PREPARATION AND STERIC STRUCTURE OF CONDENSED-SKELETON SATURATED DIPHENYL-SUBSTITUTED ISO-INDOLONES

Géza Stájer,^{a*} Angela E. Szabó,^a Gábor Bernáth,^a and Pál Sohár^b

aInstitute of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Albert Szent-Györgyi Medical University, POB 121, H-6701 Szeged, Hungary; ^bSpectroscopic Laboratory, Department of General and Inorganic Chemistry, Loránd Eötvös University, POB 32, H-1518 Budapest-112, Hungary

Abstract-The Friedel-Crafts reaction of benzene with cis-4-cyclohexene-1,2-dicarboxylic anhydride (1) yields *trans-5-phenyl-cis-2-benzoylcyclohexane*carboxylic acid **(2),** which gave cyclohexane-condensed pyridazinone **(3)** with hydrazine, and *cis-4,5-tetramethylene-1,2-oxazin-8-one* (4) with hydroxylamine. From **2** with ethylenediamine, the saturated imidazo[2,3-alisoindolone **(5)** was prepared, while the reaction of **2** with 1,3-diaminopropane furnished a mixture of two isomeric pyrimido^{[2,3-a]isoindolones (6a,b)} differing in the relative positions of the benzene ring and cyclohexane amelation hydrogens. From the reaction of **2** with 3-aminopropanol, the **oxazino**[2,3-*u*]isoindolone (7) was obtained. The reaction of 2 with *cis-*2-hydroxymethylcyclohexylamine gave the tetracyclic (S), while **2** and *diendo-***3-hydroxymethylhicyc1o[2.2.l]hept-5-enyl-2-amine** yielded the isomers **(9a,b),** which differ in the mutual positions of the phenyl group on the quaternary carbon and cyclohexane annelation hydrogens. 'H- and 13C-nmr spectra and DNOE and 2D-HSC measurements proved that the 5-phenyl group is cis-equatorial to the two annelated hydrogens of the cyclohexane ring.

As a continuation of our systematic stereochemical studies^{1, 2} on cycloalkane-fused 1,3-heterocycles, fully or partly saturated isoindolones containing two condensed hetero rings were synthesized.^{3, 4} The versatile synthon **(2)** was used for these syntheses, which made possible the preparation of a number of saturated or partly saturated 1,3-heterocycles containing three, four or five fused rings. The synthesis and stereochemical investigation of these fairly complex target compounds seemed very promising from both stereochemical and pharmacological aspects. Systematic comparative **nmr** analyses of related hicyclic and tricyclic systems were performed earlier and X-ray analyses were also carried out in several cases.³⁻⁷ The more complex systems described in the present paper are worthy of study, for to date merely syntheses of analogous compounds containing an aromatic ring A have been reported. The stereochemistry of these aromatic compounds is much simpler, because neither the stereochemistry of the A/B ring fusion nor the steric position of the aryl suhstituent relative to this ring annelation need he considered. The pharmacological potential of the target compounds is likewise of interest, because some of the corresponding aromatic analogues8.9 have anorectic activity, and several drugs with related structures are applied in therapy.¹⁰ We have therefore carried out a systematic synthetic study to obtain these interesting new ring systems. Some of the results are described in the present paper which reporrs the preparation and structure elucidation of compounds phenyl-substituted on the cyclohexane ring.

In contrast with some aromatic analogues synthesized earlier, $8, 11$ our compounds are interesting stereochemically too, because the mutual positions of the hetero rings relative to the terminal carhocyclic ring(s) can differ. Their positions, the conformation of the rings, and the position and stereoposition of the phenyl ring on the cyclohexane therefore, have to he elucidated. Additionally, structure determination is important, because, depending on the reaction conditions, the configurations of the starting compounds often change, as experienced in preparations of similar compounds.^{12, 13}

SYNTHESIS

The AIC13-catalysed reaction of benzene with **cir-1,2,3,6-tetrahydrophthalic** anhydride **(1)** furnishes c-2 **benzoyl-t-5-phenyl-r-1-cyclohexanecarhoqlic** acid **(2)** in 72% yield14 (Scheme). The 'H- and 13C-nm measurements demonstrate that the 5-phenyl group is *equatorial* and the carboxyl *axial.*

Scheme

The similar arylation of **cis-1,2,3,6-tetrahydrophthalic** acid or its dimethyl ester with benzene leads to **r-l,c-2,t-4-phenyl-l,2-cyclohexanedicarboqlic** acid.1416 However, the Friedel-Crafts reaction of 4-phenyl**cis-1,2-cyclohexanedicarhoxyIic** anhydride with benzene has been reported to result in 4-phenyl-cis-**2-benzoyl-1-cyclohexanecarboxylic** acid in much higher yield (67%) than that of the 5-phenyl derivative (11%).16 **As** explanation, we suppose that the acylation of benzene with 1 is the decisive step in our reaction. Then, in the subsequent addition, the *axial* carboxyl group of the *cis-2*-benzoyl-4-cyclohexene-1-carboxylic acid directs the phenyl group predominantly into the 5-position, when **2** is formed.

