SYNTHESIS OF THE γ -SULFINIC ACID AND γ -NITRO ANALOGUES OF 5-DEAZATETRAHYDROFOLIC ACID

Ronald **A.** Forsch, Joel E. Wright, and Andre Rosowsky*

Dana-Farber Cancer Institute and Department of Biological Chemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, USA

- Analogues of **5-deaza-5,6,7,8-tetrahydrofolic** acid with a y-sulfinic acid group or γ -nitro group in place of the γ -carboxyl group of the glutamate side chain were synthesized as diastereomeric mixtures, and were tested for their ability to inhibit the growth of CCRF-CEM human leukemia cells in culture. The concentration of the γ -sulfinic acid analogue (7) giving 50% inhibition of growth during 120 h of continuous drug treatment was 21 μ M versus 93 μ M for the γ -nitro analogue **(8)**. The K_i of 7 as a competitive inhibitor of the influx of $[3H]$ methotrexate into CCRF-CEM cells via the reduced folate carrier (RFC) was 5.0 μ M, a value close to the K_m values typically cited in the literature for MTX and natural reduced folates. Thus, apart from any other mechanistic targets this compound might have, 7 has the potential to deplete endogenous pools of reduced folates in dividing cells by interfering with RFC function.

Analogues of 5-deazafolic acid (1) and 5-deazatetrahydrofolic acid (2) in which the terminal carboxyl group of the glutamate side chain was replaced by a sulfonic acid group, as in structures **(3)** and **(4),** or a phosphonic acid group, as in structures (5) and (6), were synthesized by us several years ago as part of a larger effort involving the design and biological evaluation of nonpolyglutamatable antifolates.¹

When tested as mixtures of the 6R and 6S isomers in cell-free assays using purified enzymes, 4 and 6 were found to be potent inhibitors of the purine hiosynlhetic enzyme glycinamide ribonucleotide fomyltransferase (GARFT), as well as of folylpolyglutamate synthetase (FPGS), a key player in the cellular pharmacology of both antifolates and endogenous reduced folates.² The non-reduced compounds (3) and (5) were considerably weaker inhibitors of both enzymes. Interestingly, the phosphonate (6) was a competitive inhibitor of the FPGS reaction, whereas inhibition by the sulfonate (4) obeyed noncompetitive kinetics. It was speculated that decreased cellular formation of the long-chain polyglutamates of 10-formyltetrahydrofolate, which are the preferred endogenous substrates for GARFT, might enable these compounds to act as 'self-potentiating' antipurinic drugs.¹ However, despite this dual inhibitory profile, 4 and 6 proved to be vinually noncytotoxic in comparison with the glutamate analogue (2). Subsequently it was shown that, while these analogues are actively transported into cells via the reduced folate carrier (RFC), they are less efficiently used in this process than are the natural reduced folates or a number of synthetic antifolates with a glutamate side chain.3

In the present paper we report the chemical synthesis of two other side chain analogues (7) (Scheme II) and **(8)** (Scheme III), in which the y-carboxyl group of **2** was replaced by a bioisosteric y-sulfinic acid group and a γ -nitro group, respectively. It was felt that these functional groups would more closely mimic a carboxyl group than either the γ -sulfonic acid group of 4 or the γ -phosphonic acid group of 6. In particular, the sulfmate anion and nitro group both have planar trigonal geometry. Moreover, as indicated in Figure 1, the oxygens atoms in one of the canonical resonance forms of a nitro group support a &localized negative charge. In this sense, therefore, a nitro group can be viewed as being sterically and electronically similar to a carboxylate anion. To our knowledge these are the first known examples of this type of side chain *modification in folate analogues.* Moreover there is no reason in principle why the methods used to obtain **7** and **8** cannot he introduced into a wide range of other antifolate structures.

Figure 1. Steric and electronic relationship of carboxyl, sulfinyl, and nitro groups.

The synthesis of the sulfinic acid analogue **(7)** is depicted in Schemes I and **11,** and was inspired by a recent report of the successful cleavage of a phthalimidomethylsulfone to a sulfinic acid by reaction with a nucleophilic basc4 The starting point for the synthesis of **7** was N-bromomethylphthalimide **(9),** which on treatment with thiolacetic acid in the presence of Et_3N , followed by deacetylation with concentrated HCl, afforded the thiol ester **(10)** and thiol **(11)** in high overall yield. Previously reported syntheses of **11** from **9** and NaSH⁵ or from N-chloromethylphthalimide and trisodium thiophosphate⁶ according to the method of Piper and Johnston⁷ were viewed as being undesirable for large-scale work because of the environmental risks posed by H_2S gas, from which NaSH is freshly made before use,⁵ and because of the relatively high cost of trisodium thiophosphate. Attempted cleavage of the thiol ester **(10)** with N,N-dimethylethylenediamine in methanol was unsuccessful, yielding only an acid-soluble product which we assume came from cleavage of the phthalimide ring. We believe the present method of preparation of **11,** which can be quickly, inexpensively, and safely performed on a multigram scale is markedly superior to the earlier procedures. In the next stage of the synthesis (Scheme I), D,L-2-aminobutyrolactone **(12)** was cleaved with **30%** HBr to 2-amino-4-bromobutanoic acid (13), which on esterification with methanolic SOCl₂ was converted to the amino ester (14) . As expected from the fact that the starting lactone (12) was treated consecutively

with HBr and SOCl₂, 14 was obtained as a mixture of HBr and HCl salts. This did not materially affect the outcome, since the mixture of salts was neutralized in **situ** during the subsequent reaction. Condensation of **14** with thiol 11 in the presence of K_2CO_3 occurred rapidly in refluxing MeOH, giving 15 in 53% yield, isolated as an analytically pure HCI salt with the expected ${}^{1}H$ NMR features, including singlets at δ 4.72 (2H, CH2N) and *6* 7.92 (4H, aryl) corresponding to the phthalimidomethylsulfenyl moiety.

