APPLICATION OF THE PHOTO-FRIES REARRANGEMENT OF ARYL DIHYDROCINNAMATES TO THE SYNTHESIS OF FLAVONOIDS Hermenegildo García, ^a Sara Iborra, ^a Miguel A. Miranda, ^{b*} and Jaime Primo ^a ^a Dep. Química, ETSII, Universidad Politécnica, Valencia
^D Dep. Química Orgánica,Facultad Farmacia,46010 Valencia,Spain

Abstract - The photo-Fries rearrangement of aryl dihydrocinnamates is compared with that of the analogous cinnamates from a preparative point of view. The former proceeds with higher conversions, giving the corresponding **2.-hydroxydihydrocha1cones** in acceptable yields. This process, combined with well established reactions, provides an alternative entry to the synthesis of flavonoids.

The flavonoids are widely occurring natural polyphenolic compounds, $1/2$ whose biosynthesis, although not completely establlshed, is accepted to involve the intermediacy of $2⁷$ -hydroxychalcones and the isomeric flavanones. 2 Much effort has been devoted to the synthesis of the basic flavonoid skeleton. $¹$ </sup> A priorl, one of the most attracting approaches would consist in the Lewis acid- -catalyzed acylation of phenols or their alkyl ethers with cinnamoyl chlorides, due to its resemblance to the biosynthetic route. However, the yields obtained in this way are usually low (less than 20%) and, Very often, the strongly acidic conditions give rise to the formation of undesired by-products. 3 In principle, the above difficulties could be avolded by making use of the equivalent photochemical Fries rearrangement of phenyl cinnamates. Some interesting contributions concerning this reaction have been already published, **4-7** mainly by Obara an his group; however, as an important limitation, the preparative yields in 2⁻⁻hydroxychalcones are within the range 5-31% (average value: 15%) and considerable amounts of che starting esters are recovered. The apparent poor conversions achieved by this method could be partially attributed to a competing cis-trans photoisomerization of the double bond. 8 On

the basis of this hypothesis, and as a continuation of our previous work on the photochemical synthesis of chromanones and related oxygen heterocycles, 9 we have tried to establish a comparison between the photochemical behavior of some phenyl cinnamates (la-c) and that of their saturated analogues ($1d-g$), where the cis--trans photoisomerization is not possible.

The results obtained from these studies are summarized in the following table :

It becomes evident that the presence of a conjugated double bond in the acyl group R (and, therefore, the possibility of undergoing cis-trans isomerization) has an important influence on the degree of photorearrangement. Thus, the average yield of chalcones from cinnamates is 18%, being increased to a 48% in the case of the corresponding dihydro compounds. Furthermore, the recovered cinnamates (approximately 508 of the starting amount) are mixtures of the trans-cis isomers in the ratio 36/62, as shown by the 1 H-nmr analysis of the acids obtained by saponification. It is particularly worth mentioning the fact that the cinnamate (la) and its dibromo derivative (lg) give rise to the same photoproduct (2a) with similar yields. This can be justified by admitting the occurrence of a photochemical debromination of (lg) before the photorearrangement, for which there are some reasonably related precedents in the literature. 10,11

In connection with the above observations, and specially with the differences due

HETEROCYCLES, Yo1 23, No **^B**i **⁹⁸⁵**

to the involvement of competitive photochemical processes such as cis-trans isomerizatlon and debromination, it can be interesting to establish comparisons at less advanced stages of the reaction. For this purpose, we have included in the table II data concerning the situation after 1 h and also those corresponding to the end of the irradiation, taking as substrates the different esters derived fran 4-methoxyphenol (la, 1d and lg).

a Measured by uv, at A=380 **nm,** taking as unity the yleld in chalcone (2a) from its precursor (1a), after 1 h irradiation. b Irradiations for more than 8 h did not lead to an appreciable **lncrease** in the absorbance of the resulting solutions.

It can be seen that the differences are more important at lower reaction times, when the perturbations of the absorption due to the filtering effect of chalcones and dihydrochalcones are less significant. Thus, after 1 h, the rearrangement degree of the dihydrocinnamate (1d) is approximately 4 times that of the cinnamate (la) and 19 times that of the dibromo derivative (lg), whereas these differences are considerably reduced when using more prolonged irradiations. Turning to the preparative interest of the above transformations, the dihydrochalcones (2d-f) can be quantitatively converted into the corresponding chalcones using dichlorodicyanoquinone (DDQ) as dehydrogenating agent. **l2** and the latter compounds (2a-c) undergo a very easy cyclization in the presence of both acids and bases, $\frac{13}{14}$ to give the isomeric flavanones $\left(\frac{3a-c}{2}\right)$.

