## Diterpenoids from the Wood of Cunninghamia konishii

by Yu-Chang Chen<sup>a</sup>), Yen-Cheng Li<sup>b</sup>), Hsi-Lin Chiu<sup>c</sup>), Wei-Yi Cheng<sup>d</sup>), Yong-Han Hong<sup>d</sup>), Ping-Jyun Sung<sup>e</sup>)<sup>f</sup>), Ching-Chuan Kuo<sup>g</sup>), Bor-Tsang Wu<sup>\*h</sup>), and Yueh-Hsiung Kuo<sup>\*a</sup>)<sup>c</sup>)

<sup>a</sup>) Department of Chinese Pharmaceutical Sciences and Chinese Medicine Resources, College of Pharmacy, China Medical University, Taichung 404, Taiwan (phone: +886-4-22053366 ext. 5709; fax: +886-4-22071693; e-mail: kuoyh@mail.cmu.edu.tw)

<sup>b</sup>) Department of Chemistry, National Taiwan University, Taipei 106, Taiwan

<sup>c</sup>) Tsuzuki Institute for Traditional Medicine, China Medical University, Taichung 404, Taiwan

<sup>d</sup>) Department of Nutrition, I-Shou University, Kaohsiung 824, Taiwan

e) National Museum of Marine Biology and Aquarium, Pingtung 944, Taiwan

<sup>f</sup>) Graduate Institute of Marine Biotechnology, National Dong Hwa University, Pingtung 944, Taiwan

<sup>g</sup>) Institute of Biotechnology and Pharmaceutical Research, National Health Research Institutes, Zhunan 350, Taiwan

 <sup>h</sup>) Department of Physical Therapy, Graduate Institute of Rehabilitation Science, China Medical University, Taichung 404, Taiwan (phone: +886-4-22053366 ext. 7307; fax: +886-4-22065051; e-mail: wusletter@mail.cmu.edu.tw)

Investigation of the wood of *Cunninghamia konishii* resulted in the isolation and characterization of one new abietane diterpenoid,  $(6\alpha,7\beta)$ -7,8-epoxy-6-hydroxyabieta-9(11),13-dien-12-one (1), and two new labdane diterpenoids, (12R)-12-hydroxylabda-8(17),13(16),14-trien-19-oic acid (2) and (12R)-12-hydroxylabda-8(17),13(16),14-trien-18-oic acid (3). The structures of these new compounds were elucidated by analysis of their spectroscopic data.

**Introduction.** – Cunninghamia konishii HAYATA (Taxodiaceae), one of the two Cunninghamia species occurring in eastern Asia, is an endemic coniferous tree distributed in the northern and central part of Taiwan at altitudes of 1300-2700 m [1]. The wood of this tree is one of the best building materials available in Taiwan. The chemical constituents of wood [2–10], bark [11], leaf [8], and whole plant [12] of C. konishii have been reported. Some isolates of this plant exhibited antifungal [8][9], anti-inflammatory [10], and cytotoxic activities [12]. In connection with our interest in the chemical composition of this plant, a further chemical study on the same AcOEtsoluble fraction led to the isolation of three new diterpenoids,  $(6\alpha,7\beta)$ -7,8-epoxy-6-hydroxyabieta-9(11),13-dien-12-one (1), (12R)-12-hydroxylabda-8(17),13(16),14-trien-18-oic acid (3; Fig. 1). The isolation and structure elucidation of these new compounds are described herein.

**Results and Discussion.** – Compound **1** was isolated as a yellowish oil. Its molecular formula,  $C_{20}H_{28}O_3$ , was determined by HR-EI-MS ( $M^+$ , 316.2031). The presence of a cross-conjugated dienone was evidenced by the UV maxima at 268 and 234 nm, and through IR (3098, 1660, 1628, and 1601 cm<sup>-1</sup>), and <sup>1</sup>H- ( $\delta$ (H) 5.97 (s), 6.30 (s)), and