Scheme l

(a) HBr/AcOH; **(b) SOCI₂/MeOH; (c) McC(=O)SH/Et₃N; (d) HCI/MeOH; (e) K₂CO₃/MeOH; (f) CF₃CO₃H**

Oxidation of 15 with peroxytrifluoroacetic acid, prepared in situ from 30% H₂O₂ and TFA, yielded an oily mixture of HCl and TFA salts which was neutralized with NaHCO3, extracted with EtOAc, and treated with methanolic SOCl₂ to obtain analytically pure 16 HCl in 22% yield. The presence of the sulfone group was confirmed by the ¹H NMR spectrum, which showed a downfield shift of the CH₂N singlet from δ 4.72 in 15 to **S** 5.12 in 16. Evaporation of the mother liquor afforded a 79% yield of N-hydroxymethyphthalimidc. Although the facile cleavage of the carbon-sulfur bond group during workup of 16 was unanticipated, it augured well for our eventual ability to generate sulfinic acid (7) (see below). The possibility that 16 was cleaved by solvolysis in the original reaction mixture, rather than during workup, was eliminated by the

observation that the lH **NMR** spectrum of a solution of the authentic compound in 80% aqueous **TFA** remained unchanged after 20 h at room temperature.

(a) i-BuOCOCl/Et₃N/DMF; (b) NaOH/DMSO

To complete the synthesis (Scheme **U),** 16 was condensed by the mixed carboxylic-carbonic anhydride method with N-[4-[(2-acetamido-4(3H)-oxo-5,6,7,8-tetrahydropyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidin-6-yl)methyl]-formamido]benzoic acid (17) ,¹ and the protected coupling product (18) was chromatographed on silica gel and treated directly with NaOH in DMSO at 70 \degree C for 15 min to remove the ester and amide blocking groups and *simultaneously convert the phthalimidomethylsulfonyl group to a sulfnic acid.* The **fmal** product (7). isolated in a combined yield of *ca.* 20% after purification by HPLC on C_{18} silica gel followed by ionexchange chromatography on DEAE-cellulose, had microanalytical values consistent with a trihydrated monoammonium salt. That it was the desired sulfinic acid and not the corresponding sulfonic acid (4) ,¹ which could have formed by air oxidation, was proved by co-chromatographing the two compounds on C_{18} silica gel with 4% MeCN in 0.1 M NH₄OAc, pH 6.9, as the eluent. As expected from the more polar nature. of 4, **its** retention time was 6 min whereas that of 7 was 10 min. It should be noted that both the lactone (12) and the heterocycle (17) were racemic. Thus the final product (7) was assumed to be a mixture of $(6R,2R)$, $(6R,2S)$, $(6S,2R)$, and $(6S,2S)$ diastereomers even though a single HPLC peak was observed. However, since the pure 2R and *2S* enantiomers of **12** are both commercially available it should be straightforward to obtain 7 with the side chain in either the L- or D-configuration.

The synthesis of the y-nitro analogue **(8)** is shown in Scheme **111,** and again began with the mixed HBr and HCl salts of 14. Carbamoylation of the amino group with benzyl chloroformate in the presence of K_2CO_3 afforded the γ -bromo ester (19) in 64% yield. Bromide displacement with NaNO₂ in DMSO at room temperature gave **20** as a straw-colored oil in 32% yield. This yield was higher than the 13% reported for the same reaction in DMF, and was also higher than the 21% overall yield reported for a two-step process involving successive reactions of the y-chloro compound with NaI in acetone and NaNO₂ in DMF.⁸ Although previous workers⁸ had reported a melting point of 42-44 ^oC for this compound, attempts to obtain crystals of **20** consistently failed. However the 'H NMR spectrum supported the assigned smcture: as expected, there was a down-field shift from *6* 3.4 to *6 4.5* for the protons on the ycarbon in **20** versus **19.**

(a) phCH2KKUK2C0,: **(b)** NaNOdDMSO; (c) HBriAcOH; **(d)** i-BuOCOClEt,N/DMP. **(el** HCVAcOH

Treatment of 20 with 30% HBr in AcOH, followed by neutralization with aqueous Na_2CO_3 , extraction with EtOAc, and evaporation of the organic layer afforded **21** in 19% yield. A substantial amount of organic material was also recovered from the aqueous layer, but this unfortunately did not appear to be the expected amino ester. Although the low yield of 21 was disappointing, virtually the same result was reported by previous workers⁸ when they used base rather than acid to cleave the ester group. After treatment of the purified dicyclohexylammonium salt of the cleavage product with 30% HBr in AcOH acid, the HBr salt of 2-amino-4-nitrobutanoic acid was isolated with an overall yield of only 20%.⁸ Although we did not deter-mine the reason for the low yield in the acidolysis of the Cbz group in the presence of a nitro group, aliphatic nitro groups are known to be both acid- and base-sensitive. In acid, they can be converted first to hydroxamic acids and then to carboxylic acids; in strong base, aldehydes are formed *via* the Nef reaction. A better blocking group than Cbz would have been desirable for this purpose.

