ASYMMETRIC EPOXIDATION OF ALDEHYDES CATALYZED BY NEW C₂-SYMMETRICAL CHIRAL SULFIDE

Miyuki Ishizaki* and Osamu Hoshino*

Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Tokyo University of Science, 12, Ichigaya Funagawara-machi, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 162-0826, Japan

Abstract – Asymmetric synthesis of chiral epoxides from various aldehydes with benzyl bromide was investigated using new C₂-symmetrical chiral sulfides, which were readily prepared from (R,R)-tartaric acid.

Enantiomerically enriched epoxides are versatile compounds for organic synthesis and a variety of efficient methods for synthesis of chiral epoxides have been developed.¹ Among them, sulfur ylide mediated asymmetric epoxidation of aldehydes is one of the most powerful strategies for synthesis of chiral epoxides (1).²⁻⁴ Thus, since the pioneering work^{3a} by Furukawa in 1989, several chiral sulfides have been reported.^{3,4} However, some of previously reported catalysts required multi-step synthesis and not suitable for large scale preparation. Here, we describe asymmetric epoxidation of aldehydes catalyzed by new C₂-symmetrical chiral sulfides, which were easily obtained in a large scale from (*R*,*R*)-tartaric acid (Scheme 1).



Various C₂-symmetrical chiral sulfides $(3-6)^{5,6}$ were synthesized as follows (Scheme 2). The reaction of aromatic thiols with ditosylate $(2a)^7$ or aliphatic thiols with ditriflate $(2b)^7$ gave sulfides $(3a,^7 3b-g)$ in 78-98% yields. Hydrolysis of actetal group in 3 afforded diols $(4a,^{7,8} 4b-g)$, silylation of which furnished TBDMS ethers (5a-g). Several benzyl ethers (6a-d) were also obtained in good yields (92-100%) from phenyl sulfide (5a).



With chiral sulfides (3-6) in hand, asymmetric epoxidation of benzaldehyde with benzyl bromide in the presence of NaOH as base was examined (Table 1). At first, acetal-type catalyst (3a, 100 mol%) was used in the reaction to give (R,R)trans-stilbene oxide (1a) in 21% e.e. with 64% chemical yield. Since catalytic reaction³ⁱ using 10 mol% of sulfide (3a) gave 1a with the same enantioselectivity in stoichiometric version, we employed catalytic amount of sulfide on the further investigation. Compared with aromatic sulfide, the reaction using aliphatic sulfides (**3b-d**) afforded 1a in low e.e. Diol-type phenyl sulfide (4a) improved enantioselectivity to give the epoxide in 46% e.e. On the other hand, aliphatic sulfides (4b-d) showed only low enantioselectivity (1-6% e.e.). The highest enantioselectivity (68% e.e.) was attained using TBDMS ether (5a). Other aromatic sulfides (5e-g) were also examined in the reaction, however, enantioselectivity could not be increased.

At this stage, transition state on enantio- and



Table 1. Asymmetric epoxidation of benzaldehyde

Run	Sulfide	trans/cis ^a	Yield (%) ^t	$^{\circ}$ E.e. (%) ^a
1^{c}	3 a	ND	64	21
2	3a	ND	19	21
3	3 b	ND	32	-1
4	3c	ND	47	-1
5	3d	83:17	17	-7
6	4 a	67:33	42	46
7	4b	77:23	60	1
8	4 c	81:19	50	6
9	4d	85:15	24	2
10	5a	74:26	22	68
11	5b	72:28	63	8
12	5c	80:20	44	37
13	5d	80:20	14	35
14	5e	61 : 39	13	58
15	5 f	71:29	19	64
16	5g	62 : 38	29	61
17	6a	67:33	34	48
18	6b	67:33	28	50
19	6c	67:33	27	53

a) Determined by HPLC.^{3f} b) Isolated yield of *trans*-isomer. c) 100 mol% of catalyst was used.

diastereo-selective reaction was not clear, however, difference of ability of catalysts (**3-6**) would be rationalized by conformational change of the catalysts. Thus, two sulfide groups in acetal-type catalysts (**3**) would be placed far-away because of restricted structure by acetal group. In ether-type catalysts (**5**,**6**), such effect



would not exist and steric repulsion of two ether groups⁹ would cause proximity of two bulky sulfide groups, one of which might control direction of aldehyde to sulfur ylide to increase enantioselectivity.

Finally, asymmetric epoxidation of various aldehydes with stoichiometric amount of **5a** was performed to obtain epoxides in good yield (Table 2). When, the reaction of aromatic aldehydes bearing electron withdrawing group such as chloro or trifluoromethyl group gave the corresponding epoxides in lower enantioselectivity than that of benzaldehyde (Runs 2 and 3). On the other hand, epoxidation of aromatic aldehydes bearing electron-donating groups on benzene ring furnished enantioselectivity similar to that of benzaldehyde (Runs 4 and 5). The highest enantioselectivity was obtained in the reaction of *trans*-cinnamaldehyde (75% e.e., Run 7).

