BORON TRIFLUORIDE ETHERATE-CATALYZED REARRANGEMENT OF 2,4,6,7-TETRAPHENYL-1,3-OXAZEPINE TO GIVE NOVEL PYRIDONE RING SYSTEM


Tomoshige Kobayashi and Makoto Nitta\*

Department of Chemistry, School of Science and Engineering,

Waseda University, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan

Abstract—The boron trifluoride etherate causes an efficient rearrangement of 2,4,6,7-tetraphenyl-1,3-oxazepine to lead to 2,2,4,6-tetraphenyl-3-pyridone and 3,3,4,6-tetraphenyl-2-pyridone. The BF<sub>3</sub>-coordinated pyridine-2,3-oxide is proposed as a reasonable intermediate.

The equilibrium for the valence isomerization of heteropines (1)-arene oxide (2) generally lies on the side of 1. It was theoretically shown that protonation or coordination on the lone pair electron of the oxygen atom of 1a-2a strengthen the C-C bond of the oxirane ring in 2a so as to shift the equilibrium to the side of 2a. The thermodynamic and kinetic studies of 1a-2a are suggestive for this prediction. Regarding the valence isomerization of 1,3-oxazepine (1b)-pyridine-2,3-oxide (2b), 2b is postulated in the photochemical reaction of pyridine N-oxide, 4,5 or in the thermal reaction of 2-phenyl-1,3-oxazepine leading to 2-phenyl-3-hydroxy-pyridine at high temperature. In acid-catalyzed reaction of 2,4,5,6-tetraphenyl-1,3-oxazepine, protonation occurs on the nitrogen atom to result in a hydrolysis of the C=N bond. However, the 1,3-oxazepine undergoes a facile rearrangement to give 3-hydroxypyridine derivative on silica gel. Therefore, the coordination-effect on the equilibrium of 1b-2b is also suggested.



We now report on the  $\mathrm{BF}_3\cdot\mathrm{OEt}_2$ -catalyzed rearrangement of 1,3-oxazepine to lead to novel 2,2,4,6-tetraphenyl-3-pyridone and 3,3,4,6-tetraphenyl-2-pyridone ring system,

suggesting the coordination of BF $_3$  shifts the equilibrium of <u>1b-2b</u> to the side of <u>2b</u>. 2,4,6,7-Tetraphenyl-1,3-oxazepine (<u>3</u>) $^9$  and a 0.1 molar equivalent quantity of BF $_3$ ·OEt $_2$  in anhydrous benzene were heated under reflux for 6 h to result in the formation of 2,2,4,6-tetraphenyl-3-pyridone (<u>7</u>) (72%, mp 203-204 °C) and 3,3,4,6-tetraphenyl-2-pyridone (<u>8</u>) (10%, mp 162-163 °C). The elemental analyses are satisfactory for <u>7</u> and <u>8</u>, and the structures were characterized on the basis of the following spectral data. For <u>7</u>:  $v_{\rm max}$  (CHCl $_3$ ) 1672 cm $^{-1}$ ;  $\lambda_{\rm max}$  (MeCN) 238 and 298 nm (log & 4.02 and 3.72);  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (CDCl $_3$ ) 7.17 (1H, s), 7.20-7.65 (16H, m), 7.90-8.15 (4H, m); m/z (rel intensity), 399 (M $^+$ , 100), 371 (99), 268 (6), 267 (9), 165 (95%). For <u>8</u>:  $v_{\rm max}$  (CHCl $_3$ ) 1695 cm $^{-1}$ ;  $\lambda_{\rm max}$  (MeCN) 248, 298sh, and 363 nm (log & 4.26, 3.88, and 3.45);  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (CDCl $_3$ ) 6.75 (1H, s), 7.20-7.55 (16H, m), 7.90-8.15 (4H, m); m/z (rel intensity), 399 (M $^+$ , 10), 371 (100), 268 (29), 267 (24), 165 (9).

The formation of  $\underline{7}$  and  $\underline{8}$  is best explained by the mechanism in Scheme 2. The key step is the coordination of BF<sub>3</sub> on the oxygen atom of  $\underline{3}$  followed by the isomerization to give  $\underline{4}$ . The cleavage of either of the C-O bonds of  $\underline{4}$  gives the intermediates  $\underline{5}$  and  $\underline{6}$ . The phenyl migration in  $\underline{5}$  and  $\underline{6}$ , and the subsequent decomplexation give  $\underline{7}$  and  $\underline{8}$ . The predominant formation of  $\underline{7}$  over  $\underline{8}$  is ascribed to the more stable intermediate  $\underline{5}$ , the resonance hybrid of which can be stabilized by the phenyl groups. Treatment of  $\underline{3}$  with BF<sub>3</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub> at ambient temperature for 20 h afforded no pyridone, and  $\underline{3}$  was recovered in 95% yield. This fact clearly suggests that the equilibrium of  $\underline{3}$ - $\underline{4}$  is shifted to the side of  $\underline{4}$  to some extent under reflux. The

N-coordinated complex  $\underline{9}$ , which may exist in the equilibrium, could be inert under anhydrous conditions.

