TRIAZOLOPYRIDINES. PART 12: A NEW SYNTHESIS OF INDOLlZINES FROM TRIAZOLOPYRIDINIUM YLIDES

Belen Abarca^{*}. Rafael Ballesteros, and Mohamed R. Metni

Departamento de Quimica Orgánica, Facultad de Farmacia, Universitat de Valencia, Avda. Blasco Ibañez 13, 46010 Valencia. Spain

Gurnos Jones'

Department of Chemistry, University of Keele, Keele, Staffordshire, ST5 5BG. England

Abstract Treatment of 2-acylmethyl-1.2.3-triazolo^{[1},5-a]pyridinium ylides (1) with methyl propiolate in non-polar solvent gives good yfelds of 1.2.3-trisubstituted indolizines **(5). A** mechanism for the transformation is suggested.

We have recently reported¹ that ylides of general type (1) derived from 2-acylmethyl-**1.2.3-triazolo[1.5-alpyridines** react with acetylenic esters in acetonitrile to give new ylides of type (2) and (3). We have further reported **2** that a change in the reaction of the ylides with dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate (DMAD) gives rise to **pyrroleninylpyrazoloI5.1-alpyridines** of type **(4)** in excellent yields. We report here that a change in the acetylenic ester component again alters the course of the reaction producing indolizines in good to excellent yields.

We have previously noted² that the ylides (1) are not formed in toluene solution unless anhydrous potassium carbonate and triethylamine are present. This may be because the active base (the carbonate) is solubilized by the triethylamine, and we have confirmed the generation of ylides in toluene by the soluble strong base **4** dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP). With DMAP as base some indolizine was formed, but the yields are better and the reaction cleaner with the triethylamine/potassium carbonate mixture The products (5) obtained in yields of 82, 82, 65, and **90%.** were colourless solids analysing for adducts containing one ylide (1) and one methyl Dedicated to Dr. Masatomo Hamana.

propiolate molecule, but with loss of two nitrogen atoms, thus immediately distinguishing them from the DMAD adducts. The 'H nmr spectra of the new adducts (Table **1)** showed four protons in the aromatic region [doublets at **7.68** and **7.37,** double doublets at **6.55** and **6.66)** in a pattern characteristic of a fused pyridine ring with a bridgehead nitrogen, but noticeably upfield of the equivalent signals in a triazolopyridine. The other signals in compound **(5a),** which we used as an example. were at **2.50. 3.68** and **3.89** (all **3H,** s). The upfield signal was due to a methyl group attached to an aromatic system, the two near **3.70** to methyl ester groups. The only other signal (2H) was at 4.35; a DIFNOE experiment showed that irradiation at **2.50** (CH3) produced enhancement only of the doublet at **7.37,** while irradiation of the signal at **4.35** caused enhancement of the doublet at **7.68.** The second observation immediately raises questions if we assume the methylene group to be that originally present in the triazolopyridinium salt, since the 'ylide' group must have migrated, being now attached in a peri position to the pyridine ring in the new heterocycles. The 13C nmr spectrum of compound **(5a)** [Table 2) showed the expected **14** peaks, with methyl group signals at **10.43. 51.13** and **52.29** and a methylene signal at **30.85.** Again the aromatic CH signals showed upfield shifts from those of triazolopyridine

indicating a π -excessive heterocycle, and the combined spectral evidence was best accommodated by the indolizine structures (5a)-(5d). In the case of the indolizine (56) irradiation of the methyl doublet signal at 1.55 produced DIFNOE enhancement of the H5 doublet at 7.80, and for compound (5c) irradiation of the methylene signal at 5.03 produced enhancement of the doublet (H5) at 7.64 and of the 2H multiplet at 8.11 due to the ortho protons of the benzoyl group. The mass spectra show substantial peaks for the loss of the group $COR²$ but few other significant peaks, reflecting the aromatic stability of the indolizines.

A mechanistic explanation is required to account for these three differing modes of reaction between ylides (1) and acetylenic esters to give compounds **(2)** and **(3).** compounds **(41,** and compounds (5). The only difference in the reactions which give compounds (4) from **DMAD** and compounds (5) from methyl propiolate appears to be in the relative reactivity of the two esters as dienophiles and we have suggested2 that acetylenedicarboxylate causes $(8 + 2)$ cycloaddition to initiate formation of compounds (4). It is probable that the fust stage with methyl propiolate is the same whether the solvent is polar or non-polar, and results in formation of the ylide **(6).** In polar solvents a hydrogen transfer rapidly stabilizes the system to give the ylide **(21,** but in non-polar medium this process is slowed and attack on the C3 carbon allows cleavage of the N_1-N_8 bond giving the diazene (7) . Support for this suggestion is provided by the observation that the ylide $(1d)$ gives indolizine $(5d)$ even in polar media, since a route to stabilized ylide via hydrogen shift is here not available. Fragmentation of acyclic 1.1-diazenes derived from pyrrolidine3 has been shown to give a 1,4-diradical

and thence to alkenes and cyclobutanes. Our diazene **(7)** could fragment to a 1.4-diene directly or via a diradical, and recyclization of the resulting butadiene could produce the indolizine skeleton. This pathway is shown in the Scheme.

