Total Synthesis of Bioactive Marine Macrolides^t

Roger D. Norcross[‡] and Ian Paterson*

Contribution from the University Chemical Laboratory, Lensfield Road, Cambridge CB2 1EW, UK

Received March 17, 1995 (Revised Manuscript Received June 12, 1995)

Contents

/. Introduction

Nature has stocked the seas with a seemingly limitless range of diverse and often highly complex secondary metabolites, which exhibit one or more of a variety of biological properties including cytotoxicity, neurotoxicity, antiviral, and antifungal activity.¹ This review focuses on chemical efforts directed toward the total synthesis of a specific subset of biologically active marine natural products—namely those compounds which possess a macrocyclic lactone moiety, *i.e.* the marine macrolides.^{2,3} The literature is surveyed from the onset of the subject, in the early 1980s, until the close of 1994.

The topical nature of this field of chemical research may be illustrated by reference to the spongistatins, a group of nine extremely potent cytotoxic marine macrolides which have recently been isolated from an Eastern Indian Ocean sponge of the genus *Spon* gia (spongistatins $1-3$ ($1-3$ in Figure 1)), and from the Southwest African marine sponge *Spirastrella* spinispirulifera (spongistatins $4-9(4-9)$).⁴ In addition, some presumably identical compounds, the altohyrtins $A-C(1, 2, and 10)$ and 5-desacetylaltohyrtin A (3), have been isolated from the Okinawan marine sponge *Hyrtios altum*,⁵ and a compound named cinachyrolide A (assumed to be identical to spongistatin 4) has been isolated from a marine sponge of the genus *Cinachyra.⁶* The spongistatins represent some of the most potent substances presently known against a subset of highly chemoresistant tumor types in the US NCI panel of 60 human

cancer cell lines. They show especially powerful growth inhibitory activity against human melanoma, lung, colon, and brain cancers. Indeed, spongistatins 1 (mean panel $GI_{50} = (2.5-3.5) \times 10^{-11}$ M) and 9 (mean panel $GI_{50} = 4 \times 10^{-11}$ M) are the most potent members of the spongistatin family and are claimed to be the most cancer cell growth inhibitory antimitotic substances discovered to date.⁴

Synthetic interest in the marine macrolides stems mainly from their biological activities, and, in particular, their potential as chemotherapeutic agents. Most of these natural products are available in only microscopic quantities from their biological source: spongistatin 1 (1), for example, is obtained in only 3.4×10^{-7} % isolated yield from the whole sponge, and spongistatin 9 (9) is isolated in 2.2 \times 10⁻⁷% yield. Large-scale harvesting of marine organisms, such as sponges, is neither practical nor ecologically acceptable, but total synthesis has, in principle, the potential for supplying sufficient quantities of natural product for biological and pharmaceutical testing. The fact that closely related chemical structures have been found in several disparate marine sources, as in the case of the spongistatins, suggests that these natural products may in fact be produced by symbiotic organisms living in association with the different marine hosts.⁷ Potentially, in such cases, fermentation cultures of the symbiotic organisms provide a means of obtaining significant quantities of the marine macrolides. To date, however, this appproach has met with only partial success, and total synthesis remains the only viable alternative. In addition, *de novo* chemical synthesis provides the possibility for preparation of nonnatural analogues, which may be used as probes to determine the mechanism of action of the natural product, as well as being useful for therapeutic evaluation.

Total synthesis is also a valuable tool for confirming, and in some cases determining, the stereochemistry of marine macrolides, which may not always be possible by spectroscopic or crystallographic means alone. For instance, although a complete absolute configuration for spongistatin $1 (=$ altohyrtin A) has been volunteered by Kitagawa and co-workers on the basis of spectroscopic studies on the natural product,^{5b} this stereochemical assignment is partially in conflict with relative stereochemistry proposed by Pettit *et al.4d' e* and by Fusetani *et al.^e* Thus, at the time of writing, there is uncertainty about the absolute configuration of portions of the spongistatin stucture, and therefore a need for unambiguous determination of stereochemical configuration via total synthesis. In recent years, the combination of chemical synthesis and conformational analysis using NMR methods and computational molecular modeling has proved

f We dedicate this review to Professor David A. Evans.

^{*} Present address: Corporate Research Units, Ciba-Geigy AG, CH-4002 Basel, Switzerland.

Roger Norcross was born in Manchester, England, in 1967. He studied for a B.A. degree in Natural Sciences at Cambridge University, and it was during this time that his interest in organic synthesis, and asymmetric synthesis in particular, was kindled. He received his Ph.D. degree from Cambridge University in 1992, under the supervision of Dr. Ian Paterson, on the subject of new stereoselective aldol methodology and its application in the total synthesis of macrolide antiobiotics. From 1992 to 1994 he was a NATO Postdoctoral Fellow in the laboratories of Professor David A. Evans, at Harvard University. During these immensely stimulating two years he concentrated upon the search for new asymmetric catalysts. Upon returning to Cambridge University in 1994, he reentered the field of total synthesis, focusing upon the development of new stereoselective methods for application in the synthesis of marine macrolides. He is currently working at the Corporate Research Laboratories of Ciba-Geigy in Basel, Switzerland.

Ian Paterson was bom in Dundee, Scotland, in 1954. He received a B.Sc. degree in Chemistry from St. Andrews University in 1976. In 1979 he obtained his Ph.D. from Cambridge University, working under the supervision of Ian Fleming, on the development of new synthetic methods using allylsilanes and silyl enol ethers. After spending a highly rewarding and enjoyable year with Gilbert Stork at Columbia University as a NATO Postdoctoral Fellow, working on the total synthesis of erythromycin A, he joined the faculty at University College, London, in 1980. In 1983 he moved to his present position as a Lecturer at Cambridge University and Fellow of Jesus College. His research interests are centered on the design and development of new synthetic methods for the control of stereochemistry and their application to the total synthesis of a range of biologically active compounds, which currently include several marine macrolides.

valuable as a tool in determining the stereochemistry of marine macrolides, most notably in the case of the halichondrins and aplyronines *(vide infra).*

The exquisitely complex structures of many of the marine macrolides serve as inspiration for the development of new methodology in organic synthesis, and as an elegant platform for exhibiting the creativity of the modern organic chemist, which seems to be limited only by the structures themselves. The

Figure 1. Structures of the spongistatins.

marine macrolides present a 5-fold synthetic challenge to the organic chemist: (i) The stereochemical challenge provided by target structures possessing, in some cases, in excess of 30 stereogenic centers. Historically, many marine macrolide syntheses have relied on the use of starting materials from the chiral pool, such as carbohydrates, to supply most of the stereogenic centers.⁸ Increasingly, concomitant with the appearance of new methods for achieving acyclic stereocontrol, alternative strategies have been employed relying on either reagent- or substrate-based asymmetric induction.⁹

(ii) Formation of the macrocycle. This has generally been achieved by using macrolactonization reactions, which are increasingly becoming routine procedures in organic synthesis,¹⁰ but carbon-carbon bond-forming reactions have occasionally been employed. Thus far, the largest ring constructed has been that of the 44-membered macrodiolide swinholide A *(vide infra).*

(iii) The need for efficient processes for coupling complex, often highly oxygenated, fragments, suitable for use in the latter stages of a synthesis.

(iv) The judicious choice of protecting group arrangements for polyoxygenated structures. In several cases, the success or failure of a synthetic route has depended entirely on the selection of a protecting group for a single hydroxyl-bearing center *(vide infra).*

(v) The requirement of many of the marine macrolides for the stereocontrolled formation of di- or trisubstituted double bonds.

In this review, the marine macrolides are presented in order of decreasing ring size (as determined by counting along a contiguous carbon skeleton wherever possible), except that closely related structures are considered in succession in order to aid comparison. After giving a brief description of the natural source and biological properties, a summary of the associated synthetic work then follows. Where total syntheses have been achieved, details for all transformations in the route are to be found in the accompanying diagrams. Discussion in the text concentrates on those key reactions which establish stereochemistry, close rings, or couple complex segments. Wherever possible, an approximate indication of the overall yield and total number of steps is given. As a guide to synthetic efficiency, the approximate number of steps per stereogenic center of the target structure is also provided.

II. Survey of Syntheses of Marine Macrolides

A. The Swinholides

The swinholides $(11-17)$ in Figure 2) are a series of complex macrodiolides, isolated from the marine sponge *Theonella swinhoei,¹¹' ¹²* which display potent cytotoxicity against a variety of human tumor cell lines.^{13d} Swinholide A (11) was originally misassigned as a monomeric 22 -membered¹⁴ macrolide,¹¹ but more recent mass spectroscopic^{13a} and X-ray $\frac{1}{2}$ crystallographic^{13b-d} studies have elucidated the true C_2 -symmetrical, 44-membered, 14 macrodiolide structure depicted in Figure 2. Isoswinholide A (18), a minor congener of swinholide A, having an unsymmetrical 46-membered macrodiolide structure, has also been isolated from *Theonella,*12a along with the monomeric seco-acid preswinholide A (19), which is believed to be the biosynthetic precursor of swin h olide $A^{12b,c}$ Other cytotoxic macrodiolides isolated from *Theonella* sp. include the bistheonellides (20- 22), which lack two of the swinholide double bonds and are thus 40-membered macrocycles.^{15b-d} Note that the structures of the monomeric units of both swinholide A (11) and bistheonellide A (20) (also called misakinolide $A^{15a,c}$ are very similar^{13c} to that of scytophycin C (23), ^{16a} one of a class of cytotoxic macrolides $(23-27)$ isolated from the terrestial bluegreen alga *Scytonema pseudohofmanni (vide infra).¹⁶* This structural homology implies a genetic link between the producing organisms, lending support to the assumption that the swinholides and bistheonellides are actually metabolites of symbiotic mionemues are accuairy mecabolities of symbiotic indeed, the presence of a symbiotic blue-green alga in the marine sponge *Theonella swinhoei* has been detected using electron microscopy.^{13 c}

The first total synthesis of swinholide A (and also of its minor congener isoswinholide A) was reported by Paterson et al. in 1994,^{17g} following syntheses of preswinholide A earlier that year.^{17e,f} Two significant segments of swinholide A have been prepared by Nicolaou and co-workers,¹⁸ and Nakata *et al.* have also synthesized a swinholide A segment.¹⁹

9Ma 9Ma

swinholide A (11) : $R^1 = R^2 = R^3 = R^4 = Me$ $B(12): B^1 = B^3 = B^4 = Me, B^2 = H$ $C(13): R^1 = R^2 = R^3 = Me, R^4 = H$ $D(14): R^1 = H, R^2 = R^3 = R^4 = Me$ **E(IS) same as 11 except that OH substituent at Cg-F (16) same as 11 except that Zconfiguration at Cg¹** $G(17): R^1 = R^2 = R^4 = Me, R^3 = H$

misakinolide A • bistheonellide A (20) R' * R< » Me bistheonellide B (21) : R¹ = Me, R² = H **bistheonellide C (22)** $:$ R¹ = H, R² = Me

Scheme 1

1. Paterson Total Synthesis¹⁷

The synthesis of swinholide A (11) by Paterson *et al.* was based on the selective deprotection and regiocontrolled dimerization of 28, a fully protected version of the monomeric seco-acid preswinholide A (Scheme 1). The monomeric unit 28 was constructed by the union of the C_1-C_{15} aldehyde segment 29 and the $C_{19}-C_{32}$ aldehyde segment 30 employing a butanone synthon (31) as a linking unit.²⁰ By varying the order of the $C_{15}-C_{16}$ and $C_{18}-C_{19}$ aldol bond constructions, the stereochemically most efficient sequence for this key segment coupling was determined. The stereocontrolled syntheses of 29 and 30, in turn, relied heavily on various types of asymmetric aldol reactions, which were used to form the $C_6 - C_7$, $C_{12}-C_{13}$, and $C_{22}-C_{23}$ bonds.

 $a.$ C_1-C_{15} Segment Synthesis.^{17b,c} Preparation of the C_1-C_{15} segment 29 began by employing methodology developed by Paterson for the enantioselective synthesis of dihydropyrones.²¹ Thus, reagentcontrolled asymmetric aldol reaction of aldehyde 32 with the bis(isopinocampheyl) enol borinate 33, derived from enolization of ketone 34^{22} by $(+)$ -bis-(isopinocampheyl)boron chloride 35, gave the aldol adduct 36 in 80% ee (Scheme 2). Cyclization of 36 to the dihydropyrone 37 was then accomplished by treatment with TMSOT f/Pr_2NEt and recrystallization provided 37 in enantiomerically pure form. Stereoselective Luche reduction²³ of 37 to the corresponding allylic alcohol (α -face attack), followed by acetylation, then supplied the glycal 38. Stereoselective introduction of the aldehydic side chain at C_9 , with concomitant allylic transposition, was achieved by employing a variant of the Ferrier rearrangement.²⁴ Thus, $Ti(OⁱPr)₂Cl₂$ -mediated reaction of 38 with silyl enol ether 39^{25} afforded aldehyde 40 with 97% diastereoselectivity (ds). Chain extension at C_7 was effected by means of a novel vinylogous Mu-

kaiyama aldol reaction between 40 and the silyl dienol ether 41^{26} promoted by BF_3 OEt₂, which provided 42 with 81% ds in favor of the required stereochemistry at C_7 and with the correct (E) -enal terminus. Note that this reaction results in exclusive y -attack on 41 and proceeds without chelate participation from the dihydropyran oxygen of 40. The second (E) -double bond of the diene ester moiety was cleanly introduced by Horner—Emmons olefination of 42 to afford 43. Protection of the C_7 hydroxyl, followed by deprotection and Dess-Martin oxidation²⁷ at C_{15} , then gave the $C_1 - C_{15}$ segment 29 of swinholide A. Thus, in this segment synthesis, introduction of the C_{13} stereogenic center was achieved by a reagent-controlled boron aldol reaction, $32 + 33$ \rightarrow 36, while the remaining two stereogenic centers were set up by the sequence $38 \rightarrow 40 \rightarrow 42$ using substrate control. Note that addition of ethylmagnesium bromide to aldehyde 29, followed by oxidation, gave 44, a $C_1 - C_{16}$ segment of scytophycin C. $[C_1-C_{15}$ segment 29: 11% overall yield from 34; 10 steps; \sim 3 steps per stereogenic center.]

*b. C19-C32 Segment Synthesis.¹⁷** Synthesis of the $C_{19}-C_{32}$ segment 30 began with kinetic resolution of racemic allylic alcohol 45 using Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation,^{28a,b} which provided epoxide 46 with high diastereo- and enantiomeric purity (95% ds, 96% ee, Scheme 2).^{28c} Directed reductive open- $\frac{1}{2}$ of 46 then afforded diol 47, with the correct configuration at both C_{29} and C_{31} . Ozonolysis of 47 followed by acidic workup and O-methylation furnished the acetal 48 as a mixture of anomers; and highly diastereoselective TMSOTf-catalyzed allylsi-Inginy diaster cosened it is contributed any issue.
Iane addition³⁰ to **48** then gave the tetrahydropyran **49** with the correct configuration at C_{27} , via kinetically controlled axial attack on the oxonium ion 50. After ozonolysis of 49 to give the C_{25} aldehyde, μ or the conductive μ and μ is the use μ and $\$ (E) -enal 51. Alternatively, 51 could be obtained from acetal 48 in a single transformation, *viz.* TMSOTfcatalyzed addition of the silyl dienol ether 41. Concatalyzed addition of the silyl dienol ether $41.$ Con-
struction of the $C_{22}-C_{22}$ bond by a highly diastereostruction of the U₂₂-U₂₃ bond by a nighly diastereo-
selective *anti* aldol reaction³² between aldehyde **51** selective *anti* aldol reaction³² between aldehyde 51 and the (E) -dicyclohexyl enol borinate 52, derived³³ from ketone 53 which was in turn prepared from *(S)* methyl 3-hydroxy-2-methylpropionate *(vide infra),³⁴* methyl 3-hydroxy-2-methylpropionate $(\textit{vide infra})$, 34 then gave the β -hydroxy ketone 54 with the required configuration at C_{22} and C_{23} . Stereoselective reduction of 54 using the Saksena-Evans reagant³⁵ run tion of 54 using the Saksena-Evans reagent³⁵ provided the C_{21} , C_{23} -anti diol 55, which was protected as its di-tert-butylsilylene derivative 56. Note that Paterson et al. did not opt for differential protection of the C_{21} and C_{23} hydroxyls, and thus a regioselective lactonization would be required later in the synthesis (vide infra). The remaining stereogenic center at C_{24} was installed by a substrate-controlled³⁶ hydroboration of 56, to give alcohol 57 with \geq 97% ds, followed
hydroxyllogenation³⁷ of the surplus hydroxyl by Barton deoxygenation³⁷ of the surplus hydroxyl at C_{25} to afford 58. Finally, deprotection at C_{19} and subsequent Swern oxidation³⁸ then supplied the C₁₉- C_{32} segment 30 of swinholide A. Note that this also corresponds to the $C_{17}-C_{30}$ segment of bistheonellide A. Thus, in the synthesis of segment 30, introduction of the C₂₉ and C₃₁ stereogenic centers was achieved
by reagent-control (Sharpless epoxidation of **45** \rightarrow

^{*a*} (a) **34**, (+)-Ipc₂BCl, ^{*i*}Pr₂NEt; **32**; H₂O₂; (b) TMSOTf, ^{*i*}Pr₂NEt; (c) recrystallize; (d) NaBH₄, CeCl₃; (e) Ac₂O, *ⁱ*Pr₂NEt; (f) **38 + 39**, Ti(O^{*i*}Pr₂Cl₂; (g) 40 + 41, BF₃⁻OEt₂; periodinane; (i) EtMgBr; (m) Dess-Martin periodinane; (a') (+)-DIPT, Ti(O'Pr)4, 'BuOOH; (b') Red-Al; (c') O₃, MeOH; Me₂S; 1 M HCl; (d') NaH, MeI; (e') 48 + 41, TMSOTf; (f') H₂C=CHCH₂TMS, TMSOTf; (g') O₃; Me₂S; H_2O_2 ; (j') $Me_4NBH(OAc)_3$; (k') 'Bu₂Si(OTf)₂, 2,6-lutidine; (l') thexylborane; H_2O_2 , NaOH; (m') (imid)₂C=S; (n') "Bu₃SnH; (o') H₂, 10% Pd-C; (p) Swern oxidation; (q') 30 + 60, TiCl₄; (r') O₃; Me₂S; (s) Cl₃CC(=NH)OPMB, TfOH; (t') 59, ^{(c}Hex)₂BCl, Et₃N; 29, H₂O₂; (u') catecholborane; (v') DDQ; (w') Dess-Martin periodinane; (x') LiAl(O'Bu)₃H; (y') MeOTf, 2,6-di-tert-butylpyridine; (z') HF; (a'') NaOH.

46), while the remaining stereogenic centers were set up by a series of substrate-controlled reactions: 48

 \rightarrow 49, 51 + 52 \rightarrow 54 \rightarrow 55, and 56 \rightarrow 57. [C₁₉-C₃₂] segment 30: 5.7% overall yield from 45; 15 steps

longest linear sequence; 18 steps total; \sim 2 steps per stereogenic center.]

c. *The Synthesis of Preswinholide* A.17ef By varying the order of the $C_{15}-C_{16}$ and $C_{18}-C_{19}$ bond constructions in the segment coupling sequence, two different syntheses of the fully protected monomeric seco-acid preswinholide A (28) were achieved by Paterson *et al.* The first route (Scheme 2) was based on formation of the $C_{18}-C_{19}$ bond before the $C_{15}-C_{16}$ b ond.^{17e} Thus, addition of a butanone equivalent to the $C_{19}-C_{32}$ aldehyde 30 gave the ethyl ketone 59 which was then aldol coupled to the C_1-C_{15} aldehyde 29.

TiCl₄-promoted addition of allylsilane 60³⁹ to aldehyde 30, under Felkin-Anh control, gave the adduct 61 with 95% ds in favor of the desired configuration at C19. Ozonolysis of the alkene and protection of the C_{19} hydroxyl then gave 59. Note that model studies^{17d} indicated that, in contrast, enol borinate addition to 30 would give the undesired anti-Felkin epimer 19 *epi-59.* The model studies also indicated that for a *syn* aldol coupling of aldehyde 29 with the (Z)-enol borinate derived from ketone 59, the intrinsic diastereofacial selectivities of the two chiral components were matched in the wrong stereochemical sense for swinholide A. Unfortunately, attempts to confer reagent control in this reaction by using isopinocampheyl enol borinates⁴⁰ proved unsuccessful. Hence, a boron-mediated *anti* aldol reaction was used instead for the coupling of 29 and 59, which meant that a stereochemical inversion was required later in the synthesis. Thus, substrate-controlled aldol reaction of aldehyde 29 with the (E) -dicyclohexyl enol borinate 62 derived³³ from ketone 59 gave the two *anti* aldol isomers 63 and 64 in 60:40 ratio, together with a small amount of *syn* aldol isomers *(anti/syn =* 87:13). Compound 63 had the correct configuration of the C_{16} methyl, but required inversion of the hydroxyl at C_{15} . Catecholborane reduction⁴¹ of 63 gave the corresponding C₁₇, C₁₉-syn diol with >95% ds, and treatment with DDQ then induced cyclization of the C₁₉ PMB ether^{42a} onto the C₁₇ hydroxyl to afford acetal **65**. Inversion of configuration at C_{15} was then accomplished by oxidation, followed by selective reduction, to supply *15-epi-65* with 83% ds. Note that the aldol adduct 64 could also be used productively in the synthesis of preswinholide A by conversion into the ketone precursor of 15 -epi-65 by a four-step sequence involving epimerization at C_{16} . With all the stereogenic centers required for preswinholide A installed, methylation of the C15 hydroxyl of *15-epi-*65 gave the fully protected seco-acid 28 in 10% overall yield over the nine steps from 30. Total deprotection of 28 afforded preswinholide A (19), which served to confirm the complete stereostructure.

The second, and more efficient, route to preswinholide A developed by Paterson *et al.* (Scheme 3) was based on the opposite order for the segment coupling sequence: *i.e.* $C_{15}-C_{16}$ bond construction prior to $C_{18}-C_{19}$ bond construction.^{17f} Model studies^{17d} had indicated that the greatest stereochemical efficiency would be expected from reagent-controlled addition of a butanone equivalent to the C_1-C_{15} aldehyde 29 to give the methyl ketone 66, followed by substrate-

controlled Mukaiyama aldol coupling 25 with the $\rm{C_{19}}-$ C32 aldehyde 30.

Thus, $C_{15}-C_{16}$ bond construction by *syn* crotylboration of 29 using the Brown chiral crotylboron reagent 67⁴³ furnished the desired homoallylic alcohol 68 with > 95% ds. The corresponding Roush reagent 69⁴⁴ proved less selective in this mismatched situation, generating a 2:1 ratio of 68 and 70. After O-methylation of 68 to give 71, Wacker oxidation⁴⁵ of the terminal alkene supplied the methyl ketone **66.** $C_{18}-C_{19}$ bond construction by Mukaiyama aldol coupling of aldehyde 30 with the silyl enol ether 72, derived from kinetic enolization of ketone 66, then afforded the Felkin-Anh aldol adduct 73 as the sole product. Note that the silyl enol ether 72 is also a C_1-C_{18} segment for scytophycin C. A modified o₁ o₁₈ segment for scytophycin of *N*-hydroxy ke-
Narasaka–Prasad⁴⁶ svn reduction of β-hydroxy ketone 73, via the preformed boron chelate, then gave the C_{17} , C_{19} -syn diol **74**. Thus, the four stereogenic centers spanning $C_{15}-C_{19}$ of preswinholide A had been introduced with an overall diastereoselectivity of approximately 95%. Protection of diol 74 as its p-methoxybenzylidene acetal then supplied the fully protected derivative 28 of preswinholide A in 36% overall yield over the seven steps from 29. [Preswinholide A (19): first route -0.2% overall yield from 45; 26 steps longest linear sequence; 39 steps total; \sim 2-3 steps per stereogenic center; second route—1.6% overall yield from 34; 20 steps longest linear sequence; 37 steps total; \sim 2-3 steps per stereogenic center.]

d. Completion of the Total Syntheses of Hemiswin*holide A, Isohemiswinholide A, Swinholide A, and Isoswinholide A.*17g In order to complete a synthesis of swinholide A, Paterson *et al.* required selective deprotection and regiocontrolled dimerization of 28. Note that by using the cyclic di-tert-butylsilylene group, these researchers had forgone the opportunity for selective protection of the C_{21} and C_{23} hydroxyls. This strategy was bold, but ultimately proved to be successful.

The synthesis of the 22 -membered¹⁴ macrolide 75, designated hemiswinholide A, corresponding to the erroneous monomeric structure initially proposed for swinholide A,¹¹ is outlined in Scheme 3. Selective removal of the silylene group of 28 gave the C_{21} , C_{23} diol 76. After base-catalyzed hydrolysis of the terminal methyl ester of 76, macrolactonization was attempted. By employing the Yamaguchi protocol (formation of the mixed anhydride by treatment with $2,4,6\text{-}Cl₃(C₆H₂)COCl/Et₃N$, followed by DMAP-pro- $2,4,0$ - \cup ₃(C_6 112) \cup OODEt314, 10110wed by DMAF-pro-
moted cyclization in toluene),⁴⁷ an 82.18 mixture of the 22- and 24-membered macrolides 77 and 78 was obtained. In contrast, use of the Keck conditions obtained. In contrast, use of the Neck conditions
(DCC, DMAP, DMAP-HCl in chloroform)⁴⁸ led to a reversal of selectivity, furnishing a 5:95 ratio of 77 and 78. Note that performing the Keck macrolactonization in toluene, as in the Yamaguchi procedure, gave a 40:60 mixture of 77 and 78. Thus the regioselectivity of macrolactonization appears to be sensitive to solvent polarity, which presumably alters the conformational preferences of the activated secoacid. Note also that only monomeric lactones were obtained. Deprotection of the acetal and silyl protecting groups of 77 supplied hemiswinholide A (75).

^{*a*} (a) MeOTf, 2,6-di-tert-butylpyridine; (b) PdCl₂, CuCl, O₂; (c) LiHMDS, TMSCl, Et₃N; (d) **30** + **72**, BF₃·OEt₂; (e) ^{*n*}Bu₂BOMe; LiBH₄; H₂O₂; (f) *p*-MeO(C₆H₄)CH(OMe)₂, CSA; (g) HF·py; (h) Na

Similarly, 78 was converted to isohemiswinholide A (79).

The synthesis of swinholide A itself exploited the differentiation of the C_{21} and C_{23} hydroxyls uncovered above. Thus, hydrolysis of the C_1 ester in 28 gave the acid 80, which was used to selectively esterify the C_{21} hydroxyl of diol 76 (Scheme 3). Activation of 80 using the Yamaguchi conditions⁴⁷ followed by DMAP-promoted addition of 76 afforded a 2:1 mixture of the desired C_{21} ester 81 and its C_{23} regioisomer 82. After chromatographic separation, 82 could be recycled by methanolysis to give back 76 and 28. Meanwhile, silyl protection of the C_{23} hydroxyl of 81, followed by silylene removal and selective hydrolysis of the terminal methyl ester, then afforded the dimeric seco-acid 83. Note that without silyl protection of the C_{23} hydroxyl, competing cleavage of the C_{21} ester, and/or transesterification to the C_{23} position was observed during the final hydrolysis step. Cyclization of 83 was facile and high yielding $(60-$ 84%): subjection of 83 to the Yamaguchi macrolac-04%). Subjection of **65** to the Tamaguchi macrolac-
tonization conditions⁴⁷ at room temperature, and without the need for high dilution, afforded an 86: 14 mixture in favor of the desired 84 (acylation of the C_{21} hydroxyl) over the larger macrodiolide 85 (acylation of the C₂₃- hydroxyl). As with $76 \rightarrow 77 +$ 78, the selectivity in ring size was sensitive to the macrolactonization conditions: use of the Keck promacrolactonization conditions: use of the Keck pro-
togal⁴⁸ gave a 9:01 mixture of 84 and 85, permitting selective formation of the isoswinholide ring. Finally, total deprotection of 84 completed the first total synthesis of swinholide A (11). Similarly, isoswinsymmesis of swimmung A (11). Simmarly, isoswim-
holide A (19) was obtained upon deprotection of 85. nolide A (18) was obtained upon deprotection of 89.
Of particular note in these symtheses is the fact that Of particular note in these syntheses is the fact that
the regioselectivity of macrolactonization was conthe regioselectivity of macroiactonization was conprotection. $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$: Λ (11): 0.4% organisation. protection. [Swinholide A (11) : 0.4% overall yield from 34 ; 25 steps longest linear sequence; 43 steps total; \sim 3 steps per stereogenic center, allowing for C_2 symmetry].

2. Nicolaou Seament Syntheses¹⁸

The strategy proposed by Nicolaou and co-workers for achieving a synthesis of swinholide A is outlined in Scheme 4. Ring closure by Horner-Emmons reaction of the ketophosphonate—aldehyde 86 is planned,⁴⁹ in contrast to the macrolactonization approach adopted by Paterson *et al.* The dimer 86 is expected to be obtained from the monomer 87 by a sequence of (i) esterification of the C_{21} hydroxyl of 87 to give ketophosphonate 88;⁵⁰ (ii) Horner-Emmons coupling of 88 with another monomer unit corresponding to the $C_{3'}$ aldehyde derived from 87; and, finally, (iii) esterification of the C_{21} hydroxyl. Formation of the C_3-C_{32} segment 87 by coupling of the C_3-C_{17} segment 89 and the $C_{18}-C_{32}$ segment 90 is envisaged. At the time of writing, the preparation of 89 and 90 has been reported.

a. $C_3 - C_{17}$ Segment Synthesis.^{18a} The $C_3 - C_{17}$ segment 89 was prepared from (S) -dimethyl malate (91) as outlined in Scheme 5. Thus, directed reduction of 91 to give the C_{12} , C_{13} diol⁵¹ was followed by sequential silylation with TBDPSCl and TBSOTf; DIBAL reduction at C_{15} then supplied the aldehyde **92**. $C_{15}-C_{16}$ bond construction by syn-crotylboration

of 92 using the Brown chiral crotylboron reagent 67,⁴³ followed by O-methylation, furnished the desired homoallylic ether 93 with >95% ds *(cf.* similar diastereoselectivity was obtained by Paterson *et al.* for the transformation $29 \rightarrow 68 \rightarrow 71$: Scheme 3). Ozonolysis of 93 followed by a reductive workup gave the corresponding C_{17} alcohol which was protected as its PMB ether. Complete desilylation followed by regioselective reprotection at C_{12} then afforded alcohol **94**. After mesylation of the C_{13} hydroxyl of **94**, treatment with TBAF effected cyclization to give the epoxide **95** with inversion of configuration at C_{13} . The α , β -unsaturated- δ -lactone **96** was prepared by using a, p-unsaturated-o-lactone **so** was prepared by using
Ghosez's methodology ⁵² involving reaction of epoxide 95 with the lithio derivative of methyl 3-(phenylsulfonyl) orthopropionate (97) and subsequent acid hydrolysis and DBU-induced elimination. Lactone 96 was reduced to the corresponding lactol 98, and $ZnCl₂$ -catalyzed C-glycosidation using silyl enol ether 39²⁵ then supplied the aldehyde 99 with 80% ds. Chain extension at C_7 was effected by means of a

Scheme 5. Nicolaou Swinholide A C_3-C_{17} Synthesis^{18a a}

 a (a) BH₃'Me₂S; cat. NaBH₄; (b) TBDPSCl, Et₃N, DMAP; (c) TBSOTf, 2,6-lutidine; (d) DIBAL; (e) $67 + 92$; H₂O₂, NaOH; (f) NaH, MeI; (g) O_3 ; NaBH₄; (h) $Cl_3CC(=\text{NH})OPMB$, CSA; (i) TBAF; (j) TBDPSCI, Et_3N , DMAP; (k) MsCl, Et_3N ; (l) TBAF; (m) 97, DMPU, "BuLi; 95; H₂SO₄; p-TsOH; Et₃N, DBU; (n) DIBAL; (o) 39 $+98$, ZnCl₂; (p) $99 + 100$, BF₃^{OEt₂; (q) TBSOTf, 2,6-lutidine; (r)} DDQ, H₂O; (s) Swern oxidation; (t) HS(CH₂)₃SH, TiCl₄; (u) DIBAL; (v) TBSOTf, 2,6-lutidine.

BF₃·OEt₂-promoted vinylogous Mukaiyama aldol reaction between 99 and the silyl ketene acetal 100,⁵³ which provided 101 with 58% ds in favor of the required stereochemistry at C_7 and with the correct (E) - α , β -unsaturated ester terminus. Note that a similar aldol reaction utilized in the Paterson synthesis (40 \rightarrow 42 in Scheme 2) proceeded with higher diastereoselectivity $(81\% \text{ ds})^{17a,b}$ The degree of stereoinduction arising in these aldol reactions appears to be highly sensitive to subtle changes in substrate structure. With all of the stereogenic centers present in the $C_3 - C_{17}$ segment of swinholide A now installed, 101 was converted to a derivative suitable for coupling to the $C_{18}-C_{32}$ segment **90**. Thus, protection of the C_7 hydroxyl of 101 was followed by deprotection at C_{17} and oxidation to give the C_{17} aldehyde; dithiane formation then afforded 102. Reduction of the ester at C_3 followed by protection of the resulting hydroxyl then gave the desired $C_3 - C_{17}$ segment 89. Of the four newly created stereogenic centers in 89, two were set up in a single reagent-controlled reaction $(92 \rightarrow 93)$, and the remaining two arose from two substrate-controlled reactions ($98 \rightarrow 99 \rightarrow 101$). [C₃- C_{17} segment 89: 3.3% overall yield from 91; 22 steps; \sim 4 steps per stereogenic center.]

b. $C_{18}-C_{32}$ Segment Synthesis.^{18b} The C₁₈-C₃₂ segment 90 was prepared from L-rhamnose (103) as outlined in Scheme 6. Thus, peracetylation of 103 was followed by C-glycosidation⁵⁴ with allyltrimethvisilane to give exclusively the α -givcoside. Complete deacetylation and subsequent regioselective methylation of the C_{29} hydroxyl, using ${}^n\text{Bu}_2\text{SnO}$ and methyl iodide in the presence of cesium fluoride,⁵⁵ then supplied 104. Barton deoxygenation³⁷ at C_{28} and C_{30} of 104 was followed by reductive ozonolysis to give the C_{25} primary alcohol, which was then transformed into iodide 105. Enders alkylation⁵⁶ using iodide 105 and the SAMP hydrazone 106, followed by ozonolytic removal of the chiral auxiliary, then furnished the ketone 107 with high diastereoselectivity at the newly formed stereogenic center at C_{24} . Meanwhile syn crotylboration of aldehyde 108, using the Brown chiral crotylboron reagent $109⁴³$ gave the homoallylic ether 110 with the correct configurations at C_{19} and C₂₀. Benzylation and ozonolysis then provided the aldehyde 111, which, using the Evans protocol,⁵⁷ underwent a stereoselective aldol reaction with the chlorotitanium enolate derived from ketone 107 to give the syn-aldol adduct 112. A samarium-catalyzed, intramolecular Tischenko-Evans⁵⁸ reduction of β -hydroxy ketone 112 then furnished the corresponding monoprotected C_{21} , C_{23} -anti-diol 113. Silylation of the C₂₃ hydroxyl of 113 then gave 114. Note that use of the Tischenko-Evans reduction enabled differential protection of the C_{21} and C_{23} hydroxyls, which should permit esterification of the C_{21} hydroxyl later in the synthesis of swinholide A, as required. This is in marked contrast to the route of Paterson et al., wherein such differential protection was not employed. With all of the stereogenic centers present in the $C_{18}-C_{32}$ segment of swinholide A now installed, 114 was converted to a derivative suitable for coupling to the $C_3 - C_{17}$ segment 89. Thus hydrogenolysis of the benzyl ethers at C₁₈ and C₁₉ of 114 was followed by selective monotosylation of the resulting diol, and treatment with base then gave the $C_{18}-C_{32}$ epoxide segment 90. Thus, three of the nine stereogenic centers present in 90 originated from a carbohydrate, while the other six stereogenic centers were installed using a combination of reagent-controlled $(108 + 109)$ \rightarrow 110), auxiliary-controlled (105 + 106 \rightarrow 107), and substrate-controlled $(107 + 111 \rightarrow 112 \rightarrow 113)$ reactions. $[C_{18}-C_{32}$ segment 90: 3.2% overall yield from 103; 16 steps longest linear sequence; 21 steps total; \sim 2 steps per stereogenic center.]

3. Nakata Segment Syntheses¹⁹

Nakata et al. have recently completed the synthesis of a $C_{11}-C_{32}$ segment (115) corresponding to the polyol portion of swinholide A via stereoselective, auxiliary-controlled aldol coupling of the $C_{11}-C_{23}$ and $C_{24}-C_{32}$ segments 116 and 117 (Scheme 7).

a. $C_{11}-C_{23}$ Segment Synthesis.^{19a} The $C_{11}-C_{23}$ segment 116 was obtained via desymmetrization of the C_2 symmetric ketone 118, which was prepared according to the method of Nakata and Oishi for the stereocontrolled synthesis of 1,3-polyols (Scheme 8).⁵⁹ Thus, (S) -malic acid (119) was converted into alcohol 120.60 After protection of the C_{11} hydroxyl of 120, cleavage of the acetonide gave the C_{13} , C_{14} diol;

a (a) Ac₂O, Et₃N, DMAP; (b) H₂C=CHCH₂TMS, BF₃OEt₂, TMSOTf; (c) NaOMe, MeOH; (d) "Bu₂SnO; CsF, MeI; (e) NaH, imidazole; CS₂; MeI; (f) "Bu₃SnH, AIBN; (g) O₃; NaBH₄; (h) I₂, PPh₃, imidazole; (i) 106, LDA; 105; (j) O₃; (k) NaH, BnBr, "Bu₄NI, imidazole; (l) O₃; Me₂S; (m) 108 + 109; H₂O₂, NaOH; (n) KH, BnBr; (o) O₃; PPh₃; (p) TiCl₄, 107, Et₃N; 111; (q) PhCHO, SmI₂; (r) TBSOTf, 2,6-lutidine; (s) H_2 , 10% Pd-C; (t) TsCl, Et₃N, DMAP; (u) K₂CO₃, MeOH.

Scheme 7

selective to
sylation of the C_{14} primary hydroxyl and treatment with base then furnished the epoxide 121. Regioselective opening of epoxide 121 by attack of lithiated 1,3-dithiane at C_{14} , followed by protection of the resulting C_{13} hydroxyl and subsequent dithiane hydrolysis, afforded the aldehyde 122. Double nitroaldol reaction between nitromethane and 2 equiv of aldehyde 122 then gave the mixture of diols 123. Protecting group exchange, followed by hydrolysis of the nitro group,⁶¹ supplied a mixture of diastereomeric ketones 124. By analogy with the earlier work of Stork on erythronolide A,⁶² treatment of this mixture with potassium carbonate in methanol effected epimerization to give exclusively the C_{13} , C_{15} syn-C₁₇, C₁₉-syn C_2 symmetrical ketone 118. This was predicted to be the thermodynamically most favorable epimer, since the acetonide rings both adopt chair conformations with the alkyl side chains at C_{13} , C_{15} , C_{17} , and C_{19} all equatorially disposed.

Introduction of a methyl group at C_{16} and desymmetrization was now required. Thus, Wittig methylenation at C_{16} of 118 supplied 125, and replacement of the acetonide protecting groups by p-methoxybenzylidene acetals then afforded 126. Reaction of 126

with excess $BH_3 \cdot Me_2S$ led to hydroboration of the C_{16} exomethylene group and concomitant differential reductive cleavage⁶³ of one of the p -methoxybenzylidene acetals, furnishing the fully differentiated diol 127 as a single diastereomer. Note that attempts to perform the same reaction on 125 resulted in lower yields due to the diminished reduction potential of the acetonide moiety compared to the p-methoxybenzylidene acetal. The authors have proposed that, after hydroboration, the reaction proceeds via the transition state 128 in which the boron atom can coordinate with only one oxygen atom of the two acetals (that at C_{15}), thus activating the corresponding acetal C-O bond to reductive cleavage. Regioselective deoxygenation of 127 was accomplished by selective tosylation of the primary alcohol and subsequent LiAlH₄ reduction. Methylation of the remaining C_{15} hydroxyl then afforded 129. After deprotection of the benzyl groups in 129 with Raney nickel and acid hydrolysis of the p-methoxybenzylidene acetal, treatment with DDQ led to cyclization^{42a} of the C_{13} PMB ether onto the hydroxyl revealed at C_{11} to supply triol 130. Selective oxidation of the primary alcohol of 130 with Ag_2CO_3 on celite gave the β -hydroxy δ -lactone 131, and stereoselective methylation⁶⁴ at the C₂₀ α carbon then afforded 132. This completed the introduction of the stereogenic centers spanning $C_{13}-C_{20}$ of swinholide A. A five-step sequence of protecting group exchange and adjustment of oxidation level at C_{21} transformed 132 into aldehyde 133, and a diastereoselective Evans syn aldol reaction of 133 with the (Z) -enol borinate derived from chiral oxazolidinone 13465 correctly installed the remaining stereogenic centers at C_{21} and C_{22} . Removal of the chiral auxiliary from the aldol adduct 135 and transformation into the C_{23} aldehyde, along with protection of the C_{21} hydroxyl, then furnished the $C_{11}-C_{23}$ segment 116 of swinholide A, in readiness for aldol coupling to the C_{24} - C_{32} segment 117. $[C_{11}-C_{23}$ segment 116: 1.2%

Scheme 8. Nakata Swinholide A $C_{11}-C_{23}$ **Synthesis^{19a a}**

 a (a) BH₃·Me₂S; (b) Me₂CO, H⁺; (c) BnBr, NaH, ⁿBu₄NI; (d) H₂SO₄; (e) TsCl, py; (f) K₂CO₃, MeOH; (g) ⁿBuLi, 1,3-dithiane; (h) DHP, p -TsOH; (i) HgO, HgCl₂, H₂O; (j) 122 (2 equiv), MeNO₂ (1 equiv), Et₃N, 5.5 kbar; (k) AcOH, H₂O; (l) (MeO)₂CMe₂, CSA; (m) 'BuONa, $\rm{KMnO_4},\rm{MgSO_4},\rm{H_2O};$ (n) $\rm{K_2CO_3},\rm{MeOH};$ (o) $\rm{Ph_3P^+Me1^-}$, "BuLi; (p) AcOH, H2O; (q) p -MeO(C₆H₄)CH(OMe)₂, CSA; (r) BH₃·Me₂S (3 equiv); H2O2, NaOH; (s) TsCl, py; (t) LAH; (u) KH, MeI; (v) H2, Raney Ni; (w) AcOH, H2O; (x) DDQ; (y) Ag2C03-celite; (z) LDA, MeI, HMPA; (a') LiBH₄; (b') TBDPSCl, imidazole; (c') (MeO)₂CMe₂, PPTS; (d[']) TBAF; (e') Swern oxidation; (f') 134, "Bu₂BOTf, 'Pr₂NEt; 133; H₂O₂; (g') LiOH, H_2O_2 ; (h') CH_2N_2 ; (i') TESOTf, 2,6-lutidine; (j') DIBAL; (k') PDC.

overall yield from **121;** 37 steps; ~5 steps per stereogenie center.]

*b. C24-C32 Segment Synthesis.¹⁹** The route to the $C_{24}-C_{32}$ segment 117 began with (S)-methyl 3-hydroxybutyrate **(136),** which was prepared from Dthreonine **(137)** according to the method of Larcheveque (Scheme 9).⁶⁶ Thus, deamination of **137** in the presence of bromide ion gave **138,** and esterification followed by reduction then supplied **136.** A Claisen reaction between ester **136** and the lithium enolate of tert-butyl acetate afforded β -keto ester 139, and subsequent lactonization and simultaneous protection of the C29 carbonyl furnished **140.** Reduction of **140** delivered the corresponding lactol as a **4:1** mixture of α - and β -anomers, which upon silylation gave a single isomer 141 having the C_{27} silyloxy group equatorial. This fixing of the anomeric group in the equatorial position was essential in order to achieve complete stereoselectivity in the subsequent introduction of the C_{29} stereogenic center, which was miroduction of the \mathcal{O}_2 stereogenic center, which was
achieved by means of ketone reduction.⁶⁷ Thus, after deprotection of the thioacetal to give ketone **142,** reduction with LiAlH₄ took place on the less-hindered β -face, resulting in exclusive formation of the corre- β -race; resulting in exclusive formation of the corresponding C_{29} - α alcohol:⁶⁷ methylation then afforded 143. Stereoselective introduction of the C₂₇ side chain was accomplished by BF_3 ^{-OEt₂-mediated allyl-} silane addition to **143,** which gave exclusively the allyl glycoside **49,** having the allyl group axially

disposed.⁵⁴ Note that a similarly stereoselective transformation was used in the Paterson synthesis $(48 \rightarrow 49 \text{ in Scheme } 2).$ ^{17a} After ozonolysis of 49, to afford aldehyde **144,** extension of the side chain by Wittig olefination, followed by hydrogenation, then furnished the C32-C24 segment **145.** Finally, conversion to acyl chloride **146** and treatment with the lithium salt of **147⁶⁵** gave the imide **117.**

*c. C11—C32 Segment Synthesis.¹⁹** Coupling of the $C_{11}-C_{23}$ and $C_{24}-C_{32}$ segments was achieved by an Evans *syn* aldol reaction⁶⁵ between aldehyde **116** and the (Z)-enol borinate derived from imide **117.** This supplied the β -hydroxy imide 148, having the correct configurations at C_{23} and C_{24} (Scheme 9). After an exchange of protecting groups to give **149,** removal of the chiral auxiliary⁶⁸ and adjustment of oxidation state afforded the alcohol **150.** In order to complete the synthesis of the $C_{11}-C_{32}$ segment, conversion of the C24 hydroxymethyl group of **150** into a methyl group was now required. Procedures for effecting this transformation via the coresponding mesylate, tosylate, xanthate, or iodide were found to be unsatisfactory. However, conversion to the 2-pyridyl sulfide **151,⁶⁹** followed by reductive cleavage of the carbon—sulfur bond upon treatment with Raney nickel,⁷⁰ furnished the $C_{11}-C_{32}$ segment 115 of swinholide A. In this synthesis, three of the stereogenic centers in 115 (those at C_{13} , C_{19} , and C_{31}) originated from the chiral pool, the four stereogenic centers

Scheme 9. Nakata Swinholide A $C_{11}-C_{32}$ Synthesis^{19b a}

 a (a) NaNO₂, HBr, KBr; (b) MeOH, H⁺; (c) H₂, Pd-C; (d) MeCO₂'Bu, LDA; 136; (e) HS(CH₂₎₂SH, BF₃-OEt₂; (f) DIBAL; (g) TBDPSCl, imidazole; (h) NBS, AgNO₃, Na₂CO₃, H₂O; (i) LAH; (j) KH, MeI; (k) H₂C=CHCH₂TMS, BF₃OEt₂; (l) O₃; Me₂S; (m) Ph₃P=CHCO₂Me; (n) H₂, 10% Pd-C; (o) LiOH, H₂O; (p) (COCl)₂; (q) 147, "BuLi; 146; (r) PPTS; (u) LiOH, H₂O₂, H₂O; (v) CH₂N₂; (w) LAH; (x) 2,2'-dipyridyl disulfide, "Bu₃P; (y) Raney Ni.

spanning $C_{21}-C_{24}$ were introduced by two auxiliarycontrolled reactions $(133 + 134 \rightarrow 135$ and $116 + 117$ \rightarrow 149), and the remaining six stereogenic centers were set up by reactions relying on substrate control of asymmetric induction. $[C_{11}-C_{32}$ segment 115: 0.5% overall yield from 121; 45 steps longest linear sequence; 62 steps total; \sim 5 steps per stereogenic center.

