ABSOLUTE CONFIGURATION OF NATURAL DIELS-ALDER TYPE ADDUCTS FROM THE MORUS ROOT BARK

Yoshio Hano,<sup>a</sup> Shinkichi Suzuki,<sup>a</sup> Taro Nomura,<sup>\*a</sup> and Yoichi Iitaka<sup>b,1</sup>

- (a) Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Toho University, 2-2-1, Miyama, Funabashi-shi, Chiba 274, Japan
- (bl Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1, Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113, Japan

Abstract - Absolute configuration of optically active Diels-Alder type adducts from the Morus root bark, which are regarded as adducts of chalcones and dehydroprenylphenols, were determined on the basis of X-ray crystallographic analysis and the cd spectra. Absolute configuration of the chiral centers on the methylcyclohexene ring in the cis-trans adducts was established to be **3"5,** 4"R, **5"5,** while in the all-w adducts to be 3°F. 4"R. **5"g.** 

Optically active Diels-Alder type adducts of chalcones with dehydroprenylphenols have been isolated from the root bark of mulberry tree.<sup>2</sup> Generally these adducts have three chiral centers on the methylcyclohexene ring in some structures, such as of kuwanon G  $(1)$ , <sup>3</sup> mulberrofuran C  $(2)$ <sup>4</sup> and so on. In the previous paper, we reported the determination of the absolute configuration of the chiral centers in



Fig. 1



Table 1  $^{13}$ C nmr chemical shifts (ppm) in CDCl<sub>3</sub>



\*; Assignments may be interchanged

the cyclohexene ring of kuwanon L (3) which is regarded as a Diels-Alder type adduct of chalcone with 3'-dehydroprenylflavanone, to be 3"R, 4"R, 5"S by using. dibenzoate rule in the circular dichroism (cd) spectroscopy.<sup>5</sup> In this paper, we report the determination of the absolute configuration of Diels-Alder type adducts from the Morus root bark by using X-ray crystallographic analysis and the cd spectroscopy.

Previously we reported that mulberrofuran G (=albanol A,  $\frac{4}{3}$ ) was derived from mulberrofuran C (2) through the ketalization reaction under acidic condition. **<sup>6</sup>** while Rama Rao reported the structure of **4** by the X-ray crystallographic analysis of albanol A pentamethyl ether (4a) by direct method,<sup>7</sup> these results suggest that the absolute configuration of  $\zeta$  should be confirmed by determining that of  $\zeta$ . For the purpose of this determination by the X-ray analysis, we attempted

