

Photoaffinity cross-linking of F₁ATPase from spinach chloroplasts by 3'-arylazido- β -alanyl-8-azido ATP

Hans-Jochen Schäfer^{a,*}, Gabriele Rathgeber^{a,**}, Achim Schuhen^a, Richard J. Berzborn^b

^a*Institut für Biochemie, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität, Becherweg 30, D-55099 Mainz, Germany*

^b*Lehrstuhl für Biochemie der Pflanzen, Ruhr-Universität, D-44780 Bochum, Germany*

Received 10 January 1994

Abstract

UV irradiation of the ATPase (CF₁) from spinach chloroplasts in the presence of 3'-arylazido- β -alanyl-8-azido ATP (8,3'-DiN₃ATP) results in a nucleotide-dependent inactivation of the enzyme and in a nucleotide-dependent formation of α - β cross-links. The results demonstrate an interfacial localization of the nucleotide binding sites on CF₁.

Key words: F₁ATPase; Chloroplast; Photoaffinity cross-linking; Interfacial localization; Nucleotide binding site

1. Introduction

The catalytic part of the ATP synthase complex from chloroplasts (CF₁) is formed by three α -, three β -, one γ -, one δ - and one ϵ -subunit [1–4]. The number of nucleotide binding sites have been in debate for a long time. Based on results for photoaffinity labeling of CF₁ by 2-azido-adenine nucleotides three catalytic and three noncatalytic nucleotide binding sites are now believed to be present per CF₁ [5] in analogy to the F₁ATPases from mitochondria [6] and bacteria [7], but smaller numbers have been discussed too [8,9]. The position of these nucleotide binding sites could not be cleared finally. Photoaffinity labeling yielded the first indications for an interfacial localization of the nucleotide binding sites. Depending on the label applied, diverging results were obtained concerning the subunits labeled. The exclusive labeling of

the β -subunits as well as an equal labeling of α and β have been reported [5,10–14]. Therefore the location of nucleotide binding sites on one subunit (for example β) in close proximity to a second subunit (for example α) looks plausible. Even the participation of both subunits in the formation of one nucleotide binding site seems possible [15,16]. An interfacial position of the nucleotide binding sites presents an attractive model to explain the strong cooperativity between the different catalytic sites postulated for the catalysis performed by ATP synthase complexes (for example the alternating site mechanism [17,18]). Such a model has been proposed, discussed and verified indirectly for different ATP synthases by several authors [16,19–32]. The first direct experimental proof for an interfacial location of the nucleotide binding sites of ATP synthase complexes (F₁, F₀F₁) from bacteria and mitochondria has been obtained by photoaffinity cross-linking of these enzymes by bifunctional photoactivatable ATP analogs like 8,3'-DiN₃ATP [15,33–35], 2,3'-DiN₃ATP [36,37] or FSB-8-N₃A [38,39]. The results are principally analogous, despite some small differences in the cross-links yields or in the cross-links patterns on SDS electrophoresis gels, obviously due to the different conformations of 2- or 8-substituted azido-adenine nucleotides or to the different affinities of the labels to the catalytic and noncatalytic nucleotide binding sites. In all these experiments α - β cross-links were formed as the main product proving the interfacial position of nucleotide binding sites between α and β . Up to now this direct indication has not been achieved for the chloroplast coupling factor. Here we report on photoaffinity cross-linking of the F₁ATPase from spinach chloroplasts.

* Corresponding author. Fax: (49) (6131) 395348.

** Present address: E. Merck, D-64271 Darmstadt, Germany.

Abbreviations: CF₁, coupling factor 1 (ATPase) from chloroplasts; F₁, F₁ATPase from mitochondria or bacteria; F₀F₁, F₀F₁ATPase, ATP synthase; 2,3'-DIN₃ATP, 3'-O-{3-[N-(4-azido-2-nitrophenyl)amino]propionyl}2-azido-adenosine 5'-triphosphate; 8,3'-DiN₃ATP, 3'-aryl-azido- β -alanyl-8-azido ATP, 3'-O-{3-[N-(4-azido-2-nitrophenyl)amino]propionyl}8-azido-adenosine 5'-triphosphate; FSB-8-N₃A, 5'-p-fluorosulfonylbenzoyl-8-azido-adenosine; TNP-ATP, 2',3'-O-(2,4,6-trinitrophenyl)-adenosine 5'-triphosphate.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Preparation of F_1 ATPase (CF_1) from spinach chloroplasts

CF_1 was prepared by EDTA extraction from market spinach as described by Lien and Racker [40] with the exception that DEAE Sepharose CL-6B (Pharmacia) was used instead of DEAE Sephadex A-50. ATPase activity was determined by continuous measurement of the liberated phosphate at 37°C in 5 ml test solution containing 2 μ g CF_1 , 25 mM Tricine (pH 8.0), 33% methanol, 2 mM Ca^{2+} and 1 mM ATP [41]. Protein concentrations were measured according to Lowry et al. [42].

