SYNTHESIS OF 1-SUBSTITUTED DERIVATIVES OF CODEINE FROM 1-BROMOCODEINE via PALLADIUM CATALYSED COUPLING REACTIONS

Stephen G. Davies* and Dirk Pyatt

The Dyson Perrins Laboratory, South Parks Road, OXFORD OX1 3QY, U.K.

Abstract - The 1-(methyl- β -propenoate), 1- β -styryl, 1-carboethoxy and 1-methyl derivatives of codeine have been prepared in good yields from 1-bromocodeine *via* palladium catalysed coupling reactions.

The morphine alkaloids are of considerable interest due to their analgesic properties.¹ The serious side effects exhibited by some of these alkaloids has resulted in intense long term interest in the synthesis of derivatives.² Although many analogues of morphine 1 and codeine 2 have been prepared, 1-, 2- and 10-derivatives still present a synthetic challenge for selective elaboration.



Barton *et al.* have demonstrated that the *t*-butyldimethylsilyl derivatives of morphine 3 and codeine 4 undergo stereoselective complexation to chromium tricarbonyl to give complexes 5 and 6 respectively. The chromium tricarbonyl moiety in 5 and 6 activates the 10-position towards stereoselective sequential deprotonation and methylation to yield after decomplexation and deprotection 10-S-methylmorphine 7 and 10-S-methylcodeine 8 respectively.³ More recently for codeine this methodology has been extended by Mathews and Sainsbury to yield 10-S-allyl- and 10-S-propylcodeine.⁴



ii) (Me₃Si)₂NNa , MeI ; Pyridine ; nBu₄NF.3H₂O

1-Bromocodeine 9 is readily available from codeine⁵ and represents, therefore, an attractive starting material for the preparation of a variety of 1-substituted derivatives. Many synthetically useful substitution reactions of simple aryl bromides are known to be catalysed by palladium(0).⁶ O-*t*-Butyldimethylsilyl-1-bromocodeine 10, however, represents a challenge to explore the limits of the utility of palladium catalysed couplings: It contains a highly functionalised aromatic moiety with electron donating substituents which are known to retard the insertion reaction of palladium(0) into aryl bromine bonds,⁶ an *ortho* methylene group renders the aryl bromine bond sterically less accessible and several heteroatom substituents have coordinating affinity for palladium. Furthermore, the allyl ether function within the skeleton of 10 is prone to palladium catalysed rearrangement to the corresponding vinyl ether⁷ and to intermolecular Heck reactions.⁸

1-Bromocodeine 9 was synthesised by the method of Speyer and Rosenfeld⁵ by bubbling hydrogen bromide through a solution of codeine in dichloromethane/diethyl ether. Evaporation gave codeine hydrobromide salt quantitatively. Treatment of a formic acid (30%) solution of this salt with hydrogen peroxide (30%) gave, after addition of base (2M NaOH) to >pH 11, a precipitate of 1-bromocodeine 9 (69%). The 1-position of the bromine substituent was unambiguously confirmed by n.O.e. difference spectroscopy: Irradiation of the methoxyl methyl singlet in codeine gave a 16% enhancement of the proton on C-2 and no enhancement of the proton on C-1 whereas irradiation of the methoxyl methyl singlet in 1-bromocodeine gave a 22% enhancement of the single remaining aromatic proton. 1-Bromocodeine 9 was converted into its *t*-butyldimethylsilyl ether 10 by treatment with *t*-butyldimethylsilyl chloride and imidazole(94%).

The Heck reaction⁹ of 10 with methyl acrylate in the presence of catalytic amounts of Pd(OAc)₂ and P(*o*-tolyl)₃ in DMF/Et₃N proceeded cleanly to give 11 (90%). ¹H-Nmr spectroscopy indicated the exclusive formation of a single diastereoisomer assigned as E-11 on the basis of the olefinic proton coupling J = 15Hz. The 1-position of the β -propenoate group was confirmed by n.O.e difference spectroscopy. Deprotection of the allylic alcohol function with tetrabutylammonium fluoride in THF gave 1-(methyl- β -propenoate)codeine 12 (90%) in an overall vield of 76% from 1-bromocodeine 9.

A similar Heck reaction of 10 with styrene gave, via the intermediate 13, 1- β -styrylcodeine 14 in 71% overall yield from 1-bromocodeine 9.

Carbonylation¹⁰ of **10** in ethanol with Et3N (1.2 equiv), PdCl₂ (0.1 equiv.) and PPh₃ (0.2 equiv.) under 3 atmospheres of carbon monoxide at 100°C was slow and had not gone to completion after 24hrs. However, carbonylation gave **15** as the only product, which, after separation from starting material **10** and deprotection gave



- I) HBr , CH₂Cl₂/Et₂O ; HCO₂H , H₂O₂
- ii) TBDMSCI , Imidazole , THF
- III) Pd(OAc)_2 (2%) , P(o-tolyl)_3 (8%) , DMF , Et_3N
- ıv) PdCl₂ (10%) , PPh3 (20%)
- v) nBu₄NF 3H₂O , THF

1-carboethoxycodeine 16 in 50% yield.

1-Bromocodeine was converted to 1-methylcodeine using a modified Stille procedure.¹¹ Reaction of 10 with tetramethyltin utilising Pd(OAc)₂/P(o-tolyl)₃ as catalyst in DMF/Et₃N as solvent gave 17 (90%). Deprotection of 17 as before yielded 1-methylcodeine 18 (87%).

The above reactions demonstrate the usefulness of palladium chemistry for the preparation of 1-substituted derivatives of codeine *via* the selective substitution of 1-bromocodeine. All novel codeine derivatives were fully characterised by spectroscopy and elemental microanalysis.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank Fisons p.l.c., Pharmaceuticals Division, for a Studentship (to D.P.) and Dr Chris Goodwin for useful discussions.

REFERENCES

- 1. A.H.Beckett and A.F.Casy, J. Pharm. Pharmacol., 1954, 6, 986.
- 2. J.W.Lewis, K.W.Bentley, and A.Cowan, Ann. Rev. Pharmacol., 1971, 11, 241.
- 3. H.A.Arzeno, D.H.R.Barton, S.G.Davies, X.Lusinchi, B.Meunier, and C.Pascard, Nouv. J. Chim., 1980, 4, 369.
- 4. N.Mathews and M.Sainsbury, J. Chem. Res., 1988, 82.
- 5. E.Speyer and H.Rosenfeld, Chem. Ber., 1925, 58, 1110.
- 6. R.F.Heck, "Palladium Reagents in Organic Synthesis", Academic Press, London, 1985.
- 7. H.A.J.Carless and D.J.Haywood, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm., 1980, 980.
- 8. J.B.Melpolder and R.F.Heck, J. Org. Chem., 1976, 41, 265.
- 9. R.F.Heck, Org. Reactions, 1982, 27, 345; idem, Acc. Chem. Res., 1979, 12, 146.
- 10. A.Schoenberg, I.Bartoletti, and R.F.Heck, J.Org. Chem., 1974, 39, 3318.
- 11. J.K.Stille, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1986, 25, 508; D.Milstein and J.K.Stille, J. Amer. Chem. Soc, 1979, 101, 4992.

Received, 29th August, 1988