the introduction of bromine into **3.** After N-bromosuccinimide (NBS, 30 mg) was added to a solution of 4a (100 mg) in carbon tetrachloride (5 ml), the solution was allowed to stand for 17 h at room temperature. From the reaction mixture, the compound **A** , 30 mg) was obtained as a main product (Chart l), which forms colorless crystalline powder, mp 162-164 °C,  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$  +581° (c=0.085, CHCl<sub>3</sub>), and showed the molecular ion peak in the mass spectrum at  $m/z$  710 accompanied by the isotope peak of  ${}^{81}$ Br at m/z 712 demonstrating a mono-bromo derivative. The location of Br was confirmed from the facts that the  $1_H$  nuclear magnetic resonance (nmr) spectrum of 5<sup>8</sup> showed only one-proton singlet signal at 8 7.05 ppm in the C-ring as compared with the spectrum of  $4a^6$ , and that the  $^{13}$ C nmr spectrum of 5 showed five methoxyl carbon signals at **S** 55.0, 55.1, 55.3, 55.5 and 55.7 ppm (Table **1).** On the other hand, Dhami reported that the aromatic methoxyl carbon atom signal(s) appear(s) at  $\delta \sim$  55 ppm in the case of mono-ortho substitution of the methoxyl group and at  $6 \sim 60$  ppm in the case of di-ortho substitution.<sup>9</sup> From the above results, compound **A** was represented by formula *5.* For the purpose of obtaining the crystalline form for X-ray analysis, the following reaction was carried out. The mixture Of *5* (32 mg) and 2.3-dichloro-5.6-dicyanabenzoquinone (DDQ, 21 mg) in dry benzene (30 ml) was refluxed for 8 h, and the product was purified by preparative TLC to afford compound B  $(6, 15 \text{ mg})$  (Chart 1) as yellow needles, mp 268-272 °C,  $[\alpha]_p^{26}$  +73°  $(c=0.18, CHCl<sub>3</sub>)$ . The mass spectrum of 6 showed the molecular ion peak at m/z 706 accompanied by isotope peak at m/z 708. In the  $^1$ H nmr spectrum of 6 (CDC1<sub>3</sub>), all the cyclohexene ring proton signals of *5* disappeared and, in place of these signals, the two aromatic proton signals assignable to  $C-2$ "-H and  $C-6$ "-H appeared at  $6\,$  8.27 and 7.45 ppm, respectively. Other signals were analysed by comparing with the  ${}^{1}H$ nmr spectrum of 6 as follows; 2-arylbenzofuran moiety [86.87 (1H, dd, J=2 and 8 Hz, C-5-HI, 6.94 (1H. d, J=1 Hz, C-3-HI, 7.04 (1H. d, J=2 Hz, C-6'-HI, 7.07 (1H. br d, J=2 Hz, C-7-H), 7.15 (lH, d, J=2 Hz, C-2'-H), 7.43 (lH, d, J=8 Hz, C-4-H)], E-ring  $166.02$  (lH, dd, J=2 and 8Hz, C-13"-H), 6.41 (lH, d, J=2 Hz, C-11"-H), 6.44 (1H. d, J=8 Hz, C-14"-H)], F-ring I6 6.61 and 7.85 (each lH, **s,** C-17"-H and C-20"- H)], aromatic methyl group [  $\Sigma$  2.54 (3H, s, C-1"-CH<sub>3</sub>)], and five methoxyl groups 163.62, 3.84, 3.88, 4.00, and 4.04 (each 3H, **s)l.** These findings suggest the migration of Br caused by the treatment of  $5$  with DDQ, and the formula  $6$  was proposed for the compound  $B<sub>1</sub><sup>23</sup>$ 

In order to determine the absolute configuration of sole chiral center at C-8" of 6, the X-ray crystallographic analysis of 6 was carried out and the following

crystallographic data were obtained;  $C_{39}H_{31}O_8Br$ , molecular weight=707.6, rhombic, space group  $P2_12_12_1$ , lattice constants, a=19.5549 Å, b=21.9659 Å, c=7.5550 Å,  $\alpha = \beta$ =r=9O9, 2=4, the final R value 0.056. The crystal structure of *5* was determined as shown in Fig. 2 and the absolute configuration at C-8" was shown to be *R.* On the other hand the relationship between C-4"-H and the phenyl group at C-8" in mulberrofuran G (4) has been found to be  $cis.^{6}$ .<sup>7</sup> Accordingly the absolute configurations of four chiral centers of 4 were **3"5,** *4"5,* 5"5, *8"g.* As stated above, mulberrofuran G (4) was derived from mulberrofuran C **(2).** Consequently the absolute configurations of three chiral centers on the methylcyclohexene ring of  $2$ were determined to be **3"5,** *4"R.* 5"g. The cd spectra of eight Diels-Alder type adducts from the Morus root bark were shown in Fig. 3. These adducts can be divided into following two types, the all-trans type adducts (in relative configuration of the three substituents on the methylcyclohexene ring) and cis-trans type adducts (Fig. 5). Comparison of the cd curves of the all-trans type adducts with those of the cis-trans type adducts shows that the former tend to be negative, while the latter tend to be positive. Particularly this tendency is remarkable in a pair of stereoisomers, e.g. kuwanons **X** ( $\chi$ )<sup>2d</sup> and **Y** ( $\chi$ )<sup>2f</sup>, sanggenons C ( $\chi$ )<sup>10</sup> and D (10)<sup>11</sup>, mulberrofurans C (2) and J (11)<sup>2d</sup>. In the cd spectra, magnitude of  $\Delta \varepsilon$ values of 2 and 11 are larger than in any others, and both spectra exhibit split Cotton curves suggesting an exciton coupling. $^{12}$  In order to clarify this suggestion, lithium aluminum hydride (LiAlH<sub>4</sub>) reduction of 2 and 11 was carried out. A solution of  $2$  (15 mg) and LiAlH<sub>4</sub> (20 mg) in ether (20 ml) was refluxed for 2 h,