As a concluding remark, the photo-Fries rearrangement of aryl dihydrocinnamates could constitute an efficient alternative entry to the synthesis of flavonoids, taking into account that the different types of natural products belonging to this family can be obtained from the chalcone-flavanone isomers by a series of well established reactions. ¹

EXPERIMENTAL

Melting polnts are uncorrected. Combustion analyses were performed at the Institute de Quimica Bio-Org6nica of the CSIC !Barcelona). Ir spectra were determined in CCl₄, with a Perkin-Elmer 577 spectrometer; absorptions (\bar{v}, cm^{-1}) are given only for the main bands. $1_{H-\text{mm}}$ spectra were measured with a Varian 360 EM instrument, using Cl_4 as solvent; chemical shifts are reported in ppm downfleld **(6)** from TMS. The uv spectra were determined in ethanol with a Varian 634 spectrophotometer; absorbed radiation is defined by its wavelenght (λ_{max}) nm) and log ϵ (in brackets).

General esterification **procedure**

Acid chlorides were prepared treating the corresponding aclds with an equimolar amount of thionyl chloride in CHCl₃ at room temperature. Solvent evaporation gave the crude chlorides which were refluxed for 2 h in benzene with the corresponding phenols in the presence of Mg. The reactlon mixtures **were** then filtered, washed with 5% NaOH, then with water, and dried with anhydrous Na₂SO₄. Evaporation of the solvent followed by recrystallization from ethanol yielded the esters in purefom.

Bromination of (la)

Bromine (0.8 g) in 20 ml of CCl₄ was added dropwise to a solution of (la) (l g) in 25 ml of \texttt{CCl}_4 at room temperature. The solvent was then evaporated in vacuo and the residue crystallized from ethanol to give $(1g)$ $(1.4 g)$.

Irradiations

A solution of 500 mg of ester in 450 ml of freshly distilled hexane was irradiated for 8 h at room temperature with a 125 W medium pressure mercury lamp inside a quartz immersion well. The photorearranged products were isolated, after removal of the solvent, with silica gel flash-column chromatography using hexane as eluent.

 $-1986-$

Cyclization of chalcones to flavanones

A solution of 500 mg of chalcone **in** 200 ml of benzene was stirred at room temperature during 3 h with a mixture of 100 ml of 25% NH_A OH and 20 ml of 20% tetraethylammonium hydroxide in water, the organic layer was then separated and the solvent removed in vacuo. The residue **was** submitted to slllca gel flash column chromatography affording the expected flavanone.

Products

4-Methoxyphenyl tnans-cinnamate (1a) (72%), mp 99-100 °C, Anal. Calcd. for $C_{16}H_{14}O_3$: C, 75.57; H, 5.54; Found: C, 75.46; H, 5.53 $\frac{1}{3}$, ir 1730 (C=O), 1 H-nmr 7.60 (d, J=16Hz, 1H, C₆H₅CH=CH), 7.35 (m, 5H, C₆H₅), 6.92 (m, 4H, CH₃OC₆H₄), 6.52 (d, J=16Hz, 1H, CH=CHCO), 3.73 (s, 3H, OCH₃), uv 280 (4.5). 4-Methylphenyl trans-cinnamate (1b) (80%), mp 95-96 °C, Anal. Calcd. for $C_{16}H_{14}O_2$: C, 80.65; H, 5.92; Found: C, 80.71; H, 5.96 %, ir 1735 (C=O), 1 H-nmr 7.79 (d, J=16Hz, 1H, C₆H₅CH=CH), 7.35 (m, 5H, C₆H₅), 7.00 *(s, 4H, CH₃C₆H₄)*, 6.52 *(d,* $J=16Hz$, 1H, CH=CHCO), 2.30 *(s, 3H, CH₃)*, *uv* 274 *(4.5)*. $2,4$ -Dimethyiphenyi trans-cinnamaie (lc) (69%), mp 72-73 °C, Anal. Calcd. for $C_{17}H_{16}O_2$: C, 80.92; H, 6.39; Found: C, 80.85; H, 6.38 %, ir 1735 (C=O), 1 H-nmr 7.73 (d, J=16Hz, 1H, C₆H₅CH=CH), 7.60-7.12 (m, 5H, C₆H₅), 6.93 (m, 3H, (CH₃)₂C₆H₃) , 6.52 (d, J=16Hz, lH, CH=C<u>H</u>CO), 2.30 *(s, 3H, C*H₃), 2.19 *(s, 3H, C*H₃), w 274 *(4.4)*. 4- *4-* $*Method of a* = 34$ *, mp 38-39 °C, ir 1765 (C=O),* 1 H-nmr 7.50 (m, 5H, C₆H₅), 6.80 (m, 4H, CH₃OC₆H₄), 3.71 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.30-2.50 $(m, 4H, C\underline{H}_2C\underline{H}_2), uv 278 (3.3).$ 4-Methyiphenyi 3-phenyipnopanoate (le) 16 (82%), mp 32-33 °C, ir 1760 (C=O), l_{H-nmr} 7.19 (m, 5H, C₆H₅), 6.83 (m, 4H, CH₃C₆H₄), 3.09-2.53 (m, 4H, CH₂CH₂), 2.20 (s, 3H, CH₃), uv 265 (2.8). 2,4-Dimethylphenyl 3-phenylpnopanoate (1f) (78%), Anal. Calcd. for $C_{17}H_{18}O_2$: C, 80.28; H, 7.13; Found: C, 80.32; H, 7.00 %, ir 1765 (C=O), l_{H-nmr} 7.51-6.60 (m, 8H, ArH), 3.10-2.52 (m, 4H, CH_2CH_2), 2.20 *(s, 3H, CH₃)*, 1.90 *(s, 3H, CH₃)*, **uv** 266 (3.1).

