
STUDIES ON THE SYNTHESIS OF C-2 SUBSTITUTED CEPHALOSPORIN SULFONES : THE UNEXPECTED REACTIVITY OF THE C-2 CARBON

Maurizio Botta* Dip. Farmaco Chimico Tecnologico, Università di Siena, Banchi di Sotto 55, 53100 Siena, Italy

Marcello Crucianelli, Raffaele Saladino, and Rosario Nicoletti* Dip. Chimica, Università "La Sapienza", P. le A. Moro 5, 00185 Rome, Italy

Abstract- An interesting route for the synthesis of C-2 substituted cephalosporin sulfones (8) has been described along with an unexpected reactivity on the C-2 carbon of C-2 substituted cephalosporin sulfoxides and sulfones.

C-2 Substituted cephalosporin dioxides of formula as 1 have been recently reported^{1,2} to possess interesting human leukocyte elastase (HLE) inhibition properties. HLE is a serine protease that is implicated to be responsible for the tissue destruction associated with pulmonary emphysema.³ Many of these cephalosporin sulfone esters bind well to the enzyme and some, like their antibiotic counterparts that are acylating inhibitors of bacterial transpeptidases and carboxypeptidases,⁴ undergo β-lactam ring opening to acylate the enzyme. Nevertheless, up today, no general route for the synthesis of analogs has been reported. With this motivation in mind we focused our attention to find out a general route to prepare such products also with the purpose of studying possible structure-activity relationships. This communication deals with the synthesis of 2-alkoxy-and 2-acetoxycephalosporin sulfones.



The cephalosporin (2), obtained as reported from 7-ADCA,⁵ was used as a starting material. Bromination of the double bond with bromine, as described by Macchia and

co-workers,⁶ in CH₂Cl₂ using one mole equivalent of NaHCO₃ to prevent possible deprotection of the acid furnished **3** in good yield (the yield of the reaction increases up to 90% with the addition of NaHCO₃). According to the literature the α - isomer at C-2 has been obtained as the major product in these experimental conditions.

MCPBA oxidation, done with the worked up intermediate of the sulfoxide, gave the bromo sulfone (4) in good yield. Dehydrobromination with Et₃N gave unexpectedly⁷ a mixture of the desired product (6) (10%) and the sulfone (5) (80%) (Scheme 1). Different bases were subsequently tried for this reaction, but only pyridine gave a little improvement in the yield of 6 (30%). The following displacement of the bromine of 6 by nucleophiles (MeOH, AcOK), that we expected to be very easy, afforded in quantitative yield the sulfone (5) as the sole product. Attempts to carry out the reaction under different experimental conditions afforded always the same reduced product (5).

Scheme 1

PhOCH2CONH PhOCH₂CONF Br Br₂ ĈO₂t-Bu ĈO₂t-Bu 3 2 МСРВА PhOCH2CONH PhOCH₂CONH Rr Et₂N റ ČO₂t-Bu ĊO₂t-Bu 5 nucleophile PhOCH₂CONI ĊO₂t-Bu 6

We tried to perform the C-2 bromine substitution directly on the dibromosulfone (4) using methanol in the presence of AgNO3 and we obtained a mixture of C-2 methoxy

derivative (5%) and (5), while when AcOK was used as the nucleophile only 5 was present in the reaction mixture.

The unexpected reactivity of α -bromosulfones (6) and (4) on the C-2 carbon merits some comments.


A single precedent of a similar behavior has been reported in the literature in the displacement of α -bromosulfones with thiophenols.⁸

The unusual behaviour of 6 may be explained taking into account both electronic and steric factors. C-2 Carbon can easily accomodate a negative charge through a stabilization operated by the sulfonyl and the α , β -unsaturated ester function, but can not bear a positive one. On the other hand, there is a great steric hindrance to the approach of nucleophiles to the C-2 carbon to effect the displacement of bromine. The steric encumbering is due either to the presence of the neighboring sulfonyl group oxygen atoms and to the shape of the whole molecule that inhibits the attack from the β -side. At the same time, the approach from the α -side of the molecule is prevented by the presence of bromine itself. In this situation the nucleophile prefers to interact with the bromine leaving a stabilized negative charge on the C-2 carbon, whose protonation gives the sulfone (5). In the case of compound (4) we can imagine that the base could be responsible of an initial dihydrobromination to give compound (6) that in turn undergoes the reduction as depicted above.