B. The Halichondrins

The halichondrins $(152-160)$ in Figure 3) are a series of complex polyether macrolides, originally isolated from the marine sponge Halichondria okadai Kadota,⁷¹ which show potent in vitro and in vivo
antitumor activity.⁷¹⁻⁷³ Halichondrin B (152) has been selected by the NCI for development as an anticancer drug, and most synthetic efforts have focused on this member of the class. Due to the scarcity of the halichondrins obtained from sponge extracts, total synthesis is highly desirable to augment the natural supply. In 1992, Kishi and coworkers reported the first total synthesis of halichondrin B (and also of norhalichondrin B (155)).^{74e} Significant segments have also been prepared by the groups of Salomon⁷⁵ and Horita and Yonemitsu.^{76a-d} Burke et al. have also reported the synthesis of two halichondrin B segments.⁷⁷

1. Kishi Total Synthesis⁷⁴

The landmark synthesis of halichondrin $B(152)$ by Kishi and co-workers was based on the assembly of

Figure 3. Structures of the halichondrins.

three segments: a $C_1 - C_{13}$ segment (161), a $C_{14} - C_{38}$ segment (162), and a $C_{39}-C_{54}$ segment (163) (Scheme 10). Kishi-Nozaki Ni(II)/Cr(II)-mediated coupling reactions⁷⁸ were used to construct the $C_{13}-C_{14}$ and $C_{38}-C_{39}$ bonds, and a macrolactonization reaction was used to close the macrocycle.

a. C_1-C_{13} Segment Synthesis.^{74f} The most efficient and most recent synthesis of the $\rm C_1\rm -C_{13}$ segment $\bf 161$ is outlined in Scheme 11. The route began with

Scheme IO

Scheme 11. Kishi Halichondrin C₁-C₁₃ Synthesis^{74f a}

" (a) Acetonide protection; (b) DIBAL; (c) 'BuOK, MeOCH2- PPh_3 ⁺Cl⁻; (d) OsO₄, (^{*i*}PrNHCH₂)₂; (e) Ac₂O, DMAP, py; (f) H₂C=CHCH₂TMS, TMSOTf; (g) catecholborane, RhCl(PPh₃)₃; (h) PCC/alumina; (i) Ph3P=CHCO2Me; Triton B methoxide; (j) *p-*TsOH; (k) NaIO₄; (l) trans-ⁿBuCH=CHI, NiCl₂ (1.1%)-CrCl₂; (m) FeCl₃, SiO₂; (n) TBSOTf, 2,6-lutidine; (o) O_3 ; (p) CHI₃, CrCl₂.

L-mannonic y-lactone **(164),** whose four stereocenters match those at $C_8 - C_{11}$ of halichondrin. Acetonide protection, reduction to the C_7 aldehyde and Wittig olefination converted **164** to **165.** Osmylation of enol ether **165** then introduced the correct hydroxyl stereochemistry at C_7 (16:1 in favor of the desired), in accordance with the Kishi empirical rule.⁷⁹ After acetalization to give **166,** C-allylation provided exclusively the expected⁵⁴³ axial allyl glycoside **167** with the desired configuration at C_6 . Following conversion to 168 and Wittig olefination at C_3 to give 169, an *in situ* intramolecular hetero-Michael reaction then provided 170 and its C_3 epimer in 1:1 ratio. Upon treatment of the mixture with Triton B methoxide, however, complete equilibration to the thermodynamically more stable desired epimer **170** occurred. Thus the transformation $168 \rightarrow 170$ was achieved in one pot. Selective hydrolysis of one of the two acetonide groups in 170 furnished the $C_1 - C_{12}$ segment **171.** A six-step sequence involving Ni(II)/Cr- (II)-mediated vinyl addition to the C_{11} aldehyde (vide *infra*)⁷⁸ then provided the $C_1 - C_{13}$ segment **161** used

Scheme 12. Kishi Halichondrin C₁-C₁₃ **Synthesis⁸⁰ «**

 α (a) BzCl, py; (b) p-TsOH, MeOH; (c) TBSOTf, Et₃N; (d) MeONa; (e) Swern oxidation; (f) IC=CTMS, NiCl₂ (0.01%) -CrCl₂; (g) Ag NO_3 ; (h) "Bu₃SnH, AIBN; (i) I₂; (j) TBSOTf, Et₃N.

in the total synthesis. Thus, in the synthesis of **161,** the four stereogenic centers spanning C_8-C_{11} originated in the chiral pool, and the other three stereogenic centers were installed using reactions relying on substrate control of asymmetric induction.

An earlier, and longer, synthesis⁸⁰ of $C_1 - C_{13}$ segment **161** is summarized in Scheme 12. Alcohol **172** was synthesized from D-glucose diacetonide **(173)** in 20 steps.⁸¹ This involved transformation to L-talofuranoside to provide the B ring, 82 allyl glycoside formation at C_6 , and formation of the A ring by an intramolecular hetero-Michael reaction (analogous to $169 \rightarrow 170$ in Scheme 11). After a sequence of protecting group exchange and oxidation to provide the C_{11} aldehyde 174, a Ni(II)/Cr(II)-mediated cou- $\frac{1}{2}$ pling⁷⁸ with an alkynyl iodide then gave the propargylic alcohol **175** with a selectivity of ~ 8.1 in favor of the desired, and anticipated,^{78c} configuration at C_{11} . Alcohol **175** was then converted into the vinyl iodide **161** in a further four steps. Note that the coupling methodology is well suited for labile aldehydes such as **174:** in this case, no complications due to enolization, such as epimerization or dehydration, were observed. A similar stereoselective Ni(II)/Cr(II) mediated coupling reaction, this time involving a vinyl iodide, was used during the transformation **171** \rightarrow 161 (Scheme 11). [C₁-C₁₃ segment 161: improved route—16 steps from **164;** ~2 steps per stereogenic center; original route^{-30} steps from 173; \sim 4 steps per stereogenic center.]

b. C27—C38 Segment Synthesis.14h Synthesis of the C27-C38 segment **176** was accomplished using the Ireland-Claisen rearrangement,⁸³ Ni(II)/Cr(II)-mediated coupling⁷⁸ and intramolecular hetero-Michael reactions as key steps (Scheme 13). The synthesis began with D-galactose glycal **(177),** which was converted into its 4-0-benzyl-3,6-0-dipropionate derivative **(178).** Ireland-Claisen rearrangement of **178** under appropriate conditions (LiHMDS, TBSCl, HMPA/THF to generate the ketene silyl acetal; then reflux) gave the expected⁸⁴ product 179 with \sim 8:1 stereoselectivity. Iodolactonization of this mixture, followed by reductive removal of the iodine, then afforded the y-lactone **180;** the minor diastereomer was removed by chromatography or recrystallization at this stage. In contrast, Ireland-Claisen rearrang-

Scheme 13. Kishi Halichondrin $C_{27}-C_{38}$ Synthesis^{74b a}

^a (a) TBSCl, imidazole; (b) BnBr, NaH; (c) TBAF; (d) (EtCO)₂O, Et₃N; (e) LiHMDS, TBSCl, HMPA; Δ; (e') LDA; TBSCl; Δ; (f) NaOH; (g) I_2 , KI, NaHCO₃; (h) ⁿBu₃SnH, AIBN; (i) DIBAL; (j) p-TsOH, MeOH; (k) Tf₂O, py; NaCN; (l) DIBAL; NaBH₄; (m) H₂/Pd(OH)₂–C; (n) EtSH, BF_3OEt_2 ; (o) TBSOTf, Et₃N; (p) I₂, NaHCO₃, H₂O; (q) trans-MeO₂CCH-CHI, NiCl₂ (1.0%)-CrCl₂; (r) PPh₃, p-O₂NC₆H₄CO₂H; $E₁O₂CN-NCO₂Et$; K₂CO₃, MeOH; (s) Cl₃CC(=NH)OPMB, BF₃OEt₂; (t) HF·Py; (u) Me₂C(OMe)₂, PPTS; (v) TBAF; (w) PPTS, MeOH; (x) TBSOTf, Et₃N; (y) LAH; (z) Dess-Martin periodinane.

ment of the isomeric ketene silvl acetal generated from 178 in the absence of HMPA gave the epimeric 181 as the major product with 5:1 stereoselectivity; this was converted to γ -lactone 182. Whereas the stereochemistry of 180 matches the $C_{31}-C_{36}$ portion of the halichondrins, that of 182 matches the C_{46} - C_{51} portions of the norhalichondrins and homohalichondrins. Thus the configuration at C_3 (galactose numbering) of 178 (indicated *) sets up the C_{32} (or C_{47}) stereocenter, and the stereochemistry of the ester enolate determines the C_{31} (or C_{46}) configuration. Note that use of 3,4,6-tripropionate-D-galactose glycal could eliminate three steps needed for differential protection of the hydroxyls. Experimentally, however, the rates of the Ireland-Claisen rearrangements of the C_3 and C_4 propionates were found to be similar, and selective protection of the C4 hydroxyl was required, as in 178.

 γ -Lactone 180 was converted into the C₃₀ aldehyde 183, and then a Ni(II)/Cr(II)-mediated coupling reaction⁷⁸ was used to construct the $C_{29}-C_{30}$ bond. Unfortunately, a 2:1 mixture of the two possible diastereomers 184 and 185 was formed, favoring the desired 184. The minor undesired 185 could be converted to 184 by using the Mitsunobu inversion procedure.⁸⁵ A sequence of protecting group interconversions transformed 184 into 186, and then a fluoride ion-mediated intramolecular hetero-Michael reaction was used to close the F ring with greater than 20:1 stereoselectivity at C_{25} , in favor of the desired 187. Note that Michael reaction of the corresponding triol initially yielded the desired diastereomer as the major product, but it rapidly isomerized to the undesired diastereomer. Protecting group exchange and partial reduction at C_{27} then gave the $C_{27}-C_{38}$ segment 176. Thus, in this synthesis, two of the seven stereogenic centers in 176 originated in the chiral pool $(C_{35}$ and C_{36}), and the remaining five stereogenic centers were installed using reactions relying on substrate control of asymmetric induction. Compound 176 was also synthesized from methyl L-glucopyranoside, by a longer route, which served to confirm the stereochemistry.^{74b}

[$C_{27}-C_{38}$ segment 176: 25 steps from 177; $\sim3-4$ steps per stereogenic center.]

c. $C_{39}-C_{54}$ Segment Synthesis.^{74c} Scheme 14 outlines the synthesis of the $C_{39}-C_{54}$ segment 163. Conjugate addition of methyl cuprate to the α,β unsaturated lactone 188, prepared from L-ascorbic acid $(189)^{86}$ afforded the single C_{46} stereoisomer 190, which was then transformed into epoxide 191. Construction of the $C_{49}-C_{50}$ bond by Yamaguchi coupling⁸⁷ of 191 with alkyne 192, obtained from (R) malic acid (193), followed by Lindlar reduction of the coupled product, gave the cis-alkene 194. VO(acac)2catalyzed⁸⁸ epoxidation of 194 (employing aromatic solvents for optimum stereoselectivity) and subsequent acid treatment then gave the tetrahydrofuran 195 with $7-8.1$ stereoselectivity. The stereochemistry of the epoxidation was assigned on the basis of literature precedents.⁸⁹ Note that at this time there was still ambiguity concerning the C_{50} , C_{51} , and C_{53} configurations of halichondrin B. By using both alkyne 192 and its antipode, by generating either cis or trans alkenes at $C_{50}-C_{51}$, and by employing either $VO (acac)₂$ ⁸⁸ or *m*-CPBA⁹⁰ epoxidation, the Kishi route allowed the preparation of all the stereoisomers at the C_{50} , C_{51} , and C_{53} centers. These were prepared
and their ¹H NMR spectra compared with the reported⁷¹ spectrum for halichondrin B. The data for 195 and 196 matched well with the reported values. and so these two diastereomers were separately taken on to halichondrin B (and its diastereomer). In this way the stereochemistry of 195 was established as that of the natural product. This study elegantly illustrates the use of total synthesis to probe the stereochemical configuration of structurally complex natural products, as well as revealing the powerful advantage offered by using acyclic methods of stereocontrol, whereby the preparation of stereochemical analogs is made synthetically viable by simple changes of reagent.

Coupling of the C_{44} aldehyde 197 (derived from 195) with the C_{43} alkyllithium derived from bromide **198** (in turn obtained from methyl (S) -3-hydroxy-2methylpropionate (199)) provided the $C_{39}-C_{54}$ seg-

 a (a) Me₂CuLi, TMSCl; (b) LAH; (c) PivCl, py; (d) PMBBr, KH; (e) AcOH, H_2O ; (f) NaH; N-tosylimidazole; (g) BH_3 ·SMe₂, B(OMe)₃; (h) Me₂CO, p-TsOH; (i) Swern oxidation; (j) $(MeO)_2P(=O)CHN_2$, 'BuOK; (k) **192,** "BuLi; **191,** BF3-OEt2; (D H2, Lindlar catalyst, quinoline; (m) 'BuOOH, VO(acac)₂; (n) TFA; (o) AcOH, H₂O; (p)TBSOTf, Et3N; (q) LAH; (r) Dess-Martin periodinane; (s) **198,** E BuLi; 197; (t) AgNO₃, HMDS; (u) n Bu₃SnH, AIBN; (v) I₂; (w) $Dess-Martin periodinane; (a') DHP, H⁺; (b') LAH; (c') Swern'$ oxidation; (d') LiC \equiv CTMS; (e') Cl₃CC(\equiv NH)OPMB, BF₃-OEt₂; (f') CSA, MeOH; (g') MsCl, Et₃N; (h') LiBr.

ment 200. Oxidation at C_{44} , and transformation of the alkynylsilane functionality to the vinyl iodide then provided segment **163.** Thus, in this synthesis, four of the eight stereogenic centers in **163** originated in the chiral pool $(C_{42}, C_{47}, C_{48}, and C_{53})$, and the other four stereogenic centers were installed using reactions relying on substrate control of asymmetric induction. [C39-C54 segment **163: 19** steps from **188** longest linear sequence; **31** steps total; ~4 steps per stereogenic center.]

*d. C39—C53 Norhalichondrin and C39—C55 Homohalichondrin Segment Syntheses.*74d Synthesis of the $C_{39}-C_{53}$ segment 201 of the norhalichondrins began with the previously prepared γ -lactone 182 which bore the correct stereochemical configuration at C_{46} -C51 (Scheme 15). Compound **182** was converted into acetal **202** using the transformations already performed on the epimeric γ -lactone **180** (Scheme 13), and then the protecting groups changed and the oxidation level at C45 adjusted to give alcohol **203,** which was subsequently homologated to the C_{44} aldehyde **204.** The same five-step sequence that was used to complete the $C_{39}-C_{54}$ halichondrin segment $(i.e. 197 \rightarrow 163$ in Scheme 14) was employed to provide **205**, and finally conversion to the \overline{C}_{53} methyl ester gave the $C_{39}-C_{53}$ norhalichondrin segment **201**.

Acetal 202 was also converted into the $C_{39}-C_{55}$ homohalichondrin segment 206. Oxidation at C₅₃ of **202,** followed by Still Horner-Emmons homologation⁹¹ and subsequent reduction, gave the $C_{45}-\widetilde{C}_{55}$ segment **207,** which was then submitted to Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation.⁹² *In situ* acid-catalyzed cyclization of the epoxide **208** then provided the completed M-ring compound 209. Note that the C₅₃ and C_{54} configurations of the homohalichondrins were unknown at the time. Thus, preparation of both alkene **207** and its *trans* isomer and use of both enantiomers of diethyltartrate ligand in the Sharpless epoxidation allowed all possible stereoisomers to b be made.⁹³ Comparison of ¹H NMR data with that reported for homohalichondrin A71b identified tetrahydrofuran **209** as having the natural configuration. Elaboration of **209** to the complete $C_{39}-C_{55}$ homohalichondrin segment **206** was accomplished as for the norhalichondrin segment $(cf. 202 \rightarrow 205)$.

Scheme 15. Kishi Norhalichondrin C₃₉-C₅₃ and Homohalichondrin C₃₉-C₅₅ Syntheses^{74d a}

^a (a) DIBAL; (b) p-TsOH, MeOH; (c) Tf₂O, py; NaCN; (d) DIBAL; NaBH₄; (e) H₂, Pd(OH)₂-C; (f) EtSH, BF₃-OEt₂; (g) TBSOTf, Et₃N; (h) I2, NaHCO3; NaBH4; (i) MsCl, Et3N; NaCN; (j) DIBAL; (k) **198,** 'BuLi; the C44 aldehyde for norhalichondrin or for homohalichondrin; (1) AgNO3, HMDS; (m) "Bu3SnH, AIBN; (n) I2; (0) Dess-Martin periodinane; (p) CSA; (q) Dess-Martin periodinane; (r) NaClO2, NaH2PO4, CH_2N_2 ; (s) Dess-Martin periodinane; (t) $(CF_3CH_2O)_2P(=O)CH_2CO_2Me$, KHMDS, 18-crown-6; the aldehyde from step(s); (u) DIBAL; (v) i BuOOH, $(+)$ -DET, Ti $(OⁱPr)₄$; (w) p-TsOH, wet CHCl₃.

^{*a*} (a) 211, NaH; 210; (b) $[({P_{h_3}P})CuH]_6$, H₂; (c) NaBH₄; (d) the more polar alcohol, Ms₂O, Et₃N; (e) 176 + 215, NiCl₂ (0.5%)–CrCl₂; (f) KH; (g) 162, LAH; (h) Dess-Martin periodinane; (i) the aldehyde from previous step + 161, NiCl₂ (0.1%)-CrCl₂; (j) Dess-Martin periodinane; (k) DDQ; (l) LiOH, H_2O ; (m) 2,4,6-Cl₃C₆H₂COCl, Et₃N; DMAP, Δ ; (n) TBAF; (o) PPTS; (p) p-O₂NC₆H₄COCl, py; (q) TBSOTf, Ex_3N ; (r) K_2CO_3 , MeOH; (s) 220, Dess-Martin periodinane; (t) the aldehyde from the previous step + 163 (for halichondrin B) or 201 (for norhalichondrin B), NiCl₂ (0.1%)-CrCl₂; (u) Dess-Martin periodinane; (v) TBAF; (w) DDQ; (x) CSA; (y) LiOH, H₂O.

Thus, in the synthesis of **201,** three of the seven stereogenic centers in the target molecule originated in the chiral pool $(C_{42}, C_{50},$ and C_{51}), and the other four stereogenic centers were installed using reactions relying on substrate control of asymmetric induction. In the synthesis of **201,** the two additional stereogenic centers in the target molecule, at C_{53} and C_{54} , were constructed using a chiral reagent. $[C_{39}-$ C53 norhalichondrin segment **201: 26** steps from **177** longest linear sequence; **34** steps total; ~5 steps per stereogenic center; $C_{39}-C_{55}$ homohalichondrin segment **206**: 27 steps from **177** longest linear sequence; **35** steps total; ~4 steps per stereogenic center.]

*e. Completion of the Total Syntheses of Halichon*drin B and Norhalichondrin B.^{74e} Assembly of the segments and completion of the total synthesis of halichondrin B **(152)** and norhalichondrin B **(155)** is outlined in Scheme 16. The $C_{14}-C_{21}$ and $C_{22}-C_{26}$ segments **210⁹⁴** and **21**1 95 were prepared from the precursors **212** and **213**, respectively. The $C_{21}-C_{22}$ bond construction was accomplished by a HornerEmmons reaction between **210** and **211,** under carefully controlled conditions, followed by conjugate reduction using the Stryker reagent $([(\text{Ph}_3\text{P})\text{CuH}]_{6}/$ H2),⁹⁶ to afford **214.** Note that no double-bond isomerization from the C_{19} exocyclic to the $C_{19}-C_{20}$ endocyclic position was observed during these transformations. Unfortunately, hydride reduction of **214** gave a 1:1 ratio of C_{23} alcohol epimers. These were gave a 1.1 ratio of \mathcal{O}_{23} alcohol epimers. These were
interconvertible via Mitsunobu inversion.⁸⁵ but because their stereochemistry could not be firmly established, both were transformed separately into the corresponding mesylates and used in the next coupling reaction. $A Ni(II)/Cr(II)$ -mediated coupling⁷⁸ of 215 and the $C_{27}-C_{38}$ segment 176 yielded a 6:1 mixture of the two possible allylic alcohols, which were immediately subjected to base-catalyzed E-ring cyclization to give **162** (and the undesired minor diastereomer). The C_{23} and C_{27} configurations were now established by nOe experiments.

A Ni(II)/Cr(II) coupling⁷⁸ of the C₁₄ aldehyde derived from 162 with the $C_1 - C_{13}$ segment 161 , fol-

lowed by Dess-Martin oxidation,²⁷ gave the enone **216.** After removal of the C_{30} PMB ether^{42b,c} and hydrolysis of the C_1 methyl ester, a Yamaguchi macrolactonization⁴⁷ provided the macrocycle 217. TBAF-mediated deprotection of **217** led to hetero-Michael cyclization of exclusively the C₉ hydroxyl onto C_{12} with 5-6:1 stereoselectivity in the desired sense to generate saturated ketone **218,** bearing the C ring, which was then cyclized using PPTS to give the complete $C_1 - C_{38}$ portion 219 of halichondrin B. At this stage, the undesired diastereomer from the hetero-Michael cyclization could be separated and recycled under TBAF conditions. Selective protection of the C_{35} hydroxyl via temporary protection of the C38 hydroxyl then gave **220.** A Ni(II)/Cr(II)-mediated coupling⁷⁸ of the C38 aldehyde derived from **220** with the $C_{39}-C_{54}$ halichondrin segment **163** and subsethe C₃₉ C₅₄ Hallchondrin segment **Too** and subse-
quent Dess-Martin oxidation²⁷ gave the enone 221 A three-step sequence, without isolation of intermediates, finally converted **221** into halichondrin B diates, finally converted \mathbf{z}_1 into nation and \mathbf{z}_2 into \mathbf{z}_3 and \mathbf{z}_5 are the first step (TRAF) indicated the partial structure **222,** suggesting initial deprotection of the C_{48} TBS ether, hemiacetal formation with the C_{44} ketone (\rightarrow K ring), and hetero-Michael addition of the hemiacetal hydroxyl onto C_{40} $(\rightarrow$ J ring). Simultaneously, deprotection of the C₃₅ TBS ether led to hemiacetal formation with the C_{38} TDO ether feu to hemiacetal formation with the C_{38}
ketone (\rightarrow H ring). Deprotection of the C₄1 PMB ketone (→ H r
ether (DDO)42bc ether (DDQ)^{42b,c} and acid-catalyzed spiroacetalization with the C_{38} hemiacetal then completed the I ring. with the $\sqrt{38}$ hemiacetal then completed the 1 rmg. Note that the differential protection of the C_{48} hydroxyl prevents formation of the alternative 5,5spiroacetal between the C_{44} ketone and C_{41} and C_{48}
hydroxyls.

The synthesis of norhalichondrin B **(155)** was carried out in an analagous manner using the C_{39} Cs3 norhalichondrin segment **201,** except that an additional final step was required, *viz.* hydrolysis of the C₅₃ methyl ester of 223.

The masterful Kishi total syntheses of **152** and **155** are noteworthy for their repeated use of Ni(II)/Cr- (II)-mediated coupling reactions⁷⁸ to assemble complex structures, and for the several examples of hetero-Michael intramolecular ring closures. Carbohydrate-based stereocontrol strategies⁸ supplied many of the stereogenic centers in the target molecules. Improvements to the route are now being explored by Kishi and co-workers in an attempt to enhance the synthetic supply of halichondrin B. [Halichondrin B **(152):** 45 steps from **177** longest linear sequence; 120 steps total; \sim 4 steps per stereogenic center; norhalichondrin B **(155):** 46 steps from **177** longest linear sequence; 124 steps total; 4 steps per stereogenic center.]

2. Horita/Yonemitsu Segment Syntheses⁷⁶

Horita, Yonemitsu, and co-workers have synthesized the four halichondrin B segments depicted in Scheme 17: $C_1 - C_{15}$ segment 224, $C_{16} - C_{26}$ segment **225,** C27-C36 segment **226,** and C37-C54 segment **227.** The total synthesis has not yet been reported, but assembly of the segments in the order $[(225 + 226)$ + **227]** + **224** or [(226 + **227)** + **225]** + **224** and final macrolactonization has been proposed.⁷⁶⁸

a. *C1-C13 Segment Synthesis.¹⁶** The Horita/ Yonemitsu synthesis of a $C_1 - C_{13}$ halichondrin B **Scheme 17**

segment **(228)** involved the construction of the A and B rings by intramolecular hetero-Michael reactions under thermodynamic and kinetic conditions, respectively $(229 \rightarrow 228 \text{ and } 230 \rightarrow 231 \text{ in Scheme } 18)$. Treatment of epoxide **232,** obtained in nine steps from D-glucose diacetonide **(173),** with acetic acid led to a *5-exo⁹⁷* C-ring cyclization to afford tetrahydrofuran 233. Differential protection of the C_{13} and C_{11} hydroxyls of 233, dithiane hydrolysis⁹⁸ to reveal the C_8 aldehyde, and a Horner-Emmons reaction then gave α , β -unsaturated ester 234. After reduction at C_6 , a Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation⁹² gave epoxide **235,** whose ring opening into triol **236** via a carbamate was attained only by employing Roush's extra bandale was attained only by employing flousn's
method.⁹⁹ A 13-step sequence involving protecting group exchange, inversion at C_{11} and extension by two carbon units at C_6 then gave the B ring precursor **230.** The C₇,C₈ diol acetonide in **230** fixes the α , β unsaturated side chain in a favorable conformation for cyclization to the B ring, and so TBAF-mediated Cio deprotection of **230** and subsequent *kinetically controlled* cyclization led to the desired *C6,Cio-trans* tetrahydropyran **231.** Note that on treatment with alkali, **231** isomerized to the thermodynamically aikali, 231 isomerized to the thermodynamically
more stable tetrahydropyran 6-epi-231 ¹⁰⁰ A six-step sequence converted **231** to the A-ring precursor **229.** Cyclization under *thermodynamic conditions* was expected to provide the desired C_3 , C_7 -cis tetrahydropyran. Brief treatment of **229** with TBAF, followed by trityl protection of the C_{13} primary hydroxyl, gave a 2:1 mixture of the C_3 , C_7 -cis tetrahydropyran 228 and its C_3 epimer. Prolonged exposure of this mixture to TBAF increased the ratio to 19:1, in favor of ture to TBAF Increased the ratio to 19.1, in layor of
the desired 228. Fleberation of 228 to the C_{it}C_{is} the desired **228**. Elaboration of **228** to the $C_1 - C_{15}$ segment 224 requires a two-carbon extension at C_{13} , but has not yet been reported. Thus, in the synthesis of 228, two stereogenic centers originated in the of **220**, two stereogenic centers originated in the χ^2 constructed using a chiral reagent (C_8) , and the constructed using a chiral reagent (C_8) , and the remaining five stereogenic centers were installed
using reactions relying on substrate control of asymusing reactions relying on substrate control of asym-
metric induction. $[C,-C_{is}]$ segment **228**: 2.2% overall y_{right} from 179.44 steps; ϵ ⁵⁻⁶ steps per stereogenic yield from 173; 44 steps; \sim 5-6 steps per stereogenic center.]

Scheme 18. Horita/Yonemitsu Halichondrin B C_1-C_{13} Synthesis^{76a a}

^{*a*} (a) BnCl, NaH; (b) 0.8 N H₂SO₄, MeOH; (c) BzCl, py; (d) 6 N HCl; (e) HS(CH₂)₃SH, ZnCl₂; (f) PMPCH(OMe)₂, CSA; (g) MsCl, Et₃N; (h) K₂CO₃; (i) 'BuOK; (j) 80% AcOH; (k) TrCl, DMAP, Et₃N; (l) PMBCl, $(^{i}Pro)_{2}P(\equiv O)CH_{2}CO_{2}Et$, 'BuOK; (q) DIBAL; (r) (-)-DET, Ti('OPr)4, 'BuOOH, molecular sieves; (s) PhNCO, Et₃N; (t) BF₃OEt₂; (u) K₂CO₃; (v) PivCl, DMAP, Et₃N; (w) H₂C=C(OMe)Me, PPTS; (x) DDQ; (y) Swern oxidation; (z) NaBH₄; (a') TBAF; (b') H₂C=C(OMe)Me, p-TsOH; (c') Na, liquid NH₃; (d') PivCl, DMAP, py; (e') TESCl, imidazole, DMAP; (f') DIBAL; (g') Swern oxidation; (h') (ⁱPrO₂P(=O)CH₂CO₂Et, 'BuOK; (i') TBAF; (j') 'BuOK; (k') LAH; (l') TsCl, DMAP, Et₃N; (m') NaCN; (n') DIBAL; (o') Ph₃P=CHCO₂Me; (p') CSA; (q') TBAF; (r') TrCl, DMAP, Et3N; (s') TBAF.

Scheme 19. Horita/Yonemitsu Halichondrin B $C_{16}-C_{26}$ Synthesis^{76b a}

^a (a) TBDPSCl, Et₃N; (b) Ca(BH₄)₂; (c) TsCl, Et₃N; (d) NaCN; (e) DIBAL; H⁺; (f) Ph₃P=CHCO₂Me; (g) DIBAL; (h) (-)-DET, Ti(OⁱPr)₄, 'BuOOH; (h') (+)-DET, Ti(O'Pr)4, 'BuOOH; (i) Red-Al; (j) PMPCH(OMe)₂, p-TsOH; (k) DIBAL; (l) Swern oxidation; (m) MeOH, H⁺; (n) BH_3Me_2S ; cat. NaBH₄; (o) H₂C=C(Me)OMe, PPTS; (p) (MeO)₂P(=O)Me, ⁿBuLi; (q) 247, ⁿBuLi; 245 or 246; (r) LiI, LAH; (s) AcOH, MeOH; (t) I₂, NaHCO₃; (u) NaH; (v) Raney Ni, H₂; (w) BzCl, py; (x) Swern oxidation; (y) Ph₃P=CH₂; (z) TBAF; (a') TsCl, Et₃N, DMAP; (b') NaCN; (c') K₂CO₃, MeOH; (d') SEMCl, ^{*i*}Pr₂NEt; (e') DIBAL; 1 N HCl; (f') Jones oxidation; (g') CH₂N₂.

b. $C_{16}-C_{26}$ Segment Syntheses.^{76b} Scheme 19 outlines the syntheses of two $C_{16}-C_{26}$ segments 225 and 237, epimeric at C_{23} , in which the \bar{D} ring was constructed by iodoetherification ($238 \rightarrow 239$ and 240 \rightarrow 241, respectively). Horita and Yonemitsu envisage coupling of $C_{16}-C_{26}$ and $C_{27}-C_{36}$ segments by aldol construction of the $C_{26}-C_{27}$ bond, followed by cyclization to form ring E. Cyclization with inversion at C_{23} would require 225 as the choice of $C_{16}-C_{26}$ segment, cyclization with retention would require 237. The syntheses of 225 and 237 both began with the allylic alcohol 242, obtained from methyl (S)-3-hydroxy-2methylpropionate (199) in seven steps. Sharpless epoxidation⁹² of 242, using the $(-)$ -diethyl tartrate ligand, and subsequent Red-Al reduction²⁹ gave the

23R diol 243. Likewise, use of the antipodal Sharpless catalyst afforded the 23S epimer 244. Formation of the p-methoxybenzylidene acetal, regioselective reductive cleavage to the C_{21} alcohol, and oxidation then provided the C_{21} aldehydes 245 (from 243) and **246** (from **244**). Next, construction of the $C_{20}-C_{21}$ bond by Horner-Emmons reaction of aldehyde 245 and β -keto phosphonate 247, derived from (R) -malic acid (193), gave the $C_{16}-C_{26}$ segment 248. Stereoselective reduction of 248 at C_{23} under chelationcontrolled conditions¹⁰² and removal of the acetonide then provided the D-ring precursor 238. Iodoetherification $(I_2, \text{ NaHCO}_3)$ of 238 gave exclusively the desired C_{17} , C_{20} -trans tetrahydrofuran 239. Note that, in preliminary studies, the TES-protected anaScheme 20. Horita/Yonemitsu Halichondrin B $C_{27}-C_{36}$ Synthesis^{76c a}

^a (a) p-MeO(C₆H₄)CH(OMe)₂, p-TsOH; (b) LAH; (c) TBSCl, imidazole; (d) DIBAL; (e) CSA, MeOH; (MeO)₂CMe₂, p-TsOH; (f) Swern oxidation; (g) (CF₃CH₂O)₂P(=O)CH₂CO₂Me, 18-crown-6, KHMDS; (h) 1 N HCl, MeOH; (i) TBDPSCl, imidazole; (j) p-TsOH; (k) DIBAL; (1) CSA, MeOH; (m) DDQ; (n) m-CPBA, 261; (o) MeMgCl, MeLi; (p) Ac₂O, Et₃N, DMAP; (q) TBAF; (r) TsCl, Et₃N; (s) K₂CO₃, MeOH; (t) TBSOTf, Et₃N; (u) NaCN; (v) DIBAL; (w) 1 N HCl; (x) Ph₃P=CHCO₂Me; (y) DIBAL; (z) (-)-DET, Ti(O'Pr)₄, 'BuOOH; (a') TsCl, Et₃N, DMAP; (b') NaI, NaHCO₃; (c') 'BuLi; (d') Ac₂O, Et₃N, DMAP; (e') OsO₄, NMO; (f') NaIO₄; (g') NaBH₄; (h') Ac₂O, Et₃N, DMAP; (i') $H_2C = CHCH_2TMS$, BF_3-OEt_2 , TMSOTf, (j') TBSOTf, Et_3N ; (k') K_2CO_3 , $MeOH$; (l') $Me_2C(OMe)_2$, CSA; (m') OsO_4 , NMO; (n') NaIO₄.

logue (249) of 248 was prepared. Unfortunately, reduction of 249 (using NaBH₄-CeCl₃) gave a 1.8:1 mixture of C_{19} epimers 250 and 251. Whereas iodoetherification of 250 gave exclusively 239, iodoetherification of 251 (or the derived triol 19-epi-238) gave the unwanted C_{17} , C_{20} -cis tetrahydrofuran isomer (~2:1 mixture at C_{21}) as the major product.¹⁰³ With 239 in hand, from 248, reduction of the iodide via an olefin and protection of the primary hydroxyl afforded 252. Swern oxidation³⁸ at C₁₉ and Wittig methylenation then gave 253, which was converted into the $C_{16}-C_{26}$ segment 225 in a further eight steps. In the same manner, Horner-Emmons coupling of 247 and the aldehyde 246 gave 240 which was transformed, via iodoetherification to 241, into the C_{23} -epimeric, $C_{16}-C_{26}$ segment 237. Thus, in the synthesis of 225, two stereogenic centers originated in the chiral pool $(C_{17}$ and C_{25}), one stereogenic center was constructed using a chiral reagent (C_{23}) , and the remaining stereogenic center was installed using substrate control of asymmetric induction (C_{20}) . [C_{16} – C_{26} segment 225: 16% overall yield from 199; 29 steps longest linear sequence; 33 steps total; \sim 8 steps per stereogenic center.]

c. $C_{27}-C_{36}$ Segment Syntheses.^{76c} The route to the $C_{27}-C_{36}$ segment 226, in which the F ring is constructed by a stereoselective C-glycosidation (254 \rightarrow 255), is outlined in Scheme 20. Alcohol 256, prepared in five steps from dimethyl L-tartrate $(257)^{104}$ was converted to the F-ring precursor 258 by a four-step sequence including a Z-selective Horner-Emmons reaction using the procedure of Still.⁹¹ Sequential lactonization, reduction to the lactol, methylation, and, finally, oxidative removal of the C_{32} PMB ether,^{42b,c} then gave allylic alcohol 259. An m-CPBA epoxidation of 259, directed by the C_{32} hydroxyl, exclusively afforded the β -epoxide 260.¹⁰⁵ Note that no reaction occurred unless the radical scavenger phenol 261 was also present.¹⁰⁶ Trans-diaxial opening of epoxide 260 with "Me₂Mg" (obtained from the supernatant of a mixture of MeMgCl and salt-free

MeLi in ether and THF) gave 262 exclusively. Compound 262 was then converted into bis(acetate) 263. Unfortunately, C-glycosidation of 263 using allyltrimethylsilane, in the presence of boron trifluoride etherate,⁵⁴ gave a mixture of α and β epimers (264). Replacement of the acetyl groups with larger TBS groups, however, as in 265, led to exclusive α -allylation (with *in situ* loss of the TBS groups) to give 266, but only in low yield. The selective α -glycosidation of 265 prompted a search for a substrate that would give higher yields. Thus 265 was transformed into allylic alcohol 267; Sharpless epoxidation⁹² and iodination then gave 268. After lithiumhalogen exchange on 268, in situ epoxide opening and acetylation of the product gave 269, which was converted into 254 . C₂₉-Allylation of 254 was now completely α -selective, and high yielding (89% cf. 38%) for 265), and the resulting diol was reprotected with TBS groups to give 255. Finally, protecting group exchange at C_{35} and C_{36} and oxidative cleavage of the double bond then gave the $C_{27}-C_{36}$ segment 226. Thus, in this synthesis, two stereogenic centers originated in the chiral pool $(C_{32}$ and C_{33}), one stereogenic center was constructed using a chiral reagent (C_{35}) , and the remaining three stereogenic centers were installed using reactions relying on substrate control of asymmetric induction. $[C_{27}-C_{36}]$ segment 226: 40 steps from 257; \sim 7 steps per stereogenic center.]

d. $C_{37}-C_{54}$ Segment Syntheses.^{76d} Scheme 21 outlines the synthesis of the $C_{37}-C_{54}$ segment 227 involving construction of the three consecutive JKL rings. Alcohol 256, derived from dimethyl L-tartrate¹⁰⁴ and used as a starting material in the C_{27} - C_{36} segment synthesis (Scheme 20), was converted into its homologue 270 and thence to the L-ring precursor 271. Sharpless epoxidation⁹² of 271 was accompanied by an in situ 5-exo⁹⁷ cyclization of epoxide 272 to give the tetrahydrofuran 273 directly. Inversion at C_{51} and two-carbon extension to provide C_{53} and C_{54} was now required. Conversion to epoxide

Scheme 21. Horita/Yonemitsu Halichondrin B C₃₇ - C₅₄ Synthesis^{76d a}

 a (a) Swern oxidation; (b) Ph₃PMe⁺Br⁻, 'BuOK; (c) (Sia)₂BH; H₂O₂, NaOH; (d) Swern oxidation; (e) (EtO)₂P(=O)CH₂CO₂Me, NaH; (f) 1 N HCl, MeOH; (g) TBSCl, imidazole; (h) DIBAL; (i) (-)-DET, Ti(O'Pr)4, 'BuOOH; (j) BzCl, py; (k) MsCl, Et3N, DMAP; (l) K2CO3, MeOH; (m) 'BuOK; (n) H₂C=CHMgBr, CuI; (o) H₂C=CH(OEt), PPTS; (p) OsO₄, NMO; (q) PivCl, py; (r) Swern oxidation; (s) PPTS, MeOH; (t) Et₂BOMe, NaBH₄; (u) H₂C=CH(OMe), PPTS; (v) TBAF; (w) Swern oxidation; (x) Ph₃P, CBr₄; (y) LDA; (z) K₂CO₃, MeOH; (a') TBDPSCl, imidazole; (b') "BuLi; ClCO₂Me; (c') MeMgCl, CuI; (d') DDQ; (e') NaI; (f') H₂, 10% Pd-C; (g') PhCH(OMe)₂, CSA; (h') Swern oxidation; (i') $(EtO)_2P(-O)CH_2CO_2Me$, NaH; (j') DIBAL; (k') (-)-DET, 'BuOOH, Ti(O'Pr)4; (l') Red-Al; (m') H₂C=C(OMe)Me, PPTS; (n') Na, liquid NH₃; (o') TBDPSCl, imidazole; (p') PMBCl, KHMDS; (q') TBAF; (r') TsCl, Et3N, DMAP; (s') NaI, NaHCO3; (t') 286, 'BuLi, CeCl3; 282; (u') p -TsOH; (v') Swern oxidation; (w') NaClO₂, NaH₂PO₄; (x') TMSCHN₂; (y') (MeO)₂P(=O)Me, "BuLi.

274 followed by a regioselective cuprous iodidecatalyzed addition of vinylmagnesium bromide achieved both these aims, and protection of the homoallylic alcohol product then gave **275.** Osmylation of alkene **275** afforded a diastereomeric mixture that was converted via oxidation and protecting group exchange to the single β -hydroxy ketone 276. A Narasaka^{46a,b} reduction of 276 then correctly set up the C_{53} stereogenic center with 20:1 diastereoselectivity, to afford diol 277. Introduction of the C₄₆ methyl substituent was achieved via alkyne **278.** Thus **277** was transformed into dibromoalkene **279,** followed by reaction with LDA to generate an alkyne, which was then methoxycarbonylated to provide **278.** Reaction with dimethylcopper resulted in a *cis* carbocupration¹⁰⁷ which furnished alkene **280.** After transformation of **280** to the α , β -unsaturated lactone **281,** heterogeneous hydrogenation exclusively on the $\frac{261}{3}$ interogeneous hydrogenation exclusively on the convex face delivered the $C_{44}-C_{54}$ coupling segment **282** with the correct configuration at C_{46} , thus completing construction of the K ring. Meanwhile, the known triol **283,** derived from D-tartaric acid,¹⁰⁸ was converted into allylic alcohol **284.** Sharpless $\frac{204}{204}$ epoxidation⁹² and Red-Al reduction²⁹ then gave the 1,3-diol **285** selectively. A sequence of acetonide protection at C_{38} and C_{40} , protecting group exchange at C_{41} and C_{43} , and conversion to the C_{43} iodide then gave the $C_{38}-C_{43}$ coupling segment 286. Lithiumhalogen exchange in **286** and addition to lactone **282,** in the presence of cerium trichloride,¹⁰⁹ then provided the C38—C54 segment **287.** Acid-catalyzed acetonide

deprotection of **287** and *in situ* stereoselective spiroacetalization at C44 afforded **288,** which completed the construction of the JKL ring system. Finally, conversion of 288 to the β -keto phosphonate 227 provided the desired $C_{37}-C_{54}$ segment. Thus, in this synthesis, three stereogenic centers originated in the chiral pool $(C_{41}, C_{47}, and C_{48})$, three stereogenic centers were constructed using chiral reagents $(C_{40}$, C_{50} , and C_{51}), and the remaining four stereogenic centers were installed using reactions relying on substrate control of asymmetric induction. Coupling of all the halichondrin segments **224-227** to complete a total synthesis is currently in progress by Horita, Yonemitsu, and co-workers. $[C_{37}-C_{54}$ segment **227:** 2.7% overall yield from **257;** 43 steps longest linear sequence; **56** steps total; ~6 steps per stereogenic center.]

3. Salomon Segment Syntheses⁷⁵

The stereogenic centers in the halichondrins are located in several discrete regions of the molecule, and this can be exploited in the synthetic plan. Salomon and co-workers have synthesized each stereochemically isolated segment of halichondrin B starting from a variety of inexpensive commercially available carbohydrates: a $C_1 - C_{15}$ segment from D-ribose, a $C_1 - C21$ segment 289 from D-ribose and D-glucose, a C27—C35 segment **290** from D-glucose, and a $\bar{C}_{37}-C_{51}$ segment **291** from D-mannitol (Scheme 22).