Fig. 2 The crystal structure of 6





and the products were purified by preparative thin layer chromatography to afford compound  $12$  (5 mg).<sup>13</sup> LiAlH<sub>4</sub> reduction of  $11$  was also carried out in the same way to afford compound  $13 \cdot$ <sup>14</sup> No split Cotton curve was observed in the cd spectra of  $12$  and  $13$  (Fig. 4), and  $\Delta \epsilon$  values decreased remarkably as compared with those of  $2$  and  $11$ . These results clearly indicate that the exciton coupling takes place between 2,4-dihydroxybenzoyl and 2-arylbenzofuran chromophores in 2 and  $11 \cdot$ <sup>12</sup> In addition, the cd spectra of 12 and 13 showed the curves opposite to each other in the absorption range of 2-arylbenzofuran chromophore. These findings indicate that the absolute configurations at the C-3" positions bearing the 2-arylbenzofuran moiety in 12 and 13 are opposite to each other. Namely, the absolute configurations at C-3" positions in **2-** and **11** are also opposite to each other. Therefore, the absolute configurations of mulberrofuran **J** (11) were determined to be 3"g. 4"g, **5"g.** 

As stated above, the cd curves of the cis-trans adducts, which are of the same relative configuration as that of mulberrofuran C  $(2)$ , tend to be positive as 12, while the all-trans adducts such as mulberrofuran  $J(\frac{11}{2})$  tend to be negative as  $\frac{13}{2}$ (Fig. 3 and 4). Furthermore, comparison of the optical rotations were carried out in the Diels-Alder type adducts from the Morus root bark, and the results were summarized in Table 2. The cis-trans adducts exhibit positive optical rotations, while the all-trans adducts exhibit negative values. In the absolute configurations of 2 the all-trans adducts exhibit negative values. In the absolute configurations of 2 and 11, the difference is found only at C-3". Consequently, one may conclude that the configuration at the C-3" chiral center influences th the configuration at the C-3" chiral center influences the sign of the cd curves and that of the optical rotation. Hence, the absolute configurations of the cis-

all-trans adducts would be the same as that of mulberrofuran  $J(11)$ . Taking the above results into consideration along with the magnitude of the coupling constants between the proton signals on the methylcyclohexene ring of the all-trans adducts  $(J_{3''H-4''H}^{\pm}J_{4''H-5''H}^{\pm}10 Hz)^{2,3}$  and the cis-trans adducts  $(J_{3''H-4''H}$  $\frac{1}{n}$ 5 Hz, J<sub>4</sub>,<sub>H-5</sub>,<sub>H</sub><sup>2</sup>,<sup>4</sup>, the absolute configurations of the methylcyclohexene ring, including the conformation of the cyclohexene ring (Fig. 5), in the cis-trans adducts was determined to be  $3"S$ ,  $4"R$ ,  $5"S$  and those of the all-trans adducts to be 3"&, 4"R. 5"S.15 The absolute configuration of kuwanon **L (3)** resolved in the previous paper was in fair agreement with this conclusion.<sup>5</sup> On the other hand, the ketalized compounds, mulberrofurans **F**  $(14)^{6}$  K  $(15)^{16}$  and kuwanol A  $(16)^{2b}$  also isolated from the Morus root bark, have been derived from chalcomoracin (17)<sup>17</sup> and kuwanon Y (8) under acidic condition, respectively. Considering the absolute configuration of mulberrofuran G (4) stated above and  $[\alpha]_D$  values of these compounds (Table 3), the absolute configurations of 14, 15 and 2 was also determined to be 3-5, 4"R. 5"5, 8"R as in **Q.** However, the conformation Of the cyclohexene ring of these ketal compounds seems to be different from that of mulberrofuran C (21, chalcomoracin (2) and kuwanon Y *(8).* For example, the the coupling constant ( $J=4$ Hz) between C-4"-H and C-5"-H changed into 11 Hz in  $4$ indicating that the conformation of the cyclohexene ring in *2* has been reversed through the ketalization reaction to 4. Therefore, the conformation of the cyclohexene ring of ketalized compounds, mulberrofurans G (4), F (14), K (15) and kuwano1 A **(16)** can be shown as illustrated in Fig. 6.



Table 2 Optical rotations ( $[a]_D$ ) of Diels-Alder type adducts





**Fig. 5** 



Table 3 Optical rotations ([a]<sub>D</sub>) of ketalized compounds



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We are grateful to Prof. H. Ishii, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Chiha University, for the cd spectral measurements.