The synthon (2) was cyclized with hydrazine to 5.8-diphenyl-3a,4,5,6,7,7a-hexahydrobenzoldlpyridazin-3(?H)-one (3) (Scheme). Non-condensed and other substituted derivatives of **3** are already known as potential drugs, $8, 9$

The reaction of 2 with hydroxylamine yielded the analogous bicyclic 1,2-oxazinone (4), and that of 2 with ethylenediamine resulted in the **decahydroimidazo[Z,l-a]isoindolone** (5). With 1,3-diamino-propane, two isomeric saturated **pyrimido[2,1-a]isoindolones** (6a,b) were formed. On boiling in benzene, 2 and 3-aminopropanol gave the saturated **1,3-oxazino[2,3-a]isoindolone** derivative (7).

Synthon (2) with cyclic 1,3-aminoalcohols furnished the more complicated, condensed tetracyclic or pentacyclic 1,3-oxazines, containing two fully or partly saturated carhocyclic terminal rings. On the reaction of cis-2-hydroxymethyl-1-cyclohexylamine, the tetracyclic compound **(8)** was formed, the steric structure of which, i.e. the relative configurations of the four annelated carbon atoms and the phenyl-substituted quaternary carbon, have to be elucidated. Because of the different solubilities and advantageous chromatographic properties, the two condensed methylene-bridged **isoindolo[2,1-a][3,1]benzoxazine** (9a,b) diastereomers could be isolated from the reaction of **2** and **diendo-3-hydroxymethylbicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-enyl-2-amine.**

STRUCTURES

The presumed structures of 3 and 4 are proved by the ir, ¹H- and ¹³C-nmr spectra (Tables 1 and 2). The position# (5 or 6 in **3,** and *6* or 5 in 4) and the orientation (cis or trans relative to the amelation hydrogens and *equatorial* or *axial*, respectively) of the phenyl group on the cyclohexane, and the cis or trans annelation of the cyclohexane and the hetero ring have to be elucidated. The signals of the annelation H-3a,7a## and 5 or *6* methine hydrogen geminal to the phenyl group have been identified by combined DEPT (distortionless enhancement of polarization transfer), 2D-HSC (two-dimensional heteronuclear shift correlation) and DNOE (differential nuclear Overhauser effect) measurements.

For 3, the signal of the ortho-hydrogens of the phenyl group on the *sp2* carbon is downfield-shifted because of conjugation, and hence it can be identified unambiguously; the strong NOE between it and the 3.25 ppm multiplet of a CH group (indicated by DEPT) proves the assignment of the latter to H-7a. Irradiation of this signal in a NOE experiment causes an increase in intensity of the 2.95 ppm CH multiplet; hence, the latter is that of H-3a. Thus, the third CH signal geminal to the phenyl appears at -2.8 ppm.

As the H-7a signal has a quintet fine structure (signal width ~25 Hz), while that of H-3a is a merged triplet (signal width \sim 12 Hz), the cis annelation of the two six-membered rings and the *equatorial* H-3a are plausible (the *diaxial* coupling of H-7a with the vicinal H-7ax results in the much larger signal width of H-7a¹⁷). On irradiation of the H-7a signal, neither the signal of the *ortho-hydrogens* of the phenyl group on the cyclohexane, nor that of the hydrogen geminal to the latter, reacts in the NOE experiment; hence, position *6* for the phenyl group can he excluded.

From the hardly different ¹H- and ¹³C-nmr shifts of the CH groups, the analogous stereostructures of 3 and 4 follow unambiguously. In the spectrum of 4, the signal of the CH group geminal to the phenyl ring is separated (for **3** it overlaps with the H-leq multiplet); its triple triplet splitting (by *ca* 12, 12, 3 and 3 Hz) makes the assignment straightforward (four vicinal hydrogens are possible only in the case of the CH group in question) and it proves its axial position, i.e. the equatorial orientation of the geminal phenyl group (which is sterically favourable ab ovo). Thus, the full c-3aH,t-5H,c-7aH stereo-structure (and $3aR^*$,5S^{*},7aS^{*} configuration) of the two compounds is proved## (Figure 1).

 $#$ For the numbering, see the Scheme.

^{##}Our compounds are racemates and the structure is that of the 3aR^{*} enantiomer configuration. The numbering refers to 3.

	Com- Amide-I pound band ^b	CH ₂ (cyclohexane ring) $3-5 m$'s (6H)	$H-3a$	$H-5$		$H-7a$ Ar $H(Pos, 1, 5)$ $m(1H)^c$ $m(1H)^d$ $m(1H)^e$ 1-4m's (10H)		CONCH, $2xm(2x1H)^r$		XCH ₂ $2xn(2x1H)^g$	
3	1668	$1.5 - 1.8$ h. 1.90 2.05 ^k -2.8 ^{i,m}	2.95	$-2.8m$	3.25	$7.2 - 7.5$	7.80 ^{n.o}				
4	1755	$1.5 - 1.8$ h, 2.07 m, P 2.75 l	3.10	2.92	3.25	$7.2 - 7.5$	7.80 ^{n.o}				
5.	1690	1.009 $1.1k$ $1.32r$ 1.6 $1.8p$ \sim $2.551,h$	-2.55^n	2.45	$-3.1^{\rm m}$		$7.1 - 7.6$ ^s	$-3.1m$		3.90 $-2.55h$	$-3.1m$
6а	1675	$1.3 - 1.6$ h ~ 1.9m 2.10 ~ 2.5 ^{1,t}	2.62	-2.5 t	2.25	$7.1 - 7.4$		3.05		$4.25 - 2.5^t$	2.90
6b	1674	0.859 0.95^{k} 1.25 1.5-1.8h-P 2.60 ¹	3.10	2.40	2.20	7.1-7.5	7.65^{u}	3.20	4.25	2.75	2.85
7.	1711	0.85^{k} 0.959 1.28 ^r 1.65 ^{h, p} 2.59 ^l	$-3.2^{\rm m}$	$~10^{-2}$ $35^{\rm t}$	\sim 2.35 ^t	$7.1 - 7.5$	7.60°	\sim 3.2 ^m	4.18	3.70	3.80
я	1707	$\sim 0.75^{\text{h,p}}$ 0.95 $\sim 1.25^{\text{m}}$ $\sim 1.65^{\text{m}}$ 2.55 ¹	3.15	2.40	2.20	$7.1 - 7.5$	7.61^{μ}	4.48		3.53	3.93
9я	1696	$-1.3h$, 1.85, 2.10 ^k \sim 2.42 ^m	-2.65 ^t	$-2.50m$	2.30	$7.1 - 7.5$		4.21		2.93	3.92
9b	1695	0.539 1.60 ^k ~1.75P ~2.1 ^h y ~2.55 ^{1,m} ~3.15 ^t ~2.05 ^h ~2.05 ^h				6.90 ^u	7.0-7.4	4.29		-3.15^{\dagger}	3.95