An alternative route to produce the C-2 bromosulfone, i.e. to carry out the same reactions in a different sequence (dehydrobromination of **3** followed by C-2 bromine substitution and then sulfur oxidation to sulfone) was unsuccessfull, since the dehydrobromination of **3** did not afford the expected product t-butyl 2-bromo-3-methyl-7-phenoxyacetamido-3-cephem-4-carboxylate in a reasonable yield.

Ultimately the C-2 bromine was successfully substituted with different nucleophile (see Scheme 2) directly on the dibromosulfide (3) as reported for a similar compound with methanol as nucleophile in solvolytic conditions at room temperature.⁶ When tert-butanol was used as nucleophile the reaction did not occur.

Scheme 2




a : X = OMe; b : X = OEt; c : X = OPr; d : X = Oi-Pr; e : X = OBn; f : X = OAc

The substitution performed at reflux temperature gave a complex mixture of products. The C-2 substituted derivatives (7 a-f) were obtained in good yield (see Table 1). Probably, the dehydrobromination occurred during the purification. It is interesting to note that when we did not separate the dibromo derivative diastereoisomers, after the subsequent substitutions, we found out a presence of the *B*-epimer at C-2 always less than 8%. The C-2 stereochemistry of the substituent in the major isomer in the case R=OMe was proved to be α in agreement with the literature⁶ as shown by Overhauser effect (NOE, 6.4%) between C-3 methyl and the C-2B protons, data in accordance also with Spry;⁹ however we did not observe, in the nmr of our products (6) (α -epimer), the presence of the J long-range between H-2B and H-7 α reported in the same paper.

MCPBA (one mole equivalent) oxidation of 7 a,b,e,f at room temperature afforded the corresponding sulfoxides which were in turn oxidized using an excess of MCPBA in CHCl3 at reflux, the overall yield of the oxidation being good in the case of 7a, 7b and 7f (Table 1), on the contrary to what reported for substrates lacking the C-7 acylamino side chain.^{1,10} This result is probably due to the experimental procedure adopted. In fact, the one step oxidation of C-2 substituted sulfides directly to sulfones gave a poor yield in our case, too. We found out that the intermediate worked up at the sulfoxide stage always increases considerably the yields of the sulfones.


In the case of the oxidation (one mole equivalent of MCPBA) of 7c and 7d we obtained a single product in quantitative yield, at lower Rf on SiO₂ (CHCl₃ : EtOAc - 8:2 as the solvent), which showed to be the sulfoxide (9). The correctness of the structure was chemically demonstrated by MCPBA oxidation of the sulfide (2) which occurs with concomitant double bond shift to the more substituted position. This product was always present in the reaction mixture, in traces during the oxidation of the sulfides (7a) and (7b) while in the case of 7e it was present in 30% yield and 18% in the case of 7f.



The very unusual complete reduction of substrates (7c) and (7d) during the MCPBA oxidation attracted our attention. Experiments in order to rationalize these unexpected findings were carried out. The possibility of an hydride transfer from the leaving side chain was ruled out by preparing the *tert*-butyl 2-heptadeuteroisopropoxy-7-phenoxyacetamido-3-cephem-4-carboxylate. This product

was prepared via C-2 bromine substitution with perdeuteroisopropyl alcohol under the same conditions reported for 7. No deuterium incorporation at C-2 in the ¹H-nmr spectrum of the MCPBA (one mole equivalent) oxidation product was observed. This reaction occurred exactly in the same way as for the non-deuterated derivative (7d). When we performed the oxidation of the isopropoxy derivative (7d) in the presence of NaHCO3 (one mole equivalent) we obtained the expected sulfoxide in a yield of 52%. Further oxidation at reflux temperature in the presence of the base, gave the sulfone (8d) indicating that the acidity of the medium plays an important role in the reaction course. Consequently, if we admit that the oxidation of the sulfur atom occurs prior to the loss of the side chain, we can imagine that the oxygen linked to the sulfur can be protonated by the acidic medium, and the resulting positive charge on the sulfur can be subsequently neutralized by the loss of the alcoholic residue as depicted in 10 (Scheme 3), giving rise to the possible intermediate (11) that evolves to the product (9). The extent of this reaction seems to be largely dependent on steric factors; in fact the yield of formation of product (9) raises with the increasing of the bulkiness of the C-2 substituents.