<sup>© 2013</sup> Verlag Helvetica Chimica Acta AG, Zürich



Fig. 1. Structures of compounds 1-3

<sup>13</sup>C-NMR data (*Table*;  $\delta$ (C) 186.9 (C=O), 161.3, 150.0, 136.8, 128.7). In addition, the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum (*Table*) exhibited three Me *singlets* ( $\delta$ (H) 1.07 (*s*, Me(18)), 1.09 (*s*, Me(19)), 1.18 (s, Me(20))), and signals of an <sup>i</sup>Pr group ( $\delta$ (H) 1.04 (d, J = 6.8, Me(16)), 1.07 (d, J = 6.8, Me(17)), 2.93 (sept., J = 6.8, H–C(15))) attached to a C=C bond. Further data from the COSY, NOESY (Fig. 2), DEPT, HMQC, and HMBC (Fig. 2) experiments indicated that the structure of 1 was similar to that of  $7\alpha_{,8}\alpha_{-}$  epoxy- $6\alpha_{-}$ hydroxyabieta-9(11),13-dien-12-one (1a) [13]. The NOESY (Fig. 2) correlations Me(20)/H-C(6), H-C(6)/H-C(7), and H-C(7)/H-C(14) indicated H-C(6) in  $\beta$ orientation for H–C(6). By using MM2 theoretical calculations, with epoxy ring in  $\beta$ orientation, the most stable conformation has the angles H-C(5)-C(6)-H and H–C(6)–C(7)–H of 174.5° and 88.0°, respectively. The results agreed with the coupling constant of H–C(5) and H–C(6) (J=10.4), and no coupling was observed between H–C(6) and H–C(7). Comparing the optical rotations of **1** and **1a** ( $[a]_{D}^{25}$  of +58.6 and -42.5, resp.) and on the basis of the above evidence, the structure of 1 was elucidated as  $(6\alpha,7\beta)$ -7,8-epoxy-6-hydroxyabieta-9(11),13-dien-12-one, which was further confirmed by COSY, NOESY (Fig. 2), <sup>13</sup>C-NMR, DEPT, HMQC, and HMBC (Fig. 2) experiments.



Fig. 2. Key NOESY  $(H \leftrightarrow H)$  and HMB correlations  $(H \rightarrow C)$  of 1

Compound **2** was isolated as a yellowish oil. Its molecular formula,  $C_{20}H_{30}O_3$ , was determined by HR-EI-MS ( $M^+$ , 318.2192). The absorption bands (3401, 3300–2500, 1692, 1647, 988, and 892 cm<sup>-1</sup>) in the IR spectrum indicated the presence of OH,

		Taure 1	mm WWW mm -F		componius o. m. ppin	, J III 112.		
Position	1		<b>1a</b> [13]		2		3	
	δ(H)	$\delta(C)$	δ(H)	δ(C)	φ(H)	$\delta(C)$	δ(H)	$\delta(C)$
1	1.35 - 1.43 (m),	36.8	1.38 - 1.45 (m),	38.1	1.16 - 1.20 (m),	37.9	1.21 - 1.25 (m),	37.0
	1.90 - 1.94 (m)		1.68 - 1.73 (m)		1.73 - 1.81 (m)		2.02 - 2.30 (m)	
2	1.46 - 1.50 (m)	18.5	1.55 - 1.59 (m),	18.1	1.50 - 1.54 (m),	19.8	1.52 - 1.74 (m)	18.4
			1.68 - 1.73 (m)		1.73 - 1.81 (m)		× *	
ю	$1.18 - 1.22 \ (m),$	43.0	1.21 - 1.25 (m),	43.2	1.02 - 1.06 (m),	39.0	$1.52 - 1.74 \ (m)$	37.9
	1.35 - 1.43 (m)		1.38 - 1.45 (m)		2.00-2.07 (m)			
4	ļ	33.0	ļ	34.7	ļ	44.2	I	47.5
5	1.74 (d, J = 10.4)	45.2	1.21 $(d, J = 9.0)$	58.2	1.36 - 1.40 (m)	56.2	$2.02 - 2.30 \ (m)$	52.4
9	$4.20 \ (d, J = 10.4)$	69.4	4.40 (d, J = 9.0)	66.4	1.73 - 1.81 (m),	26.1	1.38 - 1.51 (m)	26.9
					1.93 - 1.97 (m)		~	
L	3.81(s)	65.9	3.72(s)	70.9	1.87 - 1.91 (m),	38.7	$1.78 - 1.82 \ (m),$	37.8
					2.39-2.43 (m)		2.36 - 2.40 (m)	
8	I	57.5	I	56.2		148.7		148.5
6	I	161.3	I	162.5	2.00-2.07 (m)	51.8	$2.02 - 2.30 \ (m)$	49.4
10	1	41.3	I	38.0		40.1		38.6
11	(5.30 (s))	128.7	6.30(s)	126.2	$1.63 - 1.67 \ (m)$	31.3	1.52 - 1.74 $(m)$	30.9
12	1	186.9	I	187.1	4.38 $(t, J = 6.0)$	6.69	4.39 $(t, J = 6.0)$	69.9
13	I	150.0	I	149.5	I	150.5	I	150.4
14	5.97(s)	136.8	6.03(s)	138.6	$6.30 \ (dd, J = 17.8, 11.2)$	136.0	$6.31 \ (dd, J = 17.8, 11.2)$	136.0
15	2.93 ( <i>sept.</i> , $J = 6.8$ )	26.4	2.96 (sept., $J = 7.0$ )	26.3	5.09 $(d, J = 11.2),$	114.7	5.09 (d, J = 11.2),	114.8
					5.39 $(d, J = 17.8)$		$5.40 \ (d, J = 17.8)$	
$16^{a}$ )	$1.04 \ (d, J = 6.8)$	21.4	1.06 (d, J = 7.0)	21.3	5.11, 5.19 (2 br. s)	113.3	5.12, 5.20 (2 br. s)	113.4
17 <sup>a</sup> )	$1.07 \ (d, J = 6.8)$	21.6	1.08 (d, J = 7.0)	21.3	4.51, 4.86 (2 br. s)	106.6	4.52, 4.85 (2 br. s)	107.1
18	1.07(s)	25.9	1.07(s)	35.3	1.22(s)	29.0	I	184.4
19	1.09(s)	22.9	1.07(s)	22.4	I	183.3	1.13(s)	16.3
20	1.18(s)	36.6	1.19 (s)	21.6	0.57(s)	12.9	0.68 (s)	14.8
<sup>a</sup> ) Exchang	geable.							