*a. C27—C35 Segment Syntheses.⁷⁵** Scheme 23 outlines the route to the $C_{27}-C_{35}$ segment **290**, in

Scheme 23. Salomon Halichondrin B $C_{27}-C_{35}$ **Synthesis^{75a a}**

 a (a) MeOH; (b) PhCHO, ZnCl₂; (c) N-tosylimidazole, NaOMe; (d) NaH; (e) $MeMgCl$; (f) TFAA, DMSO; Et₃N; (g) Et₃N; (h) LAH; (i) H2SO4, H2O; (j) MeCOMe, ZnCl2, H3PO4; (k) AcOH, H2O; (1) $NaIO₄$; (m) $H₂C=C(OTBS)S^tBu$, TiCl₄; (n) 0.2 N NaOH; (o) TFA, $H₂O$; (p) Ph₃P=CHCO₂'Bu; (q) Na; (r) Cl₃CC(=NH)OPMB, TfOH.

which D-glucose was used to provide the F ring. This necessitated replacement of hydroxyl with methyl at C_{31} , homologation at C_{34} and C_{29} , and epimerization at C33. Initial selective protection of all but the *trans* hydroxyls at C_{30} and C_{31} of D-glucose $(292)^{110}$ was followed by selective tosylation of the C_{30} hydroxyl and formation of epoxide **293.**1U Regioselective opening of this epoxide at C_{31} with MeMgCl (axial attack) introduced the methyl group with the incorrect configuration. Oxidation to the ketone at C_{30} and base-catalyzed epimerization of the C_{31} methyl to the thermodynamically preferred equatorial position, however, afforded **294.** Stereoselective reduction at C_{30} (axial attack) and protecting group hydrolysis \mathcal{L}_{30} (axial attack) and protecting group hydrolysis
then gave tetrol 295.¹¹² Inversion of the stereochemistry at C_{33} and extension of the side chain was achieved by a C-C bond cleavage sequence exploiting the pyranose to furanose interconversion which occurred on acetonide protection of **295.**¹¹³ Thus selective mono deacetalization at C33-C34 of **296** and oxidative cleavage of the resulting diol gave the C_{33} aldehyde **297,** so destroying the incorrect stereogenic center. Entirely stereoselective (>99:1) generation of the correct C_{33} configuration then ensued from chelation-controlled addition of a silyl ketene thioacetal,¹¹⁴ which supplied carbon atoms **34** and 35, to

Figure 4. Halichondrin $C_1 - C_{15}$ subunit.

afford thioester **298.** After base-catalyzed thioester hydrolysis, acid-catalyzed acetonide cleavage led to interconversion of furanose back to pyranose. *In situ* lactonization then provided **299.** Finally, homologation at C29 by Wittig olefination of **299** and intramolecular hetero-Michael reaction of the intermediate α , β -unsaturated ester **300** was followed by PMB protection of the C_{30} hydroxyl to supply the $C_{27}-C_{35}$ segment **290.** Note that the hetero-Michael cyclization to form ring F occurred with 97% ds, under the thermodynamic conditions employed, in favor of the desired *C29,C33-trans* tetrahydropyran which adopts a chair conformation with the C_{29} side chain equatorial. Thus, in the synthesis of **290,** one stereogenic center originated in the chiral pool (C_{32}) , and the other four stereogenic centers were installed using reactions relying on substrate control of asymmetric induction. [C27-C35 segment **290:** 7.9% overall yield from **292;** 18 steps; ~4 steps per stereogenic center.]

b. $C_1 - C_{15}$ Segment Syntheses.^{75b} The synthesis of a $C_1 - C_{15}$ segment (301 in Figure 4) is depicted in Scheme **24.** D-Ribose was used to provide the B ring carbons, and intramolecular hetero-Michael reactions were employed to construct both the A $(302 \rightarrow 301)$ and C (303 \rightarrow 304) rings. Oxidation of the commercially available ribofuranoside **305** to the C_{10} mercially available riboluranoside **505** to the C_{10}
aldehyde¹¹⁵ was followed by Wittig olefination. An aluelly ue was followed by writig olefination. All early vai
lotion¹¹⁶ lation¹¹⁶ then afforded diol **306** with 71% ds. The configuration at C_{11} needed to be inverted, and this comiguration at C_{11} needed to be inverted, and this was accomplished by conversion to the cyclic ϵ
and regioselective nucleophilic substitution 117 suitate and regioselective nucleophilic substitution⁻⁻ at C_{11}
to provide **307.** A three-step sequence of debenzoyto provide 307. A three-step sequence of debenzoylation, acetonide formation, and DIBAL reduction then afforded the C_{12} aldehyde **308** which underwent Wittig olefination to supply enone **309**. TFA-mediated acetonide hydrolysis of 309 produced a tetrol and stronger acid (Dowex 50W) opened the furanoside to generate intermediate 303 . In situ intramolecular hetero-Michael reaction, to close the C ring, and hemiacetal formation, to close the B ring, then took place; acetylation provided the product **304**. Note that the wrong configuration resulted at C_{12} , and all attempts to epimerize this center at this stage led to decomposition. Allylation of 304 with allyltrimethylsilane using a trityl perchlorate-catalyzed reaction¹¹⁸ stereoselectively gave 310 (axial attack). Compound 310 was then converted into α , β -unsaturated ester 311 in three steps. Epimerization at C_{12} was now feasible. Methoxide-catalyzed transesterification of 311 and hydroxyl deprotection led to partial epimerization to the desired C_{12} epimer 312 (2:1) mixture of 312 and 313). Treatment of this mixture with PPTS then effected spiroacetalization at C₁₄ of 312 to generate the desired tetracycle 302. This acetal proved separable from the unreacted 313, which upon treatment with sodium methoxide provided more 312 by epimerization. Finally, methox-

Scheme 24. Salomon Halichondrin B C_1-C_{15} Synthesis^{75b a}

^a (a) DMSO, TFAA, Et₃N; (b) Ph₃P=CHCO₂Et; (c) OsO₄, NMO, dihydroquinidine p-chlorobenzoate; (d) SOCl₂, Et₃N; (e) RuCl₃, NaIO₄; (f) "Bu₄NOCOPh; (g) H₂SO₄, H₂O; (h) Ba(OMe)₂; (i) Me₂C(OMe)₂, PPTS; (j) DIBAL; (k) Ph₃P=CHCOCH₂OBn; (l) TFA, H₂O; (m) Dowex 50W, H₂O; (n) Ac₂O, py, DMAP; (o) H₂C=CHCH₂SiMe₃, Ph₃CClO₄; (p) (Sia)₂BH; H₂O₂, NaOH; (q) pySO₃, DMSO; Et₃N; (r) Ph₃P=CHCO₂Me; (s) NaOMe, MeOH; (t) PPTS; (u) $Me₃BnNOME$.

Scheme 25. Salomon Halichondrin B $C_{37}-C_{51}$ Synthesis^{75c a}

^a (a) p-MeO(C₆H₄)CHO, molecular sieves; (b) TBSCl, imidazole; (c) NaH, imidazole; CS₂; MeI; (d) ⁿB_{u3}SnH, AIBN; (e) TBAF; (f) BnBr, NaH; (g) DIBAL; (h) DDQ, anhydrous; (i) Swern oxidation; (j) (MeO)₂P(=O)CH₂COTBS, NaH; (k) Me₂Cu(CN)Li₂, TMSCl; (l) NaOH, 30% H_2O_2 ; HCl; (m) PhOP(=O)Cl₂; PhSH; (n) Ph₃P=CH₂; (o) 320 + 323, Δ ; (p) Me₂Cu(CN)L₁₂, TMSCl; (q) (NH₄)₂Ce(NO₃)₆; (r) TBSOTf, Et₃N; (s) Raney Ni, H₂; (t) TBSCl, imidazole; (u) DDQ; (v) Swern oxidation; (w) 323 + 328, Δ ; (x) Me₂Cu(CN)Li₂, TMSCl; (y) DDQ; (z) TBAF; (a') 1% HCl.

ide-induced intramolecular hetero-Michael reaction of 302 (thermodynamic conditions) closed the A ring and completed the synthesis of the $C_1 - C_{15}$ segment **301**. The correct configuration of the C_3 stereogenic center was generated in the cyclization reaction, with the carbomethoxymethyl substituent adopting an equatorial orientation in the chair conformation of tetrahydropyran A. Thus, in the synthesis of 301, three stereogenic centers originated in the chiral pool (C_7-C_9) , one stereogenic center was installed using a chiral reagent (C_{10}) , and the other five stereogenic centers were installed using reactions relying on substrate control of asymmetric induction. $[C_1-C_{15}]$ segment $301: 0.7\%$ overall yield from $305: 21$ steps; \sim 2 steps per stereogenic center.]

c. $C_{37}-C_{51}$ Segment Synthesis.^{75c} The $C_{37}-C_{51}$ segment 291, containing the IJKL tetracyclic array, was synthesized as outlined in Scheme 25. Owing to the double anomeric effect¹¹⁹ and the preference for diequatorial disposition of the C_{42} and C_{46} methyl substituents on the J and K rings, Salomon and coworkers reasoned that the required IJKL array might be obtained by diastereoselective spiroacetalization, under thermodynamic control, at C₄₄ of ketodiol intermediates such as 314. Diastereoselective conjugate addition of two methyl nucleophiles to a **Scheme 26.** Salomon Halichondrin B $C_1 - C_{21}$ Synthesis^{75d a}

" (a) BnBr, NaH; (b) AcOH; (c) Ac2O, py; (d) Ba(OH)2, MeOH; (e) TsCl, py; (f) HCl, MeOH, (g) PhOC(=S)Cl, DMAP; (h) "Bu3SnH, AIBN; (i) TFA, H2O; (j) NaBH4; (k) NaI; (1) TBSCl, imidazole; (m) Ph3P-CHCOCH2Li; (n) **331** + **336;** (o) (NHi)2Ce(NO3)B, H2O; (p) AcOH, H2O; (q) Triton B; (r) Ac₂O, py, DMAP; (s) H₂C=CHCH₂TMS, HClO₄; (t) (Sia)₂BH; H₂O₂, NaOH; (u) Swern oxidation; (v) Ph₃P=CHCO₂Me; (w) NaOMe, MeOH; (x) NaOMe, MeCN; PPTS; (y) TBSCl, imidazole; (z) Triton B methoxide.

dienone was envisaged to construct 314.¹²⁰ Due to the C_2 symmetry of the IJKL portion of halichondrin B, the rings I and L are identical, as are J and K. The route pursued cleverly exploits this symmetry feature.

Using known chemistry, acid-catalyzed cyclization of D-mannitol (315) gave tetrol 316.¹²¹ A sequence of (i) selective formation of the monoacetal at C_{40} and C_{42} of **316**; (ii) selective silylation of the C_{37} primary hydroxyl; (iii) Barton deoxygenation³⁷ at C_{39} ; and finally, (iv) protecting group exchange at C_{37} , then gave **317** which bears all the stereogenic centers of both the I and L rings. Reductive cleavage of **317** with $DIBAL¹²²$ generated a 6:4 ratio of the differentially protected regioisomers **318** and **319.** Either could be quantitatively recycled to **317** by oxidation with DDQ under anhydrous conditions.^{42a} Swern oxidation³⁸ of **318** provided the C42 aldehyde **320.** A Horner-Emmons reaction then provided the α , β unsaturated acyl silane **321,** which underwent dias-123 t ereoselective 1,4-addition with $Me₂Cu(CN)Li₂, ¹²³$ in tereosetective 1,4-addition with Me₂Cu(CN)_{Ln2}, in
the presence of TMSCl,¹²⁴ to provide **322**. A sequence of (i) oxidative desilylation, (ii) conversion of the resulting acid to the thioester, (iii) acylation of methylenetriphenylphosphorane, and (iv) condensation of the resulting ylid **323** with aldehyde **320** then gave enone **324.** A second diastereoselective 1,4 methyl addition gave the key C_2 -symmetric ketone **325.** Note that use of the α , β -unsaturated acyl silane **321** was required, since the corresponding α, β unsaturated ester was completely unreactive with unsaturated ester was completely unreactive with
methyl cuprates, even in the presence of TMSCl^{,124} methyl cuprates, even in the presence of TMSCl;¹²⁴ and although the corresponding α , β -unsaturated methyl ketone underwent conjugate addition, aldol addition of the product with aldehyde **320** did not generate enone **324.** Note also that this stepwise approach for construction of the IJKL-ring carbon skeleton 325 (*i.e.* 320 \rightarrow 321 \rightarrow 322 \rightarrow 324 \rightarrow 325) proved to be necessary since dienones such as **326** proved to be unreactive toward $Me₂CuLi$, and the use proved to be unreactive toward Me₂CuLi, and the use
of TMSCl to promote the conjugate addition¹²⁴ led to undesired side reactions such as Nazarov cyclizaundesired side reactions such as Nazarov cycliza-
tions¹²⁵. Upon treatment of **325** with ceric ammonium nitrate, oxidative removal of the PMB monium nitrate, oxidative removal of the PMB
ethers^{42bc} gave dihydroxy ketone **314**, which was followed by spiroacetalization to afford the C_2 -symmetric IJKL segment **327.**

Since the symmetry of the IJKL segment must be broken upon incorporation into halichondrin B, a nonsymmetric analogue of **327** was required. This was obtained by employing both the regioisomers **318** and **319.** Thus **319** was converted to aldehyde **328,** which involved selective hydrogenolysis with Raney nickel of a benzyl in the presence of a p-methoxybenzyl ether.¹²⁶ Wittig coupling of **328** with phosphorus ylide **323,** already obtained from **320,** generated an enone and then diastereoselective conjugate methyl addition provided the unsymmetrical ketone **329.** Deprotection of **329** with DDQ^{42b,c} and then TBAF gave triol 330, which afforded the IJKL C_{37} – C51 segment **291** upon treatment with dilute hydrochloric acid. Thus, in this synthesis, six stereogenic centers originated in the chiral pool, and the other three stereogenic centers $(C_{42}, C_{44}, and C_{46})$ were installed using reactions relying on substrate control of asymmetric induction. [C37-C51 segment **291:** 19 steps longest linear sequence from **315;** 24 steps total; \sim 3 steps per stereogenic center.]

d. C_1-C_{21} Segment Synthesis.^{75d} The synthesis of the C_1-C_{21} segment **289** is outlined in Scheme 26. A D-ring segment **331** was obtained from D-glucose, the B ring was derived from D-ribose, and intramolecular hetero-Michael reactions were employed to construct the A and C rings.

Benzyl protection¹²⁷ of the C₁₉ hydroxyl of D-glucose diacetonide **(173)** followed by selective cleavage of the $C_{16}-C_{17}$ acetonide and tosylation of the resulting diol gave **332.** After acid-catalyzed transacetalization and intramolecular O-alkylation to afford **333,** Barton $\frac{d}{dx}$ deoxygenation³⁷ at C_{16} then provided the D-ring segment 334 . Adjustment of oxidation level at C_{21} followed by protection at C_{21} and iodine introduction at C_{16} gave 335, which was elaborated into the C_{13} C21 segment **331.** Wittig olefination of **331** with the C12 aldehyde **336** (obtained in an analogous manner to the preparation of **308** from D-ribose outlined in Scheme 24) then gave C_6-C_{21} segment 337. Selective removal of the C_6 PMB group was accomplished using eerie amonium nitrate to give **338,** followed by mild acid hydrolysis of the acetonides and silyl group.

Scheme 27

Treatment with Triton B, followed by acetylation of the crude product, gave the BC-ring segment **339.** It appears that after acetonide hydrolysis, the furanoside (as in **338)** to pyranoside (as in the B ring of **339)** interconversion is essentially complete, and the intramolecular hetero-Michael reaction of the revealed C_9 hydroxyl onto C_{12} is facile under the basic conditions of Triton B. Note that a similar transformation of the methyl furanoside $309 \rightarrow 304$ (Scheme 24) was much lower yielding, due to side reactions occurring under the more strongly acidic conditions needed to hydrolyse the methyl furanoside of **309** compared to the p-methoxybenzyl furanoside of **337.**

Conversion of **339** to **340** was carried out as for the analogous conversion of $304 \rightarrow 311$ (Scheme 24), involving axial allylation at C₆ of 339; reaction of 340 with sodium methoxide in methanol then afforded a tetrol (cf. **312**, Scheme 24). Completion of the C_1 -C21 segment **289** required a modified procedure in which base-catalyzed intramolecular hetero-Michael cyclization to form the A ring was effected *prior to* spiroacetalization at C_{14} (cf. these reactions were performed in the opposite order in the transformation $312 \rightarrow 302 \rightarrow 301$, Scheme 24). After silylation of the remaining hydroxyl, a C_3 epimer was converted to the natural isomer by treatment with Triton B methoxide to deliver the desired C_1-C_{21} segment **289**. Thus, in this synthesis, six stereogenic centers originated in the chiral pool, two stereogenic centers were constructed using a chiral reagent $(C_{10}$ and C_{11}), and the remaining four stereogenic centers were installed using reactions relying on substrate control of asymmetric induction. At the time of writing, coupling of the segments **289, 290,** and **291** to complete a synthesis of halichondrin B had not been reported by Salomon and co-workers. $[C_1-C_{21}]$ segment **289**: 4.8% overall yield from **174;** 26 steps longest linear sequence; >36 steps total; \sim 3 steps per stereogenic center.]

4. Burke Segment Syntheses⁷⁷

Burke *et al.* have reported syntheses of two halichondrin segments: a shorter route to the $C_1 - C_{15}$ segment **301** of Salomon, and an ingenious synthesis of a C22-C34 segment **341** (Scheme 27).

*a. C22-C34 Segment Synthesis.¹¹** Burke *et al.* noticed that the target $C_{22}-C_{34}$ segment **341** was a single epimerization away from being a meso compound. Hence, the strategy they adopted involved the asymmetric desymmetrization¹²⁸ of the meso bis- (allylic alcohol) **342** (Scheme 28). A four-step sequence of (i) bis(O-alkylation) of meso-2-cyclopenten-1,4-diol (343)¹²⁹ with *tert*-butyl bromoacetate under phase-transfer conditions,¹³⁰ (ii) ozonolytic ring cleavage, (iii) Wittig homologation, 131 and, finally, (iv) borohydride reduction, provided the bis(allylic alco-

 a (a) BrCH₂CO₂^tBu, NaOH, ⁿBu₄NHSO₄; (b) O₃, Ph₃P; (c) $Ph_3P=C(Me)CHO$, K_2CO_3 ; (d) $NaBH_4$; (e) (+)-DET, $Ti(O^iPr)_4$, ${}^{t}BuOOH$; (f) MsCl, Et₃N; (g) NaI; (h) TFA; (i) LHMDS; TMSCl- Et_3N ; Δ ; H^+ ; CH_2N_2 .

hol) **342.** Desymmetrization of **342** was achieved by using the Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation⁹² to provide the bis(epoxy alcohol) **344** with high diastereo- and enantiomeric purity.^{128,132,133} Dimesylation of **344** followed by displacement with NaI, wherein excess iodide effected reductive opening of the epoxide, then afforded **345** in high yield; TFA-mediated lactonization subsequently gave the bis(dioxonone) **346.** Finally, kinetic enolization of **346** using LH-MDS and trapping with TMSCl provided the bis- (silylketene acetal) **347,** which on heating underwent two stepwise Ireland-Claisen [3,3] sigmatropic rearrangements, 83,13 ⁴ thu s forming **348.** On work up and esterification, 348 provided the C₂₂-C₃₄ segment 341. Thus, in the synthesis of **341,** the two newly created stereogenic centers at C_{23} and C_{33} were installed using a combination of reagent control of asymmetric induction (Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation) and substrate-controlled transfer of chirality (Claisen rearrangement). At the time of writing, the elaboration of segment **341** into a completely functionalized $C_{22}-C_{34}$ halichiondrin intermediate had not yet been reported by Burke et al. $[C_{22}-C_{34}]$ segment **341**: 15% overall yield from **343;** 9 steps; ~ 2 steps per stereogenic center].

*b. C'i—C'15 Segment Synthesis.¹¹** Burke *et al.* have reported a shorter route to the Salomon^{75b} $C_1 - C_{15}$ segment **301** (Scheme 29). The synthesis began with t he the commercially available carbohydrate **349** (Dglycero-D-gluco-hepto- γ -lactone) which requires inversion at C_{11} , but which has the correct configuration for $C_8 - C_{10}$ of halichondrin B. In this latter respect, it is similar to the Kishi synthesis of a C_1 -C13 segment **162** from **165,** depicted in Scheme 11. Regioselective bis(acetalization)¹³⁵ of **349** with 3-pentanone gave 350. Note that bis(acetonide) formation

Scheme 29. Burke Halichondrin B $C_1 - C_{14}$ Synthesis^{77b} a

 a (a) EtCOEt, H₂SO₄; (b) PDC, AcOH; (c) Zn(BH₄)₂; (d) TBSOTf, 2,6-lutidine; (e) 352, "BuLi, 351, 1.8 N HCl; (f) MsCl, Et3N, DMAP; (g) EtMgBr, Δ ; (h) DIBAL; (i) O_3 , PPh₃, Ph₃P=CHCO₂Me; (j) $\overline{\text{BnMe}_3\text{NOMe}}$; (k) 80% AcOH; (l) NaIO₄; (m) Ph₃P=CHCOCH₂OBn; (n) 52% aqueous HF; (a') PrMgCl, "Bu₃SnH, Galvinoxyl; (b') (R)- $BINAL; (c^2) CH_3CH(OEt)Cl, Me_2NPh.$

is known to proceed with a different regioselectivity.^{135b,c} Oxidation of 350 to the C₁₁ ketone and chelation-controlled reduction¹³⁶ with Zn(BH_4)_2 afforded the epimerized C_{11} alcohol which was then protected as its TBS ether to provide 351. Meanwhile, stannane 352 was prepared via asymmetric reduction of the acyl stannane derived from 4-pentenal.¹³⁷ Transmetalation of 352, to afford the corresponding α -alkoxyorganolithium reagent.¹³⁸ followed by reaction with ketone 351 and subsequent mesylation then supplied the indicated diastereomer of C_3-C_{12} segment 353. Treatment of this with ethylmagnesium bromide initiated a pinacol rearrangement¹³⁹ to form the pyranone, which was subsequently stereoselectively reduced at C_7 to afford the B-ring product 354. Ozonolysis of the double bond of 354, followed by a reductive work up and in situ Wittig olefination, then provided the A-ring precursor 355. The now standard intramolecular hetero-Michael reaction, mediated by methoxide ion, closed the A ring to supply 356 with the correct (thermodynamic) configuration at C_3 . Selective hydrolysis^{135b-d} of the C_{12} , C_{13} acetonide of **356** and periodate cleavage of the ensuing vicinal diol was then followed by Wittig homologation^{65b} to afford enone 357. Finally, HF-mediated acetonide and TBS ether cleavage was followed by in situ intramolecular hetero-Michael addition of the C_9 hydroxyl onto C_{12} , to close the C ring, and spiroacetalization of the C_8 and C_{11} hy-
droxyls at C_{14} then furnished the C_1-C_{15} segment 301. Thus, in this synthesis, four of the nine stereogenic centers in the target molecule originated from

the chiral pool (C_8-C_{11}) , one was created using a chiral reagent (\rightarrow 352), and the remaining four stereogenic centers were introduced using substratecontrolled reactions. $[C_1-C_{15}$ segment 301: 1.0% overall yield from 349; 14 steps longest linear sequence: 17 steps total: \sim 2 steps per stereogenic center.

In surveying the various synthetic approaches to the halichondrins, it is clear that carbohydrate-based strategies⁸ have proved overwhelmingly popular, despite the large number of protecting group manipulations that are frequently required when adopting this approach, and the ensuing length of some of the resulting syntheses. Besides the construction of many stereogenic centers, the other major synthetic challenge associated with the halichondrins has been the formation of spiroacetal,¹⁴⁰ tetrahydropyran,¹⁴¹ and tetrahydrofuran¹⁴¹ ring systems. While the spiroacetal rings have generally been synthesized using acid-catalyzed acetalization reactions of hydroxy ketones, intramolecular hetero-Michael reactions have been repeatedly used to construct the tetrahydropyran and tetrahydrofuran rings.

C. Aplasmomycin

Aplasmomycin (358 in Scheme 30), isolated from a strain of Streptomyces griseus found in shallow sea mud, is a boron-containing ionophoric antibiotic that exhibits activity against Gram-positive bacteria in vitro and Plasmodia berghei in vivo.^{142a} It has a completely symmetrical C_2 structure^{142b} and belongs to the family of borate-bridged macrodiolides of which boromycin (359), produced by the terrestrial actinomvcete Streptomvces antibioticus, was the first known member.^{143,144} The first total synthesis of aplasmomycin was reported by Corey et al. in 1982^{145} White et al. have also completed a total synthesis,^{146a} and Nakata, Oishi and co-workers¹⁴⁷ and Matsuda et al.¹⁴⁸ have each achieved a formal total synthesis of aplas-

Scheme 30

momycin by preparation of a key intermediate **(360)** used in Corey's synthesis.

1. Corey Total Synthesis¹⁴⁵

The synthesis of aplasmomycin **(358)** by Corey *et al.* was based on the construction of a $C_3 - C_{17}$ segment (360 in Scheme 30) from $C_3 - C_{10}$ and $C_{11} - C_{17}$ segments **(361** and **362).** Chain extension of **360** with dimethyl oxalate then provided the entire $C_1 - C_{17}$ sequence of aplasmomycin. Direct dimerization to form the macrodiolide, or, alternatively, sequential coupling and macrolactonization, was followed by introduction of the borate to furnish the natural product.

a. $C_3 - C_{17}$ Segment Synthesis.¹⁴⁵^a The C₁₁-C₁₇ segment **362** was prepared from D-mannose (Scheme 31). Thus, reaction of D-mannose diacetonide $(363)^{149}$ with methyllithium afforded exclusively the diol **364** resulting from chelation control by the C_{15} oxygen.

Scheme 31. Corey Aplasmomycin C3-C17 **Synthesis¹⁴⁸⁸***^a*

Selective tosylation of the less-hindered C_{16} hydroxyl of 364 and in situ S_N2 displacement by the C_{13} hydroxyl, with inversion of configuration at C_{16} , provided the tetrahydrofuran **365.** The side chain acetonide of **365** was selectively hydrolyzed, and oxidative cleavage of the resulting diol then supplied the C12 aldehyde **366,** which was converted into the alkyne **367** via the dichloroolefin **368.**¹⁵⁰ A five-step sequence involving acetonide cleavage, selective silylation of the C_{15} hydroxyl, and deoxygenation at C_{14} (via triflate ester formation, displacement by io- dide , 151 and reduction with tributyltin hydride¹⁵²) then provided alkyne **369.** Radical-mediated reaction of **369** with tributylstannane gave the desired *trans* vinylstannane $C_{11}-C_{17}$ segment **362**, together with a smaller amount of the undesired *cis* isomer **370** $(trans/cis = 5:1)$ which could be thermally equilibrated to provide more of **362** *(trans/cis —* 85:15).

° (a) MeLi; (b) TsCl, py; (c) HCl, H2O; (d) NaIO4, NaHCO3; (e) CBrCl3, (Me2N)3P; (f) "BuLi; (g) HCl, MeOH; (h) TIPSCl, DMAP; (i) Tf2O, py; (j) "Bu4NI; (k) NaBH4, "Bu3SnCl, *hv;* (1) "Bu3SnH, AIBN; (m) A; (n) H2C=CHMgBr, CuI; (0) NaOMe, MeOH; (p) OsO4, NMO; (q) LAH; (r) Me₂CO, p-TsOH; (s) PCC, 3 Å molecular sieves; (t) m-CPBA; (u) Me₃Al, HS(CH₂₎₃SH; (v) O₃; DMS; BF $_3$ ·OEt₂, HS(CH₂₎₃SH; (w) (MeO)2CMe2,p-TsOH; (x) DMSO, Ac2O, AcOH; (x') MOMCl, Et3N, DMAP; (y) AcOH, H2O; (z) PhCOCN, Et3N; MsCl, Et3N; (a') "Bu4NOH, MeOH; (b') 362, "BuLi; CuCN; 361 or 380; (c') TBSOTf, 2,6-lutidine; (d') AgNO₃, 2,6-lutidine, H₂O; (e') TBSOTf, 2,6-lutidine.

The $C_3 - C_{10}$ segment **361** was prepared from (R) pulegone **(371).** Conjugate addition of a vinylmagnesiocuprate to **371** gave a 1:1 mixture *of trans-* and cis-cyclohexanones, which was equilibrated using sodium methoxide to provide an 85:15 mixture in favor of the *trans* isomer **372** having the required configuration at C7. Osmylation of **372** occurred stereoselectively on the more accessible *si* face of the olefin to set up the C_9 stereogenic center with unnatural configuration, and *in situ* hemiacetal formation then afforded **373.** Reduction of **373** to generate a triol and selective acetonide protection of the C_9 and C_{10} hydroxyls was followed by reoxidation to give ketone **374,** which underwent Baeyer—Villiger oxidation to supply lactone **375.** Reaction of **375** with α trimethylaluminum/propane-1.3-dithiol¹⁵³ then gave the ketenethioacetal triol **376.** Ozonolysis of **376** and subsequent thioacetalization at C_3 , followed by selective acetonide protection of the C_7 and C_9 hydroxyls, then gave **377** which bore the complete $C_3 - C_{10}$ chain for incorporation into aplasmomycin. The C_7 hydroxyl of **377** was protected in two alternative ways: aroxyl of 377 was protected in two alternative ways:
as the (methylthio)methyl (MTM) ether (378) 154 and as the methoxymethyl (MOM) ether **(379).** Compound **378** was transformed into the epoxide $C_3 - C_{10}$ segment **361** by a three-step sequence of (i) selective hydrolysis of the acetonide of **378** to give a 1,2-diol, (ii) selective benzoylation of the primary hydroxyl

Scheme 32. Corey Aplasmomycin Synthesis^{145b}^a

followed by mesylation of the secondary hydroxyl, and (iii) benzoate cleavage and *in situ* epoxide closure with inversion to provide the natural configuration at C9. In a similar manner, **379** was converted into the alternative $C_3 - C_{10}$ segment **380**.

Coupling of the C_3-C_{10} and $C_{11}-C_{17}$ segments 361 and **362** was accomplished via transmetalation of stannane **362** to generate the corresponding organocuprate¹⁵⁵ and addition of epoxide **361.** Exchange of protecting groups in the resulting **381** then provided the $C_3 - C_{17}$ segment **360**. Likewise, coupling of **380** with the organocuprate derived from **362** gave the alternative $C_3 - C_{17}$ segment **382**. $[C_3 - C_{17}$ segment **360:** 6.2% overall yield from **371;** 18 steps longest linear sequence; 30 steps total; 5 steps per stereogenic center; $C_3 - C_{17}$ segment **382**: 15 steps longest linear sequence; 27 steps total; \sim 4-5 steps per stereogenic center.]

b. Completion of the Total Synthesis of Aplasmomycin.li5h Two complementary routes were developed by Corey *et al.* for the completion of the synthesis of aplasmomycin. In one route, coupling of two C_1-C_{17} segments and subsequent macrolactonization generated the macrodiolide; in the other approach, the coupling and cyclization were accomplished in a single step (Scheme 32).

In the first route, lithiation of the dithiane moiety of the $C_3 - C_{17}$ segment **360** and reaction with di-

^a (a) ⁿBuLi, TMEDA; HMPA, (CO₂Me)₂; (b) LiI, 2,6-lutidine; (c) TBAF; (d) 384 + 385, bis(2-oxo-3-oxazolidinyl)phosphinic chloride (BOPCl), Et₃N; (e) LiI, 2,6-lutidine; (f) TBAF; (g) BOPCl, Et₃N; (h) NaBH₄; (i) HF; (i') ('PrS)₂BBr; (j) HgCl₂, CaCO₃, H₂O; (k) B(OMe)₃.

methyl oxalate provided the complete $C_1 - C_{17}$ segment **383** with both its carboxyl (C_1) and hydroxyl (C_{15}) termini protected. Ester cleavage at C_1 then afforded acid **384,** whereas selective desilylation at C15 gave alcohol **385.** Coupling of **384** and **385** was then accomplished by esterificaton using the Palomo-Coll protocol (BOP chloride/triethylamine)¹⁵⁶ to afford **386.** Cleavage of both the C_1 ester and C_{15} silyl ether of **386** gave a seco-acid, which was macrolactonized using the Palomo-Coll procedure¹⁵⁶ to supply the macrodiolide **387** in good yield $(64\%$ from the two C_1 - C_{17} segments). Reduction of the α -keto groups of **387** and subsequent desilylation, followed by dithiane cleavage and *in situ* hemiacetal formation at C_3 and C3' then gave deboraplasmomycin **388,** as a mixture of diastereomers differing in configuration at C_2 and C_2 . Treatment of this mixture with trimethyl borate afforded diastereomerically pure aplasmomycin **(358).** Note that borate bridging is accompanied by equilibration at C_2 and C_2 via enolization, and the natural configuration at these centers must be the thermodynamically most favorable stereochemistry.

For the second approach, the alternatively protected C3-C17 segment **382** was employed. Twocarbon homologation at C_3 to provide **389** was performed in exactly the same way as for $360 \rightarrow 383$. Cleavage of both the C_1 ester and C_{15} silyl ether of **389** then supplied the ω -hydroxy acid $C_1 - C_{17}$ segment **390.** Subjection of **390** to the Palomo-Coll $\frac{1}{2}$ esterification protocol¹⁵⁶ then gave directly the desired macrodiolide **391.** Although the yield of **391** was only moderate (25%), the various byproducts underwent saponification with base to regenerate **390,** making this one-step coupling-cyclization procedure highly effective due to its extreme economy of steps. Cleavage of the MOM ethers of **391** by use of diisopropylthioboron bromide¹⁵⁷ was followed by $\frac{157}{2}$ ketone reduction at C_2 and C_2 ^t to give **392**, again as a mixture of diastereomers at these centers. Note that the cleavage of the C_7 MOM ethers could not be achieved by conventional acid-catalyzed hydrolysis since participation of the C_9 hydroxyl resulted in formation of a six-membered cyclic methylene acetal. In the case of diisopropylthioboron bromide, the C_9 hydroxyl forms a diisopropylthioborate ester which facilitates MOM ether cleavage by coordination to the racilitates MON ether cleavage by coordination to the
C_r oxygen.¹⁵⁸ After dithiane hydrolysis and *in situ* hemiacetal formation as for the first route, borate complexation then afforded the natural product in diastereomerically pure form. Thus, in this synthesis, three stereogenic centers in the target molecule originated from the chiral pool $(C_4, C_{13}$, and C_{15}), and the remaining five stereogenic centers were introduced using substrate-controlled reactions. [Aplasmomycin (358) : first route -2.2% overall yield from **371;** 28 steps longest linear sequence; 41 steps total; \sim 5 steps per stereogenic center, allowing for C_2 symmetry; second route—23 steps longest linear sequence; 35 steps total; \sim 4 steps per stereogenic center, allowing for C_2 symmetry.]

2. White Total Synthesis^{146a}

In the synthesis of aplasmomycin by White *et al.,* the macrodiolide **393** was constructed by ring contraction of the key intermediate **394** in a novel

application of the Chan reaction¹⁵⁹ (Scheme 33). Compound **394** was obtained from the $C_3 - C_{17}$ segment **395**, which was in turn constructed from C_3 - C_{10} and $C_{11}-C_{17}$ segments (396 and 397).

The most recent, and most efficient, route^{146b} to the C_3-C_{10} segment 396 began with (R) -pulegone (371 in Scheme 34). As in the Corey synthesis, 145a conjugate addition of a vinylmagnesiocuprate to **371** followed by base-catalyzed equilibration gave ketone **372** with 85% ds at C_7 . Oxidative cleavage¹⁶⁰ of the vinyl group of **372** and esterification of the resulting carboxylic acid then gave **398.** More expediently, hydrocyanation of (R) -pulegone gave 399 with $>97\%$ $\frac{d}{dx}$ and $\frac{d}{dx}$ and methanolysis then supplied **398**. In common with the Corey synthesis, a Baeyer-Villiger reaction was used to introduce an oxygen atom at C_7 . Accordingly, lactone **400** was obtained from ketone **398** with high regioselectivity. Two sequences were then developed to transform **400** into its lower homologue, the $C_3 - C_9$ segment **401**. Thus, methanolysis of **400** and silylation of the resulting C_7 hydroxyl gave diester **402.** Selective reduction of the less-hindered ester then afforded primary alcohol **403,** and elimination of the derived o-nitrophenyl selenoxide¹⁶² supplied olefin **404.** Oxidative cleavsetendatue supplied define **404**. Oxidative cleav-
age¹⁶⁰ of the double bond of **404** to give the C₃ carboxylic acid was followed by HF-mediated desilylation at C₇ and *in situ* lactonization to provide 401. $\frac{1}{2}$
Alternatively, a variant of the Barbier-Wieland Afternatively, a variant of the Barbier-Wieland
degradation¹⁶³ was used to convert **400** to 401. Thus, reaction of lactone **400** with phenylmagnesium bromide gave diol **405,** together with some of the ketone resulting from only monoaddition of Grignard reagent, and acid-catalyzed dehydration of **405** then supplied alkene **406**. Temporary protection of the C_7 hydroxyl of 406 was followed by oxidative cleavage¹⁶⁰ of the double bond to give the C_3 carboxylic acid. Deprotection at C_7 and lactonization then afforded **401.** Note that both routes provided **401** in diastereoand enantiomerically pure form. Reaction of **401** with $(2R,3R)-2,3$ -butanediol furnished the corresponding ortholactone and condensation with the lithio anion of methyl phenyl sulfone then gave the C3-C10 segment **396.**

Scheme 34. White Aplasmomycin Synthesis^{146 a}

 a (a) H₂C=CHMgBr, CuBr; (b) KOH, EtOH; (c) RuCl₃, NaIO₄; (d) CH₂N₂; (e) NaCN, NH₄Cl; (f) MeOH, H₂SO₄; (g) CF₃CO₃H; (h) K₂CO₃, MeOH; (i) TBSOTf, 2,6-lutidine; (j) LAH; (k) o-O2NC_eH₄SeCN, ⁿBu₃P; (l) H₂O₂; (m) RuCl₃, NaIO₄; (n) HF; (o) PhMgBr; (p) PPTS; (q) Ac₂O, py, DMAP; (r) RuCl₃, NaIO₄; (s) K₂CO₃, MeOH; (t) 1 N HCl; (u) (g') Al-Hg; (h') LAH; (i') Ac₂O, DMAP; (j') p-TsOH, H₂O; (k') NaOH, H₂O; (l') 5% HCl; (m') PhSeCl; (n') H₂O₂; (o') TBSOTf, 2,6-lutidine; (\bar{p}') NaOH, H₂O; (q') TBSOTf, 2,6-lutidine; (r') K₂CO₃, H₂O; (s') TBAF; (t') BrCH₂CO₂(CH₂)₂TMS, K₂CO₃; (u') BrCH₂COCl, py, DMAP; (v') $395 + 418$, K_2CO_3 ; (w) TBAF; (x) 2-chloropyridinium methiodide, Et₃N; (y) LDA; TMSOTf; (z) HF; (a') B(OMe)₃.

Note that the preparation of 396 from (R) -pulegone (11 steps, 25% overall yield) is more stereochemically

efficient than the original route, $144e$ which began with the aldol reaction¹⁶⁴ between the lithio dianion (407)

of tiglic acid and aldehyde **408** (prepared in three steps from isobutyraldehyde and formaldehyde) and which generated **409** in racemic form. Transformation of **409** to **401** (obtained as a 4:1 mixture of racemic *trans* and *cis* isomers) was then followed by resolution with $(2R,3R)-2,3$ -butanediol, chromatographic separation, and sulfone introduction to provide enantiomerically pure **396** (12 steps, <11% overall yield).^{144e}

The $C_{11}-C_{17}$ segment **397** was prepared^{144f} by kinetic resolution of racemic 3-buten-2-ol via Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation.^{28a} The resulting epoxide **410** (91% ee) underwent regioselective ring opening with an alkynyllithium to provide diol **411,** and an exchange of protecting groups then gave the propargylic alcohol **412.** After reduction of **412** with LiAlH₄/AlCl₃ to provide the *trans* allylic alcohol,¹⁶⁵ t ransformation into the allylic chloride¹⁶⁶ furnished the $C_{11}-C_{17}$ segment **397**.

Construction of the $C_{10}-C_{11}$ bond was achieved^{144f} via alkylation of the lithium enolate of keto sulfone **396** with chloride **397.** Reductive removal of the sulfone moiety then afforded ketone **413,** which underwent highly stereoselective reduction (96% ds ^{146a} due to chelation control by the pyran oxygen at C7. Acetylation of the resulting alcohol then provided **414,** and acid-catalyzed hydrolysis effected acetonide cleavage at C_{15} and C_{16} and simultaneous opening of the ortholactone at C3 to provide ester **415.** Saponification of 415 gave a carboxylic acid at C_3 and acid-catalyzed lactonization then afforded **416.** Reprobability and accommension their direct notice that $\frac{1}{2}$ is a probability of alkene **416,** involving 5-ex;o-trig⁹⁷ attack of the C16 hydroxyl at the C_{13} terminus of the double bond, followed by oxidative elimination of the resulting selenide provided, after silylation of the C_{15} hydroxyl, the tetrahydrofuran 417 together with its C_{13} epimer in a 1:1 ratio. Saponification of **417,** silylation of the resulting dihydroxy acid, and subsequent selective cleavage of the C_{15} silyl ether then furnished the w-hydroxy acid $C_3 - C_{17}$ segment **395**. Reaction of the potassium salt of **395** with 2-(trimethylsilyl)ethyl a-bromoacetate was followed by esterification with a-bromoacetyl chloride to give **418,** which was then coupled with the potassium salt of carboxylic acid **395** to give the cyclization precursor **419.** After removal of the (trimethylsilyl)ethyl ester protecting group at Ci of **419,** macrolactonization according to the Mu-L₁ of 419, macroiactonization according to the Mu-
kaiyama protocol¹⁶⁸ provided **394** in excellent vield. Treatment of **394** with LDA followed by TMSOTf then initiated the key "double-Chan" reaction,¹⁵⁹ providing the macrodiolide **393** in good yield. HFmediated desilylation of **393** and *in situ* hemiacetalization then supplied deboraplasmomycin **388.** Fi-145 nally, reaction of **388** with trimethyl borate,¹⁴⁴⁰ furnished the natural product. Thus, in this synthesis, one stereogenic center in the target molecule originated from the chiral pool (C_4) , two stereogenic centers were installed using asymmetric induction from a chiral catalytic reagent $(C_{15}$ and C_{16}), and the remaining five stereogenic centers were introduced using substrate-controlled reactions. [Chan reaction precursor **394:** 2.6% overall yield from **371;** aplasmomycin **(358):** 34 steps longest linear sequence; 39

Scheme 35

steps total; \sim 5 steps per stereogenic center, allowing for C_2 symmetry.]

3. Nakata and Oishi Formal Total Synthesis¹⁴⁷

Nakata, Oishi, and co-workers have achieved a formal total synthesis of aplasmomycin by preparation of the $C_3 - C_{17}$ segment **360**, which was an intermediate in Corey's earlier total synthesis. Compound **360** was constructed by a Julia olefination reaction between the $C_3 - C_{11}$ and $C_{12} - C_{17}$ segments **420** and **421** (Scheme 35). Stereoselective ketone reductions were used several times to set up key stereogenic centers.

The C_3-C_{11} segment **420** was prepared from commercially available (S)-pantolactone **(422),** which supplied the C_9 stereogenic center (Scheme 36). Thus a three-step sequence of reduction, acetonide protection, and oxidation provided aldehyde **423** from **422.**¹⁶⁹ Aldol reaction of **423** with the lithium enolate of *tert*-butyl acetate then gave β -hydroxy ester 424 as a mixture of C_7 epimers. Acetonide deprotection and *in situ* lactonization followed by selective silylation of the C_{10} primary hydroxyl then led to lactone **425.** After temporary protection of the C_7 hydroxyl of **425,** sequential aldol addition of the lithium enolate of isopropyl propionate to C_5 , methoxylation of the resulting hemiacetal, and simultaneous deprotection at C_7 followed by oxidation at C_7 then gave ketone **426.** Stereoselective reduction at C7 of **426** by L-selectride then furnished exclusively the axial alcohol 427.170b Acid-catalyzed hydrolysis of **427** was followed by N aBH₄ reduction at C_5 to provide **428**, as a mixture of diastereomers at C_4 and C_5 . Acidmediated lactonization of the C7 hydroxyl of **428** and subsequent acetylation of the remaining hydroxyls was followed by DBU-induced elimination across C_4 - C_5 to afford the α,β -unsaturated lactone **429**. After DIBAL reduction of 429, acetalization at C₃ and desilylation then gave diol **430.** Heterogeneous hydrogenation of **430** proceeded stereoselectively from the less-hindered α face to generate 431 with from the ress-impleted a face to generate ± 0.1 with 93% ds at $C₄$. After silvistion of the primary hydroxyl at C_{10} , chromatographic removal of the minor C_4 - α epimer afforded 432; desilylation then provided diastereomerically pure **431.** On treatment with NaH and TsCl, or simply excess KH, **431** was converted into epoxide **433,** which underwent regiconverted into epoxide 433, which underwent regi-
oscloctive BE-OEt--mediated addition⁸⁷ of the lithio anion of methyl phenyl sulfone to afford **434.** Transacetalization with 1,3-propanedithiol provided the C3 thioacetal and silylation of the resulting diol then supplied the $C_3 - C_{11}$ segment **420**.

