A NEW ROUTE TOWARDS THE SYNTHESIS OF DIHYDROPYRANOXANTHONES

Vinod Kumar Ahluwalia* and Ashok Kumar Tehim

Department of Chemistry, University of Delhi, Delhi - 110 007, India

Abstract - A new route towards the synthesis of dihydropyranoxanthones using **carboxy-2.2-dimethylchromans as** starting material is described.

The occurrence of isoprenoid units (3-methylbut-2-enyl and 2.2-dimethylchromene) in both A and B ring of xanthone nucleus is frequent among naturally occurring xanthones, viz., calocalabaxanthonel **(3,** toxyloxanthone D' *(3,* calabaxanthone3 (3, pyranojacareubin4 (4) and thwaitesixanthone⁵ (5) and their number has been increasing^{6,7}. It is difficult to achieve selective orientation in good yield, in an attempt towards their synthesis involving building up of isoprenoid unit on the preformed xanthone nucleus. An unambiguous approach towards their synthesis could be to start with preformed ieoprenoid units on o-hydroxybenzoic acids and phenols and then build up the xanthone nucleus. The 2.2-dimethylchroman moiety is most stable amongst the isoprenoid units to resist the reaction conditions employed for xanthone synthesis. Once xanthone nucleus is build up to give dihydropyranoxanthone, the 2.2-dimethylchroman moiety may then be transformed^{8, 9} into the desired isoprenoid unit i.e. 3-methylbut-2-enyl or 2.2-dimethylchromene. Carboxy-2, 2-dimethylchromans and hydroxychromans are therefore the desired starting material.

 $-2703-$

In this communication, we report a new route towards the synthesis of dihydropyranoxanthones using **carboxy-2.2-dimethylchromans** as starting material. **Carboxy-2.2-dimethylchromne** used are 5-hydroxy (6)-, 7-hydroxy (7)- and 7, 8-dihydroxy (8)- 3, 4-dihydro-2, 2-dimethyl-2H-1-benzopyran-6-carboxylic acid¹⁰. Condensation of these acids $(6-8)$ has been carried out initially with 1,3, 5-trihydroxybenzene (9) in presence of zinc chloride and phosphorus oxychloride and then with 3,4-dihydro-5,7-dihydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-2H-1-benzopyran¹¹ (10) under similar conditions to give the corresponding dihydropyranoxanthones.

Initially, the condensation of 9 with 6 in presence of zinc chloride and phosphorus oxychloride (1:3) has been carried out as follows. A mixture of 9 (0.57 g, 4.5 mmol), 6 (1.0 g, 4.5) mmol), freshly fused zinc chloride (3.0 g) and phosphorus oxychloride (9.0 ml) was heated in an oil bath at $70-75^{\circ}$ C for 1h. The product was poured over crushed ice and left overnight. The solid so obtained was filtered, dried and chromatographed over silica gel. Elution of the column with benzene gave 1, 2-dihydro-8, 10-dihydroxy-3, 3-dimethyl-3H, 7H-pyrano $[2, 3-c]$ xanthen-7-one (11) which crystallised from alcohol as yellow prisms; yield: 0.85 g (60%); mp 285-286^oC. Similar condensation of 9 with carboxy-2, 2-dimethylchromans, viz., 7 and 8 in presence of zinc chloride and phosphorus oxychloride (1:3) gave 3, 4-dihydro-7, 9-dihydroxy-2, 2-dimethyl-2H, $6H$ -pyrano $\{3,2-b\}$ xanthen-6-one (12) and 3, 4-dihydro-7, 9, 12trihydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-2H, $6H$ -pyrano $\left[3,2-b\right]$ xanthen-6-one $\left(13\right)$ respectively (yield 55-62%). Partial methyl ethers, viz., $\frac{14}{22}$, $\frac{15}{22}$ and $\frac{16}{22}$ of above formed dihydropyranoxanthones have been prepared by methylation with appropriate moles of dimethyl sulphate in acetone in presence of potassium carbonate. The assigned structures were in agreement with their elemental analysis and 'H-NMR spectral data.

Condensation of 10 with 6 in presence of zinc chloride and phosphorus oxychloride (1:3) gave only one product, **A** (yield 53%). Compound **A** gave positive ferric reaction and showed in its $¹H-NMR$ spectrum, the presence of two 2, 2-dimethylchroman rings, an aromatic singlet, two</sup> ortho coupled aromatic protons and a low field hydroxyl singlet. Since 10 offers two reaction sites (6 and 8) for acylation, compound A could, therefore, be assigned the structure 5-hydroxy-3, 4, 11, 12-tetrahydro-2, 2, 10, 10-tetramethyl-2H, 6H, 10H-dipyrano [3, 2-b:3', 2'-d] xanthen-6-one (17) or 6-hydroxy-1, 2, 12, 13-tetrahydro-3, 3, 11, 11-tetramethyl-3H, 7H, 11H-dipyrano (2.3-c:3',2'-dl xanthen-7-one 1%) or **5-hydroxy-3,4,8.9-tetrahydro-2.2.l0,** 10-tetramethyl-2H, 10H, 14H-dipyrano $\begin{bmatrix} 2, 3-a:3', 2'-d \end{bmatrix}$ xanthen-14-one (19) . The third possibility $\frac{19}{20}$ was

ruled out since compound A showed the presence of a chelated hydroxyl group.