Table **1.** The ir carbonyl'frequency (in KBr discs, cm-1) and 1H-nmr data (chemical shifts in ppm, $\delta_{\text{TMS}} = 0$ ppm, coupling constants in Hz) on compounds (3-5, 6a,b, 7, 8 and 9a,b) in CDCl₃ solution at 250 MHza

'Nambering in the Heading see an the Scheme for 3. Further **ir** bands: **vNH:** 3450 and 3225 (3), 3327 (6a), 3450 (6b). 'H-Nmr assignments were proved by DNOE and 2D-HSC measurements. Further ¹H-nmr signals: NH, broad s(1H): 9.10 (3), 2.03 (5), \sim 1.9^m (6a), 2.00 (6b), CCH₂C group in diazine (6a,b), oxazine (7), cyclohexane condensed to the oxazine (8) or norbornene ring (9a,b: *AB-rype* spectrum of the 5-methylene groups, **Zd,** J -8): 1.3-1.6h,P **(6a),** 1.30, -d and 1.5-1.8h~P (6b), 1.20, -d and 1.85 m **(7),** 0.75h and l.l-1.7m~Y **(S),** 1.42 and 1.50 (9a), 1.38 and 1.42 (9b); CCHC (oxazine): 2.08 m (S), -2.65 (9a,%). Further signals^w of the norbornene ring in 9a,b: CH(3). ~2.65^t (9a), ~2.6^m (9b), =CH(4,5), dd ($J = 5.8$ and 3.0): 5.62 and 5.95 (9a), 5.50 and 5.62 (9b), CH(6), \sim s: 3.60 (9a), 3.32 (9b); ^bCarbonyl type group vibrational band, ester $vC=O$ band for 4; ^cTriplet-like signal with coalesced lines, half signal width: \sim 12 (except for 6a, where the lines of the triplet are separated, $J \sim$ 7); ^dA triple triplet multiplicity of this signal can be recognized for 4, 5, 6b and 8: ^eHalf band width ~ 30 (3, 4); ^tFor 8: td(1H), $J = 13.0$, 4.8 and 4.8, $dd(H)$ for 9a,b, $J = 9.6$ and 3.3; EX: NH (5 and 6a,b) or O (7, 8, 9a,b), dd ($J = 11.7$ and 3.7) + t ($J = 12.0$) for 8, $f(t) = 11.5$) + dd (11.6 and 8.0) for 9a,b; $h/m/t$ Overlapping signals; $t^{1/p/r}$ Intensity: 3H/2H/7H; *IH-Geq, -d (qad)*; ^kH-7eq, -d; ¹H-4eq, $\sim d$ (qad); ⁿ1-phenyl group; ^{o/u}H^{ortho}, $\sim d(2H/1H)$; ^qH-7ax, dqa; ^rH-6ax, dqa; ⁶Downfield wing of this signal is broadened; WNumbering see on the Scheme for 9a,b

Stereostnrcture of compoundr (3-5, **6a,b** *and* 7)

Irradiation of the 3.1 ppm signal of H-7a in 4 proves the assignment of *H-7m* and *H-7eq* to the *1.70* ppm double triplet and the *2.75* ppm triple doublet, and the trivial increase in intensity of the H-3a multiplet was also observed. The splits of the *H-7ax* double triplet $(-12, 12, 12, 12)$ prove that *H-6* is *axial*. The marked downfield shift of the *H-7eq* triple doublet is caused by the anisotropic effect of the vicinal coplanar carbonyl.^{18a} Both circumstances support the presumed stereostructure.

For the isomeric pair **(6a,b)**, the similar (-15 Hz) width of the H-6a signal and the hardly different shift of the cyclohexane carbons are proof of the *cis* annelation and *chair* conformation of the cyclohexane, in which the carbonyl group is *arial* to the cyclohexane. For an *arial* H-6a, a broader H-6a signal would be

expected due to a *diaxial* H-6a,H-7ax vicinal coupling,¹⁷ and the total shielding of the six carbons in the cyclohexane would be influenced significantly by a change in the annelation^{18b} (the difference measured is only 4.4 ppm, and that for 6a indicates a slightly more crowded structure). Accordingly, the different configurations of the quaternary carbon, and hence the different positions of the lob-phenyl group in the isomers, follow (Figure 1).

