# **A SURVEY OF 6,9-EPOXYCYCLODECA[blFURAN SESQUITERPENES**

**Dearg S. Brown<sup>1</sup> and Leo A. Paquette** 

Evans Chemical Labaatories, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210, USA

Abstract - The source, structure, and biological activities of the many known 6.9-epoxycyclodeca[b]furan natural products are compiled herein for ready reference.

During the past twenty years, many compounds containing the heterotricyclic framework depicted in A have been isolated from natural product sources. Two characteristic structural features include oxygenation at C-8 and the presence of an alkyl substituent at C-10. Throughout this review, the natural product numbering shown in A is used rather than that associated with the systematic index name employed by Chemical Abstracts. To be inclusive, the eremantholides have been incorporated into the survey, as this class of compounds has the skeleton shown in **B**. Beyond the scope of our consideration, however, is the multitude of sesquiterpenes that **are** chemically equivalent to the products from either hydrolysis or elimination at C(3)-0 and C(10)-0, respectively, leading to ring-opened compounds of the **typc** illusuated in C and D.



The aim of this survey is to collate the numemus references to natural products in this **area** and to depict, where possible, the correct structural features including stereochemistry. Relevantly, the structures shown in this review are not always the same as those found in the original papen because of subsequent comctions. **Others** have been **modified** because **the**  original assignments were based on the stereochemisuy of previously isolated products whose formulations have since been revised.

The source, biological activity, and relevant synthetic work are also included.

# 1. 3(2H)-Furanones: 8B-Series

In 1970, de Vivar and co-workers isolated the first natural 6,9-epoxycyclodeca[b]furan and called this compound zexbrevin  $(1)$ .<sup>2</sup> Although this team derived the correct gross structure, they incorrectly assigned two of the stereochemical centers.<sup>2,3</sup> One of these, the ester side chain, was soon revised to the now accepted 8 $\beta$ -configuration,<sup>4,5</sup> but it was not until 1983 before some doubt was expressed about the 4-methyl stereochemistry.<sup>6</sup> Subsequent X-ray single crystal structure analysis on zexbrevin derivatives, including tetrahydro<sup>-7</sup> and phototetrahydrozexbrevin,<sup>8,9</sup> clearly showed a 4ß-methyl group to be present. Dismayingly, however, the authors of these diffraction papers continued to depict zexbrevin and related compounds with a 4 $\alpha$ -methyl substituent. This confusion surrounding zexbrevin and several allied structures was eventually clarified by *Herz* and co-workers.<sup>10</sup>

Additionally, there were some doubts concerning the source of zexbrevin. After careful morphological re-examination of the original plant material, it was found to be Viguiera greggii<sup>11</sup> and not Zexmenia brevifolia as previously reported.<sup>2</sup>

(1) Zexbrevin from: Calea zacatechichi <sup>12,13</sup> **Viguiera greggii** 2.11.14

Two related compounds (2,3) were isolated more recently and these are simply different ester derivatives of the parent system.<sup>12,15,16</sup> In fact, such ester variations are a common feature in this series of natural products as reflected in the sequel.<sup>\*</sup> Although there have been no biological studies on zexbrevin (1) or its ester analogues 2 and 3, it has been reported that 11α,13,2',3'-tetrahydrozexbrevin is responsible for potentiation of the immune response in certain tests.<sup>17</sup>

- $(2)$   $R = Ang$ , Ladibranolide from: **Trichogoniopsis morii** 16,18  $V$ iguiera *ladibractate*<sup>15</sup>
- (3)  $R = Tiq$  from: **Cdea zocorechichi** '2

 $*$ ) The structures of the ester side chains are as follows:





OR

Several 9-hydroxylated (4-11), 5.9-bishydroxylated (12,13), and 15-hydroxylated (14) derivatives of zexbrevin have been isolated from various sources. Although some errors were initially made in the 4-methyl stereochemistry, subsequent X-ray analysis of two of these compounds (5,6) has helped to clarify the situation.<sup>6,19</sup> Some of these structures have still been incorrectly assigned a 4 $\alpha$ -methyl group by comparison to zexbrevin. However, they all likely possess a 4ß-methyl substituent as indicated by the nmr data.

(4)  $R = MeAcr$  from: Calea ternifolia<sup>19</sup>

(5)  $R = Ang$  from: Calea leptocephala<sup>20</sup> Calea ternifolia<sup>19</sup>



(6)  $R = \text{MeAcr}$ ,  $9\alpha$ -Acetoxyzexbrevin **ACO**  $\beta R$ from: *Calea tern~olia* **21** 

(7)  $R = Ang$  from: Calea leptocephala<sup>20</sup>

*(8)* R = MeAcr from: *Trichogonio pramii* "

(9)  $R = Ang$  from: *Trichogonia villosa* z3

**(10)** R = Tig from: Bejaranoa balansae<sup>24</sup>

(11) from: *Trichogoniapmncii* "

(12) from: *Calea hispida* \*











A 5 $\beta$ -myrtenyl derivative of zexbrevin (15) is also known, along with a 9 $\alpha$ -hydroxy (16) and an 11 $\alpha$ ,13-epoxy analogue (17). Several similar  $\alpha$ -epoxides have been isolated, although they contain a 4,5-double bond (see below).



Shortly after the isolation of zexbrevin (1). two unsaturated analogues were discovered and called calaxin (18) and ciliarin (19).<sup>30</sup> By correlation with zexbrevin, these new compounds were assigned 8 $\alpha$  stereochemistry, but this error was also subsequently corrected.<sup>4,5,31</sup> Several ester analogues (20-24) and the free alcohol (25, known as atripliciolide $32$ ) have now been isolated.

 $(18)$  R = MeAcr, Calaxin from: Calea pilosa<sup>29</sup> Calea new species<sup>33</sup> Helianthus ciliaris<sup>30</sup> Isocarpha atriplicifolia<sup>32</sup>

 $(19)$  R = 'Buty, Ciliarin from: Calea rupicola 28 Helianthopsis sagasteguii 34 Helianthopsis urcubambensis 35 Helianthus ciliaris<sup>30</sup> Isocarpha atriplicifolia<sup>32</sup> Viguiera acutifolia<sup>34</sup> Viguiera pazensis 36

 $(20)$   $R = Ang$  from: Calea angusta 37 Calea divaricata<sup>38</sup> Calea hymenolepis<sup>39</sup> Calea lantanoides 40 Calea pilosa<sup>29</sup> Calea teucrifolia 33 Calea villosa 41 Calea new species 33 Disynaphia halimifolia 42 Helianthopsis bishopii 35 Helianthopsis sagasteguii 34 Helianthopsis stuebelii 34 Helianthus nuttallii 43 Trichogoniopsis morii 16 Viguiera acutifolia<sup>34</sup> Viguiera linearis<sup>27</sup> Viguiera oblongifolia 44 Viguiera sylvatica 45



 $(21)$  R = Tig from: Bejaranoa semistriata 46 Calea angusta 37 Calea mortii 29 Calea pilosa<sup>29</sup> Chresta sphaerocephala 47 Helianthopsis stuebelii 34 Isocarpha atriplicifolia 32 Viguiera acutifolia 34

 $(22)$  R = MeBu from: Calea angusta 37 Calea rupicola<sup>28</sup> Helianthopsis bishopii 35 Helianthopsis sagasteguii 34 Helianthopsis stuebelii 34 Helianthopsis utcubambensis 35 Helianthus lehmannii 48 Viguiera acutifolia<sup>34</sup> Viguiera oblongifolia<sup>44</sup>

 $(23)$   $R = 1$ Val from: Calea rupicola 28 Isocarpha atriplicifolia 32

 $(24)$   $R = AcSar$  from: Bejaranoa semistriata <sup>46</sup>

 $(25)$  R = H, Atripliciolide from: Eremanthus glomerulatus<sup>47</sup>

There are many known structural variations of the calaxin / ciliarin system. These include an 11β,13-dihydro (26) and some 11a,13-epoxy derivatives (27-30), as well as two chlorohydrins (31,32) and several 4,15-dehydro congeners (33-36). Another unusual compound (37) is formally the product of a Diels-Alder reaction with a monoterpene residue. Note that epoxides (27-30) were initially assigned with  $\beta$ -stereochemistry, <sup>29,33,37,41</sup> A subsequent X-ray analysis of a 9-hydroxy derivative<sup>21</sup> suggests that they are indeed all  $11\alpha, 13$ -epoxides.<sup>19,38</sup> This in turn raises questions concerning the stereochemical assignment to the chlorohydrins (31) and (32).





