# STEREOCHEMISTRY OF BERBINE AND SOME RELATED COMPOUNDS

Georges Memetzidis, Louis Jung, and Jean-Francois Stambach\*

Laboratoire de Chimie Thérapeutique, U.F.R. des Sciences Pharmaceutiques, 74, route de Rhin, B.P. 24, F 67401 Illkirch, France

<u>Abstract</u> - The stereochemistry of berbines (1-15) and their berbane (16) and berbinanes (17 and 18) derivatives was established on the basis of their ir, <sup>1</sup>H-nmr, <sup>13</sup>C-nmr spectral data and the rates of methiodide formation. All the compounds in this study were found to have a trans-B/C conformation whereas berbinane (18) had a cis-A/B configuration.

Berbines comprise a large group of alkaloids both of natural and synthetic origins.<sup>1</sup> The berbine skeleton is formed by a 5,6,13,13a-tetrahydro-8*H*-dibenzo[*a,g*]quinolizine system which can exist as one *trans* (a) form and two *cis* (b,c) forms according to the B/C ring junction.<sup>2</sup> (Scheme 1)



Scheme 1 - Orientations of the nitrogen lone electron pair of trans- and cis-berbines.

In the course of our recent work on berbine ring system we have synthesized a large number of analogues and some new derivatives saturated in the ring A or D.<sup>3</sup> We established the

stereochemistry of some compounds (Table 1) on the basis of their ir, <sup>1</sup>H-nmr and <sup>13</sup>C-nmr spectral data and the measurement of the rate of methiodide formation. We report here our findings in this area.

Table 1 - Structure of berbine compounds (1-18).







## Ir correlations

The first spectroscopic criterion utilized to distinguish the trans-quinolizines from the cis-isomers is the presence or absence of Bohlmann bands in their ir spectra.<sup>4</sup> The trans-quinolizines in which the lone electron pair on the nitrogen is *trans*-diaxial to at least two hydrogen atoms adjacent to it exhibit characteristic infrared bands between 2700 and 2800 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

Although some authors have shown clearly the limitation of exclusive qualitative dependence on the Bohlmann bands for assignment of stereochemistry for B/C ring junction in quinolizine systems,<sup>5</sup> this method has been applied successfully in the structural assignment of many natural and synthetic alkaloids.<sup>6</sup> In our case all the synthesized quinolizines (Table 1) showed two prominent infrared bands at 2800-2810 and 2750-2760 cm<sup>-1</sup> and therefore fulfiled the Bohlmann criterion for a *trans*-B/C ring junction.

## <sup>1</sup>H-Nmr correlations

Next to infrared spectroscopy the most widely used physical method in steroisomeric studies of berbine alkaloids is the <sup>1</sup>H-nmr spectroscopy.<sup>7</sup>

Our <sup>1</sup>H-nmr analyses were concentrated on the chemical shift difference between the two H<sub>8</sub> protons and the angular H<sub>13a</sub> proton signals (Table 2).

Table	2 •	<sup>1</sup> H-Nmr	chemical	shifts	(δ,	ppm)	and	coupling	constants	(J,	Hz) for	compound	s (1-
15,17,	,18)	(5 mg/0	).5 ml).										

Compound	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	3 9	10	11	12	13	14	15	17	18
Solvent °	a	а	b	а	а	а	b a	a b	a	а	а	a	а	а	а	a
δ H <sub>8eq</sub>	3.99	3.92	3.86	3.98	3.98	4.02	3.87 4.	01 3.8	89 3.99	3.93	3.91	3.96	3.99	3.98	3.83	3.81
δH8ax	3.70	3.69	3.47	3.67	3.67	3.71	3.51 3.	73 3.5	51 3.69	3.68	3.65	3.68	3.69	3.70	3.52	3.20
J <sub>8eq,8ax</sub>	14.6	14.7	14.4	14.4	14.4	14.8	15.2 15	.1 14	.4 15.0	) 14.4	14.6	14.8	15.3	15.1	14.4	14.9
δH13a	3.67	3.68	_*	3.67	3.67	3.70	<b>-* 3</b> .	62 -	3.65	3.64	3.59	3.57	3.58	3.57	2.88	-*
Δδ H8eq-8ax	0.29	0.23	0.39	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.36 0.	28 0.3	8 0.30	0.25	0.26	0.28	0.30	0.28	0.31	0.61

