

# Cloning of segment polarity gene homologues from the unsegmented brachiopod *Terebratulina retusa* (Linnaeus)

Peter W.H. Holland, Nicola A. Williams and Jeremy Lanfear\*

Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3PS, UK

Received 8 August 1991

We have used the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to amplify, clone and sequence homologues of the *Drosophila* segment polarity genes *engrailed* (*en*), *cubitus interruptus Dominant* (*ci<sup>D</sup>*) and *wingless* (*wg*) from the genome of the brachiopod, *Terebratulina retusa* (Linnaeus). The deduced translation products of brachiopod *en* and *ci<sup>D</sup>* share high levels of sequence identity with their *Drosophila* homologues. The brachiopod *wg*-related clone is divergent from *Drosophila wg*, although clearly a member of the *wg/Wnt* gene family. These results indicate that structural diversity of *Drosophila* segment polarity genes has been evolutionarily conserved in a divergent, ancient and unsegmented animal phylum.

Engrailed, Homeobox, Zinc finger gene, *Wingless*, Brachiopod, Molecular evolution

## 1 INTRODUCTION

The establishment of the segmental body pattern during embryogenesis in *Drosophila melanogaster* involves the sequential activation of several groups of genes, each group leading to the division of the embryo into progressively smaller units [1,2]. The 'segment polarity' genes represent the final tier of this genetic cascade, being responsible for establishing and maintaining the spatial limits and polarity of the metameric units. The *Drosophila* segment polarity gene group may be subdivided both functionally, on the basis of mutant phenotypes, and structurally, since the genes encode a wide diversity of protein products [2].

Putative homologues of several segment polarity genes have been reported from other organisms, including vertebrates, but in most cases the phylogenetic distribution of the genes is poorly known [2]. In particular, it is not known whether the structural diversity of segment polarity genes seen in *Drosophila* has been evolutionary conserved in a diversity of animals, including in the many groups of unsegmented animals which may be employing these genes for distinct roles.

In an attempt to address this question, we have investigated whether the genome of a representative species of the Brachiopoda, a phylogenetically ancient and divergent phylum of unsegmented animals, contains ho-

mologues of three *Drosophila* segment polarity genes. The strategy we adopted is based on the use of degenerate oligonucleotide primers in the Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) to amplify related sequences, prior to recombinant DNA cloning. We report the isolation and sequence determination of brachiopod genomic DNA clones derived from genes homologous to three functionally and structurally divergent *Drosophila* segment polarity genes, a homeobox gene, *engrailed* (*en*), a zinc finger gene, *cubitus interruptus Dominant* (*ci<sup>D</sup>*); and a gene coding for a secreted protein, *wingless* (*wg*).

## 2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

Genomic DNA extraction, purification, PCR, cloning and sequencing were as previously described [3,4]. The PCR primer sequences used were *en*, primers A and C of Holland and Williams [5], *wg* primers of Gavin et al. [6], *ci<sup>D</sup>*, 5'-GAGAGGATCCNITTAARGCN-CARTAYATG-3' and 5'-GAGAAGCTTGTGACNGTYTNAACRTGYTT-3', designed by Drs P.W. Ingham and G. Paterno (ICRF DBU, Oxford, UK) to complement conserved regions between the *Drosophila ci<sup>D</sup>* and human *GLI* genes.

## 3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1. Cloning of a brachiopod *en* gene homologue

PCR-mediated amplification of brachiopod DNA was performed using primers complementary to conserved regions within, and downstream of, the *en* homeobox. Following isolation and cloning of the major band, 11 recombinants were sequenced, and found to derive from the same homeobox gene (Fig. 1A). The deduced translation product of the cloned region shares 77% sequence identity with *Drosophila en* (Fig. 1B), and comparable identity with vertebrate *en* related genes [5].

\*Present address: Beatson Institute for Cancer Research, Garscube Estate, Switchback Road, Bearsden, Glasgow G61 1BD, UK.

Correspondence address: P.W.H. Holland, Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford, OX1 3PS, UK. Fax (44) (865) 310 447.



- [3] Holland, P W H and Hogan, B L M (1986) *Nature* 321, 251–253
- [4] Holland, P W H (1991) *Gene* 98, 253–257
- [5] Holland, P W H and Williams, N A (1990) *FEBS Lett* 277, 250–252
- [6] Gavin, B J, McMahon, J A and McMahon, A P (1990) *Genes Dev* 4, 2319–2332
- [7] Orenic, T V, Slusarski, D C, Kroll, K L and Holmgren, R A (1990) *Genes Dev* 4, 1053–1067
- [8] Ruppert, J M, Kinzler, K W, Wong, A J, Bignor, S H, Kao, F -T, Law, M L, Seunanez, H N, O'Brien, S J and Vogelstein, B (1988) *Mol Cell Biol* 8, 3104–3113
- [9] Lanfear, J and Holland, P W H (1991) *J Mol Evol* 32, 310–315
- [10] Rijsewyk, F, Schuermann, M, Wagenaar, E, Parren, P, Weigel, D and Nusse, R (1987) *Cell* 50, 649–657
- [11] Dolecki, G J and Humphreys, T (1988) *Gene* 64, 21–31
- [12] Kamb, A, Weir, M, Rudy, B, Varmus, H and Kenyon, C (1989) *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 86, 4372–4376
- [13] Patel, N H, Martin-Blanco, E, Coleman, K G, Poole, S J, Ellis, M C, Kornberg, T B and Goodman, C S (1988) *Cell* 58, 955–968
- [14] Wedeen, C J, Price, D J and Weisblat, D A (1991) *FEBS Lett* 279, 300–302