Viguiera sylvatica <sup>45</sup>

- $(28)$   $R = Ang$  from: Calea divaricata <sup>38</sup> Calea mortii <sup>29</sup> Calea pilosa <sup>29</sup> **Calea remiYoIia l9 Calea villoso 41 Calea** new species 33
- $(31)$  **R** = Ang from: Calea mortii <sup>29</sup> Calea pilosa <sup>29</sup>
- $(32)$  **R** = **Tig** from: Calea mortii<sup>29</sup>
- $(33)$   $R = \text{MeAcr}$  from: **Helianthus tuberosus 49**
- $(34)$  **R** = *iButy* from: **Helianthus tuberosus** <sup>49</sup>

 $(35)$  **R** = **Ang** from: **Helianrhus tuberosus 49** 





- $(29)$  **R** = **Tig** from: Calea mortii <sup>29</sup> Calea pilosa <sup>29</sup>
- $(30)$  **R** = **MeBu** from: Calea angusta <sup>37</sup>





 $(36)$  **R** = **Tig** from: Helianthus schweinitzii 50 **Helianrhus tuberosus 49** 



**(26)** fmm:

Other derivatives include the numerous 9-hydroxy, 15-hydroxy, and 9,15-dihydroxy analogues (38-59) along with their  $11\alpha, 13$ -epoxides and chlorohydrins (60-69). Many of these compounds, such as the cytotoxic substance budlein A (40),<sup>51</sup> have been found in a large variety of species. Note that, as for the previous epoxides (27-30), the epoxides here (60-67) were initially assigned with  $\beta$ -stereochemistry. 29,33,37,41 Once again, however, a later X-ray

 $(38)$  R = MeAcr from: Calea zacatechichi 12 Helianthus tuberosus 49 Viguiera eriophora<sup>53</sup> Viguiera linearis<sup>27</sup> Viguiera pinnatilobata 54



# (39)  $R = {^t}Buty$ , Viguiepinin from:

Helianthopsis microphylla 35 Helianthopsis sagasteguii 34 Helianthopsis stuebelli 34 Helianthopsis utcubambensis 35 Helianthus tuberosus 49 Viguiera acutifolia 34 Viguiera pazensis 36 Viguiera pinnatilobata 54.55

 $(40)$  R = Ang, Budlein A from:

Ayapana elata 56 Calea divaricata 38 Calea hispida <sup>25</sup> Calea hymenolepis<sup>39</sup> Calea villosa 41 Helianthopsis sagasteguit 34 Helianthopsis stuebelii 34 Helianthus angustifolius 57 Helianthus hirsutus 58 Helianthus petiolaris<sup>58</sup> Helianthus schweinitzii 50 Helianthus tuberosus 49 Viguiera acutifolia 34 Viguiera augustifloria 59 Viguiera buddleiaeformis 60 Viguiera cordata 36 Viguiera excelsa 61 Viguiera hypochlora 53 Viguiera linearis<sup>27</sup> Viguiera quinqueradiata 62 Viguiera schultzii 53 Viguiera sylvatica 45

 $(41)$  R = Tig from: Calea zacatechichi 12 Helianthopsis sagasteguil<sup>34</sup> Helianthus tuberosus 49 Viguiera linearis<sup>21</sup>

 $(42)$   $R = MeBu$ from: Calea rupicola 28 Helianthopsis microphylla 35 Helianthopsis sagasteguii 34 Helianthopsis utcubambensis 35 Helianthus debilis 63 Helianthus strumosus<sup>58</sup> Helianthus tuberosus 49 Viguiera acutifolia 34 Viguiera hemslevana 53 Viguiera procumbens<sup>27</sup>

(43)  $R = \{Val\}$  from: Helianthus grosseserratus 52 analysis of the 9-hydroxy derivative  $(62)^{21}$  suggests that they are all  $11\alpha, 13$ -epoxides.<sup>19,21,38</sup> As before, this raises doubts about the stereochemical assignment to the chlorohydrins (31, 32, 68 and 69). Finally, three isoatripiciolides (70-72) have been isolated. The initially assigned  $5\alpha$ -hydroxy stereochemistry<sup>39,52</sup> was later corrected<sup>50</sup> to the now accepted  $\beta$ -isomer.<sup>27</sup>

- $(44)$  R = MeAcr from: Calea pilosa<sup>29</sup> Calea ternifolia 21 Calea urticifolia<sup>64</sup>
- $(45)$  R = <sup>1</sup>Buty, Lobatin-B from: Calea rupicola 28 Neurolaena lobata 65
- $(46)$   $R = Ang$  from: Calea angusta 37 Calea hispida <sup>25</sup> Calea pilosa 29
- $(48)$   $R = MeAcr$ from: Trichogonia prancii 22.23
- $(49)$  R = Ang from: Trichogonia villosa 22,23
- $(50)$   $R = Tiq$  from: Conocliniopsis prasiifolia 66
- $(51)$  R = Sar, Conoprasiolide from: Conocliniopsis prasiifolia 66,67
- $(53)$   $R = MeAcr$  from: Trichogonia prancii <sup>23</sup>
- $(54)$  R = AcSar from: Conocliniopsis prasiifolia 66
- $(55)$  R = MeAcr from: Trichogonia prancii <sup>23</sup>

 $(56)$  R = AcSar from: Lourteigia ballotaefolia<sup>68</sup>



 $(47)$  R = Tig from: Calea angusta 37 Calea pilosa 29



 $(52)$  R = AcSar from: Conocliniopsis prasiifolia 66,67 Lourteigia ballotaefolia 68 Trichogonia scottmorii 23





- **(5'1) R** = **Ang from:**  Calea urticifolia <sup>64</sup>
- $(58)$   $R =$  *Val* from: Calea urticifolia<sup>64</sup>
- **(59) R** = **Sen from:**  Calea urticifolia <sup>64</sup>
- $(60)$  **R** = **MeAcr** from: **Cdea vmYolia 19**
- **(61) R** = **Ang** from: Calea divaricata<sup>38</sup> **Cdea remifolia** 19 Calea villosa <sup>41</sup>
- $(62)$  R = MeAcr from: Calea crocinervosa 69 Calea nelsonii 70 Calea pilosa<sup>29</sup> Calea ternifolia<sup>21</sup> Calea zacatechichi 71
- **(63) R** = **Ang from:**  Calea pilosa <sup>29</sup> Calea ternifolia<sup>19</sup>
- $(66)$  **R** = **H** from: **Trichogonia prmii** Z.23