The $C_{12}-C_{17}$ segment **421** was derived from (S) malic acid (119) , which supplied the C_{13} stereogenic center. Thus **119** was converted into aldehyde **435,**⁶⁰ and aldol addition of the lithium enolate of isopropyl propionate then gave β -hydroxy ester 436, as a

Scheme 36. Nakata/Oishi Aplasmomycin C₃-C₁₇ Synthesis¹⁴⁷^a

^a (a) LAH; (b) Me₂CO, p-TsOH; (c) PCC; (d) MeCO₂'Bu, LDA; 423; (e) HCl, MeOH; (f) TBDPSCl, imidazole; (g) H₂C=CHOEt, PPTS; (h) EtCO₂ⁱPr, LDA; (i) CSA, MeOH; (j) PCC; (k) L-selectride; (l) HCl; (m) NaBH₄; (n) CSA; (o) Ac₂O, py, DMAP; (p) DBU; (q) DIBAL; (r) PPTS, 'BuOH; (s) TBAF; (t) H₂, 5% Rh-Al₂O₃; (u) TBDPSCl, imidazole; (v) TBAF; (w) KH or NaH, TsCl; (x) MeSO₂Ph, "BuLi, HMPA, $BF_3·OE$ t₂; (y) HS(CH₂)₃SH, BF₃[·]OEt₂; (z) TBSOTf, 2,6-lutidine; (a') EtCO₂^{*i*}Pr, LDA; 435; (b') HCl, MeOH; (c') TBDPSCl, imidazole; (d') CSA; (e') DIBAL; (f') CSA, CH(OMe)₃; (g') PCC; (h') NaOMe; (i') NaBH₄, CeCl₃; (j') O₃; DMS; (k') Zn(BH₄)₂; (l') K₂CO₃, MeOH; (m') Me₂CO, p -TsOH; (n') MsCl, py; (o') TBAF; (p') NaOMe; (q') AcOH, H₂O; (r') BzCl, py; (s') TIPSCl, imidazole; (t') K₂CO₃, MeOH; (u') PCC; (v') 420, "BuLi, HMPA; 421; (w') BzCl, py, DMAP; (x') 6% Na-Hg.

mixture of C_{15} and C_{16} epimers. Protecting group exchange and lactonization then furnished **437** which, after reduction to the lactol and acetalization with methanol, provided 438. Oxidation at C15 of **438** and subsequent base-induced elimination then gave the enone **439** which underwent a highly stereoselective Luche reduction²³ to correctly set the C_{15} stereogenic center. Ozonolysis of **440** gave a-hydroxy ketone **441,** which underwent a moderately selective chelationcontrolled reduction^{170a} with $Zn(BH_4)_2$. After deformylation at C_{13} and selective acetonide protection

of the C_{15} and C_{16} hydroxyls, the desired diastereomer **442** was obtained, together with its C_{16} epimer, in a ratio of 79:21. Mesylation of the C13 hydroxyl of **442** was followed by cleavage of the C_{12} silyl ether. Treatment with base then generated the epoxide **443** with inversion of configuration at C_{13} . Exposure of **443** to acid then led to cleavage of the acetonide, and cyclization of the resulting C_{16} hydroxyl onto the epoxide, with inversion at C_{13} again, to give tetrahydrofuran **444.** Protecting group exchange and oxidation then afforded the $C_{12}-C_{17}$ segment **421**.

A Julia olefination reaction between the lithio anion of sulfone 420 and aldehyde 421 gave the C_3 -C17 segment **360,** the Corey intermediate, and so completed a formal total synthesis of aplasmomycin. Thus, in this synthesis of **360,** two of the five stereogenic centers in the target molecule originated from the chiral pool $(C_9$ and C_{13}); the remaining three stereogenic centers were introduced using substratecontrolled reactions $(429 \rightarrow 430 \rightarrow 431$ for C₄, $425 \rightarrow$ **426** → **427** for C₇, **439** → **440** for C₁₅, and **441** → **442** for C_{16}). $[C_3 - C_{17}$ segment **360**: 2.8% overall yield from **422;** 29 steps longest linear sequence; **51** steps total; \sim 8-9 steps per stereogenic center.]

4. Matsuda Formal Total Synthesis¹⁴⁸

Matsuda *et al.* have also achieved a formal total synthesis of aplasmomycin by preparation of the Corey intermediate **360.** In common with the earlier work of Nakata, Oishi, and co-workers,¹⁴⁷ **360** was constructed from the $C_3 - C_{11}$ and $C_{12} - C_{17}$ segments

Scheme 37. Matsuda Aplasmomycin $C_3 - C_{17}$ Synthesis¹⁴⁸

420 and **421** (Scheme 35), and many of the key stereogenic centers were again set up by means of stereoselective ketone reductions.

The $C_{12}-C_{17}$ segment **421** was derived from (S) malic acid (119) , which supplied the C_{15} stereogenic center (Scheme 37). Note that Nakata, Oishi, and co-workers also used (S)-malic acid to prepare **421,** but in that case the acid supplied the C_{13} stereogenic center. By using Still's procedure,¹⁷² Matsuda *et al.* converted **119** into 2-hydroxybutanolide **(445).** After protection of the hydroxyl, reaction with methyllithium and subsequent protection of the resulting C13 hydroxyl furnished ketone **446.** Chelationcontrolled reduction^{170a} of 446 using $\text{Zn}(BH_4)_2$ then set up the C_{16} stereogenic center with high diastereoselectivity (94% ds). After silylation of the C_{16} hydroxyl of 447 , deprotection at C_{13} was followed by oxidation to the C_{13} aldehyde. Reaction with [(ben z yloxy)methyl]lithium, 173 followed by oxidation, then gave ketone 448. Stereoselective reduction¹⁷⁴ at C₁₃

 a (a) (MeO)₂CMe₂, p-TsOH; (b) BH₃; (c) H⁺; (d) MEMCl, 'Pr₂NEt; (e) MeLi; (f) BOMCl, 'Pr₂NEt; (g) Zn(BH₄)₂; (h) TBSCl, imidazole; (i) Li, liquid NH₃; (j) CrO₃·2py; (k) BnOCH₂Li; (l) CrO₃·2py; (m) LiAlH(OʻBu)₃; (n) MeLi; TsCl; (o) TBAF; (p) HCl, MeOH; (q) TIPSCl, DMAP; (r) Na, liquid NH3; (s) Swern oxidation; (t) (2R,3R)-1,4-dimethoxy-2,3-butanediol, p-TsOH; (u) PCC, NaOAc; (v) H2C=CHCH2MgBr; (w) Jones oxidation; (x) LAH, LiBr; (y) BnCl, NaO4Am; (z) OsO4, NaIO4; (a') NaBH4; (b') BnCl, NaO4Am; (c') HCl, Me2CO; (d') H2C=CHCH2MgBr; (e') Jones oxidation; (f') LAH; (g') (EtCO)₂O, py, DMAP; (h') OsO₄, NaIO₄; (i') NaBH₄; (j') TsCl, Et₃N, DMAP; (k') KI; (l') LDA; (m') KOMe, MeOH; p-TsOH; (n') DIBAL; (o') CSA, MeOH; (p') Na, liquid NH3; (q') TsCl, Et3N; (r') LiSPh; (s') TBSOTf, 2,6-lutidine; (t') m-CPBA; (u') recrystallize; (v') HS(CH₂)3SH, BF3·OEt2; (w') TBSOTf, 2,6-lutidine; (x') **420**, "BuLi, HMPA; **421**; (y') BzCl, py, DMAP; (z') 6% Na–Hg.

of 448 using LiAl(O'Bu)₃H then gave 449 with 91% ds. Note that the reduction of ketone **446** apparently involves 1,2-asymmetric induction from an α -alkoxy group, viz , the C_{15} MEM ether, whereas reduction of **448** relies on 1,3-asymmetric induction from a β -alkoxy group, namely the same C_{15} MEM ether. The C_{15} hydroxyl stereochemistry originating from (S)-malic acid is thus used to direct the introduction of the stereogenic centers at both C_{13} and C_{16} . After tosylation of the C13 hydroxyl of **449,** silyl ether cleavage at Cie led to *in situ* cyclization, with inversion of configuration at C13, to give the tetrahydrofuran **450.** Protecting group exchange and oxidation at C_{12} then gave aldehyde **421.**

The $C_3 - C_{11}$ segment **420** was derived from 3-hydroxy-2,2-dimethylpropanal **(451).** Acetalization of 451 with $(2R,3R)$ -1,4-dimethoxy-2,3-butanediol¹⁷⁵ was followed by oxidation to the aldehyde at C_9 , addition of allylmagnesium bromide, and reoxidation at C_9 to give the ketone 452 bearing a C_2 -symmetric chiral auxiliary.¹⁷⁴ LiAlH4 reduction of **452** in the presence of LiBr under carefully controlled conditions furnished **453** and introduced the C9 stereogenic center with 93% ds. Note that the stereocontrol imparted on the reduction by chelation of the chiral auxiliary is an example of 1,5-asymmetric induction. After protection of the C_9 hydroxyl of the diastereomeric mixture **453,** oxidative cleavage of the alkene was followed by reduction to the C_{11} primary alcohol and subsequent protection of the hydroxyl. Acid-catalyzed removal of the chiral auxiliary then supplied the C_7 aldehyde; reaction with allylmagnesium bromide, followed by oxidation, then afforded the ketone **454.** This was accordingly obtained in 86% ee, since **453** was of 86% de. Chelation-controlled reduction¹⁷⁴ of $454 \rightarrow 455$ then set up the C₇ stereogenic center with 94% ds. After protection of the C_7 hydroxyl of **455** as its propionate, oxidative cleavage of the double bond was followed by a three-step conversion to C_5 iodide **456.** Treatment of **456** with excess LDA effected ring closure, and kinetically controlled proeffected ring closure, and kinetically controlled proronation of the resulting fitting enough furnished a 1:1 mixture of C_4 epimers. Upon exposure to methanolic potassium methoxide, this mixture was equilibrated to provide 457 with 94% ds in favor of the desired C_4 stereochemistry. DIBAL reduction of 457 to give the lactol, followed by acid-catalyzed methoxylation, gave a 66:34 mixture of acetal epimers 458 and 459. Only 458 was taken on to sulfone 420, but **459** could be equilibrated under acidic conditions to provide more of 458. Cleavage of the benzyl ethers of 458; selective monotosylation of the resulting diol at C_{11} ; and subsequent thiophenolate displacement, silylation at C₁₃, and subsequent oxidation then afforded the sulfone 459. This was obtained in 86% ee, but recrystallization allowed the isolation of 459 in enantiomerically pure form. Transacetalization with 1,3-propanedithiol and protection of the resulting C_7 hydroxyl then furnished the $C_3 - C_{11}$ segment **420**.

Finally, Julia olefination of aldehyde **421** and sulfone **420,** according to the procedure of Nakata, Oishi, and co-workers,^{147b} supplied the Corey intermediate **360** and completed a formal total synthesis of aplasmomycin. Thus, in this synthesis of **360,** one

Figure 5. Structures of the aplyronines.

of the five stereogenic centers in the target molecule originated from the chiral pool (C_{15}) , one stereogenic center was installed using asymmetric induction from a chiral auxiliary $(452 \rightarrow 453$ for C₉), and the remaining four stereogenic centers were introduced using substrate-controlled reactions $(456 \rightarrow 457$ for C_4 , **454** \rightarrow **455** for C_7 , **448** \rightarrow **449** \rightarrow **450** for C_{13} , and **446** → **447** for C₁₆). [C₃-C₁₇ segment **360**: 1.4% overall yield from **452;** 33 steps longest linear sequence; 52 steps total; \sim 9 steps per stereogenic center.]

D. The Aplyronines

Aplyronine A **(460** in Figure 5) and its congeners aplyronines B **(461)** and C **(462)** are potent antitumor macrolides, isolated from the Japanese sea hare *Aplysia kurodai,* which were reported by Yamada and $\frac{1}{2}$ co-workers in 1993.^{176a-d} In addition to the 24membered macrocycle, the structures are interesting due to the presence of a terminal N -methyl- N vinylformamide unit, as found in several other antitumor marine macrolides, 177 and, in particular, $\frac{1}{2}$ because of the presence of two scalemic¹⁷⁸ amino acid residues. Note that the N,N,O -trimethylserine moiety on C_7 exists as a $2-1.1:1$ mixture of S and R configurations, whereas the N_YN -dimethylalanine moiety on C_{29} exists as a $6-3:1$ mixture of S and R molety on \mathbb{C}_{29}^9 called as a σ of a mixture of S and \mathbb{R}^9 configurations.^{176a} Since these isomer ratios vary slightly according to the animal sample employed, it is possible that partial epimerization of the amino acid residues occurs during isolation from the sponge extracts. Yamada and co-workers established the absolute stereochemistry of aplyronine A by enantiabsolute stereochemistry of aphyronme \mathbf{A} by enanti-
oselective synthesis of degradation products, 176c,d and in 1994 completed the total synthesis of alpyronine \overline{A} itself.^{176e,}

1. Yamada Total Synthesis^{176e,f}

Yamada and co-workers designed a highly convergent route to aplyronine A (Scheme 38). Thus a C_5 - C_{20} segment (463) was constructed by the sequential connection of three segments $(464-466)$, and a C_{21} C34 segment **(467)** was assembled from two segments **(468** and **469).** Julia coupling¹⁷⁹ of **463** and **467** followed by addition of the C_1-C_4 portion by a Horner-Emmons reaction¹⁸⁰ gave the seco-acid 470 , which was then macrolactonized. The extensive use of sulfone additions in the synthesis is noteworthy, as is the use of acyclic methods of stereocontrol. E vans aldol reactions⁶⁵ and Sharpless asymmetric

epoxidations⁹² were used to construct the three sets of four contiguous stereogenic centers at C_7-C_{10} (as in 464), $C_{23}-C_{26}$ (as in 468), and $C_{29}-C_{32}$ (as in 469). *a. C21—C34 Segment Synthesis.¹⁷⁶** Yamada and coworkers observed that the relative stereochemistry of the two sets of four contiguous stereogenic centers spanning $C_{23}-C_{26}$ and $C_{29}-C_{32}$ of aplyronine A is the same, *i.e. syn-anti-anti.* However, the absolute ster-

Scheme 39. Yamada Aplyronine A C₂₁-C₃₄ Synthesis^{176e}^a

eochemistry of one set is opposite to that of the other. Accordingly, the introduction of the stereogenic centers for the $C_{21}-C_{27}$ segment 468 and the $C_{28}-C_{34}$ segment **469** was accomplished using identical methodology, but starting from antipodal starting materials (Scheme 39).

The synthesis of **469** began with the Evans aldol reaction⁶⁵ between imide **471** and aldehyde **108** to give **472** with extremely high diastereoselectivity. Transamidation to the Weinreb $(N$ -methyl- N -methoxy) amide,^{181a} protection of the C_{29} hydroxyl, and r eduction^{181b} at C_{31} then provided the aldehyde 473, which was homologated at C_{31} by means of a Horner-Emmons reaction and subsequently reduced at C_{33} to give the allylic alcohol **474.** Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation⁹² of **474** afforded **475,** which underwent stereo- and regioselective $(10:1)$ opening¹⁸² at C_{32} upon treatment with Me2CuLi to give diol **476,** which bore the complete *syn-anti-syn* stereorelationship. Transformation of the C33 primary hydroxyl of **476** to a cyano group was followed by reduction to give the C_{34} aldehyde and spontaneous formation of a hemiacetal with the C_{31} hydroxyl. Acetalization with acidic methanol and simultaneous deprotection at C_{29} then afforded a separable 4:5 mixture of epimeric acetals **477a** and **477b.** Equilibration of the minor acetal **477a** provided more of **477b.** Protecting group exchange and subsequent oxidation at C_{28} then furnished the $C_{28}-C_{34}$ segment **469**.

The synthesis of **468** employed the same synthetic strategy as that used for **469.** Thus, an Evans aldol reaction⁶⁵ between imide **134** and aldehyde **478** afforded **479,** which was led onto aldehyde **480** in the standard manner. Compound **480** was converted into diol **481** using the same sequence of reactions used to obtain diol **476** from **473,** except that the antipodal Sharpless epoxidation catalyst was now used. Note that the regioselectivity of epoxide opening was only 3:1 in this case. Transformation of the C_{27} primary hydroxyl of **481** to a sulfide group,

 a (a) 471, n Bu₂BOTf, Et₃N; 108; (b) Me₂AlN(Me)OMe; (c) TBSCl, imidazole; (d) DIBAL; (e) (ⁱPrO)₂P(=O)CH₂CO₂Et, 'BuOK; (f) DIBAL; (g) $Ti(O^iPr)_4$, $(+)$ -DET, 'BuOOH; (h) Me₂CuLi; (i) p-TsCl, py; (j) NaCN; (k) DIBAL; (l) CSA, MeOH; (m) Na, liquid NH₃; (n) TBDPSCl, imidazole; (o) BnBr, NaH; (p) TBAF; (q) Swern oxidation; (r) **468,** "BuLi; **469;** (s) 6% Na-Hg; (t) Ca, liquid NH3; (u) H2, 5% Rh-Al2O3; (v) PhSSPh, "Bu₃P; (w) m-CPBA; (x) m.p-(MeO)₂C₆H₃CH₂OCH₂Cl, 'Pr₂NEt; (a') 134, "Bu₂BOTf, Et₃N; 478; (b') Me₂AlN(Me)OMe; (c') TESCl, imidazole; (d') DIBAL; (e') ('PrO)2P(=0)CH2C02Et, 'BuOK; (f) DIBAL; (g') Ti(CPr)4, (-)-DET, 'BuOOH; (h') Me2CuLi; (i') PhSSPh, ${}^{n}Bu_{3}P$; (j') TESCl, imidazole; (k') m-CPBA.

Scheme 40. Yamada Alplyronine A C_5-C_{20} Synthesis^{176f a}

 a (a) 471, "Bu₂BOTf, Et₃N; 483; (b) Me₂AlN(Me)OMe; (c) TBSOTf, 2,6-lutidine; (d) DIBAL; (e) ('PrO)₂P(=O)CH₂CO₂Et, 'BuOK; (f) DIBAL; (g) Ti(OⁱPr)₄, (+)-DET, 'BuOOH; (h) Red-Al; (i) PivCl, py; (j) H₂, 10% Pd-C; (k) PhSSPh, ⁿBu₃P; (l) TESCl, imidazole; (m) m-CPBA; (n) 464, LDA; 465, HMPA; (o) 5% Na-Hg; (p) H₂, 10% Pd-C; (q) Dess-Martin period HCl, H₂O; (c') TBSCl, Et₃N, DMAP; (d') MeI, NaH; (e') TBAF; (f') p-TsCl, py; (g') NaI; (h') TrCl, py; (i') MeI, LDA; (j') LAH; (k') TBDPSCl, imidazole; (l') MeI, NaH; (m') TBAF; (n') p-TsCl, py; (o') PhSO2Me, "BuLi.

protection of the C_{23} secondary hydroxyl, and subsequent oxidation to the C_{27} sulfone then furnished the $C_{21}-C_{27}$ segment 468. Note that Yamada and coworkers did not opt for differential protection of the C_{23} and C_{25} hydroxyls, and thus a regioselective lactonization was required later in the synthesis of aplyronine A.

A Julia olefination reaction¹⁷⁹ between the carbanion of sulfone 468 and aldehyde 469, followed by reductive cleavage of the C_{21} and C_{29} benzyl ethers and hydrogenation of the $C_{27}-C_{28}$ double bond, provided the $C_{21}-C_{34}$ segment 482. Protection of the C_{29} hydroxyl and transformation to the sulfone at C_{21} then gave 467 [16% overall yield from 471 ; 24 steps longest linear sequence; 35 steps total; ~4 steps per stereogenic center].

b. C_5-C_{20} Segment Synthesis.^{176f} The construction of the set of four contiguous stereogenic centers spanning C_7-C_{10} of 464 (Scheme 40) was accomplished using methodology similar to that used to set up the stereochemistry of 468 and 469. Aldehyde 483 was prepared from commercially available (R) methyl 3-hydroxy-2-methylpropionate,^{44b} and its Evans aldol reaction⁶⁵ with imide 471 then provided 484. Transformation of 484 to epoxide 485 was accomplished via aldehyde 486, as for $472 \rightarrow 473 \rightarrow 475$ (Scheme 39), and regioselective reduction²⁹ at C_6 of 485 afforded diol 487. Protection of the C_5 and C_7 hydroxyls and conversion into the sulfone at C_{11} then gave 464. Alkylation¹⁸³ of the carbanion of sulfone 464 with iodide 465 (prepared in seven steps from the glycerol derivative 488) and subsequent reductive removal of the sulfonyl group furnished the $C_5 - C_{14}$ segment 489, which was then transformed into the C_{14} ketone 490 in a further four steps. The Julia olefination reaction¹⁷⁹ between ketone 490 and sulfone 466 (obtained in eight steps from lactone 491) provided the desired *trans* olefin 492 (44% yield) along with the undesired *cis* isomer (20%) and the C_{14} tertiary alcohol (23%). Protecting group exchange at C_7 of 492 and deprotection and oxidation at C_{20} then gave the C_5-C_{20} segment 463 [9.0% overall yield from 471, 25 steps longest linear sequence; 40 steps total; \sim 6 steps per stereogenic center].

c. Completion of the Total Synthesis of Aplyronine $A.$ ^{176f} The union of the C_5-C_{20} segment 463 with the $C_{21}-C_{34}$ segment 467 and the completion of the total synthesis of aplyronine A (460) by Yamada and coworkers is summarized in Scheme 41. Once again, a Julia olefination reaction¹⁷⁹ was employed, this time to join 463 and 467 to give alkene 493 with high stereoselectivity $(C_{20}-C_{21}$ trans/cis = 10:1). Deprotection and Dess-Martin oxidation²⁷ at C_5 of 493, followed by installation of the $C_1 - C_4$ section by a Horner-Emmons reaction,¹⁸⁰ then gave 494 . Silyl
ether cleavage at C_{23} and C_{25} of 494 and hydrolysis at the C_1 terminus provided seco-acid 470; Yamaguchi macrolactonization⁴⁷ using the modified conditions of Yonemitsu¹⁸⁴ then afforded the desired 24membered macrolide 495 (42% yield) and a 26membered lactone (28%).¹⁸⁵ The latter could be isomerized to $495(2.5.1$ equilibrium ratio in favor of 495, 60–65% isolated vield of 495) in the presence of Ti(O^{*i*}Pr)₄. After silylation of the C₂₅ hydroxyl of 495, hydrolysis and reduction at C_{34} gave diol 496, which was converted into aldehyde 497 in a further four steps. Reaction of 497 with N-methylformamide in the presence of PPTS and DDQ introduced the terminal trans-N-methyl-N-vinylformamide moiety to give 498. After oxidative cleavage of the $(m, p$ dimethoxybenzyl) oxy methyl ether at C_{29} of 498 (note that a $[(p\text{-methoxybenzy}]\text{oxy}]\text{methyl}$ ether at C_{29} could not be cleaved without decomposition of the conjugated lactone), the resulting hydroxyl was acylated⁴⁸ with N_,N-dimethylalanine ($S/R = 3:2$) to give a diastereomeric mixture of dimethylalanine esters $(S/R = 4:1)$. Similarly, deprotection at C₇ and acylation⁴⁸ with N,N,O-trimethylserine (S/R = 5:2) afforded a diastereomeric mixture of trimethylserine esters $(S/R = 4:3)$. Note that the use of optically pure amino acids also afforded diastereomeric mixtures, implying that partial epimerization occurs during introduction of the amino acid residues. It is possible that some kinetic resolution also takes place when

Scheme 41. Yamada Aplyronine A Synthesis Js176f o

a (a) **467**, "BuLi; **463**; (b) Ac₂O, DMAP, py; (c) 5% Na–Hg; (d) DIBAL; (e) Dess–Martin periodinane; (f) (EtO)₂P(=O)CH₂CH=CHCO₂Et,
LDA; (g) HF·py, py; (h) LiOH; (i) Cl₃C₆H₂COCl, Et₃N, DMAP; (j) Ti(OⁱPr)4 py; (o) Ac_2O , DMAP, py; (p) HCO₂H; (q) Dess-Martin periodinane; (r) MeNHCHO, PPTS, hydroquinone; (s) DDQ; (t) N_N-dimethylalanine $(S/R = 3:2)$, DCC, DMAP, CSA; (u) AgNO₃, 2,6-lutidine, H₂O; (v) N_nO-trimethylserine $(S/R = 5:2)$, DCC, DMAP, CSA; (w) HF-py, py.

using the scalemic amino acid samples. Finally, silyl ether cleavage at C_9 and C_{25} furnished aplyronine A **(460).** Thus, in this synthesis, five of the stereogenic centers in the target molecule originated from the chiral pool (\rightarrow C₁₀, C₁₃, C₁₉, and the two amino acid stereogenic centers), six stereogenic centers were constructed in three auxiliary-controlled Evans aldol reactions $\left(\rightarrow C_8, C_9, C_{23}, C_{24}, C_{29}, \text{ and } C_{30}\right)$, three stereogenic centers were installed using chiral reagents via three Sharpless epoxidation reactions $(\rightarrow$ C_7, C_{25} , and C_{31}), and the remaining three stereogenic centers $(C_{17}, C_{26}, \text{ and } C_{32})$ were set up by reactions relying on substrate control of asymmetric induction. [Aplyronine A **(460):** 0.5% overall yield from **471; 47** steps longest linear sequence; 98 steps total; $~6$ -7 steps per stereogenic center.]

E. The Scytophycins

The scytophycins **(23-27** in Figure 2) are a class of macrolides which exhibit potent cytotoxicity against human tumor cell lines, as well as displaying broad spectrum antifungal activity.¹⁶ They are isolated from the terrestial blue-green alga *Scytonema pseudohofmanni* and are therefore not marine natural products, and thus, strictly speaking, lie outside the scope of this review. However, in view of the close structural homology^{13c} between the C_1-C_{26} portions of swinholide $A(11)$ and scytophycin C (23), this latter congener does merit some discussion here. Indeed, this structural homology implies a genetic link between the organisms producing the scytophycins and the swinholides *(vide supra).¹⁶⁶*

At the time of writing, the total synthesis of scytophycin C has not been reported. Paterson *et al.* have proposed a synthesis involving regioselective macrolactonization of the seco-acid **499,** followed by addition of the vinyl formamide moiety at C_{32} (Scheme 42).^{187,188} Two routes to **499** have been outlined.

Thus, construction of the $C_{18}-C_{19}$ bond by stereoselective aldol union of the- silyl enol ether **72** and aldehyde **500,** followed by stereoselective ketone reduction at C_{17} is analogous to the transformation $30 + 72 \rightarrow 73 \rightarrow 74$ used in the synthesis of swinholide A (Scheme 3).^{17f} Alternatively, the C_{16} C_{17} bond might be constructed by stereoselective aldol union of the ethyl ketone **44** and aldehyde **501,** followed by stereoselective ketone reduction at C_{15} ¹⁸⁷
Scheme 43. Paterson Scytophycin C C17-C32 **Synthesis¹⁸⁷***^a*

 a (a) Cl₃CC(=NH)OBn, TfOH; (b) LAH; (c) Swern oxidation; (d) $502 + 503$; H₂O₂, NaOH; (e) MeI, NaH; (f) 9-BBN; H₂O₂, NaOH; (g) TIPSCl, imidazole; (h) H₂, Pd-C; (i) Swern oxidation; (j) $(MeO)_2P(-O)Me$, "BuLi; (k) PDC; (l) Cl₃CC(=NH)OBn, TfOH; (m) $\rm\,Me(MeO)NH AlMe_3;$ (n) $\rm\,EtMgBr;$ (o) $\rm ^cHex_2BCl, Et_3N;$ $H_2C=C(Me)CHO;$ $H_2O_2;$ (p) $Me_4NBH(OAc)_3;$ (q) $^i\rm Bu_2Si(OTf)_2,$ 2,6-lutidine; (r) 9-BBN; $\rm H_2O_2$, NaOH; (s) Swern oxidation; (t) $\rm 507 + 512$, Ba(OH)₂; (u) $\rm H_2$, Pd–C; (v) Dess–Martin periodinane; (w) $\rm H_2C$ =CHCH₂TMS, BF₃·OEt₂; (x) MeOTf, 2,6-di-tert-butylpyridine; (y) O_3 , NaHCO₃; Me₂S.

The syntheses of **44** and **72** have already been described (Schemes 2 and 3, respectively). The preparation of aldehydes **500** and **501** is outlined in Scheme 43.

Anti crotylboration of aldehyde **502,** obtained in three steps from (S)-methyl 3-hydroxy-2-methylpropionate (199),44b using the Brown chiral crotylboron reagent **503,**43b afforded homoallylic alcohol **504** with **95%** ds, thus setting up the three contiguous stereogenic centers spanning $C_{28}-C_{30}$ of scytophycin C (Scheme 43). After methylation of the C_{29} hydroxyl, hydroboration of the alkene and subsequent protection of the resulting alcohol gave **505.** Debenzylation and oxidation to the C_{27} aldehyde was followed by addition of lithiated methyl dimethylphosphonate to provide β -hydroxy phosphonates 506, as a 2:1 mixture of C_{27} epimers. Oxidation of this mixture then supplied the β -keto phosphonate **507**. Meanwhile, substrate-controlled aldol addition of methacrolein to the (E) -dicyclohexyl enol borinate 52 derived³³ from ethyl ketone **53,** itself obtained from (S)-methyl 3 -hydroxy-2-methylpropionate $(199)^{34}$ gave β -hydroxy ketone **508,** having the desired configuration at C₂₂ and C₂₃, with 98% ds.³² Stereoselective reducat σ_{22} and σ_{23} , while 50% as. Secretally reductive reduction of **508** using the Saksena–Evans reagent³⁵ gave the C_{21} , C_{23} -anti diol **509** with 94% ds, which was protected as its di-ter£-butylsilylene derivative **510.** Note that, as was the case in the synthesis of swinholide A,17a Paterson *et al.* did not opt for differential protection of the C_{21} and C_{23} hydroxyls, and thus a regioselective lactonization will be required later in the synthesis of scytophycin C.

Introduction of the C_{24} stereogenic center was effected by hydroboration of **510** to give **511** with 93% ds. This completed the synthesis of the stereopentad spanning $C_{20}-C_{24}$. Oxidation of alcohol 511 then afforded the aldehyde 512 and $C_{25}-C_{26}$ bond construction was accomplished by a Horner-Emmons coupling¹⁸⁰ of **507** and **512** to give exclusively the (E) -enone **513**. Note the rare use of barium hydroxide as the base in this reaction,¹⁸⁹¹⁹⁰ which proceeded cleanly without β -elimination in the aldehyde 512 or epimerization of either **507** or **512** occurring.¹⁹¹ Use of the existing Masamune–Roush (LiCl, 'Pr₂NEt or DBU)^{192a} or Rathke (LiBr or $MgBr_2$, Et_3N)^{192b} protocols was unsuccessful in this case. Catalytic heterogeneous hydrogenation of **513** led to debenzylation and 1,4 reduction of the enone to give the alcohol **514.** Dessreduction of the enonce to give the alcohol $\overline{u_1x_1}$. Bess
Martin²⁷ oxidation then supplied the $C_{19}-C_{32}$ aldehyde segment **500**. The C_{19} stereogenic center was set up by BF_3 ^{OEt₂-promoted addition of allyltri-} methylsilane to **500,** which afforded the desired Felkin-Anh diastereomer 515 with \geq 97% ds. Note that use of $TiCl₄$ in this reaction led to much lower diastereoselectivity, providing a 2:1 mixture of C_{19} epimers. Finally, O-methylation¹⁹³ of **515** and ozonolysis then furnished the $C_{17}-C_{32}$ aldehyde segment **501.** In this synthesis, five of the seven newly created stereogenic centers were installed by a series of four substrate-controlled reactions $(53 \rightarrow 508, 508)$ \rightarrow 509, 510 \rightarrow 511, and 500 \rightarrow 515); the remaining two were set up in a single reagent-controlled reaction (502 + 503 \rightarrow 504). [C₁₇-C₃₂ segment 501: 9.3% overall yield from **199;** 17 steps longest linear sequence; 25 steps total; \sim 3 steps per stereogenic center.]

F. The Ulapualides and Halichondramides

The ulapualides, *e.g.* ulapualide A **(516** in Figure 6), are a class of tris(oxazole)-containing macrolides which were first isolated from egg masses of the marine nudibranch *Hexabranchus sanguineas.¹⁹⁴* Similar structurally related macrolides, which have variously been called kabiramides,¹⁹⁵ mycalolides,¹⁹⁶ and halichondramides,^{195b,197} e.g. **517**, have been isolated from other nudibranches and also from marine sponges.¹⁹⁸ This family of marine metabolites exhibits a wide variety of biological activities, including antifungal, antileukemic, and ichthyotoxic properties. Such a biological profile may in part be associated with the capacity of the metabolites to sequester and transport metal ions *in vivo* using the several oxygen and nitrogen ligand binding sites in their structures.¹⁹⁹

The relative stereochemistries of the ulapualides and halichondramides have not yet been unequivocally established. However, Pattenden and co-workers have remarked^{200c,d} that both classes of molecules bear side chains terminating in formyl enamine residues which are very similar to side chains found in the scytophycins, $e.g.$ $23,^{16,201}$ and the aplyronines, $e.g.$ 460.^{176a-d} Since the absolute and relative stereochemistries of **23** and **460** have been secured by partial synthesis and/or X-ray crystallography, Pattenden and co-workers have suggested identical configurations for the side chains of ulapualide A $(516)^{200b,d}$ and halichondramide $(517)^{200c}$ at the coincident stereogenic centers, as indicated in Figure 6. In addition, Pattenden and co-workers have also proposed a complete stereochemical assignment for ulapualide A **(516)** based on computer modeling μ aluation of ~ 100 , based on computer modeling and μ is studies. They speculated that if ulapualide A is indeed an ionophore, then the natural stereoisomer will be the one best able to form a metal chelate, *i.e.* that stereoisomer which shows the lowest strain energy for a ulapualide-metal complex. On the basis of molecular mechanics calculations on a ulapualide A-Co(III) complex, the stereochemistry indicated in

Figure 6 was predicted. Note that the stereochemical prediction for the side chain so obtained matched exactly that expected by comparison with the side chain of scytophycin C.

At the time of writing, no total syntheses of either ulapualide A or halichondramide have been reported. However, both Yoo²⁰² and Pattenden and co- ${\rm workers}^{200{\rm a}}$ have prepared ${\rm tris}({\rm oxazole})$ segments. 203 In addition, Pattenden and co-workers have come extremely close to synthesizing the ulapualide A $\frac{1}{200}$ and the side chain of halichondramide has also been prepared by the same research group.^{200c}

The first synthetic work performed on the ulapualides concerned the preparation of the unprecedented tris(oxazole) segment. The probable biosynthetic pathway to the tris(oxazole) moiety involves cyclization and oxidation of a substituted tris(serine) tripeptide intermediate, and the first synthesis of a contiguous tris(oxazole) **(518** in Scheme 44), by Pattenden and co-workers,^{200a} employed three molecules of serine in three sequential oxazoline cyclization-oxidation sequences (the first cyclization being $519 \rightarrow 520$, according to the method of Meyers and co-workers.²⁰⁴ Later, Yoo prepared the segment **521,** using three sequential $[3 + 2]$ -cycloaddition reactions of nitriles with dimethyl diazomalonate (the first cycloaddition being $522 + 523 \rightarrow 524$, ²⁰² following precedent from the work of Helquist and co-workers.²⁰⁵

1. Yoo Tris(oxazole) Segment Synthesis²⁰²

The Yoo tris(oxazole) segment synthesis began with the $Rh_2(OAc)_4$ -mediated cycloaddition reaction between dimethyl diazomalonate **(523)** and cyanohydrin **522,** obtained from pivaldehyde **(525),** which afforded oxazole **526** (Scheme 45).²⁰⁵ Reductive removal of the 5-methoxy group and simultaneous reduction of the 4-methoxycarbonyl moiety then supplied oxazole **527,** and a three-step sequence converted **527** into the nitrile **524.** Repetition of the cycloaddition-reduction-nitrile formation sequence provided the bis(oxazole) **528.** After a third cycloaddition and reduction to give alcohol **529,** adjustment of hydroxyl-protecting groups then furnished the tris-

Figure 6. Proposed structures for ulapualide A and halichondramide in comparison with known structures of aplyronine A and scytophycin C.

Scheme 44

Scheme 45. Yoo Halichondramide/Ulapualide A Tris(oxazole) Synthesis²⁰² °

 a (a) KCN; (b) TBSCl, imidazole; (c) 523, Rh₂(OAc)₄; (d) LAH; (e) Swern oxidation; (f) NH₂OH-HCl, K₂CO₃; (g) Tf₂O, Et₃N; (h) Ac₂O, Et₃N; (i) TBAF.

(oxazole) segment **521** [3.3% overall yield from **525;** 16 steps].

2. Pattenden Tris(oxazole) Segment Synthesis^{200a}

The synthesis of a tris(oxazole) segment by Pattenden and co-workers began with the condensation

Scheme 46. Pattenden Halichondramide/ Ulapualide A Tris(oxazole) Synthesis^{200a a}

 a' (a) **530** + **531**, Et₃N; (b) N_iO₂, Δ ; (c) KOH, H₂O; (d) SOCl₂; (e) **530**, Et₃N; (f) $SOCl₂$; (g) AgOTf; (h) NiO₂, Δ .

Scheme 47

between L-serine ethyl ester hydrochloride **(530)** and ethyl acetimidate hydrochloride **(531)** in the presence of base, which afforded oxazoline **532** (Scheme 46). Oxidation of **532** using nickel peroxide, according to the procedure of Meyers,²⁰⁴ then gave the oxazole **520.** Saponification of **520** and subsequent formation of the acid chloride **533** was followed by amide formation using a second molecule of **530** to supply **534.** After reaction of **534** with thionyl chloride to provide 535, AgOTf-induced cyclization²⁰⁶ furnished **536** and oxidation then gave the bis(oxazole) **537.** Repetition of the sequence of reactions used to transform **520** into **537** then afforded the tris(oxazole) segment **518** [~6% overall yield from **531; 14** steps].

3. Pattenden C_1-C_{24} Segment Synthesis^{200b}

Besides the synthesis of the tris(oxazole) segment **518** spanning $C_9 - C_{19}$ of ulapualide A *(vide supra)*,^{200a} Pattenden and co-workers have also prepared the C_1-C_8 and $C_{20}-C_{24}$ segments **538** and **539** (Scheme 47). Sequential coupling of the segments **518, 539,** and 538 has been achieved,^{200b} to provide a C_1-C_{24}

Scheme 48. Pattenden Ulapualide A C_1-C_{24} **Synthesis^{200b}</u>**

 $a(a)$ (+)-DET, Ti(O[;]Pr)₄, 'BuOOH; (b) Me₂CuLi; (c) MOMCl, ¹Pr₂NEt; (d) NaH, MeI; (e) TBAF; (f) Swern oxidation; (g) NBS, AIBN, hv; (h) PPh₃; (i) 545, 'BuOK; 539; (j) DIBAL; (k) Dess-Martin periodinane; (l) 548, LDA; H₂C=CHCH₂Br; (m) Bakers' yeast; (n) TBDPSCl, imidazole; (o) BH₃; H₂O₂; (p) Jones oxidation; (q) (COCl)₂; (r) Ph₃P=CH₂; (s) 538, base; 547.

segment of ulapualide A. Cyclization of this segment has not yet been reported; neither has the synthesis of the C25-C37 side-chain segment **540.** However, the side chain of halichondramide has been prepared by the same research group *(vide infra).200c*

Synthesis of the $C_{20}-C_{24}$ segment **539** began with Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation⁹² of the allylic alcohol **541,** which afforded epoxide **542** (Scheme 48). Directed ring opening with lithium dimethylcuprate¹⁸² then supplied the diol **543** with the required configurations at both C_{22} and C_{23} . Protecting group manipulations and adjustment of oxidation state then gave the aldehyde **539.** Meanwhile, bromination of the tris(oxazole) segment **518** at the carbon α to the terminal oxazole furnished the bromide **544,** which was transformed into the phosphonium salt **545.** After an *E*-selective Wittig coupling of 539 and 545, adjustment of oxidation state in the resulting **546** provided the Cg aldehyde **547.**

Synthesis of the $C_1 - C_8$ segment **538** began with monoalkylation of the dianion of methyl acetoactetate **(548)** to afford the ketone **549.** Incubation with Bakers' yeast then supplied the corresponding C_3 alcohol with the configuration required for ulapualide A, and protection of the hydroxyl provided **550.** After regioselective hydroboration of **550,** oxidation of the resulting primary alcohol gave the carboxylic acid **551.** This was converted to the corresponding acid chloride, and then to the β -keto phosphonium salt

538. Wittig coupling of **547** and the ylide derived from **538** furnished the $C_1 - C_{24}$ enone segment **552**. Deprotection at both C_1 and C_{24} of **552** to afford the seco-acid, followed by macrolactonization to supply the truncated macrolide **553,** has not yet been reported. Stereoselective introduction of the C_9 methyl group is envisaged via Michael addition of lithium dimethylcuprate to enone **553,** which is expected to proceed in the required stereochemical sense as a consequence of macrocyclic stereocontrol.²⁰⁷ Pattenden and co-workers have not specified whether the $C_{25}-C_{37}$ side chain is to be introduced before or after macrolactonization.

Thus, in the synthesis of **552,** one of the three stereogenic centers (C_{22}) was installed using a reagentcontrolled reaction $(541 \rightarrow 542)$; a second stereogenic center (C_3) was set up using an enzyme-mediated reaction $(549 \rightarrow 550)$; and the third stereogenic center (C_{23}) was introduced via a reaction relying on substrate-controlled asymmetric induction $(542 \rightarrow 543)$. Introduction of a fourth stereogenic center, at $C₉$ of **553,** is envisaged using macrocyclic stereocontrol. $[C_1-C_{24}$ segment **552**: 20 steps longest linear sequence; **33** steps total.]

4. Pattenden Halichondramide Side Chain Synthesis^{200c}

Pattenden and co-workers have synthesized the C18-C37 side chain **554** of halichondramide (Scheme 49) 2ooc Compound **554** was obtained via elaboration

Scheme 49

of the $C_{20}-C_{35}$ segment **555**, which was prepared by Horner-Emmons coupling of the $C_{20}-C_{28}$ and $C_{29}-$ C35 segments **556** and **557.**

The key step in the synthesis of the $C_{20}-C_{28}$ segment 556 involved an Evans aldol reaction⁶⁵ between the chiral oxazolidinone 558 and the C_{24} C28 aldehyde segment **559** (Scheme 50). Synthesis of **559** began with Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation⁹² of the allylic alcohol **560,** which afforded

epoxide **561**.²⁰⁸ Directed ring-opening²⁰⁸ with methylmagnesium bromide in the presence of catalytic CuI then supplied the diol **562** with the required configurations at both C_{26} and C_{27} . Protecting group manipulations and adjustment of oxidation state gave the aldehyde **559,** and addition to the (Z)-enol dibutylborinate derived from imide **558** delivered the aldol adduct **563** having the required configurations at both C_{23} and C_{24} . After protection of the C_{24} hydroxyl of 563 , reductive removal²⁰⁹ of the chiral auxiliary gave **564.** Conversion to the corresponding C_{23} -methyl compound was effected via sequential mesylation followed by hydride displacement; deprotection at C_{28} followed by oxidation then furnished the aldehyde **556** [7.4% overall yield from **560;** 13 steps; ~3 steps per stereogenic center].

Meanwhile, the C29-C35 segment **557** was prepared from allylic alcohol **565.** Thus, **56529b** was converted into diol **566,** having the required configurations at C_{31} and C_{32} , via a two-step sequence already used in the transformation of **560** into **562.** Protecting group manipulations and adjustment of oxidation state then gave the ester **567,** which, following a further protecting group interconversion, was used to acylate the anion derived from diethyl methylphosphonate, thus providing **557** [12% overall yield from **565;** 10 steps; 5 steps per stereogenic center].

Horner-Emmons coupling of aldehyde **556** and the β -keto phosphonate **557** proceeded smoothly to afford the (E) -alkene; hydrogenation then effected simultaneous reduction of the double bond and hydrogenolysis of the C20 ether to supply alcohol **555.** After

Scheme 50. Pattenden Halichondramide Ci8-C37 Synthesis²⁰⁰⁰ °

 $a(a)$ (-)-DET, Ti(O'Pr)₄, 'BuOOH; (b) MeMgBr, CuI; (c) TBDPSCl, imidazole; (d) NaH, MeI; (e) H₂, Pd(OH)₂-C; (f) Swern oxidation; (g) 558, "Bu₂BOTf, Et₃N; 559; H₂O₂; (h) MOMCl, ⁱPr₂NEt; (i) LiBH₃(OMe); (j) MsCl, ⁱPr₂NEt; (k) LiBH₃(OMe), Δ ; (l) TBAF; (m) Swern oxidation; (n) $(-)$ -DET, Ti(OⁱPr)₄, 'BuOOH; (o) MeMgBr, CuI; (p) TBDPSCl, imidazole; (q) NaH, MeI; (r) TBAF; (s) PDC; (t) AcCl, MeOH; (u) H₂, $Pd(OH)_2-C$; (v) TBSOTf, 2,6-lutidine; (w) (EtO)2 $P(=O)Me$, "BuLi; (x) $\bf 557$, KHMDS; $\bf 556$; (y) H_2 , $Pd(OH)_2-C$; (z) Swern oxidation; (a') $Ph_3P=CHCO_2Me$; (b') TBAF; (c') oxidize; (d') HCONHMe, H⁺.

Figure 7. Structures of the bryostatins.

oxidation to the C_{20} aldehyde, Wittig reaction with methyl (triphenylphosphoranylidene)acetate led to the (E) - α , β -unsaturated ester. Deprotection at C_{35} and oxidation then afforded aldehyde **568.** Finally, reaction with N -methylformamide under mild acid catalysis (conditions not specified) furnished the halichondramide C18-C35 side-chain segment **554.** In this synthesis, two of the six stereogenic centers were introduced in two reagent-controlled reactions **(560** — **561** and the analogous transformation of **565),** two were installed using substrate-controlled asymmetric induction $(561 \rightarrow 562$ and the analogous preparation of 566), and two were constructed in a single auxiliarycontrolled reaction (558 + 559 \rightarrow 563). [C₁₈-C₃₇ segment **554:** 20 steps longest linear sequence from **560;** 30 steps total; 5 steps per stereogenic center.]

