HETEROCYCLES, Vol. 3, No. 2, 1975

THE PREPARATION OF SOME  $CH_2^{\bigoplus}$  -SUBSTITUTED BICYCLO [3,2,1] OCTANES. THE ROTAMERIC BEHAVIOUR OF THE  $CH_2^{\bigoplus}$  -SUBSTITUENT.

State	University	of	Gent,	Krijgslaan	271	(S.4bis)
	B-90	000	GENT,	Belgium.		

The conformations of  $\underline{\operatorname{cis}}_{2}-\operatorname{CH}_{2}X-4-\operatorname{Me}_{3}$ , 6,8-trioxa-bicyclo[3,2,1]octane (X=Cl, $\overset{+}{\operatorname{Me}}_{3}$ ) and  $\underline{\operatorname{cis}}_{2}-\operatorname{CH}_{2}\overset{+}{\operatorname{Me}}_{3}-4-\operatorname{Me}_{3}$ ,8-dioxa-6-aza-bicyclo[3,2,1]octane are investigated by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectroscopy. As a result of the imposed comformation of the five membered ring-moiety, the orientation of the side-chain is that with the C<sub>2</sub>-N<sup>+</sup> and adjacent O<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>2</sub> bonds in an antiperiplanar relationship. This situation corroborates a previously proposed parallelity effect between p-O and C-N<sup> $\oplus$ </sup>.

We have found earlier<sup>1</sup> a pronounced rotameric preference of a  $CH_2NMe_3$  side-chain with respect to an adjacent C-O bond, during a comparative study of  $2-CF_3-4-CH_2NMe_3-1$ , 3-dioxolanes and  $2-CH_3-4-CH_2NMe_3-1$ , 3-dioxolanes. Two types of rotamers could be distinguished. Type I (fig.1) is characterized by an antiperiplanar relationship between the  $C_{4,1}-N^+$  and  $C_4-O_3$ -bonds ; whereas in type II they stay gauche. We found<sup>1</sup> the latter situation to be the favoured one, if parallelity between the  $C_4$ ,  $-N^+$  bond and an oxygen p-orbital is possible. Thus in  $5-\tilde{N}Me_3-1$ , 3-dioxane, the axial position is favoured (Type II) over the equatorial (Type D for at least 2 kcal/mole.<sup>1,2</sup> This parallelity is compromised in 2-CH<sub>3</sub>-1, 3-dioxolanes because of the tendency for flattening of the ring and as a result, the side-chain in these compounds prefer a type I-conformation. The conformation in 2-CF<sub>3</sub>-1, 3-dioxolanes on the contrary is characterized by a lifted-out oxygen atom, and as a result they are type II-compounds.<sup>3</sup> We have also investigated<sup>1</sup> 4-CH<sub>2</sub> $\dot{N}Me_3$ -1, 3-dioxanes. Molecular models disclose that  $C_4$ ,  $-N/C_2$ -O<sub>3</sub>-parallelity is possible in the fundamental chair form of these substances and they indeed were found to belong to the type II-compounds.<sup>1</sup>





Figure 1

We now wish to report about the orientation of the  $CH_2NMe_3$ group in <u>cis</u>-2-CH<sub>2</sub>NMe<sub>3</sub>-4-Me-3,6,8-trioxabicyclo[3,2,1]octane (), and in <u>cis</u>-2-CH<sub>2</sub>NMe<sub>3</sub>-4-Me-3,8-dioxa-6-aza-bicyclo[3,2,1]octane (2) as a further check of this parallelity effect.

(1) was prepared using trans-1,4-dichloro-2-butene as the starting material. The alkene was hydroxylated with  $KMnO_4$  and so

formed <u>threo</u>-1,4-dichloro-2,3-butanediol was then condensed with ethyl pyruvate under azeotropic removal of water, yielding 2-Me-2-COOEt-<u>trans</u>-4,5-di-CH<sub>2</sub>Cl-1,3-dioxolane (B.p. 85°C/0.03 mm). After LiAlH<sub>4</sub>-reduction of the ester-function the bicyclic compound was obtained by an SNi-reaction. From the synthesis, it is clear, that the 2-CH<sub>3</sub>-group stands <u>cis</u> with respect to the remaining CH<sub>2</sub>Cl-group. The latter is transformed in the CH<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>Me<sub>3</sub>group following usual procedures.<sup>1</sup>

