SYNTHESIS OF BENZOFURANS, TETRAHYDROBENZOPYRANS, AND RELATED CYCLIC ETHERS VIA CYCLIC CARBOPALLADATION<sup>1</sup>,  $^{\dagger}$ 

E1-1chi Negishi,\* Thinh Nguyen, and Brian O'Connor Department of Chemistry, Purdue University, W. Lafayette, Indiana 47907, U.S.A.

Jeffrey M. Evans and Augustine Silveira, Jr.

Department of Chemistry, SUNY at Oswego, Oswego, New York 13126, U.S.A.

<u>Abstract</u> - Treatment of a variety of alkenyl ethers (1-8) derived from o-iodophenol, o-iodobenzyl alcohol, and ( $\underline{Z}$ )-3-iodoallyl alcohols with a catalytic amount of Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> or Cl<sub>2</sub>Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> in the presence of NEt<sub>3</sub> in refluxing CH<sub>3</sub>CN gives the corresponding cyclic ethers in good yields.

The intramolecular Heck reaction, 2 i.e., cyclic carbopalladation of olefins containing aryl and alkenyl halides followed by dehydropalladation, has been widely applied to the synthesis of nitrogen heterocycles. 3 More recently, its application to the synthesis of carbocycles 4 has emerged as an attractive methodology. Surprisingly, little attention has been paid to the synthesis of oxygen heterocycles by this methodology. 5 We now report that treatment of a variety of alkenyl ethers (1-8) derived from o-iodophenols, o-iodobenzyl alcohol, and (Z)-3-iodoallyl alcohols with a catalytic amount of Pd-PPh3 complex, e.g., Pd(PPh3)4 or Cl2Pd(PPh3)2, in the presence of a base, e.g., NEt3, in refluxing CH3CN induces cyclization-elimination to give the corresponding cyclic ethers in good yields. The experimental results are summarized in Eq 1-8.

The following observations and interpretations are noteworthy. First, the isolated yields of the products ranged from 60-97% except for the preparation of 14b and 15b, which was performed on a 0.29 mmol scale. Various Pd-containing complexes including Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>, Cl<sub>2</sub>Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, and Pd(dba)<sub>2</sub> appear to be satisfactory catalysts, and the selection of a catalyst in Eq 1-8 was entirely arbitrary. Secondly, the position of the double bond which must be formed via dehydropalladation is somewhat unpredictable. Thus, 9 and 10 were formed as ca. 3/1 mixtures under the conditions used. Examination of the reaction mixtures by GLC clearly indicated that 10 were the kinetically favored products. No attempts to convert 10 into 9 were made. Under comparable conditions, 2 was cleanly converted into 11, whereas 12 consisted mainly of two regionsomers. There was little or no indication for the formation of the corresponding benzofuran in this case. The regiochemistry

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>This paper is dedicated to Professor Sir D. H. R. Barton on the occasion of his 70th birthday.

in Eq 2 is completely opposite to that observed in the corresponding reaction of methyl (E)-5-(oiodophenyl)-2-pentenoate which produced exclusively the corresponding exocyclic alkene. 4d The products derived from 4 were essentially 1/1 mixtures of 14 and 15. The combined amount of the other regiosiomers was <10%. The ratio of the two possible regionsomers 16 and 17 was 1/3. Whereas 18 was isomerically >95% pure, 19 is a mixture of 3 isomers, none of which has the same regiochemistry as 18. The formation of 20 is >95% regioselective. 6 While it is not possible to provide satisfactory explanations for all of the regionhemical results, the presumed syn stereochemical requirement for carbopalladation and dehydropalladation is clearly reflected in some results. Thirdly, rung fusion in 12, 14a, 15a, and 19, is almost completely cis, as judged by  $^{1}\text{H}$  and  $^{13}\text{C}$  nmr analysis of partially hydrogenated products 13, 21, and 22. Fourthly, the preparation of 1-8 involves the Williamson ether synthesis using appropriate alkali metal oxides of o-iodophenols, cyclohexenols, 1-cyclohexenylmethanol, and an allylic alcohol 23, prepared by the treatment of 2-nonyn-1-ol with MeMgBr followed by iodinolysis. $^6$  In the synthesis of 7, however, the reaction of the lithio derivative of 23 with 3-bromo-1-cyclohexene led to a low yield of 7. On the other hand, the reaction of lithium 2-cyclohexenoate with 24 provided 7 in nearly quantitative yield.