**(67) R** = **Ac from: Trichogonia pmncii n** 









**(64) R** = **Tig from: Coleapilosa W** 

**(65) R** = **Menu from:**  Calea angusta <sup>37</sup>









 $(71)$   $R = Ang$  from: Calea hymenolepis<sup>39</sup> Calea linearis<sup>27</sup> Viguiera cordata 36



OAna

 $(72)$  **R** =  $\frac{1}{2}$ **Val** from: *Helianthus grosseserratus* <sup>52</sup>

# 2.  $3(2H)$ -Furanones:  $8\alpha$ -Series

Soon after the stereochemistry of the ester side chain in zexbrevin and related compounds was corrected,<sup>4,5</sup> it was realized that there was a companion 8 $\alpha$ -series of sesquiterpenes (73-99).<sup>72</sup> However, at the time of their discovery, this second **group of compounds was assigned with a 6α-ester sidechain and lactone ring closure to the 8α-position.<sup>73</sup> This error** was corrected after an X-ray crystal structure determination was carried out on goyazensolide (92).<sup>72</sup> Note that this meant that the structure of centratherin, supposedly an  $8\alpha$ -lactone,<sup>74</sup> was in fact the same as that determined X-ray crystallographically<sup>75</sup> for lychnophorolide A (93).<sup>72</sup>

 $(73)$   $R = \text{MeAcr}$  from: Eremanthus bicolor<sup>76</sup>

- $(74)$  **R** = Ang from: Alcantara ekmaniana<sup>77</sup> Lychnophora crispa<sup>78</sup> *Piprolepis ericoidcs"*
- **(75) R** = **Tig** from *Eremanthus bicolor*<sup>76</sup> *Lychnophoro crispa* '8



Other structural assignment errors include the configuration of the methyl group at C-4 in molecules with a saturated C4-C5 bond. The initially isolated compounds of this type  $(73 \text{ and } 75)^{76}$  were wrongly depicted with a 4 $\alpha$ -methyl substituent although this was subsequently corrected.<sup>10,80</sup> However, the 4ß-methyl isomeric compounds are also known **(76-79).10** 

- $(76)$   $R = MeAcr$  from: Eremanthus goyazensis <sup>10</sup> *Ermuuuhus* **seidelii 10**
- **(77) R** = **EpMA from:**  Eremanthus goyazensis <sup>10</sup> **Eremanthus sei&!ii 1"**
- **(78) R** = **DH~Bu from: Eremhus seidelii <sup>10</sup>** Eremanthus goyazensis<sup>10</sup> **Eremhus seidelii 10**



**(79) R** = **AeDHIBu from:** 

- **(80) R** = **MeAcr from: Eremanthus crotonoides 47**
- **(81) R** = **lButy from: Eremanthus crotonoides 47**
- **(82) R** = **EpAng from: Eremanthus glomerulatus** <sup>47</sup>







 $(84)$  R = MeAcr, 15-Deoxygoyazensolide from: Alcantara ekmaniana <sup>77</sup> Eremanthus bicolor 76 Eremanthus crotonoides 47 Lychnophora bahiensis<sup>78</sup> Lychnophora blanchetti 81 Vanillosmopsis erythropappa 10,82

 $(85)$  R = <sup>i</sup>Buty from: Eremanthus crotonoides <sup>47</sup>

 $(86)$  R = Ang, Lychnopholide from: Alcantara ekmaniana 77 Eremanthus crotonoides 47 Lychnophora bahiensis<sup>78</sup> Lychnophora blanchetti 81 Lychnophora columnaris<sup>83</sup> Lychnophora crispa<sup>78</sup> Lychnophora hakeaefolia 84 Lychnophora sellowii<sup>78</sup> Lychnophora uniflora 85 Piptolepis leptospermoides 86 Proteopsis argentea<sup>87</sup> Vanillosmopsis erythropappa 10

 $(92)$  R = MeAcr, Goyazensolide from: Centratherum punctatum 88 Eremanthus goyazensis 10,73 Eremanthus mollis 89 Lychnophora passerina 85 Oliganthes discolor 90 Vanillosmopsis brasiliensis<sup>79</sup> Vanillosmopsis erythropappa 10 Vanillosmopsis pohlii<sup>79</sup>

(93)  $R = Ang$ , Centratherin (Lychnophorolide A) from: Centratherum punctatum 74,80,88 Eremanthus mollis 89 Lychnophora affinis<sup>75</sup> Lychnophora bahiensis<sup>78</sup> Lychnophora sellowii<sup>78</sup> Oliganthes discolor 90



 $(87)$  R = Tig from: Eremanthus bicolor<sup>76</sup> Eremanthus crotonoides<sup>47</sup> Lychnophora crispa<sup>78</sup>

 $(88)$   $R = MeBu$  from: Piptolepis leptospermoides 86

 $(89)$   $R =$  EpAng from: Alcantara ekmaniana 77 Eremanthus glomerulatus<sup>47</sup> Proteopsis argentea 87

 $(90)$   $R = Sar$  from: Eremanthus glomerulatus<sup>47</sup>

 $(91)$  R = HMB from: Eremanthus glomerulatus<sup>47</sup>



 $(94)$  R = Tig, Lychnophorolide B from: Eremanthus glomerulatus<sup>47</sup> Lychnophora affinis<sup>75</sup> Oliganthes discolor 90

 $(95)$   $R = EpMa$  from: Centratherum punctatum 88

(96) from: Eremanthus goyazensis 10



 $(97)$  **R** = **MeAcr** from: Vanillosmopsis brasiliensis 79 Vanillosmopsis pohlii 79

 $(98)$   $R = Ang$  from: Lychnophora sellowii **78** 



(99) **Isocentratherin** from: Centratherum punctatum 80,88,91,92 Lychnophora sellowii 78



#### 3. 3(2H)-Furanones: the Eremantholides

Related to the  $8\alpha$ -series are the eremantholides (100-118). These compounds are formally the result of an intramolecular cyclization from the 11-position to the ester carbonyl in an 11,13-dihydro derivative (eg., 96), resulting in formation of the hemiketal unit. The stereochemistry in three of these molecules (101,104,114) has been determined unambiguously by X-ray crystallography.<sup>10,93,94</sup> However, a commonplace error occurred in the assignment of the 4methyl group in molecules with a saturated C4-C5 bond. Again, the initially isolated compounds of this type (115-118)<sup>76,78</sup> were wrongly depicted with a 4α-methyl substituent. This situation was subsequently rectified.<sup>10,80</sup> The 4βmethyl isomeric compounds (112-114) were isolated at a later date.<sup>10</sup>

Note that several ketal derivatives, *viz*. the 16-O-methyl ethers and the 16-O-ethyl ethers of 102 and 108, have also been found, but these are probably artifacts of the isolation procedure.<sup>75,95</sup>

Some synthetic methodology for the preparation of 2,5-disubstituted 3(2H)-furanones has been published.<sup>96-98</sup> This work was aimed mainly at the synthesis of eremantholides and includes the synthesis of eremantholide A (101),<sup>97</sup> although it is perhaps applicable to *all* of **the 6,9~poxycyclodeca[b]furans.** 

It has been proposed that some of these eremantholides may have possible uses in anti-cancer therapy.93 In addition, epoxide (105) has been shorn to **be** an allergic sensitizing agent.99

