ray structure analysis.²¹²

The molecular structure of 403a (Figure 30) in the crystal turned out to be analogous to the corresponding diphosphene derivate $Cp*(CO)_2FeP[Cr(CO)_5]=PMes*$ (289). The compound features an *E*-configurated unsupported As=P double bond with the $Cr(CO)_5$ unit attached to the arsenic atom.

At this point it should be emphasized that the formation and stability of the metallophosphaarsenes [M]As=PR crucially depends upon the steric situation of the organic group R. This became evident, when compound 401a was treated with mesityldichlorophosphane. Here no monomeric phosphaarsenyl complex



Scheme 226



such as 404 was detected. Instead 1,3-diphospha-2,4diarsetane (405) was isolated²¹¹ (Scheme 224).

Disilylphosphido complexes of iron and ruthenium underwent reaction with Mes*AsCl₂ to give phosphaarsenyl complexes 406 with a metal-phosphorus linkage. In addition the diphosphaarsiranes 407, phosphadiarsiranes 408, 1,2-diphospha-3,4-diarsetanes 409, and the diarsene 340 were formed²¹³ (Scheme 225).

The phosphaarsenyl complexes 406a,b could not be isolated from the reaction mixture. But again the interception with [(Z)-cyclooctene]Cr(CO)₅ provided the stable Cr(CO)₅ derivatives 410a,b.²¹³

Phosphaarsenyl complexes of chromium and molybdenum 411a,b were synthesized by a different method, utilizing the lability of the Cp*-As σ -bond in phosphaarsene 349. This molecule experienced an oxidative addition to the metals with low coordinate numbers¹⁶⁷ (Scheme 226). The phosphaarsenyl complexes of nickel 412a,b, which were prepared analogously, resisted isolation due to their ready decomposition.^{98a}

A third approach to a phosphaarsenyl metal complex was based upon the nucleophilic substitution of the pentamethylcyclopentadienyl ring in 349 by NaFe- $(CO)_2Cp^{*91b}$ (Scheme 227).

Only the two diarsenyl complexes 413a,b have been reported in the literature up to now. They were synthesized according to the general procedure from disilylarsenido iron complexes 401a,b and Mes*AsCl₂ in THF. Upon workup the cyclotetraarsane 414a was the only tractable product (Scheme 228). The inter-





+ LCr(CO)5

ception of the diarsenyl complexes as their $Cr(CO)_5$ derivatives 415a,b was achieved by treatment of the freshly prepared reaction mixture with [(Z)-cyclooctene]- $Cr(CO)_5$. A control reaction between cyclotetraarsane 414a and [(Z)-cyclooctene] $Cr(CO)_5$ failed to produce the adduct 415a.^{214a,b}

The X-ray structure analysis of 415a shows an *E*-configurated diarsene with a free As—As bond of 2.259 (1) Å, where the $Cr(CO)_5$ unit is attached to the metalated arsenic atom, a situation well known from the analogous diphosphenyl- and phosphaarsenyl system.^{214b} The chromium arsenic bond distance of 2.492 (1) Å corresponds to a single bond.

XIV. Reactivity of Phosphaarsenyl Complexes

Essentially there exists only one account in the literature that is concerned with the chemical reactivity of phosphaarsenyl complexes.¹⁷⁰

The phosphaarsenyl complex 402b reacted with 1 equiv of sulfur to give the red microcrystalline metalfunctionalized phosphathiaarsirane 416 (Scheme 229). A conceivable intermediate with an As—S function could not be detected by ³¹P NMR spectroscopy. The thermolability of Cp*(CO)₂FeAs—PMes* (402a) made





Scheme 231



it necessary to perform the sulfurization with the in situ generated complex (Scheme 230). The same was true for the preparation of the phosphathiaarsirane 418 starting from instable 406a (Scheme 231). The subsequent treatment of 418 with a second equivalent of sulfur furnished the phosphathiaarsirane P-sulfide 419.

It is remarkable that in spite of the thiophilicity of iron the metal fragment kept its position at the pnicogen atom, and that the sulfur refused to insert into the Fe-P or Fe-As bonds.

