BOND ALTERNATION AND MUTAGENICITY OF AZULENOQUINOLINES AND DIAZULENOPYRIDINES

Tadayoshi Morita,<sup>a</sup> Yoshiharu Takizawa,<sup>a</sup> Shigeru Okita,<sup>a</sup> Kahei Takase,<sup>a</sup> Akio Tajirl,  $\frac{b}{c}$  Keiji Wakabayashi,  $\frac{c}{c}$  and Takashi Sugimura<sup>C</sup>

- a) Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Tohoku Univ., Sendai 980, Japan
- b) Chemical Res. Institute of Non-aqueous Solns., Tohoku Univ., Sendai 980, Japan
- c) National Cancer Center Res. Institute, 5-1-1, Tsukiji, Chuo-ku, Tokyo 104,Japan

Many benzenoid aza-arenes have been known to be carcigenic and mutagenic, but the bioactivity of non-benzenoid aza-arenes containing the azulene ring has been uninvestigated. Azulenobenzoquinolines (2 & 3) and diazulenopyridines (4, 5, and 6) have an isoelectronic structure with carcinogenic dibenzacridines. The synthe**sls,** bond alternation, and mutagenicity of azulenoquinolines and dlaaulenopyridines will be presented. Ullmann coupling of a mixture of activated 2-chloroazulene derivative (I) and arylamines (aryl=phenyl,  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -naphthyl) gave the corresponding arylazuleneamines (II) in good yields. Friedel-Craft ring closure of II with ppA afforded pyridone type compounds (III). Upon heating of III with POC1<sub>2</sub>, aromatization to chloropyrldlnes (IV) was achieved. Finally, dechorination of IV with hydrazine-PtO<sub>2</sub> generated the target compounds (1, 2, and 3). Diazulenopyridines (4, *5.* and *5)* were also synthesized by uslng of 2-amrnoazulene derivative as a staring material which was coupled with  $2-$ ,  $1-$ , or 6-haloazulenes, respectively. The bond alternation of the azulene ring of  $1 \sim 6$  on the basis of the vicinal coupling constants of their NMR spectra increases in a relative order:  $4$  >  $1$  >  $6$  >  $2.3$  >  $5.$ Mutagenicity of *2,* 3, and 4 **was** tested in Salmonella typhimurium stralns TA 98 and TA 100 with and without rat liver S9 mix. All these compounds were inactive without S9 mix. In the presence of S9 mix,  $2$  [revartant/ $\mu$ g= 8] and 3 [7] were as active as dibenz $[c, h]$ acridine to TA 98, but 4 [19] was more active than it. Compounds **2** [58], *3* [12], 4 [31] were somewhat weaker to TA 100 than dibenz[c,h]acridine [95] and benzo[aIpyrene [80]. The difference between **2** and 3 can be explained by pi-de- <sup>+</sup>localization energy calculated for substitution of **CH2** Ion at the positions 4  $(0.877 \beta)$  or 1  $(0.796 \beta)$  of azulenoquinoline  $(1)$ .

$$
\sum_{(1)} \sum_{i}^{CQ_2Et} \frac{4rNH_2}{Cu^2} \sum_{(11)} \sum_{i}^{CQ_2Et} \sum_{(11)}^{PPA} \sum_{i}^{PAA} \sum_{j}^{PAA} \sum_{(2)}^{POC1g} \sum_{(2)} \sum_{j}^{N} \sum_{k \text{ components}}^{N} \sum_{(11)}^{N} \sum_{j}^{N} \sum_{j}^{N
$$