2.2. Photoaffinity cross-linking

8,3'- DiN_3 ATP was synthesized by esterification of *N*-4-azido-2-nitrophenyl- β -alanine with 8-azido ATP [43] as described earlier [33,44] according to Jeng and Guillory [45]. Photoaffinity cross-linking was performed by irradiation ($\lambda = 310$ nm) of CF_1 (usually 50–100 μ g) in 500 μ l Tricine/HCl buffer (25 mM, pH 8.0) with a Xenon-lamp (Osram KBQ, 450 W) and a high-intensity monochromator (Bausch and Lomb, Cat. No. 33-86-79) in the presence of Mg · 8,3'- DiN_3 ATP (20 μ M) at 37°C. The separation of the cross-linked CF_1 subunits was performed by SDS-PAGE on 10–15% T (w/v) gels according to Lämmli [46].

2.3. Immunization of rabbits

Immunization of rabbits was done according to Berzborn [47].

2.4. Western immuno blot

Western immuno blots were carried out essentially as described by Towbin et al. [48], but using horse radish peroxidase conjugated 2nd antibody.

3. Results and discussion

Irradiation of CF_1 in the presence of 8,3'- DiN_3 ATP resulted in an inactivation of the ATPase (Fig. 1). This




Fig. 1. Light-induced inhibition of CF_1 by 8,3'- DiN_3 ATP. Irradiation in the presence of 20 μ M Mg · 8,3'- DiN_3 ATP (○), dark control in the presence of 20 μ M Mg · 8,3'- DiN_3 ATP (●), light control in the absence of 8,3'- DiN_3 ATP (×). ATPase activity was determined at 37°C in 5 ml test solution containing 2 μ g CF_1 , 25 mM Tricine (pH 8.0), 33% methanol, 2 mM Ca^{2+} and 1 mM ATP.




Fig. 2. Effect of added Mg · nucleotides on light-induced inhibition of CF_1 by 8,3'- DiN_3 ATP. Irradiation of CF_1 in the presence of 20 μ M Mg · 8,3'- DiN_3 ATP and 1 mM Mg · AMP (▽), 1 mM Mg · ADP (Δ) or 1 mM Mg · ATP (□). ATPase activity was determined as described in Fig. 1.

inactivation was observed neither by irradiation of CF_1 in the absence of the label nor by incubation of CF_1 in the presence of 8,3'- DiN_3 ATP in the dark, except for a small inactivation in the dark obviously due to noncovalent interactions; this may be caused by the additional hydrophobic phenyl ring of the label as compared with ATP. Such increased interactions have often been described for aromatic 2'- or 3'-substituted adenine nucleotides like 2',3'-*O*-(2,4,6-trinitrophenyl)-adenosine 5'-triphosphate (TNP-ATP) [49,50]. Those analogs usually show a much higher affinity to F_1 ATPases than ATP, resulting in a strong competitive inhibition of the enzyme [51].

The light-induced inhibition of CF_1 by 8,3'- DiN_3 ATP could be prevented to a high degree by the addition of ATP or ADP prior to the labeling procedure (Fig. 2). Both nucleotides compete with the label for the nucleotide binding sites. The addition of AMP which does not interact specifically with these sites did not influence the inactivation by photoaffinity labeling. This indicates that the inactivation was caused by the covalent labeling of the nucleotide binding sites by 8,3'- DiN_3 ATP.

In addition photoaffinity labeling of CF_1 by the bifunctional diazoadenine nucleotide resulted in the formation of higher molecular mass cross-links (Fig. 3). This is analogous to the results obtained with different solubilized ATP synthase complexes from bacteria or mitochondria [15,33–39].

Corresponding to the results on the light-induced inactivation the formation of these cross-links could be decreased by the addition of ATP or ADP (Fig. 3B). The presence of AMP during photoaffinity labeling did not influence the cross-links yields. These experiments prove the involvement of nucleotide binding sites in the formation of the cross-links.

The subunit composition of the CF₁ cross-link was determined by immunological analysis (Fig. 4). The formed cross-link interacted specifically with antibodies against the α and the β subunits of CF₁, not with the antiserum against γ . Antibodies against the smaller subunits δ and ϵ also did not interact with the cross-link (data not shown). This demonstrates the subunit composition α - β for this cross-link for the first time by a specific immunochemical method, confirming the results on photoaffinity cross-linking of the bacterial and mitochondrial F₁ATPases which had been obtained by hydrolytic cleavage of the cross-links and a subsequent electrophoretic separation of the cleavage products [15,35–38,44].

