

## JUNCIN N, A NEW BRIARANE-TYPE DITERPENOID FROM THE GORGONIAN CORAL *JUNCEELLA JUNCEA*

Ping-Jyun Sung,<sup>\*,a</sup> Tung-Yung Fan,<sup>a</sup> Lee-Shing Fang,<sup>a</sup> Jyh-Horng Sheu,<sup>b</sup>  
Shwu-Li Wu,<sup>b,c</sup> Guey-Horng Wang,<sup>d</sup> and Mei-Ru Lin<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>National Museum of Marine Biology and Aquarium, 2 Houwan Road, Checheng, Pingtung 944, Taiwan, R.O.C. E-mail: pjsung@nmmba.gov.tw

<sup>b</sup>Department of Marine Resources, National Sun Yat-Sen University, Kaohsiung 804, Taiwan, R.O.C.

<sup>c</sup>Department of General Studies, National Kaohsiung Institute of Marine Technology, Kaohsiung 811, Taiwan, R.O.C.


<sup>d</sup>Center for General Education, Hsing-Kuo University, Tainan 709, Taiwan, R.O.C.

**Abstract** – A new chlorinated briarane-type diterpenoid, juncin N (**1**), has been isolated from the gorgonian coral *Junceella juncea*. The structure, including the relative configuration of the new compound (**1**), was elucidated by the combination of extensive spectral data analysis, especially in 1D and 2D NMR.

In the previous studies by others, a series of briaranes, including juncins A–M, have been isolated from the gorgonian coral *Junceella juncea* (Pallas) (phylum Coelenterata, class Anthozoa, subclass Octocorallia, order Gorgonacea, family Ellisellidae) within the past 14 years.<sup>1–8</sup> Compounds of this type continue to intrigue investigators because of the structural novelty and various biological activities.<sup>9</sup> In this paper, we report here a new chlorine-containing polyoxygenated briarane, juncin N (**1**), from the gorgonian *J. juncea*, collected off Southern Taiwan coast.

The molecular formula of juncin N (**1**) was determined as C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>35</sub>O<sub>14</sub>Cl by its HRFABMS. Thus, 11 degrees of unsaturation were determined for **1**. The IR spectrum showed bands at 3520, 3418, 1792, and 1744 cm<sup>-1</sup>, consistent with the presence of carboxyl, hydroxy,  $\gamma$ -lactone, and ester carbonyl groups in **1**. The FABMS of **1** exhibited peaks at  $m/z$  633 (0.3, M + H)<sup>+</sup>, 631 (1, M + H)<sup>+</sup>, 615, 613 (M + H – H<sub>2</sub>O)<sup>+</sup>, 573, 571 (M + H – HOAc)<sup>+</sup>, 513, 511 (M + H – 2HOAc)<sup>+</sup>, 453, 451 (M + H – 3HOAc)<sup>+</sup>, 393, 391 (M + H – 4HOAc)<sup>+</sup>, 375, and 373 (M + H – 4HOAc – H<sub>2</sub>O)<sup>+</sup>, which suggested the presence of a chlorine atom, a hydroxy, and four acetoxy groups in **1**. From the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data of **1** (Table 1), the presence of

an exocyclic carbon-carbon double bond was deduced from the signals of two carbons resonating at  $\delta$  133.8 (s) and 119.9 (t). Furthermore, in the  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectrum, six carbonyl resonances appeared at  $\delta$  191.2 (s), 174.5 (s), 170.2 (s), 169.7 (s), 169.7 (s), and 169.6 (s), confirming the presence of a carboxyl, a  $\gamma$ -lactone, and four esters in **1**. In the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum (Table 1), a carboxylic acid proton ( $\delta$  9.25, s) and four acetate methyls ( $\delta$  2.38, 3H, s; 2.08, 3H, s; 2.05, 3H, s; 2.00, 3H, s) were observed. Thus, the  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectral data accounted for seven degrees of unsaturation and required **1** to be tetracyclic. The  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum also showed the presence of two methyl groups, including a methyl attached to a methine carbon ( $\delta$  1.46, d,  $J = 7.0$  Hz, H<sub>3</sub>-18) and a methyl attached to a tertiary carbon ( $\delta$  1.15, s, H<sub>3</sub>-15).