The phenyl group is in position 8 and *equatorial* in both isomers, as proved by the practically identical H-8 and C-8 chemical shifts.

							C_q -1 $C=O$ $C-3a$ $C-4$ $C-5$ $C-6$ $C-7$ $C-7a$ $C-1$ $C-2$, 6 $C-3$, 5 $C-4$ $C-1$ $C-2$, 6 $C-3$, 5 $C-4$ $C-1$ $C-2$, 6 $C-3$, 5 $C-4$ $C-4$ NCH_2 ^t XCH_2 ^t		
							3 153.9 169.4 36.9 31.0 39.4 24.9 32.9 35.6 146.1 126.9 128.5 ^d 126.3 134.7 125.9 128.8 ^d 129.9 ~		
							4 164.6 171.6 36.1 31.3 38.8 26.3 32.4 36.3 145.2 126.8 ^d 128.6 ^e 126.5 131.6 126.6 ^d 129.0 ^e 131.4 -		
							5 89.8 184.3 38.3 31.2 40.4 31.0 28.4 42.8 146.2 126.8 128.4 126.2 139.9 ~ 129 ^f 126.4 127.7 45.3 45.7		
							6a 79.2 173.6 39.3 30.4 40.5 30.8 24.4 45.6 146.3 126.8 128.3 126.1 141.2 127.1 128.7 127.7 37.4 40.1		
							6b 81.2 178.1 42.2 31.0 ^g 40.56 31.0 ^g 27.2 43.4 146.3 126.7 128.3 126.1 138.6 127.2 ^h 128.8 ^h 127.8 39.2 40.64		
							7 95.8 180.3 42.1 30.8 40.8 31.0 27.0 43.2 146.3 126.7 128.3 126.1 136.8 126.9 128.5 128.0 ^d 38.5 62.1		
							8 95.1 182.3 41.9 30.8 40.6 31.0 27.6 44.1 143.6 125.7 128.5 ⁴ 125.8 139.6 127.6 128.6 ^d 128.3 54.0 62.7		
							9a 92.9 178.4 38.9 30.4 40.3 30.5 24.6 50.0 146.7 126.8 128.3 126.0 141.7 ~127 ^f ~129 ^f 127.9 53.2 64.7		
							9b 93.2 178.2 36.2 30.9 37.5 28.2 23.3 56.0 143.8 127.3d 128.0g 125.4 136.8 127.4d 128.0g 126.5 51.9 64.3		

Table 2. ¹³C-Nmr chemical shifts ($\delta_{\text{TMS}} = 0$ ppm) on compounds (3-5, 6a,b, 7, 8, and 9a,b)^a

'Measuriing frequency. 62.9 Mz, **solvent:** CDCI3. **Arsrlpunents were proved** by **DEPT and** 2D-HSC **measuremenrs (except** for **9); bAmide-N; NCH group for 8 and 9a.b;** ^oX: NH (5, 6a.b) or O (7, 8, 9ab); ^{d,e}Interchangeable assignments; ^{*f*}Broadened signal; Wwo **overlapping liner;** hTwo **acparated lines, with the second one at** 127.5 **and 128.8** for **6b and** at **128.1"d** 129.3 lor **7, ierpecrively.**

For 6a, the upfield shift (by 0.48 ppm) of the H-6a signal (anisotropic shielding of the phenyl group^{18c}) supports the R^* configuration of C-10b (i.e. the cis position of the 10b-phenyl group and H-6a,10a to the prrolidone ring). For 6b, this effect can be observed for the 10-methylene signal and it is stronger (for the H-10 α x signal a 0.7 and for the H-10 α signal a 1.15 ppm upfield shift), because the phenyl group trans to H-6a,10a lies much closer to the 10-methylene group. For H-10eq, the reason for the large shift difference in 6a and 6b is the opposite anisotropic (downfield) effect of the C-N bond in 6a for this hydrogen. The steric interaction of H-6a and the 10b-phenyl group decreases the C-6a shift by 2.9 ppm in **@a,** while for 6b the similar interacion of H-lOar and the lob-phenyl group is reflected in the upfield shift (by 2.2 ppm) of the C-10a line (steric compression shift;¹⁹). The anisotropic effect of the coplanar carbonyl group^{18a} results in the downfield shift of H-7eq (-2.5 and 2.6 ppm) for both isomers.

DNOE measurements support the stereostructures postulated above. On saturation of the H-6a and H-lOa signals for 6a, the lob-phenyl group reacts, which is proof of their cis position. For 6b, irradiation of the unambiguously assigned H-10 αx signal causes an increase in intensity of the H-8 αx multiplet, which - indicating the 1,3-diaxial position of H-10ax and the hydrogen geminal to the phenyl group - shows the 8-equatorial position of the phenyl substituent on the cyclohexane (and that for the isomer 6a from the identical H-8a and C-8 chemical shifts).

Free rotation of the 10b-phenyl group in 6b is impossible, as H-10 ax and the $axial$ hydrogens of the two methylene groups vicinal to the hetero atoms of the diazine ring surround the lob-phenyl ring and allow it to move in only a narrow segment. **As** a consequence, the C-2' and C-6' and the C-3' and C-5' lines of the phenyl group separate in the 13 C-nmr spectrum (Table 2) and the H-2' double doublet also separates downfield (7.65 ppm, 1H) from the other ¹H-nmr signals. For the latter signal and the H-10a multiplet, a mutual NOE was observed, which demonstrates that it comes from the exo ortho-hydrogen. The downfield shift of this signal is caused by the anisotropic effect of the coplanar and close NH bond (the H...NH distance is -2.0 Å).