In addition to the two-subunit cross-links even higher molecular mass cross-links could be observed upon the application of higher amounts of labeled CF₁ onto the




Fig. 3. Photoaffinity cross-linking of CF₁ by 8,3'-DiN₃ATP. SDS electrophoresis gels of labeled (cross-linked) CF₁: (a) native CF₁ (25 µg), (b) CF₁ (25 µg) labeled by 20 µM Mg·8,3'-DiN₃ATP. (B) Effect of added Mg·nucleotides on photoaffinity cross-linking of CF₁ by 8,3'-DiN₃ATP. SDS electrophoresis gels: (a) native CF₁ (15 µg), (b–d) CF₁ (15 µg) labeled by 20 µM Mg·8,3'-DiN₃ATP in the presence of 1 mM Mg·AMP (b), 1 mM Mg·ADP (c) or 1 mM Mg·ATP (d). α , β , γ , δ , ϵ , subunits of CF₁; α - β , cross-link product; SSU, small subunit of ribulose-bisphosphate carboxylase.




Fig. 4. Specific immunochemical analysis of cross-linked (labeled by 20 µM Mg·8,3'-DiN₃ATP) CF₁ by Western blot: (a–c) interaction with antibodies against CF₁ subunits: anti-CF₁- γ (a), anti-CF₁- β (b), anti-CF₁- α (c); (d) stained for protein (Amido black). Designation of CF₁ subunits and cross-link products as in Fig. 3.

SDS gels (not shown). These cross-links are probably formed by three of the α and/or β subunits. This result would be in agreement with the localization of all the catalytic and noncatalytic nucleotide binding sites at α - β interfaces. Such a model has been proposed by Schäfer et al. [35,36] for the F₁ATPase from the thermophilic bacterium PS3 and recently by Gromet-Elhanan [16] for the enzyme from *Rhodospirillum rubrum*.

In summary, the interfacial localization of the nucleotide binding sites seems to be a common feature for the structure of the catalytic F₁ complex of the ATP synthases from bacteria, mitochondria and chloroplasts.

Acknowledgements: The authors thank Dr. M. Schütz, Universität Mainz, for editing the manuscript and Dr. D. Lohse, Universität Bochum, for helpful discussions. This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, grants Scha 344/1-3 and Be 664/7.

References

- [1] Moroney, J.V., Lopresti, L., McEwen, B.G., McCarty, R.E. and Hammes, G.G. (1983) FEBS Lett. 158, 58–62.
- [2] Strotmann, H. and Bickel-Sandkötter, S. (1984) Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. 35, 97–120.
- [3] Gräber, P., Böttcher, B. and Boekema, E.J. (1990) in: Bioelectrochemistry III (Milazzo, G. and Blank, M., Eds.) pp. 247–276, Plenum Press, New York.
- [4] Cox, G.B., Devenish, R.J., Gibson, F., Howitt, S.M. and Nagley, P. (1992) in: Molecular Mechanisms in Bioenergetics (Ernster, L., Ed.) pp. 283–315.