G. The Bryostatins

The bryostatins constitute a class of 17 macrolides, isolated from the marine bryozoans *Bugula neritina* Linnaeus and *Amanthia convoluta,* which exhibit exceptionally high levels of antineoplastic activity against lymphocytic leukemia and ovarian carcinoma,²¹⁰ and which have recently reached phase 2 clinical trials.²¹¹ Except for the C_{20} -deoxy analogues, such as bryostatin $11(569)$ in Figure 7^{210h} they differ only in the nature of the ester functions at C_7 and C_{20} . Bryostatins 1 (570)^{210a} and 7 (571),^{210e} in particular, have attracted synthetic interest, and the first total synthesis of bryostatin 7 was completed by Masamune and co-workers in 1990.212e No other total syntheses have been completed, but several $\frac{1}{2}$ groups²¹³⁻²¹⁸ have reported the synthesis of segments, including significant contributions from the groups of Vandewalle, ²¹³ Roy, ²¹⁴ Hale, ²¹⁵ Evans, ²¹⁶ and Nishiyama and Yamamura.²¹⁷

1. Masamune Total Synthesis²¹²

Initial efforts by Masamune and co-workers to synthesize bryostatin 7 culminated in the preparation of the seco-acid derivative **572,** which was obtained via coupling of $C_1 - C_{10}$, $C_{11} - C_{16}$, and $C_{17} - C_{27}$ segments **573,** 574, and **575,** respectively (Scheme 51).^{212a-c} Unfortunately, cleavage of the C₃ MOM ether of **572,** which had been introduced at an early stage, was problematic. Thus the original synthetic route was revised such that creation of the C_3 stereogenic center was postponed until the end of the seco-acid synthesis.²¹⁹ Accordingly, sequential connection of the $C_3 - C_{10}$ segment **576** (instead of the $C_1 - C_{10}$ segment **573**), segments **574** and **575**, and finally the C_1-C_2 segment **577**, followed by depro-

tection, afforded seco-acid **578.** Macrolactonization then completed the synthesis of bryostatin $7.^{212e}$

 $a. \, C_1 - C_{10}$ and $C_3 - C_{10}$ Segment Syntheses. $^{212b- d, 220}$ The original route designed by Masamune and coworkers for preparation of the C_1-C_{10} segment **573** exploited methodology developed by Masamune and Sharpless for achieving stereoselective synthesis of 1,3-diols via directed reduction of chiral epoxides.29a Thus, Horner-Emmons reaction of aldehyde 579, obtained from diol **580,** followed by reduction gave the allylic alcohol **581** (Scheme 52). Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation⁹² then afforded epoxide **582** with 92% ee. A three-step sequence of oxidation to the $C₅$ aldehyde, formylolefination, and reduction supplied the allylic alcohol **583,** and Sharpless asymphed the anyine are not **bed;** and sharpless asym-
metric epoxidation⁹² then afforded the bis(epoxide) **584** with >99% ds. Note that this second epoxidation using a chiral substrate and a chiral catalyst leads to product **584** of enhanced enantiomeric purity as a result of diastereomer formation. Directed double

Scheme 52. Masamune Bryostatin 7 $C_1 - C_{10}$ and $C_3 - C_{10}$ Syntheses^{212b-d,220} a

 a (a) PhCHO, H⁺; (b) BH₃·Me₂S; (c) PCC; (d) (EtO)₂P(=O)CH₂CO₂Et, NaH; (e) DIBAL; (f) (-)-DET, Ti(O[;]Pr)₄, 'BuOOH; (g) Swern oxidation; (h) Ph₃P=CHCHO; (i) NaBH₄; (j) (+)-DET, Ti(O'Pr)₄, 'BuOOH; (k) Red-Al; (l) 'BuCOCl, py; (l') TBDPSCl, imidazole; (m) (MeO)₂CMe₂, PPTS; (n) DIBAL; (o) Swern oxidation; (p) $(EtO)_2P(-O)CH_2CO_2Et$, NaH; (q) DIBAL; (r) (-)-DET, Ti(O'Pr)4, 'BuOOH; (s) Red-Al; (t) TBDPSCl, imidazole; (u) MOMBr, 'Pr2NEt; (v) Raney Ni; (v') Na, liquid NH3; (w) Swern oxidation; (x) MeLi; (y) Swern oxidation; (a') TBDPSCl, imidazole; (b') O₃; Me₂S; (c') 595 + (R,R)-596, 'Pr₂NEt; 592; H₂O₂; (d') (MeO)₂CH₂, P₂O₅; (e') LiCuMe₂; (f') 598 + (S,S)-596, P_{12} NEt; 579; H₂O₂; (g') Me₄NBH(OAc)₃; (h') (MeO)₂CMe₂, PPTS.

reduction²⁹ of **584** using Red-Al gave the *Q,\$,Q,7-anti* diol **585.** A series of protecting group manipulations then furnished **586,** and a second sequence of Swern oxidation,³⁸ Horner—Emmons olefination, reduction, Sharpless epoxidation, ⁹² and Red-Al reduction²⁹ then afforded diol **587** *(i.e.* **586** — **588** — **589** — **587,** *cf.* $582 \rightarrow 583 \rightarrow 584 \rightarrow 585$. After differential protection of the C_1 and C_3 hydroxyls of **587** to give $\overline{590}$, 221 a four-step sequence of (i) deprotection at C_9 , (ii) oxidation to the C_9 aldehyde, (iii) methyllithium addition, and (iv) reoxidation at C_9 provided the C_1 -Cio segment **573.**

Due to the number of functional group transformations and protecting group manipulations, the above linear route to **573** involved 25 steps [3.9% overall yield from 580], which was considered too many for a target containing only three stereogenic centers. However, by applying Masamune's asymmetric aldol methodology using chiral boron reagents,²²² a shorter and more efficient convergent synthesis of **573** was developed. Thus, aldehyde **592,** which was obtained from cis-3-hexen-l-ol **(593),** underwent an enantioselective aldol reaction with the chiral enol borinate **594** derived from thioacetate **595** and the chiral boron triflate reagent (R,R) -596,²²² to provide the aldol adduct **597** with 89% ee (Scheme 52). Compound **594** thus served as a chiral acetate equivalent. After protection of the C3 hydroxyl of **597,** treatment with lithium dimethylcuprate²²³ supplied the methyl ketone **598.** Regioselective kinetic enolization of **598** in the presence of the chiral boron triflate reagent (S,S)-596 afforded the enol borinate **599,** and aldol addition to aldehyde **579** then delivered the aldol adduct **600** with 80% ds in favor of the required configuration at C_7 . Note that aldol reaction using the antipodal chiral reagent (R,R) -596 proceeded with equal and opposite diasteroselectivity, furnishing the epimeric adduct 7-epi-600 with 80% ds. Note

 a (a) DHP, PPTS; (b) "BuLi, HCHO; (c) Red-Al; I2; (d) TBDPSCl, imidazole; (e) H₂C=CHCH₂MgBr, CuI; (f) PPTS, EtOH; (g) (py)₂CrO₃; (h) 576 + (R,R)-596, Pr₂NEt; 574; H₂O₂; (i) (MeO)₃CH, MeOH, PPTS, (j) Hg(OAc)₂; KCl; (k) Ac₂O, py, DMAP; (l) NaBH₄, O₂; (m) Swern oxidation; (n) Al_2O_3 , H_2O .

also that use of the achiral meso reagent *(R,S)-596* resulted in an approximately 1:1 ratio of **600** and *7-epi-600.* Hence, there is negligible substrate control of asymmetric induction in this reaction, and control comes almost entirely from the chiral reagents employed. In addition, by using a chiral boron reagent, the aldol reaction of ketone **598** leads to product **600** of enhanced enantiomeric purity as a result of diastereomer formation. Reduction of β -hydroxy ketone **600** using the Saksena-Evans reagent³⁵ gave the C_5 , C_7 -*anti* diol **601** which was then protected as its acetonide **590.** Compound **590** was converted into the $C_1 - C_{10}$ segment **573** as before. In this revised synthetic route, **573** was obtained in approximately half the previous number of steps [12 steps longest linear route; 15 steps total; 5 steps per stereogenic center] and in 31% overall yield from **592.** In the initial route to **573,** all three stereogenic centers were installed using chiral reagents via three Sharpless epoxidation reactions. In this latter approach, two of the stereogenic centers were constructed using reagent control $(592 + 595 \rightarrow 597$ and $579 + 598 \rightarrow 600$ and the third was set up using substrate control $(600 \rightarrow 601)$.

The C_1-C_{10} segment **573** was used in the synthesis of protected seco-acid **572** in the initial approach to bryostatin 7 (vide supra).^{212a-c,219} However, in the revised synthetic route, the $C_3 - C_{10}$ segment **576** was required. This was obtained via a modification of the initial route to 573.²²⁰ Thus, selective silylation²²¹ of the primary hydroxyl of **585** and subsequent acetonide protection of the secondary hydroxyls provided **591,** which was transformed into the methyl ketone **576** in an analogous manner to the conversion

of 590 into 573 . Accordingly, the $C_3 - C_{10}$ segment **576** was prepared in 17 steps from **580** *[i.e.* 8-9 steps per stereogenic center].

b. Cu-Ci6 Segment Synthesis and Coupling to C3- C_{10} Segment.^{212c-e} Preparation of the $C_{11}-C_{16}$ segment **574** required stereoselective construction of the C_{13} exocyclic double bond. This was achieved by application of Corey's methodology for the synthesis of trisubstituted olefins.^{224a} Thus, after protection of the hydroxyl of **602,** alkynyllithium formation, and addition to formaldehyde provided propargylic alcohol 603 (Scheme 53). Reduction with Red-Al^{224b} followed by iodination then gave selectively the (Z) iodoolefm **604,** and hydroxyl protection followed by copper-catalyzed allyl Grignard addition afforded **605** with the required configuration of the C_{13} exocyclic double bond. Deprotection at C_{11} followed by oxidation then gave the $C_{11}-C_{16}$ segment **574**.

Stereoselective construction of the $C_{10}-C_{11}$ bond was accomplished by means of an aldol reaction between aldehyde **574** and the enol borinate **606** derived from enolization of ketone **576** using the chiral boron reagent (R,R) -596.^{212d,222} Accordingly, the β -hydroxy ketone **607** was obtained with 89% ds in favor of the required configuration at C_{11} . Note that this is a *matched* double-diastereodifferentiating reaction:^{9b} use of the achiral *meso* reagent (R, S) -596 still afforded **607** as the major adduct, but with diminished diastereoselectivity (75% ds), indicating the degree of substrate control of asymmetric induction. In the *mismatched* case using the antipodal chiral reagent (S, S) -596, reagent control dominated substrate control and the epimeric product 11-epi-

Scheme 54. Masamune Bryostatin 7 $C_{17}-C_{27}$ Synthesis^{212b} a

 a (a) NaNO₂, H₂SO₄, H₂O; (b) MeOH, AcCl; (c) (MeO)₂CMe₂, p-TsOH; (d) DIBAL; (e) Ph3P=CH₂; (f) Sia₂BH; H₂O₂; (g) PCC; (h) allenyl-ZnBr; (i) NaH, PMBCl; (j) 'BuLi; ClCO2Me; (k) "Bu3SnCu-LiBrMe2S, MeOH; (1) DIBAL; (m) TBDPSCl, imidazole; (n) I2; (0) (+)-DET, $Ti(O'Pr)_4$, $'BuOOH$; (p) PhNCO, Et_3N ; (q) BF_3OEt_2 , H_2SO_4 , H_2O ; (r) K_2CO_3 , $MeOH$; (s) $(MeO)_2CMe_2$, PPTS or $(MeO)_3CH$, $p-TsOH$; (t) NaH, m,p-dimethoxybenzyl chloride; (u) Raney Ni; (v) MsCl, Et3N; PhSNa; (w) HCl, MeOH; (x) NaIO4; (y) **613,** "BuLi; **612;** (z) TESOTf, 2,6-lutidine; (a') DDQ (1 equiv); (b') DMSO, Ac₂O; Et₃N; (c') MoO₅-HMPA-H₂O; (d') DDQ; SiO₂; (e') TMSOTf, TMSOMe or BF₃-OEt₂, MeOH.

607 was produced as the major diastereomer with **67%** ds.212d

Acid-catalyzed cleavage of the C_5 , C_7 acetonide in **607** triggered simultaneous acetalization at C_9 to provide **608** with the correctly assembled A ring. Formation of the B ring was achieved by oxymercuration of the terminal double bond of **608;** acetylation of the C7 hydroxyl then furnished **609** as a mixture of C_{15} epimers. After oxidative demercuration²²⁵ to give alcohol **610,** Swern oxidation³⁸ afforded aldehyde **611** as a 1:1 mixture of C₁₅ epimers. Upon exposure to alumina, however, equilibration was effected to afford a **9:1** ratio in favor of the desired equatorially disposed aldehyde **611.** Thus, in the synthesis of the C_3-C_{16} segment **611**, one of the three newly created stereogenic centers was constructed using reagent control of asymmetric induction $(574 + 606 \rightarrow 607)$ for C_{11}); the other two were installed by substratecontrolled reactions ($607 \rightarrow 608$ for C₉, and thermodynamic equilibration of aldehyde 611 for C_{15}). $[C_3$ -Ci6 segment **611:** <5% overall yield from **580; 24** steps longest linear sequence; 31 steps total; \sim 6 steps per stereogenic center.]

c. $C_{17}-C_{27}$ Segment Synthesis.^{212b} The $C_{17}-C_{27}$ segment **575** was constructed via the coupling of C_{17} C_{20} and $C_{21}-C_{27}$ segments **612** and **613** (Scheme 54). Compound **613** was prepared from L-threonine **(614).** Thus, deamination of **614** gave **615,** with retention of configuration at C_{25} , 225 and esterification followed by acetonide formation then supplied 616.²²⁶ After reduction to the corresponding C_{24} aldehyde, homologation to provide **617** was effected by a three-step sequence of Wittig olefination, hydroboration, and oxidation. Chelation-controlled addition of allenylzinc bromide to **617** then furnished alkyne **618** with

89% ds in favor of the required configuration at C_{23} . After protection of the C_{23} hydroxyl, alkynyllithium formation, and addition to methyl chloroformate afforded the acetylenic ester **619.** Stereoselective introduction of the exocyclic double bond at C_{21} was accomplished by using Piers' method.²²⁸ Thus conjugate addition of a (tributylstannyl)cuprate to **619** gave **620.** After reduction of the ester and protection of the resulting alcohol, replacement of the tributylstannyl group with iodine then afforded **613.**

Meanwhile, aldehyde **612** was prepared from allylic alcohol **581,** which was used in the synthesis of the C_3-C_{10} segment **576**, via a sequence of reactions developed by Masamune and Sharpless for saccharide synthesis.²²⁹ Thus, Sharpless epoxidation⁹² of **581** afforded **621** with **92%** ee; **621** was then converted into the phenylurethane **622** and exposure to BF3-OEt2 led to formation of the carbonate **623** with inversion of configuration at C_{20} , 229 After a sequence of protecting group manipulations to give **624,** deprotection at C_{17} was followed by mesylation and thiophenolate displacement. Acetonide removal followed by oxidative glycol cleavage then furnished the $C_{17}-C_{20}$ segment **612.**

The stereogenic center at C19 of **612** was used to direct the introduction of stereochemistry at C_{20} , and, having served its purpose, was then destroyed through oxidation. Thus, chelation-controlled coupling of aldehyde **612** with the lithio anion derived from iodide **613** afforded the $C_{17}-C_{27}$ segment **625** with 86% ds in favor of the required configuration at C_{20} . After protection of the C_{20} hydroxyl, selective cleavage of the C_{19} m,p-dimethoxybenzyl ether in the presence of the C_{23} p-methoxybenzyl ether^{42b,230} was followed by oxidation at C19 to supply ketone **626.**

^a (a) 575, PhLi; 611; BzCl, DMAP; (b) Na-Hg, Na₂HPO₄; (c) TBAF; (d) TBSCl, imidazole; (e) Ac₂O, py, DMAP; (f) TBAF; (g) MnO₂; MeOH, NaCN, AcOH; (h) Swern oxidation; (i) $595 + (S.S.)$ -596, iPr_2NEt ; 629; H₂O₂; (j) CSA, MeOH; (k) TESOTf, 2,6-lutidine; (l) $Hg(O_2CCF_3)_2$, Na_2HPO_4 ; (m) HF-py; (n) DCC, PPTS, py; (o) K₂CO₃, MeOH; 5% HCl, H₂O; (p) TBSCl, Et₃N, DMAP; (q) Ac₂O, py; (r) HF.

Deprotection at C_{23} and subsequent intramolecular hemiacetal formation then furnished **627.** Finally, methoxylation of 627 under forcing conditions²³¹ gave the $C_{17}-C_{27}$ segment **575** having all stereogenic centers in the C ring correctly installed. Thus, in the synthesis of **575,** two stereogenic centers originated in the chiral pool, and the remaining three were installed by substrate-controlled reactions. One of the substrate-controlled reactions $(612 + 613 \rightarrow 625)$ relied on asymmetric induction from a stereogenic center, created using reagent control, which was later destroyed. Note that in **575,** Masamune and coworkers opted to forego the possibility of differential protection of the C_{26} and C_{25} hydroxyls. Hence a regioselective macrolactonization would be required later in the synthesis. $[C_{17}-C_{27}]$ segment **575**: 1.3% overall yield from **614; 22** steps longest linear sequence; 36 steps total; \sim 7 steps per stereogenic center.]

d. Completion of the Total Synthesis of Bryostatin $7.^{212e}$ The C_3-C_{16} and $C_{17}-C_{27}$ segments **611** and **575** were coupled by means of a Julia-Lythgoe reaction¹⁷⁹ using phenyllithium as base (Scheme 55). Note that the choice of base was critical: because of the steric congestion around C_{17} , weaker bases such as lithium amide bases $(LDA, LiNEt₂)$ were ineffective; stronger carbon bases ("BuLi, 'BuLi) led to concomitant formation of arylic anions. A reductive elimination reaction, performed in the presence of $Na₂HPO₄$ in order to retain the C_7 acetate group,²³² then furnished **628** with the required *trans* double bond at $C_{16}-C_{17}$. After a series of protecting group manipulations and adjustment of oxidation state to afford **629,** introduction of the C_1-C_2 unit was effected by means of a

 $\mathbf{reagent-controlled}^{222}$ double diastereodifferentiating aldol reaction. Thus addition of the chiral enol borinate 577 to aldehyde 629 provided β -hydroxy thioester **630** with **75%** ds in favor of the required configuration at C3. Note that **577** and **629** constitute a mismatched pair,^{9b} and hence reagent control from **577** is required to overturn the intrinsic diastereofacial preference of **629.** With the carbon skeleton of bryostatin 7 now intact, selective cleavage of the acetonide of **630** gave the seco-acid derivative **631.** All attempts to achieve direct macrolactonization of 631 using a thiophilic metal cation²³³ failed, and hence **631** was converted into the seco-acid **578.** Macrolactonization of **578** was effected by employing a modification of the procedure of Boden and Keck⁴⁸ (DCC, with pyridine and PPTS in place of DMAP). Note that cyclization occurred selectively at C_{25} , without the need for protection of the C_{26} hydroxyl. The C_9 methyl acetal and C_7 acetate were hydrolyzed under the reaction conditions, affording macrolide **632.** The C₁₉ methyl acetal could not be hydrolyzed (note that forcing conditions 231 were required for its creation: $627 \rightarrow 575$ in Scheme 54) unless the electron-withdrawing acetate group at C_{20} was first removed. Selective silylation of the C_{26} hydroxyl of **633** followed by reacetylation and desilylation then furnished bryostatin $7(571)$ [5×10^{-3} % overall yield from **614;** 42 steps longest linear sequence; 80 steps total; \sim 7 steps per stereogenic center].

2. Vandewalle Segment Syntheses²¹³

Vandewalle and co-workers have synthesized the three bryostatin **11** segments depicted in Scheme 56: $C_1 - C_9$ segment **634**, $C_{11} - C_{16}$ segment **635**, and

Scheme 56

Note: **PMB** = p-methoxybenzyl; **DMB** = m,p-dimettioxybenzyl

 $C_{17}-C_{27}$ segment 636. Preparation of a $C_{17}-C_{27}$ segment **637,** suitable for bryostatin 7, was also attempted. The total synthesis has not yet been reported, but assembly of segments **634** and **635** using a β -keto phosphonate to introduce C₁₀, subsequent construction of the $C_{16}-C_{17}$ bond by a Julia-Lythgoe olefination reaction,¹⁷⁹ and final macrolactonization has been proposed.²¹³⁰ Vandewalle and coworkers adopted the "chiron" approach, δ whereby **634-636** were all prepared from starting materials available from the chiral pool, as indicated in Scheme 56.

a. Ci—CgSegment Synthesis.213a' c Vandewalle and co-workers investigated two different routes to the Ci-Cg segment **634,** both starting from epoxide **638** (Scheme 57). The first, abortive, approach centered on the successive coupling of epoxides **638** and **639**

Scheme 57. Vandewalle Bryostatin $11 \text{ C}_1-\text{C}_9$ Synthesis^{213a,c a}

to dithiane, which provided C_5 . After dithiane cleavage, the stereogenic center at C_5 was to be installed by a 1,3-*anti* selective reduction of a β -hydroxy ketone. Epoxide **639** was available from (S)-malic $\frac{1}{200}$ (119) via alcohol 120^{60} (cf. $119 \rightarrow 120 \rightarrow 121$ in Scheme 8). Epoxide **638,** meanwhile, was derived from (R) -pantolactone (640) following the route of Lavallee *et al.²³⁴* Thus, reduction of **640** followed by acetalization provided exclusively the C_6 , C_7 -pentylidene acetal 641; protection of the C₉ hydroxyl, acetal hydrolysis, and subsequent tosylimidazole-mediated epoxide formation then supplied **638.** Reaction of **638** with 2-lithiodithiane followed by protection of the resulting C7 hydroxyl furnished **642.** Unfortunately, coupling of the lithio anion of **642** with epoxide **639** afforded only very low yields of **643,** even under optimized conditions. Accordingly, the first synthetic strategy was abandoned.

In the second approach, only the C_7 stereogenic center originated in the chiral pool. Construction of the C_5 stereogenic center was accomplished by a chelation-controlled aldol reaction between **644** and **645**; a 1,3-anti selective reduction of β -hydroxy ketone 646 then set up the C_3 stereogenic center. Production of aldehyde **644** by cleavage of dithiane **642** proved troublesome. Instead, **644** was obtained by vinylcuprate addition to epoxide **638** followed by hydroxyl protection and subsequent two-step oxidative alkene cleavage. The chelation-controlled aldol reaction between aldehyde **644** and ketone **645,** obtained from 1,3-butanediol **(647),** afforded exclusively the β -hydroxy ketone **646** with the required configuration at C_5 . However, stereoselective reduction to provide **648** was accomplished only by using LiAl $(O^tBu)₃H$ in the presence of lithium iodide.²³⁵ $\text{Ent}(\text{O}\text{ but }\text{S1})$ in the presence of filmum founds.
Note that the Saksena–Evans reagent³⁵ proved completely unselective in this case. Finally, ac-

> <u>^{n, 1}</u> но₂С \sim со₂н 6H

 $(80%)$ \sim (81%) P_{e}^{SO} (84%) o^{...} ັ່ເ) PMBO / **I s-V-s** 120 \mathbf{f} **c** \mathbf{f} **f** \mathbf{f} **f 639** o-r (72%) **642** \sqrt{n} $(13%)$ **644** 643

^{*a*} (a) LAH; (b) Et₂CO, p-TsOH; (c) ^{*t*}BuOK, PMBCl; (d) HCl; (e) NaH; N-tosylimidazole; (f) 1,3-dithiane, ⁿBuLi; (g) *t*BuOK, BnBr; (h) BH₃·Me₂S; (i) Me₂CO, H⁺; (j) TBDPSCl, imidazole; (k) H⁺; (l) TsCl, $(H_2C=CH)_2Cu(CN)Li$; (p) 'BuOK, BnBr; (q) OsO4, NMO, H_2O ; (r) Pb(OAc)4, py; (s) TBDPSCl, imidazole; (t) CrO3-py2; (u) 645, LDA; 644; (v) LiAl(O'Bu)₃H, LiI; (w) (MeO)₂CMe₂, Amberlyst-15.

 a (a) Me₂CO, ZnCl₂, Na₂SO₄; (b) NaBH₄; (c) NaIO₄; (d) NaBH₄; (e) TsCl, Et3N; (f) NaI; (g) 2-(trimethylsilyl)-l,3-dithiane, "BuLi; (h) TBAF; (i) 652, "BuLi, HMPA; $BrCH_2CH(OMe)_2$; (j) HCl; (k) 655, "BuLi; **635.**

etonide protection gave the C_1-C_9 segment 634 [30% overall yield from **640; 12** steps longest linear sequence; 14 steps total; \sim 5 steps per stereogenic center].

b. $C_{11} - C_{16}$ Segment Synthesis.^{213a} The C₁₁-C₁₆ segment **635** was obtained from L-erythrulose **(649),** which provided the C_{15} stereogenic center (Scheme 58). Thus, conversion of 649 into the C₁₅,C₁₆ acetonide **650** was followed by reduction at C₁₄ and cleavage of the resulting glycol to afford the corresponding C_{14} aldehyde.²³⁶ Reduction and subsequent tosylation then furnished **651,** which was trans-

Scheme 59. Vandewalle Bryostatin 11 C₁₇-C₂₇ Synthesis^{213b,c}^a

formed into dithiane **652** via 653.²³⁷ Note that direct formation of **652** from **651** was low yielding. Alkylation of the C13 lithio anion of **652** supplied **654,** and acid-catalyzed transacetalization provided the C₁₁-C16 segment **635** as an anomeric mixture. Note that **635** has a protected carbonyl group at C₁₃. Vandewalle and co-workers envisage formation of the C_{13} exocyclic α , β -unsaturated ester via a stereoselective Horner-Emmons reaction^{238,239} later in the synthesis. $[C_{11}-C_{16}$ segment **635**: 32% overall yield from **649;** 10 steps.]

Vandewalle and co-workers have proposed union of the $C_1 - C_9$ and $C_{11} - C_{16}$ segments **634** and **635** using a β -keto phosphonate to introduce C₁₀. Model studies have been performed using β -keto phosphonate **635.** Thus, deprotonation of **655** and addition to **635** afforded **656** as the sole product. The stereoselective construction of the B ring in **656** occurs via the *in situ* intramolecular hetero-Michael reaction of intermediate **657**, in which formation of the new C_{11} stereogenic center is controlled by the existing C_{15} stereogenic center.

c. $C_{17}-C_{27}$ Segment Synthesis.^{213b,c} The bryostatin 11 $C_{17}-C_{27}$ segment 636 was constructed from C_{17} C_{20} and $C_{21}-C_{27}$ segments **658** and **659** (Scheme 59). Compound 659 was prepared from (R) -isobutyl lactate (660), which supplied the C_{26} stereogenic center. Two chelation-controlled allylation reactions were then used to install the C_{25} and C_{23} stereogenic centers. Compound **658,** meanwhile, was obtained from (R) -pantolactone (640). Although the C₁₉ stereogenic center in **640** was destroyed in the synthesis of **636,** it has the potential for controlling the installation of a stereogenic center at C_{20} in a synthesis of the bryostatin $7 \text{ C}_{17}-\text{C}_{27}$ segment **637**.

Thus, after protection of the hydroxyl of **660,** adjustment of oxidation state led to aldehyde **661.** α -Chelation-controlled allylstannane addition²⁴⁰ to **661** then afforded exclusively **662** having the required configuration at C_{25} . Oxidative cleavage of the

 a (a) MOMCl, ^{*i*}Pr₂NEt; (b) LAH; (c) Swern oxidation; (d) $H_2C=CHCH_2Sn^nBu_3$, MgBr₂·OEt₂; (e) 'BuOK, PMBCl; (f) OsO₄, NaIO₄; (g) H₂C=CHCH₂SnⁿBu₃, MgBr₂-OEt₂; (h) 'BuOK, m_pp-dimethoxybenzyl chloride; (i) OsO₄, NMO; (j) NaIO4, "Bu₄NBr, H₂O; (k) (MeO)₂P(=O)CHN₂, 'BuOK; (1) "BuLi; ClCO2Me; (m) "Bu3SnCu-Me2S-LiBr, MeOH; (n) DIBAL; (0) TBDPSCl, imidazole, DMAP; (p) I2; (q) LAH; (r) Et2CO, P-TsOH; (s) TsCl, py, DMAP; (t) 'BuOK, PhSH; (u) H2SO4, MeOH; (v) NaH, TsCl; (w) 'BuPh(MeO)SiBr, Et3N; (x) TBSOTf, 2,6-lutidine; (y) HF-py; (z) TPAP, NMO; (a') **659,** 'BuLi; 2-thienyl-CuCNLi; **658,** BF3-OEt2; (V) DMSO, S03-py; Et3N; (c') 659, 'BuLi; **671.**

double bond of **662** provided aldehyde **663,** and a β -chelation-controlled allylstannane addition provided **664** as the sole product. Note the much lower level of diastereoselectivity (71% ds) observed for the corresponding reaction of the $C_{25}C_{26}$ acetonideprotected analogue of **663,** wherein each acetal oxygen could be involved in chelation. After protection of the C₂₃ hydroxyl of **664**, oxidative double-bond cleavage supplied the aldehyde **665.** Conversion to the alkyne **666** was best effected by employing the Seyferth reagent (dimethyl diazomethylphosphonate).²⁴¹ Lithiation of **666** and addition to methyl chloroformate then gave **667.** As in the Masamune synthesis *{vide supra),212b* stereoselective introduction of the exocyclic double bond at C_{21} was accomplished by using Piers' method.²²⁸ Thus, conjugate organostannylcuprate addition to **667** gave the *(E)* vinylstannane 668. After reduction of the ester and protection of the resulting alcohol, replacement of the tributylstannyl group with iodine then afforded **659** $(cf. 619 \rightarrow 620 \rightarrow 613$ in Scheme 54).

Meanwhile, tosylation of alcohol **641,** derived from (R) -pantolactone (640) , followed by thiophenolate displacement and acetal cleavage supplied diol **669.** Conversion to epoxide **658** was then effected via the primary tosylate. Reaction of **658** with the mixed higher order cuprate derived from iodide **659** led to the $C_{17}-C_{27}$ segment **670**, albeit in low yield, together with substantial amounts of dehalogenated **659.** Although oxidation at C_{19} was envisaged after C_{16} - C_{17} coupling, Vandewalle and co-workers sought to confirm that oxidation could be performed without concomitant migration of the C_{21} exocyclic double bond. In fact, oxidation of **670** led smoothly to **636** [3.2% overall yield from **660;** 18 steps longest linear sequence; 24 steps total; 8 steps per stereogenic center].

The potential for controlled installation of a stereogenic center at C_{20} via the coupling of 659 with aldehyde **671** was also investigated. Unfortunately, reaction of **671,** prepared from diol **669,** with the lithio anion derived from iodide **659** furnished the $C_{17}-C_{27}$ segment 672 as a 2:1 ratio of C_{20} epimers. The degree of Cram control in this reaction was lower than expected, and better stereocontrol is required for efficient synthesis of the bryostatin 7 segment **637.** Note that Masamune and co-workers were able to achieve much higher diastereoselectivity (86% ds) in the $C_{20}-C_{21}$ bond construction by employing the antipode of aldehyde **671** and by using a dimethoxybenzyl ether rather than a silyl ether as the protecting group at C_{19} , such that chelation control could be used effectively in the coupling reaction **(612** + $613 - 625$ in Scheme 54).^{212b}

3. Roy Segment Syntheses²¹⁴

Roy *et al.* have synthesized the three bryostatin **11** segments depicted in Scheme 60: C_1-C_9 segment **673,** $\rm C_{17}-C_{20}$ segment **674,** and $\rm C_{21}-C_{27}$ segment **675**. These segments were all prepared according to the ``chiron'' approach, $\text{^{8}}$ *i.e.* using starting materials available from the chiral pool, as indicated in the scheme.

a. Cj-Cg Segment Synthesis.21ih In the synthesis of the C_1-C_9 segment 673, the C_5 stereogenic center Scheme 60

was installed by a stereoselective Mukaiyama aldol reaction between silyl enol ether **676** and the fivecarbon chiral building block **677,** which was obtained in high enantiomeric excess via enzymatic hydrolysis of a prochiral precursor (Scheme 61).²⁴² Stereoselective reduction of the resulting β -hydroxy ketone then introduced the remaining C_7 stereogenic center. Thus, borohydride reduction²⁴³ of dimethyl 3-ketoglutarate (678) followed by protection of the resulting hydroxyl gave the prochiral enzyme substrate **679.** Selective hydrolysis of the *pro-S* ester group of **679** upon incubation with α -chymotrypsin then supplied the mono acid 680 ,²⁴² and borohydride reduction of the mixed anhydride of **680** followed by PCC oxidation furnished aldehyde **677.** Meanwhile, reaction of diketene **(681)** with tert-butyl mercaptan gave β -keto thioester 682.²⁴⁴ Bis(methylation) at C₈ followed by silyl enol ether formation then afforded **676.**

 β -Chelation-controlled Mukaiyama aldol reaction 245 p-oneration-controlled mukalyalia aldol reaction
between **676** and **677** was expected²⁴⁶ to give selectively the β -hydroxy ketone 683 with the required configuration at C_5 (si-face attack). However, the use of both $TiCl₄$ and $SnCl₄$ as chelating Lewis acids led to formation of the unwanted isomer **684** as the major product $(683/684 = 40:60)$. This behavior may be a consequence of alternative modes of chelation—for instance, additional coordination by the C_1 carbomethoxy group, as proposed by Roy, or, alternatively, coordination by both oxygen atoms of the C_3 MOM ether—resulting in cagelike structures which favor re-face attack. In contrast, by employing the nonchelating Lewis acid BF_3 -OE t_2 in the Mukaiyama aldol reaction, the desired isomer **683** could be obtained as the major product $(683/684 = 66:34)$. Note that stereochemical control in this reaction Note that stereochemical control in this reaction.
Prises solely from electrostatic repulsion.²⁴⁷ Reduction of the inseparable mixture of **683** and **684** using tion of the inseparable mixture of **683** and **684** using
the Saksena-Evans reagent³⁵ eccurred with >98% ds, to afford the corresponding C₅,C₇-anti diols, and acetonide protection then gave **673** and **685** which could be separated. Besides synthesizing the C_1-C_9 segment 673, Roy *et al.* also prepared a $C_1 - C_9$ segment **(686)** suitable for biological activity studies. Thus, selective cleavage of the acetonide of **673** and lactonization of the resulting diol furnished **687;** acetylation of the C_7 hydroxyl and cleavage of the C_3

^{*a*} (a) 'BuSH, NaH; (b) 'BuOK, MeI; (c) TMSOTf, Et₃N; (d) NaBH₄; (e) (MeO)₂CH₂, P₂O₅; (f) α -chymotrypsin; (g) EtOCOCl, Et₃N; (h) $NabH_4$; (i) PCC; (j) 676 + 677, BF₃-OEt; (k) $Me_4NBH(OAc)_3$; (l) $(MeO)_2CMe_2$, p-TsOH; (m) PPTS, $MeOH$; (n) Hg(CF₃CO₂)₂; (o) Ac₂O, py; (p) TiCl₄.

MOM ether then gave **686**. $[C_1-C_9$ segment **673**: 20% overall yield from **678;** 9 steps longest linear sequence; 12 steps total; 4 steps per stereogenic center.]

b. $C_{17}-C_{20}$ and $C_{21}-C_{27}$ Segment Syntheses.^{214a} The $C_{17}-C_{20}$ segment **674** was prepared from (R) pantolactone **(640)** by a two-step sequence of hydroxyl protection followed by reduction (Scheme 62). The C19 stereogenic center in **674** was expected to permit diastereoselective addition of the $C_{21}-C_{27}$ dithiane **675**, thus allowing controlled installation of the C_{20} stereogenic center in a synthesis of a bryostatin 7 $C_{17}-C_{27}$ segment. Indeed, model studies^{214c} revealed that 2-lithiodithiane added to **674** with high diastereoselectivity (96% ds) to afford the Cram adduct **688** having the required configuration at C_{20} . Note that use of the unprotected analogue of **674,** namely **689,** led to completely the opposite sense of stereochemical induction during the dithiane addition, yielding **690** as a consequence of chelation control.

The $C_{21}-C_{27}$ segment **675** was obtained from D-galactono-l,4-lactone **(691),** which supplied the stereogenic centers at C_{23} , C_{25} , and C_{26} . Deoxygenation at C_{24} and C_{27} was therefore required. Thus, one-pot bromination and acetylation of **691** supplied 692.²⁴⁸ Heterogeneous hydrogenation in the presence of triethylamine then afforded **693** via reaction of the intermediate enol acetate **694** on its less-hindered /3-face.²⁴⁸ After borohydride reduction to give **695,** sequential bis(acetonide) formation and kinetic monoacetonide cleavage²⁴⁹ furnished the diol **696.** Conversion to the epoxide **697** was then effected via the primary tosylate. Regioselective opening of epoxide **697** with 2-lithiodithiane followed by protection of the resulting C_{23} hydroxyl then provided the $C_{21}-$ C26 segment **675.** On the basis of the model studies $(vide \space supp a)$ ^{214c} coupling of 675 and the C₁₇-C₂₀ segment **674** is expected to proceed with high diastereoselectivity to afford a $C_{17}-C_{27}$ segment of bryostatins 1 and 7. [C21—C26 segment **675: 11%** overall yield from **691;** 9 steps; 3 steps per stereogenic center.]

Scheme 62. Roy Bryostatin 11 $C_{17}-C_{20}$ **and** $C_{21}-C_{27}$ **Syntheses²¹⁴⁸***^a*

" (a) TBSCl, DMAP, Et3N; (b) DIBAL; (b') BH3-THF; (c) 1,3 dithiane, "BuLi; (d) HBr, AcOH; Ac₂O; (e) H₂, 5% Pd-C, Et₃N; (f) $LiBH_4$; (g) (MeO)₂CMe₂, p-TsOH; (h) p-TsOH, MeOH or I₂, MeOH; (i) TsCl, py; (j) K_2CO_3 , MeOH; (k) 1,3-dithiane, "BuLi; (l) BzCl, py-

4. Nishiyama/Yamamura Segment Syntheses²¹⁷

Nishiyama, Yamamura, and co-workers have synthesized a $C_1 - C_{16}$ segment **698** of the bryostatins via

Scheme 63

coupling of the C_5-C_9 and $C_{10}-C_{16}$ segments **699** and **700,** followed by addition of a chiral enolate representing C_1-C_4 synthon **701** (Scheme 63). Stereocontrolled introduction of the α , β -unsaturated ester at the C_{13} position was then attempted using a simple Horner—Emmons reaction.

a. C5-C9 and C10-C16 Segment Syntheses.²¹⁷ The route to the C_5-C_9 segment 699 began with epoxy

Scheme 64. Nishiyama/Yamamura Bryostatin 1 C₁-C₁₆ Synthesis²¹⁷^a

alcohol **582,** which was an intermediate in the Masamune synthesis.^{212c} Directed reduction with Red-Al then afforded the 1,3-diol **702** (Scheme 64).²⁹ After protection of the C_5 and C_7 hydroxyls, deprotection at C₉ followed by oxidation supplied aldehyde **703,** and thioacetal formation then gave **699.**

Meanwhile, synthesis of the $C_{10}-C_{16}$ segment **700** began with stereoselective conjugate addition of a vinyl group to enone **704,** the hetero-Diels-Alder adduct of the Danishefsky diene **(705)** and the glyceraldehyde derivative 706.²⁵⁰ After protection of the C13 carbonyl group of the resulting **707,** ozonolysis supplied the aldehyde **708**. Epimerization at the C_{15} a-carbon was then effected, to afford the thermodynamically more favorable C_{11} , C_{15} -cis isomer **709**. Reduction at C_{16} and protection of the resulting hydroxyl furnished **710;** selective removal of the acetonide and subsequent glycol cleavage followed by reduction then gave alcohol **711.** Introduction of iodine at C_{10} was accomplished under standard conditions to afford **700** in readiness for coupling.

b. Ci-Ci6 Segment Synthesis.²¹⁷ Lithiation of the sterically encumbered dithiane **699** was best effected using tert-butyllithium and HMPA; addition to iodide

^a (a) 705 + 706, ZnCl₂; CF₃CO₂H; (b) H₂C=CHMgBr, CuI, TMSCl, DMPU; (c) (MeO)₂CMe₂, MeOH, PPTS; (d) O₃; Me₂S; (e) K₂CO₃, MeOH; (f) NaBH4; (g) BnBr, NaH; (h) Amberlite IR-120 B (H⁺), MeOH; (i) NaIO4; (j) NaBH4; (k) I2, Ph3P, imidazole; (k') TsCl, py; NaI; (1) Red-Al; (m) TBSCl, imidazole; (n) H₂, Pd–C; (o) Swern oxidation; (p) HS(CH₂)3SH, MgBr₂·OEt₂; (q) **699, '**BuLi, HMPA; 700; (r) PPTS, MeOH ; (s) DMSO, SO₃·py; Et₃N; (t) MeCOCH₂CO₂^{*t*}Bu, Ac₂O, H₂SO₄; (u) 714, LDA; 713, LiI; (v) EtOH, Δ ; (w) Me₄NBH(OAc)₃; (x) H₃O⁺; (y) $(MeO)_2CMe_2$, PPTS, Me_2CO ; (z) $(MeO)_2P(=O)CH_2CO_2Me$, NaH; (a') HgCl₂, HgO, H₂O; (b') PPTS, MeOH.

Scheme 65

700 then afforded a high yield of the $C_5 - C_{16}$ segment **712.** After selective cleavage of the C_5 silyl ether of **712,** oxidation supplied aldehyde **713.** Meanwhile, enolization of the chiral enone $714, ^{251}$ derived from (-)-menthone **(715),** afforded the lithium enolate **716.** In the presence of lithium iodide,¹⁰² **716** underwent a highly diastereoselective aldol addition to aldehyde **713** to furnish the $C_1 - C_{16}$ segment **717** with the required configuration at C_5 (96% ds). Note that in the absence of the additive, the sense of diastereoselectivity of the coupling reaction was reversed, such that the C_5 epimer of 717 was now the major product. Upon treatment of **717** with ethanol in refluxing toluene, removal of the chiral auxiliary via ketene **718** furnished the β -keto ester **719**. After reduction to the corresponding C_3 , C_5 -anti diol using the Sak- $\frac{1}{2}$ corresponding $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$ and the contract of $\frac{1}{2}$ followed by acetonide protection at C_3 and C_5 supplied the

Scheme 66. Hale Bryostatin 1 $C_{17}-C_{27}$ Synthesis^{215 a}

ketone **720.** Horner-Emmons reaction of **720** then gave a mixture of the corresponding C_{13} exocyclic α,β unsaturated esters. After removal of the C_9 thioacetal group, formation of the A ring via acid-catalyzed acetalization afforded a 62:38 ratio of **698** and **721.** In this synthesis, one stereogenic center (C_7) in the C_1-C_{16} segment 698 was introduced using reagent control $(\rightarrow 582)$. The remaining five stereogenic centers were installed using substrate-controlled reactions, including the use of a chiral auxiliary to construct the C₅ stereogenic center (713 + 716 \rightarrow **717**). $[C_1 - C_{16}$ segment $\overline{698}$: 2.3% overall yield from **706;** 22 steps longest linear sequence; 28 steps total; \sim 4 steps per stereogenic center.]

5. Hale C_{17} - C_{27} Segment Synthesis²¹⁵

Hale *et al.* have recently synthesized a $C_{17}-C_{27}$ segment **(722** in Scheme 65) of bryostatin 1 via Claisen coupling of the $C_{19}-C_{27}$ segment **723** and an ester enolate representing synthon **724.** Compound **723** was obtained by a Wittig olefination of the C_{21} C27 segment **725,** followed by Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation²⁵² to introduce the C_{20} and C_{21} stereogenic centers. A combination of Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation (AE)⁹² and a Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation (AD) was used to prepare segment **725.**

The synthesis of **725** began with regioselective Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation of the disubstituted double bond of (E) -1,4-hexadiene (726),²⁵³ which introduced the C_{25} and C_{26} stereogenic centers with 94% ee (Scheme 66). Protection of the resulting diol then afforded **727.** After oxidative cleavage of the double bond of 727 to give the C_{23} aldehyde, Wittig olefination and subsequent reduction supplied the *trans* allylic alcohol **728.** Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation⁹² then afforded epoxy alcohol **729** with > 96% ee. Note that this second asymmetric reaction using a chiral substrate and a chiral catalyst led to product **729** of enhanced enantiomeric purity as a result of diastereomer formation. Directed reduc-

^{*a*} (a) AD-mix- β ; (b) TBSCl, imidazole; (c) OsO₄, NaIO₄; (d) Ph₃P=CHCO₂Et; (e) DIBAL; (f) (-)-DET, Ti(OPr)₄, 'BuOOH; (g) Red-Al; (h) p -MeO(C₆H₄)CH(OMe)₂, PPTS; (i) DIBAL; (j) Swern oxidation; (k) Ph3P=CHCO₂Et; (l) AD-mix-β, MeSO2NH₂; (m) (MeO)2CMe2, PPTS;
(n) MeO2CCHMe2, LDA; **723**; (o) HF·py; (p) PivCl, py; (q) DDQ, H2O; (r) Amberlyst-15 (Ph₃P=CHCO₂Me.