**Figure 1.**  $^1\text{H}$ - $^1\text{H}$  COSY and HMBC Correlations for **1**.

The gross structure of **1** and all of the  $^1\text{H}$  and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectral data associated with the molecule were determined by the assistance of 2D NMR experiments. From the  $^1\text{H}$ - $^1\text{H}$  COSY spectra of **1** (Figure 1), it was possible to establish the proton sequences from H-2/H-3; H-3/H-4; H-6/H-7; and H-9/H-10. These data, together with the HMBC correlations between H-2/C-1, C-3, C-4; H-3/C-1, C-4, C-5; H-4/C-3, C-5, C-6; H-7/C-5, C-6, C-8; H-9/C-1, C-8, C-10; and H-10/C-1, C-2, C-8, C-9 (Figure 1), established the connectivity from C-1 to C-10 within the ten-membered ring. An exocyclic carbon-carbon double bond attached at C-5 was confirmed by the HMBC correlations between H-4/C-16; H-7/C-16; and H<sub>2</sub>-16/C-4, C-5, C-6. The cyclohexane ring, which is fused to the ten-membered ring at C-1 and C-10, was elucidated by the key HMBC correlations between H-9/C-11; H-10/C-11, C-12; H<sub>2</sub>-13/C-1; and H-14/C-10. The ring juncture C-15 methyl group was positioned at C-1 from the HMBC correlations between H-2/C-15; H-10/C-15; and H<sub>3</sub>-15/C-1, C-2, C-10, C-14. The carboxyl and hydroxy groups positioned at C-11 were determined by the connectivity between the carboxylic acid proton ( $\delta_{\text{H}}$  9.25, 1H, s) and a tertiary oxygen-bearing carbon ( $\delta_{\text{C}}$  77.7, s, C-11) and further confirmed by the HMBC correlations between H-9/C-11; H-10/C-11, C-20; H<sub>2</sub>-12/C-11, C-20; and H<sub>2</sub>-13/C-11. The ether bridge between C-4 and C-8 was identified by an HMBC correlation between H-4 ( $\delta_{\text{H}}$  4.47, d,  $J = 11.0$  Hz) and C-8 ( $\delta_{\text{C}}$  82.9, s). Furthermore, the HMBC correlations also revealed the positions of four acetoxy groups attached at

C-2, C-3, C-9, and C-14. These data, together with the HMBC correlations between H-9/C-17; H-17/C-9, C-18, C-19; and H<sub>3</sub>-18/C-8, C-17, C-19, unambiguously established the molecular framework of **1**.


**Table 1.** <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR Spectral Data for Juncin N (**1**).

Position	<sup>1</sup> H <sup>a</sup>	<sup>13</sup> C <sup>b</sup>
1		47.5 (s) <sup>d</sup>
2	5.35 (1H, d, <i>J</i> = 6.0 Hz) <sup>c</sup>	72.6 (d)
3	6.21 (1H, dd, <i>J</i> = 11.0, 6.0 Hz)	63.7 (d)
4	4.47 (1H, d, <i>J</i> = 11.0 Hz)	78.4 (d)
5		133.8 (s)
6	5.06 (1H, d, <i>J</i> = 2.5 Hz)	53.7 (d)
7	4.45 (1H, d, <i>J</i> = 2.5 Hz)	78.9 (d)
8		82.9 (s)
9	6.61 (1H, s)	74.3 (d)
10	3.01 (1H, s)	46.4 (d)
11		77.7 (s)
12α/β	2.28 (1H, m); 2.52 (1H, dt, <i>J</i> = 13.5, 3.0 Hz)	31.0 (t)
13	1.85 (2H, m)	24.0 (t)
14	4.99 (1H, br s)	73.1 (d)
15	1.15 (3H, s)	15.5 (q)
16a/b	5.59 (1H, d, <i>J</i> = 2.0 Hz); 5.37 (1H, d, <i>J</i> = 2.0 Hz)	119.9 (t)
17	2.94 (1H, q, <i>J</i> = 7.0 Hz)	49.2 (d)
18	1.46 (3H, d, <i>J</i> = 7.0 Hz)	8.5 (q)
19		174.5 (s)
20		191.2 (s)
acid proton	9.25 (1H, s)	
acetate methyls		
2-OCOCH <sub>3</sub>	2.38 (3H, s)	21.1 (q)
3-OCOCH <sub>3</sub>	2.08 (3H, s)	20.9 (q)
9-OCOCH <sub>3</sub>	2.05 (3H, s)	20.4 (q)
14-OCOCH <sub>3</sub>	2.00 (3H, s)	20.4 (q)
acetate carbonyls		
2-OCOCH <sub>3</sub>		169.7 (s)
3-OCOCH <sub>3</sub>		169.7 (s)
9-OCOCH <sub>3</sub>		170.2 (s)
14-OCOCH <sub>3</sub>		169.6 (s)