On the other hand, the reaction of  $\underline{3}$  with  $\mathrm{BF_3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt_2}$  in moist benzene proceeded even at ambient temperature to give  $\underline{11}^7$  (1%),  $\underline{12}^{10}$  (7%), and  $\underline{13}^7$  (42%), in addition to  $\underline{3}$  (39%). Under reflux, this reaction is completed whithin 3 h to give  $\underline{11}$  (7%),  $\underline{12}$  (2%),  $\underline{13}$  (59%), and  $\underline{14}^{11}$  (24%), which results from the dehydration of  $\underline{13}$  (Scheme 3). The similar type of reaction was observed when 2,4,5,6-tetraphenyl-1,3-oxazepine<sup>7</sup> and benz-1,3-oxazepines,  $15^{12}$  and  $17,^{13}$  were treated with proton acid, and the mechanism was nearly established. Hydrolysis of the C=N bond by proton generated from  $\mathrm{BF_3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt_2} - \mathrm{H_2O}$  gives  $\underline{10}$ . The complex  $\underline{9}$ , which could be inert under anhydrous conditions, may also react with water to give 10.

The reaction of  $\underline{15}$  or  $\underline{17}$  with  $\mathrm{BF}_3\cdot\mathrm{OEt}_2$  in anhydrous benzene under reflux for 6 h afforded no product, and  $\underline{15}$  or  $\underline{17}$  was recovered in 71 or 92% yield, respectively. Since the possible valence isomers,  $\underline{16}$  and  $\underline{18}$ , contain a quinoid structure, therefore they would be unfavorable energetically  $^5$  even in the presence of  $\mathrm{BF}_3\cdot\mathrm{OEt}_2$ , unlike the case demonstrated in  $\underline{3-4}$  (Scheme 4).

Scheme 3

Me Me 
$$0: BF_3$$
  $0: BF_3$   $0: BF_3$ 

## REFERENCES

- 1 W. D. Stohrer and R. Hoffmann, Angew. Chem., 84, 820 (1972); W. D. Stohrer,
  Chem. Ber., 106, 970 (1973).
- 2 E. Vogel and H. Günther, Angew. Chem. Int. Edit. Engl., 6, 385 (1967).
- 3 H. Prinzbach and P. Vogel, Helv. Chim. Acta, 52, 396 (1969).
- 4 G. G. Spence, E. C. Taylor, and O. Buchardt, Chem. Rev., 70, 231 (1970).
- 5 F. Bellamy and J. Streith, Heterocycles, 4, 1391 (1976).
- 6 T. Tezuka, O. Seshimoto, and T. Mukai, <u>J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.</u>, 373 (1974);
  T. Mukai, T. Kumagai, and O. Seshimoto, Pure Appl. Chem., 49, 287 (1977).
- 7 C. L. Pedersen and O. Buchardt, Acta Chem. Scand., 27, 271 (1973).
- 8 O. Buchardt, C. L. Pedersen, and N. Harrit, J. Org. Chem., 37, 3592 (1972).
- 9 J. P. Le Roux, J. C. Cherton, and P. L. Desbene, <u>C. R. Acad. Sci., Ser. C</u>, <u>280</u>, 37 (1975).
- 10 M. Takahashi, N. Inada, H. Kirihara, and S. Watanabe, <u>Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.</u>, <u>51</u>, 3321 (1978).
- 11 M. J. Haddadin, B. J. Agha, and R. F. Tabli, J. Org. Chem., 44, 494 (1979).
- 12 J. P. Le Roux, J. C. Cherton, and P. L. Desbene, <u>C. R. Acad. Sci. Ser. C</u>, <u>278</u>, 1389 (1974).
- O. Buchardt, B. Jensen, and I. K. Larsen, <u>Acta Chem. Scand.</u>, <u>21</u>, 1841 (1967);
   O. Buchardt, Tetrahedron Lett., 6221 (1966).

Received, 8th March, 1985