The molecule combines a 1,4-dioxane-structure together with a 1,3-dioxolane structure (fig.2) and is rigid. A  ${}^{3}J(1,2) = 0$  indicates a torsion angle of  $\sim 90^{\circ}$  between these two protons. The 1,4-dioxane ring adopts a chair-conformation. Indeed,  ${}^{3}J(1,7A) = {}^{3}J(1,7B)$  and both are very small (1.3 Hz), thus excluding the occurence of a boat conformation. The small value of the  ${}^{3}J(1,7)$  shows, that one of the oxygen p-orbitals from the dioxane ring has a net equatorial character.<sup>4,5</sup>



As a result of the chair dioxane ring, there is a unique conformation imposed on the dioxolane molety, <u>e.g.</u> an almost pure envelope with  $O_8$  as the top ( $\tau(C_2-C_3) < 30^\circ$ , hence  ${}^3J(1,2) = 0$ ). It follows that with this fixed conformation, no parallelity is allowed between the C<sub>2</sub>,-N<sup>+</sup> bond and the p-orbitals of the O<sub>3</sub>-atom, and we therefore expect<sup>1</sup> the side-chain to be oriented as in <u>cis</u>-2-Me- $4-CH_2^{+}Me_3$ -1,3-dioxolane (type I). Indeed, we find H<sub>2'A</sub> (proton with the large  ${}^{3}J(2,2') = 9.5$  Hz) upfield ( $\delta = 3.52$ ) from H<sub>2'B</sub> (proton with a  ${}^{3}J(2,2') = 1.5$  Hz,  $\delta = 3.63$ ); moreover, shift-values and coupling constants of the side-chain protons in our bicyclic compound are very similar to those of <u>cis</u>-2-Me-4-CH<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>Me<sub>3</sub>-1,3-dioxolane<sup>3</sup> ( $\delta = 3.52$  to 3.57 versus  $\delta = 3.63$  to 3.62 with resp.  ${}^{3}J = 9.50$  to 10.0 Hz and  ${}^{3}J = 1.5$  to 1.9 Hz). As was already ascertained in all dioxolanes and dioxanes previously studied,<sup>1</sup> the CH<sub>2</sub>Cl-side chain in the precursor ( $\frac{1}{6}$ ; X=Cl) prefers also a type I-conformation (cf. Table).

Exact assignment of  $H_5$ -protons may be done from long-range considerations. Long-range coupling is usually most pronounced when a planar zig-zag path can be traced along the bonds in going from one proton to the other. This is the so-called M-rule.<sup>6</sup>

For the 2-CH<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>Me<sub>3</sub>-compound a  ${}^{4}$ J(7,5)  $\sim$  0.5 Hz is found on the H<sub>5</sub>-proton at  $\delta$  = 3.60, therefore this proton is assigned the equatorial partner (H<sub>5A</sub>). The proton at  $\delta$  = 3.66 is then the axial one.

For the 2-CH<sub>2</sub>Cl-compound the proton at  $\delta = 3.37$  shows a small <sup>4</sup>J  $\sim 0.5$  Hz and can therefore be assigned as H<sub>5A</sub> (cf. fig.2). H<sub>5B</sub> is then the axial proton at  $\delta = 3.39$ . The lowest field signal of the H<sub>7</sub>-proton shows the same long-range of  $\sim 0.5$  Hz, thus, H<sub>7A</sub> (equatorial) becomes  $\delta = 3.75$ , H<sub>7B</sub> (axial proton),  $\delta = 3.55$ .

The conformational behaviour of  $\underline{cis}$ -2-CH<sub>2</sub> $\overline{Me}_3$ -4-Me-3,8-dioxa-6aza-bicyclo[3,2,1]octane (2) is very similar to 1. The compound can be considered as a combination of a morpholine with a 1,3-

- 104 -

dioxolane moiety. It has been synthetised starting from <u>trans</u>-1,4-dichloro-2-butene which was hydroxylated with KMnO<sub>4</sub> to the same <u>threo</u>-1,4-diCl-2,3-butanediol as reported for  $\frac{1}{6}$ . This was then condensed with  $\alpha$ -chloroacetone and the resulting 2-Me-2,4,5tri-CH<sub>2</sub>Cl-1,3-dioxolane was closed using Me<sub>2</sub>NH (m.p. 240°C). It is clear that only 2,4-cis-standing CH<sub>2</sub>Cl-groups can react with Me<sub>2</sub>NH with ring closure, thus the remaining CH<sub>2</sub>Cl-group stands cis to the 2-CH<sub>3</sub> group. It was subsequently transformed to the CH<sub>2</sub>N<sup>+</sup>Me<sub>2</sub> by classical procedures.