Scheme 3



In conclusion the lack of the displacement of the C-2 bromine in the cephalosporin sulfoxide and sulfones, along with the results of this last reaction, shows the very unusual behavior of this carbon atom to undergo a facile reduction instead of substitution. This fact probably justifies the scarce chemistry present in the literature at the moment on these biologically important substrates. What proposed here is a general procedure to overpass such a problem in the synthesis of C-2 substituted cephalosporin sulfones.

EXPERIMENTAL

¹H Nmr spectra were recorded with either Varian EM 360 or XL 300 instrument using CDCI3 the solvent and TMS as an internal standard. ¹³C-Nmr spectra were carried out with a Varian XL 300 spectrometer, ir spectra with a Perkin Elmer 257 spectrometer and mass spectra by means of a Kratos MS-80 instrument. Dry sodium sulfate was always used in drying organic extracts. Microanalyses were performed on a Carlo-Erba Model 1106 analyzer.

Compd	Yield %	Formula	Elemental Analysis Calcd/Found			msm/z (M++1)
			C	- н	N	
7 a	70	C21H26N2O6S	58.05/58.30	6.03/6.10	6.45/6.30	435
7 b	75	C22H28N2O6S	58.91/58.70	6.29/6.15	6.24/6.15	449
7 C	68	C ₂₃ H ₃₀ N ₂ O ₆ S	59.72/59.60	6.54/6.40	6.05/6.15	463
7 d	65	C23H30N2O6S	59.72/59.62	6.54/6.50	6.05/6.18	463
70	33	C27H30N2O6S	63.52/63.43	5.92/5.90	5.49/5.53	511
7 f	55	C22H26N2O7S	57.13/59.10	5.67/5.70	6.06/6.15	463
8 a	90	C21H26N2O8S	54.07/54.00	5.56/5.40	6.00/6.10	467
8 b	60	C22H28N2O8S	54.99/54.80	5.87/5.70	5.83/5.90	481
8 d	48	C23H30N2O8S	55.84/55.70	6.12/6.10	5.70/5.79	495
8 e	52	C27H30N2O8S	59.75/59.78	5.58/5.40	5.16/5.20	543
8 f	58	C22H26N2O9S	53.41/53.37	5.30/5.28	5.71/5.50	496

Table 1. Physical and chemical data for the new compounds

Thin-layer chromatography (tlc) was performed on 0.25 mm and 2 mm layers of silica gel Merck 60F-254 and column chromatography was done on Merck-Kiesegel 60 (70-400 and 230-400 mesh). All chemicals and solvents were reagent grade unless otherwise specified. Attempted recrystallizations gave only decomposed products.