Scheme **67**

tion²⁹ of **729** with Red-Al provided diol **730** with the required configuration at C_{23} , and protecting group manipulation and adjustment of oxidation state then furnished aldehyde 725. Wittig homologation of **725,** to afford the α , β -unsaturated ester **731**, was followed by a second Sharpless AD reaction; protection of the resulting diol then supplied **723.** After a Claisen reaction between ester **723** and the lithium enolate of methyl isobutyrate, to provide the β -keto ester **732**, exchange of protecting groups gave the C-ring precursor **733.** Treatment of **733** with Amberlyst resin effected hemiacetal formation at C_{19} to form the C ring, along with acetonide cleavage and simultaneous cyclization onto C_{17} to give the y-butyrolactone; Fischer glycosidation²⁵⁴ of the resulting **734** then furnished the acetal **735** having the required configuration at C19. Finally, oxidation¹⁶⁰ of **735** afforded the corresponding C_{21} ketone, and a nonstereoselective Wittig reaction then supplied the desired C_{17} -C27 segment **722** along with its double-bond isomer **736** in a 1:1 ratio. Thus, in this synthesis of **722,** four of the five stereogenic centers were installed using asymmetric induction from chiral catalytic

reagents; the fifth stereogenic center, at C_{19} , was constructed using substrate control. $[C_{17}-C_{27}]$ segment **722:** 1.1% overall yield from **726;** 21 steps; ~4 steps per stereogenic center.]

6. Evans Seament Syntheses^{216b}

Evans *et al.* observed that the acetate-derived oxygenation pattern of the bryostatin backbone was especially apparent in seco-acid **737,** obtained by replacement of the unsaturated esters at C_{13} and C_{21} of the bryostatin skeleton (Scheme 67). The identification of recurring structural motifs was further enhanced by substitution of the C_{13} carbonyl with hydroxyl as in 738. Thus inspection of **738** revealed that both $C_1 - C_6$ and $C_{11} - C_{16}$ segments could be obtained from the same triol ester **739,** while the $C_{21}-C_{27}$ segment might be derived from the onecarbon homologue **740** containing an additional stereogenic center. A unified synthetic approach to all stereoisomers of **739** and **740** was developed.

a. $C_1 - C_6$ and $C_{11} - C_{16}$ Segment Syntheses.^{216b} The syntheses of **739** and **740** were based upon the enantioselective asymmetric epoxidation/kinetic resolution of cinnamyl alcohols²⁵⁵ and the use of *meta*substituted anisyl rings as masked β -keto ester synthons²⁵⁶ (Scheme 68). Thus, Sharpless epoxidation⁹²' 255 of allylic alcohol **741,** derived from reduction of £ra/is-cinnamate **742,** afforded epoxide **743** with 94% ee. After protection of the hydroxyl, Birch reduction gave dihydroanisole **744.** Ozonolysis then furnished the β -keto ester 745. Finally, directed reduction of **745** using the Saksena-Evans reagent³⁵ afforded the *anti* 1,3-diol **739** with 93% ds, suitable for C_1-C_6 and $C_{11}-C_{16}$ segments of the bryostatins.

*b. C21—C21 Segment Synthesis.*216b The racemic allylic alcohol **746** was prepared by aldol condensation of *m*-anisaldehyde (747) with acetone, followed by ketone reduction.²³ After Sharpless kinetic resolution²⁸ of **746,** which afforded the *anti* epoxy alcohol **748** with $>90\%$ ee, Mitsunobu inversion⁸⁵ and saponification of the resulting benzoate ester supplied the *syn* epoxy alcohol **749.** Protection of the hydroxyl then gave **750.** Birch reduction of **750** was accompanied by silyl group migration. However, mi-

Scheme 68. Evans Bryostatin 1 $C_1 - C_6$, $C_{11} - C_{16}$, and $C_{21} - C_{27}$ Syntheses^{216b} a

<• (a) DIBAL; (b) (+)-DIPT, Ti(CPr)4, 'BuOOH; (c) TIPSCl, imidazole, DMAP; (d) Li, liquid NH3, 'BuOH; (e) O3; Me2S; (f> Me4NHB(OAc)3; (g) $Me₂CO$, NaOH; (h) NaBH₄, CeCl₃; (i) (+)-DIPT, Ti(OPr)₄, 'BuOOH; (j) DEAD, PPh₃, PhCO₂H; (k) K₂CO₃, MeOH; (l) TIPSOTf, Et₃N; (m) Pd-BaSO₄, H₂; (n) DIBAL; (o) Li, liquid NH₃, ⁱPrOH; (p) O₃; Me₂S; (q) Me₄NHB(OAc)₃.

Scheme 69

gration was avoided by performing consecutive reductions under more controlled conditions. Thus, reductive cleavage of the epoxide via hydrogenolysis afforded **751,** and subsequent Birch reduction of the derived dialkylaluminum (752) cleanly supplied dihydroanisole **753.** Ozonolysis then furnished the /3-keto ester **754.** Finally, directed reduction of **754** using the Saksena–Evans reagent³⁵ afforded the *anti comparison* 1,3-diol **740** with 90% ds, suitable for a $C_{21}-C_{27}$ segment of the bryostatins.

7. Evans C_f-C_{16} Segment Synthesis^{216a}

The stereoselective construction of the exocyclic α , β -unsaturated esters present at C_{13} and C_{21} of the bryostatins represents one of the key synthetic challenges posed by this class of natural products. The Masamune total synthesis²¹² and the Vandewalle $C_{17}-C_{27}$ fragment synthesis^{213b,c} both successfully applied existing methodology^{224,228} for the stereoselective construction of trisubstituted double bonds *(vide supra).* In contrast, Nishiyama, Yamamura, and co-workers achieved modest stereocontrol for the introduction of the C_{13} α , β -unsaturated ester by using a simple Horner–Emmons reaction.²¹⁷ Evans and Carreira have investigated an entirely novel strategy for controlling the exocyclic olefin geometry at C_{13} , whereby a tethered phosphonate reagent anchored to a hydroxyl at C_{16} of the bryostatin fragment 755 underwent a highly selective Horner—Emmons macunderwent a nignly selective Horner–Emmons mac-
roolefination reaction⁴⁹ to afford macrolide **756** (Scheme 69). An analogous strategy could be used to control the olefin geometry at C_{21} , *i.e.* **757** \rightarrow **758**.²⁵⁷

Parameters such as tether length and cyclization conditions were defined using the model substrate **759** (Scheme 70). Preparation of racemic **759** began with β -hydroxy ketone **760**, derived from aldol reaction of ethyl acetoacetate **(761)** with 3-methyl-2 butenal. Stereoselective reduction of **760** using the Saksena–Evans reagent³⁵ afforded the C₁₃,C₁₅-anti diol **762;** protection of the C13 and C15 hydroxyls and reduction at C_{11} then supplied aldehyde **763**. After Horner–Emmons olefination using a model β -keto phosphonate, and subsequent deprotection to provide **764,** closure of the B ring via an intramolecular hetero-Michael reaction gave tetrahydropyran **765** with the required stereochemistry at C_{11} . Reduction and hydroxyl protection at C_9 , and oxidation at C_{13} , then afforded **766** which was used in subsequent reactions as a 1:1 mixture of C_9 epimers. Ozonolysis of **766** supplied the corresponding ketoaldehyde;

chemoselective reduction of the aldehyde group²⁵⁸ then furnished alcohol **767.** Molecular modeling revealed that a six-carbon tether was optimal, since it would lead to formation of a 14-membered macrocycle in which the *Z* configuration of the double bond was calculated to be thermodynamically more stable than the *E* geometry. Thus, attachment of the corresponding β -keto phosphonate tether 768, derived from hexane-l,6-diol (769) via **770,** supplied the olefination precursor **759.** Intramolecular Horner-Emmons reaction⁴⁹ of **759** was then effected using lithium chloride and triethylamine, affording the macrocycle **771** as a single olefin stereoisomer. Having served its function, the tether was then removed via methanolysis, to afford **772** with configuration of the C_{13} α , β -unsaturated ester as required for the bryostatins.

A similar cyclization was performed on an advanced intermediate (755) in the synthesis of a C_1 -Ci6 segment of bryostatin 1. Thus, Horner—Emmons reaction of 755²⁵⁹ afforded the 14-membered macrodiolide **756** as a single olefin stereoisomer. Selective methanolysis of the saturated lactone supplied a primary alcohol at C_{16} ; oxidation then furnished the C_1-C_{16} aldehyde segment 773, in readiness for an envisaged coupling with a $C_{17}-C_{27}$ sulfone segment via a *trans*-selective Julia-Lythgoe olefination. At the time of writing, no further work has been reported.

8. Thomas $C_{10}-C_{16}$ Segment Synthesis²¹⁸

Munt and Thomas have developed a novel route to $C_{10}-C_{16}$ segment 774 corresponding to the B ring of the bryostatins in which the geometry of the exocyclic double bond at C_{13} is established via cyclization of a vinyl radical (Scheme 71). Thus, Yamaguchi coupling⁸⁷ of epoxide **775** with methyl lithiopropynoate afforded alcohol **776,** which was converted into alkoxymalonate **777** upon treatment with dimethyl diazomalonate and $Rh_2(OAc)_4$. After alkylation with Me2N=CH² + I" to give **778,** N-methylation and decarboxylative elimination supplied enol ation and decarboxylative enfinitation supplied enormously entitled by Ganem.²⁶⁰ Conjugate addition of an organostannylcuprate, according to the procedure of Piers,²²⁸ gave **780;** subsequent iodination then furnished the (E) -vinyl iodide 781. Radicalmediated cyclization of **781** was effected upon treatment with tributyltin hydride and AIBN to afford an 80:20 mixture of exocyclic double bond isomers **782** and **783.** Note that the vinyl radical **784** equilibrates

Scheme 70. Evans Bryostatin 1 Ci-Ci6 **Synthesis²¹⁶⁸***^a*

 a (a) 761, LDA; 3-methyl-2-butenal; (b) Me₄NBH(OAc)₃; (c) PMBOMe, DDQ; (d) LAH; (e) Swern oxidation; (f) (MeO)₂P(=O)CH₂CO²Bu, LiCl, $P_{12}NEt$; (g) AcOH, H₂O; (h) ^{*E*BuOK; (i) Ac₂O, py, DMAP; (j) NaBH₄; (k) TIPSOTf, Et₃N; (l) K₂CO₃, MeOH; (m) PDC, pyridinium} trifluoroacetate; (n) O3; Me2S; (o) LiAlH(OCEt3)3; (p) NaH, TBSCl; (q) (MeO)2P(=O)CH2CO2H, DCC, DMAP; (r) Jones oxidation; (s) **767** $+ 768$, DCC, DMAP; (t) LiCl, Et₃N; (u) K₂CO₃, MeOH; (u') Li₂CO₃, MeOH; (v) oxidation.

Scheme 71. Thomas Bryostatin 1 C₁₀-C₁₆ Synthesis²¹⁸^a

^a (a) LiC=CCO₂Me, BF₃OEt₂; (b) (MeO₂C)₂CN₂, Rh₂(OAc)₄; (c) Me₂N=CH₂+I⁻, Et₃N; (d) MeI; (e) "Bu₃SnCu-LiBr-Me₂S; (f) I₂; (g) "Bu₃SnH, AIBN, Δ ; (h) NaBH₄.

prior to cyclization. The preferential formation of **782,** in which the terminal methoxycarbonyl group is *trans* to the newly formed carbon—carbon single bond, may be accounted for by cyclization of the (Z) vinyl radical occurring faster than cyclization of the *E* isomer. Note also that selective formation of the Cn,Ci5-cis-disubstituted products **782** and **783** implies stereoselective H-atom abstraction from the axial direction. Finally, chemoselective reduction of the saturated ester provided the $C_{10}-C_{16}$ bryostatin segment **774** [11% overall yield from **775;** 8 steps; 4 steps per stereogenic center].

H. The Macrolactins

The macrolactins are a group of polyene macrolides isolated from a taxonomically undefined deep sea

bacterium.²⁶¹ Macrolactin A **(785** in Scheme 72), the parent aglycon, exhibits a number of interesting biological properties. These include selective antibacterial activity, inhibition of murine melanoma cancer cells, inhibition of mammalian *Herpes simplex* viruses, and protection of T-lymphoblast cells against human HIV replication.²⁶¹ Rychnovsky *et al.* have determined the absolute stereochemistry of macrolactin B **(786)** by a combination of spectral analysis, oxidative degradation, and chemical correlation studies.²⁶² Macrolactin A, the aglycon of macrolactin B, is assumed to have the same configuration. At the time of writing, no total synthesis of macrolactin A has been reported.²⁶³ However, Grée and co-workers have prepared two differently protected $C_{15}-C_{24}$

Scheme 72

segments, 787 and 788,²⁶⁴ and Donaldson *et al.* have prepared the model C_1-C_{11} and $C_{16}-C_{24}$ segments, 789 and 790.²⁶⁵ Both groups independently adopted similar synthetic strategies exploiting the properties of diene-tricarbonyl complexes, whereby the $Fe(CO)_3$ group is used both as a temporary protecting group for 1,3-diene functionality, and as a means of directing stereocontrol in C-C bond formation at adjacent carbon atoms.²⁶⁶

1. Gree Segment Synthesis²⁶⁴

Grée and co-workers synthesized the $C_{15}-C_{24}$ segments **787** and **788** starting from the optically pure diene-tricarbonyl complex **791,** obtained via resolution,²⁶⁷ and (3R)-butanediol (**647**), which supplied the C_{23} stereogenic center (Scheme 73). Thus, selective monobromination of **647** gave **792;** hydroxyl protection and halogen exchange then supplied the iodide **793.** Reaction of **791** with the organolithium derived from **793** provided the diastereomeric alcohols **794** and **795** in a ratio of 80:20 in favor of the ψ -exo isomer **794.**²⁶⁸ Deoxygenation at C_{20} was required in order to obtain the macrolactin A intermediates **787** and **788.** This was accomplished by means of ionic hydrogenation²⁶⁹ via the $Fe(CO)$ ₃-stabilized pentadienyl cation;266e triethylsilane and trifluoroacetic acid proved to be the reagents of choice. Under these conditions, deoxygenation of the ψ -exo diastereomer

Scheme 73. Grée Macrolactin A $C_{15}-C_{24}$ Synthesis²⁶⁴^a

794 proceeded smoothly to afford **796,** in which the C_{23} silyl ether had been cleaved. The ψ -endo diastereomer **795** could also be used in the synthesis. However, in order for deoxygenation of **795** to proceed cleanly, exchange of the C_{23} TBS ether protecting group for the more robust TBDPS group was first required; subsequent deoxygenation then afforded **797.** Finally, reprotection of the C23 hydroxyl of **796,** either as the PMB ether **(798)** or the silyl ether **(799),** followed by adjustment of oxidation state²⁷⁰ at C_{15} supplied the C15-C23 segments **787** and **788.** These were obtained in overall yields of 12% and 15%, respectively, over the eight steps from **647.** Note that in the synthesis, the C_{23} stereogenic center originated in the chiral pool.

2. Donaldson Segment Syntheses²⁶⁵

The synthesis of the model $C_{16}-C_{24}$ segment **790** by Donaldson *et al.* resembled the synthesis of the $C_{15}-C_{24}$ segments **787** and **788** by Grée and coworkers insofar as the key reactions involved construction of the $C_{20}-C_{21}$ bond by organometallic addition to an aldehyde, and subsequent deoxygenation at C_{20} by ionic reduction. However, the Donaldson synthesis differed in that the C_{23} stereogenic center was installed by employing asymmetric induction from the remote $Fe(CO)_3$ group, via the temporary installation of a stereogenic center at C_{20} , instead of relying upon the chiral pool. Thus, reaction of the racemic diene-tricarbonyl complex **(±)-800** with the achiral Grignard reagent **801** provided the diastereomeric racemic alcohols **802** and **803** in almost equal amounts (Scheme 74). The lack of diastereoselectivity for Grignard addition was of no consequence, since the C_{20} stereogenic center was epimerized in the following step. Thus, acid-catalyzed hydrolysis of either pure **802,** pure **803,** or a mixture of both, afforded a mixture of diastereomeric lactols **804** and **805** in a ratio of 75:25. Subjection of the undesired ψ -endo isomers **(804)** to the hydrolysis conditions afforded more of the mixture of **804** and **805.** The equilibration of **804** and **805** may be rationalized by ionization of the C_{20} lactol C-O bond radionalized by folization of the σ_2 latter C bond under the acidic conditions to generate the $\mathbf{r}_{\text{e}}(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{U})$ ₃-
stabilized pentadienyl cation.^{266e}. Rotation about the C_{12} — C_{22} and attack of oxygen on the face opposite to \mathcal{L}_{19} – \mathcal{L}_{20} and attack of oxygen on the face opposite to the Fe(CO). group then effects epimerization.²⁷¹

^a (a) Ph₃P, Br₂; (b) TBSCl, imidazole; (c) NaI, CuI (cat.); (d) 793, ^{*t*}BuLi; 791; (e) PPTS, EtOH; (f) TBDPSCl, imidazole; (g) Et₃SiH, CF3CO2H; (h) C13CC(=NH)0PMB, TfOH; (h') TBSCl, imidazole; (i) DIBAL; (j) "PrMgBr; azodicarbonyldipiperidine.

Scheme 74. Donaldson Macrolactin A $C_{16}-C_{24}$ $\overline{\text{Synthesis}^{265}}$

(a) H_2SO_4 ; (b) $MeTi(O^iPr)_3$; (c) $p-TsOH$; (d) $NaBH_3CN, BF_3OEt_2$.

Installation of the C_{23} stereogenic center was accomplished via treatment of the ψ -exo isomers ${\bf 805}$ with MeTi(O'Pr)₃,²⁷² which furnished the C_{20} , C_{23} -syn diol **806** as a single diastereomer. Note that the same reaction of the ψ -endo isomers **804** supplied the corresponding C_{20} , C_{23} -syn diol 807 as a single diastereomer. The ψ -exo configuration at C_{20} of 806

positions the hydroxyl appropriately for ionization with anchimeric assistance from the metal center. Thus, treatment of **806** with acid led to loss of the C_{20} hydroxyl, and participation of the C_{23} hydroxyl then afforded the tetrahydrofuran **808.** Finally, ionic reduction²⁶⁹ of **808** via the pentadienyl cation provided the $C_{16}-C_{24}$ segment 790 in an overall yield of **13%** over five steps. Thus, in this synthesis, the Fe- (CO) ₃ group was used to control the installation of the temporary stereogenic center at C_{20} , which in turn was used to direct the formation of the C_{23} stereogenic center; the stereogenic center at C_{20} was then removed.

In the synthesis of the model $C_1 - C_{11}$ segment **789** by Donaldson *et al.,* the dienylic C7 stereogenic center was installed by employing asymmetric induction from the neighboring $Fe(CO)_3$ group (Scheme 75). Thus, TiCl₄-induced hetero-Diels-Alder reaction of the racemic diene-tricarbonyl complex **(±)-800** with the Danishefsky diene **705** provided the diastereomeric dihydropyrones **809** and **810** in a a ratio of 79: $21.^{273}$ Note that use of BF_3 OEt₂ as the Lewis acid in this reaction led to a turnover in stereoselectivity, affording **809** and **810** in a ratio of 25:75. After stereoselective DIBAL reduction of the *y-endo* isomer **(809),** acid-catalyzed ring opening of the resulting **811** then supplied the enal **812.** Finally, Horner-Emmons reaction of 812 using Still's conditions⁹¹ gave the required (Z,E) - $\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta$ -unsaturated ester **789**, together with the corresponding *EJZ* isomer **813** in a ratio of 75:25. Thus, the model $C_1 - C_{11}$ segment **789** was obtained in an overall yield of 7.0% over four steps. Note that the diene-tricarbonyl complex **800** was chosen for the model studies due to its ready availability. Preparation of the $C_1 - C_{11}$ fragment **814,** having the Z configuration at **C10** required for synthesis of macrolactin A, would require hetero-Diels-Alder cycloaddition of the (E,Z) -dienal complex **815.** Such a reaction has previously been demonstrated by Donaldson *et al.²⁷⁴*

Note also that both **789** and **790** were obtained in racemic form, since their syntheses began with the racemate of the diene-tricarbonyl complex **(±)-800.**

Scheme 75. Donaldson Macrolactin A C_1-C_{11} **Synthesis²⁶⁵** a

An enantiocontrolled synthesis would be possible by using the resolved²⁷⁵ form of **800.**

I. The Amphidinolides

The amphidinolides are a class of cytotoxic polyene macrolides isolated from dinoflagellates of the genus *Amphidinium,* which are symbionts of Okinawan marine flatworm *Amphiscolops* sp.²⁷⁶ All of these compounds exhibit significant *in vitro* activity against murine leukemia cells, and some congeners also display activity toward rabbit skeletal muscle actomyosin ATPase. The gross chemical structures of the various members of the amphidinolide family have been deduced by Kobayashi and co-workers.²⁷⁶ The same group has proposed relative stereochemical assignments for a few congeners, *e.g.* amphidinolide A **(816** in Scheme 76), on the basis of spectroscopic studies.²⁷⁷ However, absolute stereochemistry has been determined in only two cases, via synthesis of oxidative degradation fragments.²⁷⁸ At the present time, no total syntheses of any of the amphidinolides have been reported. However, a $C_{10}-C_{19}$ segment of amphidinolide A has been prepared by O'Connor and Williard,²⁷⁹ and Boden and Pattenden have reported the formation of the macrocyclic skeleton of amphidinolide A using a novel palladium-catalyzed macrocyclization reaction.²⁸⁰

1. Williard Segment Synthesis²⁷⁹

At the time that the synthesis of a $C_{10}-C_{19}$ segment of amphidinolide A was reported by O'Connor and Williard,²⁷⁹ neither the relative nor the absolute stereochemistry of the natural product was known. Accordingly, the researchers sought to develop a synthetic strategy that could be used to prepare all of the possible diastereomers *(vide infra).* The Cio— C19 segment **817** was obtained via Julia coupling of the $C_{10}-C_{13}$ and $C_{14}-C_{19}$ segments **818** and **819**, which were each available in either enantiomeric configuration from chiral pool starting materials (Scheme 76).

Construction of the C14-C19 segment **819** began with (R)-methyl 3-hydroxy-2-methylpropionate (ent-**199**), which supplied the C₁₈ stereogenic center (Scheme 77).²⁸¹ Note that the *(S)* enantiomer **(199)** is also commercially available. Thus, protection of the hydroxyl of *ent-199* and subsequent reduction of the ester gave the alcohol **820;** iodination then

Scheme 77. Williard Amphidinolide A C₁₀-C₁₉ Synthesis²⁷⁹^a

^{*a*} (a) MOMCl, ^{*i*}Pr₂NEt; (b) LAH; (c) Ph₃P, I₂, imidazole; (d) ^{*t*}BuLi; 9-BBN-OMe; (e) PhSO2Me, "BuLi; 824; (f) 822 + 823, 3 mol *%* (DPPF)PdCl2; (g) MeOH, H⁺ , molecular sieves; (h) (MeO)2CMe2, H⁺, molecular sieves; (i) KOH, MeOH; (j) BH₃THF; (k) TBSCl, imidazole; (1) 819 (1 equiv), "BuLi; 818 (0.5 equiv); LDA; 818 (0.5 equiv); (m) $NaBH_4$; (n) $MsCl$, Et_3N ; (o) $Na-Hg$, Na_2HPO_4 .

provided **821.** After lithium-halogen exchange, reaction with 9-BBN-OMe afforded the borane **822.** Note that **822** is not available in sufficiently high enantiomeric purity via an alternative route involving asymmetric hydroboration of a **methallyl** alcohol derivative.²⁸² Suzuki coupling²⁸³ **of 822** with vinyl bromide **823, derived from** 2,3-dibromopropene (824),²⁸⁴ **then furnished 819.**

Meanwhile, the $C_{10}-C_{13}$ segment 818 was obtained from L-tartaric acid **(825)** according to the procedure of Musich and Rapoport.²⁸⁵ Thus, bis(esterification) of **825** and subsequent acetonide protection was followed by selective saponification of one of the ester groups to afford **826.** Chemoselective reduction of the carboxylic acid group of **826** and protection of the resulting alcohol then gave **818.** Julia coupling of ester **818** and sulfone **819** under carefully controlled conditions²⁸⁶ furnished the β -keto sulfone **827** as a mixture at C₁₄ epimers. Reduction to the corresponding hydroxy sulfone followed by mesylation and reductive elimination then afforded the $C_{10}-C_{19}$ segment **817** with the required *E* double bond. Note that the stereochemistry of **817** is in agreement with the relative stereochemical assignment for amphidinolide A subsequently proposed by Kobayashi *et al.277a* Note also that, in the synthesis of **817,** all three stereogenic centers originated in the chiral pool. The use of D-tartaric acid and (S)-methyl 2-hydroxy-3-methylpropionate, therefore, would allow synthesis of the enantiomer of **817.** The absolute configuration

Scheme 78°

 a (a) $\rm H_2O_2$, $\rm Na_2CO_3$; HCl; (b) $(\rm MeO)_2CMe_2$, $p\text{-}TsOH;$ (c) $\rm NaOMe,$ MeOH; (d) TBSCl, imidazole.

of amphidinolide A is, at present, undetermined. $[C_{10}-\bar{C}_{19}$ segment 817: 31% overall yield from *ent*-**199;** 9 steps longest linear sequence; 15 steps total; 5 steps per stereogenic center.]

The "chiron strategy"⁸ adopted by O'Connor and Williard was sufficiently flexible to be able to supply all the diastereomeric possibilities for the $C_{10}-C_{19}$ segment. Thus, if it had proved necessary, upon determination of the relative stereochemistry of amphidinolide A, to use the $C_{10}-C_{13}$ segment 828 (Scheme 78), then the depicted enantiomer of this intermediate was available by synthesis from Disoascorbic acid **(829)** via lactone 830.²⁸⁷ Either enantiomer of **829** was also available by resolution of racemic DL-erythronic lactone **830.²⁸⁸**

2. Pattenden Macrocycle Synthesis²⁸⁰

Boden and Pattenden have prepared the macrocycle **831,** a model for the ring skeleton of amphidinolide A, from the vinyl stannane-allylic chloride **832** using a novel intramolecular Stille coupling procedure which results in the formation of 1,4-dienes (Scheme 79).²⁸⁰ Thus, ozonolysis of cyclononene **(833)** in acidic methanol, followed by reductive workup, provided the aldehyde-acetal **834.** After Horner-Emmons olefination, subsequent reduction followed by acetal hydrolysis supplied the aldehyde **835.** A second Horner—Emmons olefination and subsequent saponification then afforded the acid **836.** Meanwhile, alcohol **837** was prepared by stannyl cupration of allene **(838)** followed by trapping with ethylene oxide according to the procedure of Fleming and Pulido.²⁸⁹ After transformation to iodide **839,** coupling with the carboxylate anion derived from **836** furnished **840**. Introduction of chloride at C_{15} , via the mesylate derived from **840,** gave the cyclization precursor **832.** Finally, treatment of **832** with Pd(O) in the presence of triphenylarsine²⁹⁰ led to smooth cyclization to afford the 20-membered macrocycle **831** with the required E configuration at C_{13} (38% cyclization yield), together with a small amount of the corresponding 13Z isomer, and a trace of the 18 membered macrocycle resulting from allylic isomerization of the starting material. Note that although the model **831** contains all the endocyclic double bonds found in amphidinolide, it lacks several other structural features present in the natural product: namely the C19 side chain, the exomethylene groups at C_7 and C_{10} , and the four hydroxyls at C_8 , C_9 , C_{11} ,

Scheme 79. Pattenden Amphidinolide A Macrocyclization Study²⁸⁰^a

 a (a) O₃, MeOH, p-TsOH; NaHCO₃, Ph₃P; (b) (EtO)₂- $P(=O)CH₂CO₂Et$, LDA; (c) DIBAL: (d) p -TsOH, H₂O; (e) (E)- $(MeO)_2P(=O)CH_2CH=CHCO_2Me$, LDA; 835; (f) NaOH; (g) $(^{n}Bu_{3}Sn)_{2}CuLi$; ethylene oxide; (h) I₂, PPh₃, imidazole; (i) 836 + 839, DBU; (j) MsCl, Et₃N, LiCl; (k) Pd₂dba₃, Ph₃As, Δ .

and C_{12} . All of these features might be expected to assist the cyclization to form the real macrocycle, by inducing a favorable conformation in the cyclization precursor. Accordingly, cyclization in the real system could proceed in a yield higher than that observed for the model **831.** The Pattenden cyclization methodology should also be applicable to the synthesis of other polyene macrolides possessing 1,4-diene functionality.

J. Tedanolide

Tedanolide **(841** in Scheme 80) is a potent cytotoxic macrolide, isolated from the Caribbean sponge *Tedania ignis,* which inhibits KB human carcinoma and PS lymphocytic leukemia *in vitro.²⁹¹* At the time of writing, no total synthesis of tedanolide has been reported. However, Yonemitsu has described the macrolactonization of the seco-acid derivative **842,** to form the advanced intermediate 843.76e The protecting group arrangement of **842** was selected as a result of molecular modeling studies *(vide infra),* and **842** was synthesized from *(R)-* and (S)-2-hydroxy-3-methylpropionic acids via four segments: C_1-C_7 , $C_8 - C_{11}$, $C_{13} - C_{17}$, and $C_{18} - C_{21}$. At the time of writing, no further details have been published.

1. Yonemitsu Macrolide Synthesis^{76e}

To avoid the possibility of decomposition of the flexible acyclic precursors occurring as a result of retro-aldol cleavage reactions, Yonemitsu opted to replace some of the aldol relationships in tedanolide with protected 1,3-diols. Selective deprotection and

 $($ a) 2,4,6-Cl₃C₆H₂COCl, Et₃N; DMAP.

oxidation would then be required, after macrolactonization, in order to obtain the natural product.

In determining the arrangement of protecting groups, Yonemitsu identified two criteria which must be met: firstly, that the conformation of the secoacid should resemble as closely as possible the conformation of the corresponding macrolide, in order for macrolactonization to be efficient; and, secondly, that the conformation of the macrolactonization product must be similar to the conformation of tedanolide itself, in order for the necessary selective oxidation to be favorable. Computer-aided conformational analysis indicated that these requirements were likely to be satisfied by the seco-acid **842** and the corresponding macrolide 843 , in which the C_5 and C_{15} carbonyls were reduced to hydroxy groups and protected as $m.p$ -dimethoxybenzylidene acetals. Thus, the calculated lowest energy conformation of **844** (a model for **842)** was very similar to that computed for **845** (a model for **843).** In turn, the calculated lowest energy conformation of **845** closely resembled the conformation of the lactone portion of tedanolide (840) as revealed by X-ray analysis.²⁹¹

In practice, seco-acid **842** cyclized smoothly, without the need for high dilution, using the Yonemitsu modification¹⁸⁴ of the Yamaguchi procedure,⁴⁷ to afford an 89% yield of the corresponding macrolide **843.** The deprotection and selective oxidation of **843** to provide tedanolide has not yet been reported.

K. The Latrunculins

Latrunculins A and B **(846** and **847** in Figure 8), originally isolated from the Red Sea sponge *Latrun* $culia\ magnifica$ (Keller) in 1980, 292 a-c $,293}$ were the first

Figure 8. Structures of the latrunculins.

macrolides to be discovered from a truly marine source and the first natural products to embody the 2-thiazolidinone moiety.²⁹⁴ Other congeners have since been found, such as latrunculins $C(848)$, 292d,295 D (849) ,^{292d} and M (850) .^{292g} Biological interest in the latrunculins stems from their powerful inhibition of the polymerization of the cytoskeletal protein actin,^{292b,296a} and on their ability to reversibly disrupt microfilament organization.^{292b,h,296b} The total synthesis of latrunculin B was reported by Smith and $\frac{1}{2}$ co-workers in 1986;^{297a,c} and in 1990, Smith *et al.*^{297b,c} and White and Kawasaki²⁹⁸ independently completed total syntheses of latrunculin A. Kashman and coworkers have reported chemical modifications of the natural products^{292c-g} and the *de novo* synthesis^{292d,e} of model latrunculin tetrahydropyran ring systems.

1. Smith Total Syntheses²⁹⁷

The syntheses of latrunculin A **(846)** and latrunculin B **(847)** by Smith and co-workers employed the same advanced intermediate **851** (Scheme 81). Wit-

Scheme 81

Scheme 82. Smith Latrunculin B Synthesis^{297a,c a}

 a (a) m-CPBA, NaHCO3; (b) LDA; MeI; (c) (+)-(R,R)-2,3-butanediol, CSA; (d) O3; PPh3; (e) COCl2; (f) PMBBr, NaH; (g) 1 N KOH; H₃O⁺; (h) NaH, (COCl)₂; MeMgBr; (i) 856, ⁿBu₂BOTf, 'Pr₂NEt; 855; (j) p-TsOH, MeOH; (k) 2 N HCl; (l) CSA, MeOH; (m) TBSCl, imidazole; (n) DIBAL; (o) **852** or **853,** KHMDS; **851;** (p) HF-py; (q) PPh3, DEAD; (r) 0.25 M (NH4)2Ce(N03)6, MeCN; (s) AcOH, H2O; (t) (NH4)2Ce(N03)6, MeCN, H_2O ; (u) NaBH₄; (v) MeOH, BF_3-OE_2 ; (w) Et₃SiH, BF₃OEt₂; (x) AcOH; (y) CH₂N₂; (a') "BuLi, ClCO₂Me; (b') Me₂CuLi; (c') 1 N LiOH; (d') NaI; (e') PPh₃; (f') Swern oxidation; (g') (EtO)₂P(=O)CH₂CO₂Et, NaH; (h') DIBAL; (i') DHP, PPTS; (j') "BuLi, ClCO₂Me; (k') $Me₂CuLi; (l') Amberlyst-15; (m') LiOH; (n') NBS, Me₂S; (o') PPh₃.$

tig reaction of **851** with either **852** or **853,** followed by macrolactonization, led to latrunculins A and B, respectively. Compound **851** was obtained by a novel acid-catalyzed reorganization of ortho ester **854,** which was in turn derived from aldol union of aldehyde **855** and methyl ketone **856.** Since aldol reactions of methyl ketones are often poorly stereoselective,²⁹⁹ the synthesis was designed to accommodate both configurations at C13 in **854** (latrunculin B numbering). Thus, the α epimer of 854 required macrocyclization with inversion of configuration at C_{15} via the Mitsunobu reaction;⁸⁵ the β epimer would be lactonized with retention via carboxyl activation.³⁰⁰

a. Smith Latrunculin B Total Synthesis.^{297a,c} Aldehyde **855** was prepared in four steps from racemic **857** (Scheme 82). Thus, Baeyer-Villiger oxidation followed by a-methylation gave **858** as a **1:1** mixture of diastereomers; ortho ester formation with (+)- (R,R) -2,3-butanediol then effected both resolution and equilibration, increasing the *trans/cis* ratio to 6:1.³⁰¹ HPLC separation of the diastereomers provided isomer $(-)$ -859, which was ozonolyzed to provide the C7—C13 aldehyde **855** in enantiopure form. Ketone

856 was derived from L-cysteine ethyl ester **(860).** Thus, formation of the thiazolidinone, PMB protection of the amide nitrogen, and ester hydrolysis gave acid **861.** Reaction of the derived acid chloride with MeMgBr then afforded methyl ketone **856.**

The $C_{13}-C_{14}$ bond was constructed by aldol coupling of the dibutylboron enolate³⁰² of ketone **856** with aldehyde **855**, giving β -hydroxy ketone **854** as an inseparable 4:1 (α/β) mixture of C₁₃ epimers. Note that use of the lithium or zinc enolates of **856** gave similar diastereoselectivity but lower yields. Rearrangement of ortho ester **854** catalyzed by p-TsOH in methanol furnished the methyl acetals **862** as a 4:1 mixture of C_{13} epimers. However, use of aqueous HCl effected C_{13} equilibration as well, supplying hemiacetal 863 as a 12:1 mixture of α and β epimers.^{303,304} Smith has postulated that equilibration occurs via equatorial addition of the C_{13} hydroxyl to oxonium ion 864. The C₁₅ hemiacetal stereochemistry is governed by the anomeric effect. Methanolysis of 863 α followed by TBS protection of the C_{13} hydroxyl gave **865** which was reduced with DIBAL to afford the key C9 aldehyde **851.**

The phosphonium salt **853**, required for the C_1 - C_6 segment of latrunculin B, was prepared in five steps from 5-chloro-l-pentyne (866). Thus, carbalkoxylation of **866** gave **867,** which underwent stereoselective^{107a} carbocupration to afford 868. Hydrolysis at C_1 and phosphorus introduction at C_6 then supplied **853.** A completely Z-selective Wittig coupling of aldehyde **851** with the dianion derived from 853, followed by cleavage of the C_{13} silyl ether, furnished the seco-acid **869.** Macrocyclization of **869** using the Mitsunobu protocol, 85 with inversion of configuration at C13, then afforded the lactone **870.** Deprotection of the thiazolidinone nitrogen using aqueous eerie ammonium nitrate (CAN)³⁰⁵ gave **871** and subsequent acetal hydrolysis provided latrunculin B (847). The natural product could also be directly obtained from **870** by treatment with a more concentrated solution of CAN. Note that the choice of the p -methoxybenzyl group for the thiazolidinone nitrogen was critical: both the *N*-benzyl and $N-(m,p-1)$ dimethoxybenzyl) derivatives of latrunculin B were synthesized, but the protecting groups could not be removed.

Kashman and co-workers have converted natural latrunculin B (847) into latrunculin C **(848)** and its C_{15} epimer by reduction with $NaBH₄$.^{292d} They have also prepared latrunculin M (850) from **847** via acetalization at C_{15} with methanol and reductive opening of the macrolide with $Et_3SiH/BF_3:OEt_2$ to give 872, followed by deacetalization at C_{15} and, finally, methyl ester formation at C_1 ^{292g} The Smith total synthesis of latrunculin B thus achieves formal syntheses of two additional members of the latrunculin family. [Latrunculin B (847): 2.0% overall yield from (\pm) -857; 14 steps longest linear sequence; 23 steps total; \sim 4-5 steps per stereogenic center.]

b. Smith Latrunculin A Total Synthesis.^{297b,c} The phosphonium salt **852** (Scheme 82), required for the C_1-C_8 segment of latrunculin A, was prepared in 10 steps from 5-hydroxy-l-pentyne (873). Thus, Swern oxidation and Horner-Emmons olefination to give **874** was followed by 1,2-reduction and hydroxyl

Scheme 83. Smith Latrunculin A Synthesis^{297b,c a}

 α (a) LAH; (b) 'BuLi; O₂; (c) PCC, Al₂O₃; (d) TMSCH₂CH₂OCOCl, $iPr₂NEt$, DMAP; (e) 852, KHMDS; 878: (f) HF r_{py} ; (g) PPh₃, DEAD; (h) TBAF; (i) 3 N HCl.

protection to afford **875.** Transformation of the terminal alkyne to the α , β -unsaturated ester **876** was accomplished as for the earlier conversion of $866 \rightarrow$ **868.** Finally, hydrolysis at C_1 and phosphorus introduction at Cs then supplied **852.** In an analogous manner to the synthesis of latrunculin B, Wittig coupling of aldehyde **851** with the dianion derived from 852 , followed by cleavage of the C_{15} silyl ether and macrocyclization, afforded the lactone **877.** Unfortunately, deprotection of the thiazolidinone nitrogen in **877** proved to be impossible due to interference from the sensitive diene moiety (not present in the latrunculin B analogue 870). A change of protecting group earlier in the synthesis was required. Accordingly, **865** was converted in four steps, including removal of the PMB group using the Williams procedure (tert-butyllithium, $O₂$), 306 into the aldehyde **878** in which the thiazolidinone nitrogen atom was protected as its $[\beta$ -(trimethylsilyl)ethoxy]carbamate (Scheme 83). Wittig olefination of **852** and **878** followed by cleavage of the C_{15} silyl ether gave secoacid **879,** and macrocyclization under Mitsunobu acid *off*, and macrocyclization under Mitsunopu
conditions⁸⁵ then provided macrolide **880**. The TEOC nitrogen-protecting group was removed using fluoride ion, and then final hydrolysis of the C_{17} methyl acetal of **881** gave latrunculin A (846).

Thus, in this synthesis, the stereogenic center at C_{18} of latrunculin A was obtained from the chiral pool, the C_{10} and C_{13} stereogenic centers were constructed by using a combination of substrate control and resolution (\pm) -856 \rightarrow (\pm) -858 \rightarrow (-)-859), and the stereogenic centers at C_{15} and C_{17} were set up using substrate-controlled reactions $(854 \rightarrow 863,$ and subsequent inversion at C_{15} during 879 \rightarrow 880). [Latrunculin A (846) : 0.6% overall yield from (\pm) -**857;** 17 steps longest linear sequence; 31 steps total; \sim 6 steps per stereogenic center.]

2. White Latrunculin A Total Synthesis²⁹⁸

The synthesis of latrunculin $A(846)$ by White and Kawasaki was designed to illustrate new methodology³⁰⁷ for the synthesis of CE,Z)-l,3-dienes *(vide infra).* Accordingly, the target was divided into the

three principal segments **882-884** depicted in Scheme 84. A Wittig olefination was used for the C_8-C_9 bond construction, and an aldol reaction was employed for the $C_{15}-C_{16}$ bond construction. After acetalization at C_{17} , macrocyclization was accomplished by means of the Mitsunobu reaction.⁸⁵ Note that the contemporaneous Smith synthesis of latrunculin $A^{297b,c}$ employed the same principal disconnections, but used a different order of segment assembly.

The $C_9 - C_{15}$ aldehyde segment representing synthon **883** was assembled from two four-carbon segments, **885** and **886** (Scheme 85). Epoxide **885** was prepared from (S) - $(-)$ -malic acid (119) .³⁰⁸ An initial six-step sequence of protecting group exchanges and adjustment of oxidation level gave **887,** and acetonide deprotection and selective primary tosylation of the derived diol then furnished **888.** Treatment of **888** with base formed the epoxide and simultaneously cleaved the C_{15} acetate; finally, TBS protection at C_{15} provided the desired **885.** Meanwhile, sulfone **886**

Scheme 85. White Latrunculin A Synthesis²⁹⁸ °

was obtained in five routine steps from methyl *(R)-* 3-hydroxy-2-methylpropionate *(ent-199).* Coupling of the lithio anion of **886** with **885** followed by reductive removal of the sulfone group provided the C_9-C_{15} segment 889 . After protection of the C₁₃ alcohol, deprotection at C_9 and Swern oxidation³⁸ then gave the key aldehyde **890.**

The White methodology for construction of *(E,Z)-* 1,3-dienes involves addition of an enolate dianion to a dienylphosphonium salt followed by an *in situ* Z-selective Wittig reaction³⁰⁹ of the derived E-ylide with an aldehyde.³⁰⁷ Thus, the dilithio anion **891** of β -keto ester 892 was alkylated with diene 893.³¹⁰ which was in turn obtained via deprotonation of the phosphonium bromide $894,^{311}$ to give the $C_1-C_8(E)$ ylide **882.** Reaction of **882** with aldehyde **890** then afforded the C_1-C_{15} segment (E,Z)-895, along with a trace of the (E,E) -diene.³¹² Stereoselective formation of the (E) -enolate of β -keto ester 895³¹³ and trapping with diethylphosphorochloridate gave the (£)-enol phosphate **896,** which reacted with a methylmagnesiocuprate³¹⁴ with retention of configuration at C3 to give the ester **897.** Selective silyl ether cleavage at C_{15} , followed by Swern oxidation,³⁸ then furnished aldehyde **898.**

Methyl ketone **884** was obtained in three steps from L-cysteine methyl ester **(899),** involving initial thiazolidinone formation by reaction with CO and O_2 in the presence of selenium.³¹⁵ Aldol reaction of the mixed lithio-cerio dianion of ketone **884** with aide-

 a (a) MeOH, AcCl; (b) DHP, H⁺; (c) LAH; (d) MeOH, H⁺; (e) Me₂CO, H⁺; (f) Ac₂O, py; (g) AcOH, H₂O; (h) p-TsCl, py; (i) K₂CO₃, MeOH; (j) TBSCl, imidazole; (k) Cl₃CC(=NH)OBn, TfOH; (l) LAH; (m) p-TsCl, py; (n) NaI; (o) PhSO₂Na; (p) 886, "BuLi; 885; (q) Na(Hg); (r) SEMCl, iPr_2NEt ; (s) H_2 , 10% Pd-C; (t) Swern oxidation; (u) LDA; (v) (EtO)₂POCl, iPr_2NEt , DMAP; HMPA; (w) MeCu, MeMgCl; (x) MeOH, H⁺; (y) Swern oxidation; (z) 884, LDA; CeCl₃; 898; (a') HF; (b') MeOH, H⁺; (c') TBAF; (d') Ph₃P, DEAD; (e') AcOH, H₂O; (f) CO, O₂, Se; (g') AcOH, HCl; (h') MeLi, MeMgCl.

hyde **898,** without protection of the nitrogen atom in **884** *(vide infra)*, gave $C_1 - C_{19}$ segment **900** as an inseparable 1:1 mixture of C_{15} epimers. Selective cleavage of the C_{13} SEM ether by acidic hydrolysis then led to a spontaneous ring closure to form the hemiacetal at C_{17} , and subsequent treatment with acidic methanol furnished the methyl acetals **901** and 15-epi-901. After separation, the 15α alcohol (901) was taken on to latrunculin A **(846).** Thus, cleavage of the (trimethylsilyl)ethyl ester from **901** gave secoacid 902. Cyclization under Mitsunobu conditions⁸⁵ occurred with inversion at C_{15} , and subsequent hydrolysis of the C_{17} methyl acetal then gave the natural product. In an analogous manner the 15β alcohol (15-epi-901) was transformed into *15-epi*latrunculin A. Note that, in theory, 15-epi-901 could also supply the natural product, *i.e.* latrunculin A, by means of carboxyl-activated macrolactonization³⁰⁰ which would be expected to occur with retention of configuration at C_{15} . This avenue was apparently not explored by White and Kawasaki.

Thus, in this synthesis, three of the five stereogenic centers in latrunculin A were obtained from the chiral pool $(C_{10}, C_{13},$ and C_{18}), the C_{17} stereogenic center was constructed using a substrate-controlled reaction (900 \rightarrow 901), and the C₁₅ stereogenic center was not controlled $(901$ and its C_{15} epimer were separated). [Latrunculin A **(846):** 0.3% overall yield from (\pm) -857; 26 steps longest linear sequence; 35 steps total; 7 steps per stereogenic center.]