Condensation of 21 with 17 by the mixed carboxylic-carbonic anhydride method followed by treatment with 48% aqueous HBr in glacial AcOH led to 8. The intermediate protected adduct (22) was too insoluble in solvents typically used for column chromatography on silica gel, and thus was used without purification. After acombination of preparative HPLC on C1g silica gel (4% MeCN in 0.05 **M** NH40Ac, pH 6.9) and ion-exchange chromatography on DEAE-cellulose $(0.4 M NH₄HCO₃)$, the combined yield of 8 in the final two steps was 10%. Analytical HPLC showed the twice-chromatographed product to be highly pure, with a single major peak at 14.5 minutes.

Mixed anhydride condensation of 21 with 4-[N-[(2-acetamido-4(3H)-oxopyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidin-6-yl)**methyl]formamido]benzoic** acid (23) instead of **17** was also canied out, to obtain 24. Treatment of 24 with an equal mixture of 6 NHCl and glacial AcOH then gave 25 with a combined two-step yield of 16% after purification by preparative HPLC on C18 silica gel and ion-exchange chromatography on **DEAE**cellulose.

The sulfinic acid (7) and nitro compounds **(8)** and (25) were tested as inhibitors of the growth of CCRF-CEM human leukemic lymphoblasts. The doubling time for this cell line under our **cell** culture conditions was 28 \pm 1 h. Thus, a drug exposure period of 120 h was chosen in order to allow the untreated cells to undergo approximately four divisions. The concentration required to inhibit cell growth by 50% (i.e. the IC_{50}) was $21 \pm 2 \mu$ M for compound (7), $93 \pm 16 \mu$ M for compound (8), and $121 \pm 12 \mu$ M for compound (25). It is of interest to note that, in our earlier study on γ -modified analogues of $(6R, 6S)$ -5-deaza-5,6,7,8tetrahydrofolate.' the sulfonic acid analogue **(3)** was inactive against CCRF-CEM cells even when used at a concentration of up $100 \mu M$. This lack of activity was tentatively ascribed to a difference in the steric and

electronic properties of the S020H group relative to a C02H group. **An** experiment was also performed to determine whether 7 is able to use the reduced folate carrier (RFC) pathway for active transport into cells (reviewed in ref. 9). Using the standard method to measure the ability of a folate analogue to competitively inhibit [3H]methotrexate influx via the RFC.⁶ the K_i of 7 was found to be 5.0 μ M. The reported K_i of the sulfonic acid 3 is ca. 20 μ M.⁶ Thus it appears that one reason for the greater cytotoxicity of 7 relative to 3 may he an increased ability to be taken up via the RFC. The greater potency of sulfinic acid (7) relative to sulfonic acid 3 is consistent with the idea that the SO₂H group is bioisosterically closer to a CO₂H group than is the $SO₃H$ group.

It should be noted that both 7 and **8** were tested as mixtures of 6R and 6s diastercomers whose biological activities individually are not known. However, based on the fact that the K_i values of (6R)- and (6S (-5.10-dideazatetrahydrofolate are almost the same,¹⁰ as has also been reported for (6R)- and (6S)-5-formyltetrahydrofolate.¹¹ it is reasonable to assume that the substrate affinity of $(6R, 6S)$ -7 would be close to that of the 'natural' diastereomer. Moreover. since the ability of (6R)- and **(68-5.10-dideazatctrahydrofolate** against to inhibit the growth of cultured CCRF-CEM cells is likewise very similar, it can be expected that the IC₅₀ values for (6R,6S)-7 and (6R,6S)-8 would also not have been very different if the individual diastereomers had been tested separately.

Apart from the possibility that prolonged incubation of cells in the presence 7 could induce apoptosis by depletion of endogenous reduced folates¹³ by interfering with RFC function, this compound also has the potential to block polyglutamation of reduced folates by FPGS and de novo biosynthesis of purine nucleotides by GARfT. Support for these alternative mechanisms comes from our previous finding that sulfonic acid analogue (3) inhibits FPGS with a K_i of 22 μ M and GARFT with K_i of 0.19 μ M.¹ Thus, experiments to determine how much of the cytotoxicity of 7 is due to inhibition of these enzymes and how much is due to interference with RFC function would be of potential intcrcst.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