4-Methoxyphenyl 2,3-dibnomo-3-phenylpropanoate (lg) (87%) , mp 114-115 °C, Anal. Calcd. for $C_{16}H_{14}Br_2O_3$: C, 46.41; H, 3.41; Br, 38.60; Found: C, 46.45; H, 3.36; Br, 38.78 %, ir 1775 (C=O), 1 H-nmr 7.22 (m, 5H, C₆H₅), 6.83 (m, 4H, CH₃OC₆H₄), 5.30 (d, J=12Hz, 1H, CHBrCO), 4.80 (d, J=12Hz, 1H, CHBrC₆H₅), 3.70 (s, 3H, OCH₃), uv 292 (4.0).

2^{*}-Hydnoxy-5^{*}-methoxychalcone (2a) ¹⁷ (20%), ir 1650 (C=O), ¹H-nmr 12.40 (s. 1H. OH), 8.00-6.80 (m, 10H, ArH and CH=CH), 3.83 (s, 3H, OCH₃), uv 380 (3.5) $313 (4.2) 249 (4.1).$ 2 ° - $\frac{H_y}{d}$ *noxy-5* ° -methy lchalcone $(2b)$ 18 (19%), mp 94-96 °C, ir 1660 (C=O), $\frac{1}{2}$ H-nmr 12.50 (s, 1H, OH), 8.00-6.79 (m, 10H, ArH and CH=CH), 2.32 (s, 3H, CH₃), uv 365 (3.8) 311 (4.4) . 2'-Hydzoxy-3',5'-dimethylchalcone (2c) (15%) , mp 70-72 °C, Anal. Calcd. for $C_{1,7}H_{1,6}O_2$: C, 80.92; H, 6.39; Found: C, 81.11; H, 6.47 %, ir 1650 (C=O), 1 H-nmr 12.50 (s, 1H, OH), $8.21-6.93$ (m, $9H$, ArH and CH=CH), 2.31 (s, $3H$, CH₂), 2.22 $(S, 3H, CH₃)$, uv 376 (3.7) 312 (4.4). $1-(2-Hydx$ oxy-5-methoxyphenyll-3-phenyl-1-pnopanone (2d) 19 (54%), ir 1660 (C=0), 1
H-nmr 11.60 (s, 1H, OH), 7.24-6.68 (m, 8H, ArH), 3.70 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.15-2.96 $(m, 4H, CH_2CH_2), uv 360 (3.6) 240 (4.4).$ $1 - (2 - Hyd$ *noxy-5-methylphenyll-3-phenyl-1-propanone* (2e) 20 (50%), mp 36-38 °C, ir 1660 (C=O), 1 H-nmr 12.04 (s, 1H, OH), 7.30-6.64 (m, 8H, ArH), 3.23-2.80 $(m, 4H, CH_2CH_2), 2.20$ (s, 3H, $CH_2)$, uv 343 (3.5) 254 (3.9). **1-12-~~:dnuxy-3.5-dcmeth~iphen~iJ-1phenyi-Ipn0panone** 12f) (40%), Anal. Calcd. for $C_1,H_1_8O_2$: C, 80.28; H, 7.13; Found: C, 80.13; H, 7.54 %, ir 1645 (C=O), 1 H-nmr 12.15 (s, 1H, OH), 7.35-6.92 (m, 7H, ArH), 3.22-2.82 (m, 4H, CH₂CH₂), 2.20 (s, $6H$, $2CH_3$), **uv** 350 (3.5) 259 (3.9). 6- NetworkLaw avanone (3a) 21 (75%), mp 139-141 °C, ir 1695 (C=O), 1 H-nmr 7.40- -6.80 (m, 8H, ArH), 5.30 (dd, J_{cis}=6Hz, J_{trans}=11Hz, 1H, CHPh), 3.72 (s, 3H, OCH_3 , 3.20-3.00 (m, 2H, CH_2), uv 350 (3.9). 6- ${\it Methyllllavano}$ (3b) ²² (68%), mp 104-106 °C, ir 1695 (C=O), ¹H-nmr 7.73- -6.70 (m, $8H$, Ar^H₁), 5.32 (dd, J_{cis}=6Hz, J_{trans}=11Hz, 1H, C^HPh), 3.10-2.62 (m, 2H, CH₂), 2.29 (s, 3H, CH₂). 6.8-Dimethylflavanone (3c) (40%), mp 69-71 °C, Anal. Calcd. for $C_{17}H_{16}O_2$: C, 80.92; H, 6.39; Found: C, 80.85; H, 6.41 %, ir 1710 (C=O), 1 H-nmr 7.52-6.82 (m, 7H, ArH), 5.23 (dd, J_{cis}=6Hz, J_{trans}=10Hz, 1H, CHPh), 2.94-2.69 (m, 2H, CH₂), 2.23 **(s, 3H, CH₃), 2.19 (s, 3H, CH₃)**, uv 337 (3.4) 261 (3.8).