## REFERENCES AND FOOTNOTES

- 1. Present address: School of Medicine, Telkyo University, 359 Ohtsuka, Hachioji-shl, Tokyo 192-03, Japan
- 2. a T. Nomura, Abstract Papers, the 20th Symposium on Phytochemistry, Tokyo, January 1984, p 1, and references cited therein; b Y. Hano, M. Itoh. and T. Nomura, Heterocycles, 1985, 23, 819; **c** K. Hirakura, T. Fukai, Y. Hano, and T. Nomura, Phytochemistry, 1985, 24, 159; d K. Hirakura, Y. Hano, T. Fukai, T. Nomura, J. Uzawa, and K. Fukushima, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 1985, **2,** 1088; e Y. Hano, H. Kohno, S. Suzuki, and T. Nomura, Heterocycles, 1986, 24, 2285; f Y. Hano, H. Tsubura, and T. Nomura, Heterocycles, 1986, 24, 2603.
- 3. a T. Nomura and T. Fukai, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 1981, 22, 2548; b T. Nomura, T. Fukai, T. Narita, S. Terada, J. Uzawa, Y. Iitaka, M. Takasugi, S. Ishikawa, S. Nagao, and T. Masamune, Tetrahedron Lett., 1981, 22, 2195.
- 4. T. Nomura, T. Fukai, J. Matsumoto, K. Fukushima, and Y. Momose, Heterocycles, 1981, 16, 795.
- 5. Y. Hano, S. Suzuki, H. Kohno, and T. Nomura, Heterocycles, 1988, 27, 75.
- 6. T. Fukai. Y. Hano. K. Hirakura, T. Nomura, J. Uzawa, and K. Fukushima, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 1985, 32, 3195.
- 7. A.V. Rama Rao, V.H. Deshpande, R.K. Shastri, S.S. Tavale, and N.N. Dhaneshwar, Tetrahedron Lett., 1983, 22, 3013.
- 8. In the  $^1$ H nmr spectrum of  $\frac{1}{2}$  (CDC1<sub>3</sub>), the proton signals were observed as follows: *5* 1.79 13H, s, C-1"-CH31, 2.10 (lH, dd, J=12 and 17, C-€-"-HI, 2.65 (lH, dd, J= 6 and 17, C-6"-HI, 3.04 (lH, dt, J=6 and 12, C-5"-HI, 3.31 (1H. dd, J=6 and 12, C-4"-H), 3.37 (1H, brt, J=6, C-3"-H), 3.75 (3H, s,  $OCH_3$ ), 3.77 (6H, s,  $OCH_3$  x2), 3.85, 3.87 (each 3H, s, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 6.24 (1H, brd, J=6, C-2"-H), 6.34 (1H, dd, J=2 and 8, C-13"-H), 6.48 (1H, d, J=2, C-11"-H), 6.57 (1H, d, J=2, C-17"-H), 6.58 (lH, dd, J=2 and 8, C-19"-HI, 6.89 IlH, dd, J=2 and 8, C-5-HI, 7.05 (lH, s, C-6'-HI, 7.06 (lH, brd, **J=2,** C-7-HI, 7.14 (lH, d, J=8, C-20"-H), 7.19 (lH, d, J=8, C-14"-H), 7.48 (1H, d, J=8, C-4-H), 7.54 (1H, d, J=1, C-3-H),
- 9. K.S. Dhami and J.B. Stothers, Can. J. Chem., 1966, 44, 2855.
- 10. T. Nomura, T. Fukai, Y. Hano, and J. Uzawa, Heterocycles, 1980, 16, 2141.