IR spectra were obtained on a Perkin-Elmer Model 781 double-beam recording spectrophotometer. Only peaks above 1200 cm⁻¹ arc given, and shoulders and weak peaks are omitted. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Model EM360L spectrometer with Me₄Si as the reference. Analytical TLC was on

fluorescent Baker Si250F silica gel plates or Eastman 13181 silica gel sheets, with spots being visualized under ultraviolet light at 254 nm or with the aid of an I_2 chamber. Column chromatography was on Baker 70-200 mesh silica gel, Baker 'Flash' grade silica gel (40 **p** particle size), or Whatman DEAEcellulose (pre-swollen). HPLC was on Waters C₁₈ radial compression cartridges (analytical: 5 μ m particle size, 5 x 100 mm); preparative; 15 μ m particle size, 25 x 100 mm). Melting points (uncorrected) were obtained in glass capillaries in a Mel-Temp apparatus (Cambridge Laboratory Devices, Cambridge, MA). N-[4-[(2- **Acetamido-4(3H)-oxopyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidin-6-yl)methyl]fomamido]knzoic** acid (16) and its (6R,6S)- 5,6,7,8-tetrahydro derivative **(23)** were obtained as described.1 Other chemicals and solvents were from Aldrich, Milwaukee, WI, or Fisher, Boston, MA. The 2-aminobutyrolactone used in the synthesis of 2-amino-4-nitrobutanoic acid and 2-amino-4-sulfinobutanoic acid was a D,L-enantiomeric mixture. Hence these acids were also racemic and the ensuing products (7) and **(8)** were presumably mixtures of unresolved diastereomers. Microanalyses were performed by Robertson Laboratory, Madison, NJ. Mass spectral data were provided by the Core Molecular Biology Facility of the Dana-Farber Cancer Institute.

N-Mercaptomethylphthalimide (11). A solution of thiolacetic acid (0.76 g, 10 mmol) and Et₃N (1.39) mL, 1.01 g, 10 mmol) in ice-cold THF (30 mL) was stirred and treated dropwise over 30 min with a solution of N-bromomethylphthalimide **(9)** (2.4 g, 10 mmol) in THF (30 mL). After being kept in the refrigerator for 2 days, the mixture was concentrated to dryness by rotary evaporation and the residue was partitioned between EtOAc and H₂O. The organic layer was washed with 1% citric acid and evaporated to obtain N-acetylthiomethylphthalimide (10) as a light-brown solid (1.7 g, 74%); TLC: R_f 0.5 (silica gel, 3:2 hexane-EtOAc). Recrystallization of a small portion of this solid from hexanes-acetone afforded off-white plates, mp 85-86 ^oC; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 3.27 (s, 3H, CH₃), 5.20 (s, 2H, CH₂), 7.82 (m, 4H, aryl); m/z $236 (M+1)^+$. Because the recrystallized sample appeared to have become less pure than the original lightbrown solid, the non-recrystallized material was used directly for the next reaction.

The crude thiol ester (10) from a larger-scale reaction $[2.44 \text{ g } (32 \text{ mmol})$ of thiolacetic acid $(2.4 \text{ g}, 32 \text{ mmol})$, and N-bromomethylphthalimide (7.7 g, 32 mmol), and Et_3N (4.5 mL) in THF (100 mL)] was added immediately (without being weighed) to McOH (240 mL), and the solution was cooled in an ice bath and stirred while concentrated HCI (90 mL) was addcd dropwise without allowing the internal temperature to exceed 15 **OC.** The mixture was left to stir at **n** for 20 h, and the solid which formed during this period was

collected, washed with H₂O, and dried in vacuo at 65 \degree C to obtain 11 as a white powder (4.62 g, 75%) yield for the two steps), mp 136-138 ^oC (lit.,⁵ mp 138-139 ^oC; lit.,⁶ mp 136-138 ^oC); IR (KBr) v 2570 (SH stretch) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 2.67 (t, J = 9 Hz, 1H, SH), 4.78 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2H, CH₂), 7.83 (m, 4H, aryl).

Methyl 2-Amino-4-bromobutanoate (14). A suspension of **12** (10 g, 0.055 mol) in 30% HBr in AcOH (110 mL) was stirred at 45 °C for 2 days. The resulting clear solution of 2-amino-4-bromobutanoic acid (13) was evaporated to dryness, and the solid was taken up in MeOH (100 mL). The solution was stirred in an ice bath and treated dropwise with $SOCI₂$ (10 mL, 16.3 g, 0.137 mol) at such a rate that the internal temperature did not exceed 12 $^{\circ}$ C. When addition was complete the ice bath was removed and the solution was left at rt for 20 h. The solution was concentrated to dryness by rotary evaporation and the solid kept in vacuo at 40 \degree C to obtain a colorless solid (12 g). After recrystallization from EtOAc-MeOH the product melted at 133 \degree C. From the method of synthesis and the microchemical analysis the product was assumed to be a 1:1 mixture of 14.HCl and 14.HBr. Anal. Calcd for $C_5H_{10}NO_2Br 0.5HBr 0.5HCl$: C, 23.57; H, 4.36; N, 5.50. Found: C, 23.50; H, 4.04; N, 5.33.