References

- (1) Gusel'nikov, L. E.; Nametkin, N. S. Chem. Rev. 1979, 79, 529 and references cited therein.
- (2) Köhler, H.; Michaelis, A. Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 1877, 10, 807.
- Kuchen, W.; Buchwald, H. Chem. Ber. 1958, 91, 2296.
 (a) Daly, J. J.; Maier, L. Nature 1964, 203, 1167. (b) Daly, J. J. J. Chem. Soc. 1964, 6147.
- (a) Daly, J. J.; Maier, L. Nature 1965, 208, 383. (b) Daly, J. J. J. Chem. Soc. 1965, 4789. (c) Daly, J. J. J. Chem. Soc. (A) 1966, 428.
- (6) Ehrlich, P.; Bertheim, A. Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 1911, 44, 1265; 1912, 45, 761.
- (7) (a) Hedberg, K.; Hughes, E. W.; Waser, J. Acta Crystallogr. 1961, 14, 369. (b) Rheingold, A. C.; Sullivan, P. J. Organometallics 1983, 2, 327. (c) Kraft, M. Y.; Bordina, G. M.; Strel'tsova, I. N.; Struchkov, Y. T. Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR **1960**, 131, **1**037
- Yoshifuji, M.; Shima, I.; Inamoto, N.; Hirotsu, K.; Higuchi, T. J. (8) Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 4587. (a) Cowley, A. H. Acc. Chem. Res. 1984, 17, 386. (b) Cowley, A. H.
- (9) Polyhedron 1984, 3, 389.
- (10) Cowley, A. H.; Norman, N. C. Progr. Inorg. Chem. 1986, 34, 1.
- (11) Markovski, L. N.; Romanenko, V. D.; Ruban, A. V. Chemistry of Acyclic Compounds of Two-coordinated Phosphorus; Naukova
- Dumka: Kiev, 1988; p 199. Yoshifuji, M. In Multiple Bonds and Low Coordination in Phosphorus Chemistry; Regitz, M., Scherer, O. J., Eds.; Thieme: Stuttgart, 1990; p 321.
- (13) Some aspects of the coordination chemistry of diphosphenes are discussed in: (a) Caminade, A.-M.; Majoral, J.-P.; Mathieu, R. Chem. Rev. 1991, 91, 575. (b) Scherer, O. J. Angew. Chem. 1985, 97, 905; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1985, 24, 924.
 (14) Cowley, A. H.; Kilduff, J. E.; Lasch, J. G.; Mehrotra, S. K.; Norman, D. Chem. Proceedings of the context of t
- K. C.; Pakulski, M.; Whittlesey, B. R.; Atwood, J. L.; Hunter, W.
 E. Inorg. Chem. 1984, 23, 2582.

- (15) Cetinkaya, B.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Lappert, M.; Thorne, A. J.; Goldwhite, H. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1982, 691. Bertrand, G.; Couret, C.; Escudie, J.; Majid, S.; Majoral, J.-P.
- (16)Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 3567.
- (a) Haase, M.; Klingebiel, U. Angew. Chem. 1985, 97, 335; Angew. (17)Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1985, 24, 324. (b) Haase, M.; Klingebiel, U.; Boese, R.; Polk, M. Chem. Ber. 1986, 119, 1117. (c) Haase, M.; Klingebiel, U. Z. Naturforsch. 1986, 41b, 697.
- (18) Veith, M.; Huch, V.; Majoral, J.-P.; Bertrand, G.; Manuel, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 4219. (19) Goldwhite, H.; Kaminski, J.; Millhauser, G.; Ortiz, J.; Vargas, M.;
- Vertal, L.; Lappert, M. F.; Smith, S. J.; J. Organomet. Chem. 1986, 310, 21.
- (a) Yoshifuji, M.; Sasaki, S.; Sheomi, D.; Niitsu, T.; Inamoto, N. (20)Phosphorus, Sulfur, Silicon Relat. Elem. 1990, 49/50, 325. (b) Yoshifuji, M.; Sasaki, S.; Inamoto, N. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1989, 1732. (c) Yoshifuji, M.; Niitsu, T.; Shiomi, D.; Inamoto, N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 5433.
- (21) Smit, C. N.; van der Knaap, Th. A.; Bickelhaupt, F. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 2031. Cowley, A. H.; Kilduff, J. E.; Newman, T. H.; Pakulski, M. J. Am.
- (22)Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 5820.
- (a) Couret, C.; Escudié, J.; Satgé, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 4941. (b) Escudié, J.; Couret, H.; Ranaivonjatovo, H.; Satgé, J.; (23)Jaud, J. Phosphorus Sulfur 1983, 17, 221.
- (24) Schmidt, H.; Wirkner, C.; Issleib, K. Z. Chem. 1983, 23, 67.
- (25) Cowley, A. H.; Kilduff, J. E.; Pakulski, M.; Stewart, C. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 1655.
- (26) Jutzi, P.; Wippermann, T. J. Organomet. Chem. 1985, 287, C5.
 (27) Möhrke, A. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Bielefeld, 1989.
- (28) Jutzi, P.; Meyer, U.; Krebs, B.; Dartmann, M. Angew. Chem. 1986, 98, 894; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1986, 25, 919.
- Yoshifuji, M.; Shibayama, K.; Inamoto, N.; Matsushita, T.; Nishimoto, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 2495. (29)
- (30) Cowley, A. H.; Kilduff, J. E.; Mehrotra, S. K.; Norman, N. C.; Pakulski, M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1983, 528. (31) Scholz, M.; Roesky, H. W.; Stalke, D.; Keller, K.; Edelmann, F. T.
- J. Organomet. Chem. 1989, 366, 73.
- (32) Schumann, H. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Bielefeld, 1990.
- (33) Jutzi, P.; Meyer, U. J. Organomet. Chem. 1987, 326, C6.
- (34) Ranaivonjatovo, H.; Escudié, J.; Couret, C.; Satgé, J. Phosphorus Sulfur 1987, 31, 81.
- (35) Niecke, E.; Rüger, R. Angew. Chem. 1983, 95, 154; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1983, 22, 155.
- (36) Niecke, E.; Rüger, R.; Lysek, M.; Pohl, S.; Schoeller, W. W. Angew. Chem. 1983, 95, 495; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1983, 22, 486; Angew. Chem. Suppl. 1983, 639.
- (37) (a) Romanenko, V. D.; Klebanski, E. O.; Schulgin, V. F.; Markovski, L. N. Zh. Obshch. Khim. 1984, 54, 465. (b) Markovski, L. N.;
 Romanenko, V. D.; Kirsanov, A. V. Phosphorus Sulfur 1983, 18, 31. (c) Markovski, L. N.; Romanenko, V. D.; Klebanski, E. O.;
 Povolovski, A. N.; Chernega, A. N.; Antipin, M. Y.; Struchkov, Y. T. Zh. Obshch. Khim. 1986, 56, 1721.
- (38) Markovski, L. N.; Romanenko, V. D.; Klebanski, E. O.; Iksanova, S. V. Zh. Obshch. Khim. 1985, 55, 1867.
- (39) Niecke, E.; Kramer, B.; Nieger, M. Angew. Chem. 1989, 101, 217; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1989, 28, 215.
- (40) (a) Niecke, E.; Altmeyer, O.; Barion, D.; Detsch, R.; Gärtner, C.; Hein, J.; Nieger, M.; Reichert, F. Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem. 1990, 49/50, 321. (b) Niecke, E.; Altmeyer, O.; Nieger, M. Angew. Chem. 1991, 103, 1138; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1991, 30, 1136.
- (41) Cowley, A. H.; Knüppel, P. C.; Nunn, C. M. Organometallics 1989, 8, 2490
- (42) Weber, L.; Meine, G.; Boese, R.; Augart, N. Organometallics 1987, 6, 2484.
- (43) Hänssgen, D.; Aldenhoven, H.; Nieger, M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1989, 375, C9.
- (44) (a) Niecke, E.; Altmeyer, O.; Nieger, M.; Knoll, F. Angew. Chem. 1987, 99, 1299; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1987, 26, 1256. (b) Busch, T.; Schoeller, W. W.; Niecke, E.; Nieger, M.; Westermann, H. Inorg. Chem. 1989, 28, 4334. (45) (a) Escudié, J.; Couret, C.; Ranaivonjatovo, H.; Satgé, J. J. Chem.
- Soc., Chem. Commun. 1984, 1621. (b) Couret, C.; Escudié, J.; Ranaivonjatovo, H.; Satgé, J. Organometallics 1986, 5, 113. (c) Satgé, J.; Escudié, J.; Couret, C.; Ranaivonjatovo, H.; Andrianarison, M. Phosphorus Sulfur 1986, 27, 65. (d) Escudié, J.; Couret, C.; Ranaivonjatovo, H.; Lazraq, M.; Satgé, J. Phosphorus Sulfur 1987, 31. **27**
- (46) Appel, R. In Multiple Bonds and Low Coordination in Phosphorus Chemistry; Regitz, M., Scherer, O. J., Eds.; Thieme: Stuttgart, 1990; p 157.
- (47) (a) Romanenko, V. D.; Ruban, A. V.; Iksanova, S. V.; Polyachenko, L. K.; Markovski, L. N. Phosphorus Sulfur 1985, 22, 365. (b) Ruban, A. V.; Polyachenko, L. K.; Romanenko, V. D.; Markovski, L. N. Zh. Obshch. Khim. 1985, 55, 1190.
- (48) Sanchez, M.; Romanenko, V. D.; Mazieres, M.-R.; Gudima, A.;
- Markovski, L. N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 2775.
 (49) (a) Ruban, A. V.; Romanenko, V. D.; Reitel, G. V.; Markovski, L. N. Zh. Obshch. Khim. 1989, 59, 2781. (b) Markovski, L. N.;