<sup>a</sup>Spectra recorded at 500 MHz in CDCl<sub>3</sub> at 25 °C. <sup>b</sup>125 MHz in CDCl<sub>3</sub> at 25 °C. <sup>c</sup>*J* values (in Hz) in parentheses. <sup>d</sup>Multiplicity deduced by DEPT and indicated by usual symbols. The values are in ppm downfield from TMS.

The relative stereochemistry of **1** was elucidated from a NOESY experiment (Figure 2). Strong NOE correlations were observed between H-10 and H-2, H-9, and H<sub>3</sub>-18; while H-2 showed a NOE correlation to H-4 suggesting that all these protons were on the same side of the molecule. This face was assigned as the α face since the C-15 methyl is normally assigned as a β-substituent at C-1. The H-3 and H-14 protons showed NOE correlations with H<sub>3</sub>-15, but not with H-10, revealing the β-orientation of these protons. The H-7 signal showed NOE correlations with H-6, H-9, and H-17, and H-9 showed a NOE response with H-17. Consideration of molecular models revealed that H-7 is reasonably close to H-6, H-9,

and H-17 when H-6, H-7, and H-17 are  $\beta$ -oriented and H-9 is placed on the  $\alpha$  face. The acid group at C-11 was placed in the  $\beta$  face by the NOE correlations between the acid proton ( $\delta_{\text{H}}$  9.25) with H<sub>3</sub>-15 and H-12 $\beta$ . Thus, the hydroxy group at C-11 must be  $\alpha$ -oriented. Based on above results, the structure of **1**, including the relative configuration, was elucidated unambiguously. To the best of our knowledge, juncin N (**1**) is the first briarane derivative containing a carboxylic acid group.



**Figure 2.** Key NOESY Correlations for **1**.

Although many briarane-based diterpenoids have been shown to exhibit various biological activities,<sup>9</sup> juncin N (**1**) was found not to be cytotoxic toward a limited panel of tumor cell lines. These cells are P-388D1 (mouse lymphoid neoplasm), DLD-1 (human colon adenocarcinoma), IMR-32 (human neuroblastoma), RPMI 7951 (human malignant melanoma), and CCRF-CEM (human T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia) cells.

## EXPERIMENTAL

**General Experimental Procedures.** Melting points were determined using a Fargo melting point apparatus and was uncorrected. Optical rotation values were measured on a JASCO DIP-370 digital polarimeter. IR spectra were recorded on a JASCO FT/IR-5300 infrared spectrophotometer. FABMS were obtained on a VG QUATTRO GC/MS spectrometer. HRFABMS were recorded on a JEOL JMS SX/SX 102A mass spectrometer. The NMR spectra were recorded with a VARIAN UNITY INOVA 500 FT-NMR at 500 MHz for <sup>1</sup>H and 125 MHz for <sup>13</sup>C, in CDCl<sub>3</sub>, using TMS as an internal standard. Silica gel (Merck, 230–400 mesh) was used during column chromatography. Precoated silica gel plates (Merck, Kieselgel 60 F<sub>254</sub>) were used for analytical TLC. All solvents used were either freshly distilled or of analytical grade.

**Animal Material.** The gorgonian *J. juncea* were collected by hand using scuba in Dec. 2002, off the Southern Taiwan coast at depths of 16 m. This organism was identified by comparison with descriptions.<sup>10–12</sup> Living reference specimens are being maintained in the authors' tanks and a voucher specimen was deposited at the National Museum of Marine Biology and Aquarium (specimen no. TWGC-003).

**Extraction and Isolation.** The gorgonian *J. juncea* (wet weight 0.70 kg) was freeze-dried soon after collection. The freeze-dried material (0.45 kg) was minced and extracted with EtOAc (6 × 500 mL) for 120 h at 25 °C. The EtOAc extract (7.2 g) was separated by silica gel column chromatography using hexanes and hexanes–EtOAc mixtures of increasing polarity. Juncin N (**1**) was eluted with hexanes–EtOAc (2:1).

**Juncin N (1):** white powder (0.7 mg); mp 214–216 °C (EtOAc);  $[\alpha]_D^{25} +18^\circ$  (*c* 0.3, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); IR (neat, CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\nu_{\max}$  3520, 3418, 1792, and 1744 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR, see Table 1; FABMS *m/z* 633 (0.3, M<sup>+</sup> + H), 631 (1, M<sup>+</sup> + H), 615, 613, 573, 571, 513, 511, 453, 451, 393, 391, 375, and 373; HRFABMS *m/z* 631.1799 (calcd for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>35</sub>O<sub>14</sub>Cl + H, 631.1794).

**Cytotoxicity Testing.** The cytotoxicity of tested compound (**1**) was assayed with a modification of the MTT [3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide] colorimetric method. Cytotoxicity assays were carried out according to the procedures described previously.<sup>13</sup>

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank Ms. Chao-Lein Ho and Shiu-Ching Yu, of the NSC Southern Instrument Center in National Sun Yat-Sen University for measurements of NMR and MS spectral data. This research work was supported by the grants from the National Science Council (contract no. NSC 91-2320-B-291-001) and the National Museum of Marine Biology and Aquarium of the Republic of China awarded to P.-J. Sung.

## REFERENCES

1. S. Isaacs, C. Carmely, and Y. Kashman, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 1990, **53**, 596.
2. A. S. R. Anjaneyulu and N. S. K. Rao, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans., 1*, 1997, 959.
3. A. S. R. Anjaneyulu, V. L. Rao, V. G. Sastry, M. J. R. V. Venugopal, and F. J. Schmitz, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2003, **66**, 507.
4. Y.-C. Shen, Y.-C. Lin, and M. Y. Chiang, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2002, **65**, 54.
5. Y.-C. Shen, Y.-C. Lin, C.-L. Ko, and L.-T. Wang, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2003, **66**, 302.
6. N. Krishna, P. Muralidhar, M. M. K. Kumar, D. V. Rao, and CH. B. Rao, *Asian J. Chem.*, 2003, **15**, 344.
7. P.-J. Sung, T.-Y. Fan, M.-C. Chen, L.-S. Fang, M.-R. Lin, and P.-C. Chang, *Biochem. Syst. Ecol.*, 2004, **32**, 111.
8. P.-J. Sung, H.-H. Gwo, T.-Y. Fan, J.-J. Li, J. Dong, C.-C. Han, S.-L. Wu, L.-S. Fang, *Biochem. Syst. Ecol.*, 2004, **32**, in press, and references cited therein.
9. P.-J. Sung, J.-H. Sheu, and J.-P. Xu, *Heterocycles*, 2002, **57**, 535, and references cited therein.

10. C.-C. Chen and K.-H. Chang, *Bull. Inst. Zool., Academia Sinica*, 1991, **30**, 149.
11. F. M. Bayer and M. Grasshoff, *Senckenbergiana Biol.*, 1994, **74**, 21.
12. F. M. Bayer, *Proc. Biol. Soc. Wash.*, 1981, **94**, 902.
13. M. C. Alley, D. A. Acudiero, A. Monks, M. L. Hursey, M. J. Czerwinski, D. L. Fine, B. J. Abbott, J. G. Mayo, R. H. Shoemark, and M. R. Boyd, *Cancer Res.*, 1988, **48**, 589.