Methyl 2-Amino-4-(N-phthalimidomethy1)thiobutanoate (15). A mixture of 11 (3.26 g, 17 mmol), the mixed HCI and HBr salts of methyl 2-amino-5-bromobutanoate (14) (4.71 g, estimated to be 17 mmol by arbitrarily using the molecular weight of the HBr salt), and K_2CO_3 (4.83 g, 34 mmol) in MeOH (100 mL) was stirred under rcflux for 15 min, then cooled and filtered. The inorganic salty were filtered off, the filtrate was concentrated to a small volume, and the residue was taken up into EtOAc. The organic phase was extracted with 0.5 M HCl, and the aqueous phase was carefully neutralized with solid Na₂CO₃ (gas evolution!) and re-extracted with EtOAc. The aqueous phase was treated with an additional portion solid $K₂CO₃$ and extracted once more with EtOAc. The pooled organic layers were evaporated to dryness and the residue was treated with a small volumc of MeOH which had previously been cooled in an ice bath and treated with SOC1₂ (1.46 mL, 2.38 g, 20 mmol). The solution was kept at 4 \degree C overnight and the white crystals which formed were filtered, washcd with EtOAc, and dried **in vacuo** at 65 **OC** to obtain 15HC1 as a white powder which was used without additional purification (3.07 g, 53%), mp 185-186 °C; IR (KBr) \mathbf{v} 3470, 3050, 2950, 1775, 1745. 1720, 1495, 1475, 1445, 1435, 1415, 1385, 1350, 1310, 1295, 1255

cm-l; 'H NMR (DMSO-d6) **6** 2.23 (m, 2H, P-CH2), 2.82 (m, 2H, y-CH2), 3.72 (s, 3H, 0CH3), 4.08 (t, $J = 7$ Hz, 1H, α -CH), 4.72 (s, 2H, CH₂N), 7.92 (s, 4H, aryl), 8.70 (br s, 3H, NH₃⁺). Anal. Calcd for C14H16N204S.HC1: C, 48.77; H, 4.97; N, 8.12; S, 9.30. Found: C, 48.68; H, 4.94; N, 7.88; **S,** 9.58. **Methyl 2-Amino-4-(phthalimidomethylsulfonyl)butanoate** (16). A solution of trifluomperacetic acid, freshly prepared by diluting 35% aqueous H_2O_2 (3 mL, containing 30.9 mmol) with TFA (6 mL), was added dropwise over 5 min to an ice-cold solution of 15.HC1 (1.72 g, 5.0 mmol) in **TFA** (5 mL). Gas evolution occurred and the reaction mixture became warm as the ice bath was removed. Excess peroxide was destroyed by adding solid NaI in small portions and testing for oxidizing activity with KI-starch paper. The mixture was then evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure, and H_2O was added. A small amount of insoluble material was removed by filtration, and the solution was carefully neutralized with powdered $NaHCO₃$ (gas evolution!) and extracted three times with EtOAc. The pooled extracts were cooled in ice and treated with a small volume of MeOH which had previously been chilled and treated with $Soc1₂$ (0.22 mL, 3.59 g, 3.0 mmol). The solution was kept in the freezer overnight and the precipitate (187 mg) was collected. The filtrate was reduced in volume and replaced in the freezer to obtain a second crop weighing 226 mg; total yield 413 mg (22%). mp 187-188 **OC;** IR (KBr) v 3490, 2920, 2750, 2720, 2650, 2610, 2050, 1785, 1760, 1725, 1610, 1490, 1475, 1455, 1410, 1395, 1320, 1300, 1290, 1275,1235,1200 cm-¹; ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ 2.35 (m, γ -CH₂, partly covered by the DMSO- d_5 signal), 3.50 (m, γ -CH₂, partly covered by a small water peak), 3.78 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 4.22 (t, J = 6 Hz, 1H, α -CH), 5.12 (s, 2H, CH₂N), 8.00 (s, 4H, aryl), 8.85 (m, 3H, NH₃⁺). Anal. Calcd for C₁₄H₁₆N₂O₆S.HCl: C, 44.63; H, 4.55; N, 7.43; S, 8.51. Found: C, 44.85; H, 4.43; N, 7.29; S, 8.34.

Evaporation of the mother liquor to dryness yielded a solid identified from its IH NMR spectrum as *N-* **(hydroxymethyl)phthalimide** (701 mg, 79%).

2-[N-[4-[(2-Amino-4(3H)-oxo-5,6,7,8-tetrahydropyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidin-6-yl)methyl] aminolbenzoylamino]-4-sulfinohutanoic Acid (7). A suspension of 17 (91 mg, 0.236 mmol) in dry DMF (5 mL) was treated with Et₃N (36 μ L, 26 mg, 0.25 mmol) and isobutyl chloroformate (33 μ L 34 mg, 0.25 mmol). Some solid remained undissolved, and an additional 15% of each reagent was therefore added. After nearly all the solid dissolved, the solution was ueated with 16.HC1 (113 mg, 0.30 mmol)

followed by another portion of Et₃N (41 μ L, 30 mg, 0.30 mmol). The mixture was stirred at rt for 20 h and evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The residue was triturated several times with ether, the ether was poured off, and the remaining solid was chromatographed on a column of 'Flash' silica gel (10 g, 2×8) cm) with 9:1 CHCl₃-MeOH as the eluent. Fractions were monitored by TLC (silica gel, 9:1 CHCl₃-MeOH), and those containing a spot with R_f 0.3 were pooled, concentrated, and diluted with ether until a solid precipitated. The solid was filtered and dried in vacuo over P_2O_5 to obtain a white powder (84 mg). The IR and 'H NMR spectrum of this material showed that it consisted of 18 along with a small amount of residual triethylammonium chloride which ordinarily would have been removed by washing with water. However, because of the aqueous instability of the phthalimidomethylsulfone group, this material was used directly in the next step without being washed with water.