- (100) Eremantholide C (MeAcr) from: Eremanthus bicolor<sup>76</sup> Eremanthus crotonoides 47 Eremanthus elaeagnus 93,94 Eremanthus glomerulatus<sup>95</sup> Eremanthus goyazensis 10 Lychnophora affinis<sup>75</sup> Lychnophora uniflora 85 Piptolepis leptospermoides 86
- (101) Eremantholide A (Buty) from: Centratherum punctatum 81 Eremanthus bicolor<sup>76</sup> Eremanthus crotonoides 47 Eremanthus elaeagnus 93,94 Eremanthus incanus 76,100
- $(102)$  (Ang) from: Eremanthus glomerulatus 47,95 Lychnophora affinis<sup>75</sup> Lychnophora bahiensis<sup>78</sup> Lychnophora crispa<sup>78</sup> Lychnophora uniflora 85 Proteopsis argentea<sup>87</sup> Piptolepis leptospermoides 86
- (103) (Tig) from: Eremanthus bicolor 76 Eremanthus crotonoides<sup>47</sup>
- $(107)$  (MeAcr) from: Eremanthus glomerulatus<sup>95</sup> Eremanthus goyazensis 10 Piptolepis leptospermoides 86
- (108) (Ang) from: Eremanthus glomerulatus<sup>95</sup> Lychnophora affinis<sup>75</sup> Lychnophora bahiensis<sup>78</sup> Lychnophora crispa<sup>78</sup>
- (109) (Tig) from: Eremanthus glomerulatus<sup>47</sup>



(104) Eremantholide B (McBu) from: Eremanthus elaeagnus<sup>93</sup> Eremanthus incanus 100

 $(105)$  (EpAng) from: Eremanthus glomerulatus 47 Proteopsis argentea<sup>87</sup>

 $(106)$  (EpTig) from: Eremanthus glomerulatus<sup>47</sup>





**(110) Wig) from:**   $V$ ernonia poskeana <sup>101</sup>



 $(110)$  R =

(111) (Ang) from: **Ly~hnophoro** *bohiemis* "







**(113) ('Buty) from:**  *Eremanthus seidelii* **lo** 

 $(114)$  (EpMA) from: Eremanthus goyazensis 10





- **(115) (McAcr) from:**  *Eremhus bicolor* **76**
- **(116) ('Buty) from:**  Eremanthus bicolor <sup>76</sup>

 $(117)$  (Ang) from: Lychnophora crispa<sup>78</sup>

**(118) ('fig) from:**  *Eremonthus bicolor* **76** 



(115) 
$$
R = \surd
$$
 (117)  $R = \surd$   
(116)  $R = \surd$  (118)  $R = \surd$ 

# 4. Non 3(2H)-Furanone Systems.

Of the 6,9-epoxycyclodeca[b]furans that have now been isolated, there are many that do not possess the unsaturated 3(2H)-furanone nucleus (119-172). However, nearly all of these substances contain some alternative furan oxygenation such as the 3-hydroxy compound called tirotundin (119) and the corresponding 3-ethoxy derivative (120).<sup>102</sup> Errors originally committed in the stereochemical assignment to the ester sidechains were subsequently corrected after X-ray analysis of the ethyl ether (120).<sup>103</sup> Note that 120 and all other similar ketals (122,128,132,138,157) could be artifacts of either the isolation or the purification procedures.<sup>102,104,105</sup>

Several of these compounds have been shown to have interesting biological activities. In one study, niveusin B (130), its 3-O-ethyl derivative (132), and niveusin C (146) exhibited cytotoxic, antibacterial, antifungal, and DNA/RNA replication inhibitory properties.<sup>105</sup> Another compound, liatrin (137), shows significant tumor inhibitory activity against P-388 lymphocytic leukemia in mice.<sup>106-108</sup> The related ester analogue tagitinin F (134)<sup>109</sup> has also shown antileukemic activity, but tagitinin A (140), tagitinin B (165), and tirotundin (119, also called tagitinin  $D^{110}$ ) were inactive in the same screen.<sup>111</sup>

 $(119)$  R = H, Tirotundin from: Helianthus niveus 112 Tithonia diversifolia 5,111,113-116 Tithonia rotundifolia 102

 $(120)$   $R = Et$  from: Blumea densiflora 117 Tithonia rotundifolia 102





 $(122)$  R = Me from: Tithonia rotundifolia 118





(123) Tanargyrolide from: Tanacetum argyrophyllum 119

(124)  $R =$  iButy, Diversifoline from: Greenmaniella resinosa 104 Syncretocarpus sericeus 120 Tithonia diversifolia 121 Viguiera dentata 122

 $(125)$   $R = Ang$  from: Viguiera sylvatica 45

 $(126)$   $R = Tig$  from: Viguiera gilliesii 123



O<sup>i</sup>Buty

 $(127)$  R = MeBu from: Viguiera gilliesii 123

 $(128)$  from: Greenmaniella resinosa 104



 $(130)$  R = Ang, Niveusin B from: Helianthus annuus 124,125,126 Helianthus niveus 127

 $(131)$   $R = MeBu$  from: Viguiera deltoidea 128 Viguiera gilliesii 123 Viguiera procumbens<sup>27</sup>

 $(132)$  from: Helianthus annuus<sup>124</sup>



OR





 $\frac{1}{2}$  .

(133) R = MeAcr, 1.2-Dehydrozexbrevin B from: **Viguiera greggii** ZlL.l4 **0** 



(135) R = Mebu **from:** 



**I** 

ΩR

Liatris chapmanii <sup>106,108</sup>

**Viguiera microphyla** 131

(138) from: Greenmaniella resinosa <sup>104</sup>





O<sup>I</sup>Buty



Several 2,4-bishydroxylated furan derivatives have been found and again errors were made in the initial structural assignment. Thus, tagitinin A (140),<sup>133</sup> zexbrevin B (144)<sup>134</sup> and orizabin (145)<sup>134</sup> were assigned 8 $\alpha$  stereochemistry by correlation with zexbrevin (1), but suitable changes were made<sup>4,5</sup> and later confirmed for tagitinin A by X-ray analysis.<sup>135</sup> The fact that zexbrevin B and orizabin can be converted into calaxin  $(18)$  and ciliarin  $(19)$  by chromium trioxide oxidation is further evidence that these molecules are all stereochemically related.<sup>134</sup> Note that tagitinin A  $(140)$ <sup>136</sup> has been converted<sup>4</sup> into zexbrevin (1) (which has a 4 $\beta$ -methyl group), but it is postulated that the initial oxidation step results in epimerization at the 4-position.<sup>10</sup>

Interestingly, tagitinin A (140) has caterpillar feeding deterrent properties.<sup>113</sup> whereas niveusin C (146) is thought to be a growth regulator in plants.<sup>137</sup> This property of niveusin C may partially explain why intense light induces an increase in the synthesis of this compound.<sup>138,139</sup> A further biological effect observed for niveusin C (146) and for both the 1.2anhydro- and the 1-O-methyl-4,5-dihydro derivatives of niveusin A (139 and 143, respectively) is their ability to induce allergic contact dermatitis.<sup>140</sup> Some of the other relatives such as zexbrevin B (144), orizabin (145), calaxin (18), and tagitinin  $F(134)$  exhibit cytotoxic properties<sup>129,141</sup> and the immune response to one of these, zexbrevin B, was tested *in* vitro and also in vivo using mice.<sup>17</sup>

 $(140)$  R = 'Buty, Tagitinin A from: Blumea densiflora 117 Helianthus niveus 112 Tithonia diversifolia 5,111,113,116,129,133