° a CDCI3 and b DMSO-d6

\* Obscured by other protons

Thus, in all spectra of berbine compounds, excepted for berbane (16), the H<sub>8</sub> protons appeared as an AB quartet with a large difference in their chemical shifts (0.25-0.61 ppm) characteristic of a *trans*-B/C structure, while in a *cis*-B/C junction the spectal feature would be smaller (0.10-0.20 ppm).<sup>8</sup> This difference has been attributed to the deshielding effect of the electron pair of the nitrogen atom. In a *trans*-fused system only the equatorial proton is deshielded but in a *cis*-fused system both protons are equally affected, since the lone pair bisects the angle between the geminal protons. However some authors have reported that in berbines with a 10,11-substitution pattern the H<sub>8</sub> protons appeared as a broad singlet at 4.05 ppm.<sup>9</sup> (Scheme 1)

Furthermore the *trans*-B/C junction in these compounds was confirmed by the signal of the angular  $H_{13a}$  proton which resonated at a higher field than 3.8 ppm (Table 2), whereas a *cis* conformation was characterized by a downfield signal below 3.8 ppm.<sup>10</sup> By this criterion was also confirmed the *trans*-B/C conformation for berbane (16) but not for berbinanes (17 and 18). In these latter compounds the saturation of the aromatic ring A induced an upfield shift for  $H_{13a}$  proton signal. In contrast compounds 17 and 18 exhibited an AB quartet of the H<sub>8</sub> protons like berbines and their differences in chemical shift (0.31 and 0.61 ppm) agreed with a B/C *trans*-fused system.

Moreover **18** exhibited an upfield shift (0.30 ppm) for the  $H_{8ax}$  proton compared to **17**. This could be attributed to an optimal orientation of  $H_{8ax}$  with respect to the nitrogen lone pair in *trans*-diaxial position imposed by the dramatic change of the A/B junction.<sup>11</sup>

#### <sup>13</sup>C-Nmr correlations

<sup>13</sup>C-Nmr is generally recognized as one of the most useful spectroscopic techniques available for stereochemical assignment and structure elucidation.<sup>12</sup>

In the <sup>13</sup>C-nmr spectra of some berbines and their derivatives (Table 3), the assignments of the chemical shifts are based on the comparison of the spectra and the use of the half-decoupled technique.<sup>13</sup> It was expected that some of the carbons (C-6, C-8, C-13, C-13a) of a *cis*-quinolizine would resonate at a higher field than in a *trans*-quinolizine owing to  $\gamma$ -steric effects (Table 4).<sup>14</sup>

110

[	Compound								
Carbon	1	4	2	12	17	16	18		
C - 1	125.4	125.4	125.4	108.6	25.9	108.3	21.2		
C-2	126.0	126.0	126.0	147.4	22.6	147.2	25.0		
C - 3	126.0	126.0	126.0	147.4	22.9	147.2	20. <del>9</del>		
C-4	128.8	128.8	128.8	111.3	30.0	111.2	31.7		
C - 4a	134.5	134.5	134.5	126.5	127.5	127.0	36.6		
C - 5	29.4	29.5	29.5	29.1	30.2	29.4	26.8		
C-6	51.2	51.2	51.1	51.4	50.9	51.2	57.5		
C - 8	58.6	58.1	58.6	58.4	58.1	59.2	58.9		
C - 8a	134.4	126.7	127.4	124.2	126.7	126.6	126.3		
C-9	125.8	127.0	106.0	108.0	108.9	27.3	108.7		
C - 10	126.1	112.2	146.0	146.1	147.1	22.7	147.4		
C - 11	126.1	158.0	146.1	134.5	147.2	22.7	147.0		
C - 12	128.7	113.2	108.5	114.8	111.4	29.0	110.7		
C - 12a	134.4	135.6	127.3	126.7	126.5	126.4	125.7		
C - 13	36.6	36.9	36.6	36.1	33.5	38.2	32.1		
C - 13a	59.8	59.8	59.8	59.7	61.3	59.5	61.9		
C - 13b	137.8	137.8	137.8	130.0	128.4	130.2	40.3		
СН3О	-	55.2	-	55.8(X3)	55.9(X2)	56.0(X2)	55.9(X2)		
0-CH2-O	-	-	100.6	-	-	-	-		

Table 3 -  $^{13}\text{C-Nmr}$  chemical shifts ( $\delta,$  ppm) of berbine compounds.