A solution of 18 (70 mg, 0.1 mmol) in DMSO (2 mL) was treated with I M NaOH (4 mL) and heated at 70 ^OC for 15 min. The cooled solution was treated with concentrated $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ to bring the pH to approximately 8, and the product was isolated by preparative HPLC on C_{18} silica gel with 4% MeCN in 0.1 M $NH₄OAC$, pH 6.9, as the eluent. On an analytical column with the same eluent and a flow rate of 1 mL/min the elution time was 10 min as compared with 6 min for the corresponding γ -sulfonic acid (4), an authentic sample of which was available from previous work.¹ Pooled fractions containing the desired product were concentrated and freeze-dried, then subjected to final desalting and purification on a DEAE-cellulose column (12 g, 1.5 x 12 cm, HCO₃⁻ form) which was eluted with a large volume of H₂O followed by 0.2 and 0.4 M NH4HC03. Fractions were monitored by HPLC, and those containing a single **pak** eluting at 10 min were pooled and freeze-dried to obtain 7 as a white solid without further purification (20 mg, 43%). mp >250 **OC** (decomp) ; **IR** (KBr) v 3340br, 2930, 1705, 1660, 1610. 1575, 1545, 1525, 1400, 1345, 1310, 1270, 1225 cm⁻¹; m/z 465 (M+1)⁺. Anal. Calcd for C₁₉H₂₄N₆O₆S.NH₃.3H₂O: C, 42.61; H, 6.21; N, 18.31; *S,* 5.99. Found: C, 42.60; H, 5.97; N, 18.10; S. 6.35.

2-[N-[4-(2-Amino-4(3H)-oxo-5,6,7,8-tetrahydropyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidin-6-yl)methylamino]henzoyl]amino-4-nitrobutanoic Acid (8). **A** mixturc of the HBr and HCI salts of 14 (12 g), synthesized from 12 as described above, was suspended in CH_2Cl_2 (100 mL). Benzyl chloroformate (14.3) mL, 17.1 g, 0.1 mol) and powdered K_2CO_3 (20 g, 0.145 mol) were added with stirring. After 20 h, the reaction was quenched with H₂O (80 mL) and stirring was continued for another 20 min. The organic layer

was separated, the aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 , the combined organic phases were evaporated, and the solid was chromatographed on silica gel ('Flash' grade, 80 g, 4 **x** 13 cm) with **2:l** hexane-EtOAc as the eluent. **Partial** crystallization occurred on the column, requiring the use of a large volume of solvent to completely recover the product. Evaporation of pooled TLC-homogeneous fractions and recrystallization from acetone-hexanes afforded **19** (13.1 g, 64% based on the starting lactone) as a white solid, mp 88-89 OC (lit.,14 mp 87-89 W); lH NMR (CDC13) **6** 2.0-2.5 (m, 2H, p-CH2), 3.4 (t, 2H, γ -CH₂), 3.75 (s, 3H, OMe), 4.5 (m, 1H, α -CH), 5.1 (s, 2H, OCH₂), 7.35 (s, 5H, aryl).

A solution of **19** (6.60 g, 0.02 mol) and NaNO₂ (2.07 g, 0.03 mol) in DMSO (40 mL) was kept at rt for 20 h, then partitioned between EtOAc and $H₂O$. The organic layer was washed with water and evaporated to obtain a solid (6.2 g) whose TLC (silica gel, 3:2 hexane-tert-BuOH) showed spots with $R_f0.3, 0.4, 0.5$, and 0.6. The mixture was taken up in EtOAc and the product pre-adsorbed onto silica gel ('Flash' grade, 10 g) by rotary evaporation, and the dried solid was added to the top of a column of wet-packed silica gel (80 g, 4×15 cm) which was eluted first with 3:1 hexanes-tert-BuOH and then with 1:1 hexanes-EtOAc. Fractions of the 3:1 hexanes-tert-BuOH eluent were monitored by TLC, and those containing the R_f 0.5 spot were pooled and evaporated. The resulting solid (3.2 g) was re-chromatographed on another column (60 g, 3 x 15 cm) with the same eluent mixture to obtain **20** as a pale-yellow oil (2.16 g, 32%); IR (neat) **^v** 3330,3060,3030, 2960,2850, 1720br, 1555, 1455, 1440, 1380, 1330. 1215 cn-1; 'H **NMR:** (CDC13) *6* 1.25 (s, Me from tert-BuOH), 2.03 (m, OH from tert-BuOH, exchangeable with D₂O), 2.47 (m, 2H, β -CH₂), 3.73 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 4.45 (t, J = 7 Hz, superimposed on m, 3H, α -CH and CH₂NO₂), 5.10 (s, 2H, CH₂O), 5.67 (br m, 1H, NH, exchangeable with D₂O), 7.33 (s, 5H, aryl). Although this compound has been reported to melt at $42-44 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, 8 it resisted all attempts to crystallize it and was therefore used directly in the next step an oil.

A solution of 20 (2.14 g, 7.23 mmol) in glacial AcOH (7 mL) was treated with 30% HBr in AcOH (7 **mL** and after 30 min at **rt** the reaction mixture was diluted with ether (200 mL) and chilled. The ether was decanted, leaving a gum which was stored for a week in a vacuum desiccator in an unsuccessful effort to obtain crystals. The gummy product was then partitioned between EtOAc and 5% Na_2CO_3 , and the organic layer was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue from the organic layer, consisting of 21 as the free amino ester (0.22 g, 19%). was used without additional purification.