Table. <sup>1</sup>*H*- and <sup>13</sup>*C*-*NMR Data* (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) of Compounds 1-3.  $\delta$  in ppm, *J* in Hz.

2284

## Helvetica Chimica Acta – Vol. 96 (2013)

COOH and vinyl groups and, terminal C=C bonds. The <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectrum (Table) of 2 was similar to that of (12R)-12-hydroxylabda-8(17),13(16),14-trien-19-oic acid methyl ester (2a) [14], except that the signal of a COOMe group ( $\delta$ (C) 51.1, 177.7) in 2a was replaced with that of a COOH group ( $\delta(C)$  183.3) in 2. The <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra (Table) revealed the presence of two tertiary Me and, six CH<sub>2</sub> groups, a HOCH group ( $\delta$ (H) 4.38 (t, J = 6.0);  $\delta$ (C) 69.9), three terminal sp<sup>2</sup>-CH<sub>2</sub> groups ( $\delta$ (H) 4.51 (br. s), 4.86 (br. s);  $\delta$ (C) 106.6, 148.7;  $\delta$ (H) 5.11 (br. s), 5.19 (br. s);  $\delta$ (C) 113.3, 150.5;  $\delta$ (H)  $5.09 (d, J = 11.2), 5.39 (d, J = 17.8), 6.30 (dd, J = 17.8, 11.2); \delta(C) 114.7, 136.0),$  and two sp<sup>3</sup> quaternary C-atoms. The NOESY (Fig. 3) correlations Me(18)/H-C(5) and H–C(5)/H–C(9) supported  $\alpha$ -orientation for Me(18) and H–C(9), and  $\beta$ -orientation for COOH(19). The secondary OH group was attached at C(12) based on the crosspeaks between C(12) and H–C(9), CH<sub>2</sub>(11), H–C(14), and CH<sub>2</sub>(16) in the HMBC spectrum (Fig. 3) and the COSY correlation  $CH_2(11)/H-C(12)$ . Based on the chemical shifts of C(12) of (12R)-12-hydroxylabda-8(17),13(16),14-trien-19-oic acid methyl ester ( $\delta(C)$  69.8 and (12S)-12-hydroxylabda-8(17),13(16),14-trien-19-oic acid methyl ester ( $\delta(C)$  72.2) [14], and of C(12) of **2** ( $\delta(C)$  69.9) indicated also (*R*)-configuration. Therefore, compound **2** was characterized as (12R)-12-hydroxylabda-8(17),13(16),14trien-19-oic acid, which was further confirmed by COSY, NOESY (Fig. 3), DEPT, HMQC, and HMBC (Fig. 3) experiments.