Romanenko, V. D.; Ruban, A. V.; Drapailo, A. B.; Reitel, G. V.; Sarina, T. V. Phosphorus Sulfur, Silicon Relat. Elem. 1990, 49/50, 329.

- (50) (a) Niecke, E.; Kramer, B.; Nieger, M. Organometallics 1991, 10, 10. (b) Lysek, M. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Bielefeld, 1987. (c) Kramer, B. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Bonn, 1991.
- (51) Romanenko, V. D.; Klebanski, E. O.; Markovski, L. N. Zh. Obshch. Khim. 1986, 56, 2159.
- (52) (a) Markovski, L. N.; Romanenko, V. D.; Pivolotski, M. I.; Ruban, A. V. Zh. Obshch. Khim. 1986, 56, 2157. (b) Markovski, I. N.;
 Romanenko, V. D.; Ruban, A. V. Phosphorus Sulfur 1987, 30, 447.
 (53) Appel, R.; Niemann, B.; Schuhn, W.; Knoch, F. Angew. Chem.
- 1986, 98, 934; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1986, 25, 932.
 (54) (a) Majoral, J.-P.; Dufour, N.; Meyer, F.; Caminade, A.-M.; Choukroun, R.; Gervais, D. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1990, 507. (b) Dufour, N.; Caminade, A.-M.; Basso-Bert, M.; Igau, A.; Majoral, J.-P. Organometallics 1992, 11, 1131. (c) Fritz, G.; Layher, E.; Krautscheid, H.; Mayer, B.; Matern, E.; Hönle, W.; v. Schnering, H. G. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1992, 611, 56.
- (55) Lee, J.-G.; Cowley, A. H.; Boggs, J. E. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1983, 77, L61.
- (56) Bews, J. R.; Glidewell, C. J. Mol. Struct. 1983, 305.
- (57) Yoshifuji, M.; Shibayama, K.; Inamoto, N.; Matsuhita, T.; Nish-imoto, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 2495.
- Cetinkaya, B.; Lappert, M. C.; Stamper, J. G.; Suffolk, R. J. J. (58)Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom. 1983, 32, 133.
- (59) Galasso, V. Chem. Phys. 1984, 83, 407.
- (60) Schoeller, W. W.; Staemmler, V. Inorg. Chem. 1984, 23, 3369.
 (61) Yoshifuji, M.; Hashida, T.; Inamoto, N.; Hirotsu, K.; Horiuchi, T.; Higuchi, T.; Ito, K.; Nagase, S. Angew. Chem. 1985, 97, 230; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1985, 24, 211.
- (62) Yoshifuji, M.; Inamoto, N.; Ito, K.; Nagase, S. Chem. Lett. 1985,
- (63) Elbel, S.; Ellis, A.; Niecke, E.; Egsgaard, H.; Carlsen, L. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1985, 879.
- (64) Trinquier, G.; Bertrand, G. Inorg. Chem. 1985, 24, 3842.
 (65) Schmidt, M. W.; Gordon, M. S. Inorg. Chem. 1986, 25, 248.
- (66) Allan, T. L.; Scheiner, A. C.; Yamaguchi, Y.; Schaefer, H. F., III. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 7579.