The following procedure for the conversion of 6 into 18 is representative. To a suspension of NaH (22 mg, 0.55 mmol) in THF (3 ml) was added 140 mg (0.5 mmol) of (Z)-3-iodo-2-methyl-2nonenol, prepared by successive treatment of 2-nonynol with MeMgBr (3 equiv) in ether and  $I_2$  in THF. 7 After the reaction was stirred for 2 h at 25°C, HMPA (1 ml) and then allyl bromide (0.13 ml, 1.5 mmol) were slowly added. After 4 h, the reaction mixture was quenched with water, extracted with ether, washed with aqueous NaHCO3, dried over MgSO4, and concentrated to give 121 mg (75% yield) of 6:  ${}^{1}$ H Nmr (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, Me<sub>4</sub>S<sub>1</sub>)  $\delta$  0.89 (t, J = 6 Hz, 3 H), 1.30 (s, 6 H), 1.51 (t, J = 6 Hz, 2 H), 1.86 (s, 3 H), 2.59 (t, J = 7 Hz, 2 H), 3.93 (t, J = 1 Hz, 2 H), 4.14 (s, 2 H), 5.19 Hz(d, J = 10 Hz, 1 H), 5.30 (d, J = 17 Hz, 1 H), 5.9 (m, 1 H); ir (neat) 1650 (w), 1460 (m), 1080(s), 990 (m), 925 (s)  $cm^{-1}$ . To a solution of allyl (Z)-3-iodo-2-methyl-2-nonenyl ether (6) (191 mg, 0.592 mmol) in THF (1 ml) and  $CH_3CN$  (1 ml) were added  $Pd(PPh_3)_A$  (35 mg, 0.03 mmol) and triethylamine (0.12 ml, 0.9 mmol). After the reaction mixture had been refluxed for 16 h, it was quenched with water, extracted with ether, washed with aqueous NaHCO3, dried over MgSO4, and chromatographed on silica gel (99:1 pentane-ether) to give 111 mg (97%) of 18: 1H Nmr (CDCl3, Me<sub>4</sub>Sl)  $\delta$  0.90 (t, J = 7 Hz, 3 H), 1.2-1.45 (m, 8 H), 1.66 (s, 3 H), 2.26 (t, J = 8 Hz, 2 H), 4.09 (s, 2 H), 4.20 (s, 2 H), 4.72 (s, 1 H), 4.92 (s, 1 H);  $^{13}$ C nmr (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  14.06, 14.85, 22.63, 26.91, 28.70, 29.60, 31.74, 70.30, 70.37, 105.04, 129.55, 131.96, 139.68; ir (neat) 1640 (m), 1608 (s), 1250 (s), 1225 (s), 1100 (s), 1000 (s), 965 (s), 870 (s), 800 (s) cm<sup>-1</sup>.

a: R = H. b: R = Me. 9/10 = 3/1. 9a + 10a (60%). 9b + 10b (81%)

$$\bigcirc III$$

$$\downarrow I$$

a: R = H. b: R = tert-Bu. 14/15 = 1/1. 14a + 15a (74%). 14b + 15b (50%)

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
 & & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
\hline
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 &$$

$$\underbrace{\underline{n}_{-Hx}}_{7} \underbrace{\frac{I}{24 \text{ h}}}_{19 \text{ (86\%)}}$$
(7)

 $I = Pd(PPh_3)_4 \text{ (5 mol \%), NEt}_3 \text{ (1.5 equiv), } CH_3CN-THF, reflux. \quad II = Pd(dba)_2 \text{ (5 mol \%), NEt}_3$   $(1.5 \text{ equiv), } CH_3CN-THF, reflux. \quad III = Cl_2Pd(PPh_3)_2 \text{ (5 mol \%), NEt}_3 \text{ (1.5 equiv), } CH_3CN, reflux.$ 

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We thank the National Institutes of Health (GM 36792) and the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund administered by the American Chemical Society (18710-AC1) for support.