Fig. 3. Key NOESY  $(H \leftrightarrow H)$  and HMB correlations  $(H \rightarrow C)$  of 2

Compound **3** was isolated as a yellowish oil. Its molecular formula,  $C_{20}H_{30}O_3$ , was determined by HR-EI-MS ( $M^+$ , 318.2205). The spectra of **3** were similar to those of **2**, and **3** was found to be a diastereoisomer of **2**. The complete <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR signal assignments are compiled in the *Table*. The NOESY (*Fig.* 4) correlations Me(19)/Me(20), Me(20)/CH<sub>2</sub>(11), and H–C(5)/H–C(9) evidenced  $\beta$ -orientation for Me(19) and  $\alpha$ -orientation H–C(9). The chemical shift of H–C(5) ( $\delta$ (H) 2.02–2.30) at lower field than that of the corresponding H–C(5) in **2** indicated that it was deshielded by C(18)OOH and further supported the  $\alpha$ -orientation at C(12) of **3** [14]. Based on the above evidence, compound **3** was identified as (12*R*)-12-hydroxylabda-8(17),13(16),14-trien-18-oic acid, which was further confirmed by COSY, NOESY (*Fig.* 4), DEPT, HMQC, and HMBC (*Fig.* 4) experiments.



Fig. 4. Key NOESY ( $H \leftrightarrow H$ ) and HMB correlations ( $H \rightarrow C$ ) of 3

## **Experimental Part**

General. TLC: Silica gel 60  $F_{254}$  precoated plates (SiO<sub>2</sub>; Merck). Column chromatography (CC): SiO<sub>2</sub> 60 (Merck 70–230 mesh, ASTM). HPLC: LDC Analytical-III system; column: LiChrosorb Si 60, 7 µm, 250 × 10 mm. Optical rotation: Jasco-DIP-180 polarimeter; in CHCl<sub>3</sub>. UV Spectra: Hitachi-S-3200 spectrophotometer;  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) in nm. IR Spectra: Perkin-Elmer-983G FT-IR spectrophotometer;  $\tilde{\nu}$  in cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H-, <sup>13</sup>C-, and 2D-NMR Spectra: Varian-Unity-Plus-400 spectrometers;  $\delta$  in ppm rel. to Me<sub>4</sub>Si as internal standard, J in Hz. EI- and HR-EI-MS: Jeol-JMS-HX300 mass spectrometer; m/z (rel. %).

*Plant Material.* The wood of *C. konishii* was collected at Luantashan, Nantau County, Taiwan, in December 1996, and was identified by Prof. *Shao-Shun Ying* (Department of Forestry, National Taiwan University). A voucher specimen (013492) has been deposited with the Herbarium of the Department of Botany, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan.

*Extraction and Isolation.* Dried wood (6.5 kg) of *C. konishii* was crushed into pieces and extracted with MeOH (601) three times (7 d each time) at r.t. After evaporation, the extract (60.2 g) was suspended in  $H_2O$  (500 ml) and partitioned into hexane (500 ml × 3), AcOEt (500 ml × 4), and BuOH (500 ml × 3), successively. The AcOEt fraction (15.6 g) was submitted to CC (SiO<sub>2</sub>, hexane/AcOEt and AcOEt/MeOH). Elution with hexane/AcOEt 9:1, 3:2, and 2:3 gave crude **1**, **2**, and **3**, resp. Further purification by HPLC (hexane/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/AcOEt/PrOH 7:3:1:0.2, 10:3:1:0.2, and 4:2:1:0.2) gave **1** (2.7 mg), **2** (3.7 mg), and **3** (3.5 mg), resp.

 $(6\alpha,7\beta)$ -7,8-*Epoxy*-6-*hydroxyabieta*-9(11),13-*dien*-12-*one* (=(4aR,5aS,6R,6aS,10aS)-5a,6,6a,7,8, 9,10,10a-Octahydro-6-hydroxy-7,7,10a-trimethyl-3-(1-methylethyl)-2H-phenanthro[8a,9-b]oxiren-2-one; **1**). Yellowish oil. [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub><sup>25</sup> = +58.6 (c = 0.25, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). UV (MeOH): 268 (3.95), 234 (sh, 4.15). IR (neat): 3418 (OH), 3098, 1660 (conjugated C=O), 1628, 1601 (C=C), 1256, 1048. <sup>1</sup>H- (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): see the *Table*. EI-MS: 316 (100,  $M^+$ ), 301 (45), 287 (68), 271 (22), 255 (13), 245 (11). HR-EI-MS: 316.2031 ( $M^+$ , C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>28</sub>O<sup>±</sup><sub>3</sub>; calc. 316.2039).