1802 HETEROCYCLES, VOI. **51. No. 8.1999**

A flask containing 17 (100 mg, 0.26 mmol) in dry DMF (5 mL) was treated with Et3N (40 **pL,** 29 mg, 0.29 mmol) and isobutyl chlorofonnate (38 **pL,** 40 mg, 0.29 mmol) and kept in a sonication bath until a clear solution was obtained (2-3 min). Compound (21) (60 mg, 0.30 mmol) followed by a second portion of Et₃N (42 μ L, 30 mg, 0.30 mmol) were then added. The solution became clear after 5 min and was left to stand at rt for 20 h. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was triturated with ether to obtain crude 22; TLC: major spot with R_f 0.08 along with a number of smaller faster-moving impurities (silica gel, 4:1 CHCl₃-MeOH). The product was taken up directly in a mixture of glacial AcOH (6 ml) and 48% aqueous HBr (4 mL), and heated at 70 **OC** for 15 min. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, the residue was dissolved in watcr. the resulting solution was neutralized with 28% NH₄OH, and a trace of insoluble material was filtered off. Analytical HPLC (C₁₈ silica gel, 4% acetonitrile in 0.05 M NH₄OAc, 1.0 mL/min) at this stage revealed mainly one product with a retention time of 14.5 min, along with some very minor impurities eluting at 7 and 21 min. Preparative HPLC using the same eluent system was then performed, and appropriately fraclions werc pooled, freeze-dried, and applied onto a DEAE-cellulose column $(15 g, 1.3 x 18 cm, HCO₃⁻ form)$, which was eluted first with a large volume of H_2O and then with 0.4 M NH₄HCO₃. Lyophilization of appropriately pooled fractions afforded 8 as a white solid (12 mg, 10%); IR (KBr) **v** 3350br, 2930, 1610, 1540, 1480, 1465, 1375, 1345, 1315, 1270, 1225 cm⁻¹; MS: m/e 445 (M⁺). Anal. Calcd for C₁₉H₂₃N₇O₆: C, 51.23; H, 5.20. Found: C, 51.51; H, 5.36. Microchemical analysis using the standard method of combustion failed to give values within acceptable limits $(\pm 0.4\%$ of theory) for nitrogen with two different batches of 8 that had been purified to homogeneity by HPLC. We have occasionally experienced this problem with other nitro compounds whose structure was not otherwise in doubt.

4-[N-[(2-Acetamidopyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidin-6-yl)methyl]formamido]benzoyl]amino]-4-

nitrobutanoic Acid (24) . A stirred suspension of 23 $(300 \text{ mg}, 0.79 \text{ mmol})$ in dry DMF (15 mL) at rt was treated with Et₃N (139 μ L, 101 mg, 1 mmol) followed by isobutyl chloroformate (117 μ L, 123 mg, 0.9 mmol). After 10 min, 21 (224 mg, 1.38 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 days. At the end of this period the mixture was concentrated to dryness by rotary evaporation, the residue was taken up in warm acetonc and MeOH in a sonication bath, and the product was pre-adsorbed onto silica gel (2 g) by rotary evaporation. The silica gel with adsorbed product was applied to

the top of a column of wet-packed silica gel $(20 \text{ g}, 2 \text{ x } 14 \text{ cm})$, which was eluted with acetone. Fractions were monitored by TLC (silica gel, acetone), and a yellow band eluting ahead of the product was discarded. Fractions containing the colorless product $(R_f 0.8)$ were pooled and concentrated to a small volume. Addition of ether caused separation of an oil. Extensive trituration of the oil dissolved a non-aromatic impurity and caused the oil to solidify. Filtration and drying in vacuo at 65 ^oC over P_2O_5 gave 24 as a beige solid, which was used without further purification (142 mg, 34%), mp 141-149 **OC;** IR (KBr) **v** 3260, 3130, 2960, 1740, 1685, 1630, 1605, 1555, 1500, 1460, 1400, 1350br. 1295, 1245 cm-1; 1H NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ 2.18 (s, 3H, CH₃CO), 2.51 (m, β -CH₂, partially covered by DMSO- d_5 signal), 3.65 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 4.68 (t, J = 6 Hz, overlapping a broad m, 3H, CH₂NO₂ and α -CH), 5.28 (s, 2H, 9-CH₂), 7.48 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H, 3'- and 5'-H), 7.85 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H, 2'- and 6'-H), 8.25 (d, J = 2 Hz, 1H, 5-H), 8.73 (d, $J = 2$ Hz, 1H, 7-H), 8.81 (s, 1H, CH=O). Anal. Calcd for $C_{23}H_{23}N_7O_8·H_2O$: C, 50.83; H, 4.64; N, 18.04. Found: C, 50.52; H, 4.19; N, 17.85.