The hindered rotation of the 9b-phenyl group, the strong shielding of H-9ax and H-9eq and the fact that the shift of the H-5a signal is practically identical with that for **6b** clearly indicate the analogous structures of the homologue 5 (with imidazolidine ring) and **6b** (Tables 1 and 2). In accordance, in a DNOE experiment, saturation of the H-9ax signal led to a response in (a) that of H-9a, which is proof of the correct assignment of the former, (b) that of H-7 ax (geminal to the phenyl group on the cyclohexane ring), which proves the 1,3-diaxial arrangement and the 7-equatorial position of the phenyl group, and (c) that of the *ortho-hydrogens* on the 9b-phenyl group, which points to its *trans* position relative to H-5a,9a. The NOE between H-9ax and H-7ax is indirect evidence of the axial position of the amide carbonyl relative to the cyclohexane ring. This also follows from the similar signal widths and splits of the H-5a signals in the spectra of **6a** and **6b.**

Similar erguments and data *(e.g.* spectral features indicating the hindered rotation of the lob-phenyl ring, the downfield shift of the H-6a signal, the strong shielding of the 10-methylenehydrogens, the small $\Delta\delta$ C-6a,10a and NOE results analogous to those observed for 6b) strongly suggest the identical stereostructure of the 1,3-oxazine derivative 7: axial carbonyl, 8-equatorial and trans-10b- (relative to the cis H-6a,10a) phenyl groups (Figure 1).

For 8, the analogous stereostructure is probable in respect of the positions of the cis-equatorial-10- and trans-12b-phenyl groups relative to the cir H-8a and H-12a (Figure 2). In accordance, the signal of one of the ortho-hydrogens of the 12b-phenyl group separates downfield (hindered rotation) and the H-8a signal is downfield-shifted (3.12 ppm). From the triple triplet structure of the H-10 signal (where the \sim 12 Hz triplet splitting proves its *axial* position), the *cis-equatorial* orientation of the 10-phenyl group follows. Because of the strong mutual NOE with the signal of the ortho-hydrogen of the 10-phenyl group, the assignment of H-10 is unambiguous.

Figure **2** Stereostructure of compounds (8 and 9a,b)

From the 7 Hz triplet splitting of the H-8a signal, the *equatorial* position of this hydrogen and the cis amelation of the pyrrolidinone and cyclohexane rings follows (in the event of a trans amelation, the H-8a,9ar and H-8a,12a diarial couplings would give a higher splitting). Hence, similarly to the analogues (5, **6a,b** and 7) and related compounds investigated earlier, the quaternary carbon is again equatorial to the cyclohexane ring of the cis-annelated skeleton (because of the higher steric demand) and the carbonyl group is *axial*.^{4, 13}

In the DNOE spectrum obtained by saturation of the H-12 α multiplet, the downfield signal of one of the ortho-hydrogens of the 12b-phenyl group can be found, hut the latter group gave no response on irradiation of the H-8a signal. This shows that the 12b-phenyl group and H-8a,12a are trans, and thus 8 and **6b** have identical structures in the common parts of the skeleton.

The triple doublet splittings (by \sim 13, 5 and 5 Hz) of the unambiguously assigned 4.48 ppm NCH signal prove the cis amelation of the cyclohexane and oxazine rings. The strong NOE between the 12b-phenyl group and H-2ax proves the *trans* arrangement of the phenyl group and the annelated oxazine hydrogens. Thus, the stereostructure of 8 is defined by the configuration $2aS^*$, $6aS^*$, $8aR^*$, $12aS^*$, $12bS^*$.

This structure explains the hindered rotation of the 12b-phenyl group (due to the interaction of the endo H- $2ax, 6ax, 12ax$), the strong shielding of H-6ax and H-12ax (which lie over the benzene ring), and the downfield shift of the H-2ax and H-6a signals (because of the anisotropic effect of the coplanar benzene ring and carbonyl group^{18a, c}). The high upfield shift of the C-6 line (at 21.1 ppm according to the 2D-HSC measurement) in consequence of the strong hindrance between the endo H-6ax and the 12h-phenyl group supports the postulated structure.

As compounds (9a,b) contain eight asymmetric centres, and because of the different stable conformations of the flexible cyclohexane and oxazine rings, a great number of stereostructures are possible. However, the bridged and rigid norbornane moiety eliminates some structures for steric reasons. This and the comparable spectral data for the two isomers made the elucidation of the structure easier.

For 9a, the fine structures of the H-8a and H-12a signals are evidence of the cis amelation of the cyclohexane and pyrrolidone rings, and of the *arinl* position of the carbonyl group relative to the cyclohexane ring. For these units, 9a is similar in structure to the other compounds. The 10α -equatorial position of the phenyl group on the cyclohexane is proved by the practically identical $(\Delta \delta \le 0.4$ ppm) chemical shifts of five of the six cyclohexane carbons for 6a and 9a.

The unchanged *diendo* annelation of the norbornene-oxazine rings is proved by the 9.5 and 3.2 Hz splits of the NCH signal.^{20, 21} The 11.5 Hz triplet splitting of the signal of the axial-hydrogen of the 2-OCH₂ group indicates the chair conformation and C-2a configuration (*trans-axial* to H-2*ax*) of the sixmembered heteroring (the *trans* position of the norbornene-oxazine annelation hydrogens and phenyl group relative to the oxazine ring).