 $-1988-$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We wish to thank the CAICYT for financial support (project 1303/83) and for a postgraduate fellowship to S. Iborra

REFERENCES

- 1. J.B. Harborne, T.J. Mabry and H. Mabry. "The flavonoids", Academic Press. New York, 1976.
- 2. J.B. Harborne and T.J. Mabry, "The flavonoids: Advances in research", Chapman and Hall, New York, 1982 REFERENCES

1. J.B. Harborne, T.J. Mabry and H. Mabry, "The flavonoids", Academ

New York, 1976.

2. J.B. Harborne and T.J. Mabry, "The flavonoids: Advances in resea

and Hall, New York, 1982.

3. H. Simonis and C. Lear, <u></u>
- 3. H. Simonis and C. Lear, Chem. Ber., 1926, 59, 2908.
- 4. V.T. Ramakrishnan and J. Kagan, J. Org. Chem., 1960, 35, 2901.
- 5. H. Obara and H. Takahashi, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan, 1967, 40, 1012.
- 6. H. Obara, H. Takahashi and H. Hirano, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan, 1969, 42, 560.
- 7. J. Onodera and H. Obara, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan, 1974, 47, 240.
- 8. I. Shindo, K. Horie and I. Mita, J. Photochem., 1984, 26, 185 and references therein.
- 9. J. Primo, R. Tormo and M.A. Miranda, Heterocycles, 1982, 19, 1819.
-
- 11. J.A. Donnelly and K. Quigley, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I, 1980, 1299.
- 12. M.J. Meegan and D.M.X. Donnelly, J. Chem. Research (S), 1981, 1, 4.
- 13. A. Grouiller, P. Thomassery and H. Pacheco, Bull. Soc. Chim. France, 1973, 3488.
- 14. K.B. Old and L. Main,J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. **11,** 1982, 1309.
- 15. H. Obara, H. Takahashi and J. Onodera, Kogyo Kagaku Zasshi, 1969, 72, 309.
- 16. L.A. Cohen and S. Takahashi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1973, 95, 443.
- 17. S. Takahashi and L.A. Cohen, J. Org. Chem., 1970, 35, 1505.
- 18. R. Matsushima, T. Kishimoto, M. Suzuki, M. Morioka and H. Hizuno, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan, 1980, 53, 2938.
- 19. S.W. Sathe and K.N. Wadodkar, Indian J. Chem., 1982, 21, 153.
- 20. M.R. Sandner, E. Hedaya and D.J. Trecker, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1968, 90, 7249.
- 21. M.K. Seikel, M.J. Lounsbury and S-C. Wang, J. Org. Chem., 1962, 27, 2952.
- 22. N.J. Reddy and T.C. Sharma, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan, 1979, 52, 647.

Received, 20th March, 1985