Finally, note that, as in the Smith synthesis of latrunculin A, the choice of group used to protect the thiazolidinone nitrogen atom proved to be critical. White and Kawasaki found it advantageous to use no protecting group at all. Use of the MOM protecting group, for instance, on thiazolidinone **884** led, after aldol coupling and hemiacetal formation at C_{17} , to participation of the formaldehyde unit thus forming the \overline{N} , O-acetal **903**. This acetal proved to be extremely resistant to hydrolysis and **903** could not be converted to latrunculin A. Other protecting groups on the nitrogen atom of **884** proved to be difficult to remove after lactonization. The identification of suitable protecting groups thus continues to be a nontrivial issue when contemplating the synthesis of complex polyfunctional natural products.

3. Kashman Model Studies on Latrunculin Tetrahydropyran Ring^{292d,e}

Starting from L-cysteine, Kashman *et al.* have synthesized some model 2-thiazolidinone-tetrahydropyran ring systems of the latrunculins (Scheme 86). Reaction of L-cysteine ethyl ester **(860)** with phosgene afforded the thiazolidinone,³¹⁶ and then protection of the nitrogen atom and transformation of the C15 ester to the acyl chloride gave **904.** Palladium-catalyzed coupling³¹⁷ of **904** with an alkynyl stannane supplied the tetrahydropyran ring precursor **905**. After cleavage of the C_{11} TBS ether, to afford **906,** partial hydrogenation over Lindlar's catalyst and *in situ* hemiacetal formation gave **907** (as a 1:1 mixture of epimers at C_{15} , together with 5% of the open *cis* δ -hydroxy- α, β -unsaturated ketone **908**). Hetero-Michael addition to C₁₃ of 908 (in the case $R \neq H$) was suggested as a possible route to latrunculin B. Partial hydrogenation of **906** over Pd/ BaSO₄ furnished the *trans* δ -hydroxy- α , β -unsaturated ketone **909,** which could also be obtained by basic equilibration of **907.**

Michael addition of methanol, in the presence of K2CO3, to either **907** or **909** followed by acetalization of the resulting hemiacetal by addition of BF_3 OEt₂ to the methanolic solution led to two out of the four possible C_{13} , C_{15} dimethoxy derivatives. Compounds **910** and **911** were obtained in a ratio of 3:1. Acid treatment of either **910** or **911** gave the corresponding hemiacetal: thus **910** provided **912** and **913** as a 3:2 equilibrium mixture. Methanol addition to **909** without acetalization also provided **912** and **913** as an equilibrium mixture. Note that none of the tetrahydropyrans **910—913,** which lack an alkyl substituent at C_{11} , possess the correct configurations for C13 *and* C15 of the latrunculins as depicted in **914.** Note also that NMR studies on an analogue **915** of **914** ($R = (CH₂)₂CH(CH₃)CH₂OH)$, obtained by reduc-

 a (a) COCl₂; (b) BnBr, NaH; (c) H⁺; SOCl₂; (d) TBSOCH₂CH₂C≡CSnⁿBu₃, Pd(PPh₃)₄; (e) H⁺; (f) H₂, Lindlar catalyst; (g) H₂, Pd-BaSO₄, py; (h) MeOH, py; (i) MeOH, K_2CO_3 ; BF₃·OEt₂; (j) H⁺, SiO₂; (k) MeOH, K_2CO_3 ; (l) MeOH, BF₃·OEt₂; (m) O₃; NaBH₄; (n) H⁺.

tive ozonolysis of latrunculin B, showed that it exists in the single cyclic hemiacetal conformation illustrated. Thus the studies revealed that the presence of an alkyl substituent at C_{11} (*i.e.* $R \neq H$ for 908 \rightarrow **914)** would be essential in order for the correct latrunculin configuration at C_{13} to be generated by hetero-Michael addition.

L. The Octalactins

Octalactins A and B **(916** and **917** in Scheme 87) are two eight-membered lactones which have been isolated from a marine-derived actinomycete found living on the surface of the Sea of Cortez gorgonian octocoral *Pacifigorgia* sp.³¹⁸ Whereas octalactin A exhibits strong cytotoxicity against certain melanoma and human colon tumor cell lines, octalactin B is completely inactive in the same assays. The octalactins are not, in the strictest sense, macrolides, but are included in this review owing to the fact that octalactin A represents one of the comparatively few examples of medium-ring marine lactones which displays significant biological activity.³¹⁹ The first total syntheses of both octalactin A and B were α complished by Buszek *et al.* in 1994;³²⁰ this work serving to establish the absolute configuration of the natural products. Total syntheses were also reported by Williams and Clardy later in the same year.³²¹

1. Buszek Total Syntheses320

Buszek *et al.* synthesized octalactins A and B from a common intermediate **(918** in Scheme 87), which was obtained by $\mathrm{Ni(\mathrm{II})/Cr(\mathrm{II})}$ -mediated coupling⁷⁸ of the $C_1 - C_9$ and $C_{10} - C_{15}$ segments **919** and **920**. The key step in the synthetic approach to **919** was intended to be lactonization of the unsaturated secoacid **921,** which was expected to be facile owing to

Scheme 87

the conformational rigidity imparted by the double bond, followed by hydrogenation of the *cis* olefin.³²² However, in the actual synthesis of **919,** an unprecedented lactonization of the corresponding saturated seco-acid **922** was found to be preferable.

Construction of the C_1-C_9 segment **928** was based on coupling of the $C_1 - C_6$ and $C_7 - C_9$ segments **923** and **924** (Scheme 88). Compounds **923** and **924** were obtained from, respectively, the *S* and *R* enantiomers of methyl 3-hydroxy-2-methylpropionate, *i.e.* **199** and *ent-199.* Thus, **199** was converted to alkene **925** in five steps, with unspecified diastereoselectivity for the formation of the stereogenic center at C_3 . Hydroboration of **925** followed by protection of the resulting primary alcohol and subsequent cleavage of the C5 silyl ether furnished alcohol **926.** After oxidation of 926 to the C_5 aldehyde, condensation with Seyferths' reagent $((MeO)_2P(-O)CHN_2)^{241a}$ gave alkyne **927,** and iodination then supplied **923.** Ni- $(II)/Cr(II)$ -mediated coupling⁷⁸ of iodide **923** with aldehyde **924,** obtained in three steps from *ent-199,* occurred with negligible stereoselectivity, affording the C_1-C_9 segment **928** as an inseparable mixture of C7 epimers. Hydrogenation using Lindlar's catalyst, followed by acetylation of the C_7 hydroxyl and deprotection at Ci then delivered alkene **929** with the correct configuration at C_7 , together with its C_7 epimer **930.** After separation of **929** and **930,** twostage oxidation at Ci of **929** followed by deacetylation then supplied the unsaturated seco-acid **921.** Similarly, **931** was obtained from **930.** The undesired epimer **931** could be recycled via oxidation, to give **932,** followed by reduction, which gave a 2:1 ratio of **921** and **931.** Cyclization of **921** to provide the unsaturated lactone **933** was accomplished by means of the Corey-Nicolaou "double-activation" protocol (formation of the 2-pyridyl thioester of **921** followed 323 (formation of the 2-pyridyl thioester of 921 followed).
by AgBF4-mediated cyclization).^{322,323} Unfortunately all attempts to obtain the saturated lactone **934** by reduction of the double bond of **933** proved unsuccessful.

As an alternative, Buszek *et al.* investigated lactonization of the saturated seco-acid **922,** which was obtained by hydrogenation of **921** prior to cyclization. By using the Corey-Nicolaou procedure, cyclization of **922** was in fact straightforward: the corresponding lactone **934** was obtained in a yield (73%) comparable to that achieved for the unsaturated analogue **933.** Note that this represents the first example of a highyielding synthesis of an eight-membered lactone from a *saturated* seco-acid precursor. The use of an olefin moiety to provide extra conformational rigidity in the seco-acid appears not to be necessary in this particular case: the combined influences of the stereochemical arrangement of **922** and the sterically demanding protecting groups apparently lead to a preferred proceding groups apparently read to a preferred conformation in the presumed transition state 324 that sufficiently facilitates ring closure. Note that other diastereomeric acyclic precursors exhibited varying propensity for cyclization: thus, whereas **934** and its C_7 epimer were both formed in 96 h, the C_3 , C_7 bis-(epimer) was obtained after only 50 h and the C_3 epimer required 2 weeks.

Desilylation of **934** followed by oxidation gave the C_1-C_9 aldehyde segment **919**. Meanwhile, the $C_{10}-$

Scheme 88. Buszek Octalactin A and B Syntheses^{320 a}

" (a) DHP, p-TsOH; (b) DIBAL; H2C=CHMgBr; separate C3 epimers; (c) PMBCl, KH; (d) PPTS, EtOH; (e) TBDPSCl, imidazole; (O 9-BBN; H2O2, NaOH; (g) MMTrCl, Et3N; (h) TBAF; (i) Dess-Martin periodinane; (j) (MeO)2P(=O)CHN2, 'BuOK; (k) I2, morpholine; (l) TBDPSCI, imidazole; (m) DIBAL; (n) Dess-Martin periodinane; (o) 923 + 924, NiCl₂ (1.0%)-CrCl₂; (p) H₂, Lindlar catalyst; (q) Ac₂O, DMAP, py; (r) PPTS, MeOH; (s) Dess-Martin periodinane; (t) NaClO₂, 2-methyl-2-butene, 'BuOH; (u) K₂CO₃, MeOH; (v) Dess-Martin periodinane; (w) L-selectride, CeCl3; (x) 2,2'-pyridine disulfide, PPh3; AgBF4; (y) H2, 10% Pd-C; (z) 2,2'-dipyridyl disulfide, PPh3; AgBF4; (a') TBAF, AcOH; (b') Dess-Martin periodinane; (c') NaNO₂, HCl; (d') LAH; (e') KOH; (f') TMS-C=C-Li, BF₃·OEt₂; (g') TBSCl, imidazole; (h') 1 N NaOH; (i') "BuLi; MeI; (j') Cp₂ZrClH; I₂; (k') 919 + 920, NiCl₂ (0.1%)-CrCl₂; (l') Dess-Martin periodinane; (m') HF; (n') DDQ, H₂O; (o') VO(acac)₂, 'BuOOH; (p') m-CPBA; (q') Mo(CO)₆, 'BuOOH.

 C_{15} iodide segment 920 was prepared from L-valine (935). Thus, 935 was converted via 936 into epoxide 937, according to the procedure of Koppenhoeffer and Schurig.³²⁵ Yamaguchi coupling⁸⁷ of 937 with lithium (trimethylsilyl)acetylide followed by hydroxyl protection then gave 938. Hydrolytic removal of the trimethylsilyl group followed by methylation supplied alkyne 939, which was regioselectively hydrozirconated and iodinated³²⁶ to generate 920 . Ni(II)/Cr(II)mediated coupling⁷⁸ of 919 and 920 then afforded a 1.5:1 ratio of C_9 epimers 918 and 940. Oxidation of both 918 and 940 followed by deprotection provided

octalactin B **(917).** Synthesis of the bioactive congener octalatin A required stereoselective epoxidation of the $C_{10}-C_{11}$ alkene. Thus, vanadium-mediated epoxidation⁸⁸ of the major coupling adduct **918** furnished **941** as a single isomer with the required epoxide configuration. Oxidation of **941** then gave **942** and deprotection afforded octalactin A **(916).** The minor coupling adduct **940** could also be transformed to octalactin A. Whereas epoxidation of **940** with m -CPBA led to the undesired epoxide stereochemistry (943), reaction with molybdenum-hydroperoxide^{88a} delivered a 1:1 mixture of epoxides **943** and **944.** Oxidation of **944** followed by protecting group removal then supplied the bioactive natural product. In the synthesis of octalactin A by Buszek *et al.,* the stereogenic centers at C_4 and C_8 originate from the chiral pool (from **199** and *ent-199,* respectively), and the remaining five stereogenic centers are introduced by substrate-controlled reactions. Unfortunately, the stereochemical efficiency of the route is at present limited by the low stereoselectivity encountered in two of these reactions: namely the two instances of Ni(II)/Cr(II)-mediated coupling reactions: **923** + **924** \rightarrow 928 and 919 + 920 \rightarrow 918. [Octalactin B (917): 4.8% overall yield from **925** with no recycling; 26 steps longest linear sequence; 37 steps total; \sim 7 steps per stereogenic center; octalactin A **(916):** 3.2% overall yield from **925** with no recycling; 27 steps longest linear sequence; 38 steps total; \sim 5 steps per stereogenic center.]

2. Clardy Total Syntheses³²¹

The absolute configurations of the octalactins were unknown when Williams and Clardy embarked upon their synthetic studies. Upon completion of the total syntheses, it was apparent that they had arbitrarily prepared the unnatural antipodes, *ent-916* and *ent-***917.** The latter stages of the synthesis were similar to the route of Buszek in that the C_1-C_{15} segment **945** was prepared via coupling of C_1-C_9 segment 946 and $C_{10} - C_{15}$ segment **947** (Scheme 89, *cf.* **918** \rightarrow **919** + **920** in Scheme 87). However, Williams and Clardy designed a route to **946** that was entirely different from the Buszek strategy, whereby formation of the eight-membered lactone was accomplished by a Baeyer-Villiger oxidation of ketone **948.** Compound **949,** the precursor to ketone **948,** was itself obtained via double Baeyer-Villiger oxidation of the key diketone intermediate **950.**

The synthesis of **950** began with (R) -citronellic acid **(951)**, which supplied the $C_3 - C_7$ portion, bearing a stereogenic center at C_4 , along with C_9 (Scheme 90). Thus, esterification of **951** and subsequent ozonolysis gave the C_7 aldehyde; methylenation according to the procedure of Osima $(Zn, CH₂I₂, AlMe₃)³²⁷$ then supplied the alkene **952.** After saponification of the ester and formation of the corresponding acid chloride, SnCl₄-induced cyclization afforded a mixture of β -chlorocycloheptanones **(953);** treatment with DBU then furnished cycloheptenone **954.** Kinetic deprotonation of **954** led to the cross-conjugated silyl dienol ether **955,** and a stereoselective Mukaiyama double-Michael reaction with methyl vinyl ketone³²⁸ gave the diketone **950,** via intermediate **956.**

Double Baeyer-Villiger oxidation of **950** using peracetic acid afforded an 85:15 mixture of the **Scheme 89**

regioisomeric lactones **949** and **957.** Note that the use of more reactive peracids led to lower selectivity for formation of the required **949.** Sequential saponification and acid-catalyzed lactonization of the inseparable mixture of **949** and **957** supplied the corresponding acyl-migrated hydroxylactones; protection of the hydroxyl then gave **958** and **959.** After separation, alkylation on the convex face of **958³²⁹** furnished **960** with >95% ds in favor of the required configuration at C_8 . Reduction of the lactone to afford the C_1 , C_9 diol was followed by selective protection of the C_9 hydroxyl; oxidation at C_1 then supplied the cycloheptanone **948.** Baeyer-Villiger oxidation of **948** proved troublesome. However, after cleavage of the silyl ether at C_3 , the oxidation was straightforward, and under carefully controlled conditions provided the eight-membered lactone **961** with the correct configuration at all of its stereogenic centers. Reprotection of the C_3 hydroxyl under mildly acidic reprotection of the C_3 hydroxyr under innuity actual
conditions, 330 ammonolytic deprotection at C_9 , and subsequent oxidation then afforded the C_1-C_9 segment **946.**

Meanwhile, the $C_{10}-C_{15}$ segment **947** was prepared from (S)-2-hydroxy-3-methylbutanoic acid **(962).** Thus, reduction of **962** followed by regioselective mesylation of the resulting diol and subsequent base-induced cyclization gave the epoxide $ent-937$, which was elaborated to the alkynylsilane **963** via Yamaguchi $\operatorname{coupling}^{87}$ with lithium (trimethylsilyl)acetylide followed by hydroxyl protection, as in the Buszek

Scheme 90. Clardy ent-Octalactin A and B Syntheses^{321 a}

966 (15%fromenr-917)

 a (a) p-TsOH, MeOH; (b) O3; Me₂S; (c) Zn, CH2I₂, AlMe₃; (d) LiOH, H₂O; (e) (COCl)₂; SnCl₄; (f) DBU; (g) LDA; TMSCl; (h) MeCOCH=CH₂, SnCl₄; (i) CH₃CO₃H, AcOH, NaOAc; (j) KOH, MeOH; HCl; (k) TBDPSCl, imidazole; (l) LDA; MeI, HMPA; (m) LiBH₄; (n) (ClH₂CCO)₂O, $E_{13}N$; (o) Swern oxidation; (p) HF; (q) CF_3CO_3H ; (r) MeCOCH=C(Me)OTBS, p-TsOH; (s) liquid NH₃; (t) Dess-Martin periodinane; (u) 947, 'BuLi; 946; (v) Dess-Martin periodinane; (w) HF; (x) VO(acac)₂, 'BuOOH; (a') LAH; (b') MsCl, Et₃N; (c') K₂CO₃, MeOH; (d') $TMS-C=C-Li$, BF_3-OE_2 ; (e') TBDPSCl, imidazole; (f') (c-C₆H₁₁)₂BH; MeLi; MeI; (g') Br₂; (h') MeONa, MeOH.

synthesis³²⁰ (cf. $937 \rightarrow 938$ in Scheme 88). Compound **963** was transformed into the vinylsilane **964** α according to the method of Nozaki, 331 involving (i) regioselective *cis* hydroboration of **963,** (ii) transmetalation of the resulting vinylborane to generate the corresponding vinyllithium, and (iii) alkylation with methyl iodide with retention of the double-bond configuration. *Trans* bromination of **964** followed by base-induced *trans* desilicobromination, according to base-madeed *trans* desmoon ommation, according to
the procedure of Miller,³³² then afforded vinyl bromide **947** with inversion of the double-bond configuration. Note that the Buszek synthesis also involved transformation of an alkynylsilane into a vinyl halide, but utilized different methodology (cf. $938 \rightarrow$ **939** — **920** in Scheme 88).

Coupling of aldehyde **946** with the vinyllithium derived from 947 furnished a mixture of C_9 epimers **(945);** oxidation of the mixture to provide **965,** followed by removal of the protecting groups, then supplied the unnatural antipode of octalactin B *(ent-***917**). Finally, vanadium-mediated epoxidation⁸⁸ of *ent-917* proceeded with moderate stereoselectivity,

affording a 2:1 mixture of unnatural enantiomer of octalactin A (*ent*-916) and its C_{10} , C_{11} bis(epimer) 966. In this synthesis, the C_4 and C_{13} stereogenic centers originated in the chiral pool *(cf.* in the Buszek synthesis, the C_4 and C_8 stereogenic centers were obtained from the chiral pool). All the other stereogenic centers were installed using substrate-controlled asymmetric induction. $[ent-Octal, B]$ **(917):** 0.2% overall yield from **951;** 23 steps longest linear sequence; 31 steps total; \sim 6 steps per stereogenic center; ent-octalactin A (916): 0.1% overall yield from **951;** 24 steps longest linear sequence; 32 steps total; \sim 3 steps per stereogenic center.]

///. Concluding Remarks

The foregoing work demonstrates that rapid progress has been made in the field of organic chemistry concerned with the total synthesis of bioactive marine macrolides. Notably, most of these efforts have been concentrated over the last 5 years. The range of exquisite chemical structures fashioned by marine organisms, which seems to be limitless, needs to be matched by the ingenuity and resourcefulness of synthetic chemists, and the challenge associated with macrolides like the halichondrins and swinholides is firmly at the cutting edge of contemporary synthetic organic chemistry. Such complex targets have provided an important impetus for the development of new methods and strategies.

Returning to the issues highlighted in the introduction, it can be seen that workable solutions have been developed, which have culminated in the completion of a significant number of total syntheses. The growing ascendancy of acyclic methods of stereocontrol has led to increasingly concise synthetic routes $(1-2$ steps per stereogenic center is now becoming a realistic goal). However, *de novo* chemical synthesis has not yet reached a level of efficiency to completely eclipse all other methods for obtaining supplies of marine macrolides. The only existing total syntheses of halichondrin B and bryostatin 7, for instance, require 120 and 80 steps, respectively. Although such syntheses represent impressive contributions to organic chemistry, even more practical synthetic routes must be developed if sufficient synthetic material is to be made available for clinical evaluation in cases where the natural supply is inadequate. This remains the key challenge for the future.

Acknowledgments

We thank the EPSRC for financial support, Mrs. Cheryl Cook for assistance in obtaining copies of articles not held by the Cambridge University libraries, and Dr. Cameron Cowden, Dr. Klaus Fessner, and Miss Christine Watson for their careful proofreading of this manuscript.

References

- (1) For a recent collection of reviews surveying the immense variety of marine natural products, see: *Chem Rev.* **1993,***93* (5), 1671- 1944.
- (2) For a complementary review concerning synthetic studies of biologically active marine cyclopeptides, see: Wipf, P. *Chem. Rev.* **1995,** *95,* 2115-2134 (accompanying article in this issue).
- (3) For reviews concerning the total synthesis of macrolides produced by terrestrial organisms, see: (a) Paterson, I.; Mansuri, M. M. *Tetrahedron* **1985,***41,* 3569. (b) Masamune, S.; McCarthy, P. A. In *Macrolide Antibiotics: Chemistry, Biology and Practice;* Omura, S., Ed.; Academic Press: Orlando, FL, 1984; Chapter 4.
- (4) (a) Pettit, G. R.; Cichacz, Z. A.; Gao, F.; Herald, C. L.; Boyd, M.
R.; Schmidt, J. M.; Hooper, J. N. A. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58,
1302. (b) Pettit, G. R.; Cichacz, Z. A.; Gao, F.; Herald, C. L.; Boyd, M. R. *J. Chem. Soc, Chem. Commun.* **1993,** 1166. (c) Pettit, G. R.; Herald, C. L.; Cichacz, Z. A.; Gao, F.; Schmidt, J. M.; Boyd, M. R.; Christie, N. D.; Boettner, F. E. *J. Chem. Soc, Chem. Commun.* **1993,** 1805. (d) Pettit, G. R.; Herald, C. L.; Cichacz, Z. A.; Gao, F.; Boyd, M. R.; Christie, N. D.; Schmidt, J. M. *Nat. Prod. Lett.* **1993,** 3, 239. (e) Pettit, G. R.; Cichacz, Z. A.; Herald, C. L.; Gao, F.; Boyd, M. R.; Schmidt, J. M.; Hamel, E.; Bai, R. *J. Chem. Soc, Chem. Commun.* **1994,** 1605.
- (5) (a) Kobayashi, M.; Aoki, S.; Sakai, H.; Kawazoe, K.; Kihara, N.; Sasaki, T.; Kitagawa, I. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1993,** *34,* 2795. (b) Kobayashi, M.; Aoki, S.; Kitagawa, I. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1994,** *35,* 1243.
- (6) Fusetani, N.; Shinoda, K.; Matsunaga, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* **1993,** *115,* 3977.
- (7) For a review of bioactive metabolites produced by symbiotic marine organisms, see: Kobayashi, J.; Ishibashi, M. *Chem. Rev.* **1993,** *93,* 1753.
- For a review of carbohydrate-based stereocontrol, see: Hanessian, S. *Total Synthesis of Natural Products: the Chiron Ap-proach;* Pergamon Press: Oxford, 1983.
- (9) For reviews of acyclic stereocontrol strategy, see: (a) Bartlett, P. A. Tetrahedron 1980, 36, 3. (b) Masamune, S.; Choy, W.;
Petersen, J. S.; Sita, L. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1985,
24, 1. (c) Hoffmann, R. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1987, *26,* 489.
- (10) For a recent review of macrolactonization methodology, see: Bartra, M.; Urpi, F.; Vilarassa, J. In *Recent Progress in the*

Chemical Synthesis of Antibiotics and Related Microbial Products; Kukacs, G., Ed.; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 1993; Vol. 2.

- (11) SwinholideA: Carmely, S.; Kashman, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1985,** *26,* 511.
- (12) Swinholides B-G: (a) Kobayashi, M.; Tanaka, J.; Katori, T.; Kitagawa, I. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1990,** *38,* 2960. (b) Tsukamoto, S.; Ishibashi, M.; Sasaki, T.; Kobayashi, J. *J. Chem. Soc, Perkin Trans. 1* **1991,** 3185. (c) Todd, J. S.; Alvi, K. A.; Crews, P. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992,** *33,* 441.
- (13) (a) Kobayashi, M.; Tanaka, J.; Katori, T.; Matsuura, M.; Kitagawa, I. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 2963. (b) Kitagawa, I.; Kobayashi, M.; Katori, T.; Yamashita, M.; Tanaka, J.; Doi, M.; Ishida, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 199 M.; Tanaka, J.; Katori, T.; Matsuura, M.; Yamashita, M.; Kitagawa, I. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1990,** *38,* 2409. (d) Doi, M.; Ishida, T.; Kobayashi, M.; Kitagawa, I. *J. Org. Chem.* **1991,** *56,* 3629.
- (14) This corresponds to the size of the macrocycle obtained by counting around the carbon skeleton of the dihydropyran. If the dihydropyran oxygens are counted instead, the ring size is reduced by two and four atoms for the monomer and dimer, respectively.
- (15) (a) Sakai, R.; Higa, T.; Kashman, Y. *Chem. Lett.* **1986,** 1499. (b) Kato, Y.; Fusetani, N.; Matsunaga, S.; Hashimoto, K.; Sakai, R.; Higa, T.; Kashman, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987,** *28,* 6225. (c) Tanaka, J.; Higa, T.; Kobayashi, M.; Kitagawa, I. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1990,** *38,* 2967. (d) Kobayashi, J.; Tsukamoto, S.; Tanabe, A.; Sasaki, T.; Ishibashi, M. *J. Chem. Soc, Perkin Trans. 1* **1991,** 2379.
- (16) Scytophycins A-E: (a) Ishibashi, M.; Moore, R. E.; Patterson, G. M. L.; Xu, C.; Clardy, J. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 5300. (b) Moore, R. E.; Patterson, G. M. L.; Mynderse, J. S.; Barchi, J., Jr.; Norton, T. R.; Fatterso 1986, 58, 263. (c) Moore, R. E.; Banarjee, S.; Bornemann, V.;
Caplan, F. R.; Chen. J. L.; Corley, D. G.; Larsen, L. K.; Moore, B. S.; Patterson, G. M. L.; Paul, V. J.; Stewart, J. B.; Williams,
D. E. Patterson, G. M. L.; P
- (17) (a) Paterson, I.; Cumming, J. G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 2847.

(b) Paterson, I.; Smith, J. D. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 3261. (c)

Paterson, I.; Smith, J. D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 5351. (d)

Paterson, I.; Cu Ward, R. A.; Cumming, J. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994,***116,* 2615. (f) Paterson, I.; Cumming, J. G.; Smith, J. D.; Ward, R. A.; Yeung, K.-S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1994,** 35, 3405. (g) Paterson, I.; Yeung, K.-S.; Ward, R. A.; Cumming, J. G.; Smith, J. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994,** *116,* 9391.
- (18) (a) Patron, A. P.; Richter, P. K.; Tomaszewski, M. J.; Miller, R. A.; Nicolaou, K. C. *J. Chem. Soc, Chem. Commun.* **1994,** 1147. (b) Richter, P. K.; Tomaszewski, M. J.; Miller, R. A.; Patron, A. P.; Nicolaou, K. C. *J. Chem. Soc, Chem. Commun.* **1994,** 1151.
- (19) (a) Nakata, T.; Komatsu, T.; Nagasawa, K.; Yamada, H.; Takahashi, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1994,** *35,* 8225. (b) Nakata, T.; Komatsu, T.; Nagasawa, K. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1994,** *42,* 2403.
- (20) The numbering systems adopted throughout this review for natural product segments corresponds to those used for the relevant natural products themselves.
- (21) Paterson, I.; Osborne, S. A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990,** *31,* 2213.
- (22) Price, C. C; Pappalardo, J. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1950,** *72,* 2613.
- (23) (a) Luche, J.-L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1978,** *100,* 2226. (b) Gemal, A. L.; Luche, J.-L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1981,** *103,* 5454. (c) Danishefsky, S. J.; DeNinno, S.; Lartey, P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1987,** *109,* 2082.
- (24) (a) Ferrier, R. J. *J. Chem. Soc.* **1964,** 5443. (b) Ferrier, R. J.; Prasad, N. *J. Chem. Soc. (C)* **1969,** 570.
- (25) Jung, M. E.; Blum, R. B. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1977,** 3791.
- (26) Krohn, K.; Tolkiehn, K.; Lehne, V.; Schmalle, H. W.; Grutzma-cher, H.-F. *Liebigs Ann. Chem.* **1985,** 1311.
- (27) (a) Dess, D. B.; Martin, J. C. *J. Org. Chem.* **1983,** *48,* 4155. (b) Dess, D. B.; Martin, J. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1991,** *113,* 7277.
- (28) (a) Martin, V. S.; Woodard, S. S.; Katsuki, T.; Yamada, Y.; Ikeda, M.; Sharpless, K. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 6237. (b) Gao, Y.; Hanson, R. M.; Klunder, J. M.; Ko, S. Y.; Masamune, H.; Sharpless, K. B. J. Am. C W. R.; Brown, R. J. *J. Org. Chem.* **1983,** *48,* 5093.
- (29) (a) Ma, P.; Martin, V. S.; Masamune, S.; Sharpless, K. B.; Viti, S. M. *J. Org. Chem.* **1982,** *47,* 1378. (b) Finan, J. M.; Kishi, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1982,** *23,* 2719.
- (30) Hosomi, A.; Sakata, Y.; Sakurai, H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1984,** *25,* 2383
- (31) Trippett, S.; Walker, D. M. *J. Chem. Soc.* **1961,** 1266.
- (32) Paterson, I.; Goodman, J. M.; Isaka, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989,** *30,* 7121.
- (33) (a) Brown, H. C.; Dhar, R. K.; Bakshi, R. K.; Pandiarajan, P. K.; Singaram, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 3441. (b) Brown, H. C.; Dhar, R. K.; Ganesan, K.; Singaram, B. J. Org. Chem. 1992, *57,* 499.
- (34) (a) Paterson, I.; Lister, M. A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988,** *29,* 585. (b) Paterson, I.; Norcross, R. D.; Ward, R. A.; Romea, P.; Lister, M. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994,** *116,* 11287.
-
-
- (35) (a) Saksena, A. K.; Mangiaracina, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983,
24, 273. (b) Evans, D. A.; Chapman, K. T.; Carreira, E. M. J.
Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 3560.
(36) (a) Still, W. C.; Barrish, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983,
-
- (39) Narayanan, B. A.; Bunnelle, W. H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987,** *28,* 6261.
- (40) Paterson, I.; Goodman, J. M.; Lister, M. A.; Schumann, R. C.;
McClure, C. K.; Norcross, R. D. *Tetrahedron* 1990, 46, 4663.
(41) Evans, D. A.; Hoveyda, A. H. *J. Org. Chem.* 1990, 55, 5190.
- (42) (a) Oikawa, Y.; Yoshioka, T.; Yonemitsu, O. *Tetrahedron Lett.*
1982, 23, 889. (b) Oikawa, Y.; Yoshioka, T.; Yonemitsu, O.
Tetrahedron Lett. **1982**, 23, 885. (c) Horita, K.; Yoshioka, T.;
Tanaka, T.; Oikawa, Y. Yo 3021.
- (43) (a) Brown, H. C; Bhat, K. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1986,***108,* 5919. (b) Brown, H. C; Bhat, K. S.; Randad, R. S. *J. Org. Chem.* **1989,** *54,* 1570.
- (44) (a) Roush, W. R.; Ando, K.; Powers, D. B.; Palkowitz, A. D.; Halterman, R. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990,***112,* 6339. (b) Roush, W. R.; Palkowitz, A. D.; Ando, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990,** *112,* 6348.
(45) (a) Tsuji, J.; Nagashima, H.; Nemeto, H. Org. Synth. 1984, 62,
- (45) (a) Tsuji, J.; Nagashima, H.; Nemeto, H. *Org. Synth.* **1984,** *62,* 9. (b) Review: Tsuji, J. *Synthesis,* **1984,** 369.
- (46) (a) Narasaka, K.; Pai, F.-C. Tetrahedron 1984, 40, 2233. (b) Chen, K.-M.; Hardtmann, G. E.; Prasad, K.; Repič, O.; Shapiro, M. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 155. (c) Reference 32.
Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 155. (c
-
- (48) Boden, E. P.; Keck, G. E. *J. Org. Chem.* **1985,** *50,* 2394.
- (49) (a) Stork, G.; Nakamura, E. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 4010. (b) Nicolaou, K. C.; Seitz, S. P.; Pavia, M. R.; Petasis, N. A. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 4010. (b) Nicolaou, K. C.; Seitz, S. P.; Cannel Daines, R. A.; Daines, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1987,** *109,* 2208.
- (50) (a) Neises, B.; Steglich, W. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1978,** *17,* 522. (b) Ziegler, F. E.; Berger, G. D. *Synth. Commun.* **1979,** *9,* 539. (c) Nicolaou, K. C; Seitz, S. P.; Pavia, M. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1982,** *104,* 2030. (d) Reference 48.
- (51) (a) Saito, S.; Hasegawa, T.; Inaba, M.; Nishida, R.; Fujii, T.; Nomizu, S.; Moriwake, T. *Chem. Lett.* **1984,** 1389. (b) Saito, S.; Ishikawa, T.; Kuroda, A.; Koga, K.; Moriwake, T. *Tetrahedron* **1992,** *48,* 4067.
- (52) Carretero, J. C; Ghosez, L. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988,** *29,* 2059 and references cited therein.
- (53) (a) Savard, J.; Brassard, P. *Tetrahedron* **1984,** *40,* 3455. (b) Witkowski, R.; Bandermann, F. *Macromol. Chem.* **1989,** *190,* 2173.
- (54) (a) Lewis, M. D.; Cha, J. K.; Kishi, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 4976. (b) Kozikowski, A. P.; Sorgi, K. L.; Wang, B. C.; Xu, Z. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 1563. (c) Giannis, A.; Sandhoff, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 19
-
- (56) (a) Enders, D.; Eichenauer, H. *Chem. Ber.* **1979,** *112,* 2933. (b) Enders, D.; Eichenauer, H. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1979,** *18,* 397.
- (57) (a) Evans, D. A.; Clark, J. S.; Metternich, R.; Novack, V. J.; Sheppard, G. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990,** *112,* 866. (b) Evans, D. A.; Rieger, D. L.; Bilodeau, M. T.; Urpi, F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* **1991,** *113,* 1047.
- (58) Evans, D. A.; Hoveyda, A. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990,***112,* 6447. (59) Nakata, T.; Suenaga, T.; Oishi, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989,** *30,*
- 6525 (60) (a) Hanessian, S.; Ugolini, A.; Therien, M. *J. Org. Chem.* **1983,** *48,* 4427. (b) Hanessian, S.; Ugolini, A.; Dube, D.; Glamyan, A. *Can. J. Chem.* **1984,** *62,* 2146.
- (61) Kornblum, N.; Erickson, A. S.; Kelly, W. J.; Henggeler, B. *J. Org. Chem.* **1982,** *47,* 4534.
- (62) Stork, G.; Paterson, I.; Lee, F. K. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1982,** *104,* 4686.
- (63) Horita, K.; Inoue, T.; Tanaka, K.; Yonemitsu, O. *Tetrahedron*
- Lett. **1992**, 33, 5537.
(64) Fukui, M.; Okamoto, S.; Sano, T.; Nakata, T.; Oishi, T. Chem.
Pharm. Bull. **1990**, 38, 2890.
(65) Evans, D. A.; Bartroli, J.; Shih, T. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1981**,
- *103,* 2127.
- (66) Larcheveque, M.; Mambu, L.; Petit, Y. *Synth. Commun.* **1991,** *21,* 2295.
- (67) (a) Nakata, T.; Nagao, S.; Takao, S.; Tanaka, T.; Oishi, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1985,***26,* 73. (b) Nakata, T.; Nagao, S.; Oishi, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1985,** *26,* 75.
- (68) Evans, D. A.; Britton, T. C; Ellman, J. A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987,** *28,* 6141.
- (69) Nakagawa, L; Aki, K.; Hata, T. *J. Chem. Soc, Perkin Trans. 1* **1983,** 1315.
- (70) Hanessian, S.; Cooke, N. G.; DeHoff, B.; Sakito, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990,** *112,* 5276.
- (71) (a) Uemura, D.; Takahashi, K.; Yamamoto, T.; Katayama, C.; Tanaka, J.; Okumura, Y.; Hirata, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, $107, 4796$. (b) Hirata, Y.; Uemura, D. Pure Appl. Chem. 1986, 58, 701.
- (72) (a) Halichondrin B (152) and homohalichondrin B (158) were subsequently also isolated from the Axinella sponge: Pettit, G.
R.; Herald, C. L.; Boyd, M. R.; Leet, J. E.; Dufresne, C.; Doubek,
D. L.; Schmidt, J. M.; Cerny, R. L.; Hooper, J. N. A.; Rützler, K.
C. J. Med. Chem. 1991, 3 1) have been isolated from the *Phakellia carteri* sponge: Pettit, G. R.; Tan, R.; Gao, F.; Williams, M. D.; Doubek, D. L.; Boyd, M. R.; Schmidt, J. M.; Chapuis, J.-C; Hamel, E.; Bai, R.; Hooper, J. N. A.; Tackett, L. P. *J. Org. Chem.* **1993,** *58,* 2538.
- (73) Isohomohalichondrin B **(160)** has recently been isolated from the sponge *Lissodendoryx* sp. See: Litaudon, M.; Hart, J. B.; Blunt, J. W.; Lake, R. J.; Munro, M. H. G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1994, *35,*
- 9435.
(74) (a) Aicher, T. D.; Kishi, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987**, 28, 3463. (b)
Aicher, T. D.; Buszek, K. R.; Fang, F. G.; Forsyth, C. J.; Jung,
S. H.; Kishi, Y.; Scola, P. M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, 33, **1**549. (c) Buszek, K. R.; Fang, F. G.; Forsyth, C. J.; Jung, S. H.; Kishi, Y.; Scola, P. M.; Yoon, S. K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1992, 33, 1553.
(d) Fang, F. G.; Kishi, Y.; Matelich, M. C.; Scola, P. M.
Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, **1992,** *114,* 3162. (f) Duan, J. J.-W.; Kishi, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1993,** *34,* 7541.
- (75) (a) Kim, S.; Salomon, R. G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989,** *30,* 6279. (b) Cooper, A. J.; Salomon, R. G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990,** *31,* 3813. (c) DiFranco, E.; Ravikumar, V. T.; Salomon, R. G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1993,** *34,* 3247. (d) Cooper, A. J.; Pan, W.; Salomon, R. G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1993,** *34,* 8193.
- (76) (a) Horita, K.; Hachiya, S.; Nagasawa, M.; Hikota, M.; Yone-
mitsu, O. Synlett 1994, 38. (b) Horita, K.; Nagasawa, M.;
Hachiya, S.; Yonemitsu, O. Synlett 1994, 40. (c) Horita, K.;
Sakurai, Y.; Nagasawa, M.; Hachiya, S Hachiya, S.; Yonemitsu, O. *Synlett* **1994,** 46. (e) Yonemitsu, O. *J. Synth. Org. Chem. Jpn.* **1994,** *52,* 946 (special issue in English).
- (77) (a) Burke, S. D.; Buchanan, J. L.; Rovin, J. D. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1991,** *32,* 3961. (b) Burke, S. D.; Jung, K. W.; Phillips, J. R.; Perri, R. E. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1994,** *35,* 703.
- (78) (a) Jin, H.; Uenishi, J.; Christ, W. J.; Kishi, Y. J. Am. Chem.
Soc. 1986, 108, 5644. (b) Takai, K.; Tagashira, M.; Kuroda, T.;
Oshima, K.; Utimoto, K.; Nozaki, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, *108,* 6048. (c) Kishi, Y.; Christ, W. J.; Taniguchi, M. In *Natural Products and Biological Activities;* Imura, H., Goto, T., Murachi, T., Nakajima, T., Eds.; University of Tokyo Press: Tokyo, 1986; pp 87-98.
- (79) (a) Cha, J. K; Christ, W. J.; Kishi, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1983,** *24,* 3943. (a) Cha, J. K; Christ, W. J.; Kishi, Y. *Tetrahedron* **1984,** *40,* 2247.
- (80) See footnote 15 in ref 74e.
- (81) The synthesis of alcohol **172** was originally studied by using the unnatural antipode obtained by starting with 1,6-anhydro-D-galactose-3,4-acetonide: see ref 74a.
- (82) The ring nomenclature system adopted by Horita, Yonemitsu, and co-workers using the letters A-L (ref 76) is that used in this review. Note that this differs from the letter designations adopted by Salomon and co-workers (ref 75).
- (83) (a) Ireland, R. E.; Mueller, R. H.; Willard, A. K *J. Am. Chem. Soc* **1976,** *98,* 2868. (b) Review: Pereira, S.; Srebnik, M. *Aldrichimica Acta* **1993,** *26,* 17.
- (84) For examples of similar Ireland-Claisen rearrangements, see: (a) Ireland, R. E.; Wuts, P. G. M.; Ernst, B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* **1981,** *103,* 3205. (b) Kozikowski, A. P.; Lee, J. *J. Org. Chem.* **1990,** *55,* 863.
- (85) For reviews, see: (a) Mitsunobu, O. *Synthesis* **1981,** 1. (b) Castro, B. R. *Org. React.* **1983,***29,* 1. (c) Hughes, D. L. *Org. React.* **1992,** *42,* 335.
- (86) Vekemans, J. A. J. M.; Franken, G. A. M.; Dapperens, C. W. M.; Godefroi, E. F.; Chittenden, G. J. F. *J. Org. Chem.* 1988, *53,* 627.
- (87) (a) Yamaguchi, M.; Hirao, I. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1983,** *24,* 391. (b) Yamaguchi, M.; Hirao, I. *J. Chem. Soc, Chem. Commun.* **1984,** 202.
- (88) (a) Sharpless, K. B.; Michaelson, R. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1973, *95,* 6136. (b) Tanaka, S.; Yamamoto, H.; Nozaki, H.; Sharpless, K. B.; Michaelson, R. C; Cutting, J. D *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1974, *96,* 5254.
- (89) For example, see: (a) Sharpless, K. B.; Verhoeven, T. R.

Aldrichimica Acta **1979,** *12,* 63. (b) Kishi, Y. *Aldrichimica Acta* **1980,** *13,* 23.