- [5] Xue, Z., Zhou, J.-M., Melese, T., Cross, R.L. and Boyer, P.D. (1987) *Biochemistry* 26, 3749–3753.
- [6] Cross, R.L. and Nalin, C.M. (1982) *J. Biol. Chem.* 257, 2874–2881.
- [7] Wise, J.G., Duncan, T.M., Latchney, L.R., Cox, D.N. and Senior, A.E. (1983) *Biochem. J.* 215, 343–350.
- [8] Hammes, G.G. (1983) *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 8, 131–134.
- [9] Musier, K.M. and Hammes, G.G. (1988) *Biochemistry* 27, 7015–7020.
- [10] Wagenvoort, R.J., Verschoor, G.J. and Kemp, A. (1981) *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 634, 229–236.
- [11] Czarnecki, J.J., Abbott, M.S. and Selman, B.R. (1982) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 79, 7744–7748.
- [12] Bar-Zvi, D., Tiefert, M.A. and Shavit, N. (1983) *FEBS Lett.* 160, 233–238.
- [13] Kambouris, N.G. and Hammes, G.G. (1985) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 82, 1950–1953.
- [14] Xue, Z., Miller, C.G., Zhou, J.-M. and Boyer, P.D. (1987) *FEBS Lett.* 223, 391–394.
- [15] Schäfer, H.-J. and Dose, K. (1984) *J. Biol. Chem.* 259, 15301–15306.
- [16] Gromet-Elhanan, Z. (1992) *J. Bioenerg. Biomembr.* 24, 447–452.
- [17] Boyer, P.D. (1989) *FASEB J.* 3, 2164–2178.
- [18] Boyer, P.D. (1993) *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1140, 215–240.
- [19] Klein, G., Lunardi, J., Satre, M., Lauquin, G.J.M. and Vignais, P.V. (1977) in: *Structure and Function of Energy-Transducing Membranes* (van Dam, K., van Gelder, B.F., Eds.) pp. 283–293, Elsevier, New York.
- [20] Kozlov, I.A. and Skulachev, V.P. (1977) *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 463, 29–89.
- [21] Bragg, P.D., Stan-Lotter, H. and Hou, C. (1981) *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 207, 290–299.
- [22] Bruist, M.F. and Hammes, G.G. (1981) *Biochemistry* 20, 6298–6305.
- [23] Williams, N. and Coleman, P.S. (1982) *J. Biol. Chem.* 257, 2834–2841.
- [24] Senior, A.E. and Wise, J.G. (1983) *J. Membrane Biol.* 73, 105–124.
- [25] Gromet-Elhanan, Z. and Khananshvil, D. (1984) *Biochemistry* 23, 1022–1028.
- [26] Khananshvil, D. and Gromet-Elhanan, Z. (1985) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 82, 1886–1890.
- [27] Khananshvil, D. (1988) *Biochemistry* 27, 8290–8296.
- [28] Tagaya, M., Noumi, K., Futai, M. and Fukui, T. (1988) *FEBS Lett.* 233, 347–351.
- [29] Vogel, P.D. and Cross, R.L. (1991) *J. Biol. Chem.* 266, 6101–6105.
- [30] Divita, G., Di Pietro, A., Roux, B. and Gautheron, D.C. (1992) *Biochemistry* 31, 5791–5798.
- [31] Divita, G., Jault, J.-M., Gautheron, D.C. and Di Pietro, A. (1993) *Biochemistry* 32, 1017–1024.
- [32] Divita, G., Goody, R.S., Gautheron, D.C. and Di Pietro, A. (1993) *J. Biol. Chem.* 268, 13178–13186.
- [33] Schäfer, H.-J., Scheurich, P., Rathgeber, G., Dose, K., Mayer, A., Klingenberg, M. (1980) *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 95, 562–568.
- [34] Schäfer, H.-J., Mainka, L., Rathgeber, G., Zimmer, G. (1983) *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 111, 732–739.
- [35] Schäfer, H.-J., Rathgeber, G., Dose, K., Masafumi, Y., Kagawa, Y. (1985) *FEBS Lett.* 186, 275–280.
- [36] Schäfer, H.-J., Rathgeber, G., Dose, K., Kagawa, Y. (1989) *FEBS Lett.* 253, 264–268.
- [37] Schäfer, H.-J., Rathgeber, G., Dose, K., Sauer, H.E., Trommer, W.E. (1989) *Z. Naturforsch.* 44c, 955–958.
- [38] Zhou, S., Garrod, S., Miller, P., Allison, W.S. (1992) *J. Biol. Chem.* 267, 12916–12927.
- [39] Allison, W.S., Jault, J.-M., Zhou, S. and Paik, S.R. (1992) *J. Bioenerg. Biomembr.* 24, 469–477.
- [40] Lien, S. and Racker, E. (1970) *Methods Enzymol.* 23, Part A, 547–555.
- [41] Arnold, A., Wolf, H.U., Ackermann, B. and Bader, H. (1976) *Anal. Biochem.* 71, 209–213.
- [42] Lowry, O.H., Rosebrough, N.J., Farr, A.L. and Randall, R.J. (1951) *J. Biol. Chem.* 193, 265–275.
- [43] Schäfer, H.-J., Scheurich, P. and Dose, K. (1978) *Liebigs Ann. Chem.* 1978, 1749–1753.
- [44] Schäfer, H.-J. (1986) *Methods Enzymol.* 126, 649–660.
- [45] Jeng, S.J. and Guillory, R.J. (1975) *J. Supramol. Struct.* 3, 448–468.
- [46] Lämmli, U.K. (1970) *Nature* 227, 680–685.
- [47] Berzborn, R.J. (1980) *Methods Enzymol.* 69, 492–502.
- [48] Towbin, H., Staehelin, T. and Gordon, J. (1979) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 76, 4350–4354.
- [49] Grubmeyer, C. and Penefsky, H.S. (1981) *J. Biol. Chem.* 256, 3718–3727.
- [50] Grubmeyer, C. and Penefsky, H.S. (1981) *J. Biol. Chem.* 256, 3728–3734.
- [51] Schäfer, G., Onur, G. and Schlegel, M. (1980) *J. Bioenerg. Biomembr.* 12, 213–232.