- (67) Ito, K.; Nagase, S. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1986, 126, 531.
 (68) Janoschek, R. Chem. Ber. 1989, 122, 2121.
 (69) Schoeller, W. W. In Multiple Bonds and Low Coordination in Phosphorus Chemistry; Regitz, M., Scherer, O. J., Eds.; Thieme: Stuttgart, 1990; p 5.
- (70) Caminade, A.-M.; Verrier, M.; Ades, C.; Paillous, N.; Koenig, M. I. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1984, 875.
- (71) Schoeller, W. W.; Busch, T.; Haug, W. Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon 1990, 49/50, 285.
- (72) Bard, A. J.; Cowley, A. H.; Kilduff, J. E.; Leland, J. K.; Norman, N. C.; Pakulski, M.; Heath, G. A. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1987, 249.
- (73) Culcasi, M.; Cronchi, G.; Escudié, J.; Couret, C.; Pujol, L.; Tordo, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 3130.
- (74) Cetinkaya, B.; Hudson, A.; Lappert, M. F.; Goldwhite, H. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1982, 609.
- (75) Jaud, J.; Couret, C.; Escudié, J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1983, 249, C25.
- (76) Pauling, L. The Nature of the Chemical Bond, 3rd ed.; Cornell University Press: New York, 1960. (77) Tebbe, K. F. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1980, 468, 202.
- (78) Pestana, D. C.; Power, P. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 6887; Inorg. Chem. 1991, 30, 528.
- (a) Heckmann, G.; Fluck, E. Z. Naturforsch. 1971, B26, 282. (b) Krabbes, G.; Grossmann, G. Z. Chem. 1971, 11, 270; 471. (79)
- (80) Huttner, G.; Borm, J.; Zsolnai, L. J. Organomet. 1984, 263, C33.
- (81) Huttner, G. J. Organomet. Chem. 1986, 308, C11.
- (82) Yoshifuji, M.; Shima, I.; Inamoto, K.; Higuchi, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 6167
- (83) Caminade, A.-M.; El Khatib, F.; Adès, C.; Verrier, M.; Paillous, N.; Coenig, M. Phosphorus Sulfur 1986, 26, 91.
- Yoshifuji, M.; Sato, T.; Inamoto, N. Chem. Lett. 1988, 1735 (85) Koenig, M.; Etemad-Moghadam, G.; Tachon, C.; Bellan, J. Phos-phorus Sulfur 1987, 30, 425.
- (86)
- Yoshifuji, M.; Ando, K.; Toyota, K.; Shima, I.; Inamoto, N. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1983, 419.
- (87) Caminade, A.-M.; El Khatib, F.; Koenig, M. Phosphorus Sulfur 1983, 18, 97.
- (88) Caminade, A.-M.; Couret, C.; Escudié, J.; Koenig, M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1984, 1622.
- (89) (a) Yoshifuji, M.; Shibayama, K.; Inamoto, N.; Hirotsu, K.; Higuchi, T. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1983, 862. (b) Yoshifuji, M.; Ando, K.; Shibayama, K.; Inamoto, N.; Hirotsu, K.; Higuchi, T. Angew. Chem. 1983, 95, 416; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1983, 22, 418. (c) Yoshifuji, M.; Shibayama, K.; Shima, I.; Inamoto, N. Phosphorus Sulfur 1983, 18, 11. (d) Yoshifuji, M.; Shibayama, K.; Ando, K.; Inamoto, N. Heterocycles 1984, 21, 475.
- (90)Yoshifuji, M.; Shibayama, K.; Inamoto, N. Heterocycles 1984, 22, 681
- (91) (a) Güth, W. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Bielefeld, 1987. (b) Opiela, S. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Bielefeid, 1991. (c) Jutzi, P.; Opiela,

S. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1992, 610, 75. (d) Jutzi, P.; Opiela, S.; J. Organomet, Chem. 1992, 431, C29.