## REFERENCES AND NOTES

- Metal-Promoted Cyclization. 22. Part 21. Y. Zhang, B. O'Connor, and E. Negishi, J. Org. Chem., submitted.
- For reviews of the Heck reaction, see (a) R. F. Heck, Org. React., 1982, 27, 345; (b) R. F. Heck, "Palladium Reagents in Organic Syntheses," Academic Press, New York, 1985. See also R. A. Abramovitch, D. H. R. Barton, and J. P. Finet, Tetrahedron, 1988, 44, 3039.
- (a) M. Mori, M. Chiba, and Y. Ban, <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u>, 1977, 1073; (b) M. Mori and Y. Ban, <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u>, 1982, 23, 5315;
   (d) M. O. Terpko and R. F. Heck, <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u>, 1979, <u>101</u>, 5281; (e) R. Odle, B. Blevins, M. Ratcliff, and L. S. Hegedus, <u>J. Org. Chem.</u>, 1980, <u>45</u>, 2709; (f) H. Ilda, Y. Yuasa, and C. Kibayashi, <u>J. Org. Chem.</u>, 1980, <u>45</u>, 2938; (g) H. A. Dieck, <u>J. Org. Chem.</u>, 1983, <u>48</u>, 807; (h) A. Kasahara, T. Izumi, S. Murakami, H. Yanai, and M. Takatori, <u>Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn</u>, 1986, <u>59</u>, 927; (i) R. Grigg, V. Sridharan, P. Stevenson, and J. Worakun, <u>J. Chem. Soc.</u>, Chem. Commun., 1986, 1697; (j) M. M. Abelman, T. Oh, and L. E. Overman, <u>J. Org. Chem.</u>, 1987, <u>52</u>, 4133; (k) R. C. Larock and S. Babu, <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u>, 1987, 28, 5291.
- (a) C. K. Narula, K. T. Mak, and R. F. Heck, J. Org. Chem., 1983, 48, 2792; (b) R. Grigg, P. Stevenson, and T. Worakun, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1984, 1073; Tetrahedron, 1988, 44, 2033, 2049; (c) J. M. Tour and E. Negishi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, 107, 8289; (d) E. Negishi, Y. Zhang, and B. O'Connor, Tetrahedron Lett., 1988, 29, 2915; (e) R. C. Larock, H. Song, B. E. Baker, and W. H. Gong, Tetrahedron Lett., 1988, 29, 2919; (f) B. O'Connor, Y. Zhang, E. Negishi, F. T. Luo, and J. W. Cheng, Tetrahedron Lett., 1988, 29, 3903; (g) M. M. Abelman and L. E. Overman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, 110, 2328.
- (a) F. E. Ziegler, U. R. Chakraborty, and R. B. Weisenfeld, <u>Tetrahedron</u>, 1981, <u>37</u>, 4035; (b)
   L. Shi, C. K. Naruta, K. T. Mak, L. Kao, Y. Xu, and P. F. Heck, <u>J. Org. Chem.</u>, 1983, <u>48</u>, 3894. During the course of this investigation, another example of the synthesis of cyclic ethers appeared in ref. 3j.
- 6. The regiochemistry of 20 has been unequivocally established by <sup>1</sup>H 2D COSY NMR.
- 7. R. Mornet and L. Govin, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr., 1977, 737.

Received, 25th July, 1988