11. T. Nomura, T. Fukai, Y. Hano, and J. Uzawa, Heterocycles, 1981, 12, 381.

- 12. N. Harada and K. Nakanishi " Circular Dichroic Spectroscopy Application in Organic Chemistry", Tokyokagakudojin, Tokyo, 1982.
- 13. The spectral data of 12 were as follows: FD-Ms m/z: 582  $(M^+)$ . uv  $\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{EtoH}}$ nm (1ogE): ZZO(4.40). 284(4.22), 306(infl. 4.35), 318(4.52), 332(4.45). 'H **nmr**  (acetone-d6); **6** l.80(3H, s), 1.88(1H, d, J=16), 2.53(1H, brd, J=16), 2.75(1H, brdd, J=6 and 11), 3.26(1H, dd, J=3 and 6), 3.39(1H, brs), 5.51(1H, d, J=11), 5.66(1H, brs), 6.29(1H, dd, J=2 and 8), 6.40(1H, d, J=2), 6.45(1H, dd, J=2 and 8), 6.50(1H, d, J=2), 6.75(1H, d, J=2), 6.78(1H, dd, J=2 and 8), 6.91(1H, d,  $J=2$ , 6.92(1H, d,  $J=8$ ), 6.94(1H, brd,  $J=2$ ), 6.96(1H, d,  $J=1$ ), 7.37(1H, d,  $J=8$ ), 7.49(1H, d, J=8). cd (c=3.26 x10<sup>-5</sup>):  $\Delta \xi_{max}$ ;  $\Delta \xi_{322}$  +3.2,  $\Delta \xi_{318}$  +3.7,  $\Delta \xi_{283}$  $+3.7, \Delta \epsilon$ <sub>246</sub> -3.6.
- 14. The spectral data of 13 were as follows: FD-Ms m/z: 582 (M<sup>+</sup>). uv  $\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{EtoH}}$  nm  $(log \epsilon)$ : 224(sh 4.30), 285(4.14), 310(infl. 4.27), 321(4.43), 337(4.35).  $^{1}$ H nmr (acetone-d<sub>c</sub>);  $\delta$  1.76(3H, s),  $\sim$  2.05(1H, overlapping with solvent), 2.14(1H, br), 3.02(1H, br), 3.31(1H, td, J=ll and 5). 3.91(1H, d, J=ll), 5.10 (1H. brs), 5.26(1H, br), 5.82(1H, dd, J=2 and 8), 6.06(1H, d, J=2), 6.50(1H, d, J=2), 6.63 (lH, d. J=2), 6.77(1H, d, J=l), 6.79(1H, dd, J=2 and 8). 6.79(18, d, J=8), 6.94 (1H, brd, J=2), 7.17(1H, d, J=8), 7.36(1H, d, J=8). cd (c=2.92 x10<sup>-5</sup>):  $\Delta \xi_{max}$ ;  $\Delta \epsilon_{337}$  -4.6,  $\Delta \epsilon_{322}$  -4.6,  $\Delta \epsilon_{285}$  -5.4,  $\Delta \epsilon_{248}$  -2.5.
- 15. Previously, in the Symposium Papers, The 28th Symposim on the Chemistry of Natural Products (1986, *p* 1, Sendai), the absolute configurations for the methylcyclohexene rings Of Diels-Alder type adducts have been described as 3"S, 4"R, 5"S for the all-trans adducts, while 3"R, 4"R, 5"S for the cis-trans adducts. We made a careless mistake concerning the symbol for C-3" position of cyclohexene ring. We revised the description to be 3"R, 4"R, 5"S for the all-trans adducts and 3"S, 4"R, 5"S for the cis-trans adducts.
- 16. **Y.** Hano, H. Kohno, M. Itoh, and T. Nomura, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 1985, **33,** 5249.
- 17. M. Takasugi. S. Nagao. T. Masamune, A. Shirata, and K. Takahashi, Chem. Lett., 1980, 1573.
- 18. J. Ikuta (née Matsumoto), T. Fukai, T. Nomura, and S. Ueda, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 1986, **34,** 2471.
- 19. T. Nomura, T. Fukai, and T. Narita, Heterocycles, 1980, 14, 1943.
- 20. T. Nomura, T. Fukai, **J.** Matsumoto, A. Imashimizu, S. Terada, and M. Hama, Planta Med., 1982, *46,* 167.
- 21. T. Nomura, T. Fukai, Y. Hano. K. Nemoto, S. Terada, and T. Kuramochi, Planta Med., 1983, **42.** 151.
- 22. **Y.** Hano, K. Hirakura, T. Nomura, S. Terada, and K. Fukushima, Planta Med., 1984, 117.
- 23. The compound  $\frac{1}{2}$  was confirmed to be pure on the basis of  $nmr$  (<sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C) spectral evidence. Treatment of *5* with DDQ gave a starting material 5 and a sole product 6. The mechanism of this specific Br migration is now in progress.

**Received,** 28th **May. 1988**