t-Butvl_2.3-Dibromo-3-methyl-7-phenoxyacetamido-4-carboxylate 3

In a 50-mi flask 200 mg (0.25 mmol) of t-butyl 3-methyl-7-phenoxyacetamido-2cephem-4-carboxylate **2**, 2.5 ml of bromine (1% solution in CH₂Cl₂), 10 mg of Na₂CO₃ in 8 ml of dry CH₂Cl₂ were stirred for 5 min at room temperature.The solution was washed with Na₂S₂O₃ (10% solution), H₂O, brine and dried (Na₂SO₄). The solvent was removed *under vacuum* and subsequent purification by tlc (nhexane/EtOAc=70/30) gave 250 mg (75%) of the mixture of α and β epimer at C-2 (ratio a/ β =90/10 by ¹H nmr): foam; ir (v, cm-1) (CHCl₃): 3420, 1790, 1750, 1700, 1490 ¹H nmr (CDCl₃) δ (ppm) : 1.51 (s, 9H), 2.30 (s, 3H), 4.52(s, 2H), 4.80 (s,1H), 5.41 (s, 1H), 5.54 (d, J=4 Hz ,1H), 5.78 (m, 1H), 6.81-7.50 (m, 6H); ms FAB (m/z, MH⁺-Br₂) 405.Anal. Calcd for C₂₀H₂₄N₂O₅Br₂S: C, 42.57; H, 4.29; N, 4.96. Found: C, 42.60; H, 4.10; N, 4.91. t-Butyl 2.3-Dibromo-3-methyl-7-phenoxyacetamido-4-carboxylate 1.1-Dioxide 4 In a 50-ml flask 150 mg of 3 (0.266 mmol), 54 mg (0.266 mmol) of MCPBA in 5 ml of dry CH₂Cl₂ were stirred at room temperature for 4 h. The solution was washed with Na₂S₂O₃ (10% solution), NaHCO₃ (saturated solution), brine, dried (Na₂SO₄), and the solvent was removed *under vacuum*. The crude residue without purification was dissolved in 5 ml of dry CH₂Cl₂, 124 mg (0.61 mmol) of MCPBA was added and the mixture was heated at reflux for 5 h. After work-up (same procedure of the first oxidation) the crude product was purified by tlc (CHCl₃ /EtOAc=80/20) to give the pure product (4) as a foam (75%): ir (v, cm⁻¹)(CHCl₃) 3420, 1820, 1730, 1705, 1495, 1350, 1140;¹H nmr (CDCl₃) δ (ppm) : 1.53 (s, 9H), 2.17 (s, 3H), 4.55 (s, 1H), 4.57 (s, 2H), 4.92 (s, 1H), 5.46 (d, J=4 Hz,1H), 6.17-6.25 (m, 1H), 6.88-7.34 (m, 5H); ms FAB (m/z, MH⁺) 597. Anal. Calcd for C₂₀H₂₄N₂O7Br₂S: C, 40.29; H, 4.06; N, 4.70. Found: C, 40.10; H, 4.10; N, 4.73.

t-Butyl 3-Methyl-7-phenoxyacetamido-2-bromo-3-cephem-4-carboxylate 1.1-Dioxide 6.

A mixture of 84 mg (0.14 mmol) of 4 and 2.5 ml (0.28 mmol) of pyridine in 5 ml of dry CH₂Cl₂ was stirred in a 50-ml flask at room temperature overnight. The solution was washed with 2N HCl, H₂O, brine, dried (Na₂SO₄), and the solvent was removed *under vacuum*. Purification by tlc (n-hexane/EtOAc=70/30) gave the pure product (6), 21.6 mg (30%) and the sulfone (5), 31.8 mg (52%).

6:ir (v, cm ⁻¹) (CHCl₃): 3415, 1820, 1705, 1490, 1350, 1141; ¹H nmr (CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 1.55 (s, 9H), 2.21 (s, 3H), 4.55 (s, 2H), 4.95 (s, 1H), 5.51 (d, J=4 Hz, 1H), 6.00-6.35 (m, 1H), 6.81-7.50 (m, 5H), 7.93-8.10 (m, 1H); ms FAB (m/z, MH+) 516. Anal. Calcd for C₂₀H₂₃N₂O₇BrS : C, 46.50; H, 4.50; N 5.42. Found C, 46.70; H, 4.58; N, 5.50.

5:ir (v, cm⁻¹) (CHCl3) 3415, 1820, 1705, 1480. ¹H nmr (CDCl3) δ (ppm): 1.55 (s, 9H), 2.20 (s, 3H), 3.75 (m, 1H), 4.50 (s, 2H), 4.85 (m, 1H), 6.25 (m, 1H), 6.80-7.45 (m, 5H), 8.00 (m, 1H); ms FAB (m/z, MH⁺) 437. Anal. Calcd for C₂₀H₂₄N₂O₇S : C, 55.04 ; H, 5.54; N, 6;42. Found C, 55.10; H, 5.51; N, 6.48.

t-Butyl 3-Methyl-7-phenoxyacetamido-2-alkoxy-3-cephem-4-carboxylate 7(a-e): General procedure.

In a 100 ml flask **3** (1 mmol) and 0.50 ml (3.9 mmol) of N,N-dimethylaniline in 30 ml of dry ethanol were stirred for 4 days at room temperature. The solvent was removed and the residue was diluted with CH₂Cl₂.The solution obtained was washed with 2N HCl, NaHCO₃ (saturated solution), brine, and dried (Na₂SO₄). Solvent was removed *under vacuum* and purification by tlc (n-hexane/EtOAc=70/30) gave the products. Yields, elemental analyses and spectroscopic data are reported in Tables 1 and 2.