From DNOE measurements, the cis position of H-8a,12a and the 12b-phenyl ring relative to the pyrrolidone ring (and the part-structure corresponding to 6a) follow (Figure 2). The NOE between H-2ar and H-4 and the *ortho*-hydrogens of the 12b-phenyl group and olefinic H-5, respectively, are evidence of the C-3,6 configurations, indicating the nearness of H-2 ax and 12b-Ph, respectively, and the close-lying olefinic hydrogen. The stereostructure represented in Figure 2, with configuration **23S',3S',6R',6aS',8aR*,10S',12aS*,12bRR,** therefore follows. DNOE measurements also helped with the assignments of the H-3a(endo), H-3a(exo), H-3,6 and H-4,5 signal pairs.

For 9b, the spectral data differ characteristically and show the opposite position of the 12b-phenyl group, *i,e. trans* to H-8a,12a (analogously as in 6b). Thus, there is strong shielding of H-12ax (0.55 ppm) and a much larger shift difference AdC-8a,12a (for 9b 19.8 ppm, and for 9a 11.1 ppm). **A** further fact supporting the stereostructure is the ~ 0.44 ppm downfield shift of H-8a relative to that in 9a (the anisotropic shielding of the 12b-phenyl ring around H-8a in 9a is absent in 9b). From the \sim 15 Hz H-8a signal width, the cis annelation of the cyclohexane and the $axial$ position of the carbonyl group relative to it are evident. In this respect, all compounds have similar stereostructure.

The diendo amelation of the norbornene moiety follows from the double doublet H-6a signal. The triplet splitting of the H-2ax signal (11.8 Hz) suggests the conformation of the oxazine ring involving an H-2ax-C-C-H-2a dihedral angle of -180° , similarly as in 9a. Hence, both pairs H-8a,12a, and H-2a,6a and the 12b-phenyl group are *trans* to the pyrrolidine and oxazine rings, respectively. The bridging methylene group lies on the opposite side of the molecular skeleton to the 12b-phenyl group. Thus, the configuration of 9b is $2aR^*$,3R^{*},6S^{*},6aR^{*},8aR^{*},10S^{*},12aS^{*},12bS^{*} (Figure 2).

DNOE measurements support this structure: saturation of the H-12 α multiplet (at 0.55 ppm) induces an increase in the intensity of the signal of the *endo ortho-hydrogen* of the 12b-phenyl group, which is proof of its β (trans to H-8a,12a) position. All other NOE results support the postulated structure and provide evidence for the assignments of the close-lying H-3,6, H-4,5 and H-3(endo), $3(exo)$ signal pairs.

To summarize, the results show that:

- a) the phenyl substituent on the cyclohexane condensed to the pyrrolidine or six-membered hetero ring in 3 and 4 is in the γ (1,3) position to the carbonyl group (PhC_y-C_B-C_a-CO);
- $b)$ this phenyl group is *trans-equatorial* to the carbonyl;
- c) all compounds are conformationally homogeneous and the conformer containing an $axial$ carbonyl and a vicinal annelated quaternary carbon equarorial to the cyclohexane is preferred to that in which the positions of these groups are reversed (because of the lower steric requirements of the carbonyl group);
- d) in **8** and 9a,b, the oxazine and cyclohexane or norbornene rings are cis annelated and H-2a,6a and 12b-Ph are *trans* to the oxazine;
- *e)* in the isomeric pairs (6a,b and 9a,b), the relative positions of the phenyl group on the quaternary carbon and the annelated hydrogens differ: these are *cis* or *trans*; the latter configuration is preferred, in agreement with the structure of the related compounds **(5,7** and **8).**

These statements accord with the conclusions from studies of molecular models and the stereochemical considerations.

EXPERIMENTAL

Ir spectra were run in KBr discs on a vacuum optic Bruker IFS-113v FT-spectrometer equipped with an Aspect 2000 computer. $1H$ - and $13C$ -nmr spectra were recorded in CDCl₃ solution in 5 mm tubes at room temperature, on a Bruker WM-250 FT-spectrometer controlled by an Aspect 2000 computer at 250.13 (1H) and 62.89 (13'2) MHz, respectively, using the deuterium signal of the solvent as the lock and TMS as internal standard. The most important measurement parameters were as follows: spectral width 5 and 15 kHz, pulse width 1 and 7 μ s (\sim 35° flip angle), acquisition time 1.64 or 1.02 s, number of scans 4-16 and 0.5-5 K, computer memory 16 and 32 K. Complete proton noise decoupling $(-3 W)$ for the ¹³C spectra and Lorentzian exponential multiplication for signal-to-noise enhancement were used (line width 0.7 and 1.0 Hz). For DNOE measurements, ^{18d, 22} the standard BRUKER microprogram "DNOEMULT.AU" to generate NOE was used, with a selective pre-irradiation time of 5 s and a decoupling power (CW mode) of \sim 3-40 mW; number of scans 64/256, dummy scans 4-8, pulse width 5.0 μ s (90°) and 16 K data points for \sim 2 kHz spectral width. A line broadening of 1.0 Hz was applied to diminish residual dispersion signals in the difference spectra. The 2D-HSC spectra²³ were obtained by using the standard BRUKER pulse program "XHCORRD.AU". The number of data points was 4 K in the ¹³C domain, and $64/256$ increments were used to give better than 5 Hz/point digital resolution in the 1H domain. 256 transients were obtained with a relaxation delay of 3 s. All C-H correlations were found by using $J(C,H) = 135$ Hz for calculation of the delay. DEPT spectra²⁴ were run in a standard way,²⁵ using only the $\theta = 135^{\circ}$ pulse to separate the CH/CH₃ and CH₂ lines phased up and down, respectively. Typical acquisition data were: number of scans 128-12 **K,** relaxation delay for protons 3 s, and 90" pulse widths 10.8 and 22.8 μ s for ¹³C and ¹H, respectively. The estimated value for $J(C,H)$ resulted in a 3.7 ms delay for polarization