- $(141)$  R = MeBu, Viguilenin from: Viguiera linearis 142
- $(142)$  **R** =**H** from: Helianthus annuus 125,126
- $(143)$  **R** = **Me** from: Helianthus annuus 132



- $(144)$  R = MeAcr, Zexbrevin B from: Viguiera greggii 11,14,134,143
- $(145)$  R = <sup>1</sup>Buty, Orizabin from: Helianthus niveus 112 Tithonia tubae-formis 134
- (146)  $R = Ang$ , Niveusin C from: Helianthus annuus 124,126,132,137,144,145 Helianthus niveus 127 Helianthus maximiliani 146,147 Melampodium camphoratum 148 Viguiera sylvatica 45



- $(147)$   $R = MeBu$  from: Helianthus heterophyllus 149
- $(148)$   $R =$  EpAng from: Viguiera microphylla 131

 $(149)$  from: Calea oxylepsis 150

 $(150)$  from: Helianthus maximiliani 146





 $(151)$  R = <sup>i</sup>Buty, from: Helianthopsis sagasteguii 34

- $(152)$  R = Ang, Niveusin A from: Helianthus gracilentus 151 Helianthus niveus 127 Viguiera sylvatica 45
- $(153)$  R = MeBu, 17,18-dihydroNiveusin A from: Helianthopsis sagasteguii 34 Viguiera deltoidea 128 Viguiera procumbens<sup>27</sup>
- $(154)$  R = <sup>i</sup>Buty from: Greenmaniella resinosa 104
- (155)  $R = Ang$  from: Viguiera cordata 36
- $(156)$  R = MeBu from: Calea oxylepsis 150
- $(157)$  from: Greenmaniella resinosa 104

 $(158)$  from:





AcO

**OR** 

- $(159)$  R = MeAcr, 1α-Acetoxyzacatechinolide from: Calea zacatechichi 152
- $(160)$   $R = Ang$  from: Calea new species<sup>33</sup>









826

- $(161)$  R = MeAcr, 1-Oxozacatechinolide from: Calea zacatechichi 152
- $(162)$   $R = Ang$  from: Viguiera cordata 36 Viguiera sylvatica 45
- $(163)$   $R = Tiq$  from: Helianthus tuberosus<sup>49</sup>



 $(164)$  R = MeBu from: Calea oxylepsis 150

Some 2,3-bishydroxylated furan derivatives are also known. The initial stereochemical assignment of the ester sidechain in tagitinin B  $(165)^{153}$  and woodhousin  $(168)^{154}$  was corrected after X-ray crystal analysis of the latter compound.<sup>5,31</sup>

- $(165)$  R = <sup>1</sup>Buty, Tagitinin B from: Tithonia diversifolia 5,1119,116,129
- $(167)$   $R = Ang$  from: Viguiera sylvatica 45



- $(168)$  R = iButy, Woodhousin from: Bahia woodhousei 154 Picradeniopsis woodhousei 155
- (169)  $R = Tig$  from: Picradeniopsis woodhousei 155
- $(170)$  R = MeBu from: Picradeniopsis woodhousei 155 Brasilia sickii 156
- $(171)$  from: Brasilia sickii 156





Finally, a compound lacking oxygenation at the C-8 position and containing a trans double bond has been isolated.<sup>157</sup> This sesquiterpene (172) possesses structural features related to the hirsutinolides.<sup>157</sup>

 $(172)$  from: Chresta sphaerocephala <sup>157</sup>



### **5.** Summary

In conclusion, there exists a wide variety of natural products that feature the 6,9-epoxycyclodeca[b]furan skeleton. Although these compounds exhibit a diverse degree of functionality, they nevertheless share a common pattern of substitution and stereochemistry.

The chemotaxonomy of these compounds and other sesquiterpene lactones is presently being surveyed in order to help in the classification of the genus Calea<sup>158</sup> and the genus *Helianthus*.<sup>63,145,159</sup>

Finally, stereocontrolled construction of the oxygen-bridged tricyclic framework of these systems has recently been accomplished.<sup>160</sup>

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

DSB gratefully acknowledges the financial support provided to him by the Science and Engineering Research Council, **UK.** 

#### REFERENCES AND NOTES

- 1. NATO Postdoctoral Fellow of the Science and Engineering Research Council, 1990-1991.
- 2. A. R. De Vivar, C. Guerrero, E. Díaz, and A. Ortega, *Tetrahedron*, 1970, 26, 1657,
- 3. A. R. De Vivar, *Rev. Soc. Quim. Mex.*, 1970, 14, 54.
- 4. **P.** K. Chowdhury, R. P. Shama, G. Thyagamjan, W. **Hen,** and S. V. Govindan, I. **Org. Ckm..** 1980, 45, 4993.
- 5. N. C. Baruah, R. P. Sharma, K. P. Madhusudanan, G. Thyagarajan, W. Herz, and R. Murari, J. Org. **Chem.,** 1979, 44, 1831.
- 6. F. R. Fronczek, I.-Y. Lee, and N. H. Fischer, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 1983, 46, 104.
- 7. M. Soriano-García and R. A. Toscano, Acta Cryst., Sect. C: Cryst. Struct. Commun., 1984, C40, 1425.
- 8. M. Soriano-García, R. A. Toscano, E. Díaz, and L. Rodríguez-Hahn, *Rev. Latinoam. Quim.*, 1985, 16, 112; L. Rodríguez-Hahn, M. Jiménez, R. Saucedo, M. Soriano-García, R. A. Toscano, and E. Díaz, Tetrahedron, 1983.39, 3909.
- 9. For a 2D nmr study on phototetrahydrozexbrevin A, see: L. Rodríguez-Hahn, M. Jiménez, R. Saucedo, M. Soriano-García, R. A. Toscano, E. Díaz, D. Davoust, and C. Jankowski, Spectrosc. Lett., 1987, 20, 843.