1381

Table 2. Ir and 1H	nmr spectral data for the	e new compounds
--------------------	---------------------------	-----------------

Compd	v (cm ⁻¹) (CHCl3)	δ (ppm) (CDCl ₃)
7a	3420, 1780, 1745, 1700, 1490	1.50 (s, 9H), 2.12 (s, 3H), 3.51 (s, 3H), 4.50 (s, 2H), 4.61 (s, 1H), 5.42 (d, J=4 Hz, 1H), 5.59 (m, 1H), 6.71-7.50 (m, 6H)
7 b	3420, 1780, 1740, 1700, 1480	1.21 (t, J=6.5 Hz, 3H), 1.50 (s, 9H), 2.10 (s, 3H), 3.60 (m, 2H), 4.41 (s, 2H), 4.61 (s, 1H), 5.40 (d, J=4 Hz, 1H), 5.65 (m, 1H), 6.70-7.50 (m, 6H)
7 c	3420, 1770, 1745, 1700, 1490	0.91 (t, J=6.5 Hz, 3H), 1.45 (s, 9H), 1.58 (m, 2H), 2.14 (s, 3H), 3.32-3.85 (m, 2H), 4.55 (s, 2H), 4.64 (s, 1H), 5.40 (d, J=4 Hz, 1H), 5.64 (m, 1H), 6.78-7.54 (m, 6H)
7 d	3420, 1780, 1745, 1700, 1490	1.20 (s, 6H), 1.50 (s, 9H), 2.11(s, 3H), 3.80-4.31 (m, 1H), 4.52 (s, 2H), 4.71 (s, 1H), 5.42 (d, J=4 Hz, 1H), 5.65 (m, 1H), 6.70-7.51 (m, 6H)
7 e	3420, 1780, 1745, 1700, 1490	1.49 (s, 9H), 1.90 (s, 3H), 4.18 (m, 2H), 4.56 (m, 2H), 4.68 (s, 1H), 5.81 (d, J=4 Hz, 1H), 5.72 (m,1H), 5.80-7.41 (m, 11H)
7 f	3420, 1790, 1730 1700, 1490	1.51 (s, 9H), 2.00 (s, 3H), 2.11 (s, 3H), 4.50 (s, 2H), 5.11 (d, J=4 Hz, 1H), 5.85 (m, 1H), 6.30 (s, 1H), 6.70-7.51 (m, 6H)
8 a	3420, 1790, 1745, 1700, 1490, 1335, 1140	1.51 (s, 9H), 2.10 (s, 3H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 4.60 (s, 2H), 4.71 (s, 1H), 5.40 (d, J=4 Hz, 1H), 6.25 (m, 1H), 6.70-7.53 (m, 6H)
8 b	3420, 1790, 1745, 1700, 1490, 1335, 1140	1.21 (t, J=6.5 Hz, 3H), 1.47 (s, 9H), 2.10 (s, 3H), 3.68-4.38 (m, 2H), 4.53 (s, 2H), 4.72 (s, 1H), 5.46 (d, J=4 Hz, 1H), 6.18 (m, 1H), 6.70-7.40 (m, 5H), 8.35 (m, 1H)
8 d	3420, 1790, 1745, 1700, 1490, 1335, 1140	1.10-1.21 (m, 6H), 1.45 (s, 9H), 2.04 (s, 3H), 4.31 (m, 1H), 4.49 (s, 2H), 4.68 (s, 1H), 5.45 (d, J=4 Hz, 1H), 6.18 (m, 1H), 6.87-7.24 (m, 5H), 8.25 (m, 1H)
8 e	3420, 1790, 1745, 1700, 1490, 1335 1140	1.53 (s, 9H), 2.10 (s, 3H), 3.70 (m, 2H), 4.53 (s, 1H), 4.57 (s, 2H), 4.82 (d, J=4 Hz, 1H), 6.22 (m, 1H), 6.87-7.24 (m, 10H), 7.98 (m, 1H)
8 f	3420, 1790, 1745, 1700, 1490, 1335 1140	1.48 (s, 9H), 2.97 (s, 3H), 2.18 (s, 3H), 4.49 (s, 2H), 4.83 (d, J=4 Hz, 1H), 5.76 (s, 1H), 6.18 (m, 1H), 6.72-7.48 (m, 5H), 7.83 (m, 1H)

<u>t-Butyl 3-Methyl-7-phenoxyacetamido-2-acetoxy-3-cephem-4-carboxylate</u> **7f.** A mixture of 480 mg (0.85 mmol) of **3**, and 420 mg (4.26 mmol) of CH₃COOK in dry MeCN (20 ml) was stirred for 12 h at room temperature. The solution was filtered off and the organic layer was washed with H₂O, followed by brine, dried (Na₂SO₄), and the solvent was removed *under vacuum*. Purification by tlc (nhexane/EtOAc=70/30) gave the product. Yield, elemental analysis and spectroscopic data are reported in Tables 1 and 2.