- (92)(a) Cowley, A. H.; Pakulski, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 2125. (b) Cowley, A. H.; Kilduff, J. E.; Norman, N. C.; Pakulski, M. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans, 1986, 1801. (c) Cowley, A. H.; Kilduff, J. E.; Norman, N. C.; Pakulski, M.; Atwood, J. L.; Hunter, W. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 4845. (d) Navech, J.; Revel, M.; Kraemer, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 207. (e) Navech, J.; Revel, M.; Kraemer, R.; Mathieu, S. Phosphorus Sulfur 1986, 26, 83.
- (93) Yoshifuji, M.; Shibayama, K.; Inamoto, N. Chem. Lett. 1984, 603. (94) Yoshifuji, M.; Shima, I.; Shibayama, K.; Inamoto, N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 411.
- (95) Yoshifuji, M.; Shibayama, K.; Inamoto, N.; Watanabe, T. Chem. Lett. 1983, 585.
- (96) Cowley, A. H.; Pakulski, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 1491.
- (97) Yoshifuji, M.; Shibayama, K.; Inamoto, N. Chem. Lett. 1984, 115.
- (98) (a) Meyer, U. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Bielefeld, 1988. (b) Jutzi, P.; Meyer, U. Phosphorus Sulfur 1988, 40, 275. (99)
- Niecke, E.; Rüger, R.; Lysek, M.; Schoeller, W. W. Phosphorus Sulfur 1983, 18, 35.
- (100) (a) Bellan, J.; Étemad-Moghadam, G.; Payard, M.; Koenig, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 1145. (b) Etemad-Moghadam, G.; Bellan, J.; Tachon, C.; Koenig, M. Tetrahedron 1987, 43, 1793.
- (101) Yoshifuji, M.; Sasaki, S.; Niitsu, T.; Inamoto, N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 187.
- (102) (a) Gouygou, M.; Tachon, C.; El Quatib, R.; Ramarijaona, O.; Etemad-Moghadam, G.; Koenig, M. Tetrahedron Lett, 1989, 30, 177. (b) Gouygou, M.; Bellan, J.; Escudié, J.; Couret, C.; Dubourg, 177. (b) Gouygou, M.; Bellan, J.; Esculie, J.; Couret, C.; Dubourg, A.; Declercq, J.-P.; Koenig, M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1989, 593. (c) Gouygou, M.; Tachon, C.; Koenig, M.; Dubourg, A.; Declercq, J.-P.; Jaud, J.; Etemad-Moghadam, G. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 5750. (d) Koenig, M.; Gouygou, M.; Tachon, C.; ElQuatib, R.; Etemad-Moghadam, G. Phosphorus, Sulfur, Silicon Relat. Elem. 1990, 49/50, 305. (e) Gouygou, M.; Tachon, C.; Etemad-Moghadam, G.; Koenig, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 7411.
 (103) Weber, L.; Lücke, E.; Boese, R. Organometallics 1988, 7, 978.
 (104) Lentz, D.; Marshall, R. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., in press.

- (103) Weber, L.; Lucke, E.; Boese, R. Organometalics 1988, 7, 978.
 (104) Lentz, D.; Marshall, R. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., in press.
 (105) Baudler, M.; Simon, J. Chem. Ber. 1987, 120, 421.
 (106) Niecke, E.; Streubel, R.; Nieger, M. Angew. Chem. 1991, 103, 103; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1991, 30, 90.
 (107) (a) Fritz, G.; Vaahs, T.; Fleischer, H.; Matern, E. Angew. Chem. 1989, 101, 324; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1989, 28, 315.
 (b) Fritz, G.; Vaahs, T.; Fleischer, H.; Matern, F. Z. Anorg, Allg. Chem. Fritz, G.; Vaahs, T.; Fleischer, H.; Matern, E. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1989. 570. 54.
- (108) Fritz, G.; Fleischer, H. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1989, 570, 67.
 (109) Kuhn, N.; Jendral, K. Z. Naturforsch. 1991, B46, 280.
- (110) King, R. B.; Sadanani, N. D.; Sundaram, P. M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1983, 477.
- (111) Streubel, R.; Niecke, E. Chem. Ber. 1990, 123, 1245.
 (112) Altmeyer, O. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Bonn, 1990.
- (113) Niecke, E.; Altmeyer, O.; Nieger, M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1988, 945.
- (114) (a) Appel, R.; Niemann, B.; Nieger, M. Angew. Chem. 1988, 100, 957; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1988, 27, 957. (b) Appel, R. Phosphorus, Sulfur, Silicon Relat. Elem. 1990, 49/50, 297. (115) Jutzi, P.; Meyer, U. J. Organomet. Chem. 1987, 333, C18.
- (116) Escudié, J.; Couret, C.; Andriamizaka, J. D.; Satgé, J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1982, 228, C76.