Scheme

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Our thanks are due to the British Council and the Spanish Ministry of Education and Science for grant number 201-A under the Acciones Integradas initiative: also the Comisi6n Interministerial de Ciencia y Tecnologia, project no. PB88-0493.

 \mathbf{v}

Table 1 ¹H Nmr shifts δ and J values (Hz) for Indolizines **(5)**

 $\bar{\bar{z}}$

 ∞

 $\mathbf{\Omega}$

Table 2 ¹³C Nmr shifts (8) for Indolizines (5)

EXPERIMENTAL

Mps were recorded on a heated stage, and are uncorrected. Nmr spectra were determined on solutions in CDC13, and uv spectra in absolute ethanol.

General Procedure for Preparation of Indolizines (5a)-(5d)

A suspension of the appropriate salt (preparations previously described4) (0.003 mol) in anhydrous toluene (30 ml) with triethylamine (0.45 **ml.** 0.003 mol) and anhydrous potassium carbonate (0.5 g, 0.0036 moll was stirred vigorously at room temperature for 4 h, during which time a yellow paste was formed. After addition of methyl propiolate (0.3 ml. 0.0035 mol) a red colour formed. The mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated. Purification was by chromatography on a column of alumina (activity **IV)** using hexane:ethyl acetate (9:l) as eluent. followed by recrystallization. EXPERIMENTAL

MPs were recorded on a heated stage, and are uncorrected. Nmr spectra were

determined on solutions in CDCl₃, and are spectra in absolute ethanol.

Eeneral Procedure for Preparation of Indolizines. [5a]-[5d

from hexane in 82% yield, mp 110-111°C. Found: C, 64.84; H, 5.74; N, 5.34. C₁₄H₁₅NO₄ requires C, 64.36; H, 5.74; N, 5.36. v_{max} (KBr) 1726, 1699 cm⁻¹. λ_{max} 260.0. 308.0, 379.2 nm.

-3-E t h **oxvcarbonvlmethvl-l-methvlindolizine-2-carboxvlate I5Q.** Crystallized from hexane in 82% yield, mp 121-122°C. Found: C, 65.68: H. 5.99; N, 5.23. C₁₅H₁₇NO₄ requires C, 65.45; H, 6.18; N, 5.09. v_{max} (KBr) 1720, 1699 cm⁻¹. λ_{max} 265.6, 308.0, 379.2 nm.

Methyl 3-Benzovlmethyl-1-methylindolizine-2-carboxylate $(5c)$: Recrystallized from ethanol in 65% yield, mp 190-191°C. Found: C, 74.44; H, 5.38; N, 4.62. C₁₉H₁₇NO₃ requires C, 74.26; H, 5.53; N, 4.56. v_{max} (KBr) 1696, 1671 cm⁻¹. λ_{max} 245.6, 296.0, 381.6 nm.

Methyl 3-11-Benzovlethyll-1-methylindolizine-2-carboxylate [5d]: Crystallized from petroleum (bp 40-60°C) in 90% yield. mp 103-105°C. Found: C. 74.65: H. 5.85: N. 4.33. C₂₀H₁₉NO₃ requires C, 74.74; H, 5.92; N, 4.35. v_{max} (KBr) 1691, 1676 cm⁻¹. λ_{max} 255.2, 305.6.0, 366.4 nm.

1. **Part** 11. B. Abarca. R. Ballesteros. **F.** Mojarrad. M. R. Metni, S. Garcia-Granda, E. Perez-Carrefio, and G. Jones. Tetrahedron. 1991. 47, 5277.

2. B. Abarca. R. Ballesteros. M. R. Metni. D. J. Ando. M. B. Hursthouse, and G. Jones. Tetrahedron Lett., 1991, 32. 4977.

3. W. H. Hinsberg **111,** P. G. Schultz, and P. B. Dervan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1982, 104, 766.

4. B. Abarca, A. Asensio. R. Ballesteros. J. Bosch, G. Jones. F. Mojarrad. M. R. Metni, and C. M. Richardson, J. Chem. Res. (S). 1990, 9; J. Chem. Res. (M). 1990, 347.

Received, 30th September, 1991