Table 4 - Characteristic shift ranges ( $\delta$ , ppm) of berbine compounds.

Conformation	cis	trans
Carbon C-6	48.0 ± 1.0	51.3 ± 0.2
C-8	55.0 ± 2.0	57.0 ± 2.0
C-13	32.5 ± 0.5	$36.5 \pm 0.5$
C-13a	55.5 ± 0.5	$59.5 \pm 0.5$

The comparison of these values with the chemical shifts listed in Table 3 showed unambiguously that berbines (1,2,4,12) have a *trans*-B/C junction. And the ring B,C carbon shifts of berbinane (17) and berbane (16) were nearly identical with those exhibited by compounds (1,2,4,12) indicating also a *trans*-B/C quinolizine structure.

In contrast berbinane (18) has three asymmetric centers (C-4a, C-13a, C-13b), which gives rise to the possibility of four configurations analogous to those of berbanes: "normal", "allo", "epiallo" and "pseudo".<sup>15</sup> (Scheme 2)



Scheme 2 - Possible configurations of berbinane (18).

The "pseudo" and "epiallo" stereoisomers were excluded by the downfield shifts of the bridgehead methine (C-13a) and the aminomethylenes (C-8, C-6) which revealed unambiguously a *trans*-B/C structure like berbines (Table 3). This was also confirmed by <sup>1</sup>H-nmr and ir results. Furthermore, the differentiation between the "normal" and the "allo" isomers was based on the shielding of certain carbons in this latter configuration owing to  $\gamma$ -interactions.<sup>16</sup> Thus, in the "allo" configuration, because of the A/B *cis*-junction, C-13 and C-5 carbons experienced a large shielding by C-1 and C-3 carbons respectively. These  $\gamma$ -interactions are very weak in planar structures like berbine (1). (Scheme 3)



Scheme 3 - Influence of y-interactions on C-5 and C-13 chemical shifts of berbines.

## Rates of methiodide formation

The use of the rates of methiodide formation in the determination of quinolizine alkaloids stereochemistry was introduced by Shamma *et al.*<sup>17</sup> The experimentally observed pseudo first-order rates of *N*-methylation for berbine series are shown in Table 5.