Melting points are uncorrected. Physical and analytical data on the new compounds are listed in Table 3.

c-2-Benzoyl-t-5-phenyl-r-1-cyclohexanecarboxylic acid (2)

To a solution of *cis-4-cyclohexene-1,2-dicarboxylic anhydride (1)* (9.7 g, 64 mmol) in dry benzene (100 ml), anhydrous AlCl₃ (3.20 g, 24 mmol) was added in small portions. under stirring. After refluxing (2 h) and cooling, HCI 20% (40 ml) was added dropwise to the mixture, which was then extracted with EtOAc (5x50 **ml).** After evaporation of the extract, the residue was crystallized from EtOAc to give 2 as almost colourless crystals, mp 190 °C (lit., ¹⁴ mp 194 °C), yield 14.2 g (72%).

			Formula	Analysis							
Com-	mp	Yield			Found %		Required %				
pound	°C	%		C	Н	N		H	N		
3	216-218 ^a	43	$C_{20}H_{20}N_2O$	79.00	6.80	9.36	78.92 6.62		9.20		
4	179-180 ^b	54	$C_{20}H_{19}NO_2$	78.87	6.48	4.75	78.66	6.27	4.59		
5	213-214b	39	$C_{22}H_{24}N_2O$	79.20	7.18	8.47	79.48	7.28	8.43		
6а	$212 - 213c$	18	$C_{23}H_{26}N_2O$	79.52	7.44	8.00	79.73 7.56		8.09		
6b	$207 - 208$	24	$C_{23}H_{26}N_2O$	79.80	7.40	7.98	79.73	7.56	8.09		
7	$185 - 186b$	70	$C_{23}H_{25}NO_2$	79.65	7.34	4.02	79.51	7.25	4.03		
8	182-184 ^b	60	$C_{27}H_{31}NO_2$	80.50	7.81	3.59	80.76 7.78		3.49		
9а	216-218c	27	$C_{28}H_{29}NO_2$	81.60	7.05	3.30	81.72 7.10		3.40		
9 _b	$201 - 203c$	32	$C_{28}H_{29}NO_2$	81.79	7.14	3.52	81.72 7.10		3.40		

Table 3. Physical and analytical data on compounds $(3-5, 6a,b, 7, 8 \text{ and } 9a,b)$

From adioxane, bethanol and cethyl acetate, respectively.

S,S-Diphenyl-3a,4,5,6,7,7a-hexahydrobenzo[d]pyridazin-3(2tl)-one (3)

A mixture of 2 (3.1 g, 0.01 mol), $N_2H_4H_2O$ (0.5 g, 0.01 mol) and benzene (30 ml) was refluxed (3 h). After evaporation of the mixture, the residue was crystallized. Data on 3 are listed in Table 3.

3,6-Diphenyl-3a,4,5,6,7,7a-hexahydrobenzo[d] [l,Z]oxazind-one (4)

A solution of 2 $(3.1 \text{ g}, 0.01 \text{ mol})$ and H₂NOH. HCl $(0.7 \text{ g}, 0.01 \text{ mol})$ in EtOH $(30 \text{ ml}, 90\%)$ was refluxed (3 :I). After cooling, the solid that separated out was filtered off and crystallized.

~.t)h-Diphenyl-1,Z,3,~a.6,7,899a9b-decahydroimidazo[2,1~]isoindol-5-one (5) and 8,lOh-dlphenyl-**11,3,4,5,6a,7,8,9,10,10a.10h-dodecnhyd~mido[2,16]isoindol-6-ones** (6a,b)

A solution of **2** (3.1 g, 0.01 mol), ethylenediamine monohydrate (2.3 g, 0.03 mol) or 1,3-diaminopropane *(2.2* g, 0.03 mol), and p-toluenesulphonic acid (1 crystal) in toluene (30 ml) was refluxed (4 h), a water separator being applied, with tlc monitoring of the reaction. After evaporation, the residue was transferred onto a silica gel column (Kieselgel 60, 0.063-0.2 mm), then eluted with benzene and EtOAc. After evaporation of the latter eluate, the residue was crystallized to yield 5 (1.3 g, 39%) or 6b (0.83 g, 24%). Compound (6a) was obtained from the mother liquor of 6h by fractional crystallization with EtOH. On a tlc plate, 6a gave a spot with somewhat lower R_f than that of 6b [DC Alufolien, Kieselgel 60 F₂₅₄ Merck, 0.2 mm, solvent: benzene-EtOH-petroleum ether (bp 40-60 °C) 4:1:3, development in iodine vapour].

8,lOb-Diphenyl-2~,4,5,6a,7,8,9,10,10a-decahydrooxazino[2,36] isoindol-6-one (7)

A solution of *2* (3.1 g, 0.01 mol), p-toluenesulphonic acid (1 crystal) and 3-amino-1-propanol (2.25 g, 0.03 mol) in benzene (30 ml) was refluxed *(5* h), a water separator being applied. After removal of the solvent by distillation, the residue was crystallized.