- **10.** W. Vichnewski. A. M. Takahashi, A. M. T. Nasi, D. C. R G. Oon@vcs. D. **A.** Dias, J. N. C. Lopcs. V. L. Goedken, A. B. Gutiérrez, and W. Herz, Phytochemistry, 1989, 28, 1441.
- **11.** G. Dclgado, L. Alvarcz, R. Mnta, R. Pmda-Miranda, and A. R **Dc** Vivar, *J.Nar. Prod.,* **1986.49.1165,**
- **12. W. Herz and N. Kumar,** *Phytochemistry***, 1980, 19, 593.**
- **13.** M. Martinez, B. Esquivel, and *A.* Omga *Phytochemisny,* **1987.26.2104.**
- 14. **Y.-L. Liu, J. Gershenzon, and T. J. Mabry,** *Phytochemistry***, 1984, 23, 1967.**
- **15.** F. Gao, H. Wang, and T. J. Mabry, *Phyfochem'sfry.* **1987,26,779.**
- **16.** F. Bohlmann, C. Zdcm, R. M. King, and H Robinson, *Phyrochemisfry,* **1982,21,2035.**
- 17. **R. Valdés and F. Córdoba, Agents Actions, 1975, 5, 64.**
- **18.** The compound isolated from *Trichogoniopsis morii* was originally assigned a 4a-methyl pup but this was subsequently corrected; see references 10 and 24.
- **19.** N. **H.** Fischer, **LY. Lee,** F. R. Fmnczek, G. Chiari, and L. E. Urbatsch, *I. Nat. Prod.,* **1984, 47, 419.**
- **20.** A. G. Obcr, L. E. Urbatsch, and N. H. Fischcr, *Phytochemisny,* **1986, 25,467.** The acetat. **(7)** has been **prepared by chromium oxidation of 8β-angeloyloxy-9α-acetoxyternifolin, see: I.-Y. Lee, E. J. Olivier, L. E.** Urbarsch, and N. **H.** Fischer, *Phyfochem\*rty,* **1982.21.2313,**
- **21. 1.-Y. Lee,** F. R. Fmnczek, A. Malcolm, N. H. Fischcr, and **L.** E. Urbatsch, *I. Nar. Prod.,* **1982,45,311.**
- 22. F. Bohlmann, C. Zdero, J. Jakupovic, T. Gerke, M. Wallmeyer, R. M. King, and H. Robinson, *Liebigs Ann. Chem..* **1984, 162.**
- **23.** F. Bohlmann, **C.** Zdem, J. **Pickad,** H. Robinson, and R. M. King, *Phyfochemisny,* **1981.20. 1323.**
- *24.* G. Schmcda-Hirschmann, 1. lakupovic. V. P. Pathak. and F. Bohlmann, *Phyfochemisoy.* **1986.25.2167,**
- **25.** F. Bohlmann, R. K. Gupta, 1. Jakupovic, R. M. King, and **H.** Robinson. *Phyfochemisny,* **1982,21,2899.**
- 26. Very little justification was given for the stereochemistry of this molecule, particularly for the 5-hydroxy group. It was also incorrectly assigned a 4a-methyl group by comparison with zexbrevin.
- 27. G. Schmeda-Hirschmann, C. Zdero, R. N. Baruah, and F. Bohlmann, *Phytochemistry*, 1985, 24, 2019.
- **28.** G. Schmeda-Hirschmann, R. Bockcr, 1. Jakupovic, and F. Bohlmann, *Phyfochemisny,* **1986.25, 1753.**
- **29.** F. Bohlmann, U. Fritz, R. M. King, and H. Robinson, *Phyrochemisny,* **1981,20,743.**
- 30. A. Ortega, A. R. De Vivar, E. Díaz, and J. Romo, *Rev. Latinoam. Quim.*, 1970, 1, 81.
- 31. W. Herz and J. F. Blount, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1978, 43, 4887.
- **32.** F. Bohlmann, **P,** K. Mahanta, A. A. Natu, R. M. King, and H. Robinson, *Phyrochemisfry,* **1978.17.471,**
- 33. F. Bohlmann, C. Zdero, R. M. King, and R. Robinson, *Phytochemistry*, 1981, 20, 1643.
- **34.** 0. Spring, D. Vargas, and N. H. Fischer, *Phyfochemisfry,* **1991.30, 1861.**
- **35.** F. Bohlmann, C. Zdem, R. M. King. and H. Robinson, *Phyfochemisfry,* **1985.24, 1108.**
- 36. F. Bohlmann, C. Zdero, G. Schmeda-Hirschmann, J. Jakupovic, V. Castro, R. M. King, and H. Robinson, *Liebigs Ann. Chem.,* **1984. 495.**
- **37.** F. Bohlmann, R. K. Gupta. R. M. King, and H. Robinson, **Phyrochemisrry, 1982,21,2117.**
- **38.** A. G. Obcr. F. R. Fmczck, and N. H. Fischer, *3.* **Nor. Prod., 1985,48,302.**
- 39. F. Bohlmann, R. Mathur, J. Jakupovic, R. K. Gupta, R. M. King, and H. Robinson, *Phytochemistry*, 1982, **21, 2045.**
- 40. W. Vichnewski, E. G. Goulart, and W. Herz, *Phytochemistry*, 1982, 21, 464.
- **41.** F. Bohlmann, R K Gupta. R. M. King, and H. Robinson, **Phyrochunisrry, 1982.21. 2593.**
- **42.** F. Bohlmann, A. K. Dhar. I. Jakupovic, R. M. King, and H. Robinson, **Phyrochemisny, 1981.20, 1077.**
- 43. E. F. Lee, J. Gershenzon, and T. J. Mabry, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 1984, 47, 1021.
- **44.** F. Bohlmann, T. Gerke, I. lakupovic, R. M. King, and H. Robinson, **Phyrochemistry, 1984,23, 1183.**
- 45. G. Tamayo-Castillo, J. Jakupovic, F. Bohlmann, and V. Castro, *Phytochemistry*, 1989, 28, 2737.
- **46.** F. Bohlmann, W.-R. Abraham, H. Robinson, and R M. King, **Phyrochemisrry, 1981.20, 1639.**
- **47.** F. Bohlmann, P. Singh, C. Zdem, A. Ruhe. R. **M.** King, and H. Robinson, **Phyrochemisfry, 1982.21, 1669.**
- **48.** F. Bohlmann **and** L. N. **Duna, Phyrochemisny, 1979,18,676.**
- 49. **O. Spring, Phytochemistry, 1991, 30, 519.**
- 50. **J. Gershenzon and T. J. Mabry, Phytochemistry, 1984, 23, 2557.**
- 51. P. Roche, N. Rosas, J. Taboada, M. G. Diddi, and J. Tellez, Rev. Latinoam. Quim., 1979, 10, 145.
- **52** W. **Hcrz** and N. Kumar, **Phyrochemisrry, 1981, 20. 99.**
- 53. **G. Delgado, A. R. De Vivar, and W. Herz, Phytochemistry, 1982, 21, 1305.**
- 54. C. Guerrero, A. L. Nava, F. Quevedo, R. A. Toscano, and M. Soriano-García, Rev. Latinoam. Quim., 1986, **16, 126.**
- 55. A. R. De Vivar, G. Delgado, C. Guerrero, J. Resendiz, and A. Ortega, Rev. Latinoam. Quim., 1978, 9, 171.
- 56. **J. Jakupovic, V. Castro, and F. Bohlmann, Phytochemistry, 1987, 26, 451.**
- 57. N. Ohno, J. Gershenzon, P. Neuman, and T. J. Mabry, *Phytochemistry*, 1981, 20, 2393.
- 58. W. Herz and P. Kulanthaivel, *Phytochemistry*, 1984, 23, 1453.
- 59. C. Guerrero, M. Santana, and J. Romo, Rev. Latinoam. Quim., 1976, 7, 41.
- 60. A. R. De Vivar, C. Guerrero, E. Díaz, E. A. Bratoeff, and L. Jiménez, *Phytochemistry*, 1976, 15, 525.