 - (117) Fritz, G.; Vaahs, T. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1987, 552, 34.
 (118) (a) Grobe, J.; Le Van, D.; Schulze, J. Z. Naturforsch. 1985, B40, 1753. (b) Grobe, J.; Le Van, D.; Martin, S. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1**989**, *579*, 35
 - (119) Schugart, K. A.; Fenske, R. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 3384.
 - (120) (a) Cowley, A. H.; Kilduff, J. E.; Lasch, J. G.; Norman, N. C.; Pakulski, M.; Ando, F.; Wright, T. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 7751. (b) Cowley, A. H.; Kilduff, J. E.; Lasch, J. G.; Norman, N. C.; Pakulski, M.; Ando, F.; Wright, T. C. Organometallics 1984, 3, 1044.
 - (121) (a) Yoshifuji, M.; Hashida, T.; Shibayama, K.; Inamoto, N. Chem. Lett. 1985, 287. (b) Yoshifuji, M.; Shibayama, K.; Hashida, T. Toyota, K.; Niitsu, M.; Matsuda, I.; Sato, T.; Inamoto, N. J. Organomet. Chem. 1986, 311, C63.
 - (122) (a) Weber, L.; Schumann, H.; Boese, R. Chem. Ber. 1990, 123, 1779.
 (b) Dillon, K. B.; Goodwin, H. P. J. Organomet. Chem. 1992, 429, 169.
 - (123) Cowley, A. H.; Norman, N. C.; Pakulski, M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1984, 1054.
 - (124) (a) Flynn, K. M.; Murray, B. D.; Olmstead, M. M.; Power, P. P. J. Am, Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 7460. (b) Flynn, K. M.; Hope, H.; Murray, B. D.; Olmstead, M. M.; Power, P. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 7750. (c) Bartlett, R. A.; Dias, H. V. R.; Flynn, K. M.; Hope, H.; Murray, B. D.; Olmstead, M. M.; Power, P. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 5693.
 - (125) (a) Lang, H.; Orama, O.; Huttner, G. J. Organomet. Chem. 1985, 291, 293. (b) Huttner, G. Pure Appl. Chem. 1986, 58, 585.
 - (126) (a) Borm, J.; Huttner, G.; Orama, O. J. Organomet. Chem. 1986, 306, 29. (b) Borm, J.; Huttner, G.; Zsolnai, L.; Evertz, K.; Berke, H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1987, 327, 223.
 - (a) Yoshifuji, M.; Inamoto, N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 4855. (127)(b) Yoshifuji, M.; Inamoto, N.; Hirotsu, K.; Higuchi, T. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1985, 1109.

- (128) (a) Elmes, P. S.; Scudder, M. L.; West, B. O. J. Organomet. Chem. 1976, 122, 281. (b) Phillips, I. G.; Ball, R. G.; Cavell, R. G. Inorg. Chem. 1992, 31, 1633.
- (a) Chatt, J.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Pidcock, A.; Warrens, C. P.; Dixon, K. R. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1982, 932. (b) Chatt, J.; (129)Hitchcock, P. B.; Pidcock, A.; Warrens, C. P.; Dixon, K. R. J. Chem.
- Soc., Dalton Trans. 1984, 2237. (130) (a) Green, J. C.; Green, M. L. H.; Morris, G. E. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1974, 212. (b) Cannillo, E.; Coda, A.; Prout, K.; Daran, J. C. Acta Crystallogr. 1977, B33, 2608. (131) Jones, R. A.; Seeberger, M. H.; Whittlesey, B. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
- 1985, 107, 6424.
- (132) Benac, B. L.; Jones, R. A. Polyhedron 1989, 8, 1774.
- (133) Hey, E.; Engelhardt, L. M.; Raston, C. L.; White, A. H. Angew. Chem. 1987, 99, 61; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1987, 26, 81.
 (134) Leblanc, J. C.; Moise, C. J. Organomet. Chem. 1989, 364, C3.
- (135) (a) Schäfer, H. Z. Naturforsch. 1979, B34, 1358. (b) Schäfer, H.; Binder, D. Z. Anorg. Alig. Chem. 1987, 546, 55. (136) (a) Schäfer, H.; Binder, D.; Fenske, D. Angew. Chem. 1985, 97, 523;
- Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1985, 24, 522. (b) Schäfer, H.; Binder, D.; Deppisch, B.; Mattern, G. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1987, 546, 79. (c) Schäfer, H.; Binder, D. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1988, 557, 45.
 (137) Schäfer, H.; Binder, D. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1988, 560, 65.
- (138) Deppisch, B.; Schäfer, H. Acta Crystallogr. 1982, B38, 748.
- (139) Fenske, D.; Merzweiler, K. Angew. Chem. 1984, 96, 600; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1984, 23, 635.
- (140) Hinke, A.-M.; Hinke, A.; Kuchen, W.; Hönle, W. Z. Naturforsch. 1986, B41, 629.
- (141) Lang, H.; Huttner, G.; Jibril, I. Z. Naturforsch. 1986, B41, 473. (142) (a) Borm, J.; Zsolnai, L.; Huttner, G. Angew. Chem. 1983, 95, 1018;
- Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1983, 22, 977; Angew. Chem. Suppl. 1983, 1477. (b) Borm, J.; Huttner, G.; Orama, O.; Zsolnai, L. J. Organomet. Chem. 1985, 282, 53.
- (143) Lang, H.; Huttner, G.; Sigwarth, B.; Weber, U.; Zsolnai, L.; Jibril, I.; Orama, O. Z. Naturforsch. 1986, B41, 191.
- (144) (a) Flynn, K. M.; Olmstead, M. M.; Power, P. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 2085. (b) Bartlett, R. A.; Dias, H. V. R.; Flynn, K. M.; Olmstead, M. M.; Power, P. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 5699.
- (145) King, R. B.; Wu, F.-J.; Holt, E. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 7764
- (146) Bartlett, R. A.; Dias, H. V. R.; Power, P. P. J. Organomet. Chem. 1989, 362, 87.
- (147) Arif, A. M.; Cowley, A. H.; Pakulski, M.; Norman, N. C.; Orpen, A. G. Organometallics 1987, 6, 189.
- (148) Nakazawa, H.; Buhro, W. E.; Bertrand, G.; Gladysz, J. A. Inorg. Chem. 1984, 23, 3431.
- (149) Arif, A. M.; Cowley, A. H.; Pakulski, M.; Thomas, G. J. Polyhedron 1986, 5, 1651.
- (150) Arif, A. M.; Cowley, A. H.; Norman, N. C.; Orpen, A. G.; Pakulski, M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1985, 1267.
- (a) Mathieu, R.; Caminade, A.-M.; Majoral, J.-P.; Attali, S.; Sanchez, M. Organometallics 1986, 5, 1914. (b) Caminade, A.-M.; Majoral, J.-P.; Sanchez, M.; Mathieu, R.; Attali, S.; Grand, A. Organometallics 1987, 6, 1459. (c) Majoral, J.-P.; Mathieu, R.; Caminade, A.-M.; Attachi, S.; Sanchez, M. Phosphorus Sulfur 1987, 30, 443.
- (152) Galindo del Pozo, A.; Caminade, A.-M.; Dahan, F.; Majoral, J.-P.; Mathieu, R. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1988, 574
- (153) Huttner, G.; Borm, J.; Zsolnai, L. J. Organomet. Chem. 1986, 304, 309.
- (154) Borm, J.; Huttner, G.; Zsolnai, L. Angew. Chem. 1985, 97, 1069; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1985, 24, 1069.
- (155) Huy, N. H. T.; Fischer, J.; Mathey, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 3475
- (156) Fenske, D.; Merzweiler, K. Angew. Chem. 1986, 98, 357; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1986, 25, 338.
- (157) (a) Vahrenkamp, H.; Wolters, D. Angew. Chem. 1983, 95, 152; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1983, 22, 154. (b) Lal De, R.; Wolters, D.; Vahrenkamp, H. Z. Naturforsch. 1986, B41, 283. (c) Lal De, R.; Vahrenkamp, H. Angew. Chem. 1984, 96, 961; Angew. Chem Int. Ed. Engl. 1984, 23, 983. (d) Lal De, R.; Vahrenkamp, H. Z. Naturforsch 1986, B41, 273.
- (158) Hoffmann, R. Angew. Chem. 1982, 94, 725; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1982, 21, 711
- (159) Nicholls, J. N.; Raithby, P. R.; Vargas, M. D. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1986, 1617.