- (90) *m*-CPBA epoxidation of these alkenes gave the inverse stereo-
chemistry of VO(acac)₂-catalyzed epoxidation.
- (91) Still, W. C; Gennari, C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1983,** *24,* 4405.
- (92) (a) Katsuki, T.; Sharpless, K. B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1980,***102,* 5974. (b) Review: Pfenninger, A. *Synthesis* **1986,** 89.
- (93) Although the C_{50} and C_{51} configurations of the homohalichon-
drins were also unknown, the stereochemistry at these centers
was assumed to be the same as in norhalichondrin A, the structure of which was unambiguously established by X-ray crystallographic analysis (ref 71). Thus Kishi and co-workers focused only on the synthesis of all the possible stereoisomers with respect to the C_{53} and C_{54} positions of the homohalichondrins.
- (94) For preparation of **210** see footnote 6 in ref 74e.
- (95) For preparation of **211** see footnote 23 in ref 74e.
- (96) (a) Mahoney, W. S.; Brestensky, D. M.; Stryker, J. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988,** *110,* 291. (b) Mahoney, W. S.; Stryker, J. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989,** *111,* 8818.
- (97) (a) Baldwin, J. E. *J. Chem. Soc, Chem. Commun.* **1976,** 734. (b) Nicolaou, K. C; Prasad, C. V. C; Somers, P. K.; Hwang, C-K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989,** *111,* 5330.
-
-
- (98) Vedejs, E.; Fuchs, P. L. J. Org. Chem. 1971, 36, 366.
(99) Roush, W. R.; Brown, R. J. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 1371.
(100) CONFLEX-MM2 calculations (ref 101) indicated that 231 was 2.48 kcal mol~* less stable than *6-epi-231:* see refs 76a and 76e.
- (101) (a) Gōto, H.; Osawa, E. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, 33, 1343. (b)
Gōto, H.; Ōsawa, E. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 **1993**, 187.
(c) Gōto, H.; Ōsawa, E.; Yamato, M. Tetrahedron **1993**, 49, 387.
- (102) Mori, Y.; Kuhara, M.; Takeuchi, A.; Suzuki, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988,** *29,* 5419.
- (103) Iodoetherification of *a,y-anti* dihydroxyolefins usually gives 2,5 cis-tetrahydrofurans as the major products. See: (a) Tamaru, Y.; Hojo, M.; Kawamura, S.; Sawada, S.; Yoshida, Z. *J. Org.*
Chem. **1987**, 52, 4062. (b) Kim, Y. G.; Cha, J. K. Tetrahedron
Lett. **1988**, 29, 2011. Compare: S. D.; Bartlett, P. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1981,** *103,* 3963.
- (104) Horita, K.; Sakurai, Y.; Hachiya, S.; Nagasawa, M.; Yonemitsu, O. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1994,** *42,* 683.
- (105) (a) Chamberlain, P.; Roberts, M. L.; Whitham, G. H. *J. Chem. Soc. (B)* **1970,** 1374. (b) Henbest, H. B. *Pro. Chem. Soc.* **1963,** 159.
- (106) (a) Kishi, Y.; Aratani, M.; Tanino, H.; Fukuyama, T.; Goto, T.; Inoue, S.; Suguira, S.; Kakoi, H. *J. Chem. Soc, Chem. Commun.* **1972,** 64. (b) Linde, R. G., II; Egbertson, M.; Coleman, R. S.; Jones, A. B.; Danishefsky, S. J. *J. Org. Chem.* **1990,** *55,* 2771.
- (107) (a) Corey, E. J.; Katzenellenbogen, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969,
91, 1851. (b) Siddall, J. B.; Biskup, M.; Fried, J. H. J. Am. Chem.
Soc. 1969, 91, 1853. (c) Nicolaou, K. C.; Duggan, M. E.; Hwang, C-K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989,** *111,* 6666.
- (108) Nicolaou, K. C; Papahatjis, D. P.; Claremon, D. A.; Magolda, R. L.; Dolle, R. E. *J. Org. Chem.* **1989,** *50,* 1440.
- (109) Imamoto, T.; Kusumoto, T.; Tawarayama, Y.; Sugiura, Y.; Mita, T.; Hatanaka, Y.; Yokoyama, M. *J. Org. Chem.* **1984,** *49,* 3904.
- (110) Richtmyer, N. K. *Methods Carbohydr. Chem.* **1962,** *1,* 107.
- (111) Hicks, D. R.; Fraser-Reid, B. *Synthesis* **1974,** *203.* (112) Pougny, J.-R.; Sinay, P. J. *Chem. Res. (S)* **1982, 1;** *J. Chem. Res. (M)* **1982,** 0186.
- (113) Murray, D. H.; Prokop, J. *J. Pharm. Sci.* **1963,** *10,* 1468.
-
- (114) Gennari, C; Cozzi, P. G. *Tetrahedron* **1988,** *44,* 5965. (115) (a) Arrick, R. E.; Baker, D. C; Horton, D. *Carbohydr. Res.* **1973,** *26,* 441. (b) Jones, G. H.; Moffatt, J. G. *Methods Carbohydr. Chem.* **1972,** 6, 315.
- (116) (a) Jacobsen, E. N.; Marko, I.; Mungall, W. S.; Schroder, G.; Sharpless, K. B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* **1988,** *110,* 1968. (b) Wai, J. S. M.; Marko, I.; Svendsen, J. S.; Finn, M. G.; Jacobsen, E. N.; Sharpless, K. B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989,** *111,* 1123.
- (117) (a) Gao, Y.; Sharpless, K. B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988,***110,* 7538. (b) Kim, B. M.; Sharpless, K. B. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989,***30,* 655.
- (118) Mukaiyama, T.; Kobayashi, S.; Shoda, S. *Chem. Lett.* **1984,***1529.* (119) Suave, G.; Schwartz, D. A.; Ruest, L.; Deslongchamps, P. *Can.*
- *J. Chem.* **1984,** *62,* 2929.
- (120) For literature precedents for high stereoselection in 1,4-addition of organocuprates to γ-alkoxy-α,β-unsaturated ketones, see:
Miller, D. B.; Raychaudhuri, S. R.; Avasthi, K.; Lal, K.; Levison, B.; Salomon, R. G. *J. Org. Chem.* **1990,** *55,* 3164 and references cited therein.
- (121) Koerner, T. A. W., Jr.; Voll, R. J.; Younathan, E. S. *Carbohydrate
Res. 1977, 59, 4*03.
(122) Mikami, T.; Asano, H.; Mitsunobu, O. *Chem. Lett.* **1987**, 2033.
(123) Lipshutz, B. H.; Wilhelm, R. S.; Kozlowski, J.
-
- **1982,** *23,* 3755. (124) Matsuzawa, S.; Horiguchi, Y.; Nakamura, E.; Kuwajima, I.
- *Tetrahedron* **1989,** *45,* 349. (125) TMSOTf promotes Nazarov cyclizations of l,4-dien-3-ones. See: (a) Hirano, S.; Hiyama, T.; Nozaki, H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1974,** 1429. (b) Kjeldsen, G.; Knudsen, J. S.; Ravn-Peterson, L. S.; Torssell, K. B. G. *Tetrahedron* **1983,** *39,* 2237. (c) Andrews, J.

F. P.; Regan, A. C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1991,** *32,* 7731.

- (126) Oikawa, Y.; Tanaka, T.; Horita, K.; Yonemitsu, O. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1984,** *25,* 5397.
- (127) Czernecki, S.; Georgoulis, C; Provelenghiou, C *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1976,** 3535.
- (128) For a detailed discussion of this strategy, see: (a) Schreiber, S. L. *Chem. Scr.* **1987,** *27,* 563. (b) Hoye, T. R.; Suhadolnik, J. C. *Tetrahedron* **1986,** *42,* 2855.
-
- (129) Kaneko, C.; Sugimoto, A.; Tanaka, S. *Synthesis* **197**4, 876.
(130) (a) Pietraszkiewicz, M.; Jurczak, J. *Tetrahedron* **198**4, 40, 2967.
(b) Rabinovitz, M.; Cohen, Y.; Halpern, M. *Angew. Chem., Int.*
Ed. Engl. **1**
- (131) (a) Trippett, S.; Walker, D. M. *J. Chem. Soc* **1961,** 1266. (b) Schlessinger, R. H.; Poss, M. A.; Richardson, S.; Lin, P. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1985,** *26,* 2391.
- (132) For a detailed discussion of the exceptionally high enantiomeric excesses available in related reactions involving enantiotopic
group and diastereotopic face selectivity, see: (a) Schreiber, S.
L.; Schreiber, T. S.; Smith, D. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1**987**, 109,
1525. Note that the reacti inherent selectivities of the two asymmetric reactions are multiplicative, and because a stereochemical error in the first asymmetric reaction is negated by the second asymmetric reaction, whereby a diastereomer is formed. See also: (b) Kogure, T.; Eliel, E. L. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49 , 576. (c) Midland, M. M.; Gabriel, J. J. Org. Chem. 1985,
- (133) For a discussion of the negative and positive aspects of molecular desymmetrization in synthesis, see: (a) Bertz, S. H. *Tetrahedron*
Lett. 1983, 24, 5577. (b) Bertz, S. H. J. Chem. Soc., Chem.
Commun. 1984, 218. (c) Whitesell, J. K.; Allen, D. E. J. Org. *Chem.* **1985,** *50,* 3025.
- (134) (a) Burke, S. D.; Armistead, D. M.; Schoenen, F. J.; Fevig, J. M. *Tetrahedron* **1986,** *42,* 2787. (b) Burke, S. D.; Lee, K. C; Santafxanos, D. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1991,** *32,* 3957.
- (135) (a) Masamune, S.; Ma, P.; Okumoto, H.; Ellingboe, J. W.; Ito, Y.
J. Org. Chem. **1984**, 49, 2834. (b) Shing, T. K. M.; Tsui, H.-C.;
Zhou, Z.-H.; Mak, T. C. W. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 **1992**,
887. (c) Shing, T *Chem. Commun.* **1992,** 810. (d) A 1,3-dioxolane ring cis-fused to another ring is more stable toward hydrolysis than that formed from a side chain: Haines, A. H. *Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. Biochem.* **1981,** *39,* 13.
- (136) Iida, H.; Yamazaki, N.; Kibayashi, C. *J. Org. Chem.* **1986,** *51,* 3769 and references cited therein.
- (137) Chan, P. C-M.; Chong, J. M. *J. Org. Chem.* **1988,** *53,* 5584. (138) Still, W. C; Sreekumar, C *J. Am. Chem. Soc* **1980,** *102,* 1201.
- (139) Suzuki, K.; Katayama, E.; Tsuchihashi, G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1983,** *24,* 4997.
- (140) For reviews concerning stereoselective synthesis of spiroacetals, see: (a) Kluge, A. F. *Heterocycles* **1986,** *24,* 1699. (b) Boivin, T. L. B. *Tetrahedron* **1987,** *43,* 3309. (c) Perron, F.; Albizati, K. F. *Chem. Rev.* **1989,** *89,* 1617.
- (141) For reviews concerning stereocontrolled formation of tetrahydrofuran and tetrahydropyran ring systems, see: (a) ref 140b.
- (b) Cardillo, G.; Orena, M. *Tetrahedron* **1990**, 46, 3321.
(142) (a) Okami, Y.; Okazaki, T.; Kitahara, T.; Umezawa, H. J.
Antibiot. **1976**, 29, 1019. (b) Nakamura, H.; Iitaka, Y.; Kitahara,
T.; Takao, O.; Okami, Y. J.
- (143) (a) Hütter. R.; Keller-Schierlein, W.; Knüsel, F.; Prelog, V.; Rodgers, G. C., Jr.; Suter, P.; Vogel, G.; Voser, W.; Zähner, H. Helv. Chim. Acta 1967, 50, 1533. (b) Dunitz, J. D.; Hawley, D. M.; Mikloš, D.; White, D. V. *HeIv. Chim. Acta* **1971,** *54,* 1709.
- (144) Since boromycin is a macrolide produced by a terrestrial organism, discussion of the efforts made to accomplish its total synthesis is outside the scope of this review. For synthetic work on boromycin, see: (a) Chen, T. S. S.; Chang, C.; Floss, H. G. J.
Org Chem. **198**1, 46, 2661. (b) Hanessian, S.; Tyler, P. C.;
Demailly, G.; Chapleur, Y. J. A*m Chem. S*oc. **1981**, 103, 6243. (c) Avery, M. A.; White, J. D.; Arison, B. H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1981,** *22,* 3123. (d) Hanessian, S.; Delorme, D.; Tyler, P. C; Demailly, G.; Chapleur, Y. *Can. J. Chem.* **1983,** *61,* 634. (e) White, J. D.; Avery, M. A.; Choudry, S. C; Dhingra, O. P.; Kang, M.; Whittle, A. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1983,***105,* 6517. (f) White, J. D.; Choudhry, S. C; Kang, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1984,** *25,* 3671.
- (145) (a) Corey, E. J.; Pan, B.-C; Hua, D. H.; Deardorff, D. R. *J. Am.*
- Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 6816. (b) Corey, E. J.; Hua, D. H.; Pan, B.-C.; Seitz, S. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 6818.
(146) (a) White, J. D.; Vedananda, T. R.; Kang, M.; Choudrhy, S. C.
J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 8105. (
- 6341. (b) Nakata, T.; Saito, K.; Oishi, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986,** *27,* 6345.
- (148) (a) Matsuda, F.; Tomiyoshi, N.; Yanagiya, M.; Matsumoto, T.
Chem. Lett. 1987, 2097. (b) Matsuda, F.; Tomiyoshi, N.; Yanagiya, M.; Matsumoto, T. T ertahedron 1990, 46, 3469. (c) Matsuda, F.
J. Synth. Org. Chem. Jpn.
-

2112 Chemical Reviews, 1995, Vol. 95, No. 6 North States and Paterson Norcross and Paterson

- (150) Corey, E. J.; Fuchs, P. L. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1972,** 3769.
- (151) Binkley, R. W.; Ambrose, M. G.; Hehemann, D. G. *J. Org. Chem.* **1980,** *45,* 4387.
-
- (152) Corey, E. J.; Suggs, J. W. *J. Org. Chem.* **1975,** *40,* 2554. (153) Corey, E. J.; Beames, D. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* **1973,** *95,* 5829.
- (154) Pojer, P. M.; Angyal, S. J. *Aust. J. Chem.* **1978,** *31,* 1031.
- (155) Lipshutz, B. H.; Kozlowski, J.; Wilhelm, R. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1982,** *104,* 2305.
- (156) Diago-Meseguer, J.; Palomo-Coll, A. L.; Fernandez-Lizarbe, J. R.; Zugaza-Bilbao, A. *Synthesis* **1980,** 547.
- (157) Goubeau, J.; Wittmeier, H. W. *Z. Anorg. AlIg. Chem.* **1952,** *270,* 16.
- (158) Corey, E. J.; Hua, D. H.; Seitz, S. P. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1984,***25,* 3. (159) The Chan reaction is the rearrangement of a-(acyloxy)acetates
- to form a,/3-bis(silyloxy)-a,/3-unsaturated esters. See: Lee, S. D.; Chan, T. H.; Kwon, K. S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1984,** *25,* 3399.
- (160) Carlsen, P. H. J.; Katsuki, T.; Martin, V. S.; Sharpless, K. B. *J. Org. Chem.* **1981,** *46,* 3936.
- (161) Hann, A. C. O.; Lapworth, A. *Pro. Chem. Soc. London* **1904,** *20,* 54. (162) Grieco, P. A.; Gilman, S.; Nishizawa, M. *J. Org. Chem.* **1976,**
- *41,* 1485.
- (163) Shoppee, C. W. *Rep. Progr. Chem.* **1947,** *44,* 170.
- (164) Johnson, P. R.; White, J. D. *J. Org. Chem.* **1984,** *49,* 4424.
- (165) Raphael, R. A. *Acetylenic Compounds in Organic Synthesis;* Butterworth: London, 1955; p 29.
- (166) Corey, E. J.; Kim, C. U.; Takeda, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1972,** 4339.
- (167) For related examples of tetrahydrofuran formation by intramolecular oxyselenation reactions, used during synthetic studies toward boromycin, see refs 144d and f.
- (168) Mukaiyama, T.; Usui, M.; Saigo, K. *Chem. Lett.* **1976,** 49.
- (169) (a) Matsuo, T.; Mori, K.; Matsui, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1976,** 1979. (b) Dolle, R. E.; Nicolaou, K. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1985,** *107,* 1691.
- (170) (a) Nakata, T.; Tanaka, T.; Oishi, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1983,** *24,* 2653. (b) Nakata, T.; Takao, S.; Fukui, M.; Tanaka, T.; Oishi, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1983,** *24,* 3873.
- (171) Schlessinger, R. H.; Poss, M. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1982,** *104,* 357
- (172) Collum, D. B.; McDonald, J. H., Ill; Still, W. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1980,** *102,* 2118.
- (173) Still, W. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1978,** *100,* 1481.
- (174) Matsumoto, T.; Matsuda, F.; Hasegawa, K.; Yanagiya, M. *Tetrahedron* **1984,** *40,* 2337.
- (175) Haines, A. H.; Jenkins, C. S. P. *J. Chem. Soc, Perkin Trans. 1* **1972,** 273.
- (176) (a) Yamada, K.; Ojika, M.; Ishigaki, T.; Yoshida, Y.; Ekimoto, H.; Arakawa, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1993,***115,* 11020. (b) Ojika, M.; Kigoshi, H.; Ishigaki, T.; Yamada, K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1**993,**
34, 8501. (c) Ojika, M.; Kigoshi, H.; Ishigaki, T.; Nisiwaki, M.;
Tsukada, I.; Mizuta, K.; Yamada, K*. Tetrahedron Lett.* 1**993**, 34, 8505. (d) Ojika, M.; Kigoshi, H.; Ishigaki, T.; Tsukada, I.; Tsuboi, T.; Ogawa, T.; Yamada, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994,** *116,* 7441. (e) Kigoshi, H.; Ojika, M.; Suenaga, K.; Mutou, T.; Hirano, J.;
Sakakura, A.; Ogawa, T.; Nisiwaki, M.; Yamada, K. *Tetrahedron*
*Lett. 1994, 35, 1247. (f) Kigoshi, H.; Ojik*a, M.; Ishigaki, T.;
Suenaga, K.; Mutou, T.; Saka *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994,** *116,* 7443.
- (177) For instance, terminal N-methyl-N-vinylformamide units are also found in the scytophycins (ref 16), and the family of tris- (oxazole) macrolides (refs 194-197, *vide infra).*
- (178) The term scalemic is used to describe an unequal mixture of enantiomers. See: Heathcock, C. H.; Finkelstein, B. L.; Jarvi, E. T.; Radel, P. A.; Hadley, C. R. *J. Org. Chem.* **1988,** *53,* 1922.
- (179) (a) Julia, M.; Paris, J.-M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1973,** 4833. (b) Julia, M. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1985,** *57,* 763.
- (180) (a) Sato, K.; Mizuno, S.; Hirayama, M. J. Org. Chem. 1967, 32, 177. (b) Review: Maryanoff, B. E.; Reitz, A. B. Chem. Rev. 1989, 89, 863. (c) Review: Kelley, S. E. In Comprehensive Organic Synthesis; Trost, B. M., Fl
-
- (182) Johnson, M. R.; Nakata, T.; Kishi, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1979,** 4343.
- (183) Kondo, K.; Tunemoto, D. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1975,** 1007.
- (184) Hikota, M.; Sakurai, Y.; Horita, K.; Yonemitsu, O. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990,** *31,* 6367.
- (185) Note that the 1.5:1 selectivity for 24- vs 26-membered lactonization observed for aplyronine A is comparable with the 4:1 selectivity obtained for 22- vs 24-membered Yamaguchi lacton-ization of the monomeric seco acid of swinholide A. See: ref 17g.
- (186) It has been suggested that the swinholides may in fact be metabolites of symbiotic blue-green algae associated with *Theonella* sp. See refs 7 and 13c.
- (187) Paterson, I.; Yeung, K.-S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1993,** *34,* 5347.
- (188) For other synthetic work on scytophycin C, see ref 64.
- (189) Alvarez-Ibarra, C; Arias, S.; Bafion, G.; Fernandez, M. J.; Rodriguez, M.; Sinisterra, V. *J. Chem. Soc, Chem. Commun.* **1987,** 1509.
- (190) For the use of other weak inorganic bases in the Horner-Emmons reaction, see: Blackwell, C. M.; Davidson, A. H.; Launchbury, S. B.; Lewis, C. N.; Morrice, E. M.; Reeve, M. M.; Roffey, J. A. R.; Tipping, A. S.; Todd, R. S. *J. Org. Chem.* **1992,** *57,* 1935.
- (191) For further examples of barium hydroxide-promoted Horner-Emmons reactions in natural products synthesis, see: Paterson, L; Yeung, K.-S.; Smaill, J. B. *Synlett* **1994,** 774.
- (192) (a) Blanchette, M. A.; Choy, W.; Davis, J. T.; Essenfeld, A. P.; Masamune, S.; Roush, W. R.; Sakai, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1984,** *25,* 2183. (b) Rathke, M. W.; Nowak, M. *J. Org. Chem.* **1985,** *50,* 2624.
- (193) Evans, D. A.; Sheppard, G. S. *J. Org. Chem.* **1990,** *55,* 5192.
- (194) Roesener, J. A.; Scheuer, P. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1986,***108,* 846.
- (195) (a) Matsunaga, S.; Fusetani, N.; Hashimoto, K.; Koseki, K.; Noma, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1986,***108,* 847. (b) Matsunaga, S.; Fusetani, N.; Hashimoto, K.; Koseki, K.; Noma, M.; Noguchi, H.; Sankawa, U. *J. Org. Chem.* **1989,** *54,* 1360.
- (196) (a) Fusetani, N.; Yasumuro, K.; Matsunaga, S.; Hashimoto, K.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989,** *30,* 2809. (b) Fusetani, N.; Sugawara, T.; Matsunaga, S.; Hirota, H. *J. Org. Chem.* **1991,** *56,* 4971.
- (197) (a) Kernan, M. R.; Faulkner, D. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987,** *28,* 2809. (b) Kernan, M. R.; Molinski, T. F.; Faulkner, D. J. *J. Org. Chem.* **1988,** *53,* 5014.
- (198) Note that nudibranches are known to feed on sponges, and sponges are symbiotically associated with blue-green algae. Thus, the ulapualides, kabiramides, mycalolides, and halichondramides are all strongly suggested to be metabolites of bluegreen algae. The swinholides and bistheonellides are likewise speculated to originate from blue-green algae, from which the structurally related scytophycins are obtained. See ref 7.
- (199) Review: Michael, J. P.; Pattenden, G. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*
- *Engl.* **1993,** *32,* 1. (200) (a) Knight, D. W.; Pattenden, G.; Rippon, D. E. *Synlett* **1990,** 36. (b) Pattenden, G. *J. Hetereocycl. Chem.* **1992,** *29,* 607. (c) Kiefel, M. J.; Maddock, J.; Pattenden, G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992,** *33,* 3227. (d) Maddock, J.; Pattenden, G.; Wight, P. G. *J. Comput.-Aided MoI. Des.* **1993,** 7, 573.
- (201) Note that a plausible biosynthetic pathway to ulapualide A, first proposed by Moore and co-workers, involves formation of the tris- (oxazole) moiety via three Beckmann rearrangments of a precursor having a 22-membered lactone ring, and that this is the same ring size as is found in scytophycin C. See ref 16a. (202) Yoo, S.-K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992,** *33,* 2159.
-
- (203) For a recent synthesis of functionalized oxazoles which should also be applicable to the preparation of tris(oxazoles), see: Wipf, P.; Miller, C. P. *J. Org. Chem.* **1993,** *58,* 3604.
- (204) Evans, D. L.; Minster, D. K.; Jordis, U.; Hecht, S. M.; Mazzu, A. L., Jr.; Meyers, A. I. *J. Org. Chem.* **1979,** *44,* 497.
- (205) (a) Connell, R.; Scavo, F.; Helquist, P.; Akermark, B. *Tetrahedron*
Lett. **1986**, 27, 5559. (b) Connell, R. D.; Tebbe, M.; Helquist, P.;
Åkermark, B. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1**99**1, 32, 17.
- (206) Hamada, Y.; Shibata, M.; Shioiri, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1985,***26,* 6501.
- (207) For reviews of macrocyclic stereocontrol strategy, see: (a) Vedejs, E.; Dolphin, J. M.; Gapinsku, D. M.; Mastalerz, H. In *Current Trends in Organic Synthesis;* Nozaki, H, Ed.; Pergamon Press: Oxford, 1983; pp 221-232. (b) Still, W. C. In *Current Trends in Organic Synthesis;* Nozaki, H., Ed.; Pergamon Press: Oxford, 1983; pp 233-246.
- (208) Kozikowski, A. P.; Stein, P. D. *J. Org. Chem.* **1984,** *49,* 2301.
- (209) Penning, T. D.; Djuric, S. W.; Haack, R. A.; Kalish, V. J.; Miyashiro, J. M.; Rowell, B. W.; Yu, S. S. *Synth. Commun.* **1990,** *20,* 307.
- (210) (a) Bryostatin 1: Pettit, G. R.; Herald, C. L.; Doubek, D. L.; Herald, D. L.; Clardy, J.; Arnold, E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1**982,**
104, 6846. (b) Bryostatin 2: Pettit, G. R.; Herald, C. L.; Kamano, Y.; Gust, D.; Aoyagi, R. J. (D.; Azat. Prod. 1**983**, 46, 528. (c) Bryostatin
3: Pettit, G L.; Tozawa, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 6768. (e) Bryostatins 5-7: Pettit, G. R.; Kamano, Y.; Herald, C. L.; Tozawa, M.
Can. J. Chem. 1985, 63, 1204. (f) Bryostatin 8: Pettit, G. R.; Kamano, Y.; Aoyagi, R.; Herald, C.
-
- (212) (a) Masamune, S. *Chimia* **1988,** *42,* 210. (b) Masamune, S. *Pure*
Appl. Chem. 1988, 60, 1587. (c) Blanchette, M. A.; Malamas, M. S.; Nantz, M. H.; Roberts, J. C.; Somfai, P.; Whritenour, D. C.; Masamune, S.; Kageyama, M.; Tamura, T. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 2817. (d) Duplantier, A. J.; Na *30,* 7357. (e) Kageyama, M.; Tamura, T.; Nantz, M. H.; Roberts, J. C; Somfai, P.; Whritenour, D. C; Masamune, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990,** *112,* 7407.

- (213) (a) De Brabander, J.; Vanhessche, K.; Vandewalle, M. *Tetrahe-
dron Lett*. **1991**, 32, 2821. (b) De Brabander, J.; Vandewalle, M.
Synlett **1994**, 231. (c) De Brabander, J.; Vandewalle, M. *Synthesis* **1994,** 855.
- (214) (a) Roy, R.; Rey, A. W.; Charron, M.; Molino, R. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1989, 1308. (b) Roy, R.; Rey, A. W. Synlett 1990, 448. (c) Roy, R.; Rey, A. W. Can. J. Chem. 1991, 69, 62.
Hale, K. J.; Lennon, J. A.; Ma
-
- (216) (a) Evans, D. A.; Carreira, E. M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990,** *31,* 4703. (b) Evans, D. A.; Gauchet-Prunet, J. A.; Carreira, E. M.; Charette, A. B. *J. Org. Chem.* **1991,** *56,* 741.
- (217) Ohmori, K.; Suzuki, T.; Miyazawa, K.; Nishiyama, S.; Yama-mura, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1993,** *34,* 4981.
- (218) Munt, S. P.; Thomas, E. J. *J. Chem. Soc, Chem. Commun.* **1989,** 480.
- (219) Only the revised synthetic plan will be described in detail here. For details of the earlier route, see refs 212a-c.
- (220) For details of the preparation of C3-C10 segment **576,** see the supplementary material in ref 212e.
- (221) Hanessian, S.; Lavallee, P. *Can. J. Chem.* **1975,** *53,* 2975. (222) Masamune, S.; Sato, T.; Kim, B.-M.; Wollmann, T. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1986,** *108,* 8279.
- (223) Anderson, R. J.; Henrick, C. A.; Rosenblum, L. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1974,** *96,* 3654.
- (224) (a) Corey, E. J.; Katzenellenbogen, J. A.; Posner, G. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1967,** *89,* 4245. (b) Denmark, S. E.; Jones, T. K. *J. Org. Chem.* **1982,** *47,* 4595.
- (225) Hill, C. L.; Whitesides, G. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* **1974,** *96,* 870.
-
- (226) Meyer, C. E.; Rose, W. C. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1936,** *115,* 721. (227) Fronza, G.; Fuganti, C; Graselli, P.; Marinoni, G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1979,** 3883.
-
- (228) Piers, E.; Morton, H. E. *J. Org. Chem.* **1980,** *45,* 4263. (229) Katsuki, T.; Lee, A. W. M.; Ma, P.; Martin, V. S.; Masamune, S.; Sharpless, K. B.; Tuddenham, D.; Walker, F. J. *J. Org. Chem.* **1982,** *47,* 1373.
- (230) Oikawa, Y.; Tanaka, T.; Horita, K.; Yoshioka, T.; Yonemitsu, O. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1984,** *25,* 5393.
- (231) Tsunoda, T.; Suzuki, M.; Noyori, R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1980,** *21,* 1357.
- (232) Greek, C; Grice, P.; Jones, A. B.; Ley, S. V. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987,** *28,* 5759.
- (233) (a) Masamune, S.; Bates, G. S.; Corcoran, J. W. Angew. Chem., *Int. Ed. Engl.* 1977, 16, 585. (b) Masamune, S.; Hirama, M.; Mori, S.; Ali, S. A.; Garvey, D. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 1568. (c) Park, P.; Broka,
- (234) Lavallee, P.; Ruel, R.; Grenier, L.; Bissonnette, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986,** *27,* 679.
- (235) (a) Iguchi, S.; Nakai, H.; Hayashi, M.; Yamamoto, H. *J. Org. Chem.* **1979,** *44,*1363. (b) Mori, Y.; Suzuki, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989,** *30,* 4383.
- (236) Van der Eycken, E.; De Wilde, H.; Deprez, L.; Vandewalle, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987,** *28,* 4759. (237) Salzmann, T. N.; Ratcliffe, R. W.; Christensen, B. G.; Bouffard,
- F. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1980,** *102,* 6161.
- (238) (a) Gais, H.-J.; Schmiedl, G.; Ball, W. A.; Bund, J.; Hellmann, G.; Erdelmeier, I. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988,** *29,* 1773. (b) Rehwinkel, H.; Skupsch, J.; Vorbriiggen, H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988,** *29,* 1775.
- (239) For an example of the use of such a reaction in a synthesis of a
bryostatin segment, see $720 698 + 721$ in Scheme 64 (ref 217),
and $735 722 + 736$ in Scheme 66 (ref 215).
(240) Keck, G. E.; Abbott, D. E.; Boden, E
-
- (241) (a) Seyferth, D.; Marmor, R. S.; Hilbert, P. *J. Org. Chem.* **1971,** *36,*1379. (b) Gilbert, J. C; Weerasooriya, U. *J. Org. Chem.* **1979,** *44,* 4997. (c) Gilbert, J. C; Weerasooriya, U. *J. Org. Chem.* **1982,**
-
-
-
-
- 47, 1837.

(242) Roy, R.; Rey, A. W. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 4935.

(243) Cohen, S. G.; Khedouri, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1961, 83, 4228.

(244) Fox, C. M. J.; Ley, S. V. Org. Synth. 1987, 66, 108.

(245) Kobayashi, S.;
- 1079.
- (248) Bock, K.; Lundt, I.; Pedersen, C. *Acta Chem. Scand.* **1981,** *B35,* 155.
- (249) Szarek, W. A.; Zamojski, A.; Tiwari, K. N.; Ison, E. R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986,** *27,* 3827.
- (250) Danishefsky, S.; Kobayashi, S.; Kerwin, J. F., Jr. *J. Org. Chem.* **1982,** *47,* 1981.
- (251) Demuth, M.; Palomer, A.; Sluma, H.-D.; Dey, A. K.; Kriiger, C; Tsay, Y.-H. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1986,** *25,* 1117.
- (252) Sharpless, K. B.; Amberg, W.; Bennani, Y. L.; Crispino, G. A.; Hartung, J.; Jeong, K.-S.; Kwong, H.-L.; Morikawa, K.; Wang, Z.-M.; X., D.; Zhang, X.-L. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 2768.
253) Xu, D.; Crispino, G. A.; Shar
-
- (254) Caldwell, C. G.; Rupprecht, K. M.; Bondy, S. S.; Davis, A. A. J.
Org. Chem. **1990**, 55, 2355.
(255) Gao, Y.; Hanson, R. M.; Klunder, J. M.; Ko, S. Y.; Masamune,
H.; Sharpless, K. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1987**, *109*, 5
-
- (256) For previous examples of the use of such synthons, see: (a) Birch,
A. J. J. Chem. Soc. 1944, 430. (b) Birch, A. J.; Fitton, P.; Smith,
D. C. C.; Steere, D. E.; Stelfox, A. R. J. Chem. Soc. 1963, 2209.
(c) Kirkemo, C (d) Evans, D. A.; Sjogren, E. B. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986,***27,* 3119. (e) Bringmann, G.; Kiinkel, G.; Geuder, T. *Synlett.* **1990,** 253.
- (257) Note that for those members of the bryostatin family bearing a substituent at C_{20} , Evans suggested that the geometry of the unsaturated ester at C₂₁ might be controlled in a less elaborate
manner by minimization of A_{1,3} allylic interactions in a simple
Wittig reaction, in analogy to literature precedents for substituted cyclohexanones. Thus, Wittig reaction of 2-hydroxycyclohexanone (i) was reported to give only the (E)-α,β-unsaturated
ester ii (eq 1). See: Garner, P.; Ramakanth, S. *J. Org. Chem.*

$$
HO \begin{matrix}\n+ & p_{\text{H}_3} p = \text{C}_2 \text{Me} & \frac{\Delta}{(94\%)} & HO \begin{matrix}\n\text{H}_3 \\
\text{H}_3\n\end{matrix}\n\end{matrix}\n\qquad (1)
$$
\n
$$
I \begin{matrix}\n\text{H}_3 \\
\text{H}_3\n\end{matrix}
$$

1987, *52,* 2629. Such an approach has recently been attempted by Hale *et al.,* during the synthesis of a bryostatin 1 C-ring segment, but negligible stereoselectivity was observed **(735** — **722** + **736** in Scheme 66). See ref 215.

-
- (258) Krishnamurthy, S. *J. Org. Chem.* **1981,** *46,* 4628. (259) Details of the synthesis of advanced intermediate **755** have not yet been published.
- (260) (a) Ganem, B.; Ikota, N.; Muralidharan, V. B.; Wade, W. S.; Young, S. D.; Yukimoto, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1982,***104,* 6787. (b) Bartlett, P. A.; Maitra, U.; Chouinard, P. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1986,** *108,* 8068.
- (261) Gustafson, K.; Roman, M.; Fenical, W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989,** *111,* 7519.
- (262) Rychnovsky, S. D.; Skalitzky, D. J.; Pathirana, C; Jensen, P. R.; Fenical, W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992,** *114,* 671.
- (263) Note that fermentation of the deep sea bacterium has proved unreliable and macrolactin A is no longer available in significant yield by this means (see ref 262). Total synthesis of the macrolactins thus appears increasingly desirable.
- (264) Benvegnu, T.; Schio, L.; Le Floc'h, Y.; Gree, R. *Synlett* **1994,***505.* (265) Donaldson, W. A.; Bell, P. T.; Wang, Z.; Bennett, D. W. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1994,** *35,* 5829.
- (266) (a) Laabassi, M.; Grée, R. *Tetrahedron Lett*. **1988**, 29, 611. (b)
Nunn, K.; Mosset, P.; Grée, R.; Saalfrank, R. W. *Angew. Chem.*,
Int. Ed. Engl. **1988**, 27, 1188. (c) Benvegnu, T.; Martelli, J.; Grée,
R. *Tetra*
- (267) (a) Monpert, A.; Martelli, J.; Grée, R.; Carrié, R. *Tetrahedron*
Lett. 1981, 22, 1961. (b) Djedaini, F.; Grée, D.; Martelli, J.; Grée,
R.; Leroy, L.; Bolard, J.; Toupet, L. *Tetrahedron Lett*. 1989, 30, 3781.
- (268) For a description of the ψ -endo/ ψ -exo nomenclature, see: Clinton, N. A.; Lillya, C. P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1970,** *92,* 3058.
- (269) Kappes, D.; Gerlach, H.; Zbinden, P.; Dobler, M. *HeIv. Chim. Acta* **1990,** *73,* 2136. (270) Narasaka, K; Morikawa, A.; Saigo, K.; Mukaiyama, T. *Bull.*
- *Chem. Soc Jpn.* **1977,** *50,* 2773.
- (271) Epimerization of this type, under acidic conditions, has been observed previously. See: Gree, D.; Gree, R.; Lowinger, T. B.; Martelli, J.; Negri, J. T.; Paquette, L. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992,** *114,* 8841.
- (272) Tomooka, K.; Okinaga, T.; Suzuki, K.; Tsuchihashi, G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987,** *28,* 6335. (273) Donaldson, W. A.; Tao, C; Bennett, D. W.; Grubisha, D. S. *J.*
-
-
- Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 4563.
(274) Tao, C.; Donaldson, W. A. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 2134.
(275) Donaldson, W. A.; Shang, L.; Rogers, R. D. Organometallics 1994,
13, 6 and references cited therein.
- (276) For a recent review of the amphidinolides covering the congeners A-H, see: Kobayashi, J.; Ishibashi, M. *Chem. Rev.* 1993, 93, 1753. See laso: (a) amphidinolide J: Kobayashi, J.; Sato, M.; Sato, M.; Sato, M.; Sato,

4698. (e) amphidinolide N: Ishibashi, M.; Yamaguchi, N.; Sasaki, T.; Kobayashi, J. *J. Chem. Soc, Chem. Commun.* **1994,** 1455. (277) Determination of relative stereochemistry: (a) amphidinolide

- A: see Kobayashi, J.; Ishibashi, M.; Hirota, H. *J. Nat. Prod.* **1991,** *54,* 1435 and ref 276a. (b) amphidinolide K: see ref 276b.
- (278) Determination of absolute stereochemistry: (a) amphidinolide B: see Ishibashi, M.; Ishiyama, H.; Kobayashi, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1994,** *35,* 8241. (b) amphidinolide J: see ref 276a. (c) amphidinolide L: see ref 276c.
- (279) O'Connor, S. J.; Williard, P. G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989,***30,* 4637.
- (280) Boden, C; Pattenden, G. *Synlett* **1994,** 181.
- (281) Note that while the structures depicted in ref 279 correspond to those expected from the use of (R) -methyl 3-hydroxy-2-methylpropionate, the starting material was erroneously described in the text as being the *S* enantiomer.
- (282) Brown, H. C; Ayyangar, N. R.; Zweifel, G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1964,** *86,* 397.
-
- (283) Miyaura, N.; Ishiyama, T.; Sasaki, H.; Ishikawa, M.; Satoh, M.; Suzuki, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 314.
(284) (a) Kauffmann, T.; Joussen, R. Chem. Ber. 1977, 110, 3930. (b) Eisch, J. J.; Behrooz, M.; Suresh, K.
- (285) Musich, J. A.; Rapoport, H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1978,***100,* 4865.
- (286) Trost, B. M.; Lynch, J.; Renaut, P.; Steinman, D. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1986,** *108,* 284.
- (287) Cohen, N.; Banner, B. L.; Lopresti, R. J.; Wong, F.; Rosenberger, M.; Liu, Y.-Y.; Thorn, E; Liebman, A. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1983,** *105,* 3661.
- (288) Glattfeld, J. W. E.; Forbrich, L. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1934,** *56,* 1209.
- (289) Barbero, A.; Cuadrado, P.; Fleming, I.; Gonzalez, A. M.; Pulido, F. J. *J. Chem. Soc, Perkin Trans. 1* **1992,** 327.
- (290) Farina, V.; Krishnan, B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1991,** *113,* 9585.
- (291) Schmitz, F. J.; Gunasekera, S. P.; Yalamanchili, G.; Hossain, M. B.; van der Helm, D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1984,** *106,* 7251. Note that the stereochemistry of C_{17} was incorrectly drawn in this reference. A congener, 13-deoxytedanolide, has been isolated from the Japanese marine sponge *Mycale adhaerens.* See ref 196b.
- (292) (a) Kashman, Y.; Groweiss, A.; Shmueli, U. *Tetrahedron Lett.*
1980, 21, 3629. (b) Spector, I.; Shochet, N. R.; Kashman, Y.;
Groweiss, A. Science 1983, 219, 493. (c) Groweiss, A.; Shmueli, U.; Kashman, Y. *J. Org. Chem.* 1**983**, 48, 3512. (d) Kashman,
Y.; Groweiss, A.; Lidor, R.; Blasberger, D.; Carmely, S. *Tetra-hedron* 1985, 41, 1905. (e) Kashman, Y.; Lidor, R.; Blasberger,
D.; Carmely, S. *Tetrahedron Le* D.; Green, D.; Carmely, S.; Spector, L; Kashman, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987,** *28,* 459. (g) Blasberger, D.; Carmely, S.; Cojocaru, M.; Spector, I.; Shochet, N. R.; Kashman, Y. *Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem.* **1989,** 1171. (h) Spector I.; Shochet, N. R.; Blasberger, D.; Kashman, Y. *Cell Motil. Cytoskl.* **1989,** *13,* 127.
- (293) Latrunculin A has more recently been found in the Pacific nudibranch *Chromodoris elisabethina* and in the Fijian sponge *Spongia mycofijiensis.* See, respectively: (a) Okuda, R. K.; Scheuer, P. J. *Experientia* **1985,***41,* 1355. (b) Kakou, Y.; Crews, P.; Bakus, G. J. *J. Nat. Prod.* **1987,** *50,* 482.
- (294) Note, however, that a macrodiolide had already been obtained from a marine source. Aplasmomycin, isolated from a strain of *Streptomyces griseus* found in shallow sea mud, was reported in 1976. See ref 142.
- (295) Note that the structures originally reported for C and D were reversed.
- (296) (a) Coné, M.; Brenner, S. L.; Spector, I.; Korn, E. D. *FEBS Lett.*
1987, 213, 316. (b) Schatten, G.; Schatten, H.; Spector, I.; Cline,
C.; Paweletz, N.; Simerly, C.; Petzelt, C. *Exp. Cell Res*. 1986, *166,* 191.
- (297) (a) Zibuck, R.; Liverton, N. J.; Smith, A. B., III. J. Am. Chem.
Soc. 1986, 108, 2451. (b) Smith, A. B., III; Noda, I.; Remiszewski,
S. W.; Liverton, N. J.; Zibuck, R. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 3977.
(c) Smith, A. B.,
- 4991. (b) White, J. D.; Kawasaki, M. *J. Org. Chem.* **1992,** *57,* 5292.
- (299) Heathcock, C. H. In *Asymmetric Synthesis;* Morrison, J. D., Ed.; Academic Press: Orlando, FL, 1984; Vol. 3, p 111 and references cited therein.
- (300) For examples of macrolactonization procedures involving car-boxyl activation, see *inter alia:* (a) ref 47. (b) ref 48. (c) ref 233a.
- (301) A similar enhancement was used by White *et al.* in their synthesis of boromycin: see ref $144e$.
- Synthesis of boromycin: see ref 144e.

(302) For leading references on dialkylboron triflate-mediated aldol

reactions of ketones, see: (a) Mukaiyama, T.; Inoue, T. Chem.

Lett. 1976, 559. (b) Inoue, T.; Uchimaru, T.; Muk

Tetrahedron Lett. **1979,** 2225. (h) Van Horn, D. E.; Masamune, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1979,** 2229. (i) Hirama, M.; Garvey, D. S.; Lu, L. D. L.; Masamune, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1979,** 3937.

- (303) A similar equilibration was previously observed in the synthesis of the talaromycins. See: (a) Smith, A. B., Ill; Thompson, A. S. *J. Org. Chem.* **1984,** *49,* 1469. See also: (b) Mrozik, H.; Eskola, P.; Arison, B. H.; Albers-Schbnberg, G.; Fisher, M. H. *J. Org. Chem.* **1982,** *47,* 489.
- (304) C_{13} epimerizations in latrunculins have also been observed by Kashman. See refs 292c and 292f.
- (305) (a) Yoshimura, J.; Yamaura, M.; Suzuki, T.; Hashimoto, H. *Chem. Lett.* **1983,** 1001. (b) Williams, R. M.; Armstrong, R. M.; Dung, J.-S. *J. Med. Chem.* **1985,** *28,* 733.
- (306) Williams, R. M.; Kwast, E. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989,** *30,* 451 and references cited therein.
- (307) White, J. D.; Jensen, M. S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992,** *33,* 577. (308) Mori, K.; Ikunaka, M. *Tetrahedron* **1984,** *40,* 3471.
-
- (309) For a discussion of the stereochemistry of the Wittig reaction of allylic phosphoranes, see: Barlow, L.; Pattenden, G. *J. Chem. Soc, Perkin Trans. 1* **1976,** 1029.
- (310) Biichi, G.; Pawlak, M. *J. Org. Chem.* **1975,** *40,* 100.
- (311) Buchta, E.; Andree, F. *Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem.* **1961,** *640,* 29.
- (312) For earlier examples involving addition of ketone enolates to **893,** followed by intermolecular and intramolecular Wittig reactions, see respectively: (a) Fuchs, P. L. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1974,** 4055. (b) Martin, S. F.; Desai, S. R. *J. Org. Chem.* **1978,** *43,* 4673.
- (313) Alderdice, M.; Spino, C; Weiler, L. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1984,** *25,* 1643.
- (314) Spino, C; Weiler, L. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987,** *28,* 731.
- (315) Sonoda, N.; Yamamoto, G.; Natsukawa, K; Kondo, K.; Murai, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1975,** 1969.
-
- (316) Maclaren, J. A. *Aust. J. Chem.* **1968,** *21,* 1891. (317) Logue, M. W.; Teng, K. *J. Org. Chem.* **1982,** *47,* 2549.
- (318) Tapiolas, D. M.; Roman, M.; Fenical, W.; Stout, T. J.; Clardy, J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1991,** *113,* 4682.
- (319) Other medium-ring marine lactones have also been obtained from marine sources. For instance, ascidiatrienolide A **(iii)** was isolated from the marine ascidian *Didemnum candidum* (see: Lindquist, N.; Fenical, W. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989,** *30,* 2735). However, ascidiatrienolide A does not show any appreciable biological activity, and is thus outside the scope of this review, although it should be noted that crude extracts from *Didemnum candidum* did display strong *in vitro* inhibition of the enzyme phospholipase A2. Note that ascidiatrienolide A was originally misassigned by Lindquist and Fenical as the 9-membered lactone iv, but the structure of the natural product was later revised to the 10-membered lactone **iii** as a result of total synthesis of both **iii** and iv by Holmes and co-workers (see: Congreve, M. S.; Holmes, A. B.; Hughes, A. B.; Looney, M. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1993,** *115,* 5815).

- (320) Buszek, K. R.; Sata, N.; Jeong, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994,***116,* 5511.
- (321) McWilliams, J. C; Clardy, J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994,***116,* 8378. (322) Nicolaou, K. C; McGarry, D. G.; Somers, P. K.; Kim, B. H.; Ogilvie, W. W.; Yiannikouros, G.; Prasad, C. V. C; Veale, C. A.; Hark, R. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* **1990,** *112,* 6263.
- (323) (a) Corey, E. J.; Nicolaou, K. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1974,** *96,* 5614. (b) Gerlach, H.; Thalmann, A. *HeIv. Chim. Acta* **1974,** 57, 2661.
- (324) Corey, E. J.; Brunelle, D. J.; Stork, P. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1976,** 3405.
-
- (325) Koppenhoefer, B.; Schurig, V. *Org. Synth.* 1988, *66,* 160. (326) Hart, D. W.; Blackburn, T. F.; Schwartz, J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1975,** *97,* 679.
- (327) Takai, K.; Hotta, Y.; Oshima, K; Nozaki, H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1978,** 2417.
- (328) (a) Narasaka, K.; Soai, K.; Aikawa, Y.; Mukaiyama, T. Bull.
Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1976, 49, 779. (b) Urech, R. J. Chem. Soc.,
Chem. Commun. 1984, 989. (c) Asaoka, M.; Takei, H. Tetrahe*dron Lett.* **1987,** *28,* 6343.
- (329) (a) Herrmann, J. L.; Schlessinger, R. H. *J. Chem. Soc, Chem. Commun.* **1973,** 711. (b) Grieco, P. A. *Synthesis* **1975,** 67.
- (330) Veysoglu, T.; Mitscher, L. A. *Tetrahedron Lett*. 1981, 22, 1299.
(331) (a) Uchida, K.; Utimoto, K.; Nozaki, H. J. Org. Chem. 1976, 41,
2941. (b) Uchida, K.; Utimoto, K.; Nozaki, H. *Tetrahedron* 1977, *33* 2987
- (332) (a)' Miller, R. B.; McGarvey, G. *J. Org. Chem.* **1978,** *43,* 4424. (b) Miller, R. B.; McGarvey, G. *J. Org. Chem.* **1979,** *44,* 4623.

CR941118Z