- 61. G. Delgado, H. Cárdenas, G. Peláez, A. R. De Vivar, and R. Pereda-Miranda, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 1984, 47, 1042.
- 62. G. Delgado, L. Alvarez, and A. R. De Vivar, *Phytochemistry*, 1984, 23, 675.
- **63.** 0. Spring, V. Klemt, K. **Albert,** and **A.** Hagcr, **2. Nonrrforsch.,** C: **Biosci., 1986,41,695.**
- **64. F.** Bohlmann and I. lakupovic, **Phyrochemisny, 1979,18,119.**
- 65. **J. Borges-Del-Castillo, M. T. Manresa-Ferrero, F. Rodríguez-Luis, P. Vázquez-Bueno, M. P. Gupta, and J.** Joseph-Nathan, I. **Nar. Prod., 1982,45, 762.**
- **66.** F. Bohlmann, C. Zdem, R. M. King, and H. Robinson, **Phyrochemistry, 1984.23. 1509.**
- **67.** F. Bohlmann, C. Zdem. R. M. King, and H. Robinson, **Phyrochemisrry. 1980.19, 1547.**
- **MI.**  J. Triana, *Phytochemistry*, 1984, 23, 2072.
- **69. A.** Oncga, J. C. C. L6pz and E. Maldonado, **Phytochemisny, 1989.28 2335.**
- **70.**  M. V. Martinez, **A.** F. Sanchez and P. Joseph-Nathan, **Phymchemisny, 1987.26 2577.**
- **71.**  M. V. Martínez, E. Naveda-Díaz, and P. Joseph-Nathan, *Rev. Latinoam. Ouim.*, 1988. 19. 56.
- **72.**  W. Herz and V. L. Goedken, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1982, 47, 2798.
- **73.**  W. Vichnewski, S. J. Sarti, B. Gilbert, and W. Herz, *Phytochemistry*, 1976, 15, 191.
- **74.**  N. Ohno. S. McCormick, and R. J. **Mabry. Phyrochemisny, 1979.18.681.**
- **75.**  P. W. Le Quesne, M. D. Menachery, M. P. Pastore, C. J. Kelley, T. F. Brennan, K. D. Onan, R. F. Raffauf, and C. M. Weeks, *J.* **Org. Chem., 1982.47, 1519.**
- **76.**  F. Bohlmann, C. Zdero, R. M. King, and H. Robinson, *Phytochemistry*, 1980, 19, 2663.
- **77.**  F. Bohlmann. **P.** Singh, H. Robinson, and R. M. King, **Phytochemisny, 1982,21,456.**
- **78.**  F. Bohlmann, C. Zdcm, H. Robinson. and R. M. King, **Phyfochemistry, 1982.21, 1087.**
- **79.**  F. Bohlmann, C. Zdero, H. Robinson, and R. M. King, *Phytochemistry*, 1981, 20, 731.
- **80.**  S. Banerjee, G. Schmeda-Hirschmann, V. Castro, A. Schuster, J. Jakupovic, and F. Bohlmann, Planta Med., **1986.29.**
- **81. F.** Bohlmann, C. Zdcm, R. M. King. and H. Robinson, **Phyfochemisfry, 1980, 19,2669.**
- **82.**  W. Vichnewski, J. N. C. Lopes, D. D. S. Filho, and W. Herz, *Phytochemistry*, 1976, 15, 1775.
- **83. F.** Bohlmann, C. Zdcm, H. Robinson, and R. M. King, **Phyrochemistry, 1982.21.685,**
- **84.**  F. Bohlmann, C. Zdem, H. Robinson, and R. M. King, **Phyrochemistry, 1980.19,2381.**
- **85.**  F. Bohlmann. **L.** MUller, R. M. King, **and** H. Robinson, **Phyrochemisrry, 1919.20, 1149.**
- **86.**  F. Bohlmann, M. Wallmeycr, R. M. King, and H. Robinson, **Phyrochemisny, 1982,21, 1439.**
- **87.**  C. Zdem, F. Bohlmann, H. Robinson. and R. M. King. **Phyrochemisny, 1981,20,739.**
- 88. J. Jakupovic, C. Zdero, R. Boeker, U. Warning, F. Bohlmann, and S. B. Jones, Liebigs Ann. Chem., 1987, **111.**
- **89. E** Bohlmann, R. K. Gupta, 1. Jakupovic, H. Robinson, and R. M. King, **Phytockmisny, 1981.21,** 1609.
- 90. **V. Castro,** *Rev. Latinoam. Quim.***, 1989, 20, 85.**
- **91.**  P. S. Manchand. L. J. **Todam,** G. **A.** CordcU, and D. D. Soejarto, *I.* Org. **Chem., 1983,48,4388.**
- **92.**  C. **A. Bevelle,** G. **A.** Handy, R. **A.** Segal, G. **A.** Cordcll, and N. R. Famsworth. **Phytochemisrry. 1981.20, 1605.**
- **93. P.** W. Lc Quesne, S. B. Lcvery. M. D. Menachery, T. F. Brennan. and R. F. Raffauf, *I.* **Chcrn. Soc., Perkin Tram 1, 1978. 1572.**
- **94.**  R. F. Raffauf, **P.-K.** C. Huang, **P.** W. Lc Quesne. **S.** B. Levcry, **and** T. F. Breman, J. **Am. Chem. Soc., 1975, 97, 6884.**
- 95. D. A. D. Barros, J. L. C. Lopes, W. Vichnewski, J. N. C. Lopes, P. Kulanthaivel, and W. Herz, Planta Med., 1985, 38.
- **96.** P. G. McDougal, **Y.4** Oh, and D. **VanIkrveer,** *J. Org. Ckm..* 1989,54,91.
- 97. R. K Boeckman 11.. D. K Heckendom, and R. L. Chinn, *Tetrahedron Len.,* 1987,28,3551; S. K. **Yoon,**  *Diss. Absrr,* Inr. *B* 1991, 51,5329; R. L. Chin, *Diss. Absrr.* Inr. *B* 1989, 49, 2647: D. K. Hechndmn, *Diss. Abstr.* Inr. *B* 1988.49, 1176.
- 98. A. B. Smith, P. **A.** Levenberg, **P.** I. Ienis, R. M. Scarborough. 11.. and P. M. Wovkulich, J. *Am. Chem. Soc.,*  1981. 103, 1501.
- **99.** B. M. Hausen and H. W. Schmalle, *Contact Dermariris,* 1985,13,329.
- 100. W. Herr, N. Kumar, W. Vichnewski, and I. F. Blount, *J. Org. Chem.,* 1980,45,2503.
- 101. F. Bohlma~, N. Ates (Gtmn), and 1. lakupovic, *Phytochemisny,* 1983.22, 1159.
- 102. W. Herr and R. P. Sharma, *I. Org. Chem.,* 1975,40, 3118.
- 103. W. Herz and J. F. Blount, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1978, 43, 1268.
- 104. C. Zdero, F. Bohlmann, and R. Scott, *Phytochemistry*, 1987, 26, 1999.
- 105. 0. Spring, I. Kupka, B. Maier, and A. Hager, **2.** *Naurfrsch., C: Biosci.,* 1982,37C, 1087.
- 106. S. M. Kupchan, V. H. Davies, **T.** Fujita M. R. Cox, R. 1. Restivo. and R. F. Bryan, *J. Org. Chem.,* 1973,38, 1853.
- **107.** S. M. Kupchan, M. A. Eakin, and A. M. Thomas, *J. Med. Chem.,* 1971,14,1147.
- 108. S. M. Kupchan, V. H. Davies, T. Fujita, M. R. Cox, and R. F. Bryan. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 1971,93,4916.
- 109. This compound has been prepared from an epoxyheliangolide by photochemical cyclization, see; P. K. Chowdhury, R. P. Sharma, and I. N. Baruah, *Tetrahedron Len.,* 1983.24.5429.
- 110. Note that Pal and co-workers called their compounds tagitinins but they failed to realize that the compound they called tagitinin D had been previously isolated and named **Iimtundin (see** reference 5).