Oxidation of compound 7 (a-f) : General procedure.

In a 100-ml flask 1 mmol of 7 (a-f) and 203 mg (1 mmol) of MCPBA in 8 ml of dry CH₂Cl₂ were stirred for 3 h at room temperature. The solution was then washed with Na₂S₂O₅ (10% solution), NaHCO₃ (saturated solution), brine, dried (Na₂SO₄), and the solvent was removed *under vacuum*. The mixture obtained, without purification, was treated with MCPBA (203 mg, 1 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (10 ml) at reflux for 6 h. The reaction mixture was worked up using the same procedure of the first oxidation. Purification by tlc (CHCl₃/EtOAc=80/20) gave the sulfones (**7 a, b, e, f**) in the yields reported in Table 1. Spectroscopic data are reported in Table 2.

Oxidation of **7 c** and **7d** gave the sulfoxide **9** (70%) as a single product. Data of compound **9** : ir (v, cm⁻¹) (CHCl₃) 3420, 1820, 1730, 1705, 1495, 1350; ¹H nmr (CDCl₃) δ (ppm) : 1.50 (s, 9H), 2.15 (s, 3H), 3.50 (m, 2H), 4.45 (m, 1H), 4.60 (s, 2H), 6.31 (m, 1H), 6.90-7.55 (m, 5H); ms FAB (m/z, MH⁺) 421. Anal. Calcd for C₂₀H₂₄ N₂O₆S: C, 57.13, H, 5.75, N, 6.64. Found C, 57.21, H, 5.60, N, 6.62.

When the oxidation of 7d was performed using the experimental procedure described above but in the presence NaHCO3 (1 mmol), the sulfone (8d) was obtained. Yield, elemental analysis and spectroscopic data are reported in Tables 1 and 2.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Financial support of this work by the Italian C.N.R. (Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche), Progetto Finalizzato Chimica Fine e Secondaria, is acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- 1. W. H. Hagmann, L. A. O'Grady, B. M. Ashe, M. E. Dahlgren, H. Weston, A. L. Makock, W.B. Knight, and J.B. Doerty, <u>Eur. J. Med. Chem</u>., 1989, <u>24.</u>599.
- 2. This work was already in progress.
- J. B. Doherty, B. M. Ashe, L. W. Argenbright, P. L. Barker, R. J. Bonney, G. O. Chandler, M. E. Dahlgren, C. P. Dorn, P. E. Finke, R. A. Firestone, D. Fletcher, W. K. Hagmann, R. Mumford, L. A. O'Grady, A. L. Maycock, J. M. Pisano, S. K. Shah, K. R. Thompson, and M. Zimmermann, <u>Nature</u>, 1986, <u>322</u>, 192.
- 4. J. M. Ghuysen, "The Bacterial D-D Carboxypeptides/Transpeptidase Enzyme System"; University of Tokyo Press, 1976, pp. 115-143.
- 5. C. F. Murphy and R. E. Kochler, <u>J. Org. Chem</u>., 1970, <u>35</u>, 2429.
- 6. A. Balsamo, S Crotti, B. Macchia, F. Macchia, G. Nannini, E. Dradi, and A. Forgione, <u>J. Org. Chem.</u>, 1976, <u>41</u>, 2150

- 7. The dehydrobromination of the methyl 2,3-dibromo-3'-chloro-7-phtalimidocepham 1,1-dioxide was easily obtained in the presence of pyridine. L. De Ferra, unpublished results of our laboratory.
- 8. F. G. Bordwell and B. J. Bruce, <u>J. Org. Chem.</u>, 1968, <u>33</u>, 1182.
- 9. D. O. Spry, <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u>, 1972, 3717.
- 10. M. Alpegiani, Farmitalia-C.Erba, Milano, personal communications.

Received, 2nd March, 1992