9,l?b-Dipbenyl-2a~,4,5,6,6a,8a,9,10,11,12,12a-dodecahydroisoindolo[2,1~] [3,1] benzoxazin-Bone (8)

A mixture of 2 (3.1 g, 0.01 mol), *cis-2-hydroxymethyIcyclohexylamine* (1.3 g, 0.01 mol) and *p*-toluenesulphonic acid (1 crystal) in benzene (30 ml) was refluxed (3 h) . After evaporation, the residue was transferred onto a silica gel column and duted with benzene. After evaporation, the residue was crystallized.

10.12b-Diphenyl-3,6-methano-2a,3,6,6a,8a,,10,11,12,12a-decahydroisoindolo [2,1-a] [3,l] benzoxazindones (9a,b)

A mixture of 2 (3.1 g, 0.01 mol) and **diendo-3-hydroxymethylhiqclo[2.2.l]hept-5-enyl-2-amine** (1.4 g, 0.01 mol) was reacted in toluene (30 ml) as described in the previous experiment. After evaporation, the residue was transferred onto a silica gel column and eluted with benzene (9a), then with EtOAc (9b); on evaporation of the eluates, the two residues crystallized (tlc as above; R_f 9a > R_f 9b).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are indebted to Mrs. A. Sólyom and Mr. A Fürjes for skilled technical assistance and Mrs. E. Csiszár-Makra for preparation of the manuscript. Grants: OTKA 2693 and ETT T-121 are acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- 1. **G.** Bernith, *Acta Chim. Hung. -Models in Chemistry,* 1992,129,105.
- 2. *G.* Bernith,Ann. Acad *SCL Fenn* Ser. A **11.** Chem. 227; Suom. Tiedeakat., Helsinki, 1990, 65.
- 3. G. Stájer, F. Csende, G. Bernáth, J. Szúnyog, and P. Sohár, *Monatsh. Chem.*, accepted for publication.
- 4. G. Stijer, F. Csende, G. Bernith, and P. Sohir, *Heterocycles,* 1994, 37, 883.
- 5. K. Pihlaja, R. Sillanpaa, G. Stijer, and S. Frimpong-Manso, *Acta Chem. Scand.,* 1992,46, 1021.
- 6. G. Stájer, R. Sillanpaä, and K. Pihlaja, *Acta Chem. Scand.*, 1993, 47, 482.
- 7. R. Sillanpaa, G. Stijer, and K. Pihlaja, *Acta Chem. Scand.,* accepted for publication.
- 8. V. Curran and *A.* Ross,J. *Med. Chem.,* 1974,17,273.
- 9. W. **J.** Houlihan, *U.* S. 1976, 3,931,176 *(Chem.* Abstr., 1976, 84, 105630t).
- 10. **A.** Mertens, **H.** Zilch, B. Konig, W. Schiifer. T. Poll, W. Kampe, H. Seidel, **U.** Leser, and H. Leinert, *J. Med. Chem.,* 1993,36,2526.
- 11. **H.** Orzalesi, P. Chevallet, G. Berge, M. Boucard, J. J. Serrano, G. Privat, and C. Andrary, *Eur. Med. -Chim. Ther.,* 1978, 13,259.
- 17. G. Bernith, **G.** Stajer, A. E. Szab6, Zs. Szo'ke-Molnir, and P. Sohir, *Tetrahedron,* 1987,43, 1921.
- 13. P. Sohár, Zs. Szőke-Molnár, G. Stájer, and G. Bernáth, *Magn. Reson. Chem.*, 1989, 27, 959.
- 14. K. Sugita and S. Tamura, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan,* 1971,44,2866.
- 15. *E.* Schefczik, *Chem. Ber.,* 1965,98, 1270.
- 16. **K.** Sugita and S. Tamura, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan,* 1971,44,3383.
- 17. M. Karplus,J. *Chem. Phys.,* 1959, **30,** 11; 1960,33, 1842.
- 18. P. Sohar, *Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy,* CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida 1983, a) Vol. 1, p. 33 and Vol. 2, p. 30, 61, b) Vol. 2, p. 165, c) Vol. 1, pp. 35-38, d) Vol. 1, p. 196, 197.
- 19. **D.** M. Grant and B. V. Cheney, *J.* Am. *Chem. Soc.,* 1967,89,5315.
- 20. P. Sohár, G. Stájer, and G. Bernáth, Org. Magn. Reson., 1983, 21, 512.
- 21. P. Sohár, I. Pelczer, G. Stájer, and G. Bernáth, *Magn. Reson. Chem.*, 1987, 25, 584.
- 22. J. **K. M.** Sanders and J. D. Mersch, *Prog. Nucl. Map. Reson,* 1982, 15, 353 and references cited therein.
- 23. R. **R.** Ernst, G. Bodenhausen, and A. Wokaun. *Principles of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance in One and Two Dimemiom,* Clarendon Press, Oxford, **U.** K. 1987, pp. 471-479.
- 24. **D.** T. Pegg. D. M. Doddrell, and M. R. Bendall, *J. Chem. Phys.,* 1982,77,2745.
- 25. M. R. Bendall, D. M. Doddrell, D. T. Pegg, and W. E. Hull, *High Resolution Muhipulse NMR Spectrum Editing and DEPT,* Bruker, Karlsmhe 1982.

Received, 20th **December,** 1993