2-[N-[4-[N-(2-Amino-4(3H)-oxopyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidin-6-yl)methyl]aminobenzoyl]amino]-4-nitrobutanoic Acid (25). **A** solution of 24 (108 mg, 0.199 mmol) in glacial AcOH (3 mL) and 6 N HCl(3 mL) was heated at 70 ^oC for 15 min. The solvent was evaporated, the residue dissolved in H₂O with the aid of a few drops of 28% NH₄OH, the pH adjusted to near neutrality with AcOH, and the product isolated by preparative HPLC (C₁₈ silica gel; solution A; 1% MeCN in 0.1 *M* NH₄OAc, pH 6.9; solution B: same buffer with 20% MeCN; linear gradient of 30% B to 100% B over 30 min; flow rate 10 mL/min). On the analytical C₁₈ silica gel column with the same eluent system and a flow rate of 1 mL/min, four peaks with retention times of 5, 19, 23, and 40 min were obtained. The 19 min peak was collected, concentrated by **rotary** evaporation, and freeze-dried. The residue was redissolved in dilute ammonia, and the solution was applied onto a DEAE-cellulose column (20 g, 1.5 x 21 cm, $HCO₃^-$ form) which was eluted copiously with H₂O, followed by 0.2 and 0.4 M NH₄HCO₃. Because elution of the product was very slow, the $NH₄HCO₃$ buffer was adjusted to pH 10 with 28% NH₄OH. The total volume of eluent required to achieve complete recovery of the product was *ca.* 500 ml. Appropriate fractions were pooled and concentrated to dryness by rotary evaporation and lyophilization to obtain a white solid (47 mg. 48%); IR (KBr) v 3270, 2830 br, 1700, 1665, 1605, 1550, 1505, 1400, 1330, 1260 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ 2.50 (m, β -CH₂, partially covered by DMSO-d₅), 4.42 (m, 7H, 9-CH₂, CH₂NO₂, α -CH, NH₂), 6.67 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2H, 3'

and 5'-H), 7.63 (d, I = 9 Hz, 2H, 2'- and 6'-H), 8.25 (br s, 2H, 5- and 7-H); MS: *m/z* 442 (M+l)+. Anal. Calcd for $C_{19}H_{19}N_7O_6$: 2H₂O: C, 47.80; H, 4.86; N, 20.54. Found: C, 47.39; H, 4.46; N, 20.34.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by grant CA70349 from the National Cancer Institute, DHHS

REFERENCES

- 1. A. Rosowsky, R. A. Forsch, V. E. Reich. J. H. Freisheim, and R. G. Moran, J. *Med. Chem..* 1992, *35,* 1578.
- 2. For leading references, see (a) I. Fabrc, G. Fabrc, and I. D. Goldman, *Cancer Res.,* 1984, 44, 3190. (b) B. G. Rumberger, I. R. Barmeco, and F. M. Sirotnak, *Cancer Res.,* 1990, 50,4639. (c) D. E. McCloskey, J. J. McGuire, C. A. Russell, B. G. Rowan, J. R. Bertino, G. Pizzorno, and E. Mini, *J. Biol. Chem.,* 1991,266,6181. (d) J. Barredo and R. G. Moran, *Mol. Pharmacol..* 1992, 42, 687. (e) J:S. Kim, K. E. Lowe, and B. Shane, **J.** *Biol. Chem.* 1993, 268, 21680. (0 J. Barredo, T. W. Sywold, J. Laver, M. V. Relling, C.-H. Pui, D. G. Priest, and W. E. Evans, *Blood,* 1994, 84, 564.
- 3. G. R. Westerhof, **1.** H. Schornagel, I. Kalhmann, A. L. Jackman, A. Rosowsky, R. A. Forsch, J. B. Hynes, F. T. Boyle, G. J. Peters, H. M. Pinedo. and G. Jansen, *Mol. Pharmacol.,* 1995, 48, 459.
- 4. J. M. Blanco, 0. Caamano, and F. Fcrnandez, *Terrahedron,* 1995, 51, 935.
- 5. K. Henery-Logan, T. G. Squires, **Y.-Y.** Chen, G. P. Hussmann, J. C. Shei, and B. F. Smith, *J. Org. Chem.,* 1973, 38, 916.
- 6. C. Bieniarz and M. Cornwell, *Tetrahedron Lett.,* 1993, 34,939; cf. correction in *Tetrahedron Len.,* 1997, 38, 901.
- 7. J. R. Piper and T. P. Johnston, J. *Org. Chem.,* 1968, *33,* 636.
- 8. Z. Prochazka, J. Smolikova, P. Malon, and K. Jost, *C011. Czech. Chem. Comm.,* 1981, *46,* 2935.
- **9.** G. Jansen, 'Antifolate Drugs in Cancer Therapy,' ed. by A. L. Jackman, Humana Press, Totowa, NJ, 1999, pp. 293-321.
- 10. G. Pizzorno, A. R. Cashmore, B.A. Moroson, A.D. Cross, A.K. Smith, M. Marling-Cason, B.A. Kamen, and G.P. Beardsley, J. *Biol. Chem.,* 1993, 268, 1017.
- 11. R. Bertrand and J. Jolivet, *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.*, 1989, 81, 1175.
- **12. R.** *G.* Mom, **S. W. Baldwin, E. C. Taylor, and C. Shih,** *J. Biol. Chem,* **1989,** *264,* **21047.**
- **13. R.** F. **S. Huang, H. H. Ho, H. L. Lin, J. S. Wei, and T. Z. Liu,** *J. Nutr.,* **1999,** *129,* **25.**
- **14.** *G. E.* **DuBois, R. A. G. Stephenson, and G. A. Crosby, U. S. Patent 4,226,804 (Oct. 7, 1980)** *(Chem. Abstr.,* **1980,94, P66076b).**

Received, **1st** February, 1999