The structure 17 was assigned to compound A **as** it did not react with 2-methylbut-1.3-diene 9 $\frac{17}{2}$ w in presence of orthophosphoric acid: **A** was recovered unchanged from the reaction mixture. Had it been the alternate structure 18, the reaction with 2 -methylbut-1, 3-diene may have led to the structure 20. The assigned structure $\frac{17}{20}$ was further supported by methoxy benzene-induced shift study made as follows: The environment of methoxyl group is different in 21 and 22. which are the possible structures for methyl ether of compound **A.** The possibility whether methoxy benzene-induced shift can be used to differentiate $\frac{21}{20}$ and $\frac{22}{20}$ and hence $\frac{17}{20}$ and $\frac{18}{20}$ was examined first in known⁹ linear and angular dihydropyranoxanthones $(23 \text{ and } 24)$. The solvent shift of methoxyl group at 1 position in 24 was 0.3 ppm $\left[\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta & = & \begin{array}{c} 3.89 & - & 83.59 \\ \text{CDCl}_3 & & \text{C}_6H_6 \end{array} \end{array}\right]$. However, there

was no solvent shift of methoxyl group in 23 ($\Delta = 0$). The difference in solvent shift of methoxyl group in23 and **24** can he explained **as** follows: In structure **ZQ,** the 2.2-dimethylchroman ring is fused between 3 and 4 position of xanthone nucleus with an ortho aromatic proton to the methoxyl group. However, in structure 23, the linearly fused 2, 2-dimethylchroman ring between 2 and 3 position. caused steric inhibition of benzene solvation of the methoxyl group. Prompted by the successful results in 23 and 24, methoxy benzene-induced shift study was then used in the present **case.** The methoxyl group in methyl ether of compound A exhibit no solvent hit; resent case. The methoxyl group in methyl ether of compound A exhibit no solvently 3.92 - $\left[\begin{array}{cc} 3.92 & - & 3.92 \\ CDC1_3 & C_6H_6 \end{array}\right] = 0$, thereby suggesting structure 21 for methyl ether of

compound A and structure **17** for compound **A** itself.

Similar condensation of 10 with 7 and 8 gave 5-hydroxy-3, 4, 8, 9-tetrahydro-2, 2, 10, 10-tetramethyl-2H, 6H, 10H-dipyrano $\begin{bmatrix} 3, 2-b:2', 3'-e \\ 3, 2-b:2', 3'-e \\ 3, 3-b:2' \end{bmatrix}$ xanthen-6-one (25) and 5, 12-dihydroxy-3.4. 8, 9-tetrahydro-2, 2, 10, 10-tetramethyl-2H, 6H, 10H-dipyrano $\begin{bmatrix} 3, 2-b:2^1, 3^1-e \end{bmatrix}$ xanthen-6-one (26) respectively. 26 is tetrahydro derivative of naturally occurring pyranojacareubin⁴ (4).
25 and 26 on methyl (26) respectively. 26 is tetrahydro derivative of naturally occurring pyranojacareubin⁴ (4).

In the past, dihydropyranoxanthones with 2.2-dimethylchroman ring in both **A** and B ring of xanthone nucleus have been synthesised 8 by cyclization of the corresponding dihydropyranobenzophenones effected with tetramethylammonium hydroxide in refluxing pyridine. The synthesis of required benzophenones was achieved 8 in number of steps and in poor yield. However, in the present communication, synthesis of dihydropyranoxanthones has been achieved in good yield (50-60%) and in one step.

The dihydropyranoxanthones obtained above are intermediates for the synthesis of pyranoxanthones, e.g. dihydropyranoxanthone (29; methyl ether of 11) on dehydrogenation with 2,3-dichloro-5, 6-dicyanobenzoquinone (DDQ) in dry benzene gave the corresponding pyranoxanthone, 8, 10**dirnethoxy-3.3-dimethyl-3H.** 7H-pyrano [2.3-c 2 xanthen-7-one *(2)* in 80% yield. Similar dehydrogenation of 31 (methyl ether of 12) and 32 (methyl ether of 13) with DDQ gave the corresponding pyranoxanthones, viz., 33 and 34. Table -1 summarises the yield, mp and spectral data of all the prepared compounds.