(12R)-12-Hydroxylabda-8(17),13(16),14-trien-19-oic Acid (= (1S,4aR,5S,8aR)-Decahydro-5-[(2R)-2-hydroxy-3-methylidenepent-4-en-1-yl]-1,4a-dimethyl-6-methylidenenaphthalene-1-carboxylic Acid; **2**). Yellowish oil. [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>27</sub><sup>27</sup> = +61.3 (c = 0.34, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). UV (MeOH): 228 (4.34). IR (neat): 3401 (OH), 3300 – 2500 and 1692 (COOH), 3079, 1647 (C=C), 1170, 1067, and 988 and 892 (–CH=CH<sub>2</sub> and >C=CH<sub>2</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H-(CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): see the *Table*. EI-MS: 318 (62,  $M^+$ ), 304 (60), 300 (79), 290 (9), 285 (39), 279 (100), 271 (28), 255 (23), 246 (62). HR-EI-MS: 318.2192 ( $M^+$ , C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>30</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>; calc. 318.2196).

(12R)-12-Hydroxylabda-8(17),13(16),14-trien-18-oic Acid (=(1R,4aR,5S,8aR)-Decahydro-5-[(2R)-2-hydroxy-3-methylidenepent-4-en-1-yl]-1,4a-dimethyl-6-methylidenenaphthalene-1-carboxylic Acid; **3**). Yellowish oil. [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub><sup>27</sup> = +43.9 (c = 0.32, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). UV (MeOH): 221 (4.36). IR (neat): 3413 (OH), 3300 – 2500 and 1692 (COOH), 3084, 1640 (C=C), 1383, 1170, 1034, and 990 and 893 (–CH = CH<sub>2</sub> and >C=CH<sub>2</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H- (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): see the *Table*. EI-MS: 318 (96,  $M^+$ ), 304 (39), 300 (100), 290 (39), 285 (51), 272 (62), 257 (17), 252 (52). HR-EI-MS: 318.2205 ( $M^+$ ,  $C_{20}H_{30}O_{7}^+$ ; calc. 318.2196). This work was kindly supported by a grant from the *China Medical University* (CMU100-S-10) and in part by the *Taiwan Department of Heath Clinical Trial and Research Center of Excellence* (DOH 102-TD-B-111-004).

## REFERENCES

- H. L. Li, H. Keng, in 'Taxodiaceae in Flora of Taiwan', 2nd edn., Editorial Committee of the Flora of Taiwan, Taipei, Taiwan, 1994, Vol. I, pp. 582.
- [2] T. Ikeda, Y. Fujita, J. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1929, 50, 32.
- [3] T. Ikeda, Y. Fujita, J. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1929, 50, 66.
- [4] Y. S. Cheng, C. S. Lin, J. Chin. Chem. Soc. 1979, 26, 169.
- [5] S. T. Chang, H. W. Yin, Bull. Taiwan Forest. Res. Inst. New Ser. 1991, 6, 57.
- [6] Y. C. Li, Y. H. Kuo, J. Nat. Prod. 1998, 61, 997.
- [7] Y. C. Li, Y. H. Kuo, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2002, 50, 498.
- [8] S. S. Cheng, C. Y. Lin, H. J. Gu, S. T. Chang, J. Wood Chem. Technol. 2011, 31, 204.
- [9] S. S. Cheng, M. J. Chung, C. Y. Lin, Y. N. Wang, S. T. Chang, J. Agric. Food Chem. 2012, 60, 124.
- [10] Y. C. Chen, Y. C. Li, B. J. You, W. T. Chang, L. K. Chao, L. C. Lo, S. Y. Wang, G. J. Huang, Y. H. Kuo, *Molecules* 2013, 18, 682.
- [11] Y. S. Cheng, M. D. Tsai, *Phytochemistry* **1972**, *11*, 2108.
- [12] K. He, G. Shi, L. Zeng, Q. Ye, J. L. McLaughlin, Planta Med. 1997, 63, 158.
- [13] W. C. Su, J. M. Fang, Y. S. Cheng, *Phytochemistry* **1994**, *35*, 1279.
- [14] A. F. Barrero, J. Altarejos, Magn. Reson. Chem. 1993, 31, 299.

Received March 11, 2013