- 111. R. Pal, D. K. Kulshreshtha, and R. P. Rastogi, *J. Pharm. Sci.*, <sup>1976</sup>, 65, 918.
- 112. A. Whittemore, J. Gershenzon, and T. J. Mabry, *Phytochemistry*, 1985, 24, 783.
- 113. P. *Dutta,* P. R. Bhamnaryya, **L.** C. Rabha, D. N. Bordoloi, N. C. Barua, P. K. Chowdhury, R. P. Sharma, and I. N. Batua, *Phytopararirica.* 1986.14.77.
- 114. J. C. Calzada and J. F. Ciccio, Rev. Latinoam. Quim., 1978, 9, 202.
- 115. R. Pal, *D.* K. Kulshrcshtha, and R. P. Rastogi, *Indian* J. *Ch~m., Sect. B,* 1977, 15B. 208.
- 116. Note that Pal and co-workers report their plant material to be *Tithonia tagetifloria*. This is an improper synonym that was used instead of the correct name *Tithonia rotundifolia*. The real source was probably not this species, but another called *Tithonia diversifolia* (see reference 5 for full explanation).
- 117, U. C. Pandey, R. P. Sharma, P. Kulanthaivel, and W. Herz, *Phytochemistry*, 1985, 24, 1509.
- 118. M. Alonso-López, J. Borges-del-Castillo, J. C. Rodríguez-Ubis, and P. Vázquez-Bueno, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin* **Trom. 1,** 1986,2017.
- 119. N. Gören, J. Jakupovic, and S. Topal, S. *Phytochemistry*, 1990, 29, 1467.
- 120. **F.** Bohlmann, C. Zdem, R. M. King, and **H.** Robinson, **Phyrochemistry,** 1983,22, 1288.
- 121. 1. **E** Ciccio, V. H. **Castm,** and J. G. **Calzada, Rev. Larimam. Quim.,** 1979, 10, 134,
- 122. **F. OM,** M. Misld, D. A. Gage, and T. 1. Mnbry, *J.* **Nor. Prod.,** 1985.48, 316.
- 123. E. Guerreiro, **Phyrochemistry,** 1986.25.748.
- 124. 0. Spring, K. Albert, and A. Hager, **Phyrochemisny** 1982,21,2551.
- 125. F. R. Melek, D. A. Gage, **J.** Gershenwn, and T. I. Mabry, **Phyrochemisny,** 1983.24, 1537.
- 126. 0. Spring, U. Bienert, and V. Klemt, *J.* **Planr Physiol.,** 1987,130,433,
- 127. N. Ohno and T. I. Mabry, **Phytochemisny,** 1980,19,609.
- 128. F. Gao and T. J. Mabry, *Phytochemistry*, 1986, 25, 137.
- 129. J. Mungarulire, *Herba Hung.*, 1990, 29, 73.
- 130. P. K. Chowdhury, R. P. Sharma, and **J.** N. Bama, **Indion** *J.* **Chem., Sect.** *B,* 1983,226. 402.
- 131. J. Gershenzon, Y.-L. Liu, T. J. Mabry, J. D. Korp, and I. Bernal, *Phytochemistry*, 1984, 23, 1281.
- 132. 0. Spring, T. **&nz,** and M. Ilg, **Phyrochemisrry.** 1989.28.745.
- 133. R. Pal, D. K. Kulshreshtha, and R. P. Rastogi, **lndion** *J.* **Chem., Sect.** *B,* 1976, 146,259.
- 134. A. Ortega, C. Guerrero, A. R. **De** Vivar, I. Romo, and A. Palafox, **Rev. Larimom. Quim.,** 1971.2.38.
- 135. **J.** C. Sanna. R. P. Sharma, R. De long, and C. H. Stam, **Phyrochemisrry,** 1987,26,2406.
- 136. Tagitinin A has also been used as a substrate to illustrate **the** deoxygenation of **vicinal** diols and for the conversion of *tertiary* alcohols to the corresponding iodides, see: I. C. Sarma, N. C. Barua, R. P. Sharma, and **J.** N. Bma, **Terrokedron,** 1983,39,2843 and P. **Sarma** and N. C. Bama, **Tewokedron,** 1989.45.3569.
- 137. P. Spring and A. Hager, **Plonro,** 1982,156,433.
- 138. O. Spring and U. Bienert, *J. Plant Physiol.*, 1987, 130, 441.
- 139. 0. Spring, T. Riestcr, and A. Huger, *J.* **Planr Physiol.,** 1986, 123, 79.
- 140. B. M. Hausen and 0. Spring, **Conracr Demotitis,** 1989.20, 326.
- 141. J. Tellez-Martinez, **J.** Taboada, and M. Gonzalez-Diddi, **Arch, Invesr. Med.,** 1980,11,435.
- 142. A. R. De Vivar, E. Bratoeff, E. Ontiveros, D. C. Lankin, and N. S. Bhacca, *Phytochemistry*, 1980, 19, 1795.
- 143. Originally thought to have been isolated from Zexmenia brevifolia (reference 2). The actual plant material was **Viguiero greggii: see** discussioo for **rexbrevin** (1) and reference 11.
- 144. 0. Spring, K. Albert, and W. Gradmann, **Phyrochemisrry,** 1981,20,1883.
- 145. O. Spring, T. Priester, H. Stransky, and A. Hager, *J. Plant Physiol.*, 1985, 120, 321.
- 146. W. Herz and N. Kumar, *Phytochemistry*, 1981, 20, 93.
- 147. E. Stewart and T. J. Mabry, *Phytochemistry*, 1985, 24, 2733.
- **148.** H. Jacobs, M. Bunbury, P. 1. **Hylands.** and S. McLcan. *I. Nat.* **Prod., 1986,49, 1163.**
- 149. W. Herz and M. Bruno, *Phytochemistry*, 1986, 25, 1913.
- **150.** F. Bohlmann. M. Bapuji, R M. King. and H. Robinson, **Phyrochemisny, 1982,21, 1164.**
- **15 1.** F. R. Mclck, 1. Gershcnzon. E. **Lee,** and T. 1. **Mabry., phytochemtsny, 1984.23.2277.**
- 152. **F. Bohlmann and C. Zdero, Phytochemistry, 1977, 16, 1065.**
- **153.** R. Pal, D. K. Kulshrcshtha, and R. P. Rastogi, **Indian** *I.* **Chem., Sect. B, 1976,148.77.**
- 154. **W. Herz and S. V. Bhat,** *J. Org. Chem.***, 1972, 37, 906.**
- 155. **W. Herz, S. V. Govindan, and J. F. Blount,** *J. Org. Chem.***, 1980, 45, 3163.**
- 156. **F. Bohlmann, M. Grenz, J. Jakupovic, R. M. King, and H. Robinson,** *Phytochemistry***, 1983, 22, 1213.**
- **157.** F. Bohlmann. C. **Zdcm,** R. **M. King,** andH. Robinson, **Phyrochcmistry, 1981,20,518.**
- **158. 1.** Borgcs **&I** Castillo. M. **T.** Mmsa **Fcmro.** F. Ralrigucz Luis, 1. C. Roddguez Ubis, and P. V6zqucz Bueno, Rev. Latinoam. *Quim.*, 1984, 15, 96.
- **159.** 0. Spring andE. E. Schilling, **Bwchem. Syst. Ecol., 1991.19.59; 0.** Spring andE. E.Schilling, **Biochem. Syst. Ecol., 1990,18. 139; 0.** Spring and E. E. Schilling, **Biochem. Syst. Ecol., 1990,18, 19; 0.** Spring, E. E. Schilling, **Bwchem. Syst. Ecol., 1989,17,519; 0. Spring,Biochem. Syst. Ecol., 1989, 17, 509.**
- 160. **D. S. Brown and L. A. Paquette, submitted for publication.**

**Received, 6th** January, **1992**