RCHO $\begin{array}{c}
5a (100 \text{ mol\%}) \\
PhCH_2Br (3 \text{ eq.}) \\
\hline
NaOH (3 \text{ eq.}) \\
t-BuOH-H_2O (9-1)
\end{array}$

Run	RCHO	trans/cis ^a	Time (d)	Yield (%) ^b	E.e. (%) ^a
1	PhCHO	70:30	3	67	68
2	4-ClC ₆ H ₄ CHO	72:28	3	66	63
3	$4-CF_3C_6H_4CHO$	71:29	1	63	55
4	4-MeC ₆ H ₄ CHO	71:29	4	68	70
5	4-MeOC ₆ H ₄ CHO	70:30	4	60	69
6	β-Naphthaldehyde	75:25	4	72	70
7	(E)-C ₆ H ₄ CH=CHCHO	80:20	4	75	75

Table 2. Asymmetric Epoxidation of Various Aldehydes using 5a.

a) Determined by HPLC.^{3f} b) Isolated yield of *trans*-isomer.

In conclusion, we have shown convenient synthesis of new C_2 -symmetrical chiral sulfides (**3-6**) and examined their catalytic ability on asymmetric epoxidation of various aldehydes. Among the catalysts, aromatic sulfides were superior to aliphatic sulfides. Furthermore, TBDMS ether (**5a**) was found to be good catalyst on asymmetric epoxidation of various aldehydes up to 75% enantioselectivity.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to Mrs. F. Hasegawa of this faculty for her MS spectral measurement.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- 1. For a review, T. Katsuki, In *Comprehensive Asymmetric Catalysis*, ed. by E. N. Jacobsen, A. Pfaltz, and H. Yamamoto, Springer, Berlin, 1999, Vol. 2.
- 2. For a review, A-H. Li, L-X. Dai, and V. K. Aggarwal, Chem. Rev., 1997, 97, 2341.
- Base promoted reaction; (a) N. Furukawa, Y. Sugihara, and H. Fujihara, J. Org. Chem., 1989, 54, 4222. (b) L. Breau, W. W. Ogilvie, and T. Durst, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1990, 31, 35. (c) L. Breau and T. Durst, *Tetrahedron:Asymmetry*, 1991, 2, 367. (d) A-H. Li, L-X. Dai, X-L. Hou, Y-Z. Huang, and F-W. Li, J. Org. Chem., 1996, 61, 489. (e) A. Solladié-Cavallo, A. Diep-Vohuule, V. Sunjic, and V. Vinkovic, *Tetrahedron:Asymmetry*, 1996, 7, 1783. (f) K. Julienne and P. Metzner, J. Org. Chem., 1998, 63, 4532. (g) R. Hayakawa and M. Shimizu, *Synlett*, 1999, 1328. (h) A. Solladié-Cavallo, M. Roje, T. Isarno, V. Sunjic, and V. Vinkovic, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2000, 1077. (i) J. Zanardi, C. Leriverend, D. Aubert, K. Julienne, and P. Metzner, J. Org. Chem., 2001, 66, 5620. (j) T. Saito, D. Akiba, M. Sakairi, and S. Kanazawa, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2001, 42, 57.
- Metal carbenoid mediated reaction was an alternative excellent methodology using chiral sulfide; (a)
 V. K. Aggarwal, J. F. Ford, A. Thompson, R. V. H. Jones, and M. C. H. Standen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1996, *118*, 7004. (b)
 V. K. Aggarwal, J. G. Ford, R. V. H. Jones, and R. Fieldhouse, *Tetrahedron:Asymmetry*, 1998, *9*, 1801. (c)
 V. K. Aggarwal, J. G. Ford, S. Fonquerna, H. Adams, R. V. H. Jones, and R. Fieldhouse, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1998, *120*, 8328.
- 5. All new compounds gave satisfactory ¹H-NMR, IR, and MS spectral data.
- 6. Selected data for **5a**; oil; $[\alpha]_D^{25} 34.7^\circ$ (c 1.17, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR δ 7.12-7.35 (10H, m), 3.86-3.90 (4H, m), 3.32 (2H, dd, J = 3.1, 13.4 Hz), 2.87 (2H, dd, J = 8.3, 13.4 Hz), 0.88 (18H, s), 0.08, -0.04 (each 6H, s); IR (neat) 2953, 2928, 2886, 2856, 1584, 1471 cm⁻¹; EI MS m/z 534 (M⁺); high-resolution MS m/z calcd for C₂₈H₄₆O₂S₂Si₂ (M⁺) 534.2478, Found: 534.2483.
- M. Diéguez, A. Orejón, A. M. Masdeu-Bultó, S. Castillón, C. Claver, and A. Ruiz, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans, 1997, 4611.
- 8. A. Haudrechy, W. Picoul, and Y. Langlois, *Tetrahedron:Asymmetry*, 1997, 8, 139.
- For conformational control in acyclic system using TBDMS ether; (a) S. Saito, H. Itoh, Y. Ono, K. Nishioka, and T. Moriwake, *Tetrahedron:Asymmetry*, 1993, 4, 5. (b) S. Saito, O. Narahara, T. Ishikawa, M. Asahara, T. Moriwake, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1993, 58, 6292. (c) S. Saito, T. Ishikawa, and T. Moriwake, *Synlett*, 1994, 279.