- (160) Olmstead, M. M.; Power, P. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 1495.
 (161) Attali, S.; Dahan, F.; Mathieu, R.; Caminade, A.-M.; Majoral, J.-P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 1990.
 (162) (a) Weber, L.; Reizig, K. Angew. Chem. 1985, 97, 868; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1985, 24, 865. (b) Weber, L.; Reizig, K.; Bungardt, D.; Boese, R. Organometallics 1987, 6, 110.
 (163) Weber, L.; Meine, G. Chem. Ber, 1987, 120, 457.
 (164) Weber, L.; Meine, G. Chem. Deplet. Dollar. Ber. 1986, 101.
- (164) Weber, L.; Meine, G.; Boese, R.; Bläser, D. Chem. Ber. 1988, 121,
- (165) Weber, L.; Bungardt, D.; Reizig, K.; Boese, R.; Benn, R. Chem. Ber. 1987, 120, 45.
- (a) Weber, L.; Schumann, I. Z. Naturforsch. B 1992, 476, 1134. (b) (166)Weber, L.; Schumann, I.; Stammler, H.-G.; Neumann, B. J. Organomet. Chem., in press. (167) Jutzi, P.; Meyer, U. Chem. Ber. 1988, 121, 559.
- (168) Kroos, R. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Bielefeld, 1989.