The H<sub>1</sub>-proton being partially hidden in the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR-spectrum of 2 it was not possible to determine exactly <sup>3</sup>J(1,2), although it can be stated that this value is certainly less than 2.0 Hz. Other values (Table) are very similar to those for 1 (e.g. J(1,7)  $\sim$  1.0 Hz and 2.0 Hz) and the same conclusions may be drawn.

For both groups of the H<sub>5</sub> and H<sub>7</sub> protons, the lower field protons are now the equatorial ones (H<sub>5A</sub> at  $\delta = 2.88$ , H<sub>5B</sub> at  $\delta = 2.28$ ; H<sub>7A</sub> at  $\delta = 2.99$ , and H<sub>7B</sub> at  $\delta = 2.49$ . H<sub>5A</sub> as well as H<sub>7A</sub> possess the <sup>4</sup>J(5A,7A) = 0.8 Hz long-range coupling.

It is interesting to note, that in  $\underline{\operatorname{cis}}$ -2-CH<sub>2</sub>NMe<sub>2</sub>-4-Me-3,8-dioxa-6-aza-bicyclo[3,2,1] octane (2; X=NMe<sub>2</sub>) the CH<sub>2</sub>NMe<sub>2</sub>-side chain's orientation is mainly of type II, the proton with the largest <sup>3</sup>Jvalue being situated downfield from the other one. An exact explanation for the latter behaviour cannot yet be given, but the reason must be an other one than for the CH<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>Me<sub>3</sub>-compounds.

All <sup>1</sup>H-NMR-parameters are collected in the table. They were obtained at 300 MHz (VARIAN HR-300) and the values were ascertained by comparison with simulated spectra (SIMEQ 16/II program).

-105-

	Solvent	сн <sub>3</sub>	Hl	<sup>H</sup> 2	<sup>н</sup> 2'а	<sup>Н</sup> 2'В	H <sub>5A</sub>	н <sub>5в</sub>	H <sub>7A</sub>	<sup>Н</sup> 7В
I	cs <sub>2</sub>	1.62	4.22	4.38	3.22	3.43	3.37	3.39	3.75	3.55
II	D20	1.50	4.43	5.02	3.52	3.63	3.60	3.66	3.90	3.84
III	cc14	1.30	4.13	4.13	2.23	2.11	2.60	1.98	2.61	2.28
IV	D <sub>2</sub> O	1.56	4.46	••	3.48	3.58	2.88	2.28	2.99	2.49

Shift-values of bicyclo[3,2,1]octanes.<sup>a</sup>

J-values in Hz of bicyclo[3,2,1]octanes.<sup>a</sup>

	<sup>2</sup> J(2'A,2'B)	<sup>3</sup> j(2,2'A)	<sup>3</sup> J(2,2'B)	<sup>3</sup> J(1,2)	<sup>2</sup> J (7A, 7B)	<sup>3</sup> J(1,7A)	<sup>3</sup> J(1,7B)	<sup>2</sup> J (5A, 5B)	<sup>4</sup> J(5A, 7A)
I	-10.5	10.3	4.10	~0	-11.4	1.30	1.30	-11.3	∿-0.5
11	-13.7	9.50	1.50	~O	-11.9	1.20	1.20	-11.8	∿-0.5
III	-12.1	9.80	3.90	~ <b>O</b>	-10.8	1.80	1.90	-10.5	-0.8
IV	-13.6	11.7	2.30	max. 2.0	-12.6	∿1.0	2.00	-12.0	-0.8

<sup>a</sup> See figure 2. I =  $\frac{1}{2}(X = C1)$ ; II =  $\frac{1}{2}(X = NMe_3)$ ; III =  $\frac{2}{2}(X = NMe_2)$  and  $IV = \frac{2}{2}(X = NMe_3)$ .

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank the "Fonds voor Kollektief Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek" for their financial support.

## REFERENCES

- 1. R. Van Cauwenberghe, M. Anteunis and L. Valckx, <u>Bull. Soc. Chim. Belges</u> (1974) 83, 285.
- 2. E.L. Eliel and F. Alcudia, J. Am. Chem. Soc. (1974) 96, 1939

é

- 3. M. Anteunis, R. Van Cauwenberghe and C. Becu, <u>Bull. Soc. Chim. Belges</u> (1973) 82, 591.
- 4. M. Anteunis, G. Swaelens and J. Gelan, Tetrahedron (1971), 27, 1917.
- 5. M. Anteunis, Bull. Soc. Chim. Belges (1966) 75, 413.
- 6. J. Meinwald and A. Lewis, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. (1961) 83, 2769.

Received, 14th October, 1974