7 H H OH H 40.0   3 H H H OH 39.2   15 OCH3 OCH3 OCH3 H 38.0   16 (berbane) OCH3 OCH3 - - 37.2   1 H H H H 36.0   4 H H H 35.6   8 H H OCH3 OCH3 35.6   8 H H OCH3 OCH3 34.0   2 H H OCH2-O 32.1 31.4   13 OCH3 OCH3 OCH3 NHC02C2H5 31.4   12 OCH3 OCH3 OCH3 NH2 31.2   5 H H H OC2H5 31.0   11 H OCH3 OCH3	Compound	R <sub>1</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>3</sub>	R <sub>4</sub>	Kx10 <sup>-4</sup> (25°C)
3 H H H OH 39.2   15 OCH3 OCH3 OCH3 H 38.0   16 (berbane) OCH3 OCH3 - - 37.2   1 H H H 36.0   4 H H H 36.0   4 H H H 36.0   4 H H OCH3 35.6   8 H H OCH3 34.0   2 H H OCH3 OCH3 34.0   2 H H OCH2-O 32.1   13 OCH3 OCH3 OCH3 NHCO2C2H5 31.4   12 OCH3 OCH3 OCH3 NH2 31.2   5 H H H OC2H5 31.0   11 H H OCH3 NH2 30.0   9 H H OH 29.8 30.0   9 H H OCH3 OCH3 28.0   14 OCH3	7	н	Н	ОН	н	40.0
15 OCH3 OCH3 OCH3 H 38.0   16 (berbane) OCH3 OCH3 - - 37.2   1 H H H H 36.0   4 H H H 35.6   8 H H OCH3 OCH3 35.6   8 H H OCH3 OCH3 35.6   17 (berbinane) - - OCH3 OCH3 34.0   2 H H O-CH2-O 32.1   13 OCH3 OCH3 OCH3 NHCO2C2H5 31.4   12 OCH3 OCH3 OCH3 NH2 31.2   5 H H H OC2455 31.0   11 H H OCH3 NH2 30.0   9 H H OH CI 29.8   6 H H H OCOCH3 28.0   14 OCH3 OCH3 OCH3 CI 27.8   10 H H OCH3	3	н	н	н	ОН	39.2
16 (berbane) OCH3 OCH3 - - 37.2   1 H H H H 36.0   4 H H H OCH3 35.6   8 H H OCH3 H 34.6   17 (berbinane) - - OCH3 OCH3 34.0   2 H H O-CH2-O 32.1   13 OCH3 OCH3 OCH3 NHCO2C2H5 31.4   12 OCH3 OCH3 OCH3 NH2 31.2   5 H H H OC22LH5 31.0   11 H H OCH3 NH2 31.2   5 H H H O22H5 31.0   11 H H OCH3 NH2 30.0   9 H H OH CI 29.8   6 H H H OCOCH3 28.0   14 OCH3 OCH3 OCH3 CI 27.8   10 H H OC	15	OCH <sub>3</sub>	OCH <sub>3</sub>	OCH <sub>3</sub>	н	38.0
1 H H H H 36.0   4 H H H OCH3 35.6   8 H H OCH3 H 34.6   17 (berbinane) - - OCH3 OCH3 34.0   2 H H O-CH2-O 32.1   13 OCH3 OCH3 OCH3 NHCO2C2H5 31.4   12 OCH3 OCH3 OCH3 NH2 31.2   5 H H H OC2H5 31.0   11 H H OCH3 NH2 30.0   9 H H OCH3 NH2 30.0   14 OCH3 OCH3 OCH3 CI 29.8   10 H H OCH3 CI 27.8   10 H H OCH3	16 (berbane)	OCH <sub>3</sub>	OCH <sub>3</sub>	-	-	37.2
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	н	н	н	Н	36.0
8   H   H   OCH3   H   34.6     17 (berbinane)   -   -   OCH3   OCH3   34.0     2   H   H   O-CH2-O   32.1     13   OCH3   OCH3   OCH3   NHCO2C2H5   31.4     12   OCH3   OCH3   OCH3   NH2   31.2     5   H   H   H   OC2H5   31.0     11   H   H   OCH3   NH2   30.0     9   H   H   OCH3   29.8   30.0     14   OCH3   OCH3   OCH3   28.0     14   OCH3   OCH3   CI   27.8     10   H   H   OCH3   CI   26.0     18 (berbinane)   -   -	4	н	н	н	OCH <sub>3</sub>	35.6
17 (berbinane)OCH3OCH334.02HHO-CH2-O32.113OCH3OCH3OCH3NHCO2C2H531.412OCH3OCH3OCH3NH231.25HHHOC2H531.011HHOCH3NH230.09HHOHCI29.86HHHOCOCH328.014OCH3OCH3OCH3CI27.810HHOCH3CI26.018 (berbinane)OCH3OCH322.9	8	н	H	OCH <sub>3</sub>	Н	34.6
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	17 (berbinane)	-	-	OCH <sub>3</sub>	OCH <sub>3</sub>	34.0
13 $OCH_3$ $OCH_3$ $OCH_3$ $OCH_3$ $NHCO_2C_2H_5$ $31.4$ 12 $OCH_3$ $OCH_3$ $OCH_3$ $NH_2$ $31.2$ 5HHH $OC_2H_5$ $31.0$ 11HH $OCH_3$ $NH_2$ $30.0$ 9HHOHCI $29.8$ 6HHH $OCOCH_3$ $28.0$ 14 $OCH_3$ $OCH_3$ $OCH_3$ CI $27.8$ 10HH $OCH_3$ CI $26.0$ 18 (berbinane) $OCH_3$ $OCH_3$ $22.9$	2	н	н	O-CH <sub>2</sub> -O		32.1
12 $OCH_3$ $OCH_3$ $OCH_3$ $NH_2$ $31.2$ 5HHH $OC_2H_5$ $31.0$ 11HH $OCH_3$ $NH_2$ $30.0$ 9HHOHCI $29.8$ 6HHHOCOCH_3 $28.0$ 14 $OCH_3$ $OCH_3$ $OCH_3$ CI $27.8$ 10HHOCH_3CI $26.0$ 18 (berbinane) $OCH_3$ $OCH_3$ $22.9$	13	OCH <sub>3</sub>	OCH <sub>3</sub>	OCH <sub>3</sub>	NHCO <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	31.4
5HHH $OC_2H_5$ 31.011HH $OCH_3$ $NH_2$ 30.09HHOHCI29.86HHHOCOCH_328.014OCH_3OCH_3OCH_3CI27.810HHOCH_3CI26.018 (berbinane)OCH_3OCH_322.9	12	OCH <sub>3</sub>	OCH <sub>3</sub>	OCH <sub>3</sub>	NH <sub>2</sub>	31.2
11 H H OCH3 NH2 30.0   9 H H OH CI 29.8   6 H H H OCOCH3 28.0   14 OCH3 OCH3 OCH3 CI 27.8   10 H H OCH3 CI 26.0   18 (berbinane) - - OCH3 OCH3 22.9	5	н	Н	н	OC <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	31.0
9   H   H   OH   CI   29.8     6   H   H   H   OCOCH3   28.0     14   OCH3   OCH3   OCH3   CI   27.8     10   H   H   OCH3   CI   26.0     18 (berbinane)   -   -   OCH3   OCH3   22.9	11	Н	Н	OCH <sub>3</sub>	NH <sub>2</sub>	30.0
6   H   H   H   OCOCH3   28.0     14   OCH3   OCH3   OCH3   CI   27.8     10   H   H   OCH3   CI   26.0     18 (berbinane)   -   -   OCH3   OCH3   22.9	9	н	Н	OH	CI	29.8
14   OCH3   OCH3   OCH3   CI   27.8     10   H   H   OCH3   CI   26.0     18 (berbinane)   -   -   OCH3   OCH3   22.9	6	н	Н	Н	OCOCH <sub>3</sub>	28.0
10   H   H   OCH3   CI   26.0     18 (berbinane)   -   -   OCH3   OCH3   22.9	14	OCH <sub>3</sub>	OCH <sub>3</sub>	OCH <sub>3</sub>	CI	27.8
18 (berbinane) OCH3 OCH3 22.9	10	н	н	OCH <sub>3</sub>	CI	26.0
	18 (berbinane)	-	-	OCH3	OCH <sub>3</sub>	22.9