31, 33 $X = H$
32, 34 $X = OCH_3$

$\texttt{Product}^\texttt{a}$	Yield ^b (%)	mp $(^{\circ}C)$	$\rm ^1H$ -NMR (CDCl ₃ /TMS) 8 (ppm)
$\stackrel{11}{\sim}$	60 (1:10)	285-286	∗
$\frac{12}{2}$	55 (1:0)	293-294	ж
$\frac{13}{2}$	62 (1:0)	339-340	*
$\frac{14}{5}$	90	220-221	1.40(s, 6H); 1.92(t, 2H, J=7 Hz); 2.94(t, 2H, J=7 Hz);
			3.88(s, 3H); 6.21(d, 1H, J=2.5 Hz); 6.28(d, 1H, J=2.5
			Hz), 6.70(d, 1H, J=9 Hz); 7.87(d, 1H, J=9 Hz); 13.10(s,
			IH, exchanged with D ₂ O).
15	80	162-163	1.37(s, 6H); 1.84(t, 2H, J=7 Hz); 2.86(t, 2H, J=7 Hz);
			3.80(s, 3H); 6.19(d, 1H, J=2.5 Hz); 6.25(d, 1H, J=2.5
			Hz); 6.62(s, 1H); 7.81(s, 1H); 13.10(s, 1H, exchanged
			with D_2O).
16	87	159-160	1.46(s, 6H); 1.89(t, 2H, J=7 Hz); 3.00(t, 2H, J=7 Hz);
			3.86(s, 3H); 3.96(s, 3H); 6.18(d, 1H, J=2.5 Hz);
			6.37(d, 1H, J=2.5 Hz); 7.63(s, 1H); 12.80(s, 1H,
			ex changed with D_2O).
17	53 (0:1)	198-199	1.34(s, 12H); 1.68-1.94(m, 4H); 2.56-2.94(m, 4H);
			6.22(s, 1H); 6.70(d, 1H, J=9 Hz); 7.89(d, 1H, J=9 Hz);
			13. 50(s. 1H. exchanged with D_2O).
21 $\widetilde{}$	82	210-211	1.34(s, 12H); 1.71-1.95(m, 4H); 2.73-2.97(m, 4H);
			3.92(s, 3H) ^c ; 6.58(s, 1H); 6.70(d, 1H, J=9 Hz); 7.98(d,
			$1H, J=9 Hz$.
25	50	192-193	1.33(s, 12H); 1.68-1.93(m, 4H); 2.56-2.91(m, 4H);
	(0:1)		6.23(s, 1H); 6.66(s, 1H); 7.85(s, 1H); 13.70(s, 1H,
			exchanged with D_2O .

Table - 1: Compounds $11 - 17$, 21 and 25-34 prepared

 * 1 H-NMR spectrum could not be recorded due to poor solubility of compound in CDCl₃/ $DMSO-d_6.$

a Satisfactory microanalysis obtained for all the products.

b Values in the parenthesis given after the yield are the ratio of benzene-petroleum ether used

as eluent in column chromatography over silica gel.
 cNo solvent shift. $\Delta = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & CDC_{13} - 6 & CDF_{6} + 6 \\ 6 & BDF_{13} - 6 & BDF_{14} - 6 \end{bmatrix} = 0.$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Our thanks are due to UGC, New Delh'i. India **for** the financial assistance.

REFERENCES

- V. Kumar, S. Sotheeswaran, S. Surendrakumar and S. Balasubramanian,
Phytochemistry, 1982, 21, 807. $\mathbf{1}$.
- $\overline{2}$. V.H. Deshpande, A.V. Ramo Rao, M. Varadanand K. Venkataraman, Indian J. Chem., 1973, 11, 518.
- R. Somanathan and M.U.S. Sultanbawa, J. Chern. Soc. Perkin Trans. I, 1972, 1935. $3.$
- P.G. Waterman and E.G. Crichton, Phytochemistry, 1980, 19, 2723. $4.$
- $5₁$ M. Dahanayake, I. Kitagawa, R. Somanathan and M. **U.** S. Sultanbawa, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. I, 1974, 2 510.
- $6.$ F. Delle Monache, B. Botta, M. Nicoletti, J.S. de Barrows Coelho and F.D. de Andrade Lyra, J. Chem. **Soc.** Perkin Trans. I, 1981, 484.
- $7.$ T. Nomura, Y. Hano and T. Fujimoto. Heterocycles, 1983, 20. 213.
- 8. H.H. Lee, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. I, 1981, 3205.
- 9. V.K. Ahluwalia, R.S. Jolly and A.K. Tehim, **J.** Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. I, 1983, 1229.
- 10. V. K. Ahluwalia, R.S. Jolly and A.K. Tehim, Tetrahedron, 1982, 38, 3673.
- 11. R. J. Molyneux and L. Jurd, Tetrahedron, 1970, 26, 4743.

Received, 5th July, 1984