- (169) Weber, L.; Kirchhoff, R.; Boese, R.; Stammler, H.-G. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1991, 1293.
- (170) Weber, L.; Sonnenberg, U. Chem. Ber. 1991, 124, 725.
- (171) (a) Weber, L.; Reizig, K.; Boese, R. Angew. Chem. 1986, 98, 737; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1986, 25, 755. (b) Weber, L.; Reizig, K.; Bungardt, D.; Boese, R. Chem. Ber. 1987, 120, 1421.
- (172) Weber, L.; Meine, G. Z. Naturforsch. 1987, B42, 774.
- (173) Weber, L.; Schumann, H. Chem. Ber. 1991, 124, 265.
- (174) King, R. B.; Wu, F.-J.; Sadanani, N. D.; Holt, E. M. Inorg. Chem. 1985, 24, 4450.
- (175) (a) Weber, L.; Meine, G.; Niederprüm, N.; Boese, R. Organometallics 1987, 6, 1989. (b) Weber, L.; Meine, G.; Boese, R.; Niederprüm, N. Z. Naturforsch. 1988, B43, 715. (c) Meine, G. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Essen, 1987.
- (176) Weber, L.; Lücke, E. J. Organomet. Chem. 1987, 327, C63,
- (177) Weber, L.; Buchwald, S. Unpublished results.
- (178) (a) Weber, L.; Frebel, M.; Boese, R. Angew. Chem. 1987, 99, 1045; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1987, 26, 1010. (b) Weber, L.; Frebel, M.; Boese, R. Organometallics 1989, 8, 1718.
- (179) Kölle, U. Personal communication.
- (180) Weber, L.; Frebel, M.; Boese, R. Chem. Ber. 1990, 123, 733.
- (181) Weber, L.; Frebel, M.; Müller, A.; Bögge, H. Organometallics 1991, 10, 1130.
- (182) Weber, L.; Frebel, M.; Boese, R. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem, 1992, 607, 139.
- (183) Weber, L.; Bastian, H.; Müller, A.; Bögge, H. Organometallics 1991, 10.2.
- (184) Weber, L.; Bastian, H.; Müller, A.; Bögge, H.Z. Naturforsch. 1992, B47. 231.
- (185) (a) Weber, L.; Bastian, H.; Boese, R.; Stammler, H.-G. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1991, 1778. (b) Weber, L.; Bastian, H.; Boese, R.; Stammler, H.-G. Chem. Ber. 1992, 125, 1821.
- Weber, L.; Buchwald, S.; Lentz, D.; Preugschat, D.; Stammler, H,-(186)G.; Neumann, B. Organometallics 1992, 11, 2351.
- (187) Weber, L.; Frebel, M.; Boese, R. New J. Chem. 1989, 13, 303.
- (188) Weber, L.; Frebel, M.; Boese, R. Unpublished results.
- (189) Weber, L.; Frebel, M.; Boese, R. Chem. Ber. 1989, 122, 2091.
- (190) (a) Escudié, J.; Couret, C.; Ranaivonjatovo, H.; Wolf, J.-G., Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 3625. (b) Couret, C.; Escudié, J.; Madaule, Y.; Ranaivonjatovo, H.; Wolf, J.-G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 2769.
- (191) Weber, L.; Sonnenberg, U. Chem. Ber. 1989, 122, 1809.
- (192) Cowley, A. H.; Lasch, J. G.; Norman, N. C.; Pakulski, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 5506
- (193) Cowley, A. H.; Lasch, J. G.; Norman, N. C.; Pakulski, M.; Whittlesey, B. R. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1983, 881.
- (194) Smit, C. N. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Amsterdam, 1987.
- (195) Edelmann, F.; Spang, C.; Roesky, H. W.; Jones, P. G. Z. Naturforsch. 1988, B43, 517.
- (196) Romanenko, V. D.; Klebanski, E. O.; Markovski, L. N. Zh. Obshch. Khim. 1985, 55, 2141.
- (197) Cowley, A. H.; Lasch, J. G.; Norman, N. C.; Pakulski, M. Angew. Chem. 1983, 95, 1019; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1983, 22, 978; Angew. Chem. Suppl. 1983, 1493.
- (198) Elmes, P. S.; Leverett, P.; West, B. O. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1971, 747.
- (199) Burns, J. H.; Waser, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1957, 79, 859.
- (200) Jacob, M.; Weiss, E. J. Organomet. Chem. 1978, 153, 31.
- (201) Jibril, I.; Frank, L.-R.; Zsolnai, L.; Evertz, K.; Huttner, G. J. Organomet. Chem. 1990, 393, 213.
- (202) Cowley, A. H.; Norman, N. C.; Pakulski, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 6844.
- (203) Cowley, A. H.; Norman, N. C.; Pakulski, M.; Bricker, D. L.; Russell, D. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 8211.
- (204) von Deuter, K.; Rehder, D. Cryst. Struct. Commun. 1980, 9, 167.
- (205) Huttner, G.; Schmid, H.-G.; Frank, A.; Orama, O. Angew. Chem. 1976, 88, 255; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1976, 15, 234.
- (206) Huttner, G.; Weber, U.; Sigwarth, B.; Scheidsteger, O. Angew. Chem. 1982, 94, 210; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1982, 21, 215; Angew. Chem. Suppl. 1982, 411.
- (207) Weber, U.; Zsolnai, L.; Huttner, G. J. Organomet. Chem. 1984, 260, 281.
- (208) Weber, U.; Huttner, G.; Scheidsteger, O.; Zsolnai, L. J. Organomet. Chem. 1985, 289, 357.
- (209) Weber, U.; Zsolnai, L.; Huttner, G. Z. Naturforsch. 1985, B40, 1430.
- (210) Huttner, G.; Jibril, I. Angew. Chem. 1984, 96, 709; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1984, 23, 740.
- (211) Weber, L.; Bungardt, D.; Boese, R.; Bläser, D. Chem. Ber. 1988, 121, 1033.
- (212) Weber, L.; Bungardt, D.; Boese, R. Chem. Ber. 1988, 121, 1535.
- (213) (a) Weber, L.; Bungardt, D.; Sonnenberg, U.; Boese, R. Angew. Chem. 1988, 100, 1595; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1988, 27, 1537. (b) Weber, L.; Bungardt, D.; Boese, R. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1989, 578, 205.
- (214) (a) Weber, L.; Bungardt, D. J. Organomet. Chem. 1988, 354, C1.
 (b) Weber, L.; Bungardt, D.; Müller, A.; Bögge, H. Organometallics 1989, 8, 2800.