Table 5 - Rates of N-methylation for berbine compounds (1-18).

Initial inspection of this table shows that the rates are of medium magnitude:  $k < 45 \times 10^{-4}$  sec<sup>-1</sup>, which is consistent with a *trans*-B/C conformation.<sup>18</sup> A *cis*-B/C quinolizine reacted at a much faster rate:  $k > 60 \times 10^{-4}$  sec<sup>-1</sup>. It is also noted that the rate of methylation was enhanced by the presence of free phenolic hydroxyl groups for **3**, **7**, but in contrast is decreased in the presence of chlorine atom for **9**,**10**,**14**, or other withdrawning groups like OCOCH<sub>3</sub> for **6**. Thus, the effect of substitution pattern on the basicity of nitrogen becomes another important factor besides stereochemical considerations.

In the case of 16,17, the saturation of the aromatic ring, D or A respectively, did not change the value of k relative to 1, because of the planarity of the structure which was preserved in both cases. However for 18 which possess an "allo" structure (bended structure), the A/B *cis*-fusion cause a steric hindrance to the nucleophilic nitrogen resulting in a much slower rate of methylation:  $k = 22.9 \times 10^{-4} \text{ sec}^{-1}$  compared to 1 (  $k = 36 \times 10^{-4} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ ). These results confirmed our <sup>13</sup>C-nmr findings about the stereochemistry of 18 and showed the validity of this physical method as an accessory tool in quinolizine structure determination.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL**

Spectroscopic data for all compounds were recorded on Beckmann 4230 (ir) and Brucker AC 200 (nmr) instruments. All the <sup>13</sup>C-nmr spectra were obtained in CDCl<sub>3</sub> after 10.000 pulses with intervals of 2.5 sec. The <sup>13</sup>C-nmr chemical shifts were measured with respect to internal TMS :  $\delta$  (TMS) = 0 ppm and  $\delta$  (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) = 77.2 ppm. The rates of methiodide formation were determined on 5 mg of sample in acetonitrile solution at 25 °C, using a Tacussel CD6 conductivity cell, as described in reference 17.

#### REFERENCES

1. S. F. Dyke, 'Rodd's Chemistry of Carbon Compounds', Vol. IV H, ed. by S. Coffey, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1978, pp. 110 - 145; G. D. Pandey and K. P. Tiwari, <u>Heterocycles</u>, 1980, 14, 59.

2. B. Pai, K. Nagarajan, H. Suguna, and S. Natarajan, Heterocycles, 1977, 6, 1377.

3. G. Memetzidis, J. F. Stambach, and L. Jung, <u>Heterocycles</u>, 1990, 31, 341; G. Memetzidis, J. F. Stambach, L. Jung, C. Schott, C. Heitz, and J. C. Stoclet, <u>Eur. J. Med. Chem</u>., 1991, 26, 605; J. F. Stambach and L. Jung, <u>Heterocycles</u>, 1991, 32, 1571.

4. F. Bohlmann, Chem. Ber., 1958, 91, 2157; F. Bohlmann, D. Schumann, and M. Schulz, <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u>, 1964, 173.

5. C. Johnson, R. Jones, A. Katritzky, C. Palmer, K. Schofield, and R. Wells, <u>J. Chem. Soc</u>., 1965, 6797; J. Sircar and A. Meyers, <u>J. Org. Chem.</u>, 1967, 32, 1248; N. Takao and K. Iwasa, <u>Chem.</u> <u>Pharm. Bull</u>., 1976, 24, 3185.

6. T. A. Crabb, R. F. Newton, and D. Jackson, <u>Chem. Rev.</u>, 1971, 71, 109; V. M. Kolb and M. Stefanovic, <u>Tetrahedron</u>, 1974, 30, 2233.

7. D. Tourwe, G. Van Binst, and T. Kametani, <u>Org. Magn. Reson</u>., 1977, 9, 341; B. R. Pai, K. Nagarajan, H. Suguna, and S. Natarajan, <u>Heterocycles</u>, 1978, 9, 1287.

8. C. K. Yu, D. B. MacLean, R. G. A. Rodrigo, and R. H. F. Manske, <u>Can. J. Chem.</u>, 1970, 48, 3673; T. R. Govindachari, K. Nagarajan, R. Charubala, B. R. Pai, and P. S. Subramanian, <u>Indian J. Chem.</u>, 1970, 8, 769.

9. F. C. Ohiri, R. Verpoorte, and A. B. Svendsen, Planta medica, 1983, 49, 162.

10. M. Uskokovic, H. Bruderer, C. Von Planta, T. Williams, and A. Brossi, <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc</u>., 1964, 86, 3364.

11. J. B. Lambert and R. G. Keske, Tetrahedron Lett., 1969, 2023.

 P. S. Pregosin and E. W. Randall, 'Determination of Organic Structures by Physical Methods', Vol. 4, Academic Press, 1971, pp. 263-318.

13. E. Wenkert, J. S. Bindra, C. J. Chang, D. W. Cochran, and F. M. Schell, <u>Acc. Chem. Res</u>., 1974, 7, 46; E. Wenkert, B. Chauncy, K. G. Dave, A. R. Jeffcoat, F. M. Schell, and H. P. Schenk, <u>J. Am.</u> <u>Chem. Soc</u>., 1973, 95, 8427; T. Kametani, A. Ujiie, M. Ihara, K. Fukumoto, and H. Koizumi, <u>Heterocycles</u>, 1975, 3, 371.

14. D. M. Grant and B. V. Cheney, <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u>, 1967, 89, 5315; T. Kametani, K. Fukumoto, M. Ihara, A. Ujiie, and H. Koizumi, <u>J. Org. Chem.</u>, 1975, 40, 3280.

15. W. F. Trager, C. M. Lee, and A. H. Beckett, <u>Tetrahedron</u>, 1967, 23, 365; L. Szabo, K. Honty, L. Toke, and Cs. Szantay, <u>Chem. Ber</u>., 1972, 105, 3215; I. Toth, L. Szabo, M. Kajtar - Peredi, E. Baitz - Gacs, L. Radics, and Cs. Szantay, <u>Tetrahedron</u>, 1978, 34, 2113.

16. E. Wenkert, C. J. Chang, H. P. S. Chawla, D. W. Cochran, E. W. Hagaman, J. C. King, and K. Orito, J. Am. Chem. Soc. , 1976, 98, 3645.

17. M. Shamma and J. M. Richey, J. Am. Chem. Soc. , 1963, 85, 2507.

18. M. Shamma, C. D. Jones, and J. A. Weiss, Tetrahedron, 1969, 25, 4347; B. R. Pai, S. Natarajan,

H. Suguna, and G. Manikumar, <u>J. Org. Chem.</u>, 1978